DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 414 549 CG 028 212

AUTHOR Homeyer, Linda E.; Landreth, Garry L.

TITLE Play Therapy Behaviors of Sexually Abused Children.

PUB DATE 1994-00-00

NOTE 31p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Adolescents; Child Abuse; *Child Behavior; Children;

Elementary Education; *Evaluation Criteria; Evaluation

Methods; Play; *Play Therapy; Sex Differences; *Sexual Abuse

IDENTIFIERS Play Style

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to identify play therapy behaviors of sexually abused children. Surveys were sent to members of the Association for Play Therapy, of which 249 respondents, who worked with 16 or more sexually abused children, were used. Results indicate that there are identifiable and highly interrelated PTBs of sexually abused children, particularly boys and girls from 3 to 10 years of age. The dissociative PTBs found in this study suggest that girls and boys dissociate differently in response to sexual abuse. To dissociate, boys may require more tactile (water, sand, or both) and visual stimulation (reenactment of the abuse) than girls. Findings imply that girls probably dissociate more easily than boys. Sexually abused children in three of the four groups (boys, 3-6 years; boys, 7-10 years; girls, 7-10 years) frequently exhibit nurturing PTBs, which may reflect these children's perception of insufficient nurturing in their environments to meets their needs. Suggested use of the PTBs include assessments to detect sexual abuse, and recommendations for child placement and/or court testimony. (RJM)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

* from the original document.



Running Head: PLAY THERAPY BEHAVIORS

Play Therapy Behaviors of Sexually Abused Children

Linda E. Homeyer

Southwest Texas State University

Garry L. Landreth

University of North Texas

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



Abstract

The purpose of this survey research was to identify play therapy behaviors of sexually abused children. To obtain the largest number of viable responses the survey was sent to the members of the Association for Play Therapy. The initial 140 items, identified as typical of sexually abused children, were found to be interrelated. Further analysis identified highly interrelated play therapy behaviors of sexually abused girls and boys from 3 to 10 years of age. Also identified were differences between gender and age groups. Suggested use of the play therapy behaviors include assessments to detect sexual abuse, recommendations for child placement and/or court testimony.



Identifying child victims of sexual abuse and validating this abuse is a difficult process (Berliner, 1988; Berliner & Conte, 1993; Jackson & Nutall, 1993). The most irrevocable evidence, medical, is rare (Adams & Wells, 1993; Gray, 1993; MacFarlane, et al., 1988; Meyers, 1993; Muram, 1989; Sgroi, 1982). The problem of identification is compounded in that only 26% of sexually abused children between the ages of three and seventeen years disclose sexual abuse on purpose; for preschoolers, purposive disclosure occurs only 9% of the time (Sorensen & Snow, 1991). False accusations of sexual abuse or misinterpretations by adults, often seen in divorce and custody cases, additionally complicate the process of validation (Berliner & Conte, 1993).

When sexual abuse was first studied, children's behavior revealed the effects of this abuse. These effects became the behavioral indicators used to help identify children who might be victims of sexual abuse. There are several widely recognized lists of the effects of sexual abuse that serve as indicators of sexual abuse (American Medical Association, 1985; Finkelhor, 1986; Gil, 1991; Sgroi, 1982). Child protective services workers, law enforcement officers, counselors, school teachers, and other professionals use these lists of behavioral indicators as "red flags" of abuse. Such lists may contain as few as six (Finkelhor, 1986) to as many as 70 behavioral indicators (Lew, 1988). Any single behavioral indicator on these lists may be the result of another stressor, such as parent's divorce, birth of a sibling, death of a significant person, or a normal reaction to various developmental stages. While other causes may explain any single indicator, several indicators displayed by a child may suggest the possibility of sexual abuse and, therefore, the need for further exploration into the possibility of abuse (Gil, 1991; Sgroi, 1982). Currently, there is no comparable list of play therapy behaviors.



Some effects noted in existing lists of behavioral indicators of sexual abuse might be found in the play therapy playroom; however, most would not. Behavior of a child in the play therapy room is often different from the behavior exhibited by that same child outside the play therapy room. For example, enuresis is frequently a behavior that leads parents to take their child for play therapy. Enuresis is also a regressive behavior frequently listed as an effect or behavioral indicator of sexual abuse, particularly for young children (American Medical Association, 1985; Lew, 1988). However, one would not typically see enuretic behavior in the play therapy room. Nevertheless, an enuretic child will express self in a variety of ways. Enuresis, for the child who is experiencing sexually abuse, can be an attempt at keeping oneself safe by trying to keep the abuser at a distance: "If I'm wet with urine the abuser won't want to touch me." The child's play therapy behavior may take the form of keeping the play therapist (adult) at a distance by playing out of the sight of the play therapist or frequently going in and out of the play therapy room (Everstine & Everstine, 1989; Gil, 1991).

