

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 418 121

TM 028 215

AUTHOR Suleiman, Mahmoud; Moore, Rock
 TITLE An Alternative Approach for Language Arts and Social Studies Assessment.
 PUB DATE 1998-00-00
 NOTE 10p.; Portions of this paper presented at the Conference of the National Council for Social Studies (Anaheim, CA, November 20-22, 1998).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS Democracy; *Educational Assessment; Elementary Secondary Education; *Language Arts; Multicultural Education; *Social Studies; Standards; Teaching Methods; *Test Construction
 IDENTIFIERS *Alternative Assessment

ABSTRACT

This paper explores issues related to alternative assessment approaches in language arts and social studies classrooms. A rationale for a more comprehensive assessment approach within a democratic framework is developed, and ideas for constructing rubrics in language arts and social studies classrooms are presented. Pedagogical implications for the enhancement of professional teaching and creating a more democratic culture of learning are considered. As the example of a writing rubric demonstrates, standards or grading criteria for social studies and language arts activities must be clear, realistic, challenging, and consistently reinforced. Scoring criteria, or rubrics, can: (1) reduce biases by defining clearly excellent, good, or poor work; (2) have consistent guidelines understood by all parties; and (3) help students evaluate their own work and progress toward excellence. Democratic assessment procedures in tandem with tested and proven evaluation standards and tools can contribute to maximizing learning and improving teaching in schools. In addition, a democratic approach to assessment must be linked to the students' own expectations and background knowledge. (Contains nine references.) (SLD)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

An Alternative Approach for Language Arts and Social Studies Assessment

ED 418 121

By

**Mahmoud Suleiman, Ph.D.
Rock Moore, Ed.D.
Fort Hays State University
Hays, KS 67601**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Mahmoud
Suleiman

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Portions of this paper were presented at the National Council for Social Studies Conference

© 1998

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

An Alternative Approach for Language Arts and Social Studies Assessment

Mahmoud Suleiman
Rock Moore
Fort Hays State University

Introduction

The modern reform movement began in 1983 with a report that was published by the National Commission on Excellence in Education. This document put forth the notion that the United States was a nation is at risk. This was predicated in part on multifarious circumstances as well as the public's perception surrounding the nation's school system. To counter this turmoil, changes in curriculum, standards, assessment approaches, time in school, and pre-service teacher programs were revisited and recommendations made.

Then in 1986, both the Holmes group and the Carnegie Task Force released their findings to the public in which they caviled the poor performance of the teaching profession in general and the ineffectiveness of pre-service teacher programs in particular. The exigency was to redesign pre-service teacher programs that, in turn, would produce more adequately trained teachers. An example of this new cynosure is illustrated in the purpose of the first two goals of the Holmes group. In fact, the intent of these guidelines was specifically aimed at creating teacher pre-service programs that produced educators who were solid intellectually, and possessed high quality skills to teach in their specific subject areas (Howey, 1990). These high quality professional teachers would be competent, know their subject in a comprehensive manner, and could administer and assess the content in such an auspicious fashion that it would enhance and optimize classroom instruction.

The solution to various problems in schools has always been traced in many cases to classical democratic principles. For instance, Dewey (1966) contends that democracy must not be delinked from any avenue of human life. In particular, it must be integrated in all aspects of educational institutions such as schools. Once democracy is the axiom upon which learning and teaching are based, promising educational outcomes will follow.

Unquestionably, effective assessment and accountability are essential for high quality professional teaching. In particular, it is "critical for teachers who wish to create and sustain democratic cultures in their classrooms" (Lieber, Mikel, & Pervil, 1994, p.232), to invoke alternative standards in terms of the demands of the learning/teaching context along with the expectations of civic educational goals.

This paper explores these issues pertinent to alternative assessment approaches in language arts and social studies classrooms. First, an rationale for a more comprehensive assessment approach within the democratic framework will be provided. Second, ideas for constructing rubrics in language arts and social studies classrooms will be presented. Finally, pedagogical implications will be provided to enhance effective professional teaching and create a more democratic culture of learning in these classrooms.

Assessment: An Alternative Approach

Assessment has largely influenced by various paradigms and schools of thought. It has also been shaped by sociopolitical pressures in the school culture. This is especially true in the elementary and secondary school environments where interacting events are intricately related and often unpredictable. In such settings assessment can be viewed from multiple perspectives, approaches, and practices.

