ABSTRACT

A study of distance education opportunities for second language learning in the Central States region, especially in the less commonly taught languages (LCTLs), investigated the need for such instruction, available technologies, and instructional course design. The first section examines the implications of distance language instruction for the region and the nature of involvement within major language education groups. The second section, which concerns instructional technologies, details the role and limitations of technology in second language instruction (including instructional materials, equipment, funding, and training issues), types of available technologies, functions of transmission networks, and the advantages and disadvantages of distance education in language instruction. The third section outlines issues in distance education course design in the areas of analysis (needs assessment, analysis of learner characteristics, task/content analysis, and instructional objectives), course design and development (preparation, presentation, participation, practice with feedback, and performance assessment), implementation (logistics and learner support), and evaluation (components, data collection and analysis). Contains 45 references. Substantial supporting materials are appended. (MSE)
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This distance education research arose from my interest in providing instruction of less commonly taught languages (LCTLs) to U.S. citizens. The LCTLs in the United States comprise languages other than English, French, German, Latin, and Spanish. (Appendix J - LCTL Organizations) Another words, LCTLs include primarily indigenous languages spoken in Africa, Asia, and Eastern Europe which often are also languages of countries with emerging economies.

As a recipient of language fellowships funded by the National Defense Education Act (1958) and the Higher Education Act (1965), I became aware that only a few U.S. citizens had an opportunity to study languages critical to the U.S. economic well-being. These citizens/permanent residents tended to be highly motivated graduate students at research universities such as the University of Wisconsin and other universities in the Central States Conference region. (Appendix A - HEA Title VI Centers) Although these funded universities specialized in language training to an advanced level of proficiency, few of the university faculty and students shared this resource with pre-collegiate or other collegiate teachers and students. At Ohio State University and the University of Wisconsin, university staff have arranged with administrators in their respective Schools of Education and State Departments of Education for teacher certification to include LCTLs.
The impetus for this specific topic was inspired by Paul Sandrock (Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction). Sandrock was familiar with my interest in technology (development of an African Studies BBS [bulletin board system] and the less commonly taught languages (a listserv for readers of Swahili). He suggested that I contact several Central States Conference members who might have interests in distance education (Appendix H - ACTFL Distance Learning SIG). Valorie Babb (Past Pres. ACTFL) had worked at Prairie Public Television in North Dakota. She agreed to mentor me. Her knowledge of educational technology and contacts in the emerging field was invaluable.

Once accepted into the Central States Conference Leadership Program, I enrolled in one of four distance education programs in the United States. Beginning in the early 1900s, the University of Wisconsin staff designed many models for "distance" education. The Wisconsin has hosted a distance learning conference since the late 1970s and has generated national recognition for expertise in distanced education. In 1993, two Wisconsin faculty Christine Olgren and Chere Gibson (Dept. of Continuing & Vocational Education) established the Wisconsin Distance Education Certificate program (15 credits). Since they were familiar with a variety of examples of distance delivered foreign language, I used a different language course as a case study for each course module report.

To expand upon the coursework of the Distance Education Certificate, I also attended as many computer workshops or
seminars offered formally or informally on the University of Wisconsin campus. This strategy included completion of two courses from the Department of French and Italian offered by Sally Magnan. The first course provided an overview of resources available for in-class instruction via the computer. The second course examined research strategies for testing hypotheses about appropriate uses of computer for instruction. Both classes utilized FirstClass software for out-of-class discussions. Throughout the year, I attended "brown bag" seminars concerning computer software and classroom applications.

Notes

1. Mahdi Al-Osh (Ohio State University) is a unique exception. He held summer institutes for K-12 teachers to study Arabic and then to develop curricula for the pre-collegiate level. Unfortunately, the National Endowment for the Humanities funding has not been renewed.

As an outreach director in African Studies, Kuntz developed several academic and summer programs to teach Swahili for pre-collegiate students. These programs lasted for several years.

2. Texas A & M, College Station; Teletraining Institute, Oklahoma; Pennsylvania State University (graduate program), UCLA Education Extension, and University of Wisconsin.

3. University of Wisconsin-Madison, Distance Education Professional Development Program. <www.wisc.edu/depd> (608) 262-8530, Fax (608) 262-7751
INTRODUCTION

The demographics of students enrolled in "foreign" language classes in the United States have changed over the past decade. Heretofore, commonly taught languages (CTLs) comprised English (as a second language), French, German, Latin, and Spanish. With the increased immigration of families from Southeast Asia and Latin America and the economic expansion in East Asia, student enrollments in French, German, and Latin have declined. Replacing these languages are a variety of Asian and African languages, such as Japanese, Chinese, Korean, Hmong, and Arabic.

Presently, few language teachers who hold state certification for French, German, or Latin are prepared to teach students who seek instruction in non-Indo-European languages. These teachers often find that it is difficult as a working adult to acquire a certifiable proficiency in an Asian, African, or American language. Consequently, a mismatch has evolved between languages that district offer and languages that the nation, state, and city need. Moreover, this mismatch is aggravated by the fact that school districts rarely provide sufficient language instruction to enable students to acquire a level of proficiency necessary for government or business employment.
Implications for the Central States

This demographic and instructional situation is particularly critical in states comprising the Central States Conference (CSC). For the most part, member teachers at pre-collegiate and collegiate school systems must contend with declining enrollments in French and German despite the fact that the majority of the USED-administered HEA Title VI National Resource Centers and Language Resource Centers reside at universities in the CSC region. (Appendix A - HEA Title VI)

Distance delivered instruction is still an untapped resource. A few members have presented papers describing distance instruction. (Appendix B - CSC Papers) However, most technology presentations at CSC have dealt with in-class (one site) computer applications. Although language scholars (Bush & Terry, 1997; Chávez, 1997; Nielsen & Hoffman, 1996; Noblitt, 1995) have published materials describing various computer software or CD-ROMs applications to specific skill instruction, few have addressed remote-site instruction.

CALICO

At two recent meetings of the Computer Assisted Language Instruction Consortium (CALICO), few presenters explained applications specifically for distance education/learning. For example, at the 1996 meeting which focused on "distance learning," most participants presented techniques available from a distant site for teaching localized classroom students.
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(Fischer, 1996; Oller, 1996). Many presentations dealt with the use of computer technology to supplement classroom courses, such as CU-See-Me to chat with students in other countries or listservs/ers to write to "natives" in the target country. Many presenters demonstrated ways that students could use the WEB to search for data concerning a project or create multimedia portfolios. The meeting verified that teachers (Warschauer, 1995, 1996) had applied technology to a wide variety of uses; however, few of them (Rose, 1995) had designed instruction to a distance location for students studying a language.

ACTFL

The American Council on Teaching Foreign Languages (ACTFL) is the national language pedagogical organization. Recently, CSC members have joined others to form a Distance Learning SIG. (Appendix H - ACTFL Distance Learning SIG) As members of this SIG, the past, present, and forthcoming ACTFL presidents are personally involved in distance education projects in their respective states. When asked what were the critical issues for ACTFL membership of the current president, Ann Tollefson responded:

- the need to connect foreign language teachers, especially those in rural situations and/or in which they are isolated from their FL peers;
- the need to provide high quality professional development across the profession, again especially in rural areas; and
- the need to provide equity for students throughout the nation, i.e. to assure students in small schools as well as those in schools whose financial situation does not permit a broad curriculum the same opportunities as
are provided to their more affluent peers. This almost always involves a wide choice of languages and longer sequences of a least one language.\textsuperscript{4}

These needs often can be reduced by the implementation of well designed courses distributed via technology.

\textbf{NCO-LCTL}

At the 1997 meeting, the officers of the National Council of Organizations of Less Commonly Taught Languages included a section on Technology and LCTL Instruction. The plenary speaker warned conference participants "that money devoted to technology may be funds removed from teaching and that this process may be a costly one to the LCTL fields." Later, Coffin argued that "technology and curriculum must go hand-in-hand."\textsuperscript{5} The speaker like the panel participants discussed issues of utilizing technology in class instruction (on-campus). They did not address the subject using technology for remote-site instruction. (Appendix J - LCTL Organizations)

This article will outline issues of distance education. More specifically it will address selection of technologies for distance delivered courses and the development of an instructional design.
TECHNOLOGIES FOR DISTANCE EDUCATION

The selection of a technology or technologies is crucial for a worthwhile program. Often educators, teachers, and administrators are overwhelmed by choices.

Limitations to Foreign Language Courses

Several reasons contribute to the limited availability of distance courses for "foreign" languages. Most important is the lack of support but other reason include lack of materials, appropriate equipment, funding, and training.

Instructional Materials

At most institutions of higher learning, the development of course materials is not a considered as part of the tenure or promotion assessment. Consequently, teachers who do develop materials must do so on their own time and acknowledge that these materials cannot be substituted for refereed publications. At the pre-collegiate level, time is also problematic. During the academic year, few instructors can obtain release time to develop a project. And in the summer, often colleagues are not available to do work on a project. Moreover, planning requires substantial financial commitment.
Equipment

The lack of equipment or the lack of knowledge about using the equipment can become a significant limitation. In many institutions, the rooms are not designed for electronic equipment or wired for Internet or satellite reception. Consequently, teachers are required to make special arrangements for wired classrooms or rent equipment to use in the classroom. Often students and teachers do not have proper or sufficient training in the use of the hardware. Technical support at the course-initiating institution and the course-receiving site is critical and often lacking.

