ABSTRACT
        This paper discusses management strategies based on feminist
social psychological principles, applies them to the administration of small
colleges, and suggests their effectiveness for dealing with intelligent and
independent faculty members. The principles cited are: (1) individuals are
located in a sociological context that creates differences in perspectives;
(2) peoples' perspectives are a function of their power in a sociocultural
structure; (3) people are active agents who use diverse behaviors and
strategies to cope and grow; (4) multiple perspectives are more useful than
dichotomous ones; and (5) connection is the basis for human interaction and
collaboration. The management strategies derived from these principles
include: analyze social context to focus on structural change rather than on
individual behavior; avoid attributions to individual personalities; be aware
of environmental stresses which may produce dysfunctional behaviors; avoid
oversimplification and false dichotomies; and use participatory and
consensus-building decision making. These management styles are likened to
traditional governance in 19th century colleges, in which a president was a
member of the faculty. Difficulties and challenges in applying these
principles and strategies are also addressed such as when a different style
of leadership is perceived as a lack of leadership. A chart summarizes
principles, strategies, and difficulties. (BF)

Managing a Small Cat House, or, Applications of Feminist Social Psychology

to Academic Administration in Small Colleges

Margaret E. Madden

Lawrence University

An old joke among higher education administrators is that managing faculty is like herding cats: even if one can get the group to move in one direction, many will veer off in other directions or circle around aimlessly. As an academic affairs administrators, I argue that, given the feline tendencies of faculty members, (i.e., independent, intelligent, principled, and stubborn), management based on feminist social psychological principles is both effective and fair, in an application of feminist principles for psychology curricula that were developed at the National Conference on Feminist Training and Practice (Chin & Russo, in press). The principles on which my analysis are based are that 1) individuals are located in a sociocultural context that creates differences in perspectives based on cultural, racial, and other dimensions; 2) peoples' perspectives are a function of their power in a sociocultural structure; 3) people are active agents who use diverse behaviors and strategies to cope and grow within various environments; 4) multiple perspectives are more useful than dichotomous ones, which are both ineffective and unrealistic; and, 5) connection is the basis for human interaction and collaboration is important.

These principles lead to a number of management strategies:

Analyze the social context in which peoples' behavior occurs and focus on appropriate structural change to facilitate change in individual behavior, rather than the reverse.

2

Avoid attributions to individual personalities and understand that power relationships that are involved in most situations. Understand that as an administrator one is perceived as having a certain kind of power that influences how one's actions are understood. Most administrators probably feel they have less power than faculty members think they do, so it is easy to forget the nature of the relationship.

Academic reward systems sometimes encourage behavior which may seem dysfunctional or unhealthy, but which may represent ways to cope with environments that do not permit more seemingly health ways of behaving.

People in academic environments love to set up false dichotomies and straw arguments, which inevitably involve oversimplifying and falsely dichotomizing arguments. Complex problems need to be treated as just that, complex.

Participatory and consensus-based decision making is far more satisfying for participants and produces results and plans that people can buy into more readily.

These approaches also pose challenges.

Analyzing social context is difficult when one is dealing with individuals whose day to day behavior is not visible to you. It's hard to get sufficient evidence to assess the contextual features of situations.

There are times when people behave badly and have to be told so, particularly when people who have higher status are abusive in their interactions with people with less status, such as tenured faculty members taking advantage of nontenured faculty members.

I'm human, too, and I get annoyed at people, especially when they attack me or others personally. It is sometimes very difficult not to let that emotion color one's analysis of events.

Avoiding simplistic analyses leads to complex solutions, but people tend to look for administrators to "fix things" easily and may criticize or fail to understand complicated solutions.

Others won't always "play fair" and may undermine attempts at consensus building. *Consensus building takes time and can be frustratingly slow* and not be seen as decisive. People who don't understand that what you are doing is deliberate may perceive you as *not being capable of "real leadership"* and you may have to educate people about the nature of leadership as well as what you are doing, which may be distracting from the real tasks.

The advantages are worth the difficulties. These strategies are effective and produce long-term change, rather than superficial tinkering with programs. The opportunity to encourage talented people, in a manner which is consistent with the mission of educational institutions to promote enlightenment and political and personal goals is very satisfying.

Furthermore, I would argue that this kind of management is optimal for dealing with people with feline tendencies. Leadership styles which direct without consultation and fail to take into account the contextual influences and complexity of issues are perceived as insulting by intelligent people who chose to work in academic settings for the collegiality of working with other interesting people. I would argue that directive leadership styles have contributed to the adversarial relationships between administrators

and faculty members which is pervasive on our campuses. Interestingly, feminist management styles hearken back to the tradition of faculty governance that was established at colleges in the 19th century, and which small liberal arts colleges like mine still tend to emulate, in which the President was one of the faculty and made decisions for the sake of the institution with them. Without adopting the patrician and patriarchal features of those colleges, perhaps a return to the past would not be a bad thing.

