DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 423 637 EC 306 709

AUTHOR Norris, Marcia; Vasquez, Laurie

TITLE Creating Structured Collaboration in Implementing Assistive

Technologies in a Community College Setting: Library Access,

A Case Study.

PUB DATE 1998-03-00

NOTE 5p.; Paper presented at the California State Univesity

Northridge Conference on Technology and Persons with Disabilities (13th, Los Angeles, CA, March 17-21, 1998).

AVAILABLE FROM Website: http://www.dinf.org/csun 98/csun98 146.htm

PUB TYPE Guides - Non-Classroom (055) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers

(150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Accessibility (for Disabled); *Assistive Devices (for

Disabled); *College Libraries; Communication Aids (for Disabled); Community Colleges; Computer Uses in Education; *Disabilities; Educational Technology; Financial Support; Higher Education; Instructional Development; Instructional

Innovation; *Interdisciplinary Approach; Program

Development; *Program Implementation; Staff Development;

Training

IDENTIFIERS California

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses the role of libraries as the hub of information literacy in college and the need for professional collaboration to ensure library access for students with disabilities at California community college campuses. A working plan for developing assistive technology (AT) capabilities is provided which includes the following steps: (1) develop a formal awareness inventory of who, what, how, and where technology decisions are made on campus; (2) use key people discovered in the process to develop a campus-wide computing plan which includes distribution of AT throughout campus computer labs; (3) develop a policy for incorporating access technology into new purchases; (4) allocate a portion of the entire institutional computing budget for access technology: (5) determine who is responsible for making decision for upgrades or new computers; (6) decide what committees should address integration and implementation at the instructional level; (7) discover how to initiate and plan strategies with other campus labs; (8) estimate how much technical support will be needed; (9) decide how to plan for technical support; (10) determine what expertise with access technology it is reasonable to expect from librarians and mainstream computer support staff; and (11) provide training for staff receiving assistive software. (CR)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.



CREATING STRUCTURED COLLABORATION IN IMPLEMENTING ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN A COMMUNITY COLLEGE SETTING: LIBRARY ACCESS, A CASE STUDY

Marcia Norris
Training Specialist/Instructor
High Tech Center Training Unit of the California Community Colleges

21050 McClellan Road Cupertino, CA 95014 Voice: (408) 996-4636 FAX: (408) 996-6042

E-Mail: <u>mnorris@ginko.htctu.fhda.edu</u> WWW: www.htctu.fhda.edu

Laurie Vasquez
Assistive Technology Specialist
Santa Barbara City College
721 Cliff Drive

Santa Barbara, CA 93109 Voice: (805) 965-0581 x2529 E-Mail: vasquez@sbcc.net WWW: www.sbcc.cc.ca.us U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

M. Norris

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Introduction

Meeting the technology needs of students with disabilities in educational settings is a task that has taken on new dimensions. Computer technology, assistive as well as standard, is now ubiquitous, and the usual ad-hoc, case-by-case basis for provision of assistive computer technologies for students with disabilities now must be re-examined and formally structured: an institution must develop policies for provision and support of assistive technologies throughout its infrastructure.

Call to Action

Who is to provide assistive technology services throughout the campus computing infrastructure? This is a question faced by assistive technology specialists who are directly responsible for meeting the technology needs of students with disabilities in educational settings. What once was viewed as a rather narrow focus of providing basic training of assistive technology in isolated labs has changed with the advent of the World Wide Web, computer networks, distance learning, and libraries going on-line.

Specialists are now aware of access issues related to curriculum design and web page design, and must make interdisciplinary presentations so that other departments and faculty can be made aware of these new issues. Technology access must now be included on campuses in an integrated format.

CERIC PROJECT PRO

New roles and challenges lie ahead in an education system reinventing itself through technology. As campus committees meet to shape the educational future of their institutions, assistive technology specialists must take on the challenge of assuring that computer-based instructional resources, networks, and web-based distance learning materials remain accessible to students with disabilities.