Funding

Money to support up-dating technology is critical. Equipment must be repaired and up-graded to meet current definitions of use. Instructors also require regular training in new equipment and software applications. Orientation programs for part-time instructors and substitutes can be costly and time consuming.

Training

Finally, students like teachers require training for using equipment and software. Sometimes the only opportunity to familiarize students with computer software being used in a course is during a class meeting which takes time away from language instruction. In addition, students need preliminary
explanations on the protocol being used during the session (i.e., microphones on or off, repetition of name and sites). Participants need to know the procedures for resolving technical emergencies.

Types of Technologies

The following description is based upon my understanding of the current state-of-the-art for distance education (Moore & Kearsley, 1996). Instructors who teaching to remote sites find that a combinations of technologies work the best.

Print

Many universities and private language schools provide print-based instruction. The University of Wisconsin-Extension has offered language courses since the 1920s. Presently, the UW-Extension lists 13 different languages including Arabic. According to Werther, most of the college courses are taken by current language teachers seeking recertification credits or leisure learners, predominantly women, preparing for travel (Martin, 1989). In contrast, the high school courses are designed for students who seek a language not currently offered in their district. (See Appendix C - Languages)

Print format is essential for students learning at a distance and without access to electronic technology. Explicit writing for study guides is critical (Duchastel, 1983). These guide replace the instructor by orienting the student to the
course, describing the course goals, providing learning activities, and preparing self-assessment.

Audio (1-way)

Several forms of audio technology can be used in a distance context. These technologies include tape and radio.

Audio tape unlike other technologies is standardized and can be used by any student around the world. For the busy student, audio tapes are very convenient since they can be listened to most anywhere. Although traditional class instructors have abandoned the use of language laboratories made popular in the 1960s by the audio-lingual method, audio tapes are still used for short listening activities as part of distance instruction. Furthermore, the audio tape can provide cultural notes in English for students who study one of the "dead" languages such as Latin, Greek, Urdu, or Ancient Egyptian.

Radio was a popular medium for instruction. After World War I, several universities like the University of Wisconsin-Extension created a "school of the air" for returning veterans. Lectures were broadcasted from AM and then FM radio stations. Since the 1980s, this course format has diminished and is replaced by "talk-radio." Listeners rarely earn credits for listening to programs/courses. Nevertheless, listeners can still learn much from the "show." In developing countries, radio is still a medium for language instruction such provided by the BBC and Voice of America (VOA) for teaching English.
Electronic Writing

Electronic writing comprises synchronous and asynchronous platforms. Language students using a synchronous platform can read messages immediately. The most familiar medium is the facsimile (FAX) machine that digitizes print to be sent over the telephone lines. It is a form a copy service which is particularly useful for language teachers and students when speed is critical.

Language instructors have developed computer software for MUSEs/MUDs/MOOs (multi-user simulation environment/domain/object oriented) so that students may write to one another in a target language in real time. This format permits fantasy and helps students practice and expand their vocabulary. For distance students who have no one to talk to at their sites, this format introduces them to people around the world who are eager to communicate in the target language. Unfortunately, each software has different commands. To connect to a MOO, one must telnet to one of the following addresses:

- FrenchMOO  deadalus.com 7777
- Little Italy  ipo.tesi.dsi.unimi.it 4444
- MundoHispano  io.syr.edu 8888
- MOOsaioco  moo.di.uminho.pt 7777
- schMOOze University  arthur.rutgers.edu 8888
- Virtual Classroom  sol.uvic.ca 6250

On-line email or "chat" is a connection of two computers in which students or teachers may send messages in real time. Coordinating the writing takes some skill since the message is appears on the screen where the prompt is located. This could be in the middle of a sentence that a person is writing. This
specific topic or to individual subscribers. This service allows teachers to create different subjects that students are expected to discuss. However, it does not clog an account with unread messages. It also enables teachers to peruse the public files designed for language learning.

Like BBSs, listserv/er is a service that enables a subscriber to receive messages automatically on a given topic. Teachers can create a listserv/er for distributing announcements and for students to make general inquires. Most listserv/er software permits archiving and searching of messages. Because the service requires a subscription, teachers can restrict the list to enrolled students only.

Finally, audio-graphic is a technology that enables still video to be transmitted. With a telephone conference connection for audio transmission, this technology allows the instructor to write or draw an image on an electronic tablet, show a document, photographs, or slides. This format is most appropriate for teaching reading and writing skills. It works well for languages not using the roman alphabet such as Ancient Egyptian, Arabic, Chinese, Farsi, Korean, or Japanese.

Audio-conferencing (2-way audio)

Teleconferencing is a common tool of instruction. Increasingly, academic and corporate administrators are using conference telephone calls to make decisions and to elicit information. Language teachers are beginning to incorporate
format may be appropriate only for proficient students of the target language. Students with less proficiency may become confused and frustrated in reading and writing simultaneously.

On-line conferencing is growing in popularity. Several listervers provide for correspondence in the target language such as Swahili-L or Frogtalk. Recently, teachers of languages at a distance created a listserver to discuss issues. Several software products enable students to communicate in the target language by writing messages in one box and reading posted messages in a second box. In addition, several students can peer-edit essays or assignments or arrange activities by using this format. Color-coded fonts help to distinguish the different writers. FirstClass and Deadalus softwares provide this capability.

The asynchronous platform allows for thoughtful writing. It often attracts the student who does not talk in a traditional class or who likes time to reflect on issues. In this case, email (electronic mail) written off-line and then up-loaded to an account or attached to a message is attractive. Email accounts are easy to acquire. Students can purchase connectivity through a commercial server or as a condition or registration at an institute of higher learning. No archiving exists in email accept as the subscriber retains old messages. It is easy to overflow the account if messages are not read on a daily basis.

BBSs (bulletin board services) is a file archive and message service. Subscribers can read and/or write messages on a
conference calling as a part of distance course activities. In the 1980s, Ohio State University developed a language program which utilized telephones. (Appendix C - Central States Conference and Appendix E - Federally Funded Programs) In an effort to increase enrollments, Kansas State University utilized the Ohio State University model to train students and student teachers.10

Distant students of languages participating in this technology have the option of developing real-time communicative competencies. Educational Teleconference Network (ETN) is an example of an interactive audio system. Russian and Japanese are offered under the auspice of ETN and use audio-graphics.

The photophone has potential for instruction; however, few institutions and distance sites have such equipment.

Video and Audio

Video and audio technology includes one-way and two-way interaction.

One-way video + One-way audio.

One-way video/audio is an excellent delivery system for illustrated lectures and demonstrations. Language teachers can provide cultural notes concerning different aspects of countries where the target language speaker lives.

1. video cassette. Video cassette has become a standard component of traditional and distance education. Since most homes or work places have VCRs, students do not find using a
video cassette difficult. Unlike the audio cassette, the manufacturers produce video cassette in several formats and bandwidth. Therefore, a cassette purchased in Europe or Asia may not function in North American equipment.

2. Broadcast TV (analog). Broadcast programs such as those produced by National Public Television or programs produced for distribution over commercial television are possible (KET - Latin). Broadcast TV utilizes a wide bandwidth to ensure quality reception. The viewing is free to the public but credit requires a payment for the course materials and overhead costs. Presently, the Wisconsin Educational Communications Board is broadcasting "Destinos"--a Spanish program.

The next forms of audio and visual technology illustrate a structured delivery. If students have an opportunity to telephone or fax questions to the instructor, they are given only a few minutes to do so. It is not possible for students to talk to one another at remote sites. Moreover, if students do not ask questions, the teacher is obligated to use the time productively.

3. ITFS or microwave TV. Instructional television fixed service (ITFS) is a relatively inexpensive for of course delivery. Its microwaves have a range of 25 miles for small area distribution. Most materials are pre-recorded in a studio. To accommodate student questions, most ITFSs also include a bridge. Many school districts utilize this technology to offer language instruction not possible in each school. In this situations,
class schedules at all schools must be synchronized. For instance in Madison, Wisconsin, this delivery system is not possible for instruction of less commonly taught languages such as Chinese, Japanese, and Russian. In addition, topography (mountains or buildings) can block transmission.

4. cable TV. Cable TV involves the distribution of a signal through a coaxial or fiber-optic cable which is connected directly to the viewers' television. Since most families have a cable subscription, this delivery system is possible for adults. Unfortunately, not all pre-collegiate schools have cable connection.

5. satellite TV. In addition to the above TV services, students can receive direct broadcast satellite programming via a small satellite dish (Ku-band or C-Band). The more expensive Ku-band technology is affected by weather conditions while the C-band is affected by microwaves. In addition, instructors must consider the types of downlinks such as fixed or steerable available to their students. All equipment related to these technologies must be compatible with the frequency used. The dual-band, steerable downlink is most expensive. Presently, few languages courses are broadcasted directly. Potentially, more homes will purchase this delivery service to allow family members to enroll in courses.

6. CBT. Computer-based training/instruction comprises the use of a personal computer used by the student independently. Heretofore, few distance education courses have
used laser disks, CD-ROMs, or special computer programs for several reasons. First, the hardware on which to run these technologies has been expensive. The time involved in preparing courseware is expensive. Third, some products are designed for a MS-DOS, Windows, or MAC platform. Until recently, these systems have not been interchangeable. Once the course materials have been digitized, CD-ROM, with large storage capacity, is inexpensive to duplicate.\textsuperscript{12} It is expected that this technology will compete with the audio-tape and video-tape.

Two-way video + two-way audio.

