

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 424 576

CS 216 501

AUTHOR Mercier, Judith D.; Mercier, Peter J.  
TITLE And the Survey Says: How Students Assess the Value of Freshman-Writing Programs.  
PUB DATE 1998-04-00  
NOTE 29p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Conference on College Composition and Communication (49th, Chicago, IL, April 1-4, 1998).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150) -- Tests/Questionnaires (160)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Basic Writing; \*College English; College Juniors; College Seniors; \*Freshman Composition; Higher Education; Questionnaires; \*Student Attitudes; Student Surveys; Tables (Data); \*Writing (Composition); Writing Skills

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to determine whether college juniors and seniors view their freshman-writing instruction as beneficial and to assess its impact on the writing they do within their specific disciplines. Although a great deal of theory surrounds the teaching of freshman writing, very little research has been done to assess its effectiveness from the students' point of view once they start writing within their disciplines. The present research, utilizing a survey which was administered to a sample of 297 juniors and seniors at three colleges/universities in the Hampton Roads area of Virginia, primarily address the following questions: (1) How do students assess the value of their freshman-writing instruction?; (2) How does a non-discipline-specific freshman-writing curriculum impact discipline-specific writing challenges?; and (3) Is the writing in a student's chosen discipline more valuable to her than the instruction and practice she found in her freshman-writing program? Results indicate that students' perceptions about the value of freshman writing vary according to their majors. Findings suggest that students in the humanities and social sciences rated the writing they do in their disciplines as being more valuable than that which they did in their freshman writing classes, and students in business, engineering, and technology thought the reverse. (Contains 7 tables of data and a sample 11-page student questionnaire.) (Author/CR)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

**And the Survey Says: How Students Assess the  
Value of Freshman-Writing Programs**

**Judith D. Mercier**

**Old Dominion University**

**Peter J. Mercier**

**Old Dominion University**

**Conference on College Composition and Communication**

**Chicago, IL**

**April 1 - 4, 1998**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
**EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)**

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve  
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-  
ment do not necessarily represent official  
OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS  
BEEN GRANTED BY

*J. Mercier*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

CS 216501

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to determine whether college juniors and seniors view their freshman-writing instruction as beneficial and to assess its impact on the writing they do within their specific disciplines. Although a great deal of theory surrounds the teaching of freshman writing, very little research has been done to assess its effectiveness from the students' point of view once they start writing within their disciplines. The present research, utilizing a survey which was randomly administered to a sample of juniors and seniors at three colleges/universities in the Hampton Roads area of Virginia, primarily addresses the following questions: 1) How do students assess the value of their freshman-writing instruction? 2) How does a non-discipline-specific freshman-writing curriculum impact discipline-specific writing challenges? 3) Is the writing in a student's chosen discipline more valuable to her than the instruction and practice she found in her freshman-writing program?

**And the Survey Says: How Students Assess the  
Value of Freshman-Writing Programs**

Judith D. Mercier and Peter J. Mercier

This research originated in conversations with fellow teachers of composition. Debating whether or not we could ever evaluate what a student had *really* learned about writing, we wondered how we could measure if what we taught in non-discipline specific writing courses benefited students once they began writing in their chosen majors. For many of us, the hardest part of teaching freshman composition is grading. For me, it's never difficult writing comments and questions and suggesting ideas for revision--my creative-writing workshop classes make that sort of critical response second nature to me. It's the decision on a letter or number grade which always gives me pause. Every semester, I try new grading rubrics and go about tabulating, adding, dividing, averaging, eventually giving students some quantitative measure of what *I think* they have learned about writing.

This study attempts to reverse the teacher-student position on assessment by asking college juniors and seniors to evaluate what *they think* they learned about writing in their freshman composition classes. It also seeks to measure students' perceptions concerning what learning takes place about writing in the classes directly related to their major disciplines of study. In other words, where do students believe they best acquire the

writing skills they need for academic success? Do the skills they acquire through non-discipline specific composition classes satisfy the writing demands they face once they begin to write in their majors? What majors are best and least served by non-discipline specific composition courses?

