This paper is concerned with the recent developments in federal and state legislation regarding cable franchises and their effect on the establishment of a statewide distance learning network. It begins with an overview of recent legislation regarding cable regulations and presents issues regarding distance education. These include linking cable franchises with new trunking cable, allowing single signals to be received by single towns; remote educational protocols; and developing educational programming agreements. Regulatory enhancements and their effects on educational programming are examined. Video dial tone trials which allow video programming over traditional copper lines are introduced. Remote educational protocols for distance networks are discussed. Federal initiatives have given money and legislation to be used in developing regional educational networks. The changes that advances in technology have made in distance education are examined. Finally, the implications of these new developments for Connecticut are identified. (JLB)
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INTRODUCTION

On June 10, 1993, Connecticut’s governor signed into law legislation mandating a feasibility study to be conducted to assess to what extent and in what manner bidirectional educational programming may be effectuated among the state’s 26 cable franchise operators.1 This legislation coincided with such federal remote educational initiatives as the National Competitiveness Act of 1993 and the Distance Learning Information Act of 1993. On February 1, 1994, Connecticut’s Regulatory Utility Agency, the Department of Public Utility Control (DPUC or Department) submitted a comprehensive report to the Connecticut General Assembly adumbrating the feasibility of a statewide interconnection among the state’s cable franchise operators for the purposes of facilitating instructional programming.2 The purpose of the federal legislation is to establish a national clearinghouse for information technologies relating to remote educational programs. The clearinghouse will maintain a database of distance learning protocols, report on the completion of successful projects, periodically publish a compilation of reports, and review remote educational grant applications.3 Additionally, recently passed legislation, Public Act No. 94-83, An Act Implementing the Recommendations of the Telecommunications Task Force, has allowed 2-way, interactive transmission for the limited purposes of supplying educational cable programming by the state’s cable franchise operators, and addresses the following concerns:4

1. What obligations should the franchise operator assume regarding educational equipment and what costs concomitant with outside plant should be the cable franchisee’s responsibility?
2. What equipment and distribution plant should the schools be responsible for?
3. To what extent and in what manner should inter-franchise instructional programming costs be allocated?

Technological innovations by the state's cable franchise operators have been analyzed by the Department, some of which have been initiated by major cable players. Tele-Communications Inc., (TCI) for example, the world's largest multiple systems cable franchise operator, currently owns five franchises in Connecticut, and has installed fiber-to-the-feeder trunking cable, increasing channel capacity and improving picture quality and adding laser sound. This innovation also facilitates reception of a single signal within the franchise area transmitted to an individual town rather than having that signal be received by all other franchise area towns, thus skirting contentious privacy issues. The advantage is that the distance learning transmissions need not block public, educational, and governmental access channel times in franchise area municipalities. With a dedicated channel, the interactive educational transmissions are viewable by all franchise area subscribers.

An amorphous area in distance education is cost allocation for the interactive remote educational protocols. Educational costs have been largely supported by the general tax base, and within franchise areas, by the company's general rate base of subscribers. However, the costs for each type of remote educational morphology vary with network configuration and with concomitant engineering requirements. The incipient federal legislative framework supporting distance education is generally silent on the question of cost and rate base implications for remote educational infrastructures, and recent federally initiated rate changes do not generally address the financial impacts of institutional networks. The legislation states in part:

to achieve significant cost savings and improved distance learning services by establishing....an "information clearinghouse" for distance learning activities to gather and distribute information on the effectiveness of distance learning programs and the technologies used in such programs.5

As far back as 1988, the Connecticut State Board of Education and the Board of Governors for Higher Education surveyed Connecticut's cable franchise operators on the uses of instructional programming on educational access channels by schools and on schools' perceptions regarding inhibiting factors in the instructional uses of cable. Results indicated that while the use of instructional channels by educational institutions

at that time was relatively low, both teachers and administrators were becoming increasingly aware of the need of sharing video resources among schools within educational districts. One recommendation from that survey stated that the Department of Higher Education should: "Offer technical and financial assistance to schools for the wiring of school buildings..."6 A more recent DPUC-authorized study on the feasibility of a statewide distance learning interconnected network found that teachers overwhelmingly stated they would not be averse to teaching via interactive television.7