Although two-way video/audio may appear to be the "best" technology, it may not be the most appropriate or feasible for language instruction.

1. Internet/WWW (computer conference). The use of the Internet and its associated services is quite extensive. Students of all ages have found this technology perhaps the most engaging. In fact, articles are written about addicted students who browse the WWW unceasingly to the detriment of other daily activities. Most CSC panels and foreign languages courses dealing with technology illustrate applications the Internet to instruction. The power of the computer with ethernet or a high-speed modem can bring virtual reality to the user. Web pages are becoming a standard feature of distance-delivered courses.

2. compressed video. Compressed video is the least expensive format which requires additional equipment to re-code the signal. Most instructors are limited to four sites for
effective teaching. In addition, the stationary cameras are limited by capturing only simple graphics or texts (similar to that required of overhead projectors). The costs are higher than other technologies since the band is carrying both visual and audio digital information. Most annoying is the delay or echo created between sites. This phenomenon is most frustrating for teachers trying to maintain instruction in the target language.

Description of Transmission Networks

In the case of the CSC membership, many members will need to collaborate with others through their state department of education to reduce duplication of course development. Some of the commercial servers provide instruction that can complement a district program. With the emphasis on culture among language instructors, a visual technology will be more appropriate than audio only.

Some programs for CSC members might include information on:

- the National Standards for Foreign Languages with CSC state applications
- the use of technology
- new instructional methods
- the oral proficiency interview (assessment system)
- the integration of culture from the target language countries (French - Africa, Latin America; Spanish - South America)
The number and location of learners can be any place in the 17-state area of the CSC region. In reality, most locations will be found in cities with colleges and public libraries where connectivity is possible. Language teachers in small communities may own satellite dishes or high-speed computers that will enable them to enroll in a methods course or down link a language course. The costs at this point will vary due to the location of the teacher and school.

The organizational climate will also determine the breadth of course receptivity. For instance, language courses drawing few students may not justify allocation of space and time within the school schedule. In order for students to participate in same-time/remote-site classes, school administrators may need to synchronize their class hours.

The results of the survey (Appendix F - Survey) indicated that distance education program received funding from several federal and state sources. Since public secondary schools utilize many language programs, tuition fees may not be the only source of funding; however, at the post-secondary level, tuition can fund production and receiving costs. According to the National Council for Languages and International Studies (NCLIS), federal funding (U.S. Department of Education) for educational technology will increase for the next 3-year cycle. The federal government provides matching funds for most general language instruction to world area centers on a competitive basis. (Appendix A - HEA Title VI) Therefore, CSC members who
teach at the K-12 level may need to seek funding in collaboration with a HEA Title VI Center faculty member such as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LANGUAGE</th>
<th>REGIONAL CENTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTLs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Western Europe, Latin America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>Africa, Asia, Latin America, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>Western Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin</td>
<td>Western Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCTLs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>Africa, Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>East Asia, South East Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrew</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>East Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>Western Europe, Africa, Latin America</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education (FIPSE) awards grants for the improvement of postsecondary education include language instruction. Among the 75 grants awarded each year, most proposals focus on the improvement of instruction by the implementation of technology. CSC members from undergraduate institutions may consider submitting a proposal.

Recommendations

At this point in time, I would recommend a combination of several technologies with print materials. Each CSC members may have local variables with which I am not familiar. The responses from teachers of distance language courses or producers of language courses indicate a preference for technologies that utilize two-way video and two-way audio (Appendix F - Survey). Most instructors rent time from commercial organizations such as SERC or StarNet or produce programs in a district area. The
languages include the commonly taught languages (CTLs) and the less commonly taught languages (LCTLs) including Chinese and Japanese. Some school districts also offer an indigenous North American language including American Sign Language (ASL).

The CSC will need to change in a variety of ways. Increasingly, audio and video-conferencing will replace face-to-face one-site meetings. Conference papers and abstracts will be posted on the WWW site <www.uipui.edu/cscfl/> and announcements will be made only through the listserv <csc-net@ualr.edu>. All members will be asked to supply an email address along with a telephone number. In addition to being more efficient, this use of technology will cut paper and mailing costs.

At the annual conference, changes will be made. As more presenter give demonstrations and link to colleagues during meetings, the CSC will need to provide equipment and connectivity for presenters. Flip charts, VCRs, slide and overhead projectors will not be sufficient. In addition, the standard 20-minute lecture format of presentation may need to change to include pre- and post-presentation activities. My recommendations are as follows:

- a range of delivery systems should be made available;
- a change in curriculum and instructional design;
- an evaluation of the relationship between print-based materials with technical products;
- increased teacher training in the implementation of the new instructional techniques;
improved assessment strategies to verify goals and objectives of instruction; and
certification, recertification, tenure, and promotion include formal training in new delivery systems as applied to discipline.

Advantages

Because students have different learning styles (visual, audio, tactile), they require a variety of instructional delivery. This need is magnified when students enroll in a language class taught from a distance or are in an independent study course. However, the technology enables students to interact with a potentially wider net-work of scholars and students than would be possible in an in-class setting.

Disadvantages

Technology costs money not only for the teacher-producer but also for the student. Some courses that utilize computer conferencing, for example, require powerful computers and high-speed modems in addition to payment for books and tuition. Even if students acquire the hardware and software, they may not understand how the system works. At a distance they may have difficulty resolving technical problems and fall behind in their assignments.¹⁶
Conclusion

Distance education provides a great emphasis on learner-centered instruction. Educators for the most part support the effort to increase student responsibility for their learning. Nevertheless, there are many caveats in considering a distance delivered language program. In addition to the issues of technology selection and connectivity, of registration, of teacher training, there are issues of curriculum planning and instructional design.
INSTRUCTIONAL COURSE DESIGN

One of the major issue of creating a distance delivered "foreign" language course is the amount of time necessary to design the curriculum and to practice the instructional strategies. Teaching "live" to remote-site students requires carefully choreographed plans for the teacher, the site coordinators, and technician at each "broadcast." (Appendix L - CIC)

Because foreign language courses in many districts are elective courses, teachers of these courses must be creative and well prepared to maintain their enrollments. This situation contributes to the time delegated to planning and practice necessary for an on-air or on-line language course. Typically, foreign language teachers do not lecture; rather, they design short, thematic activities which revolve around cultural and linguistic skills of a unit. In addition, language teachers are known for creating pair and group activities to increase student production (speaking or writing) in the target language. The distance education teachers must plan these remote-class strategies even more carefully than in-class teachers to insure participation of the remote-site students where the teacher cannot assist at the private level. Despite this head start that language teachers have over teachers of other disciplines,
planning is still critical and perhaps the most time consuming part of a course. (Appendix K - Instructional Design Timetable)

Analysis

The analysis part of a 4-part paradigm (analysis, development, implementation, evaluation) may take more time than the actual instruction. For this reason, many new distance education teacher and staff reduce or ignore the time recommended for course analysis. Typically, the analysis phase comprises four activities: needs assessment, learner assessment, task and content analysis, and writing course objectives.

Needs Assessment

At the pre-collegiate and collegiate level, teachers propose new language courses to principals, learning coordinators, department chairs, and deans. For a typical in-class/on-campus course syllabus, teachers often receive time off to prepare. However, rarely does in-class preparation require the detail necessary for successful remote-site instruction. The flexibility that campus teachers have to adjust content and presentation over a semester or year is rarely available to teachers delivering instruction to a distant population. Consequently, teachers must have a clear understanding of their students needs and goals.

In addition to questions about students' needs, teachers must pose other questions. For instance, what are the positive
aspects of introducing new instruction on a given topic at a
given time? How might distance instruction impact teachers’
career goals? Many new teachers or untenured faculty members are
attracted to technology; however, they may not benefit from the
timing in listing these courses. What are the negative
consequences if instruction is not provided? In some states,
where foreign language is a high school and college graduation
requirement, not offering a language course may prevent students
from continuing their general education. In other states,
mandates require language instruction.¹⁸ Not to teach a
language might result in less state and federal funding
(Sandrock, 1993).

Learner Analysis

Increasingly language teachers are surveying their students
at the beginning of class. These data provide information for
customizing instruction and personalizing assignments. When one
instructs students at remote sites, this information becomes
essential for instructional adaptations. For instance, many
experienced distance education (DE) teachers advocate no more
than ten students per site and no more than three sites. This
small number of students per site enables pair work that teachers
can potentially monitor from the campus site.

Students who have previous experience in DE classes can
assist novice DE learners in becoming responsible for their
learning. Distance teaching environment quickly reveals which
students are serious and do very well. Poor students are immediately found out and become better students or drop. Teachers also need to know the content experience of students. False beginners often slip into beginning classes that are taught at a distance. The registration practices concerning prerequisites may vary from site to site. Often the teacher has no registration control except for capping enrollments.

Task/Content Analysis

In many institutions, teachers design their courses to comply with national, state, or department standards. Most standards dictate the skill level for speaking, listening, reading, writing, and culture comprehension. When language courses include students from different states or districts, teachers may need to identify where differences exist. Some universities require a specific exit proficiency and many businesses seek an oral proficiency rating of advanced-plus. Until "foreign" language educators produce an instrument for language assessment equivalent to TOEFL (Test of English as a Foreign Language) or TOEIC (Test of English for International Communication) for students of English, language teachers will need to describe course content in detail. In addition, teachers will need to decide if their course content requires prerequisites. Finally, can teachers enumerate the knowledge, skills, and job requirements to be learned in the course?
Objectives

For a decade or so, writing learning objectives have been out of favor among teachers. In the past, teachers could discuss issues of course objectives and articulation to the next course in an informal setting. However, remote-site students, administrators, and advisors may find knowing what the objectives of the course entails is essential in their work. When on-line time is critical and costly, teachers may find that writing objectives in detail actually very helpful for their lesson presentation. These specified objectives contribute to the marketing of the course. These scripted objectives provide the consumer with a guarantee for the course product.

