The directory lists grants funded under the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS) Secondary and Transition Initiative. Information was obtained from a January, 1988, questionnaire of projects, from original grant applications, and from the previous year's project profiles. The compendium includes five sections: (1) an introduction which describes the Federal Transition Initiative and the role of the Transition Institute at the University of Illinois; (2) an overview which contains a summary of the descriptive data collected from projects in each of the current competitions; (3) a Project Profile Section which provides a summary of each individual competition, a summary of the projects funded under the competition (both current and expired), and individual project profiles (including project demographic information, project purpose, current focus, primary grantee, cooperating agencies, project participants, project evaluation plan, and project products); (4) an index providing a guide to projects' specific evaluation components and program characteristics; (5) appendices such as a copy of the Project Characteristics Questionnaire. (DB)
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Preface

This document, produced by the Technical Assistance Program at the Transition Institute at Illinois, is a directory of grants funded under the OSERS Secondary and Transition Initiative. The information and descriptive data contained in this document were collected in part from the responses of OSERS funded projects to project characteristics questionnaires distributed in January 1988, to current and expired projects (see Appendices A & B). Other project information was obtained from original grant applications and previous year’s profiles. The information contained in the individual competition profiles was obtained directly from the grant announcement packets for each competition.

The 1988 Compendium of Project Profiles includes five sections:
* The Introduction describes the Federal Transition Initiative and the role of the Transition Institute at Illinois.
* The Overview contains a summary of the descriptive data collected from the projects in each of the current competitions. An overview of the current competitions is presented in Figure 1, and an overview of expired project information is provided in Figure 3.
The Project Profile Section provides a summary of each individual competition, a summary of the projects funded under the competition, both current and expired, and individual project profiles for current and expired projects. The Competition Profile provides a summary of the purpose, authority, eligible recipients, funds available, number of grants awarded and duration of awards for each competition. The Competition Profile precedes the individual project profiles for each of the ten competitions. The Summary of Project Profiles contains a summary of the data reported in the individual profiles of current projects for each competition. The Individual Project Profiles provide a description of each current project funded under the individual competitions. The profile includes project demographic information, project purpose, current focus, primary grantee, cooperating agencies, project participants, project evaluation plan, and project products.

The Summary of Expired Project Profiles follows the individual project profiles for the current projects and presents an overview of the purpose, focus of continuation activities, project components being continued and project products reported by the expired projects in each competition. Individual Expired Project Profiles follow each Summary and provide information on the original project purpose and, if reported, the focus of the expired project's continuation activities, the project components being continued and by whom, and the project products available from the project. A full description of a project's activities prior to expiration of federal funding may be found in previous editions of the Compendium.
* The **Index** provides a guide to projects' specific evaluation components and program characteristics.

* The **Appendices** provide a copy of the Project Characteristics Questionnaire (Appendix A) that was sent to all projects current as of January 1, 1988. Appendix B contains a copy of the Expired Project Characteristics Questionnaire.

The **Compendium** is an annual publication of the Transition Institute at Illinois and is intended for specific use by project directors, OSERS' project officers, and Transition Institute staff. Others involved in the transition effort may also find this document useful for obtaining an up-to-date view of the nationwide transition effort being supported by the U. S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services. Users of the **Compendium** are encouraged to communicate directly with project contact persons listed on the profiles. Users are also urged to submit comments and suggestions for improving the useability and effectiveness of the document for future issues.

Points of view or opinions expressed in this document do not necessarily represent the U. S. Department of Education's position or policy. The contents of this book are presented for information purposes only; no endorsement is made.
Introduction

The economic, educational and employment problems encountered by youth and adults with handicapping conditions have been addressed through a variety of federal and state programs in the past. Assurances of nondiscrimination, mandated services and equal access to services have earmarked federal legislation through the years, however, only recently has Congress elected to focus directly on the transition from school to work for these individuals. In the 1983 Amendment to the Education of the Handicapped Act of 1973, Section 626 of Public Law 98-199, entitled "Secondary Education and Transitional Services for Handicapped Youth," was enacted for the purpose of stimulating a nationwide Transition Initiative. Under the leadership of Assistant Secretary Madeline C. Will, the Transition Initiative became a national priority. The impetus for the Initiative was the authorization of $6.6 million in grants and contracts to be spent annually by the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS).

With the major objectives of Section 626 being to improve and develop secondary special education programs and to strengthen and coordinate education, training and related services to assist in the transition process, OSERS announced several grant programs
in fiscal year 1984. In addition to Section 626, grant programs were also authorized under Section 641 - 642 of Public Law 98-199 and Section 311 (A)(1) of Public Law 93-112, Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. Fiscal year 1984 marked the beginning of a federal effort to focus on the problems of youth with handicapping conditions exiting the secondary school and to provide appropriate transition services at all levels for youth and adults with handicapping conditions.

In order to assist in evaluating and extending the impact of the federal initiative, the Secondary Transition InterventionEffectiveness Institute at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign was formed in August of 1985. Through a five year contract with OSERS, the Transition Institute at Illinois is studying the issues and problems related to secondary education and transitional services. One of the major activities within the Institute’s Evaluation Research Program is to collect, summarize, and disseminate information about the model programs funded under the Secondary Education and Transition Services Initiative. The dissemination of the descriptive data through the Compendium is intended to facilitate efforts to assure the long-range impact of the Initiative upon both school and community-based programs.
Overview

The 1988 Compendium describes the status of the 180 projects funded by the U. S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services as part of the Transition Initiative. Since 1984, 180 model demonstration projects have developed and implemented a wide range of service delivery models focused on facilitating the transition of youth/adults with handicapping conditions. Figure 1 provides a general comparison of the current grant competitions, and Figure 2 presents the geographical distribution of these 76 current projects. Figure 3 provides an overview of the 104 expired projects across eight competitions. To illustrate the impact of project activities on transition as a national priority, the geographical distribution of both expired and current projects (as of January 1, 1988), is presented in Figure 4.

Project services are provided in major metropolitan areas, suburbs, small towns and rural areas. In addition, some models are designed to provide countywide, statewide or nationwide services. Table 1 shows a breakdown of the type of political unit served by projects in the current competitions. Approximately 40% of the projects are located in major metropolitan areas, and at least 20% of the projects are...
### CURRENT TRANSITION PROJECTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT COMPETITION</th>
<th>84.086H</th>
<th>84.158C</th>
<th>84.158L</th>
<th>84.078C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TYPE OF PROJECT</td>
<td>Innovative Programs: Transition Skills Development</td>
<td>Cooperative Models for Planning and Development</td>
<td>Secondary/Transitional Service Models</td>
<td>Postsecondary Demonstration Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARGET POPULATION</td>
<td>Severe Handicapping Conditions Including Deaf-Blind</td>
<td>All Handicapping Conditions</td>
<td>Learning Disabilities &amp; Other Mild Handicapping Conditions</td>
<td>FY85: All Handicapping Conditions FY86/FY87: Learning Disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF GRANTS FUNDED</td>
<td>11 (1 expired)</td>
<td>38 (16 expired)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47 (13 expired)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUNDING PERIOD</td>
<td>FY86: 3 Years Annual Review</td>
<td>FY85: 2 Years FY86: 3 Years FY87: 3 Years Annual Review</td>
<td>FY87: Up to 3 Years Annual Review</td>
<td>FY85: 2 &amp; 3 Years FY86: 2 &amp; 3 Years FY87: 1, 2 &amp; 3 Years Annual Review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 1
Figure 2. Geographical Distribution of Current Projects
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT COMPETITION</th>
<th>TYPE OF PROJECT</th>
<th>TARGET POPULATION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF EXPIRED PROJECTS</th>
<th>YEAR OF EXPIRATION</th>
<th>PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cooperative Models for Planning and Developing Transitional Services</td>
<td>All Handicapping Conditions</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>Product Dissemination, Transition Planning, Manpower Training, Agency Coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cooperative Models for Planning and Development</td>
<td>All Handicapping Conditions</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Student/Client Training, Assessment, Placement and Followup, Student/Client Education, Product Dissemination, Agency Coordination, Identification of Employers/ and Business Sites, Provision of Transitional/ Employment Services, Provision of Support Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model Demonstration: Youth Employment Projects</td>
<td>All Handicapping Conditions</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Replication, Student/Client Education and Training, Assessment, Technical Assistance, Product Dissemination, Student/Client Placement, Counseling, Inservice Training, Student/Client Referral, Agency Coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postsecondary Model Demonstrations</td>
<td>All Handicapping Conditions</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Agency Coordination, Assessment, Student/Client Referral, Followup/Tracking, Student/Client Placement, Product Dissemination, Student/Client Education, Intake/Eligibility Determination, Student/Client Training, Program Refinement/Revision, Applied Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT COMPETITION</td>
<td>84.07BC</td>
<td>84.158A</td>
<td>84.128A</td>
<td>84.078B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE OF PROJECT</td>
<td>Postsecondary Demonstration Projects</td>
<td>Secondary/Transitional Service Demonstration Models</td>
<td>Special Projects Providing Vocational Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Postsecondary Demonstration Projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARGET POPULATION</td>
<td>FY85: All Handicapping Conditions</td>
<td>All Handicapping Conditions</td>
<td>Severe Handicapping Conditions</td>
<td>Mild Mental Retardation and Learning Disabilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF EXPIRED PROJECTS</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED</td>
<td>Student/Client Training and Education</td>
<td>Student/Client Placement</td>
<td>Followup</td>
<td>Student/Client Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intake/Eligibility Determination Assessment</td>
<td>Agency Coordination</td>
<td>Assessment Placement</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Followup</td>
<td>Product Dissemination Program Refinement/Revision</td>
<td>Student/Client Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Product Dissemination</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Placement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agency Coordination</td>
<td>Transition Planning</td>
<td>Transition Planning</td>
<td>Support Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling</td>
<td>Program Refinement/Revision</td>
<td>Program Refinement/Revision</td>
<td>Program Refinement/Revision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student/Client Referral Placement Followup</td>
<td>Support Services</td>
<td>Support Services</td>
<td>Support Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Geographical Distribution of Expired and Current Projects
TABLE 1
Percentage+ of Projects Serving Specific Political Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF POLITICAL UNIT</th>
<th>COMPETITION #</th>
<th>84.078c</th>
<th>84.086M</th>
<th>84.154C</th>
<th>84.158L</th>
<th>ALL</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=34</td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=22</td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=76</td>
<td>N=100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan area (100,000+) with public transportation</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan area (100,000+) with no public transportation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburb of a metropolitan area</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small town (5,000 - 100,000) with public transportation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small town (5,000 - 100,000) with no public transportation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural area</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of a county</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region within a state (including more than one county)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region of nation (more than one state)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationwide</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(N = number of projects reporting) (+ = multiple units reported - percentages may exceed 100%)

providing statewide services. An analysis of the political units served by all projects funded since 1984, indicates an estimated 41% of all the projects have provided services to either regions within a state or on a statewide basis. Approximately 30% of all the projects have served major metropolitan areas.

The youth/adults receiving services through the projects exhibit a range of handicapping conditions. Due to the priorities of certain competitions, i.e., 84.078C and 84.078B, some projects have targeted specific handicapping conditions. Of those youth currently being served by projects, over one-half have a learning disability and approximately 20% have either a diagnosis of mental retardation or a developmental disability. Other handicapping conditions were reported by projects, however,
overall incidences were often less than 10%. Projects were asked to estimate the impact of their project, directly and indirectly, on youth and adults with handicapping conditions. The number of youth/adults being impacted by the current model projects is estimated at 67,656 while the estimated number receiving direct services is 11,971. To date, it is estimated that 127,790 youth with handicapping conditions have been impacted by the model demonstration transition projects and approximately 22,567 youth have been receiving direct services.

Table 2 presents the percentage of youth/adults with specific handicapping conditions who have been served in individual competitions.

### Table 2: Percentage of Youth/Adults Served in Individual Competitions by Specific Handicapping Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HANDICAPPING CONDITION</th>
<th>84.07BC</th>
<th>84.086B</th>
<th>84.158C</th>
<th>84.158L</th>
<th>ALL</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=2520</td>
<td>N=622</td>
<td>N=36170</td>
<td>N=1661</td>
<td>N=8680</td>
<td>N=18877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral disorder</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain damage</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral Palsy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic mental illness</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication disorder</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaf-blind</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional disorder</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health impairment</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning disability</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental retardation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple handicap</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical handicap</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech impairment</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal cord injury</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic head injury</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(N = number of youth receiving direct services as reported by specific handicapping conditions)

(+ = percentages were calculated by rounding and may not add up to 100%)*

Current projects were asked to report the total number of youth receiving direct services by specific handicapping conditions.
handicapping conditions receiving direct services as indicated by those projects reporting on specific handicapping conditions. The "total" column in Table 2 reflects the estimated percentage of youth by specific handicapping condition served since the funding of the first model demonstration projects.

