

ED 341 306

HE 025 198

AUTHOR Serafin, Ana Gil
 TITLE Interrelations for Teaching, Research, and Service:
 The Faculty Satisfaction Dilemma.
 PUB DATE 16 Jan 92
 NOTE 18p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the
 Michigan Educational Research Association (Novi, MI,
 January 16-17, 1992). For a related document, see HE
 025 197.
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --
 Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS *College Faculty; College Instruction; Higher
 Education; *Job Satisfaction; Occupational Surveys;
 Research; Teacher Attitudes; *Teacher Role; Work
 Attitudes
 IDENTIFIERS Faculty Service; *Venezuela

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the extent of the interrelations of faculty satisfaction with the position functions of teaching, research, and service across Venezuelan teacher college campuses. In particular the study concerned the extent to which the variations in the variable teaching satisfaction were associated with the variations in the variables research satisfaction and service satisfaction. Using the Faculty Satisfaction Questionnaire 234 full-time faculty from 7 Venezuelan teachers colleges were surveyed. The evidence suggested that teaching and research are interrelated in the satisfaction provided to academicians and that research in the academic environment is seen as supportive and complimentary to teaching. In addition, the correlation between teaching satisfaction and service satisfaction was positive indicating that there are positive interrelations between faculty satisfaction with teaching and faculty satisfaction with service. The correlation between research satisfaction and service satisfaction was also positive. The most satisfying elements to faculty was research, with publications and writing providing the greatest sense of accomplishment. Included are two tables and 27 references. (JB)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

ED 341 306

**INTERRELATIONS OF TEACHING, RESEARCH, AND SERVICE:
The Faculty Satisfaction Dilemma**

**Paper Presented to
1992 Michigan Educational Research Association
January 16 - 17
Novi, Michigan**

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Ana Gil-Serafin

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

**Ana Gil Serafin, Ed.D
Department of Educational Leadership
Western Michigan University**

HE 025 198

MERA

Michigan Educational Research Association

19th Annual Conference Program

January 16-17, 1992

**Novi Hilton
2111 Haggerty Road
Novi, Michigan 48050
(I-275 at 8 Mile Road)
(313) 349-4000**

Interrelations of Teaching, Research, and Service:
The Faculty Satisfaction Dilemma

by

Dr. Ana Gil-Serafin

With a randomly selected sample (N=234) of full-time faculty members from seven Venezuelan teacher colleges, it was examined whether faculty satisfaction with the functions of teaching with research and service and research with service across Venezuelan teacher colleges were interrelated. Three conceptual hypotheses were supported at .05 level of significance. Results are discussed in view of 30 years of related literature that have explained the relationship between teaching, research, and service .

A great deal has been written about teaching and research in academia. Faculty question the relationship between teaching and research or the interrelation between these two academic functions. The direction seems to indicate that both are related and correlated. The matter of satisfaction with these functions appears as a new argument for faculty polemic. Several studies of teaching and satisfaction mention that teaching itself (Boyer, 1987; Bowen & Schuster, 1986; Clark, 1985; Cross, 1977; DeFrain, 1979; Eble, 1988; Ibrahim, 1985; Ladd, 1979; Mellinger, 1982; Miller, 1986; McKeachie, 1982; Riday, 1981; Trinca, 1980), as well as teaching as a profession (Boyer, 1987; Bowen & Schuster, 1986; Clark, 1985; Eckert & William, 1972; Gaff & Wilson, 1971; Nussel et al., 1988), are sources of satisfaction. Some other factors of teaching have also been related to faculty satisfaction. These are academic freedom (Ambrose, 1985; Brookhart & Loadman, 1989; Carleo, 1989; CFAT, 1986; Eckert & Steckleim, 1961; Ibrahim, 1985; McKeachie, 1982), class size (Nicholson & Miljus, 1972), and teaching load (Carleo, 1989; CFAT, 1986; Hudson). The function of research has also been

explored. Findings are consistent regarding satisfaction with research activities (Bowen & Schuster, 1986; Braskamp et al., 1982; Carleo, 1989; Eble, 1988). However, service as a function has been scarcely studied as a whole. This reasoning led to the investigation of the extent of the interrelations of faculty satisfaction with the position functions of teaching, research, and service across Venezuelan teacher college campuses.

Methods and Procedures

A correlational study was concerned with determining the extent of the relationship existing between variables based on correlation coefficients (Ary et al., 1987; Borg & Gall, 1985; Isaac & Michael, 1987). In this research, the correlation study concerned the extent to which the variations in the variable teaching satisfaction were associated with the variations in the variables research satisfaction and service satisfaction. In addition, the variations in the variable research satisfaction were associated with the variations in the variable service satisfaction.

Two-hundred-thirty-four full-time faculty working 40 hours per week, teaching a particular subject area,

and assigned to academic departments were selected randomly from current personnel lists within the seven colleges. It was critically important that those faculty who responded to questions regarding their satisfaction with position functions be fully functioning members of the professoriate. Consequently, part-time professors, administrators, and other individuals who had unique appointments were eliminated (See Table 1).