The DPUC has been actively addressing the issue of remote education for about four years, and has adopted the position that franchise operators need to commit to the provisioning and to the maintaining of technologically advanced equipment and facilities for effectuating educational programming, and should incur some of those expenses that are legitimately needed to transmit such programming.8 The Valley Shore Telecommunications Cooperative, an educational consortium comprised of six school systems along the state's shoreline, has advocated, for example, that cable operators interconnect franchise areas so that educational programming can be shared across cable franchise boundaries. According to Valley Shore, "Not only must our school walls come down and become almost limitless, but so too should the walls of our franchise areas."9 The Department has also submitted to the General Assembly draft legislation concerning two-way transmission of cable signals. The legislation, scheduled to be acted upon during the 1994 legislative session, seeks to allow cable franchise operators to transmit bidirectionally, as cable franchisees are currently restricted statutorily from 2-way transmission, (1.) "...the one-way transmission to subscribers of video programming or information....to all subscribers generally...."10 The proposed draft seeks to authorize cable operators to transmit bidirectional educational programming relative to operators' franchise agreements. The proposed language states that instructional and educational programming will consist of:

8 Department of Public Utility Control, November 18, 1992. pp. 6-8.
The two-way transmission of educational and instructional programming or information to a public or private elementary or secondary school, or a public or independent institution of higher education.11

REGULATORY ENHANCEMENTS

This change in regulatory policy, first proposed by the Department in 1993, is consonant with the general issue of interconnectivity of instructional or of institutional networks across Connecticut's 26 cable franchise demarcations. Specific apportionment of distance education costs was not specifically addressed in the Department's 1994 report to the General Assembly, and distance education costs are acknowledged to be unique to the specific system architecture of each cable operator. Connecticut's regulators have historically taken the position that cable franchisees have a social contract obligation to supply the distribution plant and some of the funding necessary for remote educational programming. Legally, these costs are ostensibly interpreted as rate-based above-the-line pass-through costs to subscribers, as stated in the 1992 Cable Act:

....the cost of satisfying franchise requirements to support public, educational, or governmental channels....and the costs of any public, educational, or governmental access programming.... are largely beyond the control of the cable operator and should be passed on to subscribers without a cost-of service-showing.12

Recent proposed legislation has stated that each cable franchise operator: “....shall make available....all equipment and services necessary to provide the two-way transmission of educational and instructional programming....unless the Company receives a waiver for good cause from the Department of Public Utility Control.”13 A social contract form of regulation, such as the type used in the offering of remote

education, requires a subsidy in order to provide the service, in this case a remote learning protocol, that in itself, may be uneconomic to offer since it is revenue neutral per se; hence the need for a surplus of some kind to provide a financial basis for offering the service. Other companies offer remote educational protocols through retained company earnings, such as a market trial for a new service that might be proffered. Additionally, the public, educational, and governmental institutions themselves incur costs; part of the recovery of which could theoretically be derived from the general tax base of the franchise towns, various embedded subsidies, off-budget funding schemes, private investment, or from incipient competition, both in the cable and telephone industries. The construction of a distance learning architecture may be considered an operating expense by the franchisee, and thus be charged to the subscribers, since that function then becomes another operating cost of providing service. Further muddying these cost allocation waters is the incipient appearance of new competitive service providers, some of whom may eventually assume the local access programming functions for the cable operator.

Additionally, distance learning architecture will help expand educational choices and operationally extend the reach of education deeper and more substantively into the individual and temporal lives of learners. In Connecticut's case, preliminary and unofficial rough cost estimates for statewide interconnectivity are approximately $71,168,123, which is about $6.17 per subscriber per month in addition to whatever each customer currently pays for his extant level of service. Cable operators estimate they have spent over $23,000,000 dollars on distance education, and further forecast that the costs to interconnect remote educational architecture with other operators would be much greater, due to the prodigious amounts of fiber optic cable that would be needed. When added to the average monthly cost for basic cable service, it becomes apparent that a statewide interconnected cable institutional network may significantly increase the cost of basic cable television service. Additionally, there is the inevitability of local loop telephone competition within the near future, as recently proposed federal regulations have specified, which would facilitate the eventual merging of the telecommunications and the cable industries, further complicating the issue of cost allocation and the general issue of who pays for what services. Lastly,

there is the thinking by some utility regulators that the public service commissions
should promote distance education systems not only to benefit franchise area schools,
but also to benefit other subscribers, since the construction of the remote educational
network makes that plant available for other public service uses as well, such as
telemedicine, video-on-demand, games, interactive libraries, and infrastructure
modernization. If telephone and cable companies do not assume the responsibility for
constructing distance education networks, the "critical mass" of money from the
communications infrastructure that the operator uses to provision its panoply of
services, particularly the enhanced or specialized features, will be minimized.\(^1\)

The possibility of a new "superinfrastructure" revitalizing recession-wracked
economies such as in Connecticut is appealing. New legislation has mandated the
beginning of intrastate interexchange and local loop telephone competition, which may
spur the development of institutional networks. Maugre the dawning of the Information
Age, it is estimated that even today one of four adults has never used a computer or
has never programmed a VCR to tape a television show. Over the past five years, tens
of thousands of manufacturing jobs have disappeared in Connecticut. Since 1970, the
number of blue collar workers has declined by nearly 75 percent, and the workforce has
changed from manufacturing and industry to primarily information processing.\(^2\) In
Connecticut debate is presently heating up as a neophyte cable franchise operator,
FiberVision Corp., has charged the state's dominant local exchange carrier, Southern
New England Telephone, (SNET) with using a video services trial to preclude
FiberVision from beginning its own cable programming operations. FiberVision has
proposed its own interactive distance learning network linking schools in its franchise
area.\(^3\)

**VIDEO DIAL TONE TRIALS**

SNET's video dial tone trial will include such potential services as interactive
remote education via Asynchronous Digital Subscriber Line technology, which allows
the delivery of video programming over traditional copper telephone lines.\(^4\)

---

15 "Using Distance Learning to Push Telco Deregulation," *Distance Education and Cable Television*, 1,
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18 Application of the Southern New England Telephone Company to Test a New Technology in
Additionally, SNET is proposing its own statewide institutional network called *I-SNET*. This will be an interactive, multi-media, all-digital, all-fiber-optic network bringing an entire new generation of information and educational services to all Connecticut residential and business customers. *I-SNET* will cost an estimated $4.5 billion and will be completed over 15 years.

In addition to educational uses, other applications include telemedicine-via high-definition video monitors, interactive, and international teleconferencing. On the cable front, Time Warner Cable recently delayed its 4,000 home trial of interactive cable television, attributing the delay to manufacturing problems of the software designed to run the set-top boxes that transmit the interactive computer graphics. Said Edward R. McCracken, Silicon Graphics Chairman, "This is hard stuff. This is supposed to look like a television set even though its actually a sophisticated computer network." Regarding the statewide interconnection, there is a weighty legal issue of local access with which to contend. For example, to what extent would a "must carry" type of designation for instructional programming oppose the intent of the federal government that such transmissions be dedicated specifically for local access purposes? The Department has only gone so far as to rule that:

it is the responsibility of a cable operator to address the educational needs within its franchise through the provision of facilities and equipment necessary for technologically advanced educational programming, where such needs have been identified as an essential part of the overall cable-related community needs.

The Department's historical philosophy regarding distance education has generally been delimited to focusing on the educational needs of the community within the parameters of governmental and on public access programming. That view has remained essentially the same in the recent report to the state legislature, with the regulatory emphasis being on ensuring that the franchise operator offers whatever services are considered necessary and appropriate by the franchise community, though not at the expense of offering reliable cable service its extant subscribers.

22 Ibid., p. 6.
REMOTE EDUCATIONAL PROTOCOLS

As Connecticut's cable operators begin to implement remote educational protocols, equipment provisioning has varied. Typically, distribution equipment has included return lines both upstream and downstream connecting remote education studios, hardware, internal wiring, fiber optic technology and various components of outside plant. Companies have also offered technical expertise concomitant with the hardware. Equipment supplied by schools has typically included whatever plant is necessary to effectuate program origination. Educational programming costs have been included in basic rates; however, due to the treatment of those expenditures, specific rate base impacts have not been isolated and analyzed, particularly under the often confusing proliferation of the new cable rate reregulation laws. About 14 of the state's 26 cable operators have implemented some type of remote educational protocol or are in the process of constructing one.24 According to the Joint Committee on Educational Technology, (JCET), a technical standing group of education professionals charged with reporting on the various uses of educational technology, Connecticut lags far behind many other states technologically with respect to distance education provisioning.25 Remote learning, until the relatively recent explosion in information technology, has had the same basic configuration for over 20 years—a talking teacher positioned in front of a camera. New interactive pathways now allow student/teacher dialogue through various electronic interfaces, typically two-way audio and one-way video. The JCET states that Connecticut has not yet adopted a uniform system for provisioning remote instructional programming, but instead has adopted a piecemeal approach, which has somewhat inhibited innovation. The JCET further believes that educational and information technology can remove many of the inequities now extant in the public school system, and they further suggest that new applications of educational technology such as the proposed statewide interconnection could attract much needed commerce to a state economy ravaged by the depressed insurance and defense industries and by the high cost of doing Connecticut commerce. The JCET states: "The effective use and integration of educational technology will be a key factor in improving education and achieving equity..." The JCET further believes that the proposed interconnect is imminent, particularly with the recent designation of Hartford as a "superhub" in the $2 billion dollar nationwide TCI fiber optic wiring project. This

