

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 420 022

CG 028 515

AUTHOR Smith, Robert C., Jr.; Collins, Emily
TITLE Independent Evaluations: A New Twist to an Old Problem.
PUB DATE 1998-04-00
NOTE 7p.; Paper presented at the Annual National Convention of the National Association of School Psychologists (30th, Orlando, FL, April 14-18, 1998).
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Contracts; Elementary Secondary Education; Parents; *Psychological Evaluation; School Psychologists; Special Needs Students
IDENTIFIERS *Independent Evaluations

ABSTRACT

Independent evaluations are usually requested when a parent disputes the psychological evaluation of his or her child completed by school officials. These independent evaluations are comparable to a second opinion as found in other professions. However such evaluations are often fraught with problems, including qualifications of the examiner, recommendations made, and cost. This paper describes an independent evaluator agreement made between two cooperating school agencies. Problems associated with the standard method of obtaining independent evaluations are discussed. The paper describes the development of the agreement and its track record over four years, and reports on successful court cases involving the agreement. A copy of The Cooperative Agreement for Independent Psychological Evaluations (the agreement discussed) is included. The Independent Evaluator List, a form on which to list evaluators and their specialties, is also provided. (MKA)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ABSTRACT

INDEPENDENT EVALUATIONS: A NEW TWIST TO AN OLD PROBLEM

Robert C. Smith, Jr.

Muscogee County School District

Columbus, Georgia

Emily Collins

Chattahoochee-Flint RESA

Ellaville, Georgia

Independent evaluations are usually requested when a parent disputes the psychological evaluation done by a school district. They amount to a second opinion as found in other professions. However, such evaluations are often fraught with problems, including qualifications of the examiner, recommendations made, and cost. The purpose of this paper is to describe an independent evaluator agreement made between two cooperating school agencies. Problems associated with the standard method of obtaining independent evaluations will be discussed. The presenters will then describe the development of the agreement and its track record over the last four years. Benefits of the agreement will be highlighted. Participants will receive all relevant information and materials needed to establish such an arrangement of their own.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

R. SMITH

2

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

SUMMARY

The purpose of this paper is to describe an independent evaluator agreement made between two cooperating school agencies. Problems associated with the standard method of obtaining independent evaluations will be discussed. The presenters will then describe the development of the agreement and its track record over the last four years. Benefits of the agreement will be highlighted. Participants will receive all relevant information and materials needed to establish such an agreement of their own.

Independent Evaluations -- The Standard Method and Its Problems

Independent evaluations are usually requested when there is a dispute about evaluative data. Usually the complainant is a parent concerned about the evaluation done by the school district. Independent psychological evaluations roughly equate to a second opinion as found in other professions. However independent evaluations are often fraught with problems, such as:

a) Qualifications - Many private practice psychologists are only minimally qualified to provide assessment services to children. Some see very few children in their practice, and are often not trained to provide a comprehensive appraisal of a child. They rarely see kids with severe limitations or students who are a "challenge" to assess. In some cases, the licensed psychologist will have a master's (or even a bachelor's) level psychologist in their employ conduct the evaluation.

b) Cost - Many private practitioners charge exorbitant fees to do such an evaluation. It is not unusual for an evaluation to cost in excess of \$1250. In addition, many private practitioners charge extra for meeting with the parent to go over results, attend IEP meetings, etc.

c) Recommendations - Many private practitioners make recommendations that are unrealistic, outlandish, or not cognizant of state department regulations for special education placement. Many times, their evaluation creates more conflict than resolution. Some recommendations are outside of the scope of the district to provide.

A Cooperative Agreement - A New Twist To This Problem

Our solution to this problem has been to develop a list of independent evaluators from one another's respective institution. In this fashion, we act as independent evaluators for each other, thus reducing most of the problems associated with requesting independent evaluations. The benefits of this process are that it:

- a) assures the student of an evaluation by an appropriately trained/certified school psychologist
- b) assures that recommendations made are appropriate for the child's age, state special education guidelines, and within a school district's ability to provide
- c) dramatically reduces the cost of the independent evaluation by controlling the expenses associated with the evaluation

Developing this agreement was relatively easy. First, we identified a potentially cooperative school agency. We then asked for school psychologists to volunteer to participate. Each psychologist had the option of identifying/expecting certain populations of students. We then developed and signed a cooperative agreement and the supervising psychologists in each agency exchanged lists. When parents requested an independent evaluation, the list was shared with the parent for them to choose.

