Quality improvement in the workplace via scientific techniques of research and training is discussed. Topics addressed include time factors, salient problems and assignable causes, informed observation, experimental design, study location, and training. Requirements for change in the workplace include: change in management attitudes and structure to produce and preserve the designed change in the organization; management training to achieve this aim; concomitant change in each employee's job; and training change to equip employees to carry out their changed roles. It is concluded that by organizing the workforce in problem-solving teams, trained in simple graphical data analysis, it is possible to utilize an enormous resource for creativity and that by equipping engineers with statistical design and graphical analysis tools accompanied by supporting computer software it is possible to facilitate the design of new processes and improvement of old ones. (TJH)
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Quality improvement is about finding out how to do things better. The efficient way to do this is by using scientific method—a powerful tool, often employed in the past by only a small elite of trained researchers. Modern quality improvement extends and democratizes the domain of scientific method over a number of dimensions (Box 1989) which include

users (e.g. from the chief executive officer to the janitor)
disciplines (e.g. management, statistics, engineering psychology)
areas (areas of human endeavor (e.g. factories, hospitals, airlines, department stores)
time (never-ending quality improvement)
causative factors (an evolving panorama of factors affecting the operation of the system often not known in advance but needing to be discovered).

Users
In the past we have rationed scientific method and provided a license to use it only to those having research degrees. But in fact the single entity which divides the human race from the rest of the animal kingdom is its creativity and just as "fish gotta swim" and "birds gotta fly" it is natural for human beings to be creative.

To understand the implications of this, imagine two frequency distributions. Figure 1(a) shows the kind of frequency distribution of creativity we might expect to find among the workforce of some organization such as a factory, a hospital, a university, or an airline. This is the kind of distribution usually found for human skills, showing clustering about a modal value with falling off in frequency on either side. Traditionally it was assumed that the highly-qualified few fell in the right tail of this distribution and these few were therefore the "licensed" problem solvers.

Figure 1 (b) shows a frequency distribution of problems that might beset an organization, classified by the degree of creativity needed to solve them. Here one expects a Pareto-like distribution with a large number of very simple problems and the frequency falling off as the problems become more challenging.

In the past the designated problem solvers were too few to deal with any but a small proportion of the problems and were in any case over-qualified to deal with most of them. But, one ought not need a Ph.D to make sure, for example, that hospital records are available when a patient comes to be examined. Most of the problems that cause inefficiency in organizations are simple problems and the vast pool of creativity potentially available to solve them is not used.

Disciplines
Quality does not fit into any one slot. It is not just statistics, not just engineering, not just management, not just psychology. Thus we need to think always in terms of cooperation, not departmentalism, and of teams, not of lone rangers. We must listen carefully, because frequently what we have to absorb is from a field other than our own. Also while retaining our humility we must, at the same time, not be overawed.

Areas of Endeavor
Quality improvement is most often thought of as applying to manufacture on the factory floor. But even in manufacturing organizations a high proportion of the workforce are otherwise engaged—in billing, invoicing, planning, scheduling and so forth all of these operations can be improved. But outside such industrial organizations, all of us as individual citizens must deal with a complex world, involving hospitals, government departments, universities, airlines and so forth. Lack of quality in these organizations causes us needless expense, wasted time and unnecessary frustration. Quality improvement applied to these activities could free us all for more productive and pleasurable pursuits.

Paper read at the American Statistical Association annual meeting August 6-10, 1989, Washington DC.
For never-ending improvement there must be a long-term commitment to renewal. A commonly used statistical model links a set of variables $x_k$ with a response $y$ by an equation $y = f(x_k) + e$ where $e$ is an error term, often imbued by statisticians with properties of randomness, independence and normality. A more realistic version of this model is

$$y = f(x_k) + e(x_u)$$

where $x_u$ is a set of variables whose nature and behavior is unknown. By skillful use of the techniques of informed observation and experimental design, as time elapses elements of $x_u$ are transferred into $x_k$ — from the unknown into the known. This transference is the essence of modern quality improvement and has two consequences:

(a) once a previously unknown variable has been identified it can be fixed at a level that produces the best results.
(b) by fixing it we remove an element previously contributing to variation.

Factors and Assignable Causes

The field of factors potentially important to quality improvement also can undergo seemingly less expansion. Problems of mathematical optimization are frequently posed as if they consisted of
maximizing some response $y$ over a known $k$-dimensional space of factors $x_k$, but in practice the factor space is never totally known and is continually developing.

**REQUIREMENTS FOR CHANGE**

This extension of the dimensions and the domain for the application of scientific method represents a very great change from the way that things are usually done. It requires the following:

(a) change in management attitudes and structure to produce and preserve the designed change in the organization
(b) management training to achieve this
(c) concomitant change in each employees job
(d) training change to equip employee to carry out his changed role

Considerations (a), (b), (c), and (d) are all of great importance. As the two earlier speakers have pointed out nothing can happen without getting, not only the support of management, but its enthusiastic involvement. But it is equally true that even if management is genuinely ready and equipped to move, it will not get anywhere unless the necessary changes in employees roles and the necessary new training have been put in place as in (c) and (d) above. I will confine my remarks to these latter considerations.

**Informed Observation and Design Experiment**

Until comparatively recently technical advance was slow—the ships of the thirteenth century were somewhat better designed than those of the twelfth century but the differences were not very dramatic. And then three or four hundred years ago a process of quickened technical change began which has ever since been accelerating. This acceleration is attributed to an improved process for finding things out we call scientific method.

We can, I think, explain at least some aspects of this scientific revolution by considering a particular instance of discovery. We are told that in the late seventeenth century it was a monk from the Abbey of Hautvillers who first observed that a second fermentation in wine could be induced which produced a new and different sparkling liquid, delightful to the taste, which we now call champagne. Now the culture of wine itself is known from the earliest records of man and the conditions necessary to induce the production of champagne must have occurred accidentally countless times throughout antiquity. However, it was not until this comparatively recent date that the actual discovery was made. This is less surprising if we consider that to induce an advance of this kind two circumstances must coincide. First an informative event must occur and second a perceptive observer must be present to see it and learn from it.

Now most events that occur in our daily routine correspond more or less with what we expect. Only occasionally does something occur which is potentially informative. Also many observers, whether through lack of essential background knowledge or from lack of curiosity or motivation, do not fill the role of a perceptive observer. Thus the slowness in antiquity of the process of discovery can be explained by the extreme rarity of the chance coincidence of two circumstances each of which is itself rare. It is then easily seen that discovery may be accelerated by two processes which I will call informed observation and directed experimentation.

By a process of informed observation we arrange things so that, when a rare potentially informative event does occur people with necessary technical background and motivation are there to observe it. Thus, when last year an explosion of a supernova occurred, the scientific organization of this planet was such that astronomers observed it and learned from it. A quality control chart fills a similar role. When such a chart is properly maintained and displayed it ensures that any abnormality in the routine operation of a process is likely to be observed and associated with what Shewhart called an assignable cause—so leading to the gradual elimination of disturbing factors.

A second way in which the rate of acquisition of knowledge may be increased is by what I will call directed experimentation. This is an attempt to artificially induce the occurrence of an informative event. Thus, Benjamin Franklin's plan to determine the possible connection of lightning and electricity by flying a kite in a thunder cloud and testing the emanations flowing down the string, was an invitation for such an informative event to occur.
Recognition of the enormous power of these methods of scientific advance is now commonplace. The challenge of the modern movement of quality improvement is nothing less than to use them to further, in the widest possible manner, the effectiveness of human activity.

**Informed Observation**

The less sophisticated problems in quality improvement can often be solved by informed observation using some very simple tools that are easily taught to the workforce. While on the one hand, Murphy's law implacably ensures that anything that can go wrong with a process will eventually go wrong, this same law also ensures that every process produces information that can be used for its own improvement. In this sentence the word process could mean an industrial manufacturing process, or a process for ordering supplies or for paying bills. It could also mean the process of admission to a hospital or of registering at a hotel or of booking an airline flight.

Three simple strategies that can be used to defeat Murphy are **Corrective Feedback, Preemptive Feedforward and Simplification**. (Box 1989).

Corrective Feedback occurs when the study of system faults leads to their eradication. Preemptive Feedforward occurs when careful forethought prevents faults from occurring. Simplification is a process whereby unnecessary complication in a process is unravelled. (See for example Fuller 1986).

To put such strategies in effect the workforce needs a simple set of tools such as is described by Ishikawa (1976) in his invaluable little book available in English and written for foremen and workers to study together. I would make a few additions, so that my list would read:

```
1). Check sheets and recordkeeping  6. Histograms
2). Pareto charts                   7. Stratification
3). Flow diagrams                  8. Scatter plots
4). Cause-effect diagrams          9. Cusums
5). Run charts                     10. Other graphs
```

An ingenious idea which combines (5) and (6) is due to J. Stuart Hunter. Cusums are here intended to be used simply as a diagnostic graphical tool pointing to approximate *times* at which changes in mean (or in range) may have occurred, and so helping with the assignment of cause. No formal assessment of significance would be needed at this stage.

**Experimental Design**

The solution by the workforce of a multitude of simple problems using the elementary tools listed above can produce major improvements in quality and productivity, but there is still another area where additional major gains can be accomplished.

Although R.A. Fisher invented experimental design more than 60 years ago its use has still not become customary in industrial experimentation. This is partly the fault of the universities. In particular although, since Fisher, the use of one factor at a time experimentation to study multifactors situations has (with rare exceptions) become indefensible, it is still taught in departments of chemistry and engineering and is still prevalent in industry.

The excuse usually advanced by engineering colleges for not teaching experimental design is that the syllabus is already full and that to include a course on design and analysis of experiments would mean that something would have to be taken out. "What could we take out"? I am often asked to which I reply "take out almost anything; surely nothing is more important than to equip engineers to properly conduct investigations and to run experiments." As I was told by a senior manager in a highly successful Japanese company "an engineer not trained in the design of experiments is not an engineer."

**Which? How? Why?**

Suppose *y* is some quality characteristic whose probability distribution depends on the levels of a number of factors *x*. Experimental design may be used to reveal certain aspects of this dependence; in
particular how the mean $E(y) = f(x)$, and the variance $\sigma^2(y) = F(x)$, depend on $x$. Both choice of
design and method of analysis are greatly affected by what we know or what we think we know about
the input variables $x$ and the functions $f(x)$ and $F(x)$ (see, for example, Box, Hunter and Hunter
(1978)).

Which: In the early stages of investigation the task may be to determine which subset of variables
$x_k$ chosen from the larger set $x$ are of importance in affecting $y$. In this connection a Pareto
hypothesis (a hypothesis of "effect sparsity") becomes appropriate and the projective properties into
lower dimensions in the factor space of highly fractionated designs (Finney 1945; Plackett and Burman
1946; Rao 1947; Box, Hunter, and Hunter 1978) may be used to find an active subset of $k$ or fewer
active factors. Analyses based on normal plots (Daniel 1959) and/or Bayesian methods (Box and Meyer
1986a) which take account of the Pareto hypothesis are efficient and geometrically appealing.

How: When we know, or think we know, which are the important variables $x_k$ we may need to
determine more precisely how changes in their levels affect $y$. Often the nature of the functions $f(x)$ and
$F(x)$ will be unknown. However over some limited region of interest a local Taylor's series
approximation of first or second order in $x_k$ may provide an adequate approximation, particularly if $y$
and $x_k$ are re-expressed, when necessary, in appropriate alternative metrics. Fractional factorials and
other response surface designs of first and second order are appropriate here. Maxima may be found and
exploited using steepest ascent methods followed by canonical analysis of a fitted second degree equation
in appropriately transformed metrics (Box and Wilson 1951). The possibilities for exploiting
multidimensional ridges and hence determining alternative optimal process become particularly important
at this stage (see, for example, Box and Draper 1986).

Why: Instances occur when a mechanistic model can be postulated. This might take the form of
a set of differential equations believed to describe the underlying physics. Various kinds of problems
arise. Among these are:

- How should parameters (often corresponding to unknown physical constants) be estimated from
data?
- How should candidate models be tested?
- How should we select a model from competing candidates?
- What kinds of experimental designs are appropriate?

Workers in quality improvement have so far been chiefly occupied with problems of the "which"
and occasionally of the "how" kind and have consequently made most use of fractional factorial designs
and other orthogonal arrays, and of response surface designs.

**Studying Location, Dispersion and Robustness**

Experimental design had been used most often as a means of discovering how the process might be
changed to increase the mean of some quality characteristic. It has also an important role to play in
reducing dispersion.

**Using experimental designs to minimize variation**: High quality, particularly in the parts industries
(e.g. automobiles, electronics) is frequently associated with minimizing dispersion. In particular, the
simultaneous study of the effect of the variables $x$ on the variance as well as the mean is important in
the problem of bringing a process on target with smallest possible dispersion (Phadke 1982).

Bartlett and Kendall (1946) pointed to the advantages of analysis in terms of $\log s_y^2$ to produce
constant variance and increased additivity in the dispersion measure. It is also very important in such
studies to remove transformable dependence between the mean and standard deviation. Taguchi
(1986,1987) attempts to do this by the use of a signal to noise ratios. However, it may be shown that it
is much less restrictive, simpler and more statistically efficient to proceed by direct data transformation obtained, for example, by a "lambda plot" (Box 1988).

A practical difficulty may be the very large number of experimental runs which may be needed in such studies if complicated designs are employed. It was recently shown how using what Fisher called hidden replication, unreplicated fractions may sometimes be employed to identify sparse dispersion effects in the presence of sparse location effects (Box and Meyer 1986b).

**Experimental Design and Robustness to the Environment:** A well designed car will start over a wide range of conditions of ambient temperature and humidity. The design of the starting mechanism may then be said to be "robust" to changes in these environmental variables. Suppose \( E(y) \) and possibly also \( \sigma^2(y) \) are functions of certain design variables \( x_d \) which determine the design of the system and also of some external environmental variables \( x_v \) which, except in the experimental environment, are not under our control. The problem of robust design is to choose a desirable combination of design variables \( x_{do} \) at which good performance is experienced over a wide range of environmental conditions.

Related problems were earlier considered by Youden (1961a,b) and Wernimont (1975) but recently their importance in quality improvement has been pointed out by Taguchi. His solution employs an experimental design which combines multiplicatively an "inner" design array and an "outer" environmental array. Each piece of this combination is usually a fractional factorial design or some other orthogonal array. Recent research has concentrated on various means for reducing the burdensome experimental effort which presently may be needed for studies of this kind.

**Robustness of an assembly to variation in its components:** In the design of an assembly, such as an electrical circuit, the exact mathematical relation \( y = f(x) \) between the quality characteristic of the assembly, such as the output voltage \( y \) of the circuit, and the characteristics \( x \) of its components (resistors, capacitors, etc.) may be known from physics. However there may be an infinite variety of configurations of \( x \) that can give the same desired mean level \( E(y) = \eta \) say. Thus an opportunity exists for optimal design by choosing a "best" configuration.

Suppose the characteristics \( x \) of the components vary about "nominal values" \( \xi \) with known covariance matrix \( V \). Thus for example a particular resistance \( x_i \) might vary about its nominal value \( \xi_i \) with known variance \( \sigma^2_i \). (Also variation in one component would usually be independent of that of another so that \( V \) would usually be diagonal). Now variation in the input characteristics \( x \) will transmit variation to the quality characteristic \( y \) so that for each choice of component nominal values \( \xi \) which yield the desired output \( y = \eta \) there will be an associated mean square error \( E(y - \eta)^2 = M(\eta) = F(\xi) \).

Using a Wheatstone Bridge circuit for illustration, Taguchi and Wu (1985) pose the problem of choosing \( \xi \) so that \( M(\eta) \) is minimized. To solve it they again employ an experimental strategy using inner and outer arrays. Box and Fung (1986) have pointed out, however, that their procedure does not in general lead to an optimal solution and that it is better to use a simpler and more general method employing a standard numerical nonlinear optimization routine. The latter authors also make the following further points.

(a) For an electrical circuit it is reasonable to assume that the relation \( y = f(x) \) is known, but when, as is usually the case, \( y = f(x) \) must be estimated experimentally, the problems are much more complicated and require further study.

(b) It is also supposed that each of the \( \sigma^2_i \) are known and furthermore that they remain fixed or change in a known way (for example proportionally) when \( \xi_i \) changes. The nature of the optimal solution can be vastly different depending on the validity of such assumptions.
Taguchi's quality engineering ideas are clearly important and present a great opportunity for development. It appears, however, (see, for example, Box, Bisgaard and Fung (1988)) that the accompanying statistical methods that Taguchi recommends employing "accumulation analysis," "signal to noise ratios" and "minute analysis" are often defective, inefficient and unnecessarily complicated. Furthermore, Taguchi's philosophy seems at times to imply a substitution of statistics for engineering rather than the use of statistics as a catalyst to engineering (Box 1988). Because such deficiencies can be easily corrected it is particularly unfortunate that, in the United States at least, engineers are often taught these ideas by instructors who stress that no deviation from Taguchi's exact recipe is permissible.

Training

Instituting the necessary training for quality is a huge and complex task. Some assessment must be made of the training needs for the workforce, for engineers, technologists and scientists, and for managers at various levels, and we must consider how such training programs can be organized using the structure that we have within industry, service organizations, technical colleges and universities. A maximum multiplication effect will be achieved by a scheme in which the scarce talent that is available is employed to teach the teachers within industry and elsewhere.

Conclusion

So what are the conclusions:

1) By organizing the workforce in problem-solving teams trained in simple graphical data analysis we can utilize, instead of throwing away, an enormous resource for creativity.
2) By equipping engineers with statistical design and graphical analysis tools accompanied by supporting computer software we can greatly catalyze the design of new processes and improvement of old ones. Formidable management problems must be overcome to allow this to happen
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