While the target population varies among grant competitions, the majority of projects are offering related service components to parents, teachers, agency personnel, business/industry personnel and other service providers. Over 90% of the projects reported the provision of some type of related service or training.

Across the current competitions, related services/training are reportedly being provided to approximately 2300 parents, 1025 agency personnel and 4000 teachers. Table 3 presents an overview of the various groups receiving related services from the current competitions as well as a total comparison of related services provided to the groups to date.

TABLE 3
Number of Individuals Participating in Related Service Components by Individual Competition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPETITION #</th>
<th>84.078C</th>
<th>84.086M</th>
<th>84.158C</th>
<th>84.158L</th>
<th>ALL</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=52</td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=20</td>
<td>N=8</td>
<td>N=70</td>
<td>N=169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATEGORIES OF PARTICIPANTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>2372</td>
<td>4689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Teachers</td>
<td>2924</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>4035</td>
<td>8262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Personnel</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1026</td>
<td>2524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Staff</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Industry Personnel</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1746</td>
<td>2581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsecondary Faculty</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>2906</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Tutors</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate/Graduates</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>1143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>1340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(N = number of projects reporting actual numbers receiving related services)
Eligible recipients of current grant awards are specified for each competition. The most common grant recipients were institutions of higher education followed by state agencies, private non-profit agencies and local education agencies. Figure 5 indicates the type of primary grantee for each current competition and an overview of the total percentage of primary grant recipients to date.
A major intent of the transition initiative has been the development of collaborative relationships among agencies. Some grant competitions prioritized the development of cooperative models. Table 4 presents the percentage of current projects indicating cooperation with another agency. The majority of those reporting projects (65%) are cooperating with a state agency. Of those state agencies reported, over one-half were state vocational rehabilitation agencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY TYPE</th>
<th>84.078C</th>
<th>84.086H</th>
<th>84.158C</th>
<th>84.158L</th>
<th>ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Secondary School</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Secondary School</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Education Agency</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community College</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JTPA Agent</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Institution</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Workshop</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Education/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation Facility</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit Making Agency</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Institute</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City/County Government</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Agency</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Non-Profit Agency</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local ARC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local ACLD</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(N = number of projects reporting)

In the Rules and Regulations published for each grant announcement, applicants are directed to "show methods of evaluation that are appropriate for the project and, to the extent possible, are objective and produce data that are quantifiable." In order to address this directive, the majority
of projects reported using a systems analysis approach to assess the effectiveness and efficiency of their model programs. Several projects reported using systems analysis in combination with other approaches, primarily a goal based approach. One-third of the projects also reported the use of decision making, professional review and case study as supplemental approaches. Table 5 presents a breakdown of the various evaluation approaches being utilized by the projects in current competitions as well as a summary total for projects funded to date that have reported on an evaluation approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVALUATION APPROACH</th>
<th>COMPETITION #</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84.07BC</td>
<td>84.08BC</td>
<td>84.15BC</td>
<td>84.15BL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=34</td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=22</td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=76</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems Analysis</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal Based</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal Free</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Making</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connoisseurship</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Review</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi Legal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Study</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(N = number of projects reporting)

The type of evaluation data/information being collected by projects varies with the priorities of each competition. Again, the focus on quantifiable evaluation data is apparent across all projects. Table 6 indicates the type of evaluation data/information being collected in each current competition and the percentage of projects reporting the collection of specific evaluation data and information to date.
### Table 6: Percentage of Projects in Individual Competitions Collecting Specific Data/Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF DATA/INFORMATION</th>
<th>COMPETITION #</th>
<th>ALL</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=33</td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information on Students/ Clients Served</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number referred to project services</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake/referral information</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number receiving direct services</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student demographics</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student educational background</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student work experience background</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment results for student</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student progress in training program</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student progress in educational program</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student integration into environment</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student follow-up status</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student employment status</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student outcome status</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other student information</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information on Employers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer characteristics/demographics</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer collaboration level</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of direct service provided to employer</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer satisfaction with student</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer outcome status</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other employer data/information</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information on Postsecondary Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsecondary education/training demographics</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsecondary education/training collaboration level</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of direct service provided by project</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student participation, etc.</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsecondary education/training outcomes</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other postsecondary information</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(N = number of projects reporting)
A variety of commercially available and project developed instruments are being used by projects to collect evaluation information on student/client and to assess specific competency areas. Commercial instruments used to assess academic achievement were most frequently reported by the currently funded projects. Instruments used to assess general ability/intelligence and career interest are being used by approximately one-half of the current projects. At least one-half of the projects reported use of project/locally developed instruments to collect evaluation information on student/client and program. Table 7 presents the type of commercial and locally developed instrumentation used in each competition.

A variety of products are being developed and disseminated by a majority of projects. Brochures describing project activities and journal articles reporting project findings were cited most frequently as products. Training manuals and project developed instruments are being developed by approximately one-half of the current projects. Figure 6 indicates the percentage of projects in individual competitions developing specific products.

Project objectives also varied across projects, however, the objectives could be categorized into nine major areas: assessment/referral, placement/followup, education/training, counseling, program development, dissemination/replication/continuation, agency coordination, manpower training and research. Ninety-three percent (93%) of the current projects reported on objectives. Figure 7 identifies the percentage of
TABLE 7
Percentage of Projects in Individual Competitions Using Specific Types of Instrumentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>COMPETITION #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84.07BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCIAL INSTRUMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Ability/Intelligence</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Aptitude</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Skills</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Achievement</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Skills</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Interest</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Living Skills</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexterity/Manual Skills</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Student Assessment</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL/PROJECT DEVELOPED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation Forms</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checklists</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating Scales</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveys</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(N = number of projects reporting)
current projects practicing specific objectives in each of the above areas. Of those projects reporting on objectives, the majority (at least 70%), are specifically involved in student assessment, development and implementation of a service delivery model, development and dissemination of products, dissemination of information through conferences, presentations, articles, etc., conducting conferences, workshops, inservices, etc. for purposes of manpower training, and specific training of professionals, paraprofessionals, etc..

The Project Profile Section provides more specific information about the model demonstration projects.
Figure 7. Percentage of Projects Practicing Objectives
Project Profile Section

The Project Profile Section contains profiles on both current and expired transition projects. The individual project profiles are grouped according to the competition under which they received their grant award. Within each group, current projects are listed alphabetically according to state and project director name. Each group of individual project profiles is preceded by a summary of the competition. The Competition Summary is based on information obtained from the actual grant application packet available for the competition. A summary of the current projects within the competition follows and is an overview of the major demographic, operating, and evaluation characteristics of the projects under the specific competition. Expired project profiles follow the Summary of Expired Projects. Except where noted, all individual project profiles are based on information gathered from questionnaires mailed to current and expired projects in January 1988.
PURPOSE OF COMPETITION
The purpose of this competition was to provide assistance for the development, operation, and dissemination of specially designed model programs of postsecondary, vocational, technical, continuing, or adult education for individuals with handicapping conditions. The absolute priority for this competition was for model projects of supportive services to individuals with handicapping conditions, other than deafness, that focus on specially adapted or designed educational programs that coordinate, facilitate, and encourage education of these individuals with their nonhandicapped peers. Applicants were encouraged to consider program and curricular adaptations or modifications, or the creation and enhancement of placement linkages that would improve the transition to work. In the initial competition applicants were encouraged to develop models of generic postsecondary services for students which improve the transition to work, including program adaptation, curricula design and modification, program organization, and placement linkages. Projects in vocational technical schools and institutions, and at community colleges and other two year institutions were especially invited. Projects were to produce information and practices which would facilitate their replication in other agencies and improve work opportunities for persons with handicaps who are served in postsecondary settings.

The second competition also focused on the absolute priority of the initial competition, however, the invitational priority for the second competition specified a focus on individuals with specific learning disabilities. Projects with learning disabled students were specifically targeted for the FY87 competition. The third competition also emphasized a focus on new or innovative models of improved support services, curricular modifications, and/or program adaptations for learning disabled students in community college, adult and vocational-technical educational settings. Increasing vocational possibilities or expanding placement linkages and networks that would facilitate the transition to work were encouraged as projected outcomes for project activities.

AUTHORITY
Authority for this program is contained in Section 625 of Part C of the Education of the Handicapped Act.

ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS
State educational agencies, institutions of higher education, junior and community colleges, vocational and technical institutions, and other nonprofit educational agencies were eligible for the initial, second and third competitions.
FUNDS AVAILABLE
Approximately $1,000,000 was available for support of an estimated 12 - 14 new grants in fiscal year 1985. The approximate amount available for the second competition (fiscal year 1986) was $800,000 for support of 12 new demonstration projects. The approximate funds available for FY1987 was $1,600,000 for an estimated 10 awards.

NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED
In FY1985, 14 demonstration grants were awarded, and in FY1986, 13 new demonstration grants were awarded. Twenty new grants were awarded in FY1987.

DURATION
For FY1985 and FY1986, grant approval was for two and three-year periods subject to an annual review of progress and availability of funds. For FY1987, grant approval was for one, two, and three-year periods. Four projects funded under the initial competition expired in 1986. A total of 13 projects under this competition have expired to date.
SUMMARY OF 84.078C PROJECT PROFILES

PRIMARY GRANTEE
Twenty universities and eight community colleges received grant awards under this competition. Joint funding was reported for a local education agency and a private non-profit community education/rehabilitation agency and between a state education agency and area voc-tech center. Grant awards were also received by a vocational-technical center, a local education agency, a private nonprofit agency and a community rehabilitation facility.

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS
The initial competition was for projects of supportive services to individuals with handicapping conditions, other than deafness. The second and third competitions offered an invitational priority for projects focusing on individuals with specific learning disabilities. The 30 current projects reporting under this competition estimated an impact on approximately 11,090 individuals with handicapping conditions. Direct services are being provided to approximately 3831 youth/adults by the 30 projects. Approximately 85% of those individuals receiving direct services have a learning disability. Persons with cerebral palsy or a physical handicap comprise 5% of the number served under this competition. The age range in this competition is from 15 - 60 years. Minority and economically disadvantaged groups were not indicated, and less than 1% of those served were reported as substance abusers.

Thirty projects indicated the provision of related service components. Thirteen projects reported serving an estimated 2924 teachers. Postsecondary faculty were indicated as a group receiving related services by 18 projects, and university/college students were reported by 12 projects. Nine projects are providing related services to approximately 360 agency personnel, eight projects identified parents as project participants, and six projects reported providing related service components to business/industry personnel.

COOPERATING AGENCIES & ORGANIZATIONS
Eighteen projects indicated involvement with cooperating agencies or organizations. The most frequently reported agencies were public secondary schools, community colleges and state vocational rehabilitation agencies.

PRODUCTS
Twenty-eight projects reported on product development. Twenty-one projects indicated development of a brochure. Project developed instruments were indicated as products by 17 projects, and 24 projects indicated development of journal articles.
addition, over one-third of the projects indicated videotapes, curricula, replication manuals, newsletters, and/or training manuals as products. Individual projects also reported development of telecourse materials, computer assisted instructional materials and software evaluation instruments.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN
Systems analysis was reported as an evaluation approach by 24 projects under this competition. Eleven of these projects indicated use of a goal based approach in conjunction with systems analysis. A total of 20 projects reported utilizing a goal based approach, and 12 projects indicated the use of professional review as a supplemental evaluation approach. Eight projects reported case study as an evaluation approach in conjunction with another approach.