Although there have been several studies of the play therapy behavior (PTB) of maladjusted children (Hendricks, 1971; Howe & Silvern, 1981; Moustakas, 1955; Oe, 1989; Perry, 1988; Withee, 1975), there have been no studies to identify the specific play therapy behaviors of sexually abused children. Referral to play therapy frequently occurs with the hope that within the safety of the therapeutic setting the child will disclose sexual abuse, should such exist. Additionally, children in play therapy for a presenting problem other than sexual abuse, may begin displaying behavior that the play therapist interprets as reflecting sexual abuse. However, without any research on such behaviors, the play therapist finds it difficult to make a professional assessment, comply with state laws regarding the reporting of abuse, or otherwise handle the case appropriately. Thus, the identification of specific play therapy behaviors



associated with sexual abuse will help mental health professionals in identifying, protecting and providing needed therapy for sexually abused children.

PROCEDURE

Instrument Development

The process to develop a survey instrument included three major steps. First, a review of the professional literature resulted in a list of 115 PTBs of sexually abused children.

Organization of these PTBs resulted in thematic categories of play (aggressive, nurturing, regressive, sexualized, washing/cleansing, conflicted, dissociative, and uncategorized) and types of PTB (toy play, sand box play, art, interaction toward/with the therapist, and verbalizations).

Second, a panel of five renown experts in the field of play therapy established internal validity. The expert panel deleted PTBs they believed sexually abused children did not display and/or added PTBs they believed sexually abused children do display. They added 74 PTBs, deleted nine items, rewrote 25 items, and combined two items. All panel members approved this final list of 178 items for the field test.

The field test survey instrument was developed from the approved list of PTBs. Deletion of the thematic categories of PTBs (such as aggressive play, nurturing play, conflicted play, etc.) occurred to avoid unduly influencing the participants filling out the survey instrument. It was believed that the types of play (such as toy play, sand box play, etc.) would not bias the participants and thus were retained. A Likert scale reflecting frequency of the PTB used the following identified labels: 1, Never; 2, Very Seldom; 3, Seldom; 4, Often; 5, Very Often. To identify gender and age-based behaviors, this Likert scale was added to the categories of Boys, Age 3-6; Girls, Age 3-6; Boys, Age 7-10; Girls, Age 7-10. Therefore, the participant filled out the survey rating each PTB four times, using the Likert scale for each gender and age category.



Ten demographic questions regarding the play therapist were: Gender; Age; Highest Academic Degree; Discipline; Job Setting; Experience in Conducting Play Therapy; Training in Play Therapy; Number of Graduate Courses; Clock Hours in Professional Workshops/Conferences; Number of Sexually Abused Children in Play Therapy (individual cases); Average Number of Sessions with Sexually Abused Child Clients; and the Percentage of (the participant's) Weekly Practice with Sexually Abused Children in Play Therapy.

The third step in the development of the survey instrument was a field test to establish external validity. Thirty selected play therapists, identified based on their professional status as play therapists, received the survey instrument. They resided in Texas and California, many specialized in play therapy in graduate school, and were currently seeing several sexually abused children in play therapy. The field test participants completed the instrument and made written comments regarding clarity and usability. The 21 returned field test surveys were analyzed using Principal Components Analysis with Varimax Rotation. Initial review of the items for retention was at the typical loading of ±.30 (Tabachnick & Fidell, 1983). At this loading, only one of the 178 original items would be deleted, thus resulting in a lengthy instrument Therefore, a decision was made to retain only those items that remained at a loading of ±.50. On this basis, 38 items were identified and the panel of experts unanimously agreed to drop them. This higher loading resulted in a stronger, more powerful, and shorter instrument.

Although their loading was less than ±.50. retained following the field test were four items: 1) child washing own body and/or genitals, +.454; 2) rubbing sand on genitals and thighs, -.483; 3) washing self with sand (clearly cleansing, not sexual play), +.481; 4) burying aggressor symbol, -.457. These items were retained because "common knowledge" of play therapists held these to be PTB's of sexually abused children.



Participants

The population for this study was the 2,541 members of the Association for Play Therapy (APT). The APT membership consisted of a wide range of mental health professionals such as counselors, social workers, psychologists, psychiatric nurses, and psychiatrists.

The initial mailing included a cover letter, a survey instrument with demographic questions, a request for results card, and a self-addressed return envelope. Follow-up post card reminder mailings occurred, one week and four weeks following the initial mailing, to ensure the highest number of possible responses (Borg & Gall, 1989; Dillman, 1978).

As anticipated a large percentage, 46.18%, of the 786 APT members who replied were not seeing sexually abused children in play therapy and completed only the demographics page. Eliminating this group left a total of 423 (53.82%) completed surveys. To ensure the strongest and most robust findings possible, the only data used came from the play therapists most experienced in working with sexually abused children. Therefore, the data analyzed came from the 249 respondents who worked with 16 or more sexually abused children.

Demographics of Selected Participants

The typical play therapist who worked with 16 or more sexually abused children was female (87.1%), 40-50 years of age (40.6%) with a Masters degree (77.5%) in Counseling (50.2%) or Social Work (28.1%). Nearly one-third of these play therapists had more than four graduate courses in play therapy (29.3%) or 110 or more clock hours in play therapy training at conferences, workshops, or both (32.9%). Slightly more than half (51.1%) of the play therapists had over seven years of experience conducting play therapy. The largest single job setting was private practice (46.2%). Combining the job settings of child and family agencies with mental health and counseling agencies accounted for an additional 42.6% of the participants. Only 2.8%



of those respondents seeing sexually abused children were working in a psychiatric hospital setting. Surprisingly, with managed care companies pushing for brief therapy, 71.9% of the respondents averaged over 21 sessions with their sexually abused child clients.

Sexually abused children accounted for 26 - 50% of the weekly client load of 72 (28.9%) of the participants. Forty-seven (18.9%) of the participants had a weekly client load of 51-75% of sexually abused children. For 26 (10.4%) of the participants, 76-100% of their weekly case load consisted of sexually abused children.

RESULTS

Principal Components Analysis with Varimax Rotation, a form of factor analysis, was run to identify clusters of highly related PTBs. This statistical analysis was repeated for each of seven groups (All Children; All Boys; All Girls; Boys, 3-6 Years; Girls, 3-6 Years; Boys, 7-10 Years; Girls, 7-10 Years). No item scored below the typical loading of ±.30 indicating a high level of interrelatedness of all 140 PTBs on the survey instrument (Tabachnik & Fidell, 1983; Table 1).

[insert Table 1]

The fact of the interrelatedness of the 140 items is an important finding of this research:

There are identifiable PTB's of sexually abused children. This large number of 140 PTBs gives some insight into the difficulty which play therapists have in identifying sexually abused children. There are, simply put, a very large number of PTBs that, in some way, relate to the play of sexually abused children.

A list of 140 PTBs is unwieldy and not useful for the typical play therapist in detecting possible sexual abuse. Therefore, review of each of the seven groups occurred at the loadings of



 \pm .50, \pm .55 and \pm .60. Each time the loading level increased, nearly half of the remaining items were eliminated (Table 2). This resulted in a total of 22 PTBs at the \pm .60 loading for All Children.

[insert Table 2]

Additionally, to help in making the findings more useful to play therapists, the PTBs were regrouped into the original thematic categories of aggressive, nurturing, regressive, sexualized, washing/cleansing, conflicted, dissociative, and uncategorized themes. Creation of several more definitive thematic categories further augmented understanding. These were: overt sexual, symbolic sexual, ambivalence, aggression/revenge, protection, anxiety about or denial of body, reestablishing control, and helplessness. The PTBs related to these thematic categories are identified in the following discussion.

Comparison of Age Groups

Reliability coefficients for the 140 PTBs were .98 for the four gender and age groups:

Boys, 3-6 Years; Girls, 3-7 Years; Boys, 7-10 Years; Girls, 7-10 Years and .97 for All Children.

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed significance between age groups, p < .000. No significance was found between gender groups.

Comparison of Boys, 3-6 Years and 7-10 Years.

Boys, 3-6 Years displayed more PTBs indicative of sexual abuse than did the older Boys, 7-10 Years. This increased number of PTBs was consistent at all three loading levels (±.50, ±.55, and ±.60), ranging from 34% to 111% (see Table 2), respectively. This larger number of PTBs by younger boys appears to result from a wider variety of play within thematic categories. At the lowest loading level (±.50), the younger boys displayed 27 PTBs not displayed by the



older boys: aggressive/revenge (Items 5, 7, 78, 90, 105, 106, 132, 139; Table 1), reestablishing control (Items 39, 90, 102, 105, 127, 138), nurturing (Items 19, 95, 96, 138), anxiety about or denial of body (Items 60, 61, 65), and ambivalence (Items 36, 91, 102). Other differences related to helplessness (Item 140), overt sexual (Item 28, 71), symbolic sexual (Items 43), and shame (Items 41, 130, 131). Conversely, the older boys displayed play regarding more self-protection (Items 56, 104, 123), overt sexual art (Items 68, 72), anger/revenge (Item 70), and distorted body image (Items 54, 72).

At the highest loading (±.60) the younger boys displayed 13 PTBs not displayed by the older boys. These PTB's were found in the following thematic categories: nurturing (Items 84, 92, 93, 94, 96), aggression/revenge (Items 29, 50, 55, 129), sexual (Items 23, 29, 113), anxiety (Items 50, 55), dissociation (Item 119), and the general theme item of God vs. Devil (Item 125). The older boys had only three PTBs not displayed by the younger boys all related to sexual play (Items 22, 30, 80). Note that three items (29, 50, 55) are listed in two themes categories. This is because play must be interpreted in context and these PBTs could be interpreted in more than one way.

Comparison of Girls 3-6 Years and 7-10 Years.

Unlike the younger and older boys, the younger and older girls had nearly the same number of PTBs at the lowest loading (±.50), 72 and 73 respectfully. However, the individual items differed. Younger girls displayed 13 PTBs that did not appear in the listing of the older girls. These PTB's were in the following thematic categories: compulsive cleaning/organizing (Items 37, 38, 39), nurturing (Item 15), anxiety about or denial of body (Items 60, 61), aggression (Item 139), dissociation (Items 15, 118), ambivalence (Item 86), guilt & shame (Item 131), love,



seduction, and sex (Item 133), and hopelessness (Item 140). The older girls had nearly the same number of PTBs not exhibited by younger girls, 12. Some PTB's related to similar thematic play as the younger girls, but expressed differently, nurturing (Items 19, 97) and aggression (Items 2, 70). The remainder of the PTB's related to protection (Items 20, 56), overt sexual (Items 26, 70, 71, 109) symbolic sexual (Items 49, 72), and punishment (Item 132).

