

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 417 169

SP 037 815

AUTHOR King, Dan L.; Taylor-King, Sheila
 TITLE The Policy-Making Influence of Teacher Educators on Professional Teaching Standards Boards.
 PUB DATE 1998-02-27
 NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (50th, New Orleans, LA, February 27, 1998).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS College Faculty; Educational Quality; Elementary Secondary Education; Faculty Development; Higher Education; Preservice Teacher Education; *State Standards; Teacher Certification; *Teacher Educators; *Teacher Influence; Teacher Role

ABSTRACT

A survey examined the perceived influence of teacher educators on the 13 autonomous state teaching standard boards now in existence. A total of 125 board members, selected at random from the directories of the boards, received the mailed survey, and 73 percent responded. According to the results, all non-teacher educator board members rated the influence of teacher educators higher than did the teacher educators themselves. Non-teacher educators identified four themes that inhibited expanded influence among board members: (1) defensiveness about criticisms of the quality of teacher education, (2) inclinations of teacher education institution representatives to represent positions of their home institutions to the exclusion of broader perspectives, (3) general resistance to considering alternative means of acquiring knowledge and competencies necessary to qualify for initial teacher licensure, and (4) insufficient demonstration of commitment to the board's work. Most teacher educators expressed malaise regarding their influence or the possibility of expanded influence on the board. They stated a belief that teachers and narrowly-defined teacher interests dominated board decisions. They felt that their influence was limited by their role, which put them outside of the most influential realm. Both teacher educators and non-teacher educators believed that teacher educators could make greater contributions to the work of professional teaching standards boards, but both groups believed teacher educators were limited in their influence by different factors. (SM)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

The Policy-Making Influence of Teacher Educators on Professional Teaching Standards Boards

Dan L. King, Professor
College of Education • University of Wyoming

Sheila Taylor-King, Assistant Professor
Casper College Center • University of Wyoming

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

*Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Association of Colleges
for Teacher Education, New Orleans, Louisiana, February 27, 1998*

One expressed purpose of the National Education Association is to advance the interests of the profession of teaching. To this end, the association has identified the following ten professional items which, if accomplished, would significantly contribute to the advancement of the profession:

1. Recruiting capable and promising students into teaching;
2. Setting and enforcing high standards for entry into teaching;
3. Setting and guaranteeing high standards in teacher preservice programs;
4. Establishing and enforcing high standards for all students graduating from preservice teaching programs;
5. Implementing sound teacher intern programs;
6. Guaranteeing that high standards are met for initial licensing;
7. Ensuring that quality new-teacher induction programs exist in all school

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

D. King

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

systems;

8. Requiring that high standards are established and adhered to for the continuation of teachers' licenses;

9. Ensuring that teacher-driven and quality-based professional development is available and enjoyed by all licensed teachers; and

10. Making advanced certification programs available to all teachers.

Thus, it is not surprising that state NEA affiliates have led efforts in several states to establish autonomous teaching standards boards. The boards, which now number 13 nationally¹ comprise a total of 169 members. Of this total membership, almost 12 percent (n=20) are teacher educators; however, the actual number of teacher educator representatives on state-level teaching standards boards ranges from zero to 23 percent.

A survey was developed to determine the perceived influence of teacher educators on the 13 autonomous state teaching standards boards. The survey was sent to 125 board members who were selected at random from among the directory lists of the various boards. After two mailings, a response rate of 73 percent was achieved.