Responding to Change

In order to begin the structured implementation of assistive technology access in these new environments, a collaboration must be developed so that all involved know what the procedure is, who is responsible for what, and how more support can be provided when needed. The pivotal issue is to build consensus as new plans evolve.

One Approach

Staff from the Disabled Students Program at Santa Barbara City College, facilitating the implementation of assistive technology into their library setting, discovered that the policies and procedures developed for this activity could actually serve as a model for further implementing assistive technologies throughout their campus computing infrastructure.

Why Start with Library Access?

In the State of the Union Address in January 1994, President Clinton called for every library in America to be connected to the national information superhighway by the year 2000. As the hub of information literacy on college campuses, libraries are in the position to become leaders of Internet development because of the information resources they provide for research. Resources they introduce include on-line catalogs, CD-ROM periodical indexes, full text encyclopedias, reference resources and interactive multimedia, and other network technologies. Funding for equipment is often a barrier for libraries to begin these infrastructure upgrades. When there is an additional request to make those libraries accessible, libraries are often not prepared for additional changes required. They may even fall short on the knowledge needed to implement and support users with disabilities.

Library access for students with disabilities at California community college campuses has been an emerging process and the next level of expertise for campus personnel. The seeds for change have been sown. Campus personnel need assistance in developing a working plan on how assistive technologies can function. Libraries are a central location on campus to test people-networking skills. Success in this area lays the foundation for enhancing the installation, maintenance and knowledge of these assertive technologies. Issues of (1) who trains students; (2) who is eligible to use the technologies; and, (3) what librarians are expected to know set the stage for departmental access elsewhere on campus.

Our experience working to improve the accessibility of the library has turned up some interesting opportunities to discuss campus-wide access. It is not that our colleagues are against learning new ways of reaching students but how that is done is critical. Shared responsibility for a common cause requires an understanding of everyone's issues: budget, staff, equipment needs, required software and hardware, training, installation, and on-going maintenance.

Today, in this new, collaborative environment, it is possible to develop systems change within an organization because what has to be done has not been done before: the organizational structure is still fluid and malleable. Acknowledging and working with existing strengths within the institution is much more efficient that working from the premise of "knocking down physical barriers."

Knowing who the key people are on campus assures that procedure and policy will be created and maintained when people understand their role in the process. Networking the right people is as critical as the networking of software and hardware.

The experience of working to improve the accessibility of the library has turned up some interesting opportunities to discuss and implement campus-wide access. With the library becoming the main root of



events, other branches became evident. The resulting plan for action is listed below:

- develop a formal awareness inventory of who, what how and where technology decisions are made are made on campus.
- use key people discovered in process above to develop a campus-wide computing plan which includes distribution of assistive technologies throughout campus computer labs.
- develop a policy for incorporating access technology into new purchases.
- allocate a portion of the entire institutional computing budget for access technology.
- determine who is responsible for making decisions for upgrades or new computers.
- decide what committees should address integration and implementation at the instructional level.
- discover how to initiate and plan strategies with other campus labs.
- estimate how much technical support will be needed.
- decide how to plan for ongoing technical support.
- determine what expertise with access technology is reasonable to expect from librarians and other mainstream computer support staff.
- provide formal initial training for staff receiving assistive software for the first time.

As the process of implementing technology in the library came to a close, it became evident that in addition to refining the policies and procedures which had evolved, it was necessary to consider how to extend the structures now in place. The following list is a starting point for future planning.

Access for the 21st Century

- articulate with curriculum committees to consider including access considerations as a part of course proposals.
- do inservice presentations for mainstream faculty, focusing on curricular access issues, particularly multimedia (captioning for deaf, descriptive audio for blind)
- do on-going assistive technology trainings for faculty during flex days
- provide accessible Web design information to faculty and campus webmaster.
- become involved in grants on campus that address the delivery of technology.
- become interdisciplinary—make natural links to other courses on campus that are technology related--e.g.guest lecture on interface access design issues in multimedia classes.
- make assistive technology presentations to the instructional technology committee.
- be alert--know if the campus is working on strategic partnerships with outside organizations that will impact delivery of technology on campus?
- be alert—annually review the college-wide technology plan for access—is it working?

Every campus will need to address these issues in light of its own particular organizational structure. Institutional provision of electronic curb cuts to technology and curriculum should now be regarded as being no different from the provision of physical access to campus buildings and facilities.

Related References

Access to Computerized Library Systems for Students with Disabilities. http://www.htctu.fhda.edu/libacces/libacestoc.html

(1998, February 2).

Disability Services for Students "Assistive Technology Inventory"

http://www.umt.edu/dss/ati.htm (1998, February 2).

The DRM Guide to Disability Resources on the Internet: Librarians' Connections. http://www.geocities.com/~drm/DRMlibs.html (1998, February 2).

EASI: Libraries Without Walls.



http://www.isc.rit.edu/~easi/lib.htm (1998, February 2).

EASI: Libraries Without Walls.

http://www.isc.rit.edu/~easi/lib.htm (1998, February 2).

ISD Services for Users With Disabilities.

http://gopher.lib.ncsu.edu/libraries/risd/atc/

(1998, January 18).

Kerka, S. Distance Learning, the Internet, and the World Wide Web.ED395214 96 ERIC Digest.

http://www.ed.gov/databases/ERIC Digests/ed395214.html <February 13, 1998)

The Law and Library Access for Patrons with Disabilities.

http://www.rit.edu/~easi/itd/itdv04n1/article5.html (1998, January 18).

Libraries for the Blind. http://www.njin.net/caldwell/books/books4.html (1998, February 2).

The Multiple Disability Workstation for Small Libraries.

http://www.rit.edu/~easi/itd/itdv04n1/article1.html

(1998, February 2).

Networking Your Campus: Providing Disability Related Information Through the World Wide Web. http://www.ucla.edu/access/ (1998, January 18).

Robert E. Kennedy Library Service Policies at Other Libraries for Persons with Disabilities. http://www.lib.calpoly.edu/info/ (1998, February 13).

Talking Books: Toward a Digital Model.

http://www.rit.edu/~easi/itd/itdv04n1/article2.html

(1998, January 18).

UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE LIBRARY: Services for Users with Disabilities. http://www2.lib.udel.edu/atc/polatc.htm

(1998, January 18).

Web Pages Of The National Library Service For The Blind And Physically HandicappedCooperating Network Libraries.

http://www.blind.net/bg360003.html (1998, February 2).





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



TOTAL P.02

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	<u> :</u>	
Title:		,
CREATING STRU Author(s): NORRIS, MA	UCTURED CO	CLABORATION
Author(s): NORRIS, MA	RCIA & VASG	DUEZ, JAURIE
Corporate Source:		Publication Date:
		March 1998
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:		
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Re and electronic media, and sold through the ERI reproduction release is granted, one of the follow	sources in Education (RIE), are usually IC Document Reproduction Service (ED ring notices is affixed to the document.	est to the educational community, documents announced in the made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, DRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom
of the page.		
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will affixed to all Level 2A documents	
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AD DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBES HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	IN PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN
-mple	nple	_
5 <u>%</u>	2 <u>8,</u>	58
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOUR INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC	
1	2A	2B
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
1	1	
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Lovel 2A release, permitting a end dissemination in microfiche and in elect for ERIC archival collection autocriber	tronic media reproduction and dissemination in microliche only
Docum If permission to	ments will be processed as indicated provided repro reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, docum	oduction quality permits. nents will be processed at Level 1.
as Indicated above. Reproduction for	om the ERIC microfiche or electronic n he copyright holder. Exception is made fo	clusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies
Sign Signature:	440	Printed Name/Position/Title: MARCIA NORRIS
here, > Organization/Address:	+ - ·	Tologo STILL FAXIOU 99/ 1012
please week Test	luter Racena	408 776 -6045 408 716 609
EDIC.		ginko. hteru fhda, edu
rkii.		, juit (over)