

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 411 239

SP 037 531

AUTHOR Abebe, Solomon; Shaughnessy, Michael F.  
TITLE Strengthening the Teaching Profession: Preparing Educators To Cope with Stress.  
PUB DATE 1997-00-00  
NOTE 11p.  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Classroom Techniques; Cooperating Teachers; Discipline Problems; Diversity (Student); Elementary Secondary Education; Higher Education; Preservice Teacher Education; \*Stress Management; Stress Variables; \*Student Behavior; \*Student Teacher Attitudes; Student Teachers; \*Student Teaching

ABSTRACT

A 1997 survey examined the stress factors and perceived remedies of 45 K-12 student teachers and 40 K-12 cooperating teachers. Stressful events they listed included material selection, unmotivated students, working with peers, supervisor's visits, discipline, preparing for the unexpected, relationships with parents, cooperating teachers, lecture, inconsistent student behavior, time management, power debates with students, and relationships with students. Perceived solutions included expelling disruptive students, inservice workshops, parent involvement, increased security, class size reduction, payment for student teaching, presence or absence of cooperating teacher from the classroom, reduction of interruptions from pull-out programs, reduction or increase in visits by college supervisors, and having full control of the classroom from the beginning to the end of student teaching. Teachers in this survey appeared to prefer more input into the removal of students with disciplinary problems over more computers and supplies, merit pay, or better benefits. One conclusion is that teachers need better preparation for dealing with diverse students and their various needs and with disruptive, unmotivated students. (SM)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Strengthening the Teaching Profession : Preparing Educators  
to Cope With Stress

Solomon Abebe  
Michael F. Shaughnessy  
Eastern New Mexico University  
Portales, New Mexico

ED 411 239

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
  - Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- 
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*M. Shaughnessy*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

## Abstract

In the process of preparing students to teach, colleges and universities should pay particular attention to the specific stressful problems that teachers face today and endeavor to provide solutions for those problems. This paper discusses some of the items that most pre-service teachers and classroom teachers find stressful and examines possible perceived solutions. The viability of perceived solutions is examined, and the issue of merit pay is summarily reviewed.

In order to strengthen the teaching profession, teacher training programs should endeavor to prepare teachers to teach not just the highly motivated, intelligent student, but also how to teach the poorly motivated, negativistic, problematic student. Teachers are increasingly confronted with " at risk " students and other problematic students. Teacher training programs must attempt to alert pre service teachers to some of the stressors that teachers face, and sensitize them to these stressors and discuss possible solutions, options and alternatives.

There are many stress factors that face teachers today. Recently, Abebe (1997) has investigated some of the factors that are often cited by student teachers as being stressful, and possible solutions. The stress events will first be discussed, then possible solutions delineated, then the discrepancy between the stressful events and the solutions reviewed. The survey items were originally developed by Wright (1985) to assess stress related to teaching events in the classroom. The questionnaire was pilot tested for validity through the use of a panel of experts consisting of supervising and cooperating teachers, administrators, parents and student teachers. The original survey was modified, with permission to meet the specific purpose of this study.

Forty five student teachers (15 elementary, 3 middle school, 17 secondary and 10 K-12) and cooperating teachers

(16 elementary, 4 middle school, 20 secondary school) were surveyed. The stressful events, according to this sample are:

- 1) Selection of Materials
- 2) Unmotivated Students
- 3) Working with Peers
- 4) Supervisor's Visit
- 5) Discipline
- 6) Preparing for the Unexpected
- 7) Student Teachers/Parents Relationships
- 8) Cooperating Teacher
- 9) Lecture
- 10) Inconsistent Student Behavior
- 11) Time Management
- 12) Power Debates with Students
- 13) Student Teacher/Student Relationship

The student teacher's concerns may differ from the regular classroom teachers worries and troubles. The student teacher may be more preoccupied with unannounced visits from the supervisor, or of losing control of the classroom or of having to deal with some unexpected difficulty for which they are unprepared.

Once hired, and established in a classroom, the stress factors may, of course, abate and diminish. Or on the other hand, having to assume full responsibility for these students may prove even more stressful. The above thirteen stressors were viewed differently by pre-service students and classroom teachers. However, there is congruence on several factors.

Stress Remedies were also examined. The following were seen as " stress remedies ".

