This paper analyzes the Kansa Indian language vocabulary collected by Prince Alexander Philip Maximilian of Wied-Neuwied during his journey through the plains of North America between 1832 and 1834. Prince Maximilian's word list is likely the oldest-existent vocabulary on this language. His vocabulary, published in 1843 as an appendix to his travel journals, is then compared to more recent observations of Kansa words by James Owen Dorsey in the late 1880s and by the author in the 1970s. Observations about the phonology of Kansa are offered which, in turn, clarify the ultimate source of Maximilian's list. (MDM)
Abstract: The Kansa word listed collected in ca. 1833 by Prince Maximilian of Wied is compared with two more recent transcriptions of the same terms. Observations about the phonology are offered which, in turn, clarify the ultimate source of Maximilian's list.

One of the earliest Kansa language vocabularies, if not the earliest, in existence is that collected by Alexander Philip Maximilian, Prince of Wied-Neuwied, during his voyage through the plains of North America between 1832 and 1834. The list was published as an appendix to Maximilian's journals in 1843, along with vocabularies of a large number of other plains languages. In this paper the words on Maximilian's 1833 list are compared with the same Kansa words recorded by the rev. James Owen Dorsey, probably sometime between 1888-1890 and by this author in the 1970's. From this comparison it is possible to make a few linguistic observations that seem pertinent, primarily about the phonology of the words on Maximilian's list, then, based on these and other observations, certain inferences can be drawn about the source of the list itself.

The Maximilian and Dorsey lists are presented below in their respective authors' original notation; the Rankin list is surface phonemic (with the exact status of vowel length still undetermined). Comments offered by the several authors are placed beneath the pertinent entries in the proper columns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Maximilian</th>
<th>Dorsey</th>
<th>Rankin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arrow</td>
<td>mah</td>
<td>ma</td>
<td>ma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear (black)</td>
<td>uassóbä</td>
<td>wa-sa-be</td>
<td>wasábe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>schinga-schinga jin-ga' jiu-ga híga híga</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Accompanying his word list Maximilian wrote briefly of the Kansa people and the affinities of the Kansa language:

The Konsas, or Kansas Indians have always lived on the river of that name (Gallatin, p. 127). For the past thirty years they have lived at peace with the Osages; and the two tribes have intermarried. They still number fifteen hundred souls, and possess a tract of three thousand acres. They speak the Osage dialect, which belongs to the Dacóta linguistic group. (Thwaites, vol. 24, p. 229)

And although we find such delightful comments as 'Most of the... languages of the Missouri Valley can more easily be reproduced by the Germans and Dutch than by other nations; because,... their own speech abounds in gutturals and regularly has hard endings,' in point of fact, Maximilian took special care to write the words he heard accurately. He had read Duponceau and Pickering carefully and, citing both, discusses problems of orthography at some length.

He used what amounts to a transcription system.
based on a combination of German and French orthographic norms with the addition of a number of special dia-
critics and liberal use of explanatory notes. His sys-
tem utilizes primarily German spelling conventions, 
resorting to French only when German lacks a particular 
sound. Thus, for example, nasal vowels and [ž] are 
written the French way, i.e., with postposed nasal con-
sonants and the letter j, respectively.

Turning to Maximilian's Kansa list, a number of 
things can be said.

The precise status of vowel length in Kansa is 
still a problem today. Recordings made in the 1970's 
show it sporadically in words where it occurs regularly 
in other Siouan languages such as Winnebago or Crow. 
So, while undoubtedly significant at one time, it ap-
parently became variable as use of the language de-
creased and presented difficult problems for the trans-
scriber in later years. Maximilian took special note 
of vowel length in all of his word lists stating, 'I 
have tried to indicate the length of a syllable by add-
ing an h,...' (Thwaites, vol. 24, p. 206) Maximilian 
adopted the device used in German orthography for not-
ing long vowels, i.e., his use of h does not parallel 
continental vowel values.

We find length indicated in 
the following items: ARROW, HEAD, MOUTH, SUN, WATER, 
EARTH, FIRE, GOD, PIPE, WOMAN. This list suggests two 
generalizations.