Design/Development

Distance educators involved in instructional design recommend that teachers consider five components for each learning objective. They are preparation, presentation, participation, practice, and performance. Although in-class teachers may subconsciously consider these components in developing a syllabus, unit, or lesson plan, remote-site teachers will find that articulating each of the five components in written form is crucial for a coherent and smooth delivery.

Preparation

The preparation component readies the students for learning. Some type of attention-getting technique is necessary to focus
the students on the teacher. After setting the class tone, teachers need to establish a context for new learning in relation to previous lessons or courses. Students should know at the beginning of each class what they should know by the end of the class. Teachers need to check that the students have all necessary materials and resources to complete the day's activities.

Presentation

The presentation is the core of what the teachers do by identifying distinctive instructional activities. To maintain students' interest, presentations should provide a variety of activities and media, such as definitions, discussions, examples/non-examples, case studies, and summaries. In essence, the goal of the presentation is to provide information to support the learning objectives.

Participation

During participation, students process the newly acquired information. In addition, this component involves students more actively in the lesson to deepen their comprehension. Rather than assume passive acquisition, active participation would better serve language students as they acquire the target language and culture. Some active activities might include application or observational exercises, reflective questioning, rhetorical and application questions, and simulation or case
studies. In the foreign language setting, these activities would be designed in the target language.

Practice with Feedback

The inclusion of this component is based upon the premise that people learn from their mistakes (operant conditioning). Under supervision, students can test the extent to which they have acquired new knowledge and the degree to which they can manipulate the knowledge. Written and oral test or quizzes are the most popular methods of providing feedback on discrete points. However, simulations and actual performance may provide an accurate record of internalized knowledge.

Performance Assessment

Teachers may deliver feedback and final assessment results through a variety of media such as on a grade transcript or by telephone, on-line using email, letters, or in person. This evaluative information verifies that students are capable of meeting the objectives of the course (e.g., speaking at an advanced-plus level on the ACTFL oral proficiency profile). Teachers can also classify their students performance with the standards established for the nation, state, or district.
Implementation

Implementation of a distance delivered course requires a plan that details the logical requirements and describes the system prepared to support off-campus students.

Logistics

Each lesson must be choreographed so that the cameras, computers, fax machines, and telephones are in working order. Unlike for the on-campus teachers, the remote-site teachers must write down every detail so that the support staff team and each site of students know what they should do concerning the lesson and the technology. (Appendix G - Site Coordination) In a distance learning format, instruction is much more public and is subjected to greater criticism than campus classes. In contrast to campus courses, it takes several years to recover the costs of remote-site course production.

Finally, the teachers involved in these courses must seek to participate in distance education. Particularly at collegiate levels, some assistant professors are understandably resistant to instructing such courses. If the non-tenured faculty are the only teachers able to instruct a language, it is best not to request their services until they are tenured regardless of the needs of the students.20
Learner Support

When students and teachers do not meet in a face-to-face setting, communication alternatives must be numerous and frequent. This section describes some of the potential areas of miscommunication. An example of an excellent learner support system is one developed by the College of Engineering for their students of the Japanese taught through audio-graphics (Davis, 1994, 1996).

Learner profile.

Each language program and perhaps even each class will have a different student profile. To avoid making assumptions about students, distance educators recommend that teachers solicit information from students on a variety of topics. These topics might include: prior course knowledge; prior skills (levels of listening, speaking, reading, writing, and cultural sensitivity); experience in a target-language country and in the use of technology; analytical and conceptual abilities; cultural, social, and economic backgrounds; learning styles (visual, audio, tactile or group, individual); and course goals.21

Preparing to learn.

To prepare students who do not have ready access to the campus/school for the class, teachers need to review the goals of the organization, the purpose of the course, the learners' profiles, and the available resources. Teachers or staff might anticipate student questions and create a brochure of the most frequently asked questions. These materials might engage the
students in some action. In a distance delivered course such materials might help students allocate time for classes and homework and rearranging family responsibilities. Providing telephone numbers (preferably toll-free), fax numbers, email addresses, and web sites for contact persons is reassuring to students. A list of participants consoles students and the ability to contact them enables students to raise questions and vent frustrations in a safe environment. Students might contact the hot-line where English (native language) is allowed.

Maintaining motivation.

Loneliness is a major problem for students enrolled in a distance delivered course. The teacher and staff have an obligation, often one greater than the on-campus teacher, to create lessons that motivate their students to continue studying on their own. Personalizing the activities in each lesson can increase student motivation. Prior to delivery of the course, teachers might test content with a focus group of possible student types. In addition, the teacher can assign various duties to the site coordinator, such as tutoring and advising or being facilitator of local supplementary activities.

Dealing with problems.

At a distance, problems can seem enormous. In contrast to campus students who have an awareness of the institutional services, those who study at a distance are often handicapped by not knowing what to do or who to contact. In addition, the remote-site student may not be able to contact a campus contact.
Consequently, the students may drop out unnecessarily. Therefore, teachers need to have a mechanism for resolving problems and fully inform students in advance of the format and process of solving problems whether they be personal, technical, academic, or procedural.

Evaluation

The evaluation sequence is often the weakest park of the instructional design. Although course evaluation (formative) are usually completed as for on-campus classes, summative evaluations frequently are ignored or completed in haste. For the distance-delivered course, it is the latter evaluation which is critical for any innovations and changes. Often it is this evaluation which will determine if the course continues and if the program will obtain additional funding. Therefore, the components, the data collection, the analyses of issues in the report may determine the future of a course and program.

Components

The components of a typical evaluative report includes items such as the syllabus, grades for the mid-term and final assessment, the purpose of the course, and course evaluation. Some of the evaluative questions might focus on teacher delivery, course content, student-teacher interaction, teacher accessibility, problems with technology, site coordinator, and administrative support. The evaluator will want to check with
students, teachers, staff, and administrators concerning the interpretation of the purpose of the course. It is likely that the original purposes may have changed by the end of the course. This change may influence the decision of continued agency funding.

Data Collection and Analysis

There are a variety of methods of collecting data for the teacher and staff to justify their recommendations. Surveying students periodically using the institutions' course evaluation is one strategy. If teacher do not plan to visit students at remote sites, a survey instrument may be the most efficient. However, another strategy might be to meet students in-person and informally discuss the pros and cons of the course and program. A case study approach might be assigned to each site coordinator. In so doing, each site could be view as a separate entity. The type of information might include reactions to course content, people, and technology. Students might be asked about the supposed quality of their learning and the behavior that their learning might have generated. Teachers or evaluators might also seek to understand the social impact of the remote-site experience.

The type of questions that the teacher seeks to answer and the number of students may determine the nature of the summative analysis. The analysis may be made through statistical analyses with descriptive or inferential measures or with more subjective
or value-laden measures. Regardless of how the analysis is conducted, the teacher must often produce results in a specific time sequence and in a given framework. Time should be allocated for this activity in the planning stage.

Conclusion

Regardless of the discipline or the technology, teachers and their support staff need to design instruction that answers questions raised concerning analysis of needs, course development, implementation, and course/program evaluation. All these caveats may seem common sense to most teacher; however, sometimes the remoteness of instruction interferes with what educators might think to be normal procedures. Teaching to people that one has not met in person, maintaining a content focus, interacting with students, watching the time, and operating various technologies can become a challenging experience. Therefore, skipping any one of these steps may result in failure and a waste of time and money. On the other hand, following these four steps will most likely lead to a successful distance education program, satisfied consumers, and happy administrators.
NOTES


   Some states will provide funding to reimburse teacher for the cost $2000 of certification.

3. See CALICO’95 and CALICO’96 programs
   http://agoralang.com/calico.html or www.calico.org


6. Governor Thompson (Wisconsin) proposed the TEACH Initiative (Technology of Educational Achievement) to wire all Wisconsin K-12 schools to the Internet. However, his initiative fail to provide funding for connectivity past the first year or any type of training for teachers in using the Internet. School administrators have to compete for federal money on an annually.

7. Interview with David Werther, University of Wisconsin-Extension (Liberal Studies and Arts) on 13 August 1997.

8. Diane Kovacs <dkovacs@kentmv.kent.edu> has maintained a list of language listservers for several years. To obtain her list, send a email message:
   TO: listserv@kentvm.kent.edu
   get acadlist readme

9. Distance Learning Foreign Language Teachers - (dlflt) Listserv
   TO: listproc@list.gatech.edu
   SUBSCRIBE dlflt@list.gatech.edu Firstname Lastname

   Just mail this e-mail and instructions will follow. Questions? Problems? E-mail to the listowner, Carolyn Cole, at <cc98@prism.gatech.edu>.
10. After Loren Alexander studied Japanese from OSU via telephone, he developed an language program using the OSU model: Subsequently I taught several courses in German literature and in Second Language Acquisition via telephone connection, including a course on media (mainly computers) in language acquisition. In each of these courses there were a few on-campus students in addition to the off-campus students. The Spanish section at KSU has also taught and continues to teach courses by distance connection that have practicing teachers as students.