Differences were more strongly noticed when comparing the younger and older girls at the highest loading level of ±.60. The younger girls displayed 12 PTBs while the older girls displayed 20 PTBs (Table 3). Of the 12 PTBs of younger girls, only three PTBs where not exhibited by older girls: sexual, both more oral in nature (Items 25, 80) and regressive (Item 94). However, over half (11) of the PTBs of older girls were not shown by younger girls. As with the younger girls, some related to overt (Items 22, 30, 64, 66) and symbolic (Item 53) sexual play. Other PTB's were thematic categories of protection (Item 51), aggression (Items 88, 100, 125), ambivalence (Item 35) and dissociation (Item 117). These findings suggest older girls use a wider variety of play related to sexual abuse than that exhibited by younger girls. This variety of behavior exhibited by older girls may vary with developmental differences (Kendall-Tackett, Williams, & Finkelhor, 1993).

[insert Table 3]

Comparison of Genders

Although an analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed no statistical significance between gender groups, there are some interesting clinical differences. These will be discussed by comparing gender groups within the same age grouping and comparing the All Boys and All Girls groups.



Comparison of Boys and Girls, 3-6 Years.

Several comments can be made regarding the differences between the PTB's of young boys and girls who have been sexually abused. At the ±.60 loading level young boys played out sexual intercourse positions (Item 23). This finding supports the results of behavioral comparisons of 2-6 year old boys and girls by White, Halpin, Strom, and Santilli (1988) indicating young boys display more interest in "intimate parts and behaviors of others" (p. 59). Varied expressions of the same sexual activity also occur at this loading. Younger boys draw pictures of large open mouths (Item 53), while young girls insert objects in their mouth, simulating oral sex (Items 25) and sexualized play through the use hand puppets to kiss the therapist on the face and neck (Items 80).

A type of dissociative behavior (Item 117: being in a trance like state, while playing with water and sand, or while reenacting the abuse) was identified for young boys but not young girls. Both genders shared the dissociative PTB of sitting in a chair staring off into space (Item 119). This may suggest that young girls may not need additional tactile stimulation to dissociate, thereby dissociating more easily than boys.

Young boys exhibited various regressive/nurturing PTBs more frequently than young girls. Understanding that labeling some play therapy behaviors as either regressive and/or nurturing depending on the context of the play, may indicate that young boys display more regressive PTBs than young girls and need to meet their own need for additional nurturing through play. This additional need for nurturing by young boys may indicate that they experience more severe loss of nurturing in their home environments because of sexual abuse than do young girls.



Comparison of Boys, 7-10 Years and Girls, 7-10 Years.

Both the older girls and boys shared the expression of nurturing PTBs. This may be reflective of child victims in this age range not receiving enough nurturing in their respective environments to meet their needs. Girls, 7-10 years old, displayed more direct nurturing interaction with the play therapist (at the ±.55 level; Items 85, 93, and 94). This interaction with the therapist may suggest the older girls need a caring, accepting, and protecting relationship with a significant person, in this case, the play therapist.

At each of the three loading levels, the older girls exhibited more PTB related to dissociating without tactile stimuli, the reenactment of the abusive incident, or both, than the boys of this same age range. This finding corresponds with the Girls, 3-6 Years group. This may also indicate that play therapists are alert to watch for dissociative behaviors connected to highly tactile play or reenactment of abuse. However, the play therapist must also be aware of this more subtle dissociative response by girls and be prepared to identify dissociation that may occur while the child is simply sitting in a chair in the playroom (Item 119).

Comparison of All Boys and All Girls.

The All Boys group did not share the additional ambivalence PTBs that the All Girls group displayed. This may suggest that, generally, girls have a more difficult time processing the positive and negative components of their experience. The female incest victim often struggles with understanding the receiving of nurturing from the same person who commits the sexual abuse. This is reflective of the betrayal category of psychological traumatization identified by Finkelhor and Browne (1985). Also, the ambivalence play may reflect girls trying to make sense of the positive feelings from the gain associated with the attention of the perpetrator (Everstine &



Everstine, 1989) and the negative labels given the perpetrator by significant others in the child's environment once disclosure occurs.

The All Boys group contained more sexualized PTB (loadings at $\pm .55$ and $\pm .60$) and cleansing/washing PTB (loadings at $\pm .50$ and $\pm .55$) than did the All Girls group. These findings may show that boys can more directly and overtly express sexual play. Boys may also feel a greater sense of being "damaged goods," thus needing the cleansing and washing play. Finkelhor and Browne (1985) identified the damaged goods perception as indicative of the stigmatization category of the psychological traumatization resulting from sexual abuse.

Discussion of the Four Items Retained at the Field Test

Retained in the final survey instrument were four specific items of play therapy behavior from the field test. Although identified as appropriate to drop, retention of these items occurred because of the view that play therapists hold these PTBs as "common knowledge" of sexually abused children. The four items were:

- 1. Item 12. Burying/hiding figures.
- 2. Item 33. Child washing own body and/or genitals.
- 3. Item 44. Rubbing sand on genitals and thighs.
- 4. Item 48. Washing self/parts of body with sand (clearly cleansing, not sexualized play).

