This report details the continuation and expansion of four special education programs in Saginaw, Michigan, which received Public Law 94-142 funding during the 1990-91 school year. The four programs are the Severely Emotionally Impaired (SEI) program, Program Expansion, Program Find, and the Pre Primary Impaired (PPI) program. Information provided for the SEI program covers staffing, academic instruction, student behavior modification, student employment, student recreational activities, staff development, and home contacts. Services were provided to 42 SEI students and all objectives were met. Information provided for Program Expansion, which served 163 elementary level disabled students, covers staffing, instructional services, and staff inservices. Two of this program's three objectives were met. Project Find data include information on staffing, initial responses, outside referrals, parent contacts, third party contacts, screening, and diagnosis. Five of this project's six objectives were met. Data on hiring and delivery of special education services are provided for the pre-primary impaired program, which served 27 children and met both of its objectives. Recommendations for each program are also provided. Eighteen appendices present detailed data on the programs including definitions and criteria, behavioral changes in SEI students, SEI staff inservice attendance, annual goals and short term instructional objectives, the Project Find process, and sources of third party referrals. (DB)
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INTRODUCTION

For the 1990-91 school year, the School District of the City of Saginaw received $627,661 in flow-through monies under Public Law 94-142. This funding was for the continuation and expansion of the special education services offered under four programs: the Severely Emotionally Impaired (SEI) Program, Program Expansion, Project Find, and the Pre Primary Impaired program (PPI).

For each of these programs, activities were mandated in the grant. The SEI program was responsible for seven primary activities:

1. To employ four teachers, two teacher aides, and one social worker (one of the teachers was to serve as a teacher/coordinator);
2. To provide instructional services to secondary level SEI students;
3. To provide a program of behavioral modification for the students;
4. To provide and coordinate work experiences for the students;
5. To provide social/recreational activities for the students;
6. To provide inservices for its staff members; and
7. To maintain monthly contact with the parent/guardian(s) of each of the students.

---

1Public Law 94-142: Education for All Handicapped Children.
2Specifically, the district received $130,000 for SEI, $418,177 for Program Expansion and Project Find, $77,633 for PPI, and $1,911 for professional development within these programs.
Program Expansion was responsible for three primary activities.

1. To employ 14 certified special education teachers and six teacher aides. The specific number and type of certification was as follows:
   - Seven certified to work with learning disabled (LD) students;
   - Two certified to work with emotionally impaired (EI) students;
   - Five certified to work with educable mentally impaired (EMI) students; and
   - One of these teachers was also to be certified to work with physically and otherwise health impaired (POHI) students.

2. To provide instructional services to elementary level LD, EI, EMI, and POHI students.

3. To provide inservices to its staff members.

Project Find was responsible for four primary activities.

1. To employ one coordinator/diagnostician, one psychologist, and one aide.

2. To screen potentially handicapped students between the ages of 0 and 25, inclusive.

3. To establish contact with the parent/guardian(s) of each potential client and, when necessary, provide referrals to other departments or agencies.

4. To coordinate these activities in such a way that the services are provided efficiently and within mandated timelines.

The PPI program was responsible for two primary activities.

1. To employ one PPI certified teacher and one aide.

2. To provide services which meet the needs specified on each pre-primary student's individual educational plan (IEP).
Direct services were provided to 459 students/clients through these four programs. (In the previous year, 411 clients/students were served.)

In the next section, the procedures used to evaluate these programs will be described.
EVALUATION PROCEDURES

In June, 1991, personnel from each program submitted (or made available) 1990-91 program records to the evaluation department. These and personnel records were used to evaluate the performance of each program. The records submitted were as follows:

SEI program - data detailing the performances -- both in and out of the classroom -- of students and program personnel;

Program Expansion - 1) attendance and agenda of the inservices attended by Program Expansion teachers; and 2) student performance data from all 14 teachers;

Project Find - a copy of the program log book which contained entries detailing what activities had occurred with regard to each referral and when those activities had taken place (vis-a-vis mandated time lines); and

The PPI Program - individual student (IEP's), teacher, and therapists records which specified student needs and program activities vis-a-vis those needs.

The balance of this text is divided into four sections detailing, respectively, the SEI program, Program Expansion, Project Find and the PPI program. Each section contains an assessment of the respective program's performance in each of its mandated activities, using the performance standards specified in the grant as criteria. Each section also contains separate summary statements and recommendations.
THE SEVERELY EMOTIONALLY IMPAIRED PROGRAM

For the fourteenth consecutive year, the School District of the City of Saginaw operated a county-wide program for secondary level, Severely Emotionally Impaired (SEI) students. (See Appendix A for a definition of a SEI student and the local criteria for such a student's acceptance into the program.) This program served students in a self-contained, educational setting located at 1300 E. Holland Street, Saginaw, Michigan.

Forty-five SEI students were enrolled in the program. Forty (88.9%) were male and 5 (11.1%) were female. Twenty-two (48.9%) were Black, 20 (44.4%) were White, two (4.4%) were Hispanic, and one (2.2%) was Oriental. Students enrolled in the program from ten districts in the county, and 30 (66.7%) came from the City of Saginaw. Ten (22.2%) withdrew and three (6.7%) transferred from the program prior to the end of the school year.

A comparison of student enrollment data over the past six years indicated the following points.

- Student enrollment has fluctuated from 41 to 46.
- Within each of the years, from approximately one half to two thirds of the students resided in the City of Saginaw.
- The percent of students leaving the program prior to the end of the year has been fluctuating. Beginning in 1986-87, it was 34.8% and in 1987-88, the percent declined to 20.5%. In 1988-89, it rose to 26.8% and last year it rose again to 31.0%. This year, it declined to 28.9%.
STAFFING

The SEI program was supervised by the Director of Student Support Services, and a building-based teacher/coordinator directed the daily activities. Three teachers, one social worker, and two aides comprised the remainder of the staff. This met the staffing objective stated in the grant.

ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION

Students attended school for half-day sessions. During each semester, instruction was focused on three content areas. In the first semester, instruction was offered in English, civics, and science. In the second semester, the content areas were: mathematics, history, and shop. Work study, as appropriate, was provided in both semesters.

The grant mandated that 100% of the program’s participants would receive the special education programs/services specified in their IEP’s. Attainment of this objective was assessed by reviewing each student’s IEP and grade reports to determine if the specified instruction was being provided. Such information was available for 29 of the 42 (69.0%) students, and the review indicated that, during the time they were in the program, all of these students received their required instruction.

The instructional services objective was attained.

\[\text{According to the needs specified in their IEP’s, some students were mainstreamed or placed in a work-related activity for the other half of the day.}\]

\[\text{Grade reports were unavailable for students who left the program prior to the end of the first semester.}\]
STUDENT BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION

A six level, behavior modification system was used by the staff. This system provided incentives for the SEI students to improve their conduct and academic achievement, and measures for the staff to assess the degree of student improvement. A description of the system appears in Appendix B.