### METHODS

In an effort to answer these questions, we surveyed 297 college juniors and seniors in a cross-sectional study, sampling students from three universities in the Tidewater, VA, area--Old Dominion University, Christopher Newport University, and Norfolk State University. Our instrument is a Likert-type questionnaire, measuring responses based on a continuum of agreement. The questionnaire consists of three sections. One section measures demographics only. In another section, we ask students to assess 52 items related to skills they believe they acquired during freshman-writing classes. Finally, we ask them to assess the same 52 items, this time having to do with the skills they believe they have developed while writing in their major fields of study. For the purposes of reporting, the 52 items have been divided into four categories, a taxonomy based on four of the five classical rhetorical canons--25 items measure skills of invention, 9 items skills of arrangement, 9 items skills of style, and 9 items skills of delivery or presentation. For example, items categorized under invention include pre-writing strategies for discovering ideas; finding credible source

material; reading critically; summarizing, paraphrasing, and synthesizing source material; understanding when and how to use definition, narration, classification, comparison-contrast, cause and effect; and establishing a thesis or claim. Arrangement items include developing paragraphs with proofs, writing controlling sentences, ordering supporting material, ordering sentences within paragraphs, using transitional devices, and organizing paragraphs. Style items concern sentence clarity, word choice, conciseness, coordination and subordination, and grammatical conventions. Delivery items focus on formatting, citing sources, mechanics, and punctuation.

Using a numerical score from one to four, students could choose a response of "strongly disagree," "disagree," "agree" or "strongly agree" for each item. After scaling this questionnaire for internal consistency, it was found to be highly reliable at 98%. The methodology for this study is detailed in Table 1.

Table 2 describes the sample's characteristics. Among those surveyed, 56% are white, 33% African-American, with the remaining 11% Hispanic, Asian-American, and other racial categories. The sample is almost equally divided between males and females and college juniors and seniors. Majors were divided into six discipline areas, our sample consisting of 1% business students; 15% humanities students; 10% education students; 15% natural science students; 43% social science students; and 17% engineering and technology students. The mean age in our sample is 25.

## RESULTS

Table 3 illustrates the differences between the mean averages students gave their freshman-writing classes and the writing they do in their majors for the classical canon of invention. Scores for this canon are based on a 100-point scale. From these results, it appears that students in business and in engineering and technology scored their freshman-writing classes higher than the writing they do in their discipline when considering where they acquired skills related to invention. However, students in the discipline areas of the humanities, education, natural sciences, and social sciences place greater value on the writing they do in their discipline for acquiring skills in invention. In the humanities, natural sciences, and social sciences, these differences are statistically significant, indicating a 95% certainty that these results are not due to chance. In other words, similar results are likely to occur in repeat studies with different samples of the same population.

Table 4 shows the differences between the mean averages students gave their freshman-writing classes and the writing they do in their majors for the classical canon of arrangement. Scores for this canon are based on a 36-point scale. Like table 3, students in humanities, education, natural sciences, and social sciences placed more value on the writing they do in their majors when considering skills of arrangement. However, none of the results here are statistically significant.

Business and engineering and technology students continued to assess their freshman-writing classes as more valuable.

Table 5 depicts the differences between the mean averages students gave their freshman-writing classes and the writing they do in their majors for the classical canon of style. Scores for this canon are based on a 36-point scale. For this canon, students in the humanities, education, and social sciences rated the writing they do in their discipline as more valuable. However, students in business, natural sciences, and engineering and technology determined their freshman writing classes as more valuable. There are no statistically significant differences in this table.

Table 6 reflects the differences between the mean averages students gave their freshman-writing classes and the writing they do in their majors for the classical canon of delivery or presentation. Scores for this canon are based on a 36-point scale. When considering the canon delivery, students in business, education, natural sciences, and engineering and technology assessed their freshman writing classes as being more valuable. Only students in humanities and social sciences gave a higher rating to the writing they do in their majors for this canon. There are several statistically significant differences found in this table. The first concerns students in the business and engineering and technology disciplines who rate freshman writing classes as more valuable for skills acquired in presentation or delivery. The second statistically significant

difference involves only those students in the humanities. Here we see that they rate the writing they do in their discipline as more valuable when considering this canon.