Responses to survey items are reported in the following table:

¹ Autonomous teaching standards boards have been established in the following states: California, Georgia, Hawaii, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Minnesota, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, Texas, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

Item	Responses of teacher education members	Responses of all other board members
In general, to what degree are the teacher education institutional representatives on your teaching standards board held in high esteem by other members of the board? (5 = very high esteem)	3.1	3.7
To what extent do teacher educator representatives on your board influence the development of policies regarding standards for initial licensure of teachers? (5 = great deal of influence)	3.1	4.2
... continuing licensure of teachers? (5 = great deal of influence)	3.0	4.2
... requirements for continuing professional development? (5 = great deal of influence)	3.2	4.0
... standards for initial licensure of administrators? (5 = great deal of influence)	2.8	3.1

In every instance, non-teacher educator board members rated the influence of teacher educators as higher than did the teacher educators themselves. Comments by board members made in response to open-ended items were revealing. Non-teacher educator representatives identified four themes which inhibit expanded influence among

board members: (1) defensiveness about criticisms of the quality of teacher education; (2) inclinations of teacher education institution representatives to represent the positions of their home institutions to the exclusion of broader perspectives; (3) general resistance to considering alternative means of acquiring knowledge and competencies necessary to qualify for initial teacher licensure; and (4) insufficient demonstration of commitment to the board's work. (This last item is noteworthy because it was mentioned by representatives from more than ½ of the state boards. Specific negative behaviors cited were frequent absence from meetings and failure to read background materials and complete required pre-meeting preparation assignments.)

In three-quarters of the responses from teacher educator board members, the respondents expressed a malaise regarding their influence or the possibility of expanded influence on the board. In general, teacher education board members stated a belief that teachers and narrowly-defined teacher interests dominate board decisions.

Two groups of board members—teacher educators and non-teacher educators—each expressed the belief that teacher educators could make greater contributions to the work of professional teaching standards boards. Teacher educators expressed a dispirited opinion that their influence was limited by their role which—by definition—put them outside of the most influential realm. Non-teacher educators opined that the influence of teacher educators was more likely limited by individual behavioral/performance characteristics rather than by uncontrollable external influences.

There are at least two possible explanations for the observed differences in perception of influence. One—and, perhaps the simplest—explanation is that as a group, teacher education representatives on professional teaching standards boards take their work less seriously than do their counterpart K-12 teaching profession representatives. A second explanation—one that might provide a rationale for the reported “parochial perspective” of teacher educators, but not one that explains the criticisms of teacher educators being under prepared for standards boards meetings—is that the teacher educators on the boards frequently bring a perspective of practicality to discussions of principle. Their contributions may include discussions of why or why not certain matters under discussion are practical or impractical in a higher education setting.

Whichever of the above explanations is accurate, teacher educators need to be concerned about the perception of their status when serving on or working with state-level professional teaching standards boards. Although other board members rated teacher education representatives higher than the teacher educators rated themselves, comments indicated two possible areas of concern. First, teacher educator representatives on standards boards need to be very attentive to pre-meeting assignments and must demonstrate a level of preparation at least at the level of other board members. Secondly, teacher educators board members should be sensitive to the use of language which give the impression of parochial perspective. Although it is important for standards boards to know about the practical limitations of matters under consideration, teacher education

representatives should avoid the use of “at my institution” language and, instead, should discuss limitations or practical matters from a more generalized higher education perspective.

A report, *Characteristics of Independent State Teacher Professional Standards Boards*, was prepared by the National Education Association. For further information, contact: NEA Teacher Development Team members, Nesa Chappelle (202/822-7701, nchappelle@nea.org), Chuck Williams (202/822-77033, chwilliams@nea.org), or Aurora Arcilla (202/822-7392, aarcilla@nea.org).



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: THE POLICY-MAKING INFLUENCE OF TEACHER EDUCATORS ON PROFESSIONAL TEACHING STANDARDS BOARDS	
Author(s): DAN L. KING & SHEILA TAYLOR-KING	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: 2-27-98

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1

↑

Level 2A

↑

Level 2B

↑

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, → please

Signature: 	Printed Name/Position/Title: DAN L. KING, PROFESSOR	
Organization/Address: UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING LARAMIE, WY 82071-3374	Telephone: 307-766-6472	FAX: 307-745-6711
	E-Mail Address: DKING@UWYO.EDU	Date: 2-5-98



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: <p style="text-align: center;">THE ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON TEACHING AND TEACHER EDUCATION ONE DUPONT CIRCLE, SUITE 610 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-1186 (202) 293-2450</p>

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598**

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>