First, Maximilian notes the vowels in all monosyl-
lables as long. Monosyllables are indeed all long in a 
number of Siouan languages. White Eagle and Miner 
(personal communication) both report this independently 
for Winnebago, and it also seems to be true of at least 
Chiwere and Crow in addition. Dorsey's (ca. 1888) nor-
malized Kansa transcriptions do not give clear indica-
tion of vowel length, so they are of little help to us, 
and length is subject to considerable variability in 
today's rapidly obsolescing Dhegiha languages (Rankin, 
field notes; Richard T. Carter, John E. Koontz, person-
al communication). So we have not known how closely 
Dhegiha followed common Siouan lengthening rules, and 
it would be nice if Maximilian's transcriptions provid-
ed the evidence. That Maximilian wrote length for all 
of the Kansa monosyllables on his list (ARROW, HEAD, 
MOUTH, SUN, WATER), appears to confirm that the rule 
did apply generally in Kansa in the 1830's, but we
shall have to return to this problem presently.

Second, in Chiwere, and with considerable variation in Dhegiha today, all accented initial syllables appear to be long. For the most part Maximilian’s list shows this also (EARTH, FIRE, PIPE). The only exception is CHILD, which should have length but where none is indicated. GOD and WOMAN perhaps should not have the long vowels attributed to them in the word list.

Voicing of obstruents is another phenomenon that is important as it is one of the phonological features that differentiates Kansa from Osage. In the Kansa of the 1970’s all instances of the lax (i.e., unaspirated, unglottalized and ungeminated) series of stops had voiced (except, of course, in clusters with voiceless fricatives), i.e., common Dhegiha *p t k > b d (f) g.

In the century-old Dorsey materials there are exceptions to this voicing. Dorsey wrote numerous instances of [k] for modern [g]. There are fewer instances of [t] for modern [d], and I was able to find no instances at all of [p] for modern [b]. This parallels the situation in other Dhegiha languages that I have discussed in greater detail elsewhere. Within Dhegiha the lax series has voiced most completely in Omaha-Ponca and Kansa, partially in Quapaw and scarcely at all in Osage. The philological and comparative evidence indicates that the voicing began with the bilabials and proceeded through the dentals affecting the velars last.

The Maximilian list shows no voiced stops where any later source has voiceless stops, but it does have a few apparently conservative cases of voiceless stops where the later sources have innovated voicing in the words for HAND and MOUNTAIN. Interestingly, these involve labials, all of which had voiced in the later sources available to us. All are pretonic; in the Maximilian list all posttonic lax stops are written voiced. Among the fricatives there is one substitution of š for ż in CHILD, which is written with sch; in TOMAHAWK the same morpheme, żiga SMALL (unstressed) is written with the letter j representing ż.3

Beyond the voicing discussed above, a few additional observations regarding Maximilian’s transcription of obstruct consonants are possible.
There is no graphic sign of the glottalization in WOMAN, but the word, written as it is with k, shows at least that common Dhegiha *xʔ (preserved still in Quapaw) had already merged with kʔ by the 1830’s, i.e., *waxʔó > Kansa wakʔó. Lack of a graphic representation of glottalization is not surprising however, as even the most talented amateur phoneticians who attempted to record American languages often had trouble deciding what to do with it.

Only one aspirate is present in the data. RIVER carries Maximilian’s cryptic comment ’t often like h’, but while he could have been referring to the aspiration of the t, which is phonemically th throughout the Dhegiha Siouan subgroup, it seems just as likely that he was referring to affrication instead (Kansa th > [čh] regularly preceding front vowels). Interestingly, the sound is nonetheless written by Maximilian as t, not the perhaps to be expected tsch. That affrication of dentals was proceeding apace however, is shown by FIRE where *ppé·te has already become ppé·je.

Tense or geminate stops are always written by Maximilian with letters representing voiceless stops, but otherwise they are not distinguished from the other stop series. In other words, Maximilian did not distinguish aspirates from tense (geminate) or glottalized stops, hardly surprising since only the one aspirate and one ejective were represented in his Kansa list.

Maximilian (Thwaites, vol. 24, p. 206) states, 'As the French acute accent seemed to me entirely adequate for indicating the correct intonation of the Indian words, I have chosen it to show on what letter or syllable the emphasis is to be placed. Occasionally, owing to haste or lack of time, it may have been forgotten or omitted.' The translator mentions (ibid. p. 209) that 'The vertical accent seems to be used to indicate secondary, or less emphatic stress....'

Little can be said about accent in this word list other than that it generally seems to correspond to the notation of stress in the other sources. FIRE and MAN appear mistranscribed, or misrepresented by Maximilian’s source, as stress falls on the initial syllable of these words in Kansa and throughout Dhegiha.

The spelling of EARTH suggests that the development of modern Kansa y from common Dhegiha *r in a nas-
al environment may not have been complete and that some occlusion may still have been present. In this word Ponca and Quapaw have n while Osage has  duyệt and Kansa has y. The earlier stage in Kansa probably corresponded closely to the Osage. Maximilian’s comment 'n nearly like h' may refer to lack of occlusion, i.e., a [ŋ]-like phone preceding a nasal vowel.

The final vowel of HAIR is written i despite the fact that there was a readily available symbol for ü (cf. MOUNTAIN). Common Dhegiha ü is preserved today in both Kansa and Osage, but for at least one hundred years there has been a certain amount of phonetic fluctuation when it comes to rounding. HAIR, if rendered correctly to, and recorded by, Maximilian, could be interpreted as evidence for similar fluctuation as early as the 1830’s.

Finally, there are several words that are either unrecognizable or appear quite different from their later forms.

MOUTH should not have a rounded vowel and cannot be explained except perhaps as a copying error. It does not have a rounded vowel in any Siouan language, so this is one instance in which access to the original manuscript would clearly be very useful. There must be an editor’s error or misprint here.

PIPE also almost certainly contains a copying or printing error. It was probably recorded nah-ni-ba by Maximilian with later misinterpretation of the second n as h (Kansa 'tobacco' is ngni varying with nån.)

RIVER shows oral ñ rather than the aberrant  dàng recorded by Dorsey. This not only agrees with what this author found in the 1970’s but with the oral vowel found in this word in all the rest of the Dhegiha languages also. Here it was probably Dorsey who erred.

We may now consider briefly the source of Maximilian’s Kansa vocabulary. In most instances he indicated the source of each vocabulary in a footnote; unfortunately Kansa is one of the very few cases in which no source is given, at least in Thwaites. About his sources generally, Maximilian writes:

I have written these vocabularies, in part from the pronunciation of the Indians themselves; in
part, from that of interpreters, who are usually half-breeds, and therefore thoroughly acquainted with the Indian as well as with the French or English languages—they have, at least, lived for a long time with those nations; finally, in part from the pronunciation and with the kind assistance of the Indian agent, Major Dougherty, who speaks several of these languages fluently. (Thwaites, vol. 24, p. 207.)

Major John Dougherty was Indian agent at the Bellevue (Nebraska) agency just south of Omaha. He accompanied Maximilian up river to Bellevue by steamer from near St. Louis. He was primarily in charge of relations with the Omahas, Otoes and Pawnees (all Nebraska tribes) according to Maximilian. In one incident described he also has dealings with a group of Ioways. The Kansas, on the other hand, had a sub-agent with whom they dealt at Leavenworth Cantonment (Fort Leavenworth after 1832).

William E. Unrau, in his 1971 history of the Kansa tribe, mentions that Dougherty visited the Kansa on only two documented occasions, and was, in fact, accused by a prominent official of the Missouri Fur Trading Co., Joshua Pilcher, of having neglected them. The Kansa at this time were living about ninety miles west of Leavenworth, which was situated on the Missouri River just north of Westport (today a Kansas City neighborhood). Nevertheless, despite his supposedly brief contact with them, Dougherty appears to be the probable source of Maximilian’s Kansa word list.

Whoever gave him the list seems to have reproduced Kansa fairly well for the most part, but in a few cases he apparently could not recall the correct form, and when this happened he always gave the cognate or other analog as it occurs in one of the Nebraska Siouan languages, Otoe or Omaha-Ponca. This happens at least three times, in the words for ISLAND, SUN and TOMAHAWK.

Below I have reproduced Maximilian’s Kansa list again, this time compared with his Otoe word list, a list specifically obtained from Major Dougherty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Kansa</th>
<th>Otoe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arrow</td>
<td>mah</td>
<td>mito</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear (black)</td>
<td>uassobä</td>
<td>montchā</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Child
Earth
Eye
Fire
God
Hair
Hand
Head
Island
Man
Mountain
Mouth
Pipe (tobacco)
River
Sun
Tomahawk
Water
Woman (wife)
schinga-schinga
möhnika
ischtá
pähedjé
wahkondágá
pa-hi
nom-pó
pah
rumätschi
niká
pahů
hüh
nah-hi-ba
watischka
pih
ma-sospä-jingá
nih
wah-ko
tchitching-ä
ichtá
pedjé
wahkonda
pa-hi
nau-uá
pa
pah
rumätschi
or rumaetschi
uong-gäh
hämokschá
hi
ra-no-wâ
nisch-nong-â
pih
i-sua-ing-â
nih
ina-haká

On this list I have boldfaced the three suspect items.