The grant mandated that 50% of the students enrolled in the SEI program for at least 18 weeks would demonstrate a positive change of at least one behavioral level. Thirty-one of the 42 (73.8%) students were enrolled 18 or more weeks. Of these, 21 (67.7%) evidenced at least this much growth, with the mean gain being 1.6 behavioral units (standard deviation = 1.1). (Appendix C presents the lowest and highest levels and change for each of these 31 SEI students.)

The behavior modification objective was met.

STUDENT EMPLOYMENT

To aid in developing appropriate work habits, work or work-related experiences were provided for those SEI students at or above Level II of the behavior modification system (referred to above and described in Appendix B). A variety of employers (a list appears in Appendix D) provided jobs for those students.

The criterion for student employment stated in the grant was that up to 50% of the SEI students who were enrolled in the program by February 4, 1991, and had been enrolled for at least 18 weeks would be employed for a period of at least three consecutive months. A record of student entry dates revealed that 28 students had been enrolled by that date and had completed 18 weeks of participation. The employment record for these 28 students provided evidence that the actual lengths of employment ranged from zero to 8.0 consecutive
months, with an average of 6.0 (standard deviation = 2.0). (Appendix E presents a frequency distribution of these lengths.) Eighteen of these 28 students (64.3%) worked three or more consecutive months, exceeding the criterion and attaining the objective.

**STUDENT RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES**

In order to promote increased student awareness of the community's recreational activities and appropriate social behavior in those settings, the program's schedule included recreational/social activities on each Friday for those students who earned the privilege to participate.

Assessments of student behavior were recorded for each week of the school year. For these recording purposes, the week began on Friday and ended on the following Thursday. This allowed the staff to determine on Thursday each student's eligibility for the next day's activities. In order to be eligible, a student needed to be at or above Level II of the behavior modification system (referred to above and described in Appendix B) and needed to have earned at least 75% of her or his weekly behavior points. (Based upon a consideration of individual circumstances, some students who had earned less than 75% of the behavior points were granted eligibility.)

A review of the program's activities log demonstrated 39 activities days were held during the year. The grant mandated that on 75% of these days, at least 50% of the enrolled SEI students above Level I of the behavioral modification system would be eligible to participate. An examination of the student eligibility records revealed how frequently at least 50% of the Level II-VI students in the program during a given week were eligible to participate in the activities of that week. This occurred on all 39 (100.0%) activities days, meeting this objective. (Appendix F contains a list of the activities.)
STAFF DEVELOPMENT

To maintain two-way communication between the program supervisor and the program staff, the grant mandated that a minimum of three meetings would be held during each semester of the project year (six meetings in all). Documentation submitted by the program supervisor demonstrated that seven meetings were held, four during the first semester and three during the second. Copies of the meetings' log and agendas were examined to determine the attendance and topics addressed at them. (Appendix G contains an attendance count for each of these meetings and Appendix H contains a list of the major topics.)

The documentation submitted confirmed that the staff meetings objective was attained.

HOME CONTACTS

To maintain contact between the program staff and the parents of SEI students, the grant mandated that home contacts will be made each month for at least 90% of the enrolled students. Logs were kept to record the instances of home contacts per month from September, 1990 to June, 1991. A review of those logs revealed that during each month home contacts were made for all (100.0%) students. This is the fourth consecutive year in which this occurred. (Appendix I contains the percent of home contacts for each month in 1990-91.)

The home contacts objective was attained.
THE SEVERELY EMOTIONALLY IMPAIRED PROGRAM: SUMMARY

This year marks the fourteenth consecutive year when the School District of the City of Saginaw operated a county-wide program to serve the needs of Severely Emotionally Impaired (SEI) students. The services this program provided included: academic instruction fitting the students' individual needs, behavioral modification, employment and recreational opportunities, and home contacts with the students' parents/guardians. During 1990-91, 42 SEI students received services.

The grant specified seven main objectives for the program. The chart below offers a summary of the program's attainment of them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Criterion Attained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staffing</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic instruction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student behavior modification</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student employment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student recreational activities</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff development</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home contacts</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The chart illustrates that all of the seven (100.0%) objectives were attained. This is the fifth consecutive year in which this occurred.

For 14 years, this program has continued to demonstrate itself as a viable alternative for the education of SEI students.
THE SEVERELY EMOTIONALLY IMPAIRED PROGRAM: RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon the data reported in this review, and conversations with staff members, the recommendations below are offered. However, it should also be noted that these recommendations are not meant to be exhaustive; their aims may be attainable through other means. The supervisor and staff may want to consider what other means are available and should seek assistance from the Department of Evaluation, Testing, and Research.

- Consideration should be given to examining why there is a notable fluctuation in yearly attrition rates. If possible, elements which helped to increase the "holding power" of the program should be incorporated into the program.

- Continue the use of both the newer and the older data collection instruments. The older instruments allow for continuity of record keeping which is valuable for any longitudinal analyses. The newer instruments, when kept up to date, allow for more concise data description which, in turn, provides the staff with a readily available description of the progress the program is making against its objectives' criteria.
During 1990-1991, Program Expansion provided instructional services to 163 elementary level students. These students were classified as either learning disabled (LD), emotionally impaired (EI), educable mentally impaired (EMI), or physically and otherwise health impaired (POHI). They were served in 14 classroom sites located in 12 different school buildings, with each classroom serving between 10 and 17 students (modal class size = 10).

**STAFFING**

A review of employment records verified that 14 special education certified teachers and six aides were employed to work in the program. The records also verified that seven of these teachers were certified to work with LD students (one of whom was also certified to work with POHI students), five were certified to work with EMI students, and two were certified to work with EI students.

The staffing objective was met.

**INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES**

Instructional programs which met the needs of each student (as specified within each student’s IEP) were to be provided. Programs for each student were outlined by selecting individualized objectives based upon needs in six subject areas.

The six identified subject areas were psychomotor, social/emotional, language/reading/language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies.
There were some variations between the students with regard to the number of identified objectives. This resulted from differences in individualized programs, types of student disabilities, dates of student enrollment, and/or the amount of mainstreaming. (A copy of the Annual Goals and Short-Term Instructional Objectives summary sheets appears in Appendix J.)

The degree of student mastery of these objectives was measured through the use of various teacher-made and commercially available examination instruments. The instructional services objective criterion of the grant was that 75% of the students in each classroom site would attain at least 70% of their objectives. The criterion applied to students enrolled in the program on or before January 31, 1991, and students enrolled subsequent to that time were not considered in the analysis.