Table 1

Characteristics of the Sample

Institution	N	% of Total Sample
IP Barquisimeto	30	12.82
IP Caracas	65	27.77
IP Macaro	41	13.12
IP Maracay	19	8.12
IP Maturin	29	12.39
IP Rubio	37	16.81
IP Siso Martinez	13	3.14

Faculty satisfaction with position functions relative to (1) teaching, (2) research, and (3) service were the dependent variables. Faculty satisfaction is

defined as the affective congruence toward one's work when the elements of the position (teaching, research, and service) fulfill desirable expectations. The position function is understood as a set of aspects inherent to the functions of a faculty member which are related to the teaching, research, and service activities that he performs.

Instrumentation

The level of satisfaction was measured by the Faculty Satisfaction Questionnaire (FSQ) using a Likert-type scale (1= very dissatisfied, 2= dissatisfied, 3= neutral, 4= satisfied, 5= very satisfied). The satisfaction score of each dimension is determined by summing the weights for all items related to the variable. The FSQ instrument was designed following a series of steps to ensure consistent and accurate results. The FSQ was reviewed and validated by a panel of experts in the field. The items retained (Teaching= 11, Research= 9, and Service= 9) were rearranged for the field testing. Respondents rated 29 items on a 5-point Likert scale. Faculty were personally given a cover letter, the Faculty Satisfaction Questionnaire, and preaddressed return

envelope. Eighty-eight percent of the full-time faculty responded to the survey, and 12% (n=27) did not return the FSQ.

Reliability for each variable was determined by use of the Cronbach alpha coefficient. The variable satisfaction with teaching showed an alpha coefficient of .85. For the variable satisfaction with research an alpha coefficient .80 was obtained. An alpha coefficient .85 was found for the variable satisfaction with service. The evidence of sufficient reliability of the measures was established. No negative item-total correlations among the items were found. No changes in the instrument or procedure were made on the basis of the field test. The individual respondent scores obtained on the questionnaire measuring the dependent variables were used as the unit of analysis. Three Pearson Product-moment correlations were computed to test relationships between teaching satisfaction with research satisfaction and with service satisfaction, and research satisfaction with service satisfaction (Hypotheses 1, 2, and 3).

FINDINGS

Hypothesis 1

The relationship between faculty satisfaction with teaching and faculty satisfaction with research will be positive.

Hypothesis 2

There will be a positive relationship between faculty satisfaction with teaching and faculty satisfaction with service.

Hypothesis 3

The relationship between faculty satisfaction with research and faculty satisfaction with service will be positive.

The Table 2 summarizes the results of the hypotheses 1, 2, and 3, with Coefficient Cronbach values in parenthesis, indicating that their null hypotheses should be rejected. The correlation between teaching satisfaction and research satisfaction was significant and in the predicted direction ($r = .47$, $p < .0001$). The correlation between teaching satisfaction and service satisfaction was also significant and in the predicted direction ($r = .51$,

$p < .0001$). The correlation coefficient of $r = .61$ ($p < .0001$) indicated a positive linear relationship between research satisfaction and service satisfaction (See Table 2).

Table 2

Interrelations between Teaching Satisfaction with Research Satisfaction, Teaching Satisfaction with Service Satisfaction, and Research Satisfaction with Service Satisfaction

N= 207

	Measures		
	Teaching	Research	Service
Teaching	($r = .76$)	$r = .47$ $p < .0001$	$r = .51$ $p < .0001$
Research		($r = .86$)	$r = .61$ $p < .0001$
Service			($r = .83$)

 $p < .05$

The Chi-square calculated to determine significance levels between demographic data across campus location were significant at .05 alpha level. Differences were found in academic rank, earned degree, previous teaching experiences in primary education, teaching rewards, and service rewards across various sites.

DISCUSSION

The literature emphasized the relationship between teaching and research as low and positive. In fact, it was hypothesized that if teaching and research were correlated, then satisfaction with teaching and satisfaction with research would be correlated in the same direction. Findings demonstrated that university faculty appear to relate the role of teaching with their scholarly production. This relationship seems to produce satisfaction. The evidence of this study, as well as those similar studies, suggest that teaching and research are interrelated and may provide satisfaction to academicians. Research in the academic environment is seen as supportive and complimentary to teaching.

The correlation between teaching satisfaction scores and service satisfaction scores was positive ($r = +.51$). Therefore, it is justified, based on these results, to claim positive interrelations between faculty satisfaction with teaching and faculty satisfaction with service. Service is examined as inservice training, staff development programs,

professional growth programs, and even public relations projects. Opportunities offered through service programs help teachers to be more creative, participative, innovative, and even more productive in terms of publications, presentations, etc. New information and data to course design and the development of new instructional techniques may be some of the valuable gains that faculty may take from the service activities.