Thirty-one projects in this competition reported collecting evaluation information on student/client. At least 80% of these projects are compiling information on the number receiving services, student/client demographics, number referred to project services, student/client progress in education program and assessment results. Other evaluation information being collected frequently by projects includes student/client follow-up status and student/client educational background. Evaluation information on employers is being collected by ten projects with seven compiling data on employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc. Postsecondary education/training data is being collected by 27 projects. The majority of projects are collecting information on level of direct service provided by project and postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Thirty-two projects reported on the instrumentation utilized in project evaluation activities. Twenty-one projects indicated use of commercial instruments to assess general ability/intelligence and academic achievement. Instruments used to assess career interest and personality were reported by 13 and 10 projects respectively. Over one-half of the projects reported the use of locally/project developed rating scales, interviews and surveys.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES
Thirty-one projects in this competition reported on project objectives. Determining eligibility and student assessment are being addressed by over 75% of the projects. Fourteen projects indicated objectives related to placement into competitive employment. Placement of students into postsecondary education or training settings was indicated as an objective by 19 projects. Twenty-three projects reported on objectives in the area of training/education, of which nonvocational training/education was reported by 20 projects. Twenty-two projects indicated objectives in the general area of counseling, with career exploration or career counseling being reported by 18 projects. Development of peer support groups was indicated by 16 projects.
Twenty-eight projects are addressing objectives in the area of program development, of which 22 projects indicated development and implementation of a service delivery model. Twenty-one projects indicated curriculum development as an objective, and 20 projects indicated instrument development. Thirty-two projects reported objectives in the general area of dissemination/replication/continuation. Thirty projects reported dissemination of information as an objective, and 27 projects are involved in development and dissemination of products. Seven projects indicated model replication as an objective. Conducting conferences/seminars as a means of dissemination was reported as an objective by 26 projects.

Twenty-one projects indicated objectives in the general area of agency coordination, the majority (19) being involved with network development among service providers. Development of interagency agreements is an objective being undertaken by 12 projects. Twenty-seven projects reported objectives in the area of manpower training with the majority conducting workshops to train professionals, paraprofessionals, parents, etc. Twenty projects reported objectives related to research with 18 projects conducting empirical research.

**GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED**

Of the 34 current projects, 17 are providing services to metropolitan areas with public transportation systems. Two of these projects are also serving a region of the state which includes the metropolitan area. Ten projects are serving regions of states and five projects are providing statewide services.

**LOCATION OF 84.078C PROJECTS**

Forty-seven projects have been funded under this competition, with 34 currently funded. The map below depicts the location of the currently funded projects.
PROJECT TITLE: Colorado Community College Consortium for the Learning Disabled Demonstration Project (CCCLD)

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Patricia S. Tomlan

CONTACT PERSON: Patricia S. Tomlan

TELEPHONE: (303) 741-1003, 360-4726

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/91

PROJECT PURPOSE. To disseminate information on characteristics of and teaching of learning disabled students to the community colleges in the state of Colorado. Utilizes the "trainer of trainers" model of staff development/personnel preparation. Develop model programs impacting on services to LD community college students through assessment, intervention and a three-credit course on "mainstreaming" for teachers/faculty/staff at two-year institutions.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Training of 2 staff from 3 community colleges in assessment of students with learning disabilities.
2. Development of a site service delivery committee on 3 campuses to coordinate and streamline services to students with learning disabilities.
3. Deliver a 3-credit course on mainstreaming designed for community college faculty/staff presented on 3 campuses by trained staff.
4. Assessment and identification of needs for 10 students per each campus by May of 1988.
5. Development of training manuals, assessment materials and 3-credit course.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Community/junior college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currentl. Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manuals</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping condition impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 200.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 150.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22 - 45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 6 postsecondary faculty, 10 undergraduate/graduate students (community college staff) and 30 students with learning disabilities.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Cliental:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, advisory board and 3rd party evaluator.

Type of Evaluation, Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute and advisory board.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.

Language: Subtests of the Detroit Tests of Learning Aptitudes, The Test of Adolescent Language and The Auditory-Verbal Learning Task (Memory)

Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills: Informal adaptive behavior checklist

Academic Achievement: Wepcock Reading Mastery - Revised

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.

Observation Form: LD adaptations/accommodations observation form
Checklist: Site trainer competence checklist and Assessment checklist
Interview: CCCLD intake interview form
Survey: Pre/post faculty attitude survey
PROJECT TITLE: Model Program for Referral and Training of Adult LD Students

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Bill Richards

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Betsy Cabell

CONTACT PERSON: Bill Richards or Betsy Cabell

TELEPHONE: (303) 556-8455

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE: To facilitate education of learning disabled students on campus (which houses 3 institutions) by increasing faculty and staff awareness of characteristics and needs of LD adults and by providing specific vocational services for LD students. To develop and implement faculty and staff inservice training to establish a systematic and coordinated approach to identification and referral of LD students and to provide strategies for faculty to modify curricula and adapt classroom instruction for LD students. To develop and implement appropriate procedures for vocational assessment, career planning and placement services for LD students, with assistance from a business advisory committee.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Implement faculty and staff inservice training programs on characteristics and needs of LD students and curriculum modification strategies.
2. Implement appropriate interest and aptitude assessment procedures.
3. Develop career counseling services.
4. Implement computerized student tracking program.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: Community/junior college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: University/four year college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 250.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 100.
Handicapping Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18 - 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18 - 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18 - 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 90 postsecondary faculty/staff.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client employment status.

On Employers:
Employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.

On Postsecondary Education:
Level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Evaluation Approach(es): Systems analysis, goal based, decision making and professional review.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director, project coordinator, project staff and advisory board.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Formal evaluation report for consumers, Transition Institute, advisory board and conference attendees.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
- Vocational Skills: Occupational Attitude Survey and Interest Scale, Career Ability Placement Survey and Career Assessment Inventory (CAI-1)
- Personality: Coopersmith Self-Esteem Survey
- Academic Achievement: Basic achievement Skills, Individual Screener and Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery
- Other: Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised and Benton Revised Visual Retention Test

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Checklist: Referral checklist
- Rating Scale: Inservice evaluation form and business advisory committee evaluation forms
- Interview: Intake interview
- Questionnaire: Job shadow worksheet/guide
- Other: Referral/screening/assessment/followup log
PROJECT TITLE: Transition to Community Employment

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Karen C. Spencer

CONTACT PERSON: Karen C. Spencer

TELEPHONE: (303) 491-5930

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/85
PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/88

INSTITUTE #: 115
COMPETITION #: 84.078C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Colorado State University
303 Occupational Therapy
Ft. Collins, CO 80523

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Part of a county.

PROJECT PURPOSE: To establish linkages between the educational system, existing adult vocational services and community employers. Postsecondary teens and adult participants will be placed on the job within normalized community settings with training and ongoing support as needed. Develop/disseminate criterion-referenced assessment instruments; develop on-the-job training methods and resources; vocational placement of 55 adults in normalized community settings; 9 graduate and 50 undergraduates will be trained; development, dissemination of overall evaluation procedures; and identification of funding sources beyond grant period.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88):
1. Continued assessment, job development and placement of postsecondary adults with disabilities into supported employment (15-20 targeted).
2. Completion and/or continuation of research related to supported employment: cost benefit analysis, follow-along studies, employer/employee characteristics.
3. Project dissemination activities.
4. Identifying continuation strategies/resources.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

TYPE OF OPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: State vocational rehabilitation, state developmental disabilities and local education agencies.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>1987 - 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecourse</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 50 - 60.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 50 - 60.
Handicapping Condition | No. Served | Age Range
--- | --- | ---
Moderate behavioral disorders | 6 | 18+
Moderate brain damage | 1 | 18+
Moderate cerebral palsy | 1 | 18+
Severe cerebral palsy | 2 | 18+
Moderate communication disorder | 2 | 18+
Moderate developmental disability | 2 | 18+
Severe developmental disability | 8 | 18+
Severe emotional disorder | 2 | 18+
Mild epilepsy | 1 | 18+
Severe epilepsy | 2 | 18+
Moderate learning disability | 1 | 18+
Severe learning disability | 3 | 18+
Severe mental retardation | 3 | 18+
Severe multiple handicap | 21 | 18+
Profound multiple handicap | 1 | 18+
Severe traumatic head injury | 3 | 20+
Profound visual impairment | 1 | 18+

**Related Service Components**

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 30 agency personnel, 75 business/industry personnel, 10 postsecondary faculty, 50 undergraduate/graduate students and 10 advisory council members.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

**On Students/ Clients:**
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services,
- Student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program,
- Student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment,
- Student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

**On Employers:**
- Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** Systems analysis, goal based, and decision making.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director, project staff and staff evaluator.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Formal evaluation report for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, state developmental disabilities, state vocational rehabilitation, Association for Retarded Citizens, state education agency, local education agency and parent groups.

**INSTRUMENTATION**

**Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.**
- Functional Assessment Inventory

**Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**
- Observation Form: Competency attainment records
- Rating Scale: Worker performance rating scale
- Interview: Faculty interview and Employer/Employee interview
- Survey: Employer satisfaction survey
- Questionnaire: Attitudinal change questionnaire
- Other: Referral form and Client/Employee/parent contact logs
PROJECT TITLE: The Northeast Technical Assistance Center for LD College Programming

PROJECT CO-DIRECTOR: Stan Shaw, Joan McGuire and Kay Norlander

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Loring Brinkerhoff

CONTACT PERSON: Loring Brinkerhoff

TELEPHONE: (203) 486-4032

PROJECT START DATE: 8/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 7/31/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To encourage and enhance postsecondary programming for learning disabled students. To develop a regional technical assistance center that will implement a variety of model technical assistance activities including workshops, on-site consultation, development of model programs, and dissemination in order to enhance both the quantity and quality of LD college programming efforts in the Northeast.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Identify technical assistance trainees.
2. Implement state workshops.
3. Provide technical assistance and on-site training to trainees at the Center and in their own state.
4. Establish model programs in the region.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Community/junior college, state education agency and Association for Learning Disabilities.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical reports</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 100 postsecondary faculty/administrators, 20 LD program administrators, 20 legislators/higher education faculty and 24 individuals receiving extensive technical assistance.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
- Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services.

On Postsecondary Education:
- Postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project,
- Postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities,
- etc., trainer demographics, training session demographics, TA demographics.

Evaluation Approach(es). Decision making.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project co-directors and students.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS, state education agency and professional associations.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Rating Scale: Training session rating form, Evaluation forms, Newsletter rating scale and Conference rating scale
- Survey: Needs assessment survey
- Other: Project records and logs

NOTE: Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from grant application.
PROJECT TITLE: Transition from Community College to Employment

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Marilyn Waters Gordon

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Edward Henna

CONTACT PERSON: Marilyn Waters Gordon

TELEPHONE: (305) 299-5000, ext. 2382

PROJECT START DATE: 8/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 7/31/90

INSTITUTE #: 154

COMPETITION #: B4.07BC

MAILING ADDRESS:
Valencia Community College
P. O. Box 3028
Orlando, FL 32802

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Region within a state including more than one county.

PROJECT PURPOSE: To create, implement, evaluate, and disseminate a system of support services which facilitates an effective and efficient transition from postsecondary education to appropriate employment for persons with severe physical disabilities. The delivery model will feature a curriculum designed by a Business Advisory Council that will guide the entire program including required business internships, an evaluation mechanism, and comprehensive support services to ensure successful transition to employment.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Hiring of program staff.
3. Development of Curriculum in Computer-Assisted Design and Drafting (CADD) and Professional Socialization.
4. Recruitment and training of 12 disabled students.
5. Development of forms and handbooks.
7. Job placement for 9 program graduates.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: Community/junior college.

TYPE OF CooperATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: JTPA service delivery area agent and state vocational rehabilitation.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student handbook</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide presentation</td>
<td>8/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>12/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>6/90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 400.
Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 60.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate cerebral palsy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate health impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe multiple handicap</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate physical handicap</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe physical handicap</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe spinal cord injury</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe traumatic head injury</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 50 agency personnel, 50 business/industry personnel and 5 project staff.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected:

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator and 3rd party evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report, executive summary and brochure/pamphlet for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, state vocational rehabilitation, HEATH and JTPA/PIC.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.

General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
Special Aptitude: General Aptitude Test Battery
Career Interest: Strong-Campbell Interest Inventory and Harrington-O’Shea System for Career Decision Making
Dexterity/Manual Skills: General Aptitude Test Battery
Personality: Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory and FIRO-B
Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.

Observation Form: Business advisory council student evaluation form
Checklist: Grooming skills checklist
Rating Scale: Student evaluations
Interview: Intake interview form and BAC student recruitment interview form
Survey: Curriculum survey
Other: Referral recommendation to vocational rehabilitation counselors
PROJECT TITLE: TAPE

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Sara Cowen

CONTACT PERSON: Sara Cowen

TELEPHONE: (815) 753-17

PROJECT START DATE: 8/87
PROJECT END DATE: 8/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop, field test and demonstrate specific intervention strategies for learning disabled persons at Northern Illinois University and community colleges throughout Illinois.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Develop five training modules covering identification and assessment, learning strategies, service coordination, academic skills, and counseling - academic, vocational, and social skills to be presented as intensive two-day workshops at the demonstration sites.
2. Implement five training modules in specific intervention strategies at three demonstration sites: NIU/Kishwaukee College in the northern region, Richland College in the central region, and Kaskaskia College in the southern region.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Community/junior college and state vocational rehabilitation.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manuals</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 10 agency personnel, 7 project staff, 150 postsecondary faculty and students with learning disabilities attending 53 community colleges in Illinois and Northern Illinois University.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, student/client progress in training program.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report, executive summary, and brochure/pamphlet for consumers, OSERS, transition institute and advisory board.