Our last table reveals how students responded to the question "Did your freshman-writing class prepare you for the writing you do in your major?" The majority of students in education and engineering and technology did believe that their freshman-writing classes had prepared them for the writing they do in their majors. Students in business, humanities, and social sciences seem almost evenly divided in their responses. Students in the natural sciences, however, felt, by majority, that their freshman-writing classes did not prepare them for the writing they do in their major.

### Conclusion

We would be remiss if we failed to mention that this study does have several limitations. First, our study used what is known as a "convenience" sample--classes with professors who readily agreed to having their students surveyed were chosen for sampling. Therefore, because we employed no random sampling methodology, the results of this study can not be generalized. We would like to see this study replicated using randomization or convenience sampling in other geographic locations. Second, students' assessments of freshman-writing classes were based on their recollections, and a small percentage of students in this sample revealed that it had been more than 4 years since they

completed their course work in freshman writing. Finally, there is no way to guarantee that students responded to survey items honestly.

The results of this study suggest that students' perceptions about the value of freshman writing vary according to their majors. Consistently, sample students in the humanities and social sciences rated the writing they do in their discipline as being more valuable than that which they did in freshman writing classes. On the other hand, students in business and engineering and technology consistently assessed their freshman writing classes as more beneficial than the writing they do in their disciplines. This study also reveals that students, regardless of major, more often viewed their freshman writing classes as helping them acquire skills related to style and delivery--those canons which focus on items concerning sentence structure, grammatical conventions, mechanics, and punctuation. However, in relationship to developing skills in invention and arrangement, students from four discipline areas rated the writing they do in their disciplines as more valuable. This, as we have seen, is particularly true with invention, an indication, perhaps, that discipline-specific writing may, in fact, stimulate students to think more critically, to seek out information, to feel more confident about having something to say.

As writing teachers, this study may encourage us to redesign our courses to more satisfactorily meet the needs of students from a variety of discipline areas. This, of course, means

tailoring assignments to each student according to his or her chosen major. It also involves exposing students to examples of quality writing in subjects outside our own areas of expertise and helping them analyze how they work and why they are effective. It means forging stronger links between our freshman-writing programs and other departments. For some of us, it may mean changing the ways we typically evaluate writing and developing a greater appreciation for the written discourse of social scientists, historians, mathematicians, and botanists. Though many of us feel we already have plenty on our "teaching plates," this study motivates us to believe that these extra efforts may increase the odds of what we all already desire and oftentimes accomplish--teaching students to write well in every discipline.

**Table 1. Methods**

N = 297

Instrument: Likert-type questionnaire (3 sections)

Response categories:   1 = Strongly Disagree  
                               2 = Disagree  
                               3 = Agree  
                               4 = Strongly Agree

Section 1 - Demographics

Section 2 - What students learned in their freshman-writing class

Rhetorical Canons

Invention:   25 items   (Scale = 25 to 100)  
 Arrangement: 9 items   (Scale = 9 to 36)  
 Style:       9 items   (Scale = 9 to 36)  
 Delivery:    9 items   (Scale = 9 to 36)

Section 3 - What students learn in the writing they do for their majors/disciplines

Rhetorical Canons

Invention:   25 items   (Scale = 25 to 100)  
 Arrangement: 9 items   (Scale = 9 to 36)  
 Style:       9 items   (Scale = 9 to 36)  
 Delivery:    9 items   (Scale = 9 to 36)

**Table 2. Sample Characteristics**

<b>Variable</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
<b>Race/Ethnicity:</b>		
Caucasion	166	56.1
African-American	98	33.1
Hispanic	5	1.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	8	2.7
Other <sup>1</sup>	19	6.4
<b>Sex:</b>		
Male	132	44.8
Female	163	55.2
<b>Class Standing:</b>		
Junior	150	50.7
Senior	146	49.3
<b>College:</b>		
Christopher Newport	106	35.7
Old Dominion	97	32.7
Norfolk State	94	31.6
<b>Discipline:</b>		
Business	3	1.0
Humanities	42	14.5
Education	28	9.7
Natural Sciences	43	14.8
Social Sciences	124	42.8
Engineering/Technology	50	17.2
<b>Age:</b>		
Mean = 25.12		
Range = 19 - 49		
<b>GPA:</b>		
Mean = 3.01		
Range = 1.96 - 4.00		

---

<sup>1</sup>Includes Native American, alien, and other categories.