The correlation between research satisfaction and service satisfaction was positive ($r = .61$). Very few research studies have been done involving these variables. However, the study of faculty satisfaction with research are commonly reported. Research, as disciplined inquiry which leads to the production and application of knowledge, seems to be a main contributor to faculty satisfaction. But, it is usually reflected in the literature that research is mostly confronted by skillful professors with high academic ranks.

Research, publications, and writing are the most satisfying elements that provide the greatest sense of accomplishment to higher education faculty. The

evidence show that the function of research causes satisfaction to faculty. The correlation between research satisfaction and service satisfaction may introduce new elements in the design of service programs. Indeed, the evidence showing the interrelation between faculty satisfaction with research and faculty satisfaction with service may feed the argument that faculty can start talking about being satisfied, since their professional needs would be matching their personal needs.

References

- Ambrose, C. M. (1985). A comparison of faculty members' and administrators' definitions of and attitudes toward academic freedom. ASHE 1985 annual meeting paper. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service N. ED 303 087)
- Ary, D., Cheser, L., & Razavieh A. (1985). Introduction to research in education. (3rd. ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- Borg, W. R. & Gall, M. D. (1983). Educational research: an introduction. (4th ed.). New York: Longman Inc.
- Bowen, H. R. & Schuster, J. (1986). American professors: a material resource imperilled. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Boyer, E. L. (1987). College: the undergraduate experience in America. New York: Harper & Row.
- Braskamp, L., Fowler, D., & Ory, J. (1982). Faculty development and achievement: a faculty's view. Paper presented at 1982 Annual meeting of American Educational Research Association, New York, N.Y. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service N. ED 216 626)
- Brookhart, S. M., & Loadman, W. E. (1989). Work perceptions of university and public school educators. Paper presented at the Annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA., March 27-31 (ERIC Document Reproduction Service N. ED 309720)
- Carleo, A. (1989). Job satisfaction among full-time faculty in the Los Angeles community college district (doctoral dissertation, University of California Los Angeles, 1989). Dissertation Abstract International, 50, 58A.

- Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching. (1986). The satisfied faculty. Change, March/April, 31-34.
- Clark, B. R. (September-October, 1985). Academic life in America. Change magazine, pp. 36-43.
- Cross, K. P. (1977). Not can, but will college teaching be improved? In J. A. Centra (Ed.). New Direction for Higher Education, 17, 1-16.
- DeFrain, J. (1979). College teachers' work motivation, central life interests, and voluntarism as predictors of job satisfaction and job performance (Doctoral dissertation, University of Kansas, 1979). Dissertation Abstract International, 40, 4322A.
- Eble, K. E. (1988). The contexts of college teaching, past & future. In R. Young and K. Eble (Eds.) New Directions for Teaching and Learning, 33, 87-95.
- Eble, K. E. (1988). The craft of teaching. (2nd ed.). San Francisco, Ca.: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Eckert, R. E., & Stecklein, J. E. (1961). Job motivations and satisfactions of colleges teachers: a study of faculty members in Minnesota colleges. Washington, D. C.: Cooperative Research Program of the U.S., Office of Education.
- Gaff, J. G., & Wilson, R. C. (1971). Faculty values and improving teaching. In G. K. Smith (Ed.), Current Issues in Higher Education - 1971-, New teaching, New Learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Hudson, L. R. (1981). The relationship between job satisfaction and selected factors of teaching workload (Doctoral dissertation, University of Iowa, 1981). Dissertation Abstract International, 42, 4737A.

- Ibrahim, J. M. (1985). Job satisfaction of faculty members at selected southern universities (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southern Mississippi, 1986). Dissertation Abstract International, 47, 733A.
- Isaac, S., & Michael, W. (1981). Handbook in research and evaluation. (2nd. ed.). San Diego, CA.: Edits Publishers.
- Ladd, E. C. (1979). Work experience of American college professors. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the American Association for Higher Education, Washington, D.C. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service N. ED 184 406)
- McKeachie, W. J. (1982). The rewards of teaching. In J. Bess (Ed.), New Directions for Teaching and Learning, 10, 7-14.
- Mellinger, G. (1982). An investigation of academic job satisfaction/dissatisfaction in a small struggling liberal arts college (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pittsburgh, 1982). Dissertation Abstracts International, 44, 941A.
- Miller, G. (1986). Organizational climate and job satisfaction of independent college faculty (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 1986). Dissertation Abstract International, 47, 2047A.
- Nicholson, E. & Miljus, R. (1972). Job satisfaction and turnover among Liberal Arts college professors, Personal Journal, 51, 840-845.
- Nussel, E. J., Wiersma, W., & Rusche, P. J. (1988). Work satisfaction of education professors. The Journal of Teacher Education. May/June, 45-50.
- Riday, G. (1981). Job satisfaction: a comparative study of community college faculty to secondary school and four-year college faculty (Doctoral dissertation, Brigham Young University, 1981). Dissertation Abstract International, 42, 4371A.

Trinca, C. E. (1980). Pharmacy faculty job satisfaction: its relationship to environment, rewards and performance (Doctoral dissertation, University of Arizona, 1980). Dissertation Abstract International, 41, 4310A.