24 Ibid., p. 3.
work will result in approximately 1,100 miles of fiber in Connecticut alone, costing a projected $68 million dollars, and affecting virtually all of the state's 223,000 cable subscribers. Part of that package will offer interactive educational services. Since costs for running the schools are supported by taxes, the extent and manner in which the DPUC will recommend the shifting of these programming costs from the general tax base to the subscriber base, if at all, remains to be determined through the regulatory process.

A statewide interconnection that is actually implemented is likely to spawn more policy than technical problems, especially in the crossing of disparate cable franchise boundaries. In Connecticut, both TCI and SNET are installing fiber optic cable. SNET's goal is to install fiber to connect every switching station in the state to nodes of 500 or more customers. Coaxial cable would then connect the nodes to individual homes or to businesses. This arrangement will facilitate the offering of not only dial tone but of voice, video and data transmission as well, including remote educational applications. Regulators in Connecticut are moving away from fixed rates of return toward allowing regulated utilities more leeway in shifting costs and in setting prices within certain limits. In SNET's case, the Company has recently allowed MFS Telecom to share a portion of its fiber-optic network and local telephone business in an effort to expand its existing 2,500 miles of fiber.

**FEDERAL INITIATIVES**

The primary reasons for the nationwide proliferation of remote educational paradigms is that essential educational needs can be fulfilled by the developing technology, and technology is becoming cheaper and less capital-intensive to implement. On the federal level, the Department of Education's "Star Schools" grants...
program has allocated more than $100 million dollars to fund remote education programs in 47 states over the past several years, as well as establishing programs in Puerto Rico and in the Virgin Islands.\textsuperscript{32}

Recent Connecticut legislation that has attempted to foster the development of instructional programming has included educational shows deemed by legislative act to be "technologically advanced" including the programming...."to comply with quality of service standards."	extsuperscript{33} Ultimately, the provisioning of two-way cable television service is one important regulatory objective. As suggested by a recent technical report on emerging communications technologies: "Cable operators will continue to spend significant amounts of capital on fiber optics, but not on digital compression," since that technology has still not saturated the franchise territories, nor is it technologically feasible until system channel capacity needs to be greatly expanded, usually well beyond the usual 60-70 channel average system size.\textsuperscript{34} The recent proliferation of interactive services has resulted in: "...delivery of movies on demand, home shopping, interactive pay-per-view, educational programming, medical diagnostic services, games, data service and electronic libraries."\textsuperscript{35} Digital signal compression will eventually become a routine tool as the signals are compressed and transmitted without noticeable distortion, and the refinement of the processing will eventually decrease interactive costs as transmission capacity is enhanced.\textsuperscript{36}

Despite the copious amount of federal legislation spurring the evolving electronic information superhighway, many schools must still contend with such time-honored problems as unwieldy class sizes and a constrained educational largesse, and not every school will have the funding and the access to support the latest electronic learning protocols, particularly in a depressed economy. In these cases, the textbook rather than the computer terminal will remain the dominant learning modality as being the cheapest way of getting into a student's hand and head what is needed to be learned. As textbook expert Jeanne Chall states: "We go in and out of different