Broadly defined, assessment in educational settings involves making judgments about learning that takes place in classrooms in terms of given standards set by the school and other agencies. In other words, educational assessment is an attempt to account for students' acquisition of expected competencies within a set of variable of interest. The process directly influences both the assessed (students) and the those who assess (teachers).

It is worthwhile to examine the shift over the years from traditional to democratic assessment. It is not our intent to show preference of one approach over the other. Instead, a comparison would allow teachers to incorporate whatever works in the contest of their classrooms. While some techniques may seem appealing, others may raise some concerns. Nonetheless, all approaches are equally significant in meeting the assessment needs of the context at question.

Having this in mind, Lieber, Mikel, & Pervil (1994, pp. 235-238) outlined the paradigm shift in assessment. Following is a summary of their dichotomy along with the salient traits of each paradigm:

Traditional Paradigm

inequality
 monologue
 coercive
 dehumanizing
 external rewards
 contrived and disconnected
 limited choices
 autocratic decision making
 voice of authority
 single perspective
 cultural uniformity
 one approach
 predetermined goals
 competitive learning
 one-dimensional

Democratic Paradigm

equality
 dialogue
 invitational
 dignifying
 internal rewards
 authentic and purposeful
 free choices
 shared decision making
 student voice
 multiple perspectives
 cultural diversity
 multiple approaches
 emergent goals
 collaborative problem solving
 multi-dimensional . . .

Given the urgent need for an open inquiry in educational assessment that is free from any dogmatic militancy (McKenna, Robinson, & Miller, 1990), efforts to advance the reform agenda in assessing social studies and language skills have been underway as several national agencies, such as the National Council for the Social Studies (NCSS) and the National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE) and many others, to set standards in terms of the democratic paradigm. Their purpose was to develop a philosophical construct that would advance academic, social, linguistic as well as civic competence for curriculum developers of social studies and language arts. From this genesis evolved the formal definition of these areas in an attempt to integrate the study of the social sciences, language arts, humanities, and other content areas to promote civic and linguistic competence. Through this integration within the school program, social studies and language arts provide coordination, systematic study drawing upon such disciplines as anthropology, archaeology, economics, linguistics, ethnography, cultural pluralism, geography, history, law, philosophy, political science, psychology, religion, and sociology, as well as appropriate content from the humanities, mathematics, and natural sciences. The primary purpose such integration is to help young people develop the ability to make informed decisions for the public good as citizens of a culturally diverse, democratic society in an interdependent world (NCSS, 1994, p. vii).

It must be cautioned that this approach does not imply any set of mandated outcomes or establish a national curriculum for the social studies and language arts. It does,

however, suggest that an integrated social studies and language arts perspective should be one that is academically sound, multidisciplinary, and comprehensive.

The expectations for successfully incorporating these various themes would be in instituting benchmarks or guidelines for the integration of state, local, and classroom curricular plans. It was posited that these guidelines would then have a strong influence on classroom practice, enhancing student learning, and promoting appropriate and accurate student assessment. As this growth and change is fully realized, an integrated social studies and language arts curriculum would then emerge that benefits all diverse learners in the classrooms of today as well as tomorrow.

Meanwhile, there has been several counterreactions or counterreforms against the direction purposed by various modern educational reformers. One of these reactions can be categorized as the back to basics movement. Those who espouse such beliefs receive support in many quarters including various states' new education legislation as well as other mandated state assessment requirements.

However, in all likelihood, these efforts are doomed to fail. They are destined to failure not because the intent of the individuals or organizations is wrong per se; they will fail inevitably because this position is one of retrenchment rather than transformation. That is, the back to basics movement embraces a philosophical construct that puts faith in the notion of returning schools back to a simpler and kinder past. In this idealized state of reminiscing, teachers spend more time on the skills associated with reading, writing, and computation, and less on subjects like social studies, particularly in the primary grades. To examine the credibility of this view, an apparent concern needs to be addressed: Why choose the subjects of reading, writing, and math over other content areas? Does mastery of these competencies produce more academic or civic minded students or both? Obviously, these are the kinds of skills that many standardized tests assess and consequently the media reports to the public.