**Table 3. Mean Difference on a 100-Point Scale Where Students Believe Their Learning Takes Place: Rhetorical Canon Invention, by Discipline**

<u>Discipline</u>	<u>Freshman Writing</u>		<u>Discipline Writing</u>	
	<u>Mean</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>SD</u>
Business	68.00*	4.4	63.33*	11.6
Humanities	69.47*	8.5	75.18*	11.5
Education	71.00	7.0	72.44	7.1
Natural Sciences	67.13*	8.3	70.00*	9.3
Social Sciences	71.42*	11.0	75.30*	12.2
Engineering/ Technology	72.33*	13.4	68.54*	17.5

\*p < .05

**Table 4. Mean Difference on a 36-Point Scale Where Students Believe Their Learning Takes Place: Rhetorical Canon Arrangement, by Discipline**

<b>Discipline</b>	<b><u>Freshman Writing</u></b>		<b><u>Discipline Writing</u></b>	
	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>
<b>Business</b>	26.00	1.0	23.33	4.7
<b>Humanities</b>	25.46	4.1	26.95	4.5
<b>Education</b>	25.89	3.3	26.51	3.7
<b>Natural Sciences</b>	25.12	3.0	26.48	4.2
<b>Social Sciences</b>	25.05	4.1	27.40	4.8
<b>Engineering/ Technology</b>	26.34	5.0	24.10	7.4

**Table 5. Mean Difference on a 36-Point Scale Where Students Believe Their Learning Takes Place: Rhetorical Canon Style, by Discipline**

<b>Discipline</b>	<b><u>Freshman Writing</u></b>		<b><u>Discipline Writing</u></b>	
	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>
<b>Business</b>	27.67	6.1	23.67	4.9
<b>Humanities</b>	25.20	4.0	26.49	5.6
<b>Education</b>	25.48	3.9	26.19	3.4
<b>Natural Sciences</b>	26.12	3.6	24.92	4.1
<b>Social Sciences</b>	25.69	5.2	26.53	5.2
<b>Engineering/ Technology</b>	26.52	5.5	23.98	7.2

**Table 6. Mean Difference on a 36-Point Scale Where Students Believe Their Learning Takes Place: Rhetorical Canon Delivery, by Discipline**

<b>Discipline</b>	<b><u>Freshman Writing</u></b>		<b><u>Discipline Writing</u></b>	
	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>
<b>Business</b>	26.00*	4.6	24.33*	5.5
<b>Humanities</b>	25.74*	4.6	27.80*	4.8
<b>Education</b>	26.71	3.9	26.36	4.0
<b>Natural Sciences</b>	25.98	4.1	24.79	4.5
<b>Social Sciences</b>	26.19	5.4	27.46	5.2
<b>Engineering/Technology</b>	26.94*	5.4	23.38*	7.7

p < .05

**Table 7. Percentage of Students Who Believe That Their Freshman-Writing Curriculum Prepared Them for the Writing They Do in Their Majors**

<u>Discipline</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Business	0.7	1.7
Humanities	14.5	15.0
Education	10.1	9.2
Natural Sciences	12.5	17.5
Social Sciences	44.0	44.1
Engineering/ Technology	18.2	12.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Part 1. For each statement below, please check the response THAT BEST DESCRIBES your point of view or experience concerning WHAT YOU REMEMBER LEARNING in FRESHMAN-WRITING (composition) CLASS.