Evaluation of the Agreement

Approximately four years later, our agreement has proven to work exactly as envisioned. As of this point, we have conducted approximately 10 independent evaluations. Some unanticipated benefits have arisen in this relationship. In one case a bilingual psychologist was called on to do an evaluation for the other school agency. In another case parents disputed the psychologist's evaluation, dismissed our independent evaluators list, requesting that the school district pay for an evaluation by a psychologist of their Atlanta attorney's choosing. A hearing officer indicated that the parents could use the Atlanta psychologist's evaluation, but the school district would not be responsible for payment of the evaluation. A third case involved a due process hearing officer ruling in favor of the process as a viable means of obtaining a second opinion in an objective, responsible and cost-efficient manner.

COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT FOR INDEPENDENT
PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS

(School Agency A) and (School Agency B) agree to establish a cooperative agreement for the purposes of:

- 1) providing each other with a list of evaluators who are trained and certified school psychologists, and
- 2) allowing school psychologists from our respective staffs who are on this list of independent evaluators to provide independent psychological evaluations for each other upon request.

When an independent psychological evaluation is completed by a school psychologist from the agency for the other agency, this other agency will, in turn, complete a comparable psychological evaluation for the agency providing the independent evaluation. This will allow for:

- 1) the provision of independent evaluations through an exchange of resources rather than by expenditure of additional funds, and
- 2) the receipt of independent evaluations by trained school psychologists who are knowledgeable and experienced in the evaluation of school-aged children and in the application of (state) eligibility regulations.

(School Agency A)

(School Agency B)

Signature

Title

Signature

Title

Signature

Title

Signature

Title

Date

Date

INDEPENDENT EVALUATOR LIST

Evaluator

Specialty/Exception

Name
Address
City, State, Zip
Work Number

Hearing Impaired

Name
Address
City, State, Zip
Work Number

Name
Address
City, State, Zip
Work Number

No severe/profound

Name
Address
City, State, Zip
Work Number

Bilingual English/Spanish

Name
Address
City, State, Zip
Work Number

Preschool



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Independent Evaluations: A New Twist To An Old Problem</i>	
Author(s): <i>Robert C. Smith, Jr. and Emily Collins</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1

Level 2A

Level 2B

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, → please

Signature: <i>Robert C. Smith, Jr.</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Robert C. Smith, Jr., School Psychologist</i>
Organization/Address: <i>Muscogee Co. School District</i>	Telephone: <i>(706) 649-0618</i> FAX: <i>(706) 649-1263</i>
E-Mail Address: <i>psybob@juno.com</i>	Date: <i>6-8-98</i>





ERIC COUNSELING AND STUDENT SERVICES CLEARINGHOUSE

201 Ferguson Building • University of North Carolina at Greensboro • PO Box 26171
Greensboro, NC 27402-6171 • 800/414.9769 • 336/334.4114 • FAX: 336/334.4116
e-mail: ericcass@uncg.edu

May 7, 1998

Dear 1998 NASP Presenter:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Counseling and Student Services invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a written copy of the presentation you made at the 1998 annual convention of the National Association of School Psychologists in Orlando, Florida April 14-18. Papers presented at professional conferences represent a significant source of educational material for the ERIC system. We don't charge a fee for adding a document to the ERIC database, and authors keep the copyrights.

As you may know, ERIC is the largest and most searched education database in the world. Documents accepted by ERIC appear in the abstract journal Resources in Education (RIE) and are announced to several thousand organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, counselors, and educators; provides a permanent archive; and enhances the quality of RIE. Your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of RIE, through microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the country and the world, and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). By contributing your document to the ERIC system, you participate in building an international resource for educational information. In addition, your paper may be listed for publication credit on your academic vita.

To submit your document to ERIC/CASS for review and possible inclusion in the ERIC database, please send the following to the address on this letterhead:

- (1) Two (2) laser print copies of the paper,
- (2) A signed reproduction release form (see back of letter), and
- (3) A 200-word abstract (optional)

Documents are reviewed for contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality. Previously published materials in copyrighted journals or books are not usually accepted because of Copyright Law, but authors may later publish documents which have been acquired by ERIC. Finally, please feel free to copy the reproduction release for future or additional submissions.

Sincerely,


Jillian Barr Joncas
Assistant Director for Acquisitions and Outreach



ERIC

Garry R. Walz, PhD, Director

Jeanne C. Bleuer, PhD, Associate Director

