This paper presents the preliminary findings from a doctoral research on the ethnocultural preparation of teachers in western Canada. This three-stage study explored what steps, if any, are taken to prepare teachers so that they might be successful educators of ethnoculturally diverse student populations. Data were collected from 450 teachers working in 3 distinct sociogeographic environments in western Canada, including urban, rural, and isolated areas. A combination of quantitative and qualitative methodologies was used, combining positivistic and anthropological approaches. Stage 1 involved a survey questionnaire of 150 teachers in each of the 3 settings. Stages 2 and 3 are still in progress; they involve structured telephone interviews with a sample of the respondents and longer, open-ended interviews with three respondents. Preliminary findings suggest that little of the reported literature concerning the preparation of effective teachers for ethnoculturally diverse schools is being implemented, both for preservice and inservice. The majority of respondents received preservice education that they believed did not adequately prepare them for the challenge of teaching in an ethnoculturally diverse classroom. Of the respondents, 39 percent indicated that they teach in linguistically diverse classrooms, almost half teach an ethnoculturally diverse group of students, and 20 percent teach an ethnoculturally homogeneous group of students who are ethnoculturally different from themselves. It is recommended that schools not rely on preservice teacher education to prepare teachers for the diversity that exists in western Canadian schools, but that schools be more proactive in developing and implementing orientation, induction, and other inservice practices on their own. (Contains 28 references.) (NAV)
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ABSTRACT

In this paper I present the preliminary findings from my doctoral research. Census data suggest that some 70 per cent of North American teachers are white, female, middle class, and from suburban or small rural communities. The majority of students are not. Given this fact, the study sought to explore what steps, if any, are being taken to prepare teachers so that they might be successful educators of ethnoculturally diverse student populations.

A three stage design was used for the study. The data were collected from 450 teachers working in three distinct sociogeographic environments in western Canada, including urban, rural, and isolated settings. A combination of quantitative and qualitative methodologies were used in the study. Data collected during the first stage, a survey questionnaire was distributed to 150 teachers in each of the three settings, is reported upon here. The second and third stages are continuing. These involve both structured telephone interviews with a sample of the respondents and longer, open-ended, interviews with three respondents.

Preliminary findings suggest that little of the reported literature concerning the preparation of effective teachers for ethnoculturally diverse schools is being implemented. This is the case for both preservice and inservice programs. The data suggest that teachers in western Canadian schools do teach ethnoculturally diverse student populations. It is recommended that schools do not rely on preservice teacher education programs to prepare teachers for that diversity. Rather, schools must play a proactive role in developing and implementing orientation, induction, and other inservice practices which will facilitate teacher growth.
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The Ethnocultural Preparation of Teachers

The current focus on multicultural and minority education issues in North America has provided an impetus for educators to explore the preparation of effective teachers for ethnoculturally diverse student populations. Recent analyses of census data indicate that over 70% of current inservice and preservice teachers in both the United States and Canada are white, middle class, females from suburban communities or small rural towns (Grant & Secada, 1990; Lockhart, 1991). The majority of students, however, are neither white nor middle class, and demographic trends indicate that ethnocultural diversity will continue to be the dominant feature of contemporary classrooms (Avery & Walker, 1993; Ducharme & Ducharme, 1993).

Concurrently, the weak economic climate has resulted in lower teacher mobility. Established teachers tend to remain in their permanent positions and do not seek jobs elsewhere. This, in turn, leads to a shortage of positions for new teachers, especially in the suburban and rural communities from which those teachers tend to be drawn. The majority of available positions are to be found in either inner city environments with a high ethnoculturally diverse student population or else in isolated rural communities where an ethnoculturally homogeneous student population exhibits linguistic, cultural, and social patterns quite different from those of the new teacher. A recent study in California found that 69% of new teachers taught classes with students for whom English was a second language (Dianda, 1991), and other researchers have concluded that one third of American students belong to minority ethnocultural groups (Gonzalez, 1993; Tanner, 1993). In Canada, similar perceptions exist in the public mind but I have found any studies which provide empirical data to describe the ethnocultural diversity of Canadian schools.