IN MY FRESHMAN-WRITING (composition) CLASS, I learned:

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
how to develop ideas for writing	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to brainstorm	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to freewrite	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to cluster	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to conduct research	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to read more carefully	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to paraphrase others' written ideas	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to summarize main points	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to critique others' written ideas	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to synthesize multiple sources	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to focus a topic	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write a thesis sentence	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to define terms	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to describe people, places, and things	_____	_____	_____	_____

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
how and when to narrate events	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to compare or contrast	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to classify people, places, and things	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to show cause or effect	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to consider my audience (readers)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write persuasively	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to explain concepts	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to evaluate others' arguments	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to identify faulty logic (fallacies)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write introductory paragraphs	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write conclusions	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to develop paragraphs	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write controlling (topic) sentences	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to use supporting material (i.e. proof, evidence)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to organize supporting material within a paragraph	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to use examples	_____	_____	_____	_____

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
how and when to use statistics	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to use authoritative opinions	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to organize multiple paragraphs	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to transition from one paragraph to the next	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write clear sentences	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to show connections between ideas	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to combine sentences (coordination)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to show emphasis (subordination)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to improve my word choice (vocabulary)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to be more concise	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to identify grammatical errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to correct grammatical errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to use metaphors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to format a formal research paper	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to format an essay (i.e. paragraph structure, indent new paragraph)	_____	_____	_____	_____

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
how to cite sources within my own text	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to document sources in a bibliography	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to spot punctuation errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to correct punctuation errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to spot spelling errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to correct spelling errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to spot mechanical errors (i.e. capitalization, abbreviations, italics)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to critique others' writing	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to revise my own writing	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to edit my own writing	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to work effectively in groups (collaborate)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write exam essays	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to use word- processing	_____	_____	_____	_____

Part 2 is continued on the next page.

Part 2. For each statement below, please check the response THAT BEST DESCRIBES your point of view or experience concerning WHAT YOU LEARNED ABOUT WRITING IN YOUR MAJOR/ACADEMIC DISCIPLINE.

In the WRITING I do FOR MY MAJOR, I learned:

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
how to develop ideas for writing	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to brainstorm	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to freewrite	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to cluster	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to conduct research	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to read more carefully	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to paraphrase others' written ideas	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to summarize main points	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to critique others' written ideas	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to synthesize multiple sources	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to focus a topic	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write a thesis sentence	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to define terms	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to describe people, places, and things	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to narrate events	_____	_____	_____	_____

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
how and when to compare or contrast	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to classify people, places, and things	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to show cause or effect	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to consider my audience (readers)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write persuasively	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to explain concepts	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to evaluate others' arguments	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to identify faulty logic (fallacies)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write introductory paragraphs	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write conclusions	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to develop paragraphs	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write controlling (topic) sentences	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to use supporting material (i.e. proof, evidence)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to organize supporting material within a paragraph	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to use examples	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to use statistics	_____	_____	_____	_____
how and when to use authoritative opinions	_____	_____	_____	_____

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
how to organize multiple paragraphs	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to transition from one paragraph to the next	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write clear sentences	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to show connections between ideas	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to combine sentences (coordination)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to show emphasis (subordination)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to improve my word choice (vocabulary)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to be more concise	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to identify grammatical errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to correct grammatical errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to use metaphors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to format a formal research paper	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to format an essay (i.e. paragraph structure, indent new paragraph)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to cite sources within my own text	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to document sources in a bibliography	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to spot punctuation errors	_____	_____	_____	_____

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
how to correct punctuation errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to spot spelling errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to correct spelling errors	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to spot mechanical errors (i.e. capitalization, abbreviations, italics)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to critique others' writing	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to revise my own writing	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to edit my own writing	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to work effectively in groups (collaborate)	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to write exam essays	_____	_____	_____	_____
how to use word processing	_____	_____	_____	_____

Part 3. ABOUT YOU: Check the appropriate response that best describes your answer to each item or fill in the blanks where appropriate.

What is your age? \_\_\_\_\_

What is your sex? \_\_\_\_\_

What is your class standing?

\_\_\_\_\_ Junior      \_\_\_\_\_ Senior

What is your race?

Asian/Pacific Islander       Native American  
 Hispanic       African-American       White  
 Other

What is your marital status?

Single, never married       Living with partner  
 Married       Separated       Divorced  
 Widowed

Which best describes the place where you live?

A house       An apartment       Dormitory  
 Other (please specify)

What salary range best describes your personal income?

Less than \$10,000       \$10,001 to \$20,000  
 \$20,001 to \$30,000       \$30,001 to \$40,000  
 \$40,001 to \$50,000       More than \$50,000

In what college or university are you currently enrolled?