For a new teacher, this can be a very gelatinous situation. One may believe that all students need to be free to construct their own knowledge. However, sole reliance on one approach at the expense of another can reinforce biases and be self-serving to the students. On the opposite end of the spectrum is the teacher constructing the one and only "truth". Somewhere in between these two extremes are where most teachers function within a classroom.

What's more? Recent trends indicate that the more a teacher is explicit about their evaluation standards and criteria, the more students can understand and therefore embrace them. When standards are constructed jointly by local, state, parental groups, and teachers, the resulting criteria tend to be readily adopted.

Assessment Criteria and the Construction of Rubrics

Teachers in elementary schools are seen implementors of educational goals at the local, state, and national levels. In many cases they are to be held accountable for measuring the expected learning outcomes. The above alternative approach to assessment views teachers roles to be very critical. They are to be involved in not only consuming what research says about effective assessment tools, but producers of that research. Thus, their insight into the effectiveness of assessment should be incorporated in various guidelines.

Several questions arise when it comes to assessment in elementary schools. What are the pitfalls to be avoided in assessment? How can a given learning activity be measured? What are the criteria for measurement? What exactly does the teacher want? How does the teacher assess? These questions and others must be answered in a very delicate way conducive to equity and justice for those being assessed--the students.

Standards and Student Expectations

The standards or grading criteria for activities must be clear, realistic, challenging, and consistently reinforced. Certainly, standards are not the same as student expectations. Expectations are connected to previous achievement and perceptions held by both the student and teacher. Standards, on the other hand, should require the students to go at least one step farther than their current performance levels. Well written standards and grading criteria can help the professional teacher in several ways. These scoring criteria or rubrics can:

1. reduce biases by clearly defining what is meant by excellent, good, or poor work;
2. have consistent guidelines that are understood by all concerned parties;
3. help students evaluate their own work and progress towards excellence.

Example of Writing Rubric Framework

Understanding Frontier Life in the New Colonies

Question: How has the status of immigration changed since the first settlers came to the colonies? Discuss specific contributions made by these early immigrant settlers.

Scoring Writing Rubric

To be used by both the student and teacher

Score 5 - Excellent

Planning:

- I have completely filled out a student planning guide or outline for my paper.
- My plan will lead to a complete and accurate answer to the Assessment Question.

Information Processing:

- I combine facts from three or more sources; at least one is a primary source.
- I include at least two different formats.
- The facts I used are accurate, relevant, and fit the Assessment Question.
- I combined rich, relevant, and accurate facts and ideas that fit the Assessment Question.
- My research addressed at least two of the following perspective: ___Anthropology/Sociology, ___Civics/Government, ___History, ___Geography, ___Economics.
- I correctly used at least four sources. Two of these are different primary sources.
- Two of the four sources represent different source formats.
- I have noted these sources in my planning guide or annotated bibliography.

Integration/Complex Thinking:

- I answered the question reasonably and completely.
- I justify the use of my perspective and show the relationship to the Assessment Question.
- I provided an answer to the Assessment Question which was completely supported and reasonable.
- I demonstrated how two perspectives supported my answer to the Assessment Question.
- I applied my answer/solution to a related real-life issue in my conclusion.

Communication:

- My main idea is clear and is presented in a way appropriate to audience and purpose.
- My project is well organized and the presentation is strong.

Score 3 - Good

Planning:

- I have filled out most of a student planning guide or outline.
- Some parts of my planning guide may need improvement to lead to a complete answer to the Assessment Question.

Information Processing:

- I combine facts from two different sources.
- My primary source is not evident, is missing or I use only one format.
- Although my facts are accurate, some do not apply to the Assessment Question.
- My research addressed at least one of the following perspectives: ___Anthropology/Sociology, ___Civics/Government, ___History, ___Geography, ___Economics.
- I correctly used three sources. One of these is a primary source and I have used two source formats.

- _____ I have noted these sources in my planning guide or annotated bibliography.
- _____ I pulled together mostly relevant facts and ideas, but some may not apply to the Assessment Question.

Integration/Complex Thinking:

My answer was somewhat unreasonable.

- _____ I attempt to justify the use of my perspective.
- _____ The relationship to the Assessment Question is not clear.
- _____ I provided a reasonable answer to the Assessment Question, but only some of my information supported it.
- _____ I demonstrated how one perspective supported my answer to the Assessment Question.
- _____ I tried to apply my answer/solution to a related real-life issue but the connection was unclear.