These institutes and subsequent distance-learning courses have resulted in a huge increase in graduate student enrollment in the Department of Modern Languages, whose MA program faced deletion prior to these events. The contrast is approximately 2-3 graduate students in all three languages prior to the institutes vs 30+ at present.

The Anneberg/CPB Project [(800) LEARNER] and the Geradine R. Dodge Foundation have funded two college language programs. Destinos (1992) written by Bill Van Patten (University of Illinois) and French for Action Rebecca Valette (Boston University) in cooperation with the McGraw-Hill Publishing Company. A German program will be available for September, 1998. WGBH television broadcasts the programs during weekday hours. Contact: Wisconsin Education Communications Board & Charlotte Bell (608) 264-9730 <cbell@mail.state.wi.us> Programs have been offered for college credit at UW-Whitewater and Milwaukee Area Technical College. WECB/WPT will broadcast French for Action and Destinos during the AY 1997-98. Most requests for these program are not for credit.

12. National publishing companies now include CD-ROMs as part of the language product package. Instructors of LCTLs are also preparing CD-ROMs such as those available for Arabic, Chinese, Japanese, Portuguese, Swahili, and Yoruba. See also: Rosetta Stone Language Library -- 20 languages designed for two levels.

13. In the Madison Metropolitan School District (Wisconsin), few school have identical start-times and daily class schedules. Often principals arrange bell schedules to meet the needs of bus companies, state legislation, athletic programs, and curriculum innovations.

14. J.D. Edwards (Executive Director-NCLIS, 1118 22nd St., NW, Washington, DC 20037) memo 8 August 1997. <www.languagepolicy.org> "We are proposing ... to strengthen outreach to K-12, and to encourage greater use [sic] technology."
15. Since the current CSC executive director has not returned the survey, I am able to make general recommendations based on CSC current known applications. Three completed surveys were returned out of 60 distributed by email in late July, 1997. Several contacts indicated that their institution had no distance education language programs in place at this time.

16. One of the University of Wisconsin Distance Education Certificate course required work on the WWW prior to the telephone conference. Because of the heavy student use on the Wisconsin server which hosted the course, participants could not post their projects or questions to the Wisconsin Web site during the day.

17. The University of Wisconsin, College of Letters and Science, has offered several language courses from the Madison campus. The Department of Scandinavian Studies offered Norwegian 101 during the summer of 1997.
   http://polyglot.lss.wisc.edu/scandst/norsk/home.html (model)
   http://midgard.lss.wisc.edu (actual site for summer course)
   The following fall the Department of Slavic Languages and Literature offered Polish 207 (third semester).

18. In Iowa and Michigan, state funding is provided for elementary school programs. In addition, of the CSC states, Arkansas, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, South Dakota, and Tennessee have state foreign language requirements.

19. In the case of a Norwegian class taught during the summer, only one of the students was enrolled for credit. The other students at the remote campus were "special" non-credit students.

20. Several examples of unwilling teachers have been shared at distance education meetings. In nearly every case the instruction was not successful and the student complained to administrators.

21. In a summer Norwegian course, the teacher anticipated graduate students. The class comprised two retired Norwegian-Americans who did not own a computer or know how to use a computer, a high school student, one graduate student with computer experience, and several undergraduates.
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Appendix A

HEA Title VI - Language/Area Centers

The U.S. Department of Education (International Education and Graduate Programs Service [202-401-9798]) administers several programs funded by the Higher Education Act, Title VI. The following funded universities are located in the Central States Conference region:

African Languages --
Illinois, Univ. of (217) 333-6335
Indiana University (812) 855-6825
Michigan State University (517) 353-1700
Ohio Univ./Ohio State Univ. (614) 593-1834/(614) 292-8169
Wisconsin, Univ. of (608) 262-4460

East Asian Languages --
Chicago, Univ. of (773) 702-8648
Illinois, Univ. of (217) 333-7273
Indiana University (812) 855-3765
Kansas, Univ. of (913) 864-3849
Michigan, Univ. of (313) 764-6308
Ohio State University (614) 688-4253
Washington Univ./Missouri, U. (314) 935-5958/(314) 516-5755
Wisconsin, Univ. of (608) 262-3643

Eastern European, Russian, Central Asian Languages --
Illinois, Univ. of (217) 333-1244
Indiana University (812) 855-2233/855-7309
Iowa, Univ. of (319) 335-0368
Kansas, Univ. of (913) 864-4236
Michigan, Univ. of (313) 764-0351
Ohio State University (614) 292-8770
Wisconsin, Univ. of (608) 262-3379

Latin American/Caribbean Languages --
Indiana Univ./Michigan, U. (812) 855-9097/(313) 763-9200
Michigan State University (517) 353-1690
Kansas, Univ. of (913) 864-4213
Wisconsin, Univ. of (M/Mil) (608) 262-2811/(414) 229-4401

Middle Eastern Languages --
Michigan, Univ. of (313) 764-4141
Ohio State University (614) 688-4321
South Asian Languages --
  Chicago, Univ. of  (773) 702-8637
  Michigan, Univ. of  (313) 764-0352
  Wisconsin, Univ. of  (608) 262-4884

Southeast Asian Languages --
  Michigan, Univ. of  (313) 764-0352
  Northern Illinois University  (815) 753-1771
  Ohio University  (614) 593-1840
  Wisconsin, Univ. of  (608) 263-1755

Western European Languages --  (Not French, German, Spanish)
  Indiana University  (812) 855-9669
  Kalamazoo College  (616) 337-7056
  Minnesota, Univ. of  (612) 625-5899
  Ohio State University  (614) 292-4921
Appendix B

CSC Papers/Presentations

1998 % Karen Cardenas (South Dakota State University)  
<cardenas@ur.sdstate.edu>  
*Using Technology to Teach Non-Traditional Students.* MI - Lynn Herkstroeter, Saginaw Valley State University, University Center.  
*Fiber Optics—Foreign Language Teaching at a Distance.* WI - Kathy Beer, Clintonville HS.  
*Going the Distance: Goethe Institute/AATG Distance Learning for Professional Development.* NJ - Helene Zimmer Loew  
*Foreign Languages at a Distance.* WI - Judith Vandenberg, Gillett School District.

1997 % Sharon Rapp (Conway High School, AR) <srapp@juno.com>  
*Internet, WWW, & Technology: A long distance model for teacher development.* Ohio DOE - Marin Seletsky, OSU - Kathryn Corl, UNE-L - Michael Dempsey & Patricia Branson  
*[Teaching Strategies for Interactive Television.* OH - Robert Robison, Columbus Schools (cancelled)]

State FL Organizations

ARKANSAS¹  
COLORADO  
ILLINOIS  
INDIANA  
IOWA  
KANSAS  
KENTUCKY  
MICHIGAN  
MINNESOTA  
NEBRASKA  
NORTH DAKOTA  
OHIO  
SOUTH DAKOTA  
TENNESSEE  
WISCONSIN  
1997  
*Foreign Language at a Distance.* Gillett School - Judith Vandenberg & Kaye Lietz

¹Information was not available for distance education panels at state conferences.
1996
Using Learning Link Wisconsin in the Foreign Language Classroom.
Madison WECB - Linda Hanson & Greg Robinson
Latin By Distance Learning: A Low Tech Approach. Saginaw
Michigan Lutheran Seinary - Glen Thompson
A Crash-Course on Survival for the Distance Education Teacher.
Barron High School - Irene Popo; UW-Barron Co. - Mary Hoeft

American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages

1997
Irasshai: Multimedia Distance Learning Series in Japanese Language
and Culture. Tim Cook (GPB), Greg Dunkan & Elizabeth Rieken
(InterPrep-Atlanta)
Development of a Japanese Language Course Through Distance
Learning for Elementary Students. Taeko Tashibu, Cynthia
Rekda, Martin O’Caaghan (Seattle), Atsumi Tsuimori (Spokane)
Instructional Design for Foreign Language Classes Taught Through
Distance Learning. David Alley (Georgia Southern U.)
Distance Learning: A Profile of Programs. Janaan Tyler & Sara
Mendes (Fargo)
Roundtable Discussions: Tricks of the Trade for Distance
Educators. Janaan Tyler & Sara Mendes (Fargo)
Concerns of the Profession: Going the Distance--Goethe-Institute/
AATG Distance Learning Project. Aleidine Moeller (U. NE-
Lincoln)
Student-Centered Environment and Distance Learning in CFL.
Xiaojun Wang (Western Michigan U.)

Modern Language Association

1998
Us? - Richard Kern (UC-Berkeley)
Appendix C

Central States Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIVERSITY</th>
<th>LANGUAGE</th>
<th>INSTRUCTOR</th>
<th>TECHNOLOGY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARKANSAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLORADO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver TTFLI</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eleanor Hoffman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILLINOIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| The state of Illinois represents a stark dichotomy in distance education capability. On one hand, the state enjoys extensive resources as numerous high-tech companies have interconnected their facilities. On the other hand, there is no successful effort to centrally manage wideband resources. Most solutions are vendor driven. However, the Illinois Board of Higher Education is establishing standards for a T-1 connection. The Illinois Department of Education has divided the state into "service agency" regions. For the most part, inter-institution cooperation is very rare in Illinois among educational entities (Evans, 1993).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIVERSITY</th>
<th>LANGUAGE</th>
<th>INSTRUCTOR</th>
<th>TECHNOLOGY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comm. College</td>
<td>German I/II HS Lois Sabino/Miriam Chapman</td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>German I/II CC Rutz Ozol</td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spanish CC Nancy Virumbiales</td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Japanese HS/CC ?</td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univ. IL-UC</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Bill Van Patten</td>
<td>TV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PBS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INDIANA

The Indiana Higher Education Telecommunications System dates to 1980. IHETS now allows full motion two-way capability along with DS-1 compressed teleconferencing and DS-3 video. Indiana's distance education system has been successful primarily because of a
willingness to plan ahead, the cooperation of institutions, and the willingness to implement plans (Evans, 1993).