Old Dominion University  
 Norfolk State University  
 Christopher Newport University

From which college or university do you intend to graduate?

\_\_\_\_\_

Do you use word processors/computers to draft essays and research papers?

Yes       No

If no, what method do you use to draft essays and research papers? \_\_\_\_\_

Do you use word processors/computers for your final revisions of essays and research papers?

\_\_\_\_\_Yes                      \_\_\_\_\_No

If no, what method do you use to finalize your essays and research papers? \_\_\_\_\_

To the best of your recollection, what were the most valuable things you learned in your freshman-writing (composition) class.

\_\_\_\_\_

In your opinion, did your freshman-writing (composition) class prepare you for the writing you do in your major?

\_\_\_\_\_Yes                      \_\_\_\_\_No

In other college level classes?        \_\_\_\_\_Yes                      \_\_\_\_\_No

If so, which classes? \_\_\_\_\_

In what college or university did you take your freshman writing (composition) class?

- \_\_\_\_\_Old Dominion University
- \_\_\_\_\_Norfolk State University
- \_\_\_\_\_Christopher Newport University
- \_\_\_\_\_Thomas Nelson Community College
- \_\_\_\_\_Tidewater Community College
- \_\_\_\_\_Other (please specify \_\_\_\_\_)

What is your current GPA? \_\_\_\_\_

What is your major?

- |                              |                            |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| _____Accounting              | _____Aerospace Engineering |
| _____Art                     | _____Biological Sciences   |
| _____Business Administration | _____Chemistry             |
| _____Communications          | _____Civil Engineering     |
| _____Computer Engineering    | _____Computer Science      |
| _____Counseling              | _____Criminal Justice      |

<input type="checkbox"/> Dance	<input type="checkbox"/> Dental Hygiene
<input type="checkbox"/> Economics	<input type="checkbox"/> Education
<input type="checkbox"/> Electrical Engineering	<input type="checkbox"/> English
<input type="checkbox"/> Finance	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Language
<input type="checkbox"/> Geography	<input type="checkbox"/> Geology
<input type="checkbox"/> Health Professions	<input type="checkbox"/> History
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Systems	<input type="checkbox"/> International Studies
<input type="checkbox"/> Management	<input type="checkbox"/> Marketing
<input type="checkbox"/> Mathematical Sciences	<input type="checkbox"/> Mechanical Engineering
<input type="checkbox"/> Music	<input type="checkbox"/> Nursing
<input type="checkbox"/> Oceanography	<input type="checkbox"/> Philosophy
<input type="checkbox"/> Physical Therapy	<input type="checkbox"/> Political Science
<input type="checkbox"/> Psychology	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Administration
<input type="checkbox"/> Sociology	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistics
<input type="checkbox"/> Theater	<input type="checkbox"/> Urban Studies
<input type="checkbox"/> Women's Studies	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify _____)	

CS 216501



**U.S. Department of Education**  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Paper presented at the 1998 4Cs Convention (Chicago)	
AND THE SURVEY SAYS: HOW STUDENTS ASSESS THE VALUE OF FRESHMAN-WRITING PROGRAMS	
Author(s): JUDITH D. MERCIER AND PETER J. MERCIER	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: April 1-4, 1998

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_ Sample \_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

**1**

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_ Sample \_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

**2A**

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_ Sample \_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

**2B**

Level 1

↑

Level 2A

↑

Level 2B

↑

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please →

Signature: <i>Judith D. Mercier</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: JUDITH D. MERCIER, Research Asst.	
Organization/Address: OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY 108 VILLA RD. NEWPORT NEWS, VA 23601	Telephone: (757) 595-2925	FAX:
	E-Mail Address: JMERCIER@ERIS.NEJ	Date: 10/25/98



### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	<i>Requisition</i> ERIC/REC 2805 E. Tenth Street Smith Research Center, 150 Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47408
---	---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**  
1400 West Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080  
Toll-Free: 800-799-3742  
FAX: 301-953-0263  
e-mail: [eriefac@inet.ed.gov](mailto:eriefac@inet.ed.gov)  
WWW: <http://eriefac.piccard.csc.com>