Reference

Chin, J. L., & Russo, N. F. (in press). Feminist curriculum development: Principles and resources. In J. Worell and N. Johnson (Eds.), Feminist visions: New directions for research and practice. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Principles *	Derivative Management Strategies	Challenges and Difficulties
1. Individuals are located in a sociocultural context that creates differences in perspectives based on cultural, racial, and other dimensions.	Analyze the social context in which peoples' behavior occurs and focus on appropriate structural change to facilitate change in individual behavior, rather than the reverse.	*Analyzing social context is difficult when one is dealing with individuals whose day to day behavior is not visible to you. It's hard to get sufficient evidence to assess contextual features of situations.*
2. Peoples' perspectives are a function of their power in a sociocultural structure.	Avoid attributions to individual personalities and understand that power relationships that are involved in most situations. Understand that as an administrator one is perceived as having a certain kind of power that influences how one's actions are understood. Most administrators probably feel they have less power than faculty members think they do, so it is easy to forget the nature of the relationship.	*There are times when people behave badly and have to be told so, particularly when people who have higher status are abusive in their interactions with people with less status, such as tenured faculty members taking advantage of nontenured faculty members.* *I'm human, too, and I get annoyed at people, especially when they attack me or others personally. It is sometimes very difficult not to let that emotion color one's analysis of events.*
3. People are active agents who use diverse behaviors and strategies to cope and grow within various environments.	Academic reward systems sometimes encourage behavior which may seem dysfunctional or unhealthy, but which may represent ways to cope with environments that do not permit more seemingly health ways of behaving.	*Administrators who want to empower other people to make change walk a fine line between encouraging and instigating, which may create reactance or be dangerous politically.*

* Derived from: Chin, J. L., & Russo, N. F. (in press). Feminist curriculum development: Principles and resources. In J. Worell and N. Johnson (Eds.), Feminist visions: New directions for research and practice. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Principles *	Derivative Management Strategies	Challenges and Difficulties
4. Multiple perspectives are more useful than dichotomous ones, which are both ineffective and unrealistic.	People in academic environments love to set up false dichotomies and straw arguments, which inevitably involve oversimplifying and falsely dichotomizing arguments. Complex problems need to be treated as just that, complex.	*Avoiding simplistic analyses leads to complex solutions, but people tend to look for administrators to "fix things" easily.*
5. Connection is the basis for human interaction and collaboration is important.	Participatory and consensus-based decision making is far more satisfying for participants and produces results and plans that people can buy into more readily.	*Others won't always "play fair" and may undermine attempts at consensus building. Consensus building takes time and can be frustratingly slow and not be seen as decisive. People who don't understand that what you are doing is deliberate may perceive you as not being capable of "real leadership" and you may have to educate people about the nature of leadership as well as what you are doing, which may be distracting from the real tasks.*

Madden, Margaret E. (1997). *Managing a small cat house, or, applications of feminist social psychology to academic administration in small colleges.* Presented in symposium entitled *Feminist Psychology in Academic Administration: Opportunity or Oxymoron?* at the American Psychological Association, Chicago.

ERIC®

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Managing a small cat house, or, applications of feminist social psychology to academic administration in small colleges

Author(s): Margaret E. Madden

Corporate Source: Paper presented at American Psychological Association, August 1997

Publication Date: 1997

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all **Level 1** documents

[X] Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) *and* paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____
Sample
_____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all **Level 2** documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____
Sample
_____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

[ ] Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

Sign here→ please

Signature:

Printed Name/Position/Title: Margaret E. Madden, Prof. of Psychology and Associate Dean of the Faculty

Organization/Address: Office of the Dean of the Faculty Lawrence University Appleton, WI 54912

Telephone: 920-832-7360

FAX: 920-832-6978

E-Mail Address: Margaret.E.Madden@Lawrence.edu

Date: 12/22/97

APA '97

(over)

ERIC CASS

COUNSELING AND STUDENT
SERVICES CLEARINGHOUSE

Dear 1997 APA Presenter:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Counseling and Student Services invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a written copy of the presentation you made at the American Psychological Association's 105th Annual Convention in Chicago August 15-19, 1997. Papers presented at professional conferences represent a significant source of educational material for the ERIC system. We don't charge a fee for adding a document to the ERIC database, and authors keep the copyrights.

As you may know, ERIC is the largest and most searched education database in the world. Documents accepted by ERIC appear in the abstract journal Resources in Education (RIE) and are announced to several thousand organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, counselors, and educators; provides a permanent archive; and enhances the quality of RIE. Your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of RIE, through microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the country and the world, and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). By contributing your document to the ERIC system, you participate in building an international resource for educational information. In addition, your paper may listed for publication credit on your academic vita.

To submit your document to ERIC/CASS for review and possible inclusion in the ERIC database, please send the following to the address on this letterhead:

(1)   Two (2) laser print copies of the paper,
(2)   A signed reproduction release form (see back of letter), and
(3)   A 200-word abstract (optional)

Documents are reviewed for contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality. Previously published materials in copyrighted journals or books are not usually accepted because of Copyright Law, but authors may later publish documents which have been acquired by ERIC. However, should you wish to publish your document with a scholarly journal in the future, please contact the appropriate journal editor prior to submitting your document to ERIC. It is possible that some editors will consider even a microfiche copy of your work as "published" and thus will not accept your submission. In the case of "draft" versions, or preliminary research in your area of expertise, it would be prudent to inquire as to what extent the percentage of duplication will effect future publication of your work. Finally, please feel free to copy the reproduction release for future or additional submissions.

Sincerely,

Jillfan Barr Joncas
Assistant Director for Acquisitions and Outreach

School of Education
201 Ferguson Building   P.O. Box 26171
University of North Carolina at Greensboro
Greensboro, NC 27402-6171
800/414.9769
910/334.4114
FAX: 910/334.4116
-mail: ericcas2@dewey.uncg.edu

ERIC