INSTRUMENTATION
Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Checklist: Adult Basic Education Screening Device, Faculty checklist and Student checklist
- Rating Scale: Training Evaluation Rating Scale
- Interview: Intake interview and Case history form
- Survey: Needs assessment survey
PROJECT TITLE: NIPEP: Northern Illinois Postsecondary Education Project

PROJECT CO-DIRECTORS: Ernie Rose & William Bursuck

CONTACT PERSON: Ernie Rose

TELEPHONE: (815) 753-8465

PROJECT START DATE: 8/15/86
PROJECT END DATE: 8/14/89

INSTITUTE #: 116

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Northern Illinois University
Department of Educational Psychology
and Special Education
240 Graham Hall
DeKalb, IL 60115

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Region within a state including more than one county.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop and implement a model postsecondary service system for learning disabled students at Northern Illinois University and 17 community colleges in Northern Illinois.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Develop a regional system of comprehensive services for postsecondary LD students.
2. Implement the NIPEP model in 11 community college sites and NIU.
3. Replicate and evaluate the NIPEP model in 6 new Northern Illinois community college sites.
4. Include competencies related to service delivery for postsecondary LD students into existing and new preservice teacher education programs at NIU.
5. Provide on-going inservice training and technical assistance to additional community colleges/universities throughout the State of Illinois with respect to model development, implementation and evaluation.
6. Field test the project Transition Planning Inventory in 8 Northern Illinois high schools.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, community/junior college and community education/rehabilitation facility.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations manual</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 1,000.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 800.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning disability</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>18 - 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 29 faculty and 32 undergraduate students.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.
On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project co-directors, project advisory board, site advisory committees and Disabled Student Services Consortium.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS, advisory board, state board of education and community colleges.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.

General Ability/Intelligence: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery
Academic Achievement: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery and Curriculum-based Assessment Instruments
Language: Informal essay
Adaptive Behavior: Woodcock-Johnson Scales of Independent Behavior (Part 4)
Social Skills: Interview and Case History
Career Interest: College Entrance Assessment Batteries
Survival Skills: Informal Assessment

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.

Checklist: Environmental checklist, Faculty referral checklist and NIPEP utility checklist
Rating Scale: NIPEP satisfaction rating scale
Interview: Personal interview
Survey: Consumer follow-up survey
Other: Academic probes, Student self-referral form, Site implementation assessment and Transition planning inventory and guide
PROJECT TITLE: Model Orientation Program for Students with Learning Disabilities

INSTITUTE #: 126

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Sally Vernon

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Steven Oscharoff

MAILING ADDRESS:
Chicago City-Wide College
226 West Jackson
Chicago, IL 60606

CONTACT PERSON: Steven Oscharoff

TELEPHONE: (312) 443-5209

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/88

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Metropolitan area (100,000+) with public transportation system.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To demonstrate, evaluate, and disseminate a Model Orientation Program for individuals with specific learning disabilities. It will prepare project participants to devise strategies to offset the functional limitations associated with their disability, thereby facilitating their ability to successfully complete postsecondary educational and vocational programs.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Delivery of curriculum to target population.
2. Evaluate success of the project through assessment of behavior changes of faculty and students.
3. Disseminate results of program.
4. Offer on-going technical assistance to City Colleges' staff and community organizations.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Community/junior college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>4/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Articles</td>
<td>6/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>16 - 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 10 agency personnel.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services,
student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience
background data.

On Postsecondary Education:
Level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Evaluation Approach(es). Systems analysis, goal based and goal free.

Personnel involved in Evaluation Activities. Project Coordinator and 3rd party evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting. Formal evaluation report.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
Academic Achievement: Brigance Diagnostic Inventory
Other: Bender Visual Motor Gestalt and Writing Sample

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Observation Form: Study schedule - daily log
Checklist: Strengths and weaknesses checklist
Interview: Intake interview
Survey: Consumer satisfaction survey, faculty awareness questionnaire and interview protocol
PROJECT TITLE: Access Postsecondary Education for Rural Handicapped Students

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Ninia Smith

CONTACT PERSON: Ninia Smith

TELEPHONE: (913) 628-4213

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 10/1/88

INSTITUTE #: 127

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Department of Special Education
Fort Hays State University
Hays, KS 67601

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Rural region within a state.

PROJECT PURPOSE: To facilitate access of rural handicapped students to appropriate postsecondary education through identification of available programs, self-advocacy seminars, a life planning course, and postsecondary faculty inservice and technical assistance.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Develop information brochure for faculty concerning background and teaching suggestions for disabled students, i.e., LD, VI, HI, BD, OH.
2. Develop disabled students' advising guide for postsecondary faculties.
3. Visit classrooms in each school to inform students of options and opportunities in postsecondary education.
4. Research, design, and produce a Disabled Student Handbook Model.
5. Develop listing of student resources.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/Organizations: Public secondary school, community/junior college, voc-tech school and state vocational rehabilitation.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student handbook</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty advising guide</td>
<td>Fall 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service flyer</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 160.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 120.
### Handicapping Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild behavioral disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate cerebral palsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe cerebral palsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild developmental disability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe health impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hearing impairment</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16 - 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hearing impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 - 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>16 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate physical handicap</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe visual impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 - 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 80 parents, 60 faculty, 7 project staff, 40 teachers and counselors.

### PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

- **On Students/ Clients:**
  - Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client outcome status.

- **On Postsecondary Education:**
  - Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** Systems analysis and case study.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director and project coordinator.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Formal evaluation report and brochure/pamphlet for consumers, OSERS and advisory board.

### INSTRUMENTATION

**Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**

- Checklist: Interest and expectation checklist
- Rating Scale: Postsecondary awareness rating scale
- Survey: Faculty attitudes survey
- Other: Locus of control instrument
PROJECT TITLE: Adult Human Services Curriculum
INSTITUTE #: 156

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Mary Ellen Brady
COMPETITION #: 84.078C

PROJECT CO-DIRECTOR: Alec Puck
MAILING ADDRESS:

CONTACT PERSON: Mary Ellen Brady
Shriver Center
200 Trapelo Road
Waltham, MA 02254

TELEPHONE: (617) 642-0257

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87
GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Metropolitan area
PROJECT END DATE: 8/30/90
(100,000+) with public transportation system.

PROJECT PURPOSE: To develop, pilot, and disseminate a curriculum package to train youth with severe learning disabilities for employment in adult human service agencies. This package will be designed for use by secondary and postsecondary educators and vocational trainers.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Survey employers and employee trainers.
2. Survey literature for instructional strategies and existing curricula.
3. Adapt existing materials to curriculum model format.
4. Establish and implement a review process for curriculum model.
5. Revise curriculum model based on review data.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University affiliated facility.

TYPE OF CooperATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: Public secondary school, university/four year college and state school.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>Direct Services</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* no number or age range indicated).

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director and project coordinator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Formal evaluation report for OSERS.
INSTRUMENTATION

Types and names of locally/project developed instruments.

Observation Form: Pre/post techniques test
Rating Scale: Conference evaluation form and Materials evaluation
Survey: Followup survey

NOTE: Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from grant application.
PROJECT TITLE: University of Minnesota General College Demonstration Project

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Terry Collins

CONTACT PERSON: Terry Collins

TELEPHONE: (612) 625-8384

PROJECT START DATE: 8/1/85

PROJECT END DATE: 7/31/88

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop tests; implement, evaluate, and disseminate writing curriculum for mainstreamed LD college students with transition to work orientation.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. To increase the retention and academic performance levels of mainstreamed LD postsecondary students.
2. To increase the ability of LD postsecondary students to use varied media, e.g., word processing, writing.
3. To increase awareness of community-related employment adaptations available to LD persons to facilitate transition-to-work.
4. Disseminate knowledge/findings in timely, effective way with the goal of supporting replication/adaptation in other settings.
5. Evaluate project's achievement.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product                                      Date Available
Newsletter                                    Currently Available
Journal articles                             Currently Available

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 70.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 70.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17 - 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17 - 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17 - 52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 4 teachers and 3 project staff.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, student/client demographic, student/client educational background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, matched control of non-LD students.

On Employers:
Employer information interviews.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Evaluation Approach(es). Systems analysis, professional review and case study.

Personnel involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project staff and staff evaluator.


INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
- Other: Daly-Miller Scale of Writing Apprehension

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Survey: Attitude and History writing samples survey
PROJECT TITLE: The LD Transition Project

INSTITUTE #: 117

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Lynda Price

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

CONTACT PERSON: Lynda Price

MAILING ADDRESS:
106 Nicholson Hall
216 Pillsbury Drive S.E.
General College
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455

TELEPHONE: (612) 625-7578, 625-8384

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/86

GEOPGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Metropolitan area (100,000+) with public transportation.

PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To track 40 LD juniors and 23 LD seniors; to gather data on the transition process from high school to their first year in a chosen postsecondary setting; to provide information and a vehicle to network with service providers for LD adolescents and adults in the Twin Cities area; to develop/implement/evaluate a model for various agencies of different types (i.e., community college, 4-year college, private non-profit agency, public school system, etc.) to deliver effective services for the targeted population and their families; to develop appropriate materials or strategies that would facilitate effective transition for secondary and postsecondary LD populations whenever possible; to write, gather or review professional literature germane to the area of transition for other LD service providers.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Hire staff and set up liaison activities among the cooperating institutions.
2. Choose initial grant participants (i.e., 13 juniors and 16 seniors).
3. Write a bibliography with approximately 75 citations about transition from the professional literature.
4. Send a newsletter to approximately 200 people about the grant.
5. Develop and start to pilot a questionnaire dealing with transition issues (including a set of innovative videotapes).
6. Submit articles for publication.
7. Start a series of working papers on counseling LD adolescents and adults.
8. Develop a transition curriculum of activities related to the transition questionnaire (see #5).

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, community/junior college, vocational school, community education/rehabilitation facility, research institute, state agencies, private non-profit agency, Association for Retarded Citizens and Association for Learning Disabilities.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletters</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape (with questionnaire)</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition questionnaire</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibliography &amp; updates</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support group manual</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 75 - 100.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 65.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Adolescents &amp; adults</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 80 parents, 20 agency personnel, 40 teachers, 5 project staff and 10 - 20 postsecondary faculty.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client outcome status, student/client employment status, data from the Transition Questionnaire (i.e., advocacy skills, social skills, learning style, postsecondary knowledge, etc.), course retention rate in postsecondary institution.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes, extensive transition-related consultation to cooperating agencies.

Evaluation Approach(es). Systems analysis, goal based, professional review, and case study.

 Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and staff evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, Advisory Board and all cooperating agencies in the Project.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of instruments used by project.
General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
Vocational Skills: Walper or locally developed worksamples
Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills: Project Transition Questionnaire
Social Skills: Janis-Field; Project Transition Questionnaire
Career Interest: Career Interest Inventory
Daily Living Skills: Project Transition Questionnaire
Other: Project Transition Questionnaire for learning style and other transition-related behaviors

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Checklist: Sample transition plan
Rating Scale: Transition questionnaire
Questionnaire: Transition questionnaire
Other: Transition curriculum; LD support group manual, Counseling papers series
PROJECT TITLE: Community-Based Training

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Bob Atkins

CONTACT PERSON: Bob Atkins

TELEPHONE: (316) 756-2250

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/88

PROJECT PURPOSE. To enhance the quality of occupational skill and work adjustment training and ease the transition from school to work for participants in existing facility-based training and adjustment programs by developing community-based training and work adjustment sites in area businesses and industries which will facilitate, encourage, and coordinate the postsecondary training and vocational adjustment of handicapped participants in a non-handicapped environment. Demonstrate feasibility of program design.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Occupational skill training.
2. Transition services.
3. Work behavior/habit adjustment.
4. Placement and follow-up of 33 participants.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Community education/rehabilitation facility.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. State vocational rehabilitation agency.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student handbook</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide presentation</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 85.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 85.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild behavioral disorders</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild cerebral palsy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild chronic mental illness</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild epilepsy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild hearing impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild physical handicap</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic head injury</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16 - 45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROJECT EVALUATION FLAN

Type of Data/Information Mainly Collected:
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, student/client demographics,
- Student/client work experience background data, student/client progress in training program,
- Student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project coordinator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Management report with narrative for vocational rehabilitation and staff.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:
- Rating Scale: Progress reports
- Questionnaire: Client satisfaction rating form
PROJECT TITLE: Project Access

INSTITUTE #: 157

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Patricia Kercher

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Dewey Swank

MAILING ADDRESS:
Great Falls Vocational Technical Center
2100 16th Avenue South
Great Falls, MT 59405

CONTACT PERSON: Patricia Kercher

TELEPHONE: (406) 791-2108

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/87

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Part of a county.