Communication:

- _____ My main idea is fairly clear, but may be marginally appropriate for the audience and purpose.
- _____ My project is organized and the presentation is adequate.
- _____ My sentence structure and word choice are appropriate to the ideas I am trying to present.

Score 1 - Poor

Planning:

- _____ I have filled out part of a student planning guide or outline.
- _____ My plan would not lead to an answer to the Assessment Question.

Information Processing:

- _____ I use facts from one source to answer my Assessment Question.
- _____ The facts I use contain inaccurate information or do not apply to the Assessment Question.
- _____ My research included information that doesn't clearly represent the perspective(s) I chose.
- _____ I correctly used two or less sources.
- _____ I collected information that is inaccurate or does not apply to the Assessment Question.

Integration/Complex Thinking:

- _____ My answer was unreasonable. I do not explain why my perspective was chosen.
- _____ I provided some information but it was not clear how it answered the Assessment Question.
- _____ I attempted to demonstrate how one perspective supported my answer to the Assessment Question.
- _____ I do not apply my answer/solution to a related real life issue or failed to explain the connection.

Communication:

- _____ My main idea was not clear or was unrelated to the Assessment Question.
- _____ My project is unorganized and the presentation is hard to follow.
- _____ My sentence structure and word choice distracts from the idea(s) I am trying to present.

Example Rubric For Oral Assessment

Understanding Frontier Life in the New Colonies

Purpose: Assessing role-playing, simulations, speeches, classroom presentations, readings, etc.

Scoring Rubric for Oral Assessment

To be used by both the student and teacher

Score 5 - Excellent

- _____ Enthusiastic about Presenting/Talking.
- _____ Uses excellent expression.
- _____ Speaks in phrases or groups of words well.
- _____ Does not lose place while presenting.
- _____ Speaks at an appropriate rate of speed.
- _____ Comprehends what has been stated.
- _____ Answers questions from audience clearly.

Score 4 - Very Good

- _____ Appears to enjoy presenting/talking.
- _____ Uses good expression.
- _____ Speaks in phrases or groups of words fairly well.

- _____ Does not lose place while presenting.
- _____ Speaks at appropriate rate of speed.
- _____ Comprehends what has been read.
- _____ Answers questions from the audience clearly.

Score 3 - Good

- _____ Presents to fulfill the assignment.
- _____ Speaks at slow rate or speed.
- _____ Speaks in phrases or groups of words.
- _____ Comprehends what has been read.
- _____ Questions from the audience are answered partially.

Score 2 - Limited

- _____ Does not like to speak.
- _____ Speaks with little expression.
- _____ Speaks in short phrases or groups of words or may speak in a word-by-word manner.
- _____ Does not fully comprehend what is read.
- _____ Questions from the audience are answered with minimum effort.

Score 1 - Poor

- _____ Speaks because of assignment.
- _____ Speaks with no expression.
- _____ Speaks word-by-word or at a very slow rate of speed.
- _____ Does not comprehend what is read.
- _____ Questions from the audience receive only the most basic or no response at all.

Score 0 - Failed

- _____ Makes no attempt to present/talk.

Of course, several other strategies can be used to construct rubrics given the nature of the learning task at hand and given the expected educational outcomes in the classroom situation. For instance, if comprehending the causal chain in a story is the target goal in a reading class, a set of criteria can be generated in terms of implicit and explicit types of multi-mark and essay questions. Likewise, if a publishable written piece is the goal, another set of criteria can be created in terms of the basic principles of effective multi-trait writing which require a dynamic balance between content and form in students' written product.

In all these cases the value of assessment lies in the fact that effective instruction can be derived. Also effective feedback can be provided to help students overcome their weaknesses and capitalize on their strengths.

Pedagogical Implications

Democratic assessment procedures in tandem with tested and proven evaluation standards and tools can contribute to maximizing learning and improving teaching in schools. In particular, rubrics are an excellent way to assist students in receiving immediate and specific feedback, which contribute in a positive way to continued academic growth as well as future success. Although it is helpful for students to understand how they are to be assessed, it is just as important to have specific illustrations done by other students posted so that the students can see how the rubric and the assignment are evaluated together. This may demystify the grading process and may effectively lower students' anxiety as well as promote positive classroom climate. Also learning will be viewed as a goal for learning's sake rather than for obtaining a grade.