IOWA
Iowa has installed a state-owned two-way interactive fiber system to every county seat. All educational institutions are connected. Because carriers were not included in the planning, several lawsuits have occurred (Evans, 1993).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
<th>Curriculum</th>
<th>Education Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Des Moines</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>Mark Engle</td>
<td>comp. video/fiber-optic</td>
<td>6 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshalltown</td>
<td>Russian</td>
<td></td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td>HS/CC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KANSAS
Shawnee Miss. | Japanese | % David Wolfe | comp. video/fiber-optic | HS              |
| Russian      | % David Wolfe | comp. video/fiber-optic | HS |
KSU-Manhattan | German    | % Loren Alexander | telephone      | HS/Un           |
| French       | % Douglas Benson |                     |                 |
| Spanish      |           |               |                   |

KENTUCKY
As a result of a supreme court decision, since 1989, Kentucky has embarked upon an ambitious program to utilize distance education technology to equalize access to education throughout the state. Kentucky Educational Television provides the conduit, while the Kentucky Department of Education provides the programming content (Evans, 1993).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
<th>Curriculum</th>
<th>Education Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SERC</td>
<td>Latin I</td>
<td>Jim Smith</td>
<td>satellite KET</td>
<td>90 (500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latin I-II</td>
<td>% Jane Smith, Joan Jahnige</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>German I-II</td>
<td>% Ruth Styles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MICHIGAN
Many local and regional consortia have been active in establishing distance learning networks. Most of the two-way interactive networks are fiber optic networks. Michigan cable industry is also active at the K-12 level. Some institutions use SERC for satellite. State laws allow for more competition and less regulations (Evans, 1993).
Kuntz - DE Technology

Oakland         French   David Jaymes
(Russian, Japanese, Chinese)

MINNESOTA
The State Telecommunications Access and Routing System is a statewide fiber optic network that handles both the video and data needs of educational institutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Instructor(s)</th>
<th>Program Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UMN-Duluth</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>Cheryl Petterson</td>
<td>ITV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECMECC-Braham</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>%Steve Hallan</td>
<td>ITV (two systems)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>French</td>
<td>Ann Mans</td>
<td>ITV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>German</td>
<td></td>
<td>ITV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td></td>
<td>ITV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASL</td>
<td></td>
<td>ITV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MISSOURI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
<th>Program Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NWMissouri St</td>
<td>Spanish I</td>
<td>Channing Horner</td>
<td>ITV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEBRASKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NORTH DAKOTA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fargo PBC</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Val Babb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OHIO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
<th>Program Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State</td>
<td>20+ languages</td>
<td>Robert Di Donato</td>
<td>telephone courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio Ashtabula Co.</td>
<td>German</td>
<td>Andre Camarata</td>
<td>local service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Robert Robison</td>
<td>1/2-way video/audio, ITV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOUTH DAKOTA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SD State</td>
<td>German III-V</td>
<td>Karen Cardenas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Governor Thompson proposed the TEACH Initiative in 1997. The Legislature approved funding for this effort to connect every K-12 school to the Internet. In addition, the University of Wisconsin System and the Wisconsin Technical College Association have created an overlapping system of satellite services. Staff at the higher educational institutions collaborate with staff at the 12 CESAs to provide language instruction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DPI/WECB</th>
<th>Japanese</th>
<th>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</th>
<th>Satellite (SERC-Ku band)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Bay</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NEWEC)</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clintonville</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ERVING)</td>
<td>German</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashland</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NWECS)</td>
<td>Ojibwa</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison ATC</td>
<td>French (CESA)</td>
<td>% Ugaz</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIRCUIT</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>German</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicolet TC</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW-Eau Claire</td>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gale Crouse</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW-Madison</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Japanese 1-3</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norwegian</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polish 3</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scott Galera</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James Davis</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dawn Tommerdahl</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>compressed video</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>audio-graphics (satellite)</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>compressed video</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4, NTU</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 sites</td>
<td>&quot;JALCAP&quot;</td>
<td>satellite (SERC-Ku band)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* Independent Study *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic I</th>
<th>Norwegian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danish I</td>
<td>Polish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>Russian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>Swedish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Non-Central States Programs

#### Affiliate States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIVERSITY</th>
<th>LANGUAGE</th>
<th>INSTRUCTOR</th>
<th>TECHNOLOGY</th>
<th>SITES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Oklahoma State</td>
<td>German I-V</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>comp video (13 yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>TFLI (Project</td>
<td>LCTLs</td>
<td>Steve Shao</td>
<td>video conferencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diane)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>UCLA</td>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>Olga Kagan</td>
<td>satellite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>French</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jorge Cbillos</td>
<td>satellite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>Tim Cook</td>
<td>ITV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statesboro</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>David Alley</td>
<td>comp. video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>Com. Col.</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Judy Friedeman</td>
<td>comp. video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>Chinese/Arabic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSITY</td>
<td>LANGUAGE</td>
<td>INSTRUCTOR</td>
<td>TECHNOLOGY</td>
<td>SITES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>Gisela Zimmermann</td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNLV</td>
<td>Am. Sign Lang.</td>
<td></td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Ukrainian</td>
<td>Nelie Zhuravlyova</td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUNY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNC</td>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>Afroz Taj</td>
<td>ITV</td>
<td>3 Uns (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCSU</td>
<td>Spanish I</td>
<td>Gary Smith</td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Russian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Mifflin SD</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td></td>
<td>satellite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swahili</td>
<td>% Tom Hale</td>
<td>comp. video (FIPSE-funding)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>Russian</td>
<td></td>
<td>satellite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>Tom Beyer</td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middlebury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Latin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henrico Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Japanese FLES</td>
<td>% Gesela</td>
<td>ITV/video tapes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>Mike Feduk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSITY</td>
<td>LANGUAGE</td>
<td>INSTRUCTOR</td>
<td>TECHNOLOGY</td>
<td>SITES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>comp. video</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casper SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Commercial Services**

* SERC/STAR School Grants *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School</th>
<th>Japanese I, II</th>
<th>German I, II</th>
<th>Spanish I-III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Russian I, II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latin I, II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* STARNET (TI-IN) *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School</th>
<th>French I, II</th>
<th>German I, II</th>
<th>Japanese I, II</th>
<th>Spanish I-III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Middle School</td>
<td>Languages Around the World</td>
<td></td>
<td>Olé Espanol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>Spanish K-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School</th>
<th>Russian I</th>
<th>Japanese I, II</th>
<th>Spanish I, II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**International Programs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Belgium/UK</th>
<th>Polish</th>
<th>Jacek Iwanski</th>
<th>Email (Macintosh) multimedia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://users.netmatters.co.uk/dandafores">http://users.netmatters.co.uk/dandafores</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>Russian Mor.</th>
<th>Tom Priestley</th>
<th>compressed video</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calgary</td>
<td>Russian Lit.</td>
<td></td>
<td>compressed video</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Appendix - E

## Federally-Funded Programs

### Language Resource Centers - HEA Title VI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIVERSITY</th>
<th>LANGUAGE</th>
<th>CONTACT</th>
<th>TECHNOLOGY</th>
<th>SITES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAL/Georgetown</td>
<td>no programs</td>
<td>% Dora Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa State</td>
<td>no programs</td>
<td>% Marcia Rosenbusch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>Steve Fleming</td>
<td>ITV</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hawaiian</td>
<td>Puakea Nogelmeir</td>
<td>ITV</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>% Teresita Ramos</td>
<td>ITV</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>Irene Thompson</td>
<td>ITV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan State</td>
<td></td>
<td>% India Plough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockford School</td>
<td>German</td>
<td></td>
<td>ITV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negaunee School</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td></td>
<td>ITV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>% Gary Jahn</td>
<td>ITV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univ. Minn.</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix F

Distance Education - Survey

TO: Language Coordinators
    Language Resource Center Staff (HEA Title VI)

RE: Distant Learning Applications for Language Instruction

As one of the current Central States Conference fellows, I am collecting information on different applications of technology used to teach languages at a distance. In addition, I am completing a certification in distance learning from the University of Wisconsin.

In order to make recommendations to the CSC members, I need additional information. Could you respond to the following questions, please? Your responses will be included in my report to the CSC Board in late March, 1998. I plan to write an article for ERIC/LL based upon this information. Thank you for your cooperation.

* COURSE IDENTIFICATION *

1. Are their training programs THAT YOU MUST OFFER which are essential to your organization (CSC or NLRC), but you are unable to justify the cost of bringing the learners in or sending an instructor out to deliver the training?

   TOPICS

2. Are there training programs THAT ARE NOT NOW OFFERED but you are unable to justify the costs associated with bringing the learners in or of sending an instructor out to deliver the training?

   TOPICS

3. Are there training programs that you must offer to many persons throughout your organizations in a short period of time or on very short notice?

   TOPICS

4. Are there programs for which the number of potential learners is so great that you cannot afford to bring them in or send enough instructors out to meet the present need?