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/88

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop, implement, and validate especially designed and coordinated services and educational programs to facilitate and encourage successful education for handicapped adults with their non-handicapped peers.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Identify/develop needs assessment tool to survey faculty/staff in regards to inservice needs in understanding/dealing with adults with disabilities.
2. Development/implementation of an inservice curriculum for faculty/staff.
3. Develop faculty handbook.
4. Develop program brochure/outreach materials.
5. Implement plan for outreach.
6. Modify/supplement curricula for 4 vocational training programs.
7. Identify/develop learning styles assessment.
8. Develop student handbook.
9. Develop specialized curriculums to enhance vocational training success.
10. Develop support services including but not limited to peer tutoring, reader service, taped/video texts/lectures, mobility assistance.
11. Develop written policies/procedures to integrate project activities into Center operational system.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Postsecondary Vocational Technical Center.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>10/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student handbook</td>
<td>10/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>10/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>10/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>10/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty handbook</td>
<td>10/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 20 peer tutors, 3 project staff, 40 postsecondary faculty and 30 volunteers.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Evaluation Approach(es). Goal based and decision making.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project staff and consultant.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for consumers. OSERS, Transition Institute, State Board of P-ants, state vocational rehabilitation and state veterans administration.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.

- Vocational Skills: MESA
- Career Interest: Interest inventory in MESA
- Dexterity/Manual Skills: MESA
- Academic Achievement: Test of Adult Basic Education
PROJECT TITLE: LD-TALENTS: Learning Disabilities -
Technical Assistance for Leadership in Education
for Nebraska’s Technical Schools

PROJECT DIRECTOR: John Bernthal
PROJECT COORDINATOR: Julie Geis
CONTACT PERSON: Julie Geis
TELEPHONE: (402) 472-5530
PROJECT START DATE: 8/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 7/30/90

PROJECT PURPOSE: To train existing community college personnel in model practices for learning disabled students and establish a visible transition network for LD students among high schools, intermediate education agencies, offices of vocational rehabilitation services and community colleges.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1997-88)
1. Disseminate materials for faculty development that will increase faculty knowledge and promote positive attitudes regarding LD students.
2. Train community college personnel via Telecommunication Training Series and On-Site Consultation to verify and design appropriate interventions for educational accommodations.
3. Establish a transition network among high schools, intermediate education agencies, offices of vocational rehabilitation and community colleges that will facilitate improved communication and service availability.
4. Research the qualitative and quantitative variables associated with LD transition to community colleges.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, local education agency, community/junior college and state vocational rehabilitation.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 150.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 150.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18 - 45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 6 - 12 postsecondary faculty.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., pre/post activity knowledge - attitudes of faculty regarding learning disabilities.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director, project coordinator and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Formal evaluation report for OSERS, Transition Institute and cooperating agencies of project.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project:
General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
Language: Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test and Test of Adolescent Language
Academic Achievement: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery - Part II

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:
Rating Scale: Oral language analysis and written language analysis
Interview: Intake-referral interview
Survey: Faculty attitude and knowledge toward LD survey
PROJECT TITLE: Educational Center for Disabled Students

INSTITUTE #: 111

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Bradley Munn

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Christy A. Horn

MAILING ADDRESS:
132 Administration Building
University of Nebraska - Lincoln
Lincoln, NE 68588-0437

CONTACT PERSON: Christy A. Horn

TELEPHONE: (402) 472-3417

PROJECT START DATE: 8/1/85
PROJECT END DATE: 7/31/88

PROJECT PURPOSE: To allow the disabled college student to take full advantage of his/her educational opportunities through a combination of instruction and computer applications. The program will provide an evaluation of student needs and capabilities, a program combining computer technology and educational skills training, and a center for disabled students, prospective students and their parents to seek assistance concerning current computer technological applications.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Implementation of compensatory and adaptive computer interventions and implementation of cognitive skills training for improving student educational performance and increasing educational opportunities.
2. Process evaluation of student use of Center and staff activities to develop implementation model for replication.
3. Dissemination of model project technology and service methods and dissemination of project outcomes.
4. Development and dissemination of project replication materials.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

TYPE OF CooperATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: State vocational rehabilitation agency, services for visually impaired and Barkley Augmentative Communication Center.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>Summer/Fall 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working papers</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 300.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 175.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild cerebral palsy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate cerebral palsy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe cerebral palsy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate communication disorder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19 - 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe communication disorder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19 - 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild epilepsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild hearing impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18 - 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hearing impairment</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18 - 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hearing impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18 - 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild multiple handicap</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19 - 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate multiple handicap</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19 - 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild physical handicap</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18 - 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate physical handicap</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18 - 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate speech impairment</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19 - 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe speech impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19 - 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate spinal cord injury</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19 - 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe spinal cord injury</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19 - 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild traumatic head injury</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate traumatic head injury</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate visual impairment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18 - 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe visual impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18 - 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Related Service Components**

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 150 undergraduate/graduate students.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

**Type of data/information being collected.**

- **On Students/Clients:**
  Number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program, student changes in attitude/beliefs and student use of services.

- **On Postsecondary Education:**
  Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training outcomes.

**Evaluation Approach(es):** Systems analysis, goal based and goal free.

**Personnel involved in evaluation activities:** Project coordinator, project staff, 3rd party evaluator and consultant.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience:** Formal evaluation report, executive summary, brochure/pamphlet, and journal articles/replication materials for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, state vocational rehabilitation, university administration and professionals.

**INSTRUMENTATION**

- **Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.**
  - General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
  - Other: Bender Visual Motor Gestalt

- **Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**
  Observation Form: Log of Student Center use and Log of staff/student interactions
  Interview: Intake interview
PROJECT TITLE: Access to Computers to Disabled Students

INSTITUTE #: 163
COMPETITION #: 84.07BC

PROJECT DIRECTOR: William Roth

CONTACT PERSON: William Roth

TELEPHONE: (518) 442-3850

PROJECT START DATE: 8/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 7/30/90

PROJECT PURPOSE: To make computers and their modifications in hardware and software accessible to disabled students, by a directed organizational effort combining diverse elements in one model project. To mainstream disabled students into the computer environment of the University and prepare them for the increasingly computer environment of society.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Provide computer access for over 100 disabled students.
2. Develop and implement a consulting center and tailoring shop that allows student to learn about computer equipment and how it can be tailored to meet their needs.
3. Provide training at the pre-service level to familiarize them with available computer technology.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: New York State Council for Computing and Disability.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software and hardware bibliography</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 100.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 100.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild hearing impairment</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild physical handicap</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild visual impairment</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* no number or age range indicated).
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: undergraduate/graduate students.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Student/client progress in educational program, student/client outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Post-secondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and research associates.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS, local education agencies, colleges and universities.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.

Survey: Access to computer survey, Advisory/teacher survey and Student survey
Other: Log of consultations and Record of inquiries

NOTE: Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from grant application.
PROJECT TITLE: Career Skills Upgrading Project

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Jean Crockett

CONTACT PERSON: Jean Crockett

TELEPHONE: (516) 747-5400

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/85
PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/88

PROJECT PURPOSE: To provide training in course content in a special curriculum built around the themes of knowledge of self, knowledge of self in relation to the world of work, and knowledge of self as a worker. This generic skills program will be offered as part of a "reverse mainstreamed" adult education program 2-4 evenings per week over a 30-week period.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Offer three training clusters in electronic data processing, electronic data management and basic electronics via adult education program.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: Local education agency, community education/rehabilitation facility, research institute and private non-profit agency.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 135.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe cerebral palsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23 - 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound cerebral palsy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23 - 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate emotional disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe emotional disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound emotional disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate health impairment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20 - 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild hearing impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hearing impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>? - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild physical handicap</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate physical handicap</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound physical handicap</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate substance abuse</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate visual impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35 - 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: Displaced homemakers, men at midlife crisis and disabled workers who are underemployed.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator, project staff and 3rd party evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
Career Interest: Strong Campbell Interest Inventory
Personality: Subsections of the Adjective Checklist

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Other: Project developed competencies for each of the courses offered
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Student/Client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


 Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project staff, advisory board and staff evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for consumers, Transition Institute, advisory board, state vocational, occupational, and special education, AHSSPPE, colleges, high schools, office of vocational rehabilitation and rehabilitation agencies.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.

General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R and Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery
Language: Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test
Career Interest: Career Assessment Inventory
Daily Living Skills: McCarron-Dial Work Evaluation System
Academic Achievement: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project staff, advisory board and staff evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for consumers, Transition Institute, advisory board, state vocational, occupational, and special education, AHSSPPE, colleges, high schools, office of vocational rehabilitation and rehabilitation agencies.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project:
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R and Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery
- Language: Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test
- Career Interest: Career Assessment Inventory
- Daily Living Skills: McCarron-Dial Work Evaluation System
- Academic Achievement: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery
PROJECT TITLE: A Demonstration Project to Teach LD Community College Students Remedial Mathematics

INSTITUTE #: 124

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Juliana Corn

CONTACT PERSON: Juliana Corn

TELEPHONE: (718) 631-6350

PROJECT START DATE: 8/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 7/31/89

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Math Department
Queensborough Community College
Bayside, NY 11364

PROJECT PURPOSE. To teach community college learning disabled students remedial mathematics.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Curriculum modification.
2. Production of instructional videotape.
3. Research and development of CAI.
4. Continuation of peer tutoring programs.
5. Teacher training.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Community/junior college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>8/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>8/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>8/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer assisted instruction</td>
<td>8/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 200.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning disability</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>17 - 35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 7 peer tutors and 5 postsecondary faculty.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director, project coordinator and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Formal evaluation report for OSERS, Transition Institute and secondary/postsecondary faculty.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locality/project developed instruments.

Checklist: Evaluation checklist for audiovisual tape and Student workshop evaluation checklist
Interview: Project application form and screening form
Survey: Faculty attitude survey and Student satisfaction survey
Questionnaire: Tutor evaluation of student, Student evaluation of tutor, Student evaluation of CAI.
Faculty evaluation of CAI, Evaluation of inservice training, Evaluation of conference presentation, Teacher evaluation of tutors and Student evaluation of teachers
PROJECT TITLE: The Total Impact Model: A Community College/Trade School Collaboration for Learning Disabled Young Adults

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Bert Flugman

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Dolores Perin

CONTACT PERSON: Bert Flugman

TELEPHONE: (212) 921-2985

MAILING ADDRESS: CASE/IRDOE, CUNY Graduate School 33 West 42nd Street, Rm. 620NC New York, NY 10036

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/90

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Metropolitan area (100,000+) with public transportation.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To study the feasibility of providing vocational training to learning disabled, special education high school leavers, utilizing both a community college and a not-for-profit trade school. Service delivery model involves: using the community college to provide training in basic skills and interpersonal skills and also career counseling, campus-based work-study, and parent workshops; using the not-for-profit trade school for training in vocational skills and for job placement.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Implementation of training in vocational skills, basic skills, interpersonal skills.
2. Services of a full-time learning disabilities specialist in the vocational skills classroom.
3. Services of tutor in basic skills classroom.
5. Career counseling.
6. Parent workshops.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

TYPE OF CooperATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Community/junior college and private non-profit agency.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>No data indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>No data indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>No data indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No data indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>No data indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 110.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 120.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 30 - 40 parents.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN
Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Client:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, student/client demographics, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Evaluation Approach(es): Systems analysis, goal free, professional review and case study.

Personal Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director, project coordinator, project staff, advisory board, 3rd party evaluator and staff evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Formal evaluation report and presentations and papers for OSERS, state agency, other agencies and professional peers.

