

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 409 983

PS 025 551

AUTHOR Cillessen, Antonius H. N.; And Others
 TITLE Early Peer Interaction as a Predictor of Later Social Adjustment: Results from a Five-Year Longitudinal Study.
 PUB DATE Apr 97
 NOTE 15p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Eastern Psychological Association (Washington, DC, April 10-13, 1997).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS Children; Elementary School Students; *Emotional Adjustment; *Interpersonal Competence; Kindergarten Children; Longitudinal Studies; Peer Acceptance; Peer Groups; *Peer Relationship; *Predictor Variables; Primary Education; *Prosocial Behavior; Self Evaluation (Individuals); *Social Adjustment; Social Behavior; Sociometric Techniques
 IDENTIFIERS Self Report Measures; Social Strategies

ABSTRACT

This study tested the predictive links between children's interactions with peers in early elementary school and their social and emotional adjustment at the end of elementary school. A sample of 231 boys starting kindergarten and first grade were observed during this longitudinal study, on three separate occasions: kindergarten and first grade, first and second grade, and finally, fifth and sixth grade, respectively. Data were collected using peer-, teacher-, and self-report methodologies at each time. Play group observational data were also recorded in observations 1 and 2. Composite measures of four central social behaviors (aggression, disruptive behavior, withdrawal, and prosocial behavior) were computed for each observation. The results demonstrated that aspects of early peer interaction are important predictors of later social adjustment outcomes, and highlighted the importance of starting intervention efforts in the early elementary school years. In particular, boys who had been consistently rejected demonstrated several social adjustment problems after the 5-year interval.
 (AA)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

ED 409 983

Early Peer Interaction as a Predictor of Later Social
Adjustment: Results From a Five-Year Longitudinal Study

Antonius H. N. Cillessen

University of Connecticut

Gerbert J. T. Haselager and Cornelis F. M. van Lieshout

University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Antonius H.N.
Cillessen

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

In G. Bovasso (Chair), Social Aggression. Paper presented
at the annual meeting of the Eastern Psychological
Association, 1997, Washington, DC (April).

The purpose of this paper is to test the predictive links between children's interactions with peers in early elementary school and their social adjustment at the end of elementary school. A large number of studies has indicated that sociometric status and social behavior are predictive of later social adjustment problems (see, for reviews Kupersmidt, Coie, & Dodge, 1990; Parker & Asher, 1987). In particular, peer rejection and aggression are predictive of later externalizing and internalizing problems, as well as poor school adjustment.

The majority of these studies, however, have made predictions from peer relations problems assessed half-way through elementary school into early adolescence. Not many studies have made predictions from peer relationship problems assessed at an earlier age. The impact of peer interaction, however, is expected to begin as soon as a child begins to enter peer groups on a systematic basis when he or she goes to kindergarten.

In the present study the existing data base on the role of early peer interaction is extended by making predictions from peer interaction in early elementary school to children's social and emotional adjustment at the end of elementary school.

A longitudinal study including three measurement Times was conducted. Table 1 provides an overview of the design of the study. The longitudinal sample consisted of 231 boys from two age cohorts selected from 37 elementary schools. Time 1 took place in kindergarten and 1st grade, Time 2 took place one year later in 1st and 2nd grade, and Time 3 took place four years after Time 2 in 5th and 6th grade. Classroom peers and teachers participated in each measurement Time as well. The attrition rate was low: 99% of the original sample participated at Time 2, and 80% of the original sample participated at Time 3. Boys in the longitudinal sample were 5-7 years of age at Time 1, 6-8 years at Time 2, and 10-11 years at Time 3.

Data were collected using peer-, teacher, and self-report methodologies at each measurement Time. Play group observational data were also recorded in Times 1 and 2. Sociometric screening took place at each of the three Times of data collection and yielded standard sociometric data. For the purpose of the present paper, two scores were used at each measurement Time: a continuous score for each target child's social preference by peers, and a dichotomous score indicating whether or not the child was classified as sociometrically rejected.

Composite measures of four central social behaviors (aggression, disruptive behavior, withdrawal, and prosocial behavior) were computed at each measurement Time based on peer nominations, teacher evaluation (using the CCQ), and extensive observations of peer interaction in small play groups at Times 1 and 2, and based on classroom peer nominations at Time 3.

Measures of social adjustment were collected at Time 3. Three measures were derived from a standardized instrument used for the assessment of bully/victim problems among school children, a self-report instrument consisting of a variety of items asking children about their experiences with peers in school (Olweus, 1991). The three main subscales derived from this instrument measure bullying, victimization, and social isolation or loneliness. The fourth measure was taken from a standardized measure to assess depression in children. The scale has demonstrated excellent psychometric properties and has been extensively validated among elementary school-aged children. In addition to a total depression score, four subscales measuring four components of depression are represented in the scale. The fifth and final measure of adjustment focused on the development of friendship relations. This

measure was based on reciprocal best friend nominations obtained with peer nominations in school.

First, the intercorrelations between measures at each point in time and across times were examined. At each time, aggression and disruption correlated positively, and both composites correlated negatively with prosocial behavior. Withdrawal correlated less consistently with the other behaviors (Table 2). Social preference correlated negatively with aggression and disruption and positively with prosocial behavior at each time. Correlations between social preference and withdrawal were nonsignificant (Table 3). All measures demonstrated cross-temporal consistency, except for Time 3 withdrawal which did not correlate with the earlier withdrawal measures (Table 4). Meaningful relationships emerged between the social adjustment measures assessed at Wave 3 (Table 5).

Second, all Time 3 outcome measures were predicted from all Time 3 social preference and behavior measures using regression analyses. Table 6 summarized the significant predictive relationships.

Third, the Time 3 outcome measures were predicted from the Time 1 and 2 preference and behavior measures using regression analyses, after the effects of the concurrent Time 3 preference and behavior measures were taken into

account first. These predictive effects are summarized in Table 7, demonstrating significant predictive relationships between measures of early peer interaction and each of the later outcome measures.

Fourth and finally, to analyze the effects of peer rejection, boys who were consistently classified as rejected at each of the three time points were compared to average-status boys on the Time 3 outcome measures. As can be seen in Table 8, boys who had been consistently rejected were more likely to engage in bullying behavior, more likely to be lonely and depressed, and less likely to have friends than average status boys.

In summary, the results from this study demonstrate that aspects of early peer interaction are important predictors of later social adjustment outcomes. In particular, boys who had been consistently rejected demonstrated several social adjustment problems after the five-year interval.

These findings have implication for intervention programs with children. The predictor measure were determined in kindergarten and first grade. The results from this study highlight the importance of starting intervention efforts in these early elementary school years.

Table 1
Nijmegen Longitudinal Study
Design and Measures

Time 1	Time 2	Time 3
1986	1987	1991
K	G1	G5
G1	G2	G6
5-7 y. (n ₁ = 231)	6-8 y. (n ₂ = 228)	10-12 y. (n ₃ = 185)
<u>Sociometrics</u> Preference Rejection <u>Social Behavior</u> (peers, teachers, observations) Aggression Disruptive Prosocial Withdrawal	<u>Sociometrics</u> Preference Rejection <u>Social Behavior</u> (peers, teachers, observations) Aggression Disruptive Prosocial Withdrawal	<u>Sociometrics</u> Preference Rejection <u>Social Behavior</u> (peers) Aggression Disruptive Prosocial Withdrawal <u>Social Adjustment</u> Bullying Victimization Loneliness Depression Friendship

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 2
Intercorrelations of Social Behavior
Composite Scores by Measurement Year
(N = 185)