ISLAND, Kansa rumätschi, Otoe rú-mi-tschi or rumaetschi cannot be completely reconciled with any later occurring Kansa form. In addition, [r] is not a Kansa sound, not even allophonically. The recorded form is virtually identical to Maximilian's Otoe entry however, which he says was 'written from the pronunciation of Major Dougherty,...who understands the language thoroughly.' (Thwaites, vol. 24, p. 285)

SUN should have initial m. This nasal is present in every Dhegiha language, but in Otoe it has the modern form bi, where b is phonetically either an unaspirated [p] or a [b]. Maximilian's Otoe entry for SUN is written pih, exactly like the suspect Kansa entry.

TOMAHAWK in Kansa and Osage is based on the word that is usually translated 'knife', máhî (thence máhîspê). Here, instead of containing máhî, it is compounded from máze- 'metal' and the second element, -spê, from the later attested form, the word for 'axe'. This follows neither the Kansa nor Otoe pattern, but it is close to the modern Omaha mázspê 'axe'. Recall that Dougherty's assignment involved both the Otoes and Omahas centrally.

The only other possible source of Maximilian's
Kansa list would have been Osage. He remarks more than once on the similarity of Kansa and Osage. For example on Kansa he writes (Thwaites, vol. 22, p. 252): 'Their language is entirely the same as that of the Osages, and the language of these two people is only a dialect, originally not different from that of the Omahas and Puncas, being distinguished only by the pronunciation, and not by its roots.'

Maximilian's Osage sample was 'written from the pronunciation of Mr. Chardon, who had lived a long time among the Osages and understood the language perfectly.' (Thwaites, vol 24, p.296) Osage was spoken far to the South in southwest Missouri and adjacent areas of Oklahoma and southeast Kansas. The fact that the non-Kansa substitutions on Maximilian's Kansa list are taken from Otoe and Omaha (of Nebraska) rather than the more closely related but geographically distant Osage all but rules out Chardon as a source for the Kansa list, leaving Dougherty the only likely candidate.

In spite of the fact that Maximilian's 1833 Kansa word list is clearly second-hand, most of the vocabulary is obviously Kansa and even contains examples of the features that separate Kansa from Osage. Observations on the progression of consonant voicing, affrication of dentals, nasalization and accent can be at least tentatively advanced.

Finally, it can be stated with some certainty that Major John Dougherty was the source of the Kansa vocabulary and that Dougherty's primary linguistic competence in Siouan was in Chiwere (Ioway-Otoe-Missouria) rather than Dhegiha (Kansa, Osage, Omaha-Ponca, Quapaw). The vowel length shown for all monosyllabic Kansa words then may be misleading however, since in English, monosyllables cannot end in short vowels and Dougherty would probably have pronounced them long no matter what he actually heard. Vowel length aside though, judging from the Kansa and Otoe lists, Dougherty appears to have had a fairly good ear for Siouan phonologies.

NOTES

1 This preliminary note is based on my analysis of the published versions of Maximilian's Kansa, Otoe and Osage word lists (Thwaites 1906, vol. 22-24) and so may include inaccuracies introduced by the editor.
and/or publisher. I would have preferred to work from primary sources of course, but these are not yet available to me.

Over the long run it might also be quite useful to consult the papers of Maj. John Dougherty, who was the source of several of Maximilian's lists. Maj. Dougherty evidently had a good ear for the phonetics of the Siouan languages and may have recorded some of them more extensively himself. According to the Fort Leavenworth Archivist, Steve Allie (personal communication), Dougherty's papers may be stored at Carlisle Barracks in Pennsylvania.

2 I am grateful to the American Philosophical Society and to the Graduate Research Fund of the University of Kansas, both of which supported my field work on the Kansa language. I am also especially grateful to Mrs. Maude Rowe and her family, without whose help the Kansa project could never have been undertaken. Mrs. Rowe worked patiently with me for several years beginning in 1974, and most of my transcription is based on her pronunciation of Kansa.

3 In the modern language the unstressed allomorph serves mostly as a diminutive marker. In this form it has lost both its friction and oral stop, appearing in the variant higa [hiːga].

4 The Omaha term itself is evidently a loanword from Santee Dakota, where it has the same shape. So, in fact, either language could have been the source.
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