INSTRUMENTATION
Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project:
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
- Career Interest: Career Maturity Inventory
- Personality: Piers-Harris Self Concept (Adapted)
- Academic Achievement: Test of Adult Basic Education and Wide Range Achievement Test - Revised

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:
- Observation Form: Work-study observation form
- Rating Scale: Checklist of basic skills attainment, Checklist of interpersonal skills attainment, Checklist of vocational skills attainment and Work-study competency rating form
- Survey: Parent survey
- Questionnaire: Vocational outcome questionnaire and Parent opinionnaire and knowledge inventory
- Others: Writing sample and Case studies of best and worst cases
PROJECT TITLE: Comprehensive Learning Program

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Rosa Hagin

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Elizabeth Lorenzi

CONTACT PERSON: Rosa Hagin

TELEPHONE: (212) 841-5579

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

PROJECT PURPOSE: To develop, operate and test a comprehensive program for adults whose learning disabilities result in a substantial handicap to employment. This overall goal will be realized through the following program components: screening and psychological diagnosis, tutoring, vocational counseling, and social work services implemented by students in Fordham University's Graduate School of Education, School of Social Services and the College at 60. Service delivery mode includes direct services to twenty learning disabled adults each year, direct services through training clinicians and tutors, and evaluation of differential contributions of each of program elements.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Awareness contacts to relevant agencies.
2. Screening and intake procedures.
3. Diagnosis of learning disabilities.
4. Training of tutors.
5. Developing educational plans.
6. Tutoring sessions (individual).
7. Provide vocational, personal and group counseling.
8. Introduction to computers.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>5/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>6/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>6/90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 150.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 60.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Serve</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18 - 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18 - 45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 5 project staff and 9 College at 60 students.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Employers:
Level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

Evaluation Approaches. Systems analysis, decision making, professional review and case study.

Personal Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator, project staff, 3rd party evaluator and consultants.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report and brochure/pamphlet for consumers, Transition Institute, advisory board, FEGS, vocational rehabilitation and hospitals.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.

- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
- Special Aptitude: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery
- Vocational Skills: AVMAI and Self-Directed Search
- Dexterity/Manual Skills: Purdue Pegboard
- Personality: Thematic Apperception Test
- Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test - Revised
- Other: Neuropsychological Battery

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.

- Observation Form: Peer tutor observation form
- Rating Scale: Client rating scale
- Interview: Intake interview
- Survey: GCC Survey
PROJECT TITLE: Project CAREER
INSTITUTE #: 162

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Irwin Rosenthal
COMPETITION #: 84.078C

CONTACT PERSON: Irwin Rosenthal
MAILING ADDRESS:
New York University
Counselor Education Department
Room 400, East Building
239 Greene Street
New York, NY 1003

TELEPHONE: (212) 998-5572

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Metropolitan area
(100,000+) with public transportation.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To establish a demonstration project for learning disabled college students with a focus on career/job development training through the use of field studies and internships. The major component will be the replication of the experience based career education internship model program at NYU in liaison with community colleges.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Provide career/job interest, aptitude and academic skills assessment.
2. Provide individual counseling.
3. Develop field and internship sites.
4. Liaison with faculty regarding internships.
5. Provide academic advisement and monitoring as well as assist students in developing compensatory strategies.
6. Conduct faculty workshops.
7. Provide a summer program.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Community/junior college, JTPA service delivery area agent and local chamber of commerce.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 45.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 45.
### Handicapping Condition
Mild learning disability

(* no age range indicated).

### Related Service Components
Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: business/industry personnel and postsecondary faculty.

### PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

**On Students/ Clients:**
- Assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

**On Employers:**
- Employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.

**On Postsecondary Education:**
- Postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** Systems analysis, decision making and case study.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director, project staff and evaluation consultant.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Formal evaluation report for OSERS, community colleges and professionals.

### INSTRUMENTATION

**Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.**
- Career Interest: Career Development Inventory, Strong-Campbell Interest Inventory and Discover.
- Academic Achievement: Writing Anxiety Scale

**Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**
- Rating Scale: Field study plan rating scale, Internship site rating scale, Internship exploration skills rating form, Compensatory strategy and job skill rating scale and Work performance rating scale questionnaire.
- Other: Student summary statement, Field study plan, Internship count form, Vocational needs assessment, Internship plan, Internship knowledge test, Grade reports, Essays, Job placement record and Workshop attendance records.
PROJECT TITLE: Learning Disabilities Consortium
PROJECT DIRECTOR: Bette Angotti
PROJECT COORDINATOR: Beverly E. Blue
CONTACT PERSON: Beverly E. Blue
TELEPHONE: (704) 342-6621
PROJECT START DATE: 9/87
PROJECT END DATE: 9/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To facilitate transition of LD students from public secondary to public postsecondary institutions, through consortium of five institutions in the Greater Charlotte area who have agreed to work together with 100 high school students who are interested in attending college. To provide services such as peer mentors, tutors, personal/academic/career counseling, supplemental evaluation of learning styles/strongest/weakest and referral to community agencies as necessary.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Development of testing protocol for participants.
2. Needs assessment for faculty inservice at member institutions (community college, technical institute, university, rural school district, and urban city/county school system).
3. Development of handbooks for faculty/staff who work with LD students.
4. Training of peer mentors (LD students at university).
5. Development of referral procedures from school systems to consortium for participation.
6. Development of tracking system for participants.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Community/junior college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, university/four year college and vocational technical institute.

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 300.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 100.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 15 teachers, 20 project staff, 15 postsecondary faculty, 20 undergraduate/graduate students and 10 peer tutors.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

**On Students/ Clients:**
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services,
- student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, assessment results for
- student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational
- program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client
- outcome status.

**On Postsecondary Education:**
- Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level,
- level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with
- student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


INSTRUMENTATION

**Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.**
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-IV
- Vocational Skills: To be selected
- Language: To be selected
- Social Skills: To be selected
- Career Interest: Strong-Campbell Interest Inventory
- Personality: To be selected
- Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement and others to be selected

**Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**
- Interview: Application for project participation
- Survey: Needs assessment for faculty inservice at member institutions and needs assessment for
- additional testing
- Other: Participant referral/eligibility, potential success checklist/file review as testing protocol
PROJECT TITLE: Learning Disabilities Training Project

INSTITUTE #: 120

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Arlene C. Stewart

COMPEITITION #: 84.0780

COORDINATING PERSON: Arlene C. Stewart

MAILING ADDRESS:
8 McKee Building
Western Carolina University
Cullowhee, NC 28723

TELEPHONE: (704) 227-7127

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/86
PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/89

PROJECT PURPOSE: To provide information about LD college students - academic needs, assessment and diagnosis, services needed to faculty and staff (administrators); to assess data on 45 students to be monitored at WCU.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Develop and disseminate training materials, including a newsletter.
2. Implement training.
3. Conduct research.

GRA. JURY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: Community/junior college and university/four year college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>8/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 5,000.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 45.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning disability</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>17+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: teachers, project staff and postsecondary faculty.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client progress in educational program.

On Postsecondary Education:
Level of direct service provided by project.


Personal involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute and advisory board.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
Academic Achievement: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Checklist: Behavior checklist
Survey: Needs assessment survey
Other: Academic styles inventory
PROJECT TITLE: Career Development

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Stephen N. Simon

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Jeff Vernooy

CONTACT PERSON: Jeff Vernooy

TELEPHONE: (513) 890-2251

PROJECT START DATE: 8/1/85
PROJECT END DATE: 7/30/88

INSTITUTE #: 108

COMPETITION #: 84.0786C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Office of Handicapped Services
Wright State University
Dayton, OH 45435

PROJECT PURPOSE: To design and implement a comprehensive system of career planning and placement services for students with severe/multiple disabilities, educate employers on issues related to hiring disabled individuals, and create job opportunities.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continued training of students.
2. Continued placement of students.
3. Training programs for employers.
4. Training programs for placement staff.
5. Continued presentations discussing concept and preliminary results.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

TYPE OF CooperATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: Community/junior college and university/four year college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 100+.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 100+.
### Handicapping Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild brain damage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate brain damage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe cerebral palsy</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe communication disorder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hearing impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe speech impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe spinal cord injury</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe visual impairment</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18 - 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 15 agency personnel and 30 business/industry personnel.

### PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

- **On Students/ Clients:**
  Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

- **On Employers:**
  Employer characteristics/demographics, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.

- **On Postsecondary Education:**
  Postsecondary education/training outcomes.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** Goal based.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project coordinator and project staff.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Formal evaluation report for OSERS and Transition Institute.

### INSTRUMENTATION

**Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.**

- Career Interest: California Occupational Preference System

**Type of locally/project developed instruments.**

- Other: Observation and interview forms
PROJECT TITLE: The PLUS Program: A Regional Liaison Project

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Paul Naour

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Donna Adornetto

CONTACT PERSON: Paul Naour

TELEPHONE: (614) 826-8246

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/88

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Region within a state including more than one county and rural area.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To provide appropriate liaison services for regional high schools to facilitate admission opportunities to high school learning disabled students; to provide identified high school juniors and their LD teachers a summer program on a college campus to provide metacognitive strategies to aid those students in developing independent learning behaviors prior to their senior year of high school; to provide a comprehensive psychoeducational assessment and to develop an Individualized Learning Profile during the summer following high school graduation to those students anticipating participation in the PLUS Program; to continue providing supplemental individual professional tutorial services to participants in the PLUS Program; to develop and provide training opportunities for tutorial staff and faculty advocates during each summer the project is in place; to enhance professional placement opportunities for learning disabled college graduates by providing program assistance to the College Placement Service at Muskingum College; to provide for national dissemination of a regionally based comprehensive program model by utilizing a regionally based consortium of secondary and postsecondary schools.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Professional tutoring of 96 students.
2. Develop ILP, tutor handbook, newsletter for parents and professionals, project videotape, and program brochure.
3. Develop faculty liaison, admissions liaison, and placement office liaison.
4. Identify 50 high school juniors/seniors for services.
5. Develop/Implement program/college task force and policy.
6. Implement tutor inservice.
7. Implement summer project to involve LD service providers, high school counselors and college project staff.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 150.
Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 150.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>18 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>18 - 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 96 parents, 64 teachers, 20 project staff, 65 postsecondary faculty and 95 undergraduate/graduate students.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, student/client demographics,
- student/client educational background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status.

On Postsecondary Education:
- Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes, programs of study.

Evaluation Approaches: Goal-based.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director, project coordinator, project staff and staff evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Executive summary for Transition Institute.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project:
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
- Language: Test of Written Language and Test of Written Spelling
- Academic Achievement: Stanford Test of Academic Skills, Stanford Diagnostic Reading Test and Stanford Diagnostic Math Test
- Other: Bloomer Learning Test

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:
- Rating Scale: Program Evaluation Rating Scales
- Questionnaires: Program Evaluation Questionnaires
- Other: Individual Learning Profile
PROJECT TITLE: Project OVERS - Oklahoma Vocational Education Resource System

INSTITUTE #: 118

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Kathy McKean

CONTACT PERSON: Kathy McKean

TELEPHONE: (918) 225-1882, 225-4711

MAILING ADDRESS:
Child Service Demonstration Center
101 W. Broadway
Cushing, OK 74023

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/88

PROJECT PURPOSE: To provide for the postsecondary vocational/technical education needs of LD adults in Oklahoma through a model resource system at Central Area Vo-Tech and to replicate this model in the 24 other area vo-techs (and their associated satellite centers) in the State.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Adaptive instruction.
2. Dissemination: achievesome level of implement ion in all Oklahoma Area Vo-Tech Schools; inservice workshops for teachers; disseminating project materials.
3. Development of project video.
4. Production of catalogues.
5. Project evaluation.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. State education agency - Child Service Demonstration Center and local education agency (Area Vo-Tech School).

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, private secondary school, community education/rehabilitation facility and Association for Learning Disabilities.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>8/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>8/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>8/87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 750.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 200.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Related Service Components**

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 50 agency personnel, 2400 teachers and 7 project staff.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

**On Students/ Clients:**
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

**On Postsecondary Education:**
Level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** Systems analysis.

** Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director and 3rd party evaluator.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Formal evaluation report and brochure/pamphlet for consumers, OSERS and Transition Institute.

**INSTRUMENTATION**

**Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.**
- General Ability/Intelligence: Revised Beta and WISC-R
- Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test - Revised and Brigance Diagnostic Inventory of Essential Skills

**Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**
- Other: Checklist and Rating scales
PROJECT TITL0: Transitional Assistance for Postsecondary Students (T.A.P.S.)

INSTITUTE #: 167

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Marshall Mitchell

MAILING ADDRESS:
Amarillo College
P. O. Box 447
Amarillo, TX 79178

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Deana Milliron

CONTACT PERSON: Marshall Mitchell

TELEPHONE: (806) 371-5436

PROJECT START DATE: 8/15/87

PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

GEOPGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Metropolitan area (100,000+) with public transportation.