- 1) Expelling disruptive students from class
- 2) In-Service Program (workshop) during student teaching
- 3) Parent involvement in some school (classroom) activities
- 4) Increasing physical security (from fights, gangs, violence)
- 5) Class size reduction
- 6) Payment for services for student teaching
- 7) Presence of cooperating teacher in the classroom all the time
- 8) Absence of cooperating teacher from the classroom all the time
- 9) Reduce interruptions caused by pull out programs (students moving in and out while class is in session)
- 10) To do less lesson planning and grading of assignments
- 11) Reduce the number of visits by college supervisors
- 12) Increase the number of visits by college supervisors
- 13) To have full control of the classroom from the beginning to the end of student teaching.

The above "remedies" were based on a global review of the literature and input from student teachers.

Three "remedies" bear mentioning. Forty of both the cooperating and student teachers think class size reduction is an excellent stress remedy for their situation.

This may be the most politically correct remedy seen by some. Twenty three percent of teachers and 14 % of student teachers viewed expulsion as an excellent remedy. This may represent a more conservative or dramatic approach. Many schools are adopting a "zero tolerance" position in regard to disciplinary problems.

Only 5 % of cooperating teachers saw parental involvement as an excellent remedy for stress (while 18 % of the student teachers saw it as an excellent remedy). Eighteen percent of both cooperating and student teachers viewed physical security (more guards etc.) as an excellent remedy for their stress.

Thus, class size reduction and expulsion were seen by teachers as optimal "remedies" for their stressors. Reduced class size may be seen by some teachers to be an alternative to expulsion since very few school employ this alternative. Further, Shaughnessy (1986) has investigated the specific issues regarding merit pay. Many teachers may tolerate stress if they feel that there is some reward for their efforts. When teachers were asked to choose the specific type of rewards that they wanted in a merit pay system, several interesting issues were discerned.

In this survey study, most teachers did not want computers, more supplies, better medical or dental services, or even a reduced class size. They were however, seeking to have more control in terms of having problem students removed from their classes, and perhaps placed in a more appropriate classroom, so that they would not be disruptive.

Teachers, in this survey wanted to be able to have more input into the removal of disciplinary problems. Schools that do have merit pay may want to examine what types of rewards are being offered. Certificates, plaques, and the like may not be as rewarding as being able to have input into the decision to remove a student from a class due to his/her disruptive, or aggressive, assaultive behavior.

If schools are interested in implementing a merit pay system they may need to look carefully at what teachers really want, rather than what they are willing to offer.

Many teachers may not be interested in a cash bonus or a computer, but may want unmotivated, disruptive students removed from their classroom.

Merit pay is one issue that has been around for many years, it has implications for strengthening the teaching profession, yet it has not been clearly implemented and effectively employed for the effective educational progress of students. Merit pay is a complex issue in an age of inclusion wherein it is difficult to objectively measure teacher and student "success".

It appears that classroom management, and discipline strategies as well as motivational strategies are sorely needed in this current school climate. Working with disruptive students with a bad attitude may be becoming more and more problematic. While we may have workshops or inservices on these topics, teachers may need more assistance than a few hour workshop is able to provide. Administrators may not be as supportive of teachers and their disciplinary procedures as they should be. The discrepancy between teacher and principal perception of " discipline " problems may also need to be examined in the future. Some principals are willing to be supportive of their teachers. Other principals may feel that their licensed/certified professionals are adequately trained to deal with these situations.

In terms of the reflective process, student teachers may



want to reflect on what they find stressful and examine the reasons they find these things stressful. Males and females did seem to differ in this study as to what was stressful and what remedies they saw as helpful.

Male and female teachers do differ in their values and priorities and may respond differently to discipline problems and unmotivated students in the classroom. Men may be more action oriented and problem centered, while females may be more patient and understanding.

#### Summary and Conclusions

If we are to prepare students teachers effectively, we must prepare them not only for the above average, highly motivated cooperative student, but also for the poorly motivated, disruptive, hostile, aggressive at risk student. We may also need to prepare students for a teaching environment where there may not be a plethora for remedies for their stressors. Merit pay may not provide the remedies or reinforcement that teachers need or want. In this age of inclusion, teachers may have to deal with more diverse students and students with multiple handicaps (Shaughnessy, 1996).

Teachers may need much better preparation for dealing with a diverse student body while needing more preparation for dealing with unmotivated, disruptive students.

The teaching profession could be strengthened by

providing programs that will respond to preservice teachers' needs in dealing with stress. It is not uncommon for some student teachers to withdraw from the student teaching program within the first two weeks of the process; some switching to alternative routes to get out of student teaching, and complete a degree program in university studies or other programs. Some students have repeatedly asked for a change of placement; and in even worse, in some cases, some of us have heard or read about suicide being attempted during the student teaching semester.