Examination of the Annual Goals and Short-Term Instructional Objectives summary sheets for each student verified that IEP's and programs were written for all of the 163 (100.0%) students in the sample.

Table 1 below, presents the number and percent of these students who met the 70% attainment standard, by classroom site. Appendix K contains a more detailed account of this information.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classroom Site</th>
<th>Number of Students</th>
<th>Number and Percent Of Students Meeting Their Criterion</th>
<th>Site Criterion Met/Unmet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9 (90.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8 (80.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3 (25.0)</td>
<td>Unmet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8 (47.1)</td>
<td>Unmet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11 (78.6)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>Unmet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14 (87.5)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>Unmet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8 (80.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>Unmet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>107 (65.6)</td>
<td>Unmet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The number of students does not include those students who entered the program after January 31, 1991.

2 Criterion: Attainment of at least 70% of their objectives.

3 Site criterion: 75% of the students will attain at least 70% of their objectives.
From Table 1, it can be noted that:

- Nine of the fourteen (64.2%) sites attained their performance standard, and

- The percent of students within a classroom site attaining their criterion ranged from zero to 100.

Considering these points, it can be concluded that this objective was not attained.

A review of classroom site attainment results over the previous three years\(^6\) revealed that 66.7%, 35.7%, and 14.3\(^7\) of the sites attained the standard, in 1987-88, 1988-89, and 1989-90, respectively. The 1990-1991 results of 64.2% reflect an increase from previous two year's attainment rates.

Appendix L presents the number and percent of students attaining objectives, by quartiles. A review of that table illustrates that 102 of the 163 students\(^8\) (62.6%) met 75% or more of their objectives, which is a decrease from last year (33 of 85; 38.8\(^9\)). Further, over eighty percent (132; 81.0\%) of the Program Expansion students met more than half of their individualized objectives.

When examining and interpreting these results, the reader should bear in mind certain aspects of the data. First, at the time of the annual review, the objectives chosen for a student to master in the succeeding year were, in

---

\(^6\) The longitudinal data, not presented in tabular form here, were obtained from product evaluation reports conducted in those respective years.

\(^7\) The 1989-90 figure was taken from a sample of sites and may not accurately reflect program performance that year.

\(^8\) This number does not include students who entered the program after January 31, 1991.

\(^9\) Not all students served were considered in the 1989-90 analysis, see footnote 7.
essence, an estimate of what that student might attain. Second, such problems as absenteeism, tardiness, and behavioral difficulties might interfere with instruction but not be obviously reflected in the assessment of students' achievements.

Even though the instructional services objective was not attained, it can be noted that, based upon this data, the program has had a positive impact on the students it served.

**STAFF INSERVICES**

The criterion for meeting the inservice needs of the staff was that at least five inservices would be held and that 10 teachers would participate in at least five inservice sessions. A total of 10 inservices were held during 1990-91. A review of attendance logs from those sessions demonstrated that 11 of the 14 (78.6%) teachers attended five or more sessions. Thus, the criterion was attained. Appendix M contains a frequency distribution by teacher of the number of sessions attended.
PROGRAM EXPANSION: SUMMARY

During 1990-91, Program Expansion provided special education services to 163 elementary level students in 14 classroom sites. These sites were staffed by 14 teachers with LD, EMI, and/or PWH certification and by six aides. Instructional programs were written for each student from objectives in six areas: psychomotor; social/emotional; language/reading/language arts; mathematics; science; and social studies. A total of 10 inservices were held.

A summary of objective attainment for the 1990-91 project year is contained in the following chart:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Attainment of Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff employment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional services/student attainment</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff inservice</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The staff employment and the inservice objectives were attained, but the instructional services objective was not attained.

Even though it did not attain the standards specified in the grant, the gains in academic performance evidenced by the students demonstrated that Program Expansion made a substantial contribution to their education.
PROGRAM EXPANSION: RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon the results of this year's evaluation results, the recommendations below are offered. However, it should also be noted that these recommendations are not meant to be exhaustive; their aims may be attainable through other means. The supervisor and staff may want to consider what other means are available and should seek assistance from the Department of Evaluation, Testing, and Research.

- Examine the procedures which are being used in the program sites and determine to what extent these procedures can be adjusted to result in more successful sites.

- Review the assessment procedure to determine if too many objectives per student are being selected.

- The criterion which specifies that 75% of the students in each classroom site attain at least 70% of their individualized objectives may be too stringent. Given the small class size of each site (modal size = 10), it is quite possible that individual differences of students would be sufficient to bring a site below this cut-off. Since only one site being below this cut-off point is sufficient to reject program attainment, consideration should be given to amending the criterion to specify that 75% of the students program-wide attain at least 70% of their individualized objectives.
Project Find\(^{10}\) attempted to screen potentially handicapped people between the ages of 0 and 25 years who reside in Saginaw County. Inquires concerning services for potentially handicapped individuals came to the program through a variety of sources including parents, teachers, medical personnel, and social agency workers. These inquiries were made directly to the project coordinator who usually responded by telephone or through a home visit. At that point, a determination to either screen or refer an individual to a more appropriate agency was made. (A more complete description of the Project Find process appears in Appendix N.)

In 1990-91, a total of 224 individuals were referred to Project Find for screening, representing an increase (28.7\%) from the number referred in 1989-1990 (174). Of the 224, 23 (10.3\%) were carry-overs from the previous year. Carry-overs are individuals upon whom cases had been opened and action had been initiated during one year but, because of the summer hiatus, the final dispositions on their cases were deferred until the Fall of the succeeding school year.

The project's coordinator keeps a record of the activities of each referred case in a log book; one page per case (a copy of page from the coordinator's log book appears in Appendix 0). Along with a place for to record the date when an activity occurred, each page also contains a place to enter target dates (i.e., dates by which an activity must occur to be within

\(^{10}\) Project Find, which has been in operation for 15 consecutive years, is the local part of a state and national effort to identify potentially handicapped individuals 25 years or younger.
the mandated time lines). An examination of the log book indicated that in 53 of 186 (28.5%) cases (which are exclusive of those cases referred to other districts/agencies), target dates were properly assigned. An examination of the log book indicated that in 53 of 186 (28.5%) cases (which are exclusive of those cases referred to other districts/agencies), target dates were properly assigned. 11

STAFFING

A review of employment records showed that one coordinator/diagnostician, one psychologist, and one aide were hired to staff the project. This met the criteria stated in the grant.

INITIAL RESPONSES

The criteria specified in the grant was that initial responses should be made (and/or further action had been taken) on 95% of the inquiries at the time of the review. An examination of the records revealed that 100.0% of the inquiries had received some action. Based upon the data presented, this portion of the project's objectives had been attained.