PROJECT PURPOSE: To provide support services, expanded education resources, new academic and community linkages, increased outreach and inservice on behalf of learning disabled postsecondary students in the 26-county, rural Texas panhandle. To provide transitional services for learning disabled postsecondary students through a community college setting which will assist in identification and remediation of the barriers that prevent them from obtaining their educational, personal and career goals with a primary focus on skills and attitudes required for success as an independent adult.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88):
1. Identify and admit 30 learning disabled students into the program.
2. Develop and implement curricula for a score of courses to teach students social, study, academic and life skills that are often lacking in LD students.
3. Provide support services on an individual need basis for students in the program.
4. Coordinate inservice training workshops for secondary personnel on how to prepare the LD student for college and for postsecondary personnel on assisting the LD student in college.
5. Edit and distribute a newsletter twice a year for secondary and postsecondary personnel who work with learning disabled students to form a network on transition of LD students.
6. Coordinate the use of graduate practicum students from West Texas State University to tutor with students in the program.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: Community/junior college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>5/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotapes</td>
<td>10/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>11/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 150 - 200.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 150.
## Handicapping Condition
Severe learning disability

### No. Served Age Range
22 18 - 40

## Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: Teacher and postsecondary faculty participants at upcoming workshops and 21 undergraduate/graduate students.

## Project Evaluation Plan

### Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

**On Students/Clients:**
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client outcome status, academic skills levels, and study skills present.

**On Postsecondary Education:**
- Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes, postsecondary grades, GPA, courses, tutoring hours received, basic academic skills improvement.

### Evaluation Approach(es)
Goal based.

### Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities
- Project director and project coordinator.

### Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience
- Formal evaluation report and executive summary for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, Texas Rehabilitation Commission and secondary personnel.

## Instrumentation

### Type and Name of Commercially Available Instruments Used by Project
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R and Ravens Standard Progressive Matrices
- Language: Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test
- Career Interest: Strong-Campbell Interest Inventory, Kuder Preference Record - Vocational, and Vocational Interest Experience and Skill Assessment
- Personality: Myers-Briggs Type Indicator
- Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test, Peabody Individual Achievement Test, Key Math and Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery
- Other: Cognitive Mapping

### Type and Name of Locally/Project Developed Instruments
- Checklist: Study skills checklist and Reading skills checklist
- Interview: Initial interview form
- Questionnaire: Faculty evaluation form
- Other: Student contract form and accommodation form
PROJECT TITLE: Project Success

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Larry Bonner

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Ann Straley

CONTACT PERSON: Ann Straley

TELEPHONE: (214) 238-6372

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/88

PROJECT PURPOSE. To provide programs to meet the diverse needs of learning disabled individuals. The project includes development, implementation and evaluation of screening instruments to identify and place learning disabled individuals; multisensory instruction in written expression; a tutoring program to match each learning disabled student with a qualified volunteer tutor; an outreach program to provide an opportunity for learning disabled individuals to be identified and attain multisensory reading and spelling instruction in their business setting; individualized educational planning; career planning and employment skills instruction, followed by the opportunity for job placement activities.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Evaluate and adopt screening instruments to identify and place learning disabled individuals.
2. Develop and implement multisensory instruction in reading and spelling.
3. Develop and implement multisensory instruction in written expression.
4. Develop and implement a tutoring program to match each learning disabled student with a qualified volunteer tutor.
5. Develop and implement an outreach program to provide an opportunity for learning disabled individuals to be identified and attain multisensory reading and spelling instruction in their business setting.
6. Develop and implement career planning and employment skills instruction, followed by the opportunity for job placement activities.
7. Evaluate each project component to determine effectiveness.
8. Begin preparation of guidelines for replication of services offered in this project, which will include a report from each program coordinator on the results achieved during the first year of implementation.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Community/junior college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>9/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 230.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 230.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning disability</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>18 - 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 80 agency personnel, 50+ business/industry personnel, 50 peer tutors, 7 teachers, 6 project staff, 75 postsecondary faculty and 190 undergraduate/graduate students.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected:

On Students/Clients:
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
- Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer.

Evaluation Approach(es): Systems analysis, goal based, professional review and case study.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director, project coordinator and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience:
- Formal evaluation report for OSERS, Transition Institute, HEATH Resource Center, Texas Rehabilitation Commission, Veterans Administration - Vocational Rehabilitation, League for Innovation in Community Colleges, National Association of Children and Adults with Learning Disabilities, Orton Dyslexia Society, Association of Handicapped Student Services Programs in Postsecondary Education and International World Congress on Dyslexia.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project:
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
- Language: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery and Test of Written Language
- Personality: Myers Briggs Type Indicator and Strong-Campbell Interest Inventory
- Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test and Diagnostic Assessment of Reading Errors

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:
- Other: Winston Grammar Evaluation
PROJECT TITLE: University Bound LD Student Transition

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Gladys M. Tucker

CONTACT PERSON: Gladys M. Tucker

TELEPHONE: (801) 581-5020

SPECIAL NET USER ID: UOFUT

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To enhance departmental academic programs and research efforts in teacher education; to identify university bound LD students; to give them skills for university entrance; help with the transition from high school to university; insure that university educational support services continue tracking the LD student to graduation.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Identifying and providing LD diagnostic assessment, through the Division of Rehabilitation Services, for 26 LD students.
2. Selecting 17 LD students who most closely meet federal and state guidelines for LD.
3. Learning strategies training for 4 field based consultants, 3 graduate assistants, 2 project staff, project director, and school district transition leader.
4. Implementing learning strategies for 17 LD students selected.
5. Held parent/student orientation at 2 high schools.
6. Presented project goals to: State Federation of CEC; Utah Special Education Consortium; Special Education faculty, University of Utah; UACLD.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school and state vocational rehabilitation.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>6/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>6/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>6/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition IEP</td>
<td>6/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 100.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 56.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16 - 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16 - 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Related Service Components**

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 14 teachers, 3 project staff and 7 - 9 graduate students.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

**On Students/ Clients:**
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client outcome status.

**On Postsecondary Education:**
Level of service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.

**Evaluation Approach(es):** Systems analysis and decision making.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities:** Project director, project staff and staff evaluator.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience:** Formal evaluation report for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, state vocational rehabilitation, special education consortium and school districts.

**INSTRUMENTATION**

**Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.**
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
- Academic Achievement: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery - Part II

**Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**
- Rating Scale: Self-rating of satisfaction
- Interview: Intake interview
- Survey: Life planning survey
- Questionnaire: Orientation workshop evaluation, Learning strategies course evaluation and Quarterly evaluation form
PROJECT TITLE: Demonstration Project for Learning Disabled: Cooperative Learning at the College Level

INSTITUTE #: 170

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Ruth E. Williams

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Catherine W. McCarty

MAILING ADDRESS:
U.S.T.E.P c/o Disabled Student Services
University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee
P.O. Box 413
Milwaukee, WI 53201

CONTACT PERSON: Catherine W. McCarty

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

PROJECT PURPOSE: To recruit, diagnose, assess and provide supportive services for university students with learning disabilities.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Implement project.
2. Develop relationships between project and other campus and community providers of services to students with learning disabilities.
3. Create advisory committee.
4. Provide academic and social services to students enrolled in project.
5. Provide summer high school to university transition program using cooperative learning structure.
6. Evaluate grant's success as defined by objectives.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student handbook</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHS tape</td>
<td>3/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information sheet</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertisement for project</td>
<td>4/15/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 75.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 75.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>18 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18 - 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic head injury</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18 - 35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 50 parents, 5 summer school
teachers, 3 project staff, 50 postsecondary faculty/staff, 10 tutors and 100 high school students.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, motivation level for college, goals for college, strengths, weaknesses, types of services received prior to entry into project, satisfaction with program services, suggestions for improvements.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Evaluation Approach(es): Systems analysis, goal based and professional review.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project coordinator, project staff and advisory board.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report and brochure/pamphlet for OSERS, Transition Institute and advisory board.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.

General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R and Stanford Achievement Test Series-College Level
Personality: Thematic Apperception Test, Clinical Interview and Coopersmith Self-Esteem Inventory
Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test - Adult and Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery
Other: Learning Style Inventory

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.

Interview: Student intake interview
Survey: Student exit survey
Other: Student contact sheet and tutor contact sheet
PROJECT TITLE: Project HAPPEN

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Connie Dalke

CONTACT PERSON: Connie Dalke

TELEPHONE: (414) 472-5239

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

PROJECT PURPOSE: To facilitate effective transitioning from secondary to postsecondary environments (job or school) by promoting a shared networking and responsibility of all individuals and/or services involved in the transition process; to increase the likelihood that students with learning disabilities will complete high school and make an effective transition into a postsecondary educational or vocational environment; and to disseminate the model to secondary and/or postsecondary service providers interested in replicating the transition model in their settings.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Identify project participants.
2. Conduct, plan, and evaluate parent, student, and educator workshop.
3. Conduct surveys regarding self-perceived transition needs of parents, students, and teachers.
4. Disseminate position paper as a result of number 3.
5. Plan, conduct, and evaluate transition program for students during summer.
7. Disseminate overview of model to public and professional audiences.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: Public secondary school.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>5/1/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>9/1/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Resource Guide</td>
<td>9/1/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 160.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 60.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15 - 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15 - 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Suburb of a metropolitan area.
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 60 parents, 30 agency personnel, 4 - 10 teachers, 3 - 5 undergraduate/graduate students on staff parttime and workshop/conference attendees.

Project Evaluation Plan

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status, data regarding self-perceptions of roles in transition activities; identification of transition needs as perceived by high school students with learning disabilities, their teachers, their parents.

On Employers:
Employer collaboration level.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project.

Evaluator Approach(es). Systems analysis, goal based, goal free and professional review.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator, project staff and staff evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report, brochure/pamphlet and position papers for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, school districts, parent group and advocacy groups.

Instrumentation

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
General Ability/Intelligence: WISC-R or WAIS-R
Vocational Skills: Currently selecting
Career Interest: Strong-Campbell Interest Inventory
Personality: Piers-Harris Self-Concept Scale and Nowicki-Strickland Locus of Control
Academic Achievement: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery

Type and name of locally/officially developed instruments.
Interview: Interviews with students, parents and teachers
Survey: Parent, student, and teacher self-perceived needs assessment survey
Other: Referral forms, contact logs, and IEP plans
PROJECT TITLE: Computer Assistance Model for Learning Disabled

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Chris Primus

CONTACT PERSON: Chris Primus

TELEPHONE: (307) 766-6189

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/86
PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE: To enhance the academic success and retention of learning disabled college students and the potential for successful transition to employment through the use of microcomputers and user-friendly software.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Select and train eligible students in the use of software for college writing assignments.
2. Validate software evaluation instrument through student survey.
4. Publish software evaluation results and instrument.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.


PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fact sheet</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software descriptions</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software evaluation instrument</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 250.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 120.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>18 - 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18 - 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18 - 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 10 peer tutors, 2 project staff, 50 postsecondary faculty and 15 undergraduate/graduate students.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report, executive summary and brochure/pamphlet for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, state vocational rehabilitation, AHSSPPE, ACLD and other agencies.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R and Wechsler Memory Scales
Career Interest: Discover, Wyoming Career Information System
Academic Achievement: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery and Wide Range Achievement Test
Other: Learning Style Preference Checklist

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Interview: Intake interview checklist
Survey: Needs assessment survey and Study skills checklist
Other: Project application form
SUMMARY OF 84.078C EXPIRED PROJECT PROFILES

PROJECT PURPOSE
Federal funding for four postsecondary projects expired in Summer/Fall 1986. Nine additional projects expired one year later. The overall purpose of these projects was to develop a service delivery model that would increase the opportunities for students with learning disabilities in postsecondary education and employment. The majority of these projects established a linkage model and either established or expanded support services. The majority also provided services directly to students with learning disabilities.