The issue arises, therefore, as to how teachers might best be prepared to be effective educators in ethnoculturally diverse classrooms. This preparation is not limited to preservice teacher education programs but also includes the support provided to beginning teachers during their early experiences in a new school. Although a number of teacher education programs are focusing on the preparation of teachers from ethnocultural minority groups, this is not in itself a solution to the problem. As Ogbu (1978), Philips (1993), and others have noted, the very act of immersion into an Anglo teacher education program is often enough to assimilate a minority person into the majority culture. Even if this were not the case, it is unlikely that minority candidates will be recruited into the profession quickly enough to provide a sufficient number of ethnoculturally compatible teachers (Dianda, 1991; Gonzalez, 1993). It therefore appears necessary that all teachers be prepared to be effective in a variety of ethnocultural settings.
Purpose

In this paper I present the preliminary findings for three aspects of a study which sought to describe and analyze the preparatory programs and initial teaching experiences of neophyte teachers in selected schools within two western Canadian provinces. First, I describe the extent to which ethnocultural diversity exists in the selected schools. Second, I identify the extent to which beginning teachers in those schools received an ethnocultural focus in their preservice education programs. And third, I examine whether teachers received supportive initial inservice experiences which served to prepare them to be effective teachers of ethnoculturally diverse student populations.

Theoretical Perspectives

Conceptually, this study was conducted within an ethnocultural framework. This framework includes both the formal and non-formal, or preservice and inservice, components of teacher education. As such it deals with the transitional period during which a teacher leaves student life and enters the profession.

That student learning occurs within the ethnocultural context of the child's home and community has been well established (e.g., Afele-Fa'amuli, 1992; Gayle, 1993; Tomlinson, 1983). However, not all homes or communities are similar, and neither are the teaching and learning strategies employed in those homes or communities. The need for teacher education programs to prepare preservice teachers for the realities of ethnoculturally diverse classrooms, with the associated diversity of student learning styles, has been thoroughly described (e.g., U.S. Department of Education, 1990; Hall, 1993; Roth, 1992). Further, the notion that orientation and induction programs should provide the opportunity for beginning teachers to discover the ethnocultural idiosyncrasies of the community in which they are working has received support from a number of recent studies (e.g., Draper, Fraser, & Taylor, 1992; McCarty & Zepeda, 1992; Reynolds, 1992). In many instances it appears that practice does not reflect theoretical knowledge.

Avery and Walker (1993) have noted that "there is little evidence that the predominantly white teaching force is prepared at either the preservice or inservice level to meet the needs of today's students" (p. 27). I observed that this lack of preparation appeared to be particularly evident in schools with an ethnoculturally heterogeneous student population. I then hypothesized that ethnoculturally sensitive teacher education programs, when combined with initial teaching experiences where methods of teaching ethnoculturally diverse students were stressed, might result in a cadre of teachers who perceived themselves properly prepared to be effective teachers of ethnoculturally diverse students.
Research Design and Data Sources

The data were collected from teachers working in three distinct sociogeographic environments. These included urban, rural, and isolated settings. As Cresswell (1994) and de Landsheere (1988) have suggested, a combination of positivistic and anthropological approaches were used in the study, each serving to complement the other.

Research Design

A three stage research design was implemented for the study. Methods used were a survey questionnaire, structured interviews, and open-ended interviews. In this preliminary report, only the first stage has been implemented and reported upon.

Initial data collection was by means of a survey instrument. A questionnaire, based on a review of the pertinent literature, was designed by the researcher. Following peer and expert review, a draft copy of this instrument was piloted with a small group of teachers who represented the three sociogeographic regions previously described. Some alterations were subsequently made to the instrument, with four items being discarded and others reorganized. The final version of the instrument was then subjected to a second round of peer and expert review, printed, and mailed.

Permission to involve their teachers had been obtained from the various chief executive officers of a number of school systems. In First Nations communities, approval to involve teachers who were in the employ of the First Nation was also obtained from the Chief and Band Council. The CEO's forwarded a list of schools, together with the addresses of those schools and an indication of the number of teachers in each school. The study involved a total of 450 teachers, 150 from the schools in each of the three regions. The appropriate numbers of survey instruments were forwarded to the schools selected and the principals were asked to distribute the questionnaires. It was requested that they first give the surveys to any first year teachers in the school, and then progressively through the staff based on an ascending order of experience. A stamped postcard was included with each survey, so that respondents could indicate that they had returned the survey in the stamped addressed envelope which had been enclosed for that purpose. The responses were analyzed and inductive analysis of the responses to the open ended questions uncovered tentative categories for further exploration.

Data Sources

Three distinct sociogeographic regions were identified. Each region reflected a separate ethnocultural milieu. It was predicted that the dominant teacher-student relationships within
each milieu would represent one of three possible ethnocultural combinations.