fashions in education. Right now, the fashion is to be opposed to textbooks because it is not considered creative." On the other hand, core disciplines such as medicine and science have embraced the new electronic learning paradigms wholeheartedly to cut the rather substantial costs of medicine by transmitting the expertise of expensive specialists to geographically isolated and rural areas of the country.37 Recently, the information monolith Encyclopedia Britannica has begun computerizing its product. The Company has come to realize the limitations of hard-bound volumes and is entering the electronic publishing market to determine what are the largest and most lucrative information markets. This represents a significant move toward instant knowledge. Britannica's move is significant because it represents the use of what is essentially an electronic learning paradigm to tap such advanced Information Age modalities as "hypertext," which allows each referenced article to be instantly referred to other germane works and illustrations, and also connects the four primary components of the encyclopedia: macropedia, micropedia, index, and an outline of world information called a propedia. Traditional texts cannot offer such informational capability. Larry Smarr, Director of the National Center for Supercomputer Applications states: "Here is a whole world of people who are using as "cyberspace" as their information stream. They are all potential customers for commercial information providers."38

While it remains technologically feasible to proffer interactive instructional learning, the costs to Connecticut's cable franchise operators remain prohibitive. Evolving technologies such as digital signal compression and high-tech system architecture such as ISDN are theorized to drive down instructional programming costs eventually. However, questions regarding usage, operation, and maintenance costs, cost allocation, and sources of funding must be thoroughly analyzed as a necessary prerequisite to the establishment of statewide remote educational network in Connecticut, or to interconnect the various institutional networks.

TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS

It is noteworthy to observe the change that technology has wrought in distance education, from its inauspicious beginnings as correspondence courses in the early

37 Andrew Purvis, "Healing by Wire," Time, 139, (20), (May 18, 1992): 68.
nineteenth century to a learning modality now considered to be de rigueur in many learning environments across the country. Connecticut's emerging regulatory framework supporting distance education is a cautious first step in moving from its present multifaceted approach toward achieving a more unified paradigm. Philosophically, technology being used to promote remote education may also be viewed as a social contract in that leading edge technology is the means to produce and to disseminate a service to benefit the common good of the whole society. As the Enlightenment philosopher Jean Jacques Rousseau stated:

"What a man loses by the social contract is his natural liberty and an unlimited right to do everything he tries to get and succeeds in getting; what he gains is civil liberty and the proprietorship of all he possesses."39

The Department has concluded that cable franchise operators can and should play a part in the provisioning of a statewide cable distance education interconnection. Cable officials however, quickly admonish against constructing an expensive system without usage assurances in place from local educational communities. Cable representatives expressed concern that building interactive architecture without such assurances from potential users may lead to a situation analogous to Iowa, where a costly, $100 million dollar, 2,800 mile fiber optic backbone, statewide remote education interconnect has been established, but has ostensibly suffered from a dearth of educational and instructional programming usage, which has had a deleterious impact on the state's general fund of operating the massive interactive educational network.40 In Connecticut's case, the question of whether such an investment should be made has already been answered affirmatively. The next question must be asked to determine who should make it. The beginning deregulation of various communications service providers, the emergence of competitive access providers, competition, and private investment are theorized ultimately to fund the new electronic pathways.41 Government in and of itself, however, be it federal, state, or local, cannot accomplish that task.

As digital and fiber optic technology have evolved, both the local exchange companies and the cable franchise operators have been moving toward a common objective of constructing and of implementing two-way interactive networks offering voice, video, and myriad data communications options. The transformation has been from separate monopolistic conglomerates to head-on competition, which is one mechanism purported to fund the development of the National Information Infrastructure Act. It makes sense to combine cable and telephone technologies, since the former are essentially gigantic one-way pipelines into the home capable of processing enormous capacity, while the latter possesses the switching and linking ability necessary to bring about ease of data transmission. The advent of the Information Age may in fact change the prevailing educational metaphor from the textbook to the computer screen, just as the computer revolution helped change the image of America from the land of the smokestack economy to the land of the cathode ray tube display. The raison d'etre of distance education is that learners of all ages, be they administrators, teachers, students, machinists, or politicians will be provisioned with information and mail from across the nation, state, and district sans costly connect charges, making the equity of informational access more feasible by linking users to the network with only a local telephone call. Particularly in a regulatorily progressive state such as Connecticut where the franchising authority regulates the operators directly, new franchise operators can avoid the municipal disputes that can occur and waste time. Cable television companies will continue to evolve into competitors of telephone companies as competitive service providers, and this will include remote educational services. Inter and intrastate remote education networks are likely to increase as the National Information Infrastructure Act encourages both telephone and cable companies to build high-speed fiber optic networks. Trade groups such as the National Cable Television Association want to exclude telephone companies from entering the cable television market for at least a few more years, and the recent failure of the TCI/Bell/Bell Atlantic mega merger may mean that the panoply of wire and wireless services, video-on-demand, interactive multimedia and other "information superhighway" services will most likely be placed on hold. Because of that failure, it