These four items, as were all 140 PTB items on the survey, showed interrelatedness at the minimum factor analysis loading level of \pm .30. However, raising the loading to \pm .50 and higher, resulted in none of these items appearing. This shows that while these four PTBs are related at a minimum level to the other 136 PTBs of sexually abused children, these items are not



interrelated at more significant levels. This supports the findings of the field test and shows that having dropped the four items would not have changed the final research results.

The difference between common knowledge in the play therapy field and the findings of this research points to the need for continued research in this and related areas. These four PTBs were found in a case study used in several articles, at least one book, and for training in working with sexually abused children in play therapy. Since this case study is widely read and discussed, the play therapy behaviors identified in this case has erroneously become identified as significant. While clearly significant to the specific child in this case study, generalization to the population of sexually abused children in general is inappropriate.

SUMMARY

The results of this research indicate there are identifiable and highly interrelated PTB's of sexually abused children. The identification of PTB's associated with gender and age is meaningful. Play therapists need to be aware of these PTBs to better serve and advocate for the children with whom they work.

The dissociative PTBs found in this study suggests girls and boys dissociate differently in response to sexual abuse. Boys may require more tactile (water, sand, or both) and visual stimulation (reenactment of the abuse) than girls to dissociate. This also implies girls probably dissociate more easily than boys. Although play therapists may be prepared to watch for dissociative behavior from children during stimulating play (tactile, visual), they also need to be aware of the possibility of girls dissociating without any apparent stimulators.

Sexually abused children in three of the four groups (Boys, 3-6 Years; Boys, 7-10 Years; and Girls, 7-10 Years) frequently exhibit nurturing PTBs. This may reflect these children's



perception of insufficient nurturing in their environments to meet their needs. Boys, 3-10 years old, appear to meet their needs for additional nurturing through solitary nurturing play. Girls, 7-10 years old, may need more nurturing play involving a relationship with a person, i.e., the play therapist, to meet their nurturing needs. Therefore, it would be appropriate for play therapists to help parents or caretakers of sexually abused children to increase nurturing activities and relationships in the child's environment.

An interesting finding of this study relates to the presence of sand in the playroom. These findings indicate that the presence of sand in the play room may not be as necessary as previously thought to facilitate sexually abused children's exploration and expression of their needs.



REFERENCES

Adams, J., & Wells, R. (1993). Normal versus abnormal genital findings in children: How well do examiners agree? Child Abuse & Neglect: The International Journal, 17(5), 663-675.

American Medical Association (1985). AMA diagnostic and treatment guidelines concerning child abuse and neglect. <u>Journal of the American Medical Association</u>, 254, 796-800.

Berliner, L. (1988). Deciding whether a child has been sexually abused. In E. B.

Nicholson & J. Bulkley (Eds.), Sexual abuse allegations in custody cases: A resource book for judges and court personnel (pp. 48-69). Washington, D.C.: American Bar Association.

Berliner, L., & Conte, J. (1993). Sexual abuse evaluations: Conceptual and empirical obstacles. Child Abuse & Neglect: The International Journal, 17(1), 111-125.

Borg, W., & Gall, M. (1989). Educational research: An introduction. NY: Longman.

Dillman, D. (1978). Mail and telephone surveys: The total design method. NY: Wiley & Sons.

Everstine, D., & Everstine, L. (1989). <u>Sexual trauma in children and adolescents:</u>

<u>Dynamics and treatment.</u> NY: Brunner/Mazel.

Finkelhor, W. (1986). A sourcebook on child sexual abuse. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Finkelhor, D., & Browne, A. (1985). The traumatic impact of child sexual abuse: A conceptualization. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 55, 530-541.

Gil, E. (1991). The healing power of play: Working with abused children. NY: Guilford Press.



Gray, E. (1993). <u>Unequal justice: The prosecution of child sexual abuse</u>. NY: The Free Press.

Hendricks, S. (1971). A descriptive analysis of the process of client-centered play therapy (Doctoral dissertation, North Texas State University, 1971). <u>Dissertations Abstracts</u>

<u>International</u>, 32, 3689A.

Howe, P., & Silvern, L. (1981). Behavioral observation during play therapy: Preliminary development of a research instrument. <u>Journal of Personality Assessment</u>, 45, 168-182.

Jackson, H., & Nutall, R., (1993). Clinical responses to sexual abuse allegations. Child Abuse & Neglect: The International Journal, 17, 127-143.

Kendall-Tackett, K., Williams, L., & Finkelhor, D. (1993). Impact of sexual abuse on children: A review and synthesis of recent empirical studies. <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, 113(1), 164-180.

Lew, M. (1988). <u>Victims no longer: Men recovering from incest and other sexual child</u> abuse. NY: Harper & Row.

MacFarlane, J., Feldmeth, J., Saywitz, K., Damon, L., Krebs, S., & Dugan, M. (1988).

Child sexual abuse: The clinical interview. NY: Guilford.