OUTSIDE REFERRALS

During 1990-1991, 38 of the 224 (17.0%) inquiries were determined to be inappropriate for processing by Project Find staff: the coordinator's log book indicated that 32 (14.3%) were referred to other districts and that 6 (2.7%) were referred to other departments or agencies in this district for further action. The log book also indicated the dates of these referrals. This portion of the objective was attained.

The remaining 186 (83.0%) of 224 inquiries were appropriate for processing by Project Find staff.

11The recording of target dates is not mandated by the grant, but it does provide a vehicle for planning program activities such that mandated timelines are met.
**PARENT CONTACTS**

To assure efficient and timely delivery of services the grant mandated that 95% of the principal caregivers of the referred individuals receive an initial response (in person or by telephone or letter) to the inquiry within 10 school days of its receipt. A review of the coordinator's log book showed that the relevant parent(s)/guardian(s) were contacted within these 10 days in 153 of the 186 (82.3%) cases. This objective was not attained.

**THIRD PARTY CONTACTS**

A total of 151 of the 224 (67.4%) inquiries were made by third parties of which thirteen were made in conjunction with a parent (Appendix P contains a list of third party referrers). The grant proposal specified that 65% of the third party referrers were to receive a response regarding the final disposition of the case within 10 days of its disposition. In all, 106 of the 122 (86.9%) third party referred cases reached a disposition. Because, as of June 1991, 84 (79.2% of the 106 cases) of the third parties were contacted, the program's third party referrer contact objective was attained. This represents a decrease from last year when 87.5% of the third parties were contacted.

---

12 This includes referrals which were "carried over" from the previous school year but not those which were referred to other districts or agencies.

13 Ibid.
Of the 186 referrals which were judged to be appropriate for handling by Project Find staff, 103 (55.4%) continued to the diagnosis phase (see diagnosis heading below), 33 (17.7%) were discontinued by parental actions, 20 (10.8%) were judged not to need referral to diagnosis, and 30 (16.1%) were screened and carried over for diagnosis next year.

The grant mandated that 100% of the clients seen for infant or pre-primary assessment will be evaluated by an individual education plan committee (IEPC) within 30 days of the date when their respective principal care takers sign a "consent to evaluate" form (unless an extension was granted). In all, 103 (55.4%) of the 186 inquiries\textsuperscript{14} reached the IEPC phase this year. Of these, all (100.0%) were evaluated within the mandated timeline. Further, 89 of the 103 (86.4%) evaluated clients were determined to be eligible for special education services. (Appendix Q contains a frequency distribution of clients by determinations.) Thus, the program met this objective.

\textsuperscript{14}Ibid.
PROJECT FIND: SUMMARY

Project Find received 224 inquiries for screening services during 1990-1991. Responses to these inquiries ranged from telephone or written replies to referrals and/or evaluations. Thirty-two of the inquiries were determined to be out of the district and six were found to be more appropriately served through other departments/agencies; they were properly forwarded. Of the 186 remaining inquiries, 23 were carry-overs from the previous year.

A total of 103 of these inquiries resulted in IEPC's being held. Of these, 89 (86.4%) were determined to be eligible for special education programs and/or services.

A summary of the findings of this product review is provided below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Criterion Attained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staffing</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial responses</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside referrals</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent contacts</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third party contacts</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnoses</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that five of the six (83.3%) project objectives were attained. Still, Project Find continued to meet its primary function (locating and screening potentially handicapped individuals) well.
Based upon the data submitted, the following recommendation is offered. Again, it should also be noted that these recommendations are not meant to be exhaustive; their aims may be attainable through other means. The supervisor and staff may want to consider what other means are available and should seek assistance from the Department of Evaluation, Testing, and Research.

- The log book should continue to be used in subsequent years. By presenting only one case per page and by listing target dates along side of occurrence dates, the log book affords an easily read record of how well the Project is attaining its objectives.

- Target dates should be more consistently used. (The sparing use of them this year may have been a partial cause of the program not attaining its parental contact objective.) A mid year review of project records should be considered to verify that this and other record-keeping tasks are being completed on time.
PRE-PRIMARY IMPAIRED PROGRAM

The Pre-Primary Impaired (PPI) program of the School District of the City of Saginaw recently completed 22 years of operation. The program is designed to meet the educational needs of three to five year-old children through classroom and ancillary services.

Primarily due to the efforts of Project Find and other early identification and referral activities in the school district and community, many children needing the services this program provides were identified. To help meet the needs of these children, the district continues to receive a Preschool Incentive Grant as part of the 94 142 funding. Still, the 1990 91 grant funded only two classrooms, the balance was funded locally.

The two funded classrooms, which will be the focus of this evaluation, were each staffed by a pre-primary teacher. Each also received the services of teacher aides, teacher consultants (who specialized in a handicapping area), and specialists.16

HIRING

Personnel records demonstrated that two teachers were hired and assigned as required by the grant. This objective was attained.

15 Children who have one or more of a range of handicaps: educably mentally impaired (EMI), emotionally impaired (EI), autistic impaired (AI), physically and otherwise health impaired (POHI), pre primary impaired (PPI), learning disabled (LD), speech and language impaired (SLI), and/or visually impaired (VI).

16 They were specialists in speech and language therapy, occupational therapy, physical therapy, audiology, visual therapy, social work, and/or school psychology.
DELIVERY OF SPECIAL EDUCATION SERVICES

Program records indicated that there were 27 students between the ages of three and five enrolled in the classroom sites funded by this grant. All of these students were to receive classroom services relative to their needs. In addition, a pull out program of ancillary services provided by therapists/specialists was to be provided to those students with severe needs - the length and frequency of ancillary service each child receives varying by the child's specific need.

The students' IEP's (a blank copy appears in Appendix R) indicated that these students have diverse impairments and needs. They are summarized in Table 2 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Impairment</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speech and language impaired (SLI)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(44.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-primary impaired (PPI)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotionally impaired (EI)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically or otherwise health impaired (POHI)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autistically impaired (AI)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visually impaired (VI)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(99.9)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rounding.
As can be seen in Table 2, while six impairment statuses were served in the two classrooms, the large majority (66.6%) were either SLI or PPI.

Services (both PPI classroom and ancillary) were designed to meet these identified needs. Table 3, below, describes the number of students who were identified to receive these services and the number and percent who received them.

Prior to examining Table 3, the reader should note that the students identified as needing therapy or social work are those whose need was sufficient to require the ancillary services of the respective therapists or social worker. During interviews with the coordinator and a classroom teacher, it was learned that all of the required services (except for special transportation) were provided, albeit to a lesser degree, by the teachers in the PPI classrooms. Further, the teachers and the ancillary service providers coordinated their activities.

**TABLE 3. A COMPARISON OF THE SERVICES PRE-PRIMARY IMPAIRED (PPI) STUDENTS (N=27) WHO RECEIVED THE SERVICES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Number to Receive Service</th>
<th>Number and Percent Who Received Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPI classroom</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special transportation</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech/language therapy</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical therapy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational therapy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social work</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual therapy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From a review of Table 3, it can be seen that, in all cases, 100.0% of the students who were identified as needing a service received that service. This objective was attained.

**PRE-PRIMARY IMPAIRED PROGRAM: SUMMARY**

During 1990-91, the PPI program provided classroom and ancillary services to students between the ages of three and five. This program was partially funded by $94,142 monies, and 27 students were served in the classrooms receiving this funding.

A summary of objective attainment for the 1990-91 project year is contained in the following chart:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Attainment of Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff employment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional services/student attainment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The staff employment and the instructional services objectives were attained.
Based upon these findings and information learned during the interviews, the following recommendation is offered. Again, it should also be noted that this recommendation is not meant to be definitive; its aim may be attainable through other means. The supervisor and staff may want to consider what other means are available and should seek assistance from the Department of Evaluation, Testing, and Research.

This program has consistently been attaining its objectives, however, none of the objectives address levels of student performance. An attempt should be made to determine the levels of student mastery against the objectives specified in their individual IEP's. This could be accomplished similarly to the way it is done in Program Expansion.
APPENDIX A

DEFINITION AND CRITERIA

Severely Emotionally Impaired students as defined in Rule 340.1706 of the Michigan Special Education Rules and amended by PA 541 (August, 1980) exhibit one or more of the following characteristics.

- Inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships within the school environment.
- Inappropriate types of behavior or feelings under normal circumstances.
- General pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression.
- Tendency to develop physical symptoms or fears associated with personal or school problems.
- Demonstration of maladaptive behaviors related to schizophrenia, autism, or similar disorders. (Does not include persons who are socially maladjusted unless it is determined that such persons are emotionally impaired.)

Local criteria (in addition to those specified above) for student placement in the Saginaw SEI program include:

- Having been previously IEPC’d as EI.
- Not being able to benefit from an EI program in the home district.
- Parental participation in placing the student at the Holland Education Center.
APPENDIX B

BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION SYSTEM

LEVEL I

Behavior Description:

a. Disruptive to class
b. Disruptive to property
c. Physically abusive
d. Verbally abusive
e. Manipulative
f. Irregular attendance
g. Withdrawn

Rights and Privileges:

a. All activities in building; no off-ground activities.
b. Work done in assigned seat, out of seat only with permission.
c. No snack item.
d. May not participate in Friday activity.
e. No free time activities.
f. Staff chooses problem area to be worked on each week.
g. Movement to Level II: two consecutive weeks of 75% or better.

LEVEL II

Behavioral Description:

a. Can interact positively with staff and peers at times.
b. Can show respect for rights and privileges of others.
c. Contributes in group situations.
d. Can accept responsibility for their own negative behavior at times.

Rights and Privileges:

a. All lower level privileges.
b. Eligible for off-grounds activities with 75% point average and staff permission (Friday activity).
c. Earns free time activity if work is complete and corrected.
d. May choose own seat in class.
e. Snack available each day at start of day.
f. May leave building with staff permission.
g. Staff chooses problem area to be worked on each week.
h. Outside activities escorted by staff or Level IV, V, or VI.
i. Movement to Level III: three consecutive weeks of 80% or better.
j. Movement to Level I: less than 51% of points.
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LEVEL III

Behavioral Description:

a. Can accept responsibility for running errands.
b. Shows acceptable behavior on activities.
c. Willingness to work on goals.
d. Resists negative peer pressure.
e. Shows introspective skills (able to examine their own behavior).
f. Shows self motivation.

Rights and Privileges:

a. All lower level privileges.
b. Able to run errands for staff.
c. Eligible for off-grounds activities with 75% of points.
d. May have snack whenever desired.
e. Breaks within building need not be earned, unsupervised upon informing teacher.
f. May leave building after informing staff.
g. Eligible for placement on a job.
h. Staff chooses problem area to be worked on each week.
i. Movement to Level IV: three consecutive weeks of 85% of points or better.
j. Movement to Level II: less than 51% of points.
k. Smoke outside.
l. Go to store on break.

LEVEL IV

Behavioral Description:

a. Responsibility for behavior during unsupervised times.
b. Shows initiative in all areas.
c. Puts pressure on peers--shows leadership.
d. Shows initiative in talking with staff about problems.

Rights and Privileges:

a. All lower level privileges apply.
b. Earns Friday activities with 75% of points.
c. Takes classroom responsibilities, assists teacher with teaching duties and with Level I and II students.
d. A pair of gym shoes earned.
e. Staff chooses problem area to be worked on each week.
f. Movement to Level V: three consecutive weeks of 90% or better.
g. Movement to Level III: less than 51% of points.
LEVEL V

Behavioral Description:

a. Promotes positive atmosphere at all times.

Rights and Privileges:

a. All lower level privileges.
b. Student chooses problem area to work on each week.
c. Student gives points to self with staff consultation.
d. Able to help plan own academic work with staff input.
e. More classroom responsibility, high priority for errands.
f. One lunch per week out of building.
g. Earns Friday activities with 75% of points.
h. Plans for return to regular school building begin.
i. Movement to Level VI: three consecutive weeks of 90% or better.
j. Movement to Level IV: less than 51% of points.

LEVEL VI

Behavioral Description:

a. Using leadership capabilities to help resolve problems between peers.
b. Responsible for all actions.
c. Emphasis on how to solve problems in the new school (academic and behavioral).

Rights and Privileges:

a. All lower level privileges.
b. Individualized programs, determined by student and staff.
c. Increased responsibility.
d. Begin mainstreaming in other school programs.
e. Guaranteed outside activities.
f. Movement to Level V: unsuccessful experience in other school program, or violation of Policies and Procedures of Saginaw Public Schools.
APPENDIX C

TABLE C.1. LOW/HIGH BEHAVIORAL LEVELS AND CHANGE FOR SEI STUDENTS ENROLLED EIGHTEEN OR MORE WEEKS (N = 31), 1990-91.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Lowest</th>
<th>Highest</th>
<th>Behavioral Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average: 1.6, 3.0, 1.4
Standard Deviation: 0.5, 1.5, 1.4

*Appendix B contains a description of the behavioral codes.
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EMPLOYERS OF SEI STUDENTS

- Artie's Flower Shop
- Baillie Elementary School
- Dale's Automotive Service Center
- Holland Education Center
- Holliday Inn
- Michigan Avenue Veterinary Clinic
- Millet Learning Center
- Ross Farms
- Salina Elementary School
- Saint Mary's Cathedral
- Taco Bell