remains to be seen to what extent and in what manner the National Information Infrastructure Act will result in an interconnected, interactive nation or, on the other hand, may result in the establishment of a vast, electronic wasteland where voice mail, automatic call routing, electronic mailboxes, multiline fax machines and caller ID could conceivably lead to an environment where rather than connecting, messages get lost or avoided more easily than ever.45

CONCLUSIONS

It also remains to be seen, in light of the TCI/Bell Atlantic failure, where the huge amounts of capital needed to realize the national information superhighway will now come from.46 Connecticut recently accomplished an important distance education objective when the Department adopted distance education and instructional programming regulations. The new regulations state:

The Department may renew a franchise... if the franchise holder has committed itself to maintain technologically advanced equipment and facilities, comply with quality of service standards as determined by the Department and make available the facilities and equipment necessary to enhance and promote educational programming.47

The regulations, intended to bring the Department into compliance with Public Act 92-146, require the franchising authority to consider the cable operators' commitments to identifying, adumbrating, and for implementing quality criteria for instructional and for educational programming. Cable franchise holders must now specify to the Department in what manner they will address not only the public, educational, and governmental access needs of their subscribers, but to what extent and in what manner the operator will address the instructional programming needs of the franchise community. This regulatory framework provides a platform on which the franchise community can construct the foundations for its distance learning and instructional programming needs. Whether these needs are articulated to the operator

via cable company advisory councils, by educational communities of interest, or by Boards of Education, the result is what is important—namely, that the franchise community has a mechanism with which to communicate to its franchise holder a "critical mass" of support for its educational and instructional programming and its policy objectives. Connecticut franchise operators already are re-configuring their system architectures to eventually replace coaxial cable with more capacious fiber to effectuate future interactive capability, particularly for educational and for instructional programming protocols.

Lastly, the advent of actual cable competition has arrived with the Department's recent granting of the state's first Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to a cable operator to compete directly with an existing franchise operator. FiberVision of Greater Hartford, Inc., received approval from the DPUC to construct a fiber optic system and compete with a TCI Cablevision affiliate to offer cable television service. Although the Department has had authority since 1985 to allow competing cable operators to proffer service in the same markets, FiberVision's approved application is the first successful attempt by a Connecticut cable operator to construct a system in another operator's service territory and thus compete directly with the existing franchise holder for the provisioning of services. The Department awarded FiberVision a 15 year franchise term to construct an 1,110 mile cable system serving six towns. Additionally, FiberVision intends to link schools and libraries in its franchise area with the capability of an interactive educational network, which would offer the educational institutions options of simultaneous narrowcasting of various courses. Such a scenario would ultimately result in enhanced pedagogical opportunities throughout the franchise territory through the usage of the proposed institutional network.

The Department awarded FiberVision a 15 year franchise term to construct an 1,110 mile cable system serving six towns. Additionally, FiberVision intends to link schools and libraries in its franchise area with the capability of an interactive educational network, which would offer the educational institutions options of simultaneous narrowcasting of various courses. Such a scenario would ultimately result in enhanced pedagogical opportunities throughout the franchise territory through the usage of the proposed institutional network.

FiberVision approval heralds in a concerted technological rush to the Hartford area, as SNET has recently finalized plans to construct its $4.5 billion fiber network over fifteen years, the goal being to connect all Connecticut homes to it by 2009. The Company is also offering interactive television service which will be offered through a one-year, video-on-demand market trial in the suburb of West Hartford. That trial, recently approved by the FCC, will be extended into other areas of the state for one year,
pending FCC approval of the expansion. The trial differs from traditional pay-per-view in that consumers may set their own viewing schedules. Video-on-demand, one of the so-named "information superhighway" services, will be offered at rates alleged to be comparable to cable television rates. A local television station will "time-shift" news programming, enabling customers to watch the most recent news broadcast when they want it.51