FOCUS OF CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES
Seven of the 13 expired projects reported on their continuation activities. Three projects are focusing on the provision of support service activities. One project indicated the continuation of major program components through an agency other than the primary grantee.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED
All seven projects reported training/education as a primary component being continued. Intake/eligibility determination, assessment, dissemination, and agency coordination were each reported by five projects as components being continued. Counseling was a program component being continued by four projects. Program components being continued by at least two projects included: referral, placement, followup, program refinement and summer programs.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Seven projects reported on the type of products developed during the project's funding period. Five projects indicated the development of a project brochure and four projects have developed instruments. Replication manuals and handbooks for parents, students and faculty/staff have been developed by individual projects. Two projects have generated articles and two projects have developed videotapes.
LOCATION OF 84.078C EXPIRED PROJECTS
The map below depicts the location of the expired projects funded under this competition.
PROJECT TITLE: Demonstration Project for Learning Disabled Students

INSTITUTE #: 122

CONTACT PERSON: Doris Rader

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

TELEPHONE: (305) 632-1111, ext. 3606

MAILING ADDRESS: Brevard Community College 1519 Clearlake Road Cocoa, FL 32922

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/14/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide additional support services for Learning Disabled students at Brevard Community College. These services are to supplement the program already in existence. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Provide support services for learning disabled students at Brevard Community College.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

1. Intake/eligibility determination, Assessment, Referral, Placement, Training, Education, Counseling, Dissemination, Replication. Program refinement/revision and Agency coordination/Doris Rader, Brevard Community College, 1519 Clearlake Road, Cocoa, FL 32922, (305) 632-1111.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

- Brochure/Brevard Community College Support Services for College Students with Learning Disabilities: One copy available free from Disabled Student Services, Brevard Community College, 1519 Clearlake Road, Cocoa, FL 32922.

- Student handbook/BCC LD Student Handbook - LD is No Excuse to Waste a Mind: One copy available free from Disabled Student Services, Brevard Community College, 1519 Clearlake Road, Cocoa, FL 32922.

- Project developed instruments/Learning Modules for Educational Support: One free copy available from Disabled Student Services, Brevard Community College, 1519 Clearlake Road, Cocoa, FL 32922.

- Faculty & staff handbook/BCC Faculty/Staff Handbook on LD: One copy available free from Disabled Student Services, Brevard Community College, 1519 Clearlake Road, Cocoa, FL 32922.
**PROJECT TITLE:** Demonstration Project for LD

**CONTACT PERSON:** Paul Edwards

**TELEPHONE:** (305) 347-1272, 347-1146

**DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED:** 9/30/87

**PROJECT PURPOSE.** The purpose of this federally funded project was: to maximize utilization of existing resources to provide a continuum of services to LD adults thereby improving employability skills and closing the gaps in services which currently exist. Specific focus is given to establishing linkages for LD students and adults in the community. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

**FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)**

1. Continue vocational and career interest testing through project developed course, Psychology of Career Adjustment, which has a special section for students with learning disabilities.
2. Negotiate arrangements with local education agency and PIC for development and implementation of a two track summer program for graduating high school seniors with learning disabilities.
3. Continue development of curricula for students with learning disabilities.

**PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON**

1. Assessment, Education, Program refinement/revision, Dissemination and Agency coordination/Paul Edwards, Miami-Dade Community College - North, Room 6112, 11380 N.W. 27th Avenue, Miami, FL 33167, (305) 347-1272.

**PROJECT PRODUCTS**

**Product Type/Title**

- Project developed instrument/Rossman-Perez Learning Inventory: Product information available from Paul Edwards, Miami-Dade Community College - North, Room 6112, 11380 N.W. 27th Avenue, Miami, FL 33167, (305) 347-1272.
PROJECT TITLE: Project ACCESS

CONTACT PERSON: Patricia B. Gallen

TELEPHONE: (603) 352-1909, ext. 556

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 6/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was to serve adults with learning disabilities through a college-based regional program in order to enhance their access to and likelihood of success in postsecondary education. The project is made up of various components - assessment and diagnostic services; support services (advocacy, counseling, tutoring); dissemination of Project for replication purposes; and, training of faculty, secondary professionals, community agency and social services personnel. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Integrating services into college support services.
2. Evaluating mentoring project.
3. Continue inservice training for faculty and service providers.
4. New England network of LD support groups.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Academic advising & educational planning, Instruction and mentoring, Enroll in LINK (summer prep program), Inservice training, Data base, and National presentations/Pat Gallen, Keene State College, Elliot Hall, Keene, NH, 03431, (603) 352-1909.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Project developed instruments//Intake interview: Available from project.
Videotape//I'm Not Stupid!: Available $22.00 from ACLD.
PROJECT TITLE: Project MATCH (Metropolitan Area Transition Clearinghouse)

INSTITUTE #: 101

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

CONTACT PERSON: Bob Nathanson

TELEPHONE: (718) 403-1044

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to design, demonstrate, and disseminate a model employment linkage program that will provide more effective transition and job linkage services to 2 and 4 year college graduates who have physical, emotional, and learning disabilities. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability, emotional disorder and physical handicap.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Provide job placement services to college graduates with disabilities, who are New York City residents, through use of computer-based clearinghouse mechanism.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Intake/eligibility determination, Assessment, Referral, Placement, Followup, Counseling, Dissemination and Agency coordination/Larry Trachtenberg, Long Island University - Brooklyn Campus, University Plaza, Brooklyn, NY 11201, (718) 403-1044.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title
Brochure: Available free from project.
Project developed instruments: Available free from project.
Journal article/monograph/Matching disabled college graduates with employers in Reflections on Transition: Available $18.75 from Center for Advanced Study in Education, CUNY Graduate Center, 33 West 42nd, 620NC, New York, NY 10036.
PROJECT TITLE: Postsecondary Education Program for the Handicapped: A Model Program to Provide Expanded Support Services to 150 Learning Disabled Students

CONTACT PERSON: Monica Roth

TELEPHONE: (516) 632-6748

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/31/87

INSTITUTE #: 125

COMPETITION #: 84.78C

MAILING ADDRESS:
SUNY at Stony Brook
133 Humanities Building
Stony Brook, NY 11794-5328

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to assist learning disabled students in achieving their full academic potential, to provide a supportive environment for their social, emotional, and intellectual development and to improve their opportunities for successful careers following completion of their studies, as well as serving as a model program for other institutions of higher learning. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

1. Eligibility determination, Assessment, Referral, Training and Counseling/Monica Roth, Office of the Disabled, 133 Humanities, SUNY at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794-5328, (516) 632-6748.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

Brochure//The Resource Center for Learning Disabilities.
Newsletter//The Up-To-Dater.
PROJECT TITLE: Vanguard School Transitional Program

CONTACT PERSON: Jacque Murray

TELEPHONE: (215) 296-6753

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 6/86

INSTITUTE #: 106

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

MAILING ADDRESS:
P.O. Box 730
North Valley Road
Paoli, PA 19010

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to ease the transition of handicapped students into work and/or postsecondary academic or vocational programs. Supportive individualized program for students to develop interpersonal and adult life skills needed to sustain employment.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Basic skills training.
2. Counseling.
3. Work experience.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Education, Counseling, Intake/eligibility determination, and Work experience Program/Jacque Murray, Vanguard School, P.O. Box 730, North Valley Road, Paoli, PA 19010, (215) 296-6753.
2. Agency coordination/Mike Wakefield, Office of Vocational Rehabilitation, 1062 Lancaster Avenue, Rosemont, PA 19010, (215) 525-1810.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure/Vanguard School: free from project.
PROJECT TITLE: Project ASSIST

INSTITUTE #: 105

CONTACT PERSON: Connie Dalke

COMPETITION #: 84.078C

TELEPHONE: (414) 472-4788

MAILING ADDRESS:
University of Wisconsin - Whitewater
Roseman 2019
Whitewater, WI 53190

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/14/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to increase the likelihood that LD students will complete their college degree and become gainfully employed. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continuation of project.
2. Refinement of services.
3. Continue with followup study.
4. Continue researching effective learning strategies.
5. Continue collecting data on college students with learning disabilities.
6. Begin to establish "pen pal" network - college students with LD - writing to and acting as role models for younger students with LD.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Intake/eligibility determination, Assessment, Summer high school to college transition program, One-to-one services, Study groups, Test accommodations, Student support group, Networking with Counseling Center and Career Planning & Placement Center, Followup studies, Teacher training site research, Dissemination, Program refinement/revision and Continued program evaluation/Connie Dalke, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater, Roseman 2019, Whitewater, WI 53190, (414) 472-4788.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title
Brochure//Project ASSIST.
Parent & student handbook//Choosing a College: Product information available from project.
Replication manual//Project ASSIST Program Guide: Product information available from project.
PROJECT TITLE: Helping Hand Rehabilitation Center

CONTACT PERSON: Fred Peters

TELEPHONE: (312) 352-3580

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 7/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to place individuals in an actual job earning competitive non-subsidized wages and benefits and working alongside non-handicapped co-workers, e.g., supported work model using a job coach on site.

*****************************************************************************

PROJECT TITLE: Learning How to Learn: Model High School/College Linkage to Expand Higher Education Opportunities for LD students

CONTACT PERSON: Pearl Seidenberg

TELEPHONE: (516) 299-2132

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop, demonstrate, and disseminate a model, transitional postsecondary preparation program which will expand opportunities in higher education for learning disabled students. Provision of appropriate preparation for transition and promotion of postsecondary education as a viable option for LD students. Implementation of linkage between the university and local school districts in o. to provide support to students before and after making a transition to college.
PROJECT TITLE: The Center

CONTACT PERSON: Alan Gartner

TELEPHONE: (212) 790-4259

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/1/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to create a center where, on a continuing basis, young people, postsecondary institutions, schools, training agencies, and employers can link up. To make available career and educational information, e.g., permanent college night.

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

PROJECT TITLE: Using Job Clubs to Assist in the Transition to Work of Postsecondary Learning Disabled Students

CONTACT PERSON: James P. Long

TELEPHONE: (614) 486-3655, ext. 476

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide peer support for LD job seekers; teach participants job-seeking skills; and reinforce the concept that job seeking is the worker's responsibility. The project will assess how well the job club concept helps LD college students gain or improve their job-seeking skills. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.
PROJECT TITLE: Using Job Clubs to Assist in the Transition to Work of Postsecondary Handicapped Students

CONTACT PERSON: James Long

TELEPHONE: (614) 486-3655

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 10/1/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide peer support for job seekers; teach participants job seeking skills; and reinforce the concept that job seeking is a full-time job and the worker's responsibility. To establish, operate, and evaluate three separate examples of a model handicapped postsecondary student job club to foster/promote transition. Disseminate information for replication.

PROJECT TITLE: World of Work and Social Skills

CONTACT PERSON: Jay Segal

TELEPHONE: (215) 751-8289

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to help LD college students assess vocational interests and aptitudes, develop a plan to achieve career goals, and develop social skills in order to enhance transition success on the job and in life situations. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.
COMPETITION PROFILE: CFDA 84.086M

INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS FOR SEVERELY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN:
TRANITION SKILLS DEVELOPMENT FOR SEVERELY HANDICAPPED (INCLUDING DEAF-BLIND) YOUTH

INITIAL COMPETITION: 3/21/86

PURPOSE OF COMPETITION
The purpose of this competition was to provide support to projects which design, implement, and disseminate information about innovative practices which facilitate the transition of a small number of youth with severe handicaps from education to employment and other service options, in preparation for their integration into regular community environments as adults. Emphasis was to be placed on the development of job-related skills, peer interactions, orientation and mobility, personal grooming, independent living skills and the development of a positive self-concept. Procedures for initiating and maintaining on an on-going basis, coordination and cooperation with State educational and rehabilitative agencies were to be included. Project activities were to focus upon the development of skills identified as those most needed by project participants in order to facilitate their effective transition.

AUTHORITY
Authority for this program is contained in Section 624 of Part C of the Education of the Handicapped Act.

ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS
Public or private, profit or non-profit organizations and institutions were eligible for this competition.

FUNDS AVAILABLE
Approximately $1,965,000 was expected to be available for support of up to 25 projects.

NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED
Eleven grants were awarded under this competition.

DURATION
Project support was available for up to three years under this competition subject to an annual review of progress and availability of funds. One project expired in 1987. The remaining projects will expire in 1989.
SUMMARY OF 84.086M PROJECT PROFILES

PRIMARY GRANTEE
Four grants were awarded to public secondary schools/local education agencies. Three universities and two private nonprofit agencies received grant awards. State agencies receiving funds under this competition include a state education agency and a state MR/DD agency.

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS
The target population, youth with severe handicaps (including deaf-blind), was specified in this competition. With 10 projects reporting, direct services are being provided to an estimated 738 youth with severe handicapping conditions. Total impact on youth is estimated at 1181. The age range reported by the projects was from 13 to 25 years. Of those projects reporting direct services, mental retardation, developmental disabilities and autism comprised 58% of the handicapping conditions, chronic mental illness was the handicapping condition for 17% of the project participants, and 12% of the youth served have multiple handicaps.

Related service components were reported by all the current projects. All projects indicated services being provided to teachers. Parents receiving services were indