Two research projects examined the extent to which corporate commitment to human resource development is reflected in mission statements. In the first study, a questionnaire designed to elicit training data was sent to 333 Fortune 500 manufacturing and service firms; 81 completed questionnaires were returned. Sixty-nine percent of the respondents indicated their organizations had formal policies recognizing human resources as an essential corporate asset; 9 of the 13 industries included in the study had a majority of responding firms indicate that corporate policy related to human resources had changed in the last 5 years. In the second study, a letter was sent to the director of human resources at 256 Fortune 500 manufacturing firms from 7 selected industries and 245 Fortune 500 service firms from 5 service industries requesting a copy of the firm's mission statement and/or goals. Responses were received from 103 firms; 86 sent some form of mission statement. Although total returns in the manufacturing and service sectors were similar (16.4 and 17.9 percent), among industries there was a great range of response rates: 6 percent of savings institutions and 32 percent of utility companies sent mission statements. A number of firms responded that they did not have mission statements. Mission statements ranged from simple one-sentence descriptions of the nature of the business or goal statements to 5-10 pages of text. Twenty-five mission statements contained no mention of employees. (Contains 30 references.) (YLB)
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HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT AND THE CORPORATE MISSION STATEMENT

During the fledgling years of the science of economics, land, labor, and capital were identified as the basic factors of production. In the agrarian economy that prevailed until the industrial revolution, the formula for increasing productivity involved cultivating more land and adding more units of labor rather than altering the types of labor units. That approach changed with the industrial revolution when most productivity increases resulted from increased investment in machinery and tools.

The marginal productivity of both technological advancements and added units of labor in most industries today is fairly small. Much of the increase in productivity that has occurred in recent years has been the result of the increased quality of the workforce rather than resulting from more units of labor or added capital. The importance of developing human resources as a means of increasing productivity has been extensively documented by economists such as Schultz, Becker, and Mincer. Schultz (1981) recognized the problems inherent in today's globally competitive marketplace when he stated "natural resources, physical capital, and raw labor are not sufficient in developing a highly productive economy. A wide array of human skills are essential...without them the economic prospects are bleak." The two most common methods of increasing human skills are educating and training. Most employers offer "training in conjunction with the production of goods" (Becker, 1975).

Today, individuals and groups from both industry and government are calling for a greater commitment of resources from all concerned parties to improve the current and future job skills of the American labor force. The current functional illiteracy rate is alarming. There is already a noticeable gap between labor force skills and needed job skills. Both employers and employees are underinvesting in training from a societal point of view. Without significant change, the outlook is bleak. Without considerable investment in human resources, the United States of America can expect its competitive position in the world to continue to erode and its standard of living to decline.
Some of the indicators of the commitment on the part of business to invest in training for its employees include expenditures on training and development and formal corporate policies related to training and human resource development.

In discussing items critical to success in the new economic environment following European unity, Greg and Thöne (1990) identified three broad areas: long-term strategic vision and leadership; comprehensive human resource plans and policies; and heavy capital investment. In comparing North American and European companies, they suggest that the articulation of corporate mission and vision may be an important attribute that differentiates levels of success.

While corporate mission is a fairly commonly used term, its meaning in use is not always clear (or uniform). Campbell and Yeung (1991) identify two schools of thought about corporate missions. The strategy school, that defines mission as a strategic tool defining the commercial rationale and target market of the business; while the culture school views mission as a statement incorporating strong norms and values whose purpose is to generate cooperation among employees through shared values. One aspect of the ambiguity of the term mission is how it relates to vision. Collins and Porras, in The Economist (1991), defined mission as a specific measurable objective or goal that is attainable (but with risk) within a defined time frame. That, plus the philosophy of the organization are necessary to create a vision. They believe that corporate visions should last at least a century.

Osborne (1991) described the uses and benefits of core value statements to organizations as being particularly important for crisis management, strategic change, and growth management. He went on to describe the process of successful development of value statements as being dependent on active participation of senior employees, clarity of statement, and "integration with operating procedures.

In a study of the mission statements of Business Week 1000 firms, concern for employees was the last of the nine components identified by David (1989) as being a part of company mission statements. This component was not characterized by specific investment in human resource development. Additionally, David's study concluded that service firms generally had less comprehensive mission statements than manufacturing firms.

The purpose of this paper is to try to determine to what extent corporate commitment to human resource development is reflected in corporate mission statements. It reflects the results of two research projects.
The First Study

A questionnaire designed to elicit training data was sent to a sample of 333 Fortune 500 manufacturing and service firms. Eighty-one (24%) completed questionnaires were returned.