   TOPICS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIVERSITY</th>
<th>LANGUAGE</th>
<th>INSTRUCTOR</th>
<th>TECHNOLOGY</th>
<th>SITES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Swahili</td>
<td>Awiya Omar</td>
<td>satellite (1997-98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## African Studies Centers
(NRC - HEA Title VI FY 1997-00)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIVERSITY</th>
<th>LANGUAGE</th>
<th>INSTRUCTOR</th>
<th>TECHNOLOGY</th>
<th>SITES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC-Berkeley/Stanford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCLA</td>
<td>no programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hausa</td>
<td>Russ Schuh</td>
<td>CBT lessons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wolof</td>
<td>Russ Schuh</td>
<td>CBT lessons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>Zulu</td>
<td>Nhlanhla Thwala</td>
<td>Univ. of Witt (SA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State/Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan State</td>
<td>no programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>?Swahili</td>
<td>Magdalena Hauner</td>
<td>comp. video with Minnesota/Northwestern²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yoruba I</td>
<td>Antonia Schleicher</td>
<td>CD-ROM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*² According to Kathy Christoph (DoIT-Wisconsin), African language projects are still in planning stage as part of a CIC initiative.
5. Are there programs for which the number of potential learners is so few that you cannot justify the cost associated with forming a class?

TOPICS

* NUMBERS AND LOCATIONS *

6. Which schools/locations would require or desire distance education programs?
SITE NAME LOCATION

7. In the ideal situation (not considering costs), how many learners would attend each program from each site?
PROGRAM # LEARNERS

8. Are there other organizations that might be interested in purchasing programs or sharing the costs of programs you develop?
ORGANIZATION LOCATION PRECEDENT

* CONDUCIVENESS *

9. Does your organization employ group decision-making?

10. Do examples of sharing now exist?
EXAMPLE WITH WHOM

11. Does your organizations have a reputation for innovation and use of new technologies (listservs, voice mail, email, Web page)?
EXAMPLE YEAR IMPLEMENTED

12. Do you have technical staff in telecommunications or language laboratory who would be willing to assist with a project?
STAFF EXPERTISE

* PROGRAM CREATION VS PURCHASE *

13. Does your organization have a number of trainers or DE instructors?
NO = purchase YES = develop course/programs
14. Are instructors willing to teach in a new way?
EXAMPLES (instructional changes)

15. Can you allow instructors adequate preparation time to adapt their present programs to distance education delivery?
EXAMPLES (preparation time for changes)

16. Are there subjects that you are required to teach or would like to teach for which you have one or two qualified instructors, but for which it is difficult to find additional qualified teaching staff?

17. Do you have outstanding instructors who are exceptional in language acquisition and in the classroom and whose talent you would like to share with distant learners?

18. Is there an outstanding instructor(s) whose talents you would like to share with other learners, but who cannot travel without affecting his/her present obligations?

19. Are there language programs AVAILABLE for purchase on the topics or languages that you require?

20. Are the programs which are available of the QUALITY you desire?

21. Are the programs that you find acceptable and available at a PRICE you can afford?

22. Who will review needs and set the priorities for developing or purchasing the programming that you believe necessary?

YOU YOUR BOARD/CHAIR COMMITTEE
23. Who are the key decision makers at each receiving site who will need to approve and support this project?
   a. What background information about distance education must you provide to help them make a decision?

24. Can and will each receiving site devote the space, time, and personnel to handle site facilitation requirements and to assure a good distance learning experience for its students?

25. What other potential pitfalls can you identify that might prevent the adoption of distance education?
   a. What steps can you take to avoid or overcome these pitfalls?

* MONEY *

26. Does your organization/institution have various grants available for financing projects?

27. How is money allocated?
   
   TRAINING CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

28. What is the financial status of receiving sites?
   a. What percent of the cost can these sites share?

29. How much can you budget to finance start-up costs for equipment and facilities?

30. What can you afford to spend monthly on a distance education program during the first year?

31. What amount of money can be budgeted from on-going program needs?

32. What is the fiscal policy of instruction?

33. Can you budget additional pay or rewards for instructors who prepare a program for distance education delivery?

34. Which funding sources are available for program use?

   STATE
   FEDERAL
   FOUNDATIONS
STUDENT FEES
DEPARTMENT
COMMUNITY SOURCES
BUSINESSES
OTHERS
Appendix G

Site Coordination Check List¹

At the K-12 level, the site coordinator's responsibilities may be shared by a language teacher and a media specialist.

Decision-Making
  . Is the language course needed?
  . Is the topic concerning language instruction timely?
  . Who are the potential students?
  . Will the program generate revenue (cost recovery)?

Preliminary Preparations
  . Reserve the room with equipment
  . Arrange for technical support
  . Open project/course file to manage information
  . Send license agreement to collaborating schools/districts
  . Prepare paperwork (purchase orders)
  . Establish registration & tuition procedures
  . Design curriculum with learning coordinator

Building the Local Event
  . Convene a local panel of experts (language & technology)
  . Conduct a "hands-on" workshop with site/language teachers
  . Invite local vendors to demonstrate products
  . Participate in school book fair or exhibit
  . Plan a follow-up field trip to each site
  . Arrange for a networking social among language teachers
  . Solicit door prizes from local business (parents)
  . Serve language-related refreshments
  . Prepare demo of a lesson for parents, principals, teachers

Promotion
  . Develop direct mail/email brochure (semester prior)
  . Mail/distribute brochure (6 weeks prior to registration)
  . Develop press releases
  . Notify newsletter editor (district) & local newspaper

Registration
  . Take & track registration (demographics & minimum numbers)
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. Mail press release (4 weeks prior)
. Send confirmation letter to students/parents
. Contact site teacher/coordinator
. Give technology survey to each registrant

Final Details
. Generate student roster
. Review class responsibilities with remote site teacher
. Name tags for students
. Clarify instructions for handout distribution (pre-, during, post-activities)

Event
. Arrive early
. Post sign of course
. Check out room arrangement, plugs, lighting, heat, air
. Test equipment (audio, visual)
. Telephone/Fax (toll-free line)
. Orient students to any protocol
. Allow for remote site student questions

Follow-up
. Thank-you letters to sponsors
. Self-evaluation of instruction
. Student evaluation
. Site coordinator/teacher evaluation
## Appendix H

**ACTFL Distance Learning SIG**  
**CSC Members**

### ARKANSAS
- **Bieber, Martha**  
  Westark Com. Col.  
  mbieber@systema.westark.edu
- **Davis, James**  
  UA-Fayetteville  
  jndavis@comp.uark.edu
- **Dhonau, Stephanie**  
  UA-Little Rock  
  sadhonau@ualr.edu
- **King, Shirley**  
  Arkansas Tech. U.  
  flsk@atuum.atu.edu
- **Lewis, Bart**  
  Lyon College  
  lewis@lyon.edu
- **Thomas, Majoice**  
  Little Rock

### COLORADO
- **Haney, Dagmar**  
  Pueblo
- **Gatz, Lawrence**  
  Metropolitan State  
  glatz@mscd.edu

### ILLINOIS
- **Battaglia, Tom**  
  Downers Grove
- **Cowan, Maria**  
  Glenview
- **Garcia, Christa**  
  Glen Ellyn
- **Laine, Jennie**  
  Naperville
- **Tourvile, Raymond**  
  De Kalb  
  dtourvil@niu.edu
- **Wilson, Barbara**  
  Carrollton
- **Zupec, Kimberly**  
  Skokie

### INDIANA
- **Cooks, Maria**  
  Purdue Univ.  
  marcooks@purdue.edu
- **Simich-Dudgeon, C.**  
  Indiana Univ.  
  csimichd@indiana.edu
- **Williams, Mary**  
  Indianapolis

### IOWA
- **Garcia, Eduardo**  
  Ames  
  egarcia@iastate.edu
- **O’Brien, Sheila**  
  Clarke College  
  sorien@keller.clarke.edu

### KANSAS
- **Armstrong, Penelope**  
  Pittsburg
- **Montgomery, Caroine**  
  Halstead

### KENTUCKY
- **Calvert, Berta**  
  Louisville
- **Jahnige, Joan**  
  Lexington  
  jjahnige@ket.org
- **Latkovski, Stephanie**  
  Louisville  
  slatk@iglou.com
- **Smith, Jane**  
  KET
- **Styles, Ruth**  
  KET  
  rstyles@ket.org
- **Welch, Thomas**  
  Nicholasville  
  twelch@jessamine.k12.ky.us
- **Worley, Linda**  
  U. Kentucky  
  lworley@pop.uky.edu

### MICHIGAN
- **Casady-Bowen, Nancy**  
  Houghton
- **King, Melissa**  
  Farmington
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MINNESOTA
Erickson, Nancy  Bemidji State nerickson@vaxl.bemidji.msus.edu
Pettersen Cheryl  Duluth cpetters@d.umn.edu
VanBuren Phylis  St. Cloud State U. pvanburen@stcloud.msus.edu

MISSOURI
Durante de Stroup, A.  St. Louis royaltis@worldnet.att.net
Fortuny, Delores  Branson
Shields, Christine  U. of Missouri
Tarnowski, Knut  St. Charles
Wahlers, Eldon  Central Methodist Col.