CONNECTICUT INITIATIVES

Connecticut is thus well-positioned to fit into the evolving parameters of the National Information Infrastructure framework that will eventually connect homes, businesses, and various educational communities in a vast electronic, multimedia and informational network infrastructure.52 Educational groups such as the JCET hope that the state can ultimately effectuate a distance education paradigm such as Thomas Edison State College in New Jersey, where a Vax 4000 mainframe computer helps adult learners too busy with careers and families to learn content material necessary for professional advancement. Funding is available to supply needy students with a modem and with a Vax 4000-compatible computer to participate in the program. Learners, with those tools, are then able to use ordinary telephone lines to gain access to the educational process.53 William J. Seaton, Thomas Edison State’s Director of Adult Education, states: "Interaction, like the ability to hold class discussions by computer, breaks down the isolation of long-distance learning."54

Nascent federal legislation such as the Brooks-Dingell Bill postulates a regulatory architecture for allowing long-distance and local telcos to compete head-on, and with that direct competition will come even more interactive capability for cable franchise operators as more fiber optic and hybrid networks are constructed. Despite the heany media attention, Connecticut regulators are attempting to avoid turning distance education into a son et lumiere. Professional education organizations such as Minneapolis based Educational Alternatives Inc. (EAI) are attempting to privatize and

51 Kathleen Gorman, "Choosing a Flick Via a SNET Hookup," The Hartford Courant, 156, (54), (February 23, 1994): C11.
54 Ibid., p. B5.
technologize poor and failing urban school systems such as in Hartford and in Baltimore, Maryland. The Company, which went public in 1991, had revenues of $30 million for the previous fiscal year, is vehemently opposed by teachers' unions, because it replaces unionized personnel with its own technical and support staff. Despite the opposition, the company has recently received municipal approval to run Hartford's entire public school system. Professional educators claim that EAI is short on sound pedagogy and long on technoc-gadgetry and gimmicry, and does not adequately address the needs of special education students. In any event, distance learning applications figure heavily into the company's teaching paradigm and learning approaches.

The federal government so far has managed to connect schools, libraries, and hospitals to the existing interactive technology, but has not specified how it will connect everyone else. Recently announced interactive joint ventures, such as the one engineered by Walt Disney, Inc., along with three Regional Bell Operating Companies have made provisions to carry educational programming. No time frame or financial expenditures have been yet released. Connecticut is now at the point where rhetoric comes face to face with engineering reality, as the information networks are being constructed and connected. Remote education, long largely the province of the bigger, and more geographically isolated communities and states, is on the verge of arriving in medias res in tiny, heavily populated Connecticut, and figures to continue to expand in scope and in content.

55 Philip Elmer-DeWitt, "Play...Fast Forward...Rewind...Pause," Time, 143, (21), (May 23, 1994): 44-46.
Connecticut Cable Television Companies Legend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cable TV Company Name</th>
<th>Company Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCI Cablevision of South Central CT</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sammons Communications</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pegasus Cable Television</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storer Cable TV of CT</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurel Cablevision</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox Cable of Greater Hartford</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comcast Cablevision of Danbury</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comcast Cablevision of Middletown</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telesystems of CT</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCI of Central CT/Hartford</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tele-Media of Western CT</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCI of Central CT/Plainville</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cablevision of Southern Connecticut</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Connecticut Cable Television</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurel Cablevision</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Milford Cablevision</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storer Communications of Groton</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCI of Northwestern CT</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storer Communications of Clinton</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housatonic Cablevision</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Century Cable Management Corporation</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cablevision .f Connecticut</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Connecticut Cablevision</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCI of Central CT/Vernon</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continental Cablevision</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tele-Media of Northeastern CT</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: DPUC, 1993
TABLE B

CABLE CLASSROOM EQUIPMENT COSTS
Four year acquisition plan for one site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1- Minimum Receiving Site</td>
<td>5,375:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2- Fully Equipped Receiving Site</td>
<td>4,425.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3- Minimum Origination Site</td>
<td>4,890.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4- Fully Equipped Origination Site</td>
<td>995.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$15,685.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cable company pays for:

- "Upstream" modulator: 2,250.
- Headend demodulator: 2,300.
- Programmable timer: 700.
- Switching matrix: 400.

**$5,650.**

Source: Middlesex Distance Learning Consortium, 1990
The basic configuration at each end includes a codec, television cameras, and monitors, and an audio system. Systems can also include a robot controller for adjusting camera position, audio levels, and peripheral operation.

Source: Technology Futures Inc., 1992