JOBS HELD BY SEI STUDENTS

- Bus aide
- Custodial assistant
- Farm hand
- Flower arranger
- Mechanic's assistant
- Stock clerk
- Store keeper
- Veterinarian's assistant
**APPENDIX E**

**TABLE E.1. TOTAL CONSECUTIVE MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT BY SEI STUDENTS*, 1990-91.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consecutive Months Employed</th>
<th>Count of Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.9 = Average months of consecutive employment (with a standard deviation of 3.3)

* Students who were enrolled by February 1, 1991 and completed 18 weeks in the program this year.
APPENDIX F

1990-91 SKI ACTIVITY DAY ACTIVITIES.*

A. DINING

--Breakfast/lunch/pancakes (at Holland Education Center)
--Caramel apples (with VCR movie)
--Restaurants
   --Denny's
   --Little Caesar's
   --McDonald's
--Picnic
--Thanksgiving dinner

B. SPORTS

--Bowling
--Go-karts/putt-putt golf
--Video games

C. TOURING

--Dow Chemical
--Foundry
--Wurtsmith AFB

D. TRIPS

--Art Fair (at Fashion Square Mall)
--Auto World
--Boblo Island

E. VIEWING MOVIES (VCR)

*Many of the activities occurred more than once throughout the year.
TABLE G.1. SEI STAFF INSERVICE ATTENDANCE, 1990-91.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inservice</th>
<th>Number present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Attendance Count
(Standard Deviation)

4.6
(0.7)
APPENDIX H

TOPICS ADDRESSED AT 1990-91 SEI STAFF INSERVICES.

- Inclusive education for SEI students
- Programming for SEI students
- Instructional supplies and materials
- IEP forms and instructions
- Individualization of the instructional services
- Mainstreaming procedures
- Secondary special education curriculum
- Appropriate placement of students
- Referrals for self-contained programs
- The IEP process
- Monitoring caseloads
- IEPC meetings for handicapping conditions on recommended long term suspension
- Open enrollment
- Preparation for annual review
- Full day programming for students
### APPENDIX I

#### TABLE I.1. NUMBER AND PERCENT OF SEI HOME CONTACTS, BY MONTH, 1990-91.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Students Enrolled</th>
<th>Number and Percent of Students with Home Contacts</th>
<th>Criterion* Met/Unmet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32 (100.0)</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>31.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>31.3 (100.0)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Met</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Criterion: During each month, home contacts will be made for at least 90% of the students enrolled.
APPENDIX J

ANNUAL GOALS AND SHORT-TERM INSTRUCTIONAL OBJECTIVES
Sagamore Elementary School

STUDENT

SEP DATE

Goals and objectives selected for this student will be assessed at least annually and/or during the course of the year and as often as necessary to determine student needs. Progress is determined by change inventories, formal or informal teacher tests or teacher observations. This information will be discussed during the IEP meeting. Unless otherwise indicated mastery of objectives is 75%.

GOAL: I
A. The student will develop gross motor skills
   ( ) A. (See adaptive P.E. goals and objectives)
   ( ) B. OTHER
GOAL: II
A. The student will develop fine motor skills
   ( ) A. Develop pre-writing skills
   ( ) B. Develop handwriting skills
   ( ) C. OTHER
GOAL: III
A. The student will develop perceptual motor skills
   ( ) A. Improve body image
   ( ) B. Improve visual motor integration
   ( ) C. OTHER

SOCIAL CUMULTIVE

GOAL: I
A. The student will demonstrate interpersonal growth
   ( ) A. Improve adaptive behavior
   ( ) B. Show improvement in impulse control
   ( ) C. Demonstrate a sense of reality
   ( ) D. Improve self concept and self confidence
   ( ) E. Show regard for personal welfare
   ( ) F. OTHER

GOAL: II
A. The student will demonstrate interpersonal growth
   ( ) A. Demonstrate appropriate behaviors in peer/adult relationships
   ( ) B. Demonstrate responsible behaviors
   ( ) C. Demonstrate growth in social speech
   ( ) D. OTHER

GOAL: III
A. The student will improve work habits
   ( ) A. Improve attentiveness and promptness
   ( ) B. Improve ability to focus and maintain attention
   ( ) C. Improve ability to follow directions
   ( ) D. Show ability to complete assigned work
   ( ) E. OTHER

LANGUAGE/READING/LANGUAGE ARTS

GOAL: I
A. The student will improve language skills
   ( ) A. Improve reading skills
   ( ) B. Improve syntax and fluency
   ( ) C. Improve listening skills
   ( ) D. OTHER
GOAL: II
A. The student will increase word recognition
   ( ) A. Increase sight word vocabulary
   ( ) B. Increase functional word recognition
   ( ) C. OTHER
GOAL: III
A. The student will increase word analysis skills
   ( ) A. Identify/pronounce letter sounds
   ( ) B. Read word families
   ( ) C. Read syllables
   ( ) D. Improve syllabication skills
   ( ) E. Increase knowledge of word meaning
   ( ) F. OTHER
GOAL: IV
A. The student will improve oral reading
   ( ) A. Improve fluency
   ( ) B. Improve expression
   ( ) C. OTHER
GOAL: V
A. The student will improve oral and silent reading comprehension skills
   ( ) A. Improve literal comprehension
   ( ) B. Improve interpretive comprehension skills
   ( ) C. Improve critical comprehension skills
   ( ) D. OTHER
GOAL: VI
A. The student will improve written expression
   ( ) A. Improve handwriting skills
   ( ) B. Improve spelling abilities
   ( ) C. Improve usage of capitalization
   ( ) D. OTHER
GOAL: VII
A. The student will improve written expression
   ( ) A. Identify parts of speech
   ( ) B. Improve creative expression
   ( ) C. Improve ability to complete functional for
   ( ) D. OTHER
GOAL: VIII
A. The student will improve reference and study skills
   ( ) A. Improve alphabetizing skills
   ( ) B. Improve ability to locate information
   ( ) C. Improve outlining skills
   ( ) D. OTHER

Versions: USEC: White-Director
Checking: Gaan-30
Plan-Parent
Guidance-Supervisor

BEST COPY AVAILABLE
APPENDIX J

ANNUAL I.E.P. AND SHORT-TERM INSTRUCTIONAL OBJECTIVES
Englewood Elementary Special Education Department

STUDENT ___________ B.O. __________ SEP DATE ___________

Goals and objectives selected for this student will be assessed at least annually and/or during the month of May and as often as necessary to determine student needs. Progress is determined by Brigance Inventories, formal or informal teacher tests or teacher observations. This information will be discussed during the I.E.P. meeting. Unless otherwise indicated mastery of objectives is 75%.

MATHEMATICS

GOAL: I ( ) The student will develop math readiness skills
   ( ) A. Numerical computation
   ( ) B. Joins sets and understands one to one correspondence
   ( ) C. Recognizes basic geometric forms
   ( ) D. OTHER

GOAL: II ( ) The student will increase basic number skills
   ( ) A. Recognizes and reads numbers
   ( ) B. Writes numbers in numerical order
   ( ) C. Increases number skills
       (1: >, <, =, etc.)
   ( ) D. Develops place value skills
   ( ) E. OTHER

GOAL: III ( ) The student will develop math operation skills
   ( ) A. Increases addition skills
   ( ) B. Increases subtraction skills
   ( ) C. Increases multiplication skills
   ( ) D. Increases division skills
   ( ) E. Develops the ability to identify and use fractions and decimals
   ( ) F. OTHER

GOAL: IV ( ) The student will increase measurement skills
   ( ) A. Measures in units
   ( ) B. Tell time
   ( ) C. Reads and uses a calendar
   ( ) D. Develops money skills
   ( ) E. Read thermometer
   ( ) F. Read charts and graphs
   ( ) G. OTHER

GOAL: V ( ) The student will develop math vocabulary skills
   ( ) A. Understands ordinal numbers
       (1st, 2nd, 3rd, etc.)
   ( ) B. Understands basic math vocabulary
   ( ) C. OTHER

GOAL: VI ( ) The student will improve math problem solving ability
   ( ) A. Story problems
   ( ) B. OTHER

SCIENCE

GOAL: I ( ) The student will increase knowledge of science
   ( ) A. Increase knowledge of earth science
   ( ) B. Increase knowledge of life science
   ( ) C. OTHER

SOCIAL STUDIES

GOAL: I ( ) The student will increase knowledge of American/World Life
   ( ) A. Improve knowledge of family life
   ( ) B. Improve knowledge of community life
   ( ) C. Improve knowledge of history and government
   ( ) D. OTHER

COUNSELOR: I.E.P. Chair-Designer
Caney-Rent
Parent
Goldwave-Supervisor

BEST COPY AVAILABLE
### APPENDIX K


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z Stds Met 70% Criteria</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria Achieved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### APPENDIX L

#### TABLE L.1. QUARTILE DISTRIBUTION OF OBJECTIVE ATTAINMENT FOR PROGRAM EXPANSION STUDENTS BY CLASSROOM SITE, 1990–91.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Site</th>
<th>First Quartile (0-24.9%)</th>
<th>Second Quartile (25-49.9%)</th>
<th>Third Quartile (50-74.9%)</th>
<th>Fourth Quartile (75-100%)</th>
<th>Total*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (30.0)</td>
<td>7 (70.0)</td>
<td>10 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (20.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>8 (80.0)</td>
<td>10 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>11 (100.0)</td>
<td>11 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (33.3)</td>
<td>3 (25.0)</td>
<td>2 (16.7)</td>
<td>3 (25.0)</td>
<td>12 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>9 (52.9)</td>
<td>7 (41.2)</td>
<td>17 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (21.5)</td>
<td>11 (78.5)</td>
<td>14 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>6 (42.9)</td>
<td>6 (42.9)</td>
<td>2 (14.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>14 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>10 (100.0)</td>
<td>10 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>14 (87.5)</td>
<td>16 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3 (37.5)</td>
<td>2 (25.0)</td>
<td>2 (25.0)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>8 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>10 (100.0)</td>
<td>10 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>11 (91.7)</td>
<td>12 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (30.0)</td>
<td>7 (70.0)</td>
<td>10 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14*</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
<td>4 (44.4)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>9 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>13 (8.0)</td>
<td>18 (14.1)</td>
<td>30 (18.4)</td>
<td>102 (62.6)</td>
<td>163 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cumulative Total</strong></td>
<td>13 (8.0)</td>
<td>31 (19.0)</td>
<td>61 (37.4)</td>
<td>163 (100.0)</td>
<td>163 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This column/row does not sum to 100.0% due to rounding.
APPENDIX M

TABLE M.1. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF INSERVICE ATTENDANCE BY PROGRAM EXPANSION STAFF MEMBERS, 1990-91.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Number Attended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (Standard Deviation) 6.1 (1.3)
APPENDIX N

THE PROJECT FIND PROCESS

There are four major steps in the Project Find process. They include inquiry, screening, evaluation, and individual educational planning and placement committee (IEPC) case disposition. Each is presented below with a brief description.

**Inquiry.** Inquiries regarding Project Find services come from a variety of sources including, parents, teachers, medical personnel, and agencies. These inquiries come directly to the coordinator. Responses to these inquiries were usually accomplished by telephone or home visit. At this point, a determination to either screen or refer an individual to a more appropriate department or agency is made.

**Screening.** Observation and assessment are the primary components of this phase. At the completion of screening, a staffing is held. A report with recommendations is then prepared to determine what, if any, future action should be taken. If more in-depth assessment is warranted, arrangements are made for processing a formal special education referral.

**Evaluation.** Evaluation is designed to look more comprehensively at the child. The evaluation is based upon the individual's suspected disability and/or presenting symptoms. Assessment by psychologists, social workers, speech and language pathologists, occupational and physical therapists, diagnostic teachers, etc., may be included in the evaluation. At the completion

---

These steps are presented to provide greater understanding and lend meaning to the findings presented elsewhere in this report.
of evaluation, a multi-disciplinary team (MDT) report is prepared for review at a subsequent IEPC meeting.

IEPC Meeting. Having reviewed all documentation, IEPC participants make an eligibility determination for the client. If found to be eligible for special education services, an individual instructional program is then prepared for the student.

A case may be terminated at any point during the process. Reasons for termination may include parental request to terminate, clients not appearing for scheduled screenings and/or evaluations, results of screenings and/or evaluations not warranting any further action, clients ruled ineligible for services, or clients moving from the school district.
**PROJECT FIND COORDINATOR’S LOG**

**APPENDIX O**

- Carry Over From Last Year
- Carry Over To Next Year
- File Closed
- All Data Recorded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.D.:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INQUIRY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Contact Target Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Contact Actual Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCREENING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infant: Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preprimary: Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (specify)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminated: Date and Comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EVALUATION AND IEPC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral and Consent Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDT Meeting Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEPC Target Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEPC Actual Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension Letter Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility Determination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Party Feed Back Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**ERIC**
APPENDIX P

SOURCES OF THIRD PARTY REFERRALS TO PROJECT FIND IN 1990-91.

Personnel from the following organizations:

- Association for Retarded Children (ARC)
- Blue Care Network
- Child and Family Services of Saginaw
- Child Guidance Clinic
- Crippled Children's Association
- Family First
- Group Health Service
- Head Start
- Janes Street Clinic
- Kindercare
- Medicaid
- Mott Community Hospital
- Saginaw County Child Development Center
- Saginaw County Department of Mental Health
- Saginaw County Department of Public Health
- Saginaw County Department of Social Services
- Saginaw General Hospital
- Saginaw Psychological Services
- Saginaw Valley Hearing Clinic
- School District of the City of Saginaw
- Teen Parent Support
- University of Michigan Hospital
- Westland Clinic
- Women with Infant Children (WIC)

Individuals:

- Audiologists
- Nurses (registered and licensed)
- Occupational therapists
- Physical therapists
- Physicians/pediatricians
- Program supervisors
- Psychologists
- Social workers
- Teachers
- Teacher consultants
## APPENDIX Q

### TABLE Q.1. NUMBER AND PERCENT OF ELIGIBILITY CATEGORY DETERMINATIONS FOR PROJECT FIND CLIENTS, 1990-91.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility Category</th>
<th>Number and Percent of Determinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speech and language impaired (SLI)</td>
<td>51 (49.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-primary impaired (PPI)</td>
<td>20 (19.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically &amp; otherwise health impaired (POHI)</td>
<td>5 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severely multiply impaired (SXI)</td>
<td>4 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autistic impaired (AI)</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educable mentally impaired (EMI)</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainable mentally impaired (TMI)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotionally impaired (EI)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visually impaired (VI)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineligible</td>
<td>13 (12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No eligibility noted in log</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>103 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following GOALS AND OBJECTIVES were developed specifically to correspond with the curriculum of the EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAM and should be utilized whenever program and service goals are written for an ECP student. The initial or continuing IEP (Individual Educational Program) summary will be the primary place that these goals and objectives will be used. They are not intended to be all inclusive but should provide a consistent, appropriately written format that meets most needs. In all cases, they should be used selectively and should be related to a student’s specific needs.

A. PRE-AMBULATORY MOTOR SKILLS AND BEHAVIORS

1. Supine (back) position
2. Prone (stomach) position
3. Sitting position
4. Standing position
5. Other:

B. CROSS MOTOR SKILLS AND BEHAVIORS

1. Stamping
2. Walking
3. Stairs and climbing
4. Running
5. Jumping
6. Hooping
7. Kicking
8. Balance board
9. Catching
10. Rolling and throwing
11. Ball bouncing
12. Rhythm
13. Wheel toys
14. Other:

C. FINE MOTOR SKILLS AND BEHAVIORS

1. General eye/finger/hand manipulative skills
2. Block tower building
3. Puzzles
4. Pre-handwriting
5. Braided-person
6. Designs
7. Cutting with scissors
8. Painting with brush
9. Clay
10. Other:

D. SELF HELP SKILLS

1. Feeding/eating
2. Undressing
3. Dressing
4. Unfastening
5. Fastening
6. Known front and back of clothes
7. Known when clothes are inside out and turned if needed
8. Tailoring
9. Bathing
10. Grooming
11. Household chores
12. Other:

E. PRE-SPEECH

1. Receptive language
2. Gestures
3. Vocalization
4. Other:

F. SPEECH AND LANGUAGE SKILLS

1. Syntax (sentence structure)
2. Sentence length
3. Personal data responses
4. Social speech
5. Verbal direction
6. Picture vocabulary: Points to picture vocabulary: Names
7. Articulation of sounds: Initial sound
8. Articulation of sounds: Final sound
9. Repeats numbers
10. Sentence memory
11. Singing
12. Other:

G. GENERAL KNOWLEDGE AND COMPREHENSION

1. Body parts: receptively (understanding)
2. Body parts: expressively (talking)
3. Colors
4. Design concepts
5. Time concepts
6. Quantitative concepts
7. Directional/positional concepts
8. Sorts: by color
9. Sorts: by shape
10. Sorts: by size
11. Weather
12. Classifying
13. Knows what to do in different situations
14. Knows use of objects
15. Knows function of community helpers
16. Knows where to go for services
17. Other:

H. READING

1. Responses to and experience with books
2. Visual discrimination
3. Recognizes alphabet
4. Upper case letters
5. Lower case letters
6. Other:

I. BASIC READING SKILLS

1. Auditory discrimination
2. Initial consonants with pictures
3. Initial consonants visually
4. Initial consonants auditory
5. Vowels
6. Short vowel sounds
7. Long vowel sounds
8. Reads color words
9. Reads number words
10. Reads common signs
11. Reads at grade level
12. Other:

---

White-Director
Caryn-Parent
Pink-CA 68
Goldey-Golden-Supervisor

---

59
-BEST COPY AVAILABLE-
APPENDIX R

J. MANUSCRIPT WRITING

1. Prints personal data
2. Prints upper case letters sequentially
3. Prints lower case letters sequentially
4. Prints upper case letters dictated
5. Prints lower case letters dictated
6. Prints simple sentences
7. Quality of manuscript writing
8. Other:

K. MATH

1. Number concepts
2. Counts by rote to
3. Reads numerals
4. Numeral comprehension
5. Ordinal position
6. Numerals in sequence
7. Writes preceding and following numerals
8. Writes numerals dictated
9. Addition combinations
10. Subtraction combinations
11. Recognition of money
12. Time
13. Other:

L. SOCIAL COMPETENCY SKILLS

1. Identification
2. Using names of others
3. Greeting other children
4. Using equipment safely
5. Reporting accidents
6. Continuing in activities
7. Performing tasks
8. Following verbal instructions
9. Following new instructions
10. Remembering instructions
11. Making explanation to other children
12. Communicating wants
13. Borrowing
14. Returning property
15. Sharing
16. Helping others
17. Playing with others
18. Initiating involvement
19. Initiating group activities
20. Giving direction to play
21. Taking turns
22. Reaction to frustration
23. Dependence upon adults
24. Accepting limits
25. Effecting transitions
26. Changes in routine
27. Reassurance in public places
28. Response to unfamiliar adults
29. Unfamiliar situations
30. Seeking help
31. Other:

M. ALTERNATIVE COMMUNICATION

1. Fingerspelling
2. Manual language
3. Speech reading
4. Hearing Aid use
5. Use of Auditory Trainer
   ( ) Desk ( ) Loop ( ) FM
6. Communication board
7. Hand-voice
8. Cued Speech
9. Others:

These goals and instructional objectives correspond with the Brigance Inventory of Early Development (0-7), the California Social Maturity Scale, and selected parts of the BCP (Behavioral Characteristic Progression) program. These, along with teacher observations, are the basis for assessment and instruction in the Early Childhood program. Evaluation of progress, using these instruments and observations, is an on-going process and is charted at least once a year, generally in the Spring.
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