Meyers, J. (1993). A call for forensically relevant research. Child Abuse & Neglect: The International Journal. 17(5), 573-579.

Moustakas, C. (1955). The frequency and intensity of negative attitudes expressed in play therapy: A comparison of well-adjusted and disturbed young children. The Journal of Genetic Psychology, 86, 309-325.



Muram, D. (1989). Child sexual abuse: Relationship between sexual acts and genital findings. Child Abuse & Neglect: An International Journal, 13, 211-216.

Oe, E. (1989). <u>Comparison of initial session play therapy behaviors of maladjusted and adjusted children</u>. Unpublished dissertation, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas.

Perry, L. (1988). <u>Play therapy behavior of maladjusted and adjusted children</u>.

Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas.

Sgroi, S. (1982). <u>Handbook of clinical intervention in child sexual abuse</u>. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.

Sorensen, T., & Snow, B. (1991). How children tell: The process of disclosure in child sexual abuse. Child Welfare, 60(1), 3-15.

Tabachnick, B., & Fidell, L. (1983). Using multivariate statistics. NY: Harper & Row.

White, L., Halpin, B., Strom, G., & Santilli, G. (1988). Behavioral comparisons of young sexually abused, neglected, and nonreferred children. <u>Journal of Clinical Psychology</u>, 17(1), 53-61.

Withee, K. (1975). A descriptive analysis of the process of play therapy. (Doctoral dissertation, North Texas State University, 1975). <u>Dissertations International</u>, 36, 6406B.



Authors Note

Linda E. Homeyer, Assistant Professor, Department of Educational Administration and Psychological Services, Southwest Texas State University and Garry L. Landreth, Regents Professor, Department of Counseling, Development, and Higher Education, University of North Texas, Denton.

This research was made possible through a grant from the Center for Play Therapy, University of North Texas, Denton.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Linda Homeyer, EAPS, Southwest Texas State University, 601 S. University, San Marcos TX 78666. E-mail address is: LH10@A1.SWT.EDU.



Table 1

Play Therapy Behaviors Toy Play 18. rolling on Bobo, soothing behavior 1. hitting a male doll's buttocks 19. cuddling in a baby blanket 2. harshly washing a doll 20. placing an aggressive toy to protect a non-3. pulling hair while combing doll's hair aggressive toy 4. throwing all the toys on the floor 21. enacting sexual activity with animal 5. cutting/sawing off limbs from stuffed toys/puppets animals/dolls 22. enacting sex play with dolls, pressing 6. non-accidental breaking toys genitals of one doll to another doll's face 7. baby doll hitting father/mother doll 23. show sexual intercourse positions 8. car chases with exaggerated speed and 24. taking pictures of dolls in sexually explicit handling poses with a pretend camera 9. untamed horses 25. inserting objects in own/toy's mouth, 10. play which backs toys into corner simulating oral sex 11. killing aggressor symbol 26. persistent masturbation 12. burying/hiding figures 27. needing to go to the bathroom in the 13. hits adult dolls against hard surfaces: middle of play symbolic of abuse wall, floor, wooden stove 28. undressing and exploring genital areas of 14. feeding self with the baby bottle dolls 15. rocking self in a chair while holding a soft toy or 29. hitting/attempting to cut off doll's doll penis/breast 16. doll house play of feeding, cleaning, caring for 30. pretending doll is "peeing" on another doll the children 31. washing toys before using them 17. bathing, combing hair, diapering, and 32. frequent washing of hands during



changing clothes of a baby doll

session, own and/or therapist's

- 33. child washing own body and/or genitals
- 34. killing a toy, then bringing back to life
- 35. toy/symbol keeps changing identity/behavior from good to bad: friendly snake wraps around your neck; doctor cuts out hearts/kills; parenting figure who doesn't protect/hurts
- 36. building then destroying
- 37. washing of toys and/or play room
- 38. obsessive neatness: appears to be more worried about putting toys back than playing freely
- 39. compulsive sorting, naming exploring toys

Sand Box Play

- 40. repetitively filling and emptying cups of sand
- 41. smearing self with sand
- 42. making secret tunnels for hiding
- 43. building hills out of wet sand and poking holes in each of them
- 44. rubbing sand on genitals and thighs
- 45. covering genitals and thighs with sand
- 46. placing a snake or motorcycle between one's legs
- 47. dripping wet sand on a figure

48. washing self/parts of body with sand (clearly cleansing, not sexualized play)

Art

- 49. figure with displaced body parts
- 50. figure is drawn, scribbled on, ripped up, destroyed, thrown away
- 51. figures surrounded by circles or boxes
- 52. hands that are large, club-like, or shoot bullets
- 53. figures with large open mouths
- 54. asymmetrical/leaning figures
- 55. large parts of bodies crossed out
- 56. figure of self in elaborate clothes, to cover self
- 57. smearing self with clay
- 58. repetitive use of material: smoothing clay, shading in drawings
- 59. color, mutilate, crumple and throw away anatomical drawings
- 60. face only, no body
- 61. figure with only upper half of body
- 62. encapsulate self in drawing, cutting off lower half of body
- 63. two people in bed covered with dots, i.e. semen
- 64. drawing males with penises



- 65. draw genitalia, but labeled as a tree, cloud, heart, rainbow
- 66. people with genitalia
- 67. inclusion of long phallic shapes
- 68. genitalia with ejaculation
- 69. genitals drawn away from body
- 70. torsos with blood running down legs
- 71. people engaging in sexual activities
- 72. drawings of figures with emphasized cheek markings/make-up
- 73. stabbing/poking drawing of genitals with pen

Toward the Therapist

- 74. rubbing their body against the therapist
- 75. attempting to 'mount' the therapist
- 76. touching/grabbing the therapist's breasts or genitals
- 77. hugging therapist around waist, face in crotch
- 78. spitting
- 79. wanting to kiss and hug
- 80. using hand puppets to kiss therapist on face and neck
- 81. taking off underwear
- 82. saying they want to please
- 83. trying to second-guess what therapist wants
- 84. wanting to be covered with blanket
- 85. wanting to be fed by the therapist

- 86. initiating hide-and-seek
- 87. displays of anger: attempting to bite, hit, spit on therapist
- 88. calling the therapist names
- 89. stabbing at therapist
- 90. hiding/burying toys from therapist
- 91. starting to be aggressive, then stopping
- 92. hurting self in minor ways to get nurturing from therapist
- 93. wanting to be held and rocked like a baby, while sucking on a baby bottle
- 94. asking to be covered with blanket
- 95. feeding the therapist
- 96. wanting to be "put to sleep"
- 97. getting self dirty and asked the therapist's help in cleaning
- 98. scaring therapist by yelling "Boo", then comforting by patting on shoulder, saying "are you okay?"
- 99. giving money to therapist, then robbing
- 100. frightening figure does pleasant things to
- therapist: monsters kissing therapist
- 101. asking therapist to play, but therapist's character always gets hurt
- 102. starting an aggressive gesture then changing mid-movement



- 103. unable to tolerate being alone with the therapist for an entire session
- 104. huddling on the floor away from the therapist
- 105. need to be rescued/saved: climbing on furniture; drawing a figure, then telling therapist the figure is lost and instructs it be found

Verbalizations

- 106. identifying toy as perpetrator, putting the toy in jail, later burying it
- 107. on phone, screams hate to the perpetrator then expresses love before hanging up
- 108. use of only single words
- 109. requesting a sexual activity like, "let's make sex"
- 110. attempting/requesting permission to some/all remove clothing
- 111. requesting therapist remove clothing
- 112. referring to self as "a sexy lady"
- 113. references to "peeing and poohing"
- 114. sexual gestures when talking about abuser or
 home situation: rubbing doll between legs while
 talking: inserting finger in doll's mouth or
 rectum
- 115. no verbal interaction

116. reenacting the sexual abuse medical exam

Child's Presentation

- 117. being in a trance-like state, while laying with water and sand, or while reenacting the abuse
- 118. appearing glassy-eyed, stiff, and holding one's breath
- 119. sitting in a chair staring off into space
- 120. appears to be cut off from reality and in a world of their own
- 121. incongruent presentation of self: voice/words
 are bright/positive but eyes are hooded and
 body constricted; or, lilting/smiling face while
 talking/playing out horrible or frightening play
- 122. needing to go to the bathroom excessively
- 123. hiding for most of the session

Themes Of Play

- 124. good guys/people vs. bad guys/people
- 125. God vs. Devil
- 126. building new homes
- 127. taming wild animals
- 128. fixing things
- 129. drawings with themes of damage & violation
- 130. treating self a "bad child"
- 131. guilt and shame
- 132. punishment
- 133. love, seduction, and sex



- 134. need for protection: baby animal seeking protection from bigger/stronger
- 135. rescue & danger: monster threaten, super heroes/good figures help
- 136. good figures unavailable for help: call the doctor who cannot come because doctor is on vacation or at lunch
- 137. being lost/burying: lost puppies
- 138. medical/healing play: giving shots/bandaging/medicine
- 139. identification with aggressor: taking role or aggressor/evil character
- 140. hopelessness: fighting/earthquake in which no one wins/survives



Table 2

Number of Play Therapy Behaviors Retained at Various Loadings

Group	±.30	±.50	±.55	±.60	
1. All Children	140	88	49	22	
2. All Male	139	88	46	22	
3. All Female	140	78	49	19	
4. Male, 3 - 6 Years	139	86	46	19	
5. Female, 3 - 6 Years	138	72	37	12	
6. Male, 7 - 10 Years	138	64	33	9	
7. Female, 7 - 10 Years	138	73	45	20	

<u>Note.</u> n = 140.