Is there anything that can be done to reduce stress in order to keep preservice teachers in the program and help them to succeed through their student teaching and their first year of teaching ? Teacher and administrator attitudes toward discipline problems may need to be more carefully examined, and realistic, viable, appropriate solutions may need to be examined and explored. Some schools have examined " non traditional " high schools for some students.

Further research may provide the answer to that question.

## References

Abebe, S. (1997) Comparative analysis of stress factors and potential remedies in student teachers. Paper presented at the ATE Midwest Spring Conference, April 1997, Urbana, Illinois.

Shaughnessy, M.F. (1987) A Question of merit. Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Educational Research Association, Las Cruces, New Mexico.

Shaughnessy, M.F. (1996) Working with multiply handicapped children. Educational Forum. 61, 63-66.

Wright, R. (1985). An examination of pre-service student teaching as a potential source of professional stress. Las Cruces, New Mexico : Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Educational Research Association.

# Reproduction Release Form

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION

Title: Strengthening the Teaching Profession :  
Preparing Educators to Cope With Stress  
Author(s): Michael F. Shaughnessy , S. Abebe  
Date: July 30, 1997

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resource in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche and paper copy (or microfiche only) and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document. If reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  
  
[PERSONAL NAME OR ORGANIZATION,  
  
AS APPROPRIATE]  
  
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  
  
[PERSONAL NAME OR ORGANIZATION,  
  
AS APPROPRIATE]  
  
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the options below and sign the release on the other side.

- Microfiche (4" x 6" film) and paper copy (8½" x 11") reproduction      OR       Microfiche (4" x 6" film) reproduction only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed in both microfiche and paper copy.

**Signature Required**

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated on the other side. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: *Michael Shaughnessy*  
Printed name: Michael F. Shaughnessy  
Organization: Eastern New Mexico U.  
  
Position: Professor  
Address: Station 25  
Portales, New Mexico  
Tel. No.: 505 562 Code: 88130  
2791

## III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION

(Non-ERIC Source)

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of a document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Price Per Copy \_\_\_\_\_  
Quantity Price: \_\_\_\_\_

## IV. REFERRAL TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND IMPROVEMENT  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)**

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



resources for further information.

**Computer Search Reprints**—Extensive bibliographies with abstracts summarizing each reference.

**Custom Computer Searches**—If we do not have a Computer Search Reprint to meet your needs, you may want to order a Custom Computer Search. Within 10 days of the day you call CEC/ERIC, you can receive a bibliography with abstracts on your special education topic.

Computer searches of ECER and ERIC are available from CEC/ERIC at the following rates:

**Regular and Institution Rates:**

One Database (ECER or ERIC) \$45  
(up to 50 abstracts)

Two Databases (ECER and ERIC) \$60  
(up to 100 abstracts)

**Special CEC Member Rates:**

One Database (ECER or ERIC) \$35  
(up to 50 abstracts)

Two Databases (ECER and ERIC) \$50  
(up to 100 abstracts)

Additional abstracts at \$7.50 per 25

Prepayment is required unless a purchase order number is provided. VISA or MasterCard may be used. Please note that orders billed to institutions must be billed at the regular rate.

To initiate a search, call CEC/ERIC at 703/620-3660 and ask for the Information Specialist.

Other CEC/ERIC services include:

- Providing public access to the CEC/ERIC Reading Room (call for hours).
- Conducting workshops on how to use ERIC.

If you did not receive additional brochures detailing CEC/ERIC products and services, we will be happy to send them to you. If you would like to receive periodic updates on ERIC products, services, and activities, write and ask to have your name added to the mailing list for the ERIC Clearinghouse on Handicapped and Gifted Children.

## How can YOU contribute to ERIC?

If you have recently written a research report, a program description or evaluation, a review of the literature, or a conference paper, of 5 or more pages, relating to the education of handicapped or gifted children, your work can be made permanently available and accessible through ERIC.

Submissions will be evaluated with the following criteria in mind: sound methodology (for research), adequate references, currency, content generalizable to other settings, and clear writing style.

For further information, call ERIC at 703/620-3660 and ask for the Acquisitions Specialist.

To submit your document. . . .

### PLEASE SEND:

- two copies with clear dark type or print
- a completed reproduction release form\* found on the back of this brochure.

TO: Acquisitions  
ERIC Clearinghouse on  
Handicapped and Gifted Children  
1920 Association Drive  
Reston, VA 22091-1589

A complimentary microfiche of the entire document and two copies of the abstract, which appeared in Resources in Education (RIE), will be sent to the author of each document selected.

\*Signing a reproduction release form does not preclude future publication of a document.