**Region 1** consisted of a large urban centre. The school system in this centre serves an ethnoculturally diverse population from a variety of socioeconomic backgrounds. The community tends to have a variable level of student transiency, with some schools experiencing much higher transiency rates than others. The dominant teacher-student relationship was predicted to feature ethnoculturally diverse student populations taught by teachers who were ethnoculturally incompatible with the majority of their students.

**Region 2** consisted of two rural school districts in adjacent provinces. The school systems serve ethnoculturally diverse populations which tend to cluster in ethnoculturally congruent communities. The communities tend to have generally high socioeconomic backgrounds with a low level of student transiency. The dominant teacher-student relationship was predicted to feature ethnoculturally homogeneous student populations taught by teachers who were ethnoculturally compatible with the majority of their students.

**Region 3** consisted of six isolated school districts. The school systems serve ethnoculturally homogeneous populations from predominantly low socioeconomic backgrounds. The communities tend to have a high level of student transiency. The dominant teacher-student relationship was predicted to feature ethnoculturally congruent student populations taught by teachers who were ethnoculturally incompatible with the majority of their students.

**Data Analysis**

The responses to the questionnaire were collated and subjected to statistical analysis. Means and percentage frequency distributions were determined for each item. The responses to the questionnaire were then factor analyzed using two varimax rotations. The first analysis examined items related to the actual experiences of respondents in their preservice and inservice teacher education programs. The second analysis examined items related to the preferred experiences of respondents, those items which they believed should have been a significant part of their preservice and inservice teacher education programs.

The purposes of these analyses were to explore underlying patterns of relationships and permit the data to be described in sets of items, or factors. Items were considered to contribute to the meaning of a given factor if they loaded at or greater than 0.40 to that factor and if they contributed, in a logical and conceptually coherent manner, to the meaning of that factor. Where an item loaded on more than one factor at 0.40 or above then the highest loading was selected, subject to the item also meeting the coherency criterion.
The data were also examined through the use of one-way analyses of variance and two-tailed t-tests, to test for possible differences between and among groups at both the school and region levels. In view of the multiple constituencies involved in the study, the data were analyzed for each separate constituency and were then compared for similarities and differences between constituency groups. Pearson product moment correlations were used to determine relationships between the different variables. Percentage frequency distributions were determined and the open-ended responses were content analyzed to determine categories for further investigation. For the purposes of this paper a critical value of $p<0.05$ was set to determine statistical significance, and all statistics except $p$ values were truncated to two decimal places.

This paper reports the preliminary findings from the study. At the time of writing a total of 191 questionnaires, or 42% of the total distributed, had been returned. The actual breakdown of responses received is as shown in Table 1. The relatively high return rate from Region 1 allows for some optimism in the interpretation of data for that region. The interpretation of data for Regions 2 and 3 is much more tentative. In all cases, however, the findings must be considered as preliminary interpretations of data received to date.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Total Distributed</th>
<th>Total Returned To Date</th>
<th>Percentage Returned To Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Stated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>42.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Demographic Details of Respondents**

The demographic data were drawn from responses to Part A of the questionnaire. There were 13 items in all. These items were related to the number of languages spoken by the teacher and by the students in the classroom; the age and gender of the teacher; the
ethnocultural heterogeneity of the student body; whether the teacher considered himself or herself to be a member of an ethnocultural group similar to the majority of the students; the type of system in which the school was found; the size of the school; the geographic location of the school; the number of years a teacher has taught; their years of teaching in their present school; the location of the respondents initial preservice teacher education program; and, whether the respondent lives in the same community as the majority of the students they teach.

The data show that female respondents outnumbered male respondents in the ratio of over 2:1 (131 females and 59 males). Respondents were most often in the 30 to 39 years age range (40%), although over one quarter were also in each of the under 30 years (26%) and 40 to 49 years age range (27%) groups.

Further, the data show that the majority of respondents (60%) work in urban schools, compared with 28% who work in rural schools and 12% who work in isolated schools. The majority of these schools are of medium size and enrol between 151 and 450 students (61%), although the schools range in size from fewer than 150 to over 750 pupils.

The respondents received their preservice teacher education at universities across Canada and the United States. As might be expected from responses to a study conducted in those two provinces, the majority (80%) studied at the two major universities in Alberta and Saskatchewan. Altogether, however, 25 different teacher education programs were represented in the responses.

**Underlying Dimensions in Actual Experiences**

The factor analysis identified 62 items related to the actual experiences of respondents in their preservice and inservice teacher education programs. Utilizing the criteria of Harman's (1976) 'Scree' or 'Discontinuity' test, which is based on the belief that once the last important factor has been extracted the eigenvalues will show a discontinuity (Jankovic, 1983, p. 119), the first six factors were selected for varimax rotation. The selected factors had eigenvalues of 7.13, 5.49, 3.91, 2.98, 2.42, and 2.18 respectively. These six factors accounted for 39 percent of the variance. Factors 1 through 6 accounted for 11.5 percent, 8.9 percent, 6.3 percent, 4.8 percent, 3.9 percent, and 3.5 percent respectively of the variance.

Of the 62 items under consideration, 12 did not load at 0.40 or greater to any of the six factors. These items were not used for factor interpretation at this time. The essential characteristics of the six factors identified are as described below.
Factor 1: Items Associated with Effective Schools. The thirteen items in this factor describe issues commonly found in the effective schools literature (e.g., Edmonds, 1979; Manasse, 1985; Wimpleberg, Teddlie, & Stringfield, 1989). Respondents indicated that in schools where there are major problems with truancy and high levels of unacceptable student behavior, for example, there tended not to be good classroom management shown by teachers nor strong leadership provided by the principal.

Factor 2: Actual Preservice Preparation of Teachers. The twelve items in this factor describe the experiences of respondents with respect to the actual inclusion of ethnocultural knowledge in their preservice programs. These items include whether respondents received any special preparation which focused on the ethnocultural diversity of contemporary classrooms, whether they were provided with knowledge of a variety of ethnoculturally appropriate teaching and learning styles, and whether their courses included attempts to explain different theories of ethnocultural minority student learning and achievement. In many cases these experiences were lacking.

Factor 3: Problems Faced by Beginning Teachers. The ten items in this factor describe the problems faced by respondents in their first year of teaching. These problems are reminiscent of those reported elsewhere in the literature (e.g., Avery & Walker, 1993; Cooke & Pang, 1991).

The respondents had difficulty in dealing with individual student differences in learning ability, in identifying levels of individual student knowledge, and in adapting curricula to reflect local culture and meet local needs. They also experienced difficulties in dealing with slow learners and in overcoming the low motivation of students to learn.

Factor 4: Addressing Ethnocultural Diversity at the School Level. The five items in this factor describe the actual steps which respondents have observed being taken, at the school level, to address ethnocultural diversity. These range from the provision of specific ethnocultural information in the job advertisement to the existence of distinct policies, at the school level, to address the needs of English as a Second Language (ESL) learners.

Factor 5: Actual Opportunities and Supplies for New Teachers. The seven items in this factor describe the opportunities provided to, and experienced by, respondents when they were beginning teachers. These items indicate that, as new teachers, the respondents encountered a lack of library resources and insufficient time for lesson
planning. Together with these items, respondents reported that they experienced few opportunities for collaboration with other teachers and were rarely given the opportunity to choose a "buddy" who would act as a mentor during the first year. New teachers were not given additional resources to equip their classrooms, nor were they provided with extra planning time, and they were seldom provided with special workshops and/or inservice sessions that specifically addressed the needs of beginning teachers.

Factor 6: Addressing Ethnocultural Diversity at the Classroom Level. The three items in this factor describe the respondents' practices in their interactions with ethnoculturally diverse students, particularly those for whom English was a second language or dialect (ESL/ESD). Respondents indicated whether they adjusted their marking strategies for students who spoke non-standard English and, also, whether they took the non-standard spoken English of students into account when assessing reading and writing skills.

In schools where teachers exhibited similar expectations as to what constituted acceptable or unacceptable behavior by students, then respondents tended to adjust their marking and assessment practices to account for non-standard English and the needs of ESL/ESD learners. In schools where teachers did not share these common expectations, however, such adjustment was not usually found.

Underlying Dimensions in Preferred Experiences

The factor analysis identified 21 items related to the preferred experiences of respondents in their preservice and inservice teacher education programs. Using Harman's (1976) "Discontinuity" test, the first four factors were selected for varimax rotation. These four factors, with eigenvalues of 5.26, 2.91, 1.95, and 1.42 respectively, accounted for 55.1 percent of the variance. Factors 1, 2, 3, and 4 accounted for 25.1 percent, 13.9 percent, 9.3 percent, and 6.8 percent respectively of the variance.

Of the 21 items under consideration, 4 did not load at .040 or greater to any of the factors. These items were not used for factor interpretation at this time. The essential characteristics of the four factors which were used can be described as follows.

Factor 1: Preferred Preservice Ethnocultural Preparation of Teachers. The six items in this factor describe beliefs held by respondents with respect to what ethnocultural knowledge should be included in the preservice preparation of teachers. Respondents state that this knowledge should include the skills to analyze and adapt
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curricula so that the material which is taught has ethnocultural relevance and applicability. They also believe that preservice teachers should be made cognizant of theories which explain the differences in student learning and achievement that exist between majority and minority ethnocultural groups.

The respondents indicated that an overall awareness of ethnocultural sensitivity and knowledge should be inculcated in preservice teachers, with a focus on the history, culture, and philosophy of the Aboriginal peoples. They suggest that these areas of general knowledge should be reinforced, with a greater emphasis on the particular ethnocultural context within which the teacher is working, through a discussion of case studies and the like during a planned orientation period once the teacher becomes employed.

Factor 2: Preferred Orientation Practices. The five items in this factor describe beliefs held by respondents with respect to what practices ought to be included in the orientation period for new teachers. Teachers indicate that there is a need to provide an opportunity to review standard school operating procedures, particularly through an examination of the policy and procedures manual where that exists, and the opportunity to discuss school discipline practices. Respondents believe that such a period of orientation should be planned, rather than haphazard and ad hoc, and should also include the opportunity to discuss with experienced teachers those teaching practices which have been found to be most compatible with the distinct learning styles of the children in the school.

Factor 3: Preferred Opportunities for New Teachers. The four items in this factor describe the beliefs held by respondents with respect to what supportive opportunities should be provided to new teachers. The suggestions include opportunities for collegial collaboration and the chance to observe more experienced teachers as part of their regular employment. Further, respondents noted that beginning teachers should be given the opportunity to choose a colleague as a "buddy" or mentor for the first year, together with having access to special workshops and inservice sessions which address specifically the problems experienced by new teachers.

Factor 4: Preferred Supplies for New Teachers. The final factor related to the preferred preservice and inservice experiences of respondents consists of two items. These describe the need to provide new teachers with specific supplies reserved for their use. Respondents recognize the fact that new teachers are usually precluded from the new furniture orders prepared at the end of the preceding school year, and therefore often receive furnishings which have been discarded by other teachers. They suggest that the
supplies under consideration include the actual physical resources required by new teachers as they begin to equip their classrooms at the beginning of their careers. Respondents also noted that a less tangible need is for extra planning time, over and above that available to experienced teachers, which might help offset some of the panic experienced by new teachers.

**Discussion of Preliminary Findings**

In this section of the paper I present and discuss the preliminary findings of the study. First, I describe the extent to which ethnocultural diversity exists in the selected schools. Second, I identify the extent to which beginning teachers in those schools received an ethnocultural focus in their preservice education programs. And third, I examine whether teachers received supportive initial inservice experiences, such as orientation and induction practices, which served to prepare them to be effective teachers of ethnoculturally diverse student populations.

**Ethnocultural Diversity in Schools**

There exists a limited literature describing the ethnocultural diversity found in Canadian schools. Although many are quick to comment that the population at large is rapidly becoming more diverse, empirical data on which to base this assertion is lacking. The issue was addressed through four items on the questionnaire.

One item asked respondents to describe the students whom they taught, and to indicate whether the majority of students in their classes were ethnoculturally homogeneous or ethnoculturally heterogeneous. Almost half of the teachers (45%) described the students whom they taught as being ethnoculturally diverse although a majority (55%) indicated that their students were a culturally homogeneous group.

A second item sought information on the number of languages spoken by students taught by the respondents. The majority of respondents (61%) claimed they taught students who were either monolingual or bilingual. The remaining two fifths of the respondents indicated that they taught students who spoke three or more languages. These data indicate that a sizable minority, or 39% of the respondents, taught linguistically diverse groups of students.

In contrast, the data show that the majority of teachers (62%) were monolingual. Slightly more than one quarter (27%) were bilingual and only 20 of the respondents, or 11%, indicated an ability to speak three or more languages. None of the teachers could
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speak more than four languages, and two thirds (67%) of the respondents indicated that they were of an ethnocultural group similar to the majority of the students whom they taught.

The ethnocultural diversity which exists within these selected western Canadian schools is quite extensive, although not as pronounced as that found within Californian schools discussed in other studies (e.g., Dianda, 1991; Gonzalez, 1993). Generally, the isolated schools in western Canada tend to have ethnoculturally homogeneous populations whereas both the urban and rural schools show a broader range of ethnocultural diversity.

In region 1 schools, located within a large urban centre, the dominant teacher-student relationship was not quite as predicted. Although respondents indicated that these schools featured ethnoculturally heterogeneous student populations, they also noted that the teachers were ethnoculturally compatible with the majority of their students. It was in these schools that the majority of multilingual students were found. It appears that the urban schools discussed in the study have predominantly Anglo student populations with a strong, but minority, representation of many other ethnocultural groups.

In region 2 schools, located within two rural school districts in adjacent provinces, the dominant teacher-student relationship was not as predicted. There was a much wider range of ethnocultural diversity than anticipated, with classes taught by teachers who were not ethnoculturally compatible with the majority of their students.

In the isolated schools which were located in region 3, the dominant teacher-student relationship was as predicted. The ethnoculturally congruent student populations which are found on First Nations reserves and in other small, isolated communities were taught by teachers who were ethnoculturally incompatible with the majority of their students.

**Ethnocultural Focus in Preservice Education**

The study also sought to identify the extent to which beginning teachers in the selected schools received preservice education programs which served to prepare them to be effective teachers of ethnoculturally diverse student populations, where these exist. The responses for those items previously identified as belonging to Factor 2: Actual Preservice Preparation of Teachers, were analyzed to determine whether respondents believed that their preservice programs had included an ethnocultural emphasis. Respondents generally indicated that they had not received such an emphasis in their programs.

The data show that less than one third of respondents actually received an ethnocultural focus in their preservice teacher education. More than two thirds, and often more than three quarters, of respondents believed that they should have received such a focus in their
preservice teacher education. Respondents emphatically indicated (84%) that their preservice teacher education programs did not include courses which attempted to provide them with the skills necessary to analyze and adapt curricula so that ethnocultural relevance and applicability were reflected in those curricula. In contrast, 75% of the respondents believed that such courses should have been part of their preservice teacher education program.

Respondents also indicated that their courses did not provide them with an understanding of a variety of ethnocultural teaching (82%) or learning (79%) styles, nor attempted to explain different theories of ethnocultural minority student learning or achievement (79%). In contrast, 84% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that such courses should be compulsory for all teachers.

Respondents were most supportive (85%) of the notion that it is appropriate that preservice teachers receive specialized knowledge of ethnocultural diversity. They were least supportive (67%) of making compulsory those courses which sought to provide students with an understanding of the history, culture, and philosophy of First Nations.

The data were also examined through the use of one-way analyses of variance and two-tailed t-tests. I was interested in discovering whether or not a relationship existed between thirteen demographic variables and the extent to which teachers in the selected schools received preservice education programs which served to prepare them to be effective teachers of ethnoculturally diverse student populations. Such a relationship appeared to exist for seven of the variables but did not appear for the remaining six variables.

The first variable where a relationship appeared to exist was the number of languages spoken by the teachers (F value = 1.78, p=0.0006). It appears that there is a relationship between this variable and the extent to which the teacher receives a preservice education program with an ethnocultural focus. Alternatively, it may be that a bilingual or multilingual teacher is more aware of ethnocultural issues and is therefore more likely to recognize and appreciate such a focus than a monolingual, and hence probably monocultural, teacher.

The second variable was the number of languages spoken by the students (F ratio = 3.57, p=0.02). The Scheffé procedure revealed that the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher who taught students who spoke two languages was significantly higher than that for a teacher who taught students who spoke three or more languages. This may reflect a difference between schools with an historically bilingual clientele, such as French Immersion or First Nations schools, and urban schools which tend to reflect a greater ethnocultural and ethnolinguistic diversity.
The third variable was the type of school system in which the teacher works (F ratio = 8.41, p=0.0003). The Scheffé procedure revealed that the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher in a Band controlled school was significantly higher than the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher who taught in both a public school district and a county or school division. This may indicate that teachers who do receive an ethnocultural focus in their preservice teacher education then actively seek employment in schools where this ethnocultural diversity is likely to be more pronounced.

The fourth variable was the location of the school in which the teacher works (F ratio = 7.57, p=0.0007). The Scheffé procedure revealed that the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher in a rural school was significantly higher than the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher who taught in an urban school. Further, the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher in an isolated school was significantly higher than the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher who taught in an urban school. This may indicate that teachers who do receive an ethnocultural focus in their preservice teacher education then actively seek employment in schools where this ethnocultural diversity is likely to be more pronounced.

The fifth variable was the number of years of teaching experience (F ratio = 7.97, p=0.0005). The Scheffé procedure revealed that the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher with 1 to 4 years of experience was significantly higher than the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher with 5 to 10 years of experience. Further, the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher with 1 to 4 years of experience was significantly higher than the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher with 11 or more years of experience. This may indicate that an ethnocultural focus in preservice teacher education has grown over the past five years.

The sixth variable was the university where the respondent completed their preservice teacher education (F ratio = 4.98, p=0.0024). The Scheffé procedure revealed that the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a respondent who attended the University of Saskatchewan was significantly higher than the mean score for an ethnocultural focus in the preservice education of a teacher who attended the University of Alberta. This might indicate that preservice teachers at the University of Saskatchewan receive a greater ethnocultural focus in their preservice teacher education than do preservice teachers elsewhere.
The seventh variable was the place of residence of a teacher, and specifically whether the teacher lives in the same community as the majority of the students from the school in which they teach (F value = 1.58, p=0.03). These data might indicate that teachers who receive a preservice education program with an ethnocultural focus are more aware of ethnocultural issues and are therefore more likely to recognize and appreciate the need for a teacher to play an active role within the community in which they teach. Alternatively, it may be that teachers in more isolated communities do not have access to housing outside the community, or are provided with housing close to the school, and therefore do not have the same opportunities as urban teachers to live in a different community from the majority of their students.

Further analyses discovered no relationship between six other items and the extent to which teachers in the selected schools received preservice education programs which served to prepare them to be effective teachers of ethnoculturally diverse student populations. These six items related to the age of the teacher; the gender of the teacher; the ethnocultural heterogeneity or homogeneity of the classroom; the degree to which a teacher is a member of an ethnocultural group similar to that of the majority of their students; the size of the school; and, the number of years that a teacher has been employed in their present school.

**Ethnocultural Focus in Inservice Education**

Third, the study sought to identify the extent to which beginning teachers in the selected schools received supportive initial inservice experiences which served to prepare them to be effective teachers of ethnoculturally diverse student populations, where these exist. The responses for those items previously identified as belonging to Factor 4: Addressing Ethnocultural Diversity at the School Level, and Factor 5: Actual Opportunities and Supplies for New Teachers, were analyzed to determine whether respondents believed that their preservice programs had included an ethnocultural emphasis. Respondents generally indicated that they had not received such an emphasis in their programs. As shown by the data presented in Table 2, very few respondents received ethnocultural information about their school or community from the job advertisement to which they responded. Indeed, 83% of the respondents reported that they received no such information, although only 12% disagreed or strongly disagreed that such information should be provided.
Further, 83 percent of the respondents agreed or strongly agreed that a planned period of orientation to the school and community should be provided to new teachers. In their experience, however, only 27% had received such an orientation. Indeed, as shown in the data presented in Table 3, respondents reported a positive experience in only one of six items related to inservice education.

Slightly more than one half of the respondents, or 56%, indicated that they had been given opportunities for collaboration with colleagues. In contrast, 95% of the respondents believed that new teachers should be given such opportunities. One fifth of the respondents indicated that, as new teachers, they had received opportunities to observe more experienced teachers. This compared with 91% who believed that new teachers should be given these opportunities. Similarly, 20% of respondents indicated that they had been given the opportunity to choose a "buddy" who would act as a mentor during the first year, whereas 93% believed that beginning teachers should have been provided with such an opportunity.

A higher proportion (38%) of respondents indicated that they had been provided with special workshops or inservice sessions during their first year, while 82% believed that these programs should be available to all new teachers. Although 95% had not received, as new teachers, extra planning time beyond that available to all staff, only a slight majority
Table 3
A Comparison Between the Supportive Inservice Experiences Received by New Teachers and Those Which They Believe They Should Have Received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I Was Given</th>
<th>I Should Be Given</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Yes</td>
<td>% A SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities for Collegial Collaboration</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Workshops &amp; Inservice Sessions</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>82.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to Choose a Mentor</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities to Observe Experienced Teachers</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional resources</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra Planning Time</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(51%) agreed or strongly agreed that this should be provided to new teachers. This was an unexpected finding, as 59% had responded to an earlier item on the questionnaire by stating that, in their first year of teaching, they had experienced insufficient time for lesson planning. Further, although 11% had received additional resources during their first year of teaching, only 47% believed that new teachers generally should be given such additional support.

The data were also examined through the use of one-way analyses of variance and two-tailed t-tests. I was interested in discovering whether or not a relationship existed between the thirteen demographic variables previously described and the provision of supportive initial inservice experiences to neophyte teachers. Such a relationship appeared to exist for two of the variables but did not appear to exist for the remaining eleven variables.

Of the two variables where a relationship appeared to exist, the first was the type of school system in which the teacher works (F ratio = 4.82, p=0.0091). The Scheffé
procedure revealed that the mean score for the provision of supportive initial inservice experiences to a teacher who taught in a public school district was significantly higher than the mean score for the provision of supportive initial inservice experiences to a teacher who taught in either a county or school division or in a Band operated school. This might indicate that orientation and induction practices in the public school district are better developed and implemented than those elsewhere.

The second variable was the location of the school in which the teacher works (F ratio = 4.28, p=0.0151). The Scheffé procedure revealed that the mean score for the provision of supportive initial inservice experiences to a teacher who taught in an urban school was significantly higher than the mean score for the provision of supportive initial inservice experiences to a teacher who taught in a rural school. These data might indicate that rural schools have fewer resources to devote to orientation, induction, and inservice programs for new teachers. Alternatively, teachers in urban schools may be more comfortable than their counterparts in rural schools with the efforts of their schools to prepare them for the ethnocultural diversity of their students. This may be due to a perception that there is more ethnocultural homogeneity in rural schools and therefore such programs are unnecessary.

**Implications and Conclusion**

The paper reports on areas which have been identified, in the literature, as being of concern to both teachers and teacher educators. There have been few Canadian attempts to provide empirical support for these areas of concern. In examining the ethnocultural preparation of teachers within the sociocultural context of contemporary schools the study is contributing to knowledge in this field.

One contribution of this study to the research is by providing an indication of the actual ethnocultural diversity to be found among the student population in western Canada. Although some studies (e.g., Grossman, 1991; Leake, 1993) have discussed cultural heterogeneity in American schools, there have been few attempts to produce Canadian data. Such data are important if teachers are to be effectively prepared for the cultural diversity which is presumed to exist. In this study, 39% of the respondents indicated that they teach in linguistically diverse classrooms. In addition, almost half of the respondents in this study indicated that they teach an ethnoculturally diverse group of students, and a further 20% indicated that they teach an ethnoculturally homogeneous group of students who are ethnoculturally different from themselves. It would therefore appear that there is a need for neophyte teachers to be provided with an ethnocultural focus in both their preservice and inservice programs.
The six factors related to the actual preservice and inservice experiences of respondents indicate that they have not encountered such a focus. The majority of the respondents received a preservice education program which they believed did not adequately prepare them for the challenges of teaching in the ethnoculturally diverse classrooms of contemporary Canadian schools. On obtaining a position, they then experienced many of the problems faced by beginning teachers elsewhere. In addition, respondents did not find policies in place which addressed ethnocultural diversity at the school level, were not provided with additional opportunities or supplies beyond those received by their experienced and established colleagues. They rarely believed that they had the skills and expertise necessary to enable them to address the ethnocultural diversity they found in their own classrooms. The four factors related to the preferred preservice and inservice experiences of respondents indicate that the respondents were aware of these shortcomings. Further, they expressed a strong desire for such issues to be addressed.

It is accepted that preservice teacher education programs can not fully prepare neophyte teachers for the variety of ethnocultural settings they will experience. Such programs can, however, play a role in providing education students with a general knowledge of ethnocultural diversity, with a basic understanding of sociolinguistic development patterns, and with the ability to accept ethnocultural difference as a strength rather than as a cause for concern. At the school level, it is important that an awareness and understanding of the specific ethnocultural milieu of the community be provided to new teachers. Schools could address this issue by providing greater detail in position vacancy advertisements and by exploring ethnocultural compatibility during the interview. A planned period of orientation for new teachers would be most useful, as would an ongoing sequence of induction and inservice programs. It appears that although educators are aware of the realities experienced by beginning teachers in contemporary classrooms, this awareness has not been translated into an action plan which focuses at overcoming these negative situations.

This study explores the relationship which exists between the preparation of teachers and the realities they experience when they embark on their careers. It does so from a new perspective, and makes a contribution to the knowledge base by seeking to determine which of those strategies that have been reported in the literature as proving effective with ethnoculturally varied student populations are actually part of the preparation or practice of teachers. The findings may have implications for the structure of teacher education programs and for the recruitment and initiation of beginning teachers, implications which may be of value not only in North America but also in other countries which are experiencing similar situations.
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