NEBRASKA
Caniglia, Kimberly  Omaha eharris@imcedu.ord
Harris, Elaine  Lincoln zouton@esu6.esu6.k12.ne.us
Louton, Zoe  Beatrice ameoller@uninfo.unl.edu
Moeller, Aleidine  UN-Lincoln

NORTH DAKOTA
Aarhus, Sonja  Wilton
Babb, Valorie  Minot babb@sendit.nodak.edu
Tyler, Janaan  Lansford jtyler@lansford.nodak.net

OHIO
Berg, Robert  Heidelberg College rberg@nike.heidelberg.edu
Haas, Margaret  Akron mhaas@kent.edu
Larmeu, Paul  Sinclair Com. Col. plarmeu@sinclar.edu
Latoja, Luis  Columbus SCC platoja@cougar.coltate.cc.oh.us
Marshall, Assunta  Dayton marshall@dayton.net
Menendez, Mary  Perrysburg mmend@uoft2.utoledo.edu
Moynor, Carl  Dayton
Perezszlenyi-Pinter, M.  J. Carroll U. mpereszlenyi@jcvaxa.jcu.edu
Twarog, Leon  Columbus

SOUTH DAKOTA
Leibiger, Carol  U. South Dakota cleibige@sundance.usd.edu

TENNESSEE
Forester, Sandra  Knoxville
Hughes, Todd  Vanderbilt U. hughestf@ctrvax.vanderbilt.edu
Leppig, Linda  Knoxville lleppig@learningio.com
Madigan, Kathleen  King College mkmadiga@king2.king.bristol.tn
Peel, Michael  Colledgadele Academy peel@southern.edu
Young, Dolly  U. Tennessee djyoung@utk.edu

WISCONSIN
Hasler, Stephanie  Reedsburg
Kasum, Mary  Thiensville mkasum@acs.stritch.edu
Kuntz, Patricia  MATC/MMSD/UW kuntz@doit.wisc.edu
Sotomayor, Maria  Milwaukee
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CSC State Organizations

ARKANSAS
Arkansas Foreign Language Teachers Association
DOE - Susan Grier
griers@arkedu.k12.ar.us

COLORADO
Colorado Congress of Foreign Language Teachers
DOE - Evelyne Donnelly

ILLINOIS
Illinois Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages
Illinois Foreign Language Teachers Association
BOE - Thomas Hansen
Anne-Marie Fuhrig
afuhrig@spr4.isbe.state.i.us

INDIANA
Indiana Foreign Language Teachers Association
DOE - Walter Bartz
wbartz@ideanet.doe.state.in.us

IOWA
Iowa Foreign Language Association
DOE - Paul Hoekstra
phoekst@max.state.ia.us

KANSAS
Kansas Foreign Language Association
http://www.johnco.cc.ks.us/-lovers/kflaxec.html
DOE - Maria Collins

KENTUCKY
Kentucky Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages
DOE - Lou Dillard

MICHIGAN
Michigan Foreign Language Association

MINNESOTA
Minnesota Council on the Teaching of Languages and Cultures

MISSOURI
Foreign Language Association of Missouri
DOE - Joel Judd
jjudd@mail.dese.state.mo.us

NEBRASKA
Nebraska Foreign Language Association
DOE - Mel Nielsen
Marie Trayer
mtrayer@esu3.eu3.k12.ne.us
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NORTH DAKOTA
Foreign Language Association of North Dakota
http://www.jc.edu/~stevenso.fland.html
DOE - ?? Valorie Babb

OHIO
Ohio Foreign Language Association
http://www.infinet.com/autremot/ofla.htm
DOE - Virginia Ballinger
  ae-ballinger@ode.ohio.gov

SOUTH DAKOTA
South Dakota Foreign Language Association
DOE - Connie Colwill

TENNESSEE
Tennessee Foreign Language Teachers Association
DOE - Katherine Pugh

WISCONSIN
Wisconsin Association of Foreign Language Teachers
http://www.execpc.com/~ehannan/waflt2.html
DPI - Paul Sandrock
  sandrsp@mail.state.wi.us
  Madeline Uraneck (Japanese)
  uranema@mail.state.wi.us
Appendix J

LCTL Organizations

National Council of Organizations of Less Commonly Taught Languages
http://www.councilnet.org

% National Foreign Language Center, 1619 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Suite 400, Washington, DC 20036
(202) 667-8100  fax (202) 667-6907
David Maxwell  <dmaxwell@mail.jhunwashington.jhu.edu>
Catherine Ingold  <cwingold@mail.jhunwashington.jhu.edu>

African Language Teachers Association
American Association of Teachers of Arabic
American Association of Teachers of Korean
American Association of Teachers of Slavic and East European Languages
American Association of Teachers of Turkic Languages
American Council of Teachers of Russian
Association of Teachers of Japanese
Cantonese Language Association
Chinese Language Association for Secondary/Elementary Schools
Chinese Language Teachers Association
Council of Teachers of Southeast Asian Languages
National Association of Professors of Hebrew
National Association of Self-Instructional Language Programs
North American Association of Teachers of Czech
National Council of Secondary Teachers of Japanese
Norwegian Teachers Association of North America
South Asian Language Teachers Association
### 1997 Participants from CSC Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARKANSAS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COLORADO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ILLINOIS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendale HS</td>
<td>Janet Akaike-Toste</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math &amp; Sci. Aca.</td>
<td>Husen, Julia</td>
<td>Russian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois, U/C</td>
<td>Pandhariapande, R.</td>
<td>Hindi/Sanskrit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDIANA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Univ.</td>
<td>Watt, Yasuko</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IOWA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa, U.</td>
<td>Everson, Michael</td>
<td>Chinese/Japanese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ke, Chuanren</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KANSAS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KENTUCKY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MICHIGAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan, U.</td>
<td>Broos, Antonius</td>
<td>Dutch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coffin, Edna</td>
<td>Hebrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dwyer, David</td>
<td>(African)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalamazoo C.</td>
<td>Chu, Madeline</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MINNESOTA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota, U.</td>
<td>Ilieva, Gariela</td>
<td>Hindi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janus, Louis</td>
<td>Norwegian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stenson, Nancy</td>
<td>Irish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEBRASKA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NORTH DAKOTA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OHIO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State U.</td>
<td>Alish, Mahdi</td>
<td>Arabic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Park, Chaneung</td>
<td>Korean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOUTH DAKOTA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TENNESSEE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WISCONSIN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison MetrSD</td>
<td>Kotenbeutel, Claire</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kuntz, Patricia</td>
<td>(African)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andreasson, A-M</td>
<td>Swedish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brenner, Rachel</td>
<td>Hebrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compton, Carol</td>
<td>Lao, Thai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cowell, Dustin</td>
<td>Arabic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hauner, Magdalena</td>
<td>Swahili</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>McGlone, Naomi</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mirkin, Bilha</td>
<td>Hebrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morahg, Gilead</td>
<td>Hebrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rafferty, Ellen</td>
<td>Indonesian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rifkin, Benjamin</td>
<td>Russian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schamilooglu, Uli</td>
<td>Tartar, Uzbek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schleicher, Antonia</td>
<td>Yoruba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taylor, Yolanda</td>
<td>Dutch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Verma, Manindra</td>
<td>Hindi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yuchtman, Haya</td>
<td>Hebrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin, Mil</td>
<td>Mazor, Yair</td>
<td>Hebrew</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Instructional Design Timetable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Development</th>
<th>Person Responsible</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Days Req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify your audience</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine course objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request department approval</td>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form DE team</td>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select/create lesson plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select technology for instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design/select visuals</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create/modify evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold first rehearsal on mock students</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine-tune course materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrange final rehearsal</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructor Training</th>
<th>Person Responsible</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Days Req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Select primary/alternate instructor</td>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train instructors on teaching with tech.</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold site instructor orientation</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Hold first rehearsal on mock students 40
Arrange final rehearsal 10
Have instructors travel to sites 10

Registration & Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Person Responsible</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Days Req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Market course to potential students</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare cost analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>245</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit proposal for funding</td>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify remote sites/facilitator</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generate tentative student list by site</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement learner support system at site</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail remote site packets</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold audio conference with site facilitator</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create list of actual students</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish connectivity each day</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 hr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collect evaluations</td>
<td></td>
<td>last day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-of-project review with DE Team</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 days after course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-course review meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 days after course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TEST COPY AVAILABLE
## Appendix L

**CIC - Learning Technology Initiative**

(Committee on Institutional Cooperation)

http://www.cic.net/CIC/LTI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Computer Division Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, Univ. of</td>
<td>Joel Mamretti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois, Univ. of (Chicago)</td>
<td>Gene Ruoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois, Univ. of (Urbana/Champaign)</td>
<td>Chung Laung Lieu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana University</td>
<td>Jeremy Dunning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa, Univ. of</td>
<td>John Folkins/Leslie Schrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan, Univ. of</td>
<td>Carl Berger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan State Univ.</td>
<td>Paul Hunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota, Univ. of</td>
<td>Donald Riley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern Univ.</td>
<td>Robert Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State Univ.</td>
<td>Robert Arnold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania State Univ.</td>
<td>John Harwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purdue University</td>
<td>George Van Scoyoc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin, Univ. of (Madison)</td>
<td>Kathy Christoph/Read Gilgen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin, Univ. of (Milwaukee)</td>
<td>Jessica Wirth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Languages Planned *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Languages</th>
<th>Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ancient Egyptian</td>
<td>Michigan &amp; Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>Michigan &amp; Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>Northwestern &amp; Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swahili</td>
<td>Northwestern, Minnesota, Wisconsin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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