Table 3 Comparison of Four Groups, at ±.60, with Thematic Categories

GIRLS, 7-10 YEARS	AGGRESSIVE PTB 1. Hitting/attempting to cut off dolls' penis/breast 2. Stabbing/poking drawing of genitals with pen 3. Figure is drawn, scribbled on, ripped up, destroyed, thrown away 4. Calling the therapist names	REGRESSIVE PTB 5. Wanting to be covered with blanket	NURTURING PTB 6. Hurting self in minor ways to get nurturing from therapist	CONFLICTED PTB 7. Frightening figure does pleasant things to therapist: monsters kissing therapist 8. Toy/symbol keeps changing identify/behavior - good to bad	ANXIETY PTB 9. Large parts of bodies crossed out	DISSOCIATIVE PTB 10. Being in a trance-likestate, while playing with water and sand, or while re-enacting abuse 11. Sitting in a chair staring off into space
BOYS, 7-10 YEARS	AGGRESSIVE PTB 1. Stabbing/poking drawing of genitals with pen			CONFLICTED PTB 2. Frightening figure does pleasant things to therapist: monsters kissing therapist		DISSOCIATIVE PTB 3. Being in a trance-likestate, while playing with water and sand, or while re-enacting abuse
GIRLS, 3-6 YEARS	AGGRESSIVE PTB 1. Hitting/attempting to cut off dolls' penis/breast 2. Stabbing/poking drawing of genitals with pen 3. Figure is drawn, scribbled on, ripped up, destroyed, thrown away	REGRESSIVE PTB 4. Asking to be covered with blanket 5. Wanting to be held and rocked like a baby, while sucking on a baby bottle	NURTURING PTB 6. Hurting self in minor ways to get nurturing from therapist		ANXIETY PTB 7. Large parts of bodies crossed out	DISSOCIATIVE PTB 8. Sitting in a chair staring off into space
BOYS, 3-6 YEARS	AGGRESSIVE PTB 1. Hitting/attempting to cut off dolls' penis/breast 2. Stabbing/poking drawing of genitals with pen 3. Figure is drawn, scribbled on, ripped up, destroyed, thrown away	REGRESSIVE PTB 4. Wanting to be covered with blanket 5. Asking to be covered with blanket 6. Wanting to be put to sleep 7. Wanting to be held and rocked like a baby, while sucking on a baby bottle	NURTURING PTB 8. Hurting self in minor ways to get nurturing from therapist	CONFLICTED PTB 9. Frightening figure does pleasant things to therapist: monsters kissing therapist	ANXIETY PTB 10. Large parts of bodies crossed out	OISSOCIATIVE PTB 11. Being in a trance-likstate, while playing with water and sand, or while re-enacting abuse 12. Sitting in a chair staring off into space



62

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Play Therapy Behaviors

28

MISC THEMES

- God vs Devil
- Love, seduction, sex
- Drawings with themes of damage & violation

SEXUALIZED PTB

- Sexual gestures when talking about abuser or home situation: rubbing dolls between legs while talking; inserting finger in dolls' mouth or 9
- References to "peeing and poohing"
 - Show sexual intercourse positions Figures with large open mouths

SEXUALIZED PTB

- 9. Inserting objects in own/toys mouth, simulating oral sex
 - Sexual gestures when talking about abuser or home situation: rubbing inserting finger in dolls' mouth or dolls between legs while talking; <u>°</u>
- References to 'peeing and poohing'
- Using hand puppets to kiss therapist on face and neck 12 ...

- Sexual gestures when talking about abuser or home situation: rubbing inserting finger in dolls' mouth or dolls between legs while talking; SEXUALIZED PTB 5. Sexual gestures rectum
 - Pretending doll is peeing on another doll

ø.

- Drawing figures with large open mouths
- Using hand puppets to kiss therapist

∞i

pressing genitals of doll to another doll's face Enacting sex play with dolls, on face and neck

MISC THEMES

God vs Devil

Love, seduction, sex

MISC THEMES

- Sexual gestures when talking about abuser or home situation: rubbing inserting finger in dolls' mouth or dolls between legs while talking; SEXUALIZED PTB
 - References to 'peeing and poohing" Pretending doll is peeing" on <u>4</u>. <u>2</u>.
 - pressing genitals of doll to another Enacting sex play with dolls, another doll doll's face 9
 - Drawing figures with large open mouths 7
 - Drawing people with genitalia
 - Drawing males with penises <u>≈</u> 6.00
- Drawing figures surrounded by circles or boxes

٤



U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

	1.	DC	CL	JM	1EN	IT	IDEN	ITIFI	CAT	TION:
--	----	----	----	----	-----	----	------	-------	-----	-------

1. DOCOMENT IDE	ATTITION TON.							
Title: Play T	hevapy Beha	vivis of Sex	ually					
Author(s): 4 NOO	L Homeyer+	Abused CM	arew					
Corporate Source: Garry Landreth Publication Date:								
II. REPRODUCTIO	N RELEASE:							
in the monthly abstract journ paper copy, and electronic/o	as widely as possible timely and significant nal of the ERIC system, <i>Resources in Educa</i> optical media, and sold through the ERIC Do document, and, if reproduction release is gra	ation (RIE), are usually made available to ocument Reproduction Service (EDRS)	o users in microfiche, reproduced or other ERIC vendors. Credit is					
If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.								
	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below win affixed to all Level 2 documents						
Check here For Level 1 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4° x 6° film) or other ERIC archival media	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE A DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAP COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	Check here For Level 2 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or					
(e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.	INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC	Select Cittle destricted the Gild					
	Level 1	Level 2						

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here—

please

Signature:

| Printed Name/Position/Title: | L/ N/ PA HOM LYFT | Profession | Profe