	Disruptive	Prosocial	Withdrawn
Aggression			
Time 1	.77 *	-.48 *	-.08
Time 2	.83 *	-.50 *	-.36 *
Time 3	.71 *	-.33 *	-.06
Disruptive			
Time 1		-.47 *	.01
Time 2		-.49 *	-.33 *
Time 3		-.32 *	-.06
Prosocial			
Time 1			-.16 *
Time 2			.17 *
Time 3			-.02

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 3
 Within-Time Correlations of Social
 Preference With Social Behavior Composite
 Scores (N = 185)

Preference X	Time 1	Time 2	Time 3
Aggression	-.57 *	-.42 *	-.35 *
Disruptive	-.43 *	-.37 *	-.38 *
Prosocial	.38 *	.33 *	.43 *
Withdrawn	-.12	.03	-.09

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 4
 Cross-Temporal Correlations of Social
 Preference and Social Behavior Composite
 Scores (N = 185)

	T1-T2	T2-T3	T1-T3
Preference	.59 *	.48 *	.41 *
Aggression	.53 *	.31 *	.32 *
Disruptive	.45 *	.30 *	.34 *
Prosocial	.33 *	.18 *	.29 *
Withdrawn	.43 *	.06	.10

Table 5
Intercorrelations of Time 3 Social Adjustment
Measures (N = 185)

	Victim- ization	Loneli- ness	Depres- sion	Friend- ship
Bullying	.17 *	.07	.24 *	-.05
Victimization		.53 *	.44 *	-.24 *
Loneliness			.41 *	-.29 *
Depression				-.27 *

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 6
 Concurrent Predictors of Time 3 Social
 Adjustment Measures

Criterion	Predictor	β
Bullying	T3 Aggression	.24
	T3 Prosocial	-.14
	T3 Withdrawn	-.14
Victimization	T3 Preference	-.14
	T3 Prosocial	-.14
Loneliness	T3 Preference	-.40
	T3 Disruptive	-.13
Depression	T3 Preference	-.22
Friendship	T3 Preference	.39
	T3 Prosocial	.24
	T3 Disruptive	.15

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 7
 Early Predictors of Time 3 Social
 Adjustment Measures

Criterion	Predictor	β
Bullying	T1 Preference	-.17
Victimization	T1 Aggression	-.18
	T2 Preference	-.22
	T2 Prosocial	-.12
Loneliness	T1 Preference	.23
	T1 Withdrawn	.12
	T2 Preference	-.30
Depression	T2 Withdrawn	.13
Friendship	T2 Withdrawn	-.11

Table 8
Means of Time 3 Social Adjustment
Measures for Boys Who Were Rejected At
Each Measurement Year

Criterion	Time 1	Time 2	Time 3
Bullying	1.33 *	1.13	1.36 *
Victimization	.79	1.03 *	.91
Loneliness	.93	1.14 *	1.16 *
Depression	10.38	11.05	12.17 *
Friendship	.51	.50	.39 *

* Significantly different from Average, $p < .05$



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Early Peer Interaction as a Predictor of Later Social Adjustment: Results From a Five-Year Longitudinal Study</i>	
Author(s): <i>ANTONIUS H.N. CILLESSEN</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>Department of Psychology U-20 University of Connecticut 406 Babbidge Road Storrs CT 06269-1020</i>	Publication Date: <i>April 1997</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: <i>Antonius H.N. Cillessen</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Assistant Professor</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>Dept of Psychology U-20 University of Connecticut 406 Babbidge Road Storrs CT 06269-1020</i>	Telephone: <i>(860) 486-3521</i>	FAX: <i>(860) 486-2776</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>TOON.CILL@UCONN.EDU</i>	Date: <i>5/30/1997</i>

MICRO

UConn.EDU

(over)

ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	KAREN E. SMITH ACQUISITIONS COORDINATOR ERIC/EECE CHILDREN'S RESEARCH CENTER 51 GERTY DRIVE CHAMPAIGN, ILLINOIS 61820-7469
---	---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2d Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>