In addition, test manuals are provided within texts as well as other resource materials to help assess the students' progress. These assessments have been under attack in recent years because they typically measure lower cognitive recalling skills and have

inconsistent criteria. However, when used in conjunction with other assessment techniques, they can contribute valuable information to the teacher to help them design instruction that benefits all students.

Furthermore, a democratic approach to assessment must not be delinked from the students' expectations and background knowledge; i.e. it must be contextualized in the areas at hand. Gardner (1983; 1991) defines assessment as the obtaining of information about the skills and potentials of individuals, with the dual purpose of providing useful feedback to both the student as well as the teacher. This belief endorses the concept of giving students assessment in context--not at various times of the year, on certain days, or at a specific hour of every week that a student is in school. In fact, contained in this notion is the idea that assessment should become a part of the activities done daily in all subject areas. In other words, assessment is not a separate activity conducted as an alien component separate from the other classroom activities.

Capitalizing on the notion of context and featured multiple intelligence assessment, Campbell, Campbell, & Dickinson (1996) argue that spatial learners are creative thinkers and do what computers cannot. They abandon language when occasion demands and enter into other modes of thought. Specifically, these spatially creative thinkers are ambidextrous. In other words, they learn to think visually, which is vital to their integrated kind of mental activity.

Doing language and social studies is not an exception. Assessment tools and approaches must be implemented in myriad ways as profound as the mechanisms of language and social fluctuations. Finally, teachers must keep the following guidelines in mind when using the proposed assessment approach:

1. Establish a grading criteria that is posted and public.
2. Be aware of what specifically needs to be assessed.
3. Model and communicate the goal and function of the approach to the students.
4. Skills and assessment should relate directly to stated district/school goals.
5. Skills and assessment should also relate to grade level equivalency.
6. Examine the social studies or language textbook that you use in your school.
7. Compare stated objectives to the school's, state's standards or national standards.
8. Adapt the prescribed standards to the learning/teaching context.
9. Derive instruction from assessment and vice versa.

Conclusion

This paper has provided a general framework for assessment in language arts and social studies classroom. A rationale to combine various formal and informal assessment techniques in elementary schools is implied in the discussion. Also ideas regarding constructing rubrics and generating criteria items are presented. Given the intricate relation between assessment and instruction, several pedagogical implications are presented. It is our hope that the information presented will contribute to the effective teaching practices in the 21st century democratic classrooms.

References

- Campbell, L., Campbell, B., & Dickinson, D. (1996). *Teaching and learning through multiple intelligences*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Carnegie Forum on Education and the Economy. (1986). *A nation prepared: Teachers for the 21st. century, the report of the task force on teaching as a profession*. Washington, DC.
- Dewey, J. (1966). *Democracy in education: An introduction to the philosophy of education*. New York: The Free Press.
- Gardner, H. (1991). *To open minds*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. New York: Basic Books.
- Howey, K. (1990). Reforming education/the Holmes agenda. *Theory Into Practice*, 29(1), 1-5.

- Lieber, C., Mikel, E., & Pervil, S. (1994). Radical changes in assessment: A catalyst for democratic education. In J. M. Novak (ed.), *Democratic teacher education: Programs, processes, problems, and prospects*. New York: SUNY Press.
- McKenna, M., Robinson, R., & Miller, J. (1990). *Whole language and the need for open inquiry: A rejoinder to Edelsky*. *Educational Researcher*, 19(8), 12-13.
- National Council for Social Studies Task Force on Curriculum Standards for the Social Studies. *Curriculum standards for social studies: Expectations of excellence*. (1994). Bulletin 89. Washington, DC: National Council for Social Studies.

TM 028215



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: An Alternative Approach for Language Arts and Social Studies Assessment	
Author(s): Mahmoud F. Suleiman, Ph.D., & Rock Moore	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.

XX

Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Sample sticker to be affixed to document



Check here

Permitting microfiche (4" x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media reproduction.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)"

Level 1

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)"

Level 2

or here

Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy.

Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: <i>M. F. Suleiman</i>	Position: Assistant Professor
Printed Name: Mahmoud F. Suleiman, Ph.D.	Organization: Fort Hays State University
Address: College of Education, FHSU 600 Park Street Hays, KS 67601	Telephone Number: (913) 628-5852
	Date: 2-16-98