Findings

Sixty-nine percent of those responding (in both manufacturing and service sectors) indicated that their organizations had formal policies recognizing human resources as an essential corporate asset. Nine of the 13 industries included had a majority of responding firms indicate that corporate policy related to human resources had changed in the last five years (Palmer, 1992). Comments included:

"Developed strategic HR plan, HR Director elevated to report to Pres. of Div."
"Will be regarded as an essential aspect of the corporate business team."
"Significant increase in use of HR for strategic planning."
"HR is regarded as a necessary evil rather than a hindrance."
"We expect HR to be considered an asset—not an expense."
"More vital/integral role in corporate structure and planning process."
"Definitive statement re: importance of HR to achieving our business goals."

These and other responses suggested that the way in which corporate management views its human resources may be changing.

The Second Study

A letter was sent to the director of human resources at 256 Fortune 500 manufacturing firms from seven selected industries (chemicals, food, electronics, forest products, industrial and farm equipment, metals, and petroleum refining) requesting a copy of the firm’s mission statement and/or goals. The same letter was sent to 245 Fortune 500 service firms from five service industries (life insurance, savings, transportation, retailing, and utilities). Responses were received from 103 firms (21%) with 86 (18%) sending some form of mission statement. (See Table 1 for more complete survey information.)
# TABLE 1

Survey Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES</th>
<th>Number Sent</th>
<th>Number Returned</th>
<th>Mission Statements</th>
<th>Mission Statement as Percent of Number Sent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest products</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indust. &amp; Farm Equip.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum Refining</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERVICE INDUSTRIES</th>
<th>Number Sent</th>
<th>Number Returned</th>
<th>Mission Statements</th>
<th>Mission Statement as Percent of Number Sent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retailing</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| GRAND TOTALS             | 501         | 103 (21%)       | 86 (17.2%)        |                                             |
Findings

The analysis of the data received is not yet complete. The findings reported below reflect only the preliminary analysis.

Of the 501 requests sent, 103 (21%) generated responses, and 86 (17.2%) sent mission/policy statements. While total returns in the manufacturing and service sectors were similar (16.4% and 17.9%), among industries there was a great range of response rates. Six percent of savings institutions queried sent mission statements while 32% of utility companies did. A number of firms responded that they did not have mission statements. A few indicated that such statements were confidential. One indicated that since the firm was in conservatorship with the Resolution Trust Company, it had no functional mission statement.

There was a tremendous range in the size and formats used. A number of mission statements were simple one sentence descriptions of the nature of the business or goal statements. Others were extensive, often including five to ten pages of text. Format ranged from wallet sized cards obviously intended to be distributed to employees (and, in many cases, customers), to multi-page, glossy booklets.

The material that arrived came under many different titles. Some of the various labels on material received included:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vision</th>
<th>Operating Practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Statements Of Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values, Core Values</td>
<td>Guiding Principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beliefs</td>
<td>Strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In many cases, responding firms sent several documents, usually parts of an integrated package. A number sent annual reports. These were not counted as mission statements.

At this stage in the analysis, there appear to be six different categories of statements.

1. Those that include no mention, direct or indirect, of human resources or employees.

2. Those that include mention of the employee solely as a stakeholder. These would be somewhat analogous to David’s (1989) component “concern for employees.”

3. Human resources/employees are mentioned as critical to the firm’s success. Examples of this category included:

   “Our people are our fundamental strength.”
   “People are our most valuable asset.”
   “Our people make the difference in our performance.”
4. General statements that encourage/support inferences of support for training and development or imply support for such activities. Inferences might be supported by statements calling for the use of the newest technology or self-managed work teams, as those actions are customarily associated with training. Implied support included statements that encouraged development without directly saying that the firm would supply development activities. Examples in this category included:

"Develop maximum potential."
"Empowerment of employees."
"Educational growth is a goal."
"...emphasize employee development."

5. Statements that describe organizational commitment to human resource development through providing support and activities to employees. Examples included:

"Maintain necessary training activity to insure a professional workforce."
"Provide every [employee] with the training and tools necessary to contribute to the quality effort."
"Employees are encouraged to participate in company-sponsored training programs..."
"During the next 18 months, all employees will receive training in Continuous Quality Improvement."

6. Those providing a quantified commitment to the supporting of training and development. Only two of the 86 mission statements went this far. They said:

"Invest in training and development at a rate of 2% of payroll."
"...encourages ongoing formal and informal training programs with the goal of all employees receiving 40 hours each year.

Both of these firms were in manufacturing industries.

Twenty-five mission statements contained no mention of employees in mission statements. It would be incorrect to infer from this that 29% of firms don’t value their human resources or intend to support HRD. Many of those statements not mentioning employees were the very brief mission statements.

The real assessment of how much importance firms place on human resource development will be determined by actions beyond writing mission and policy statements. The efforts that a firm makes to attract, maintain, and develop a productive workforce will undoubtedly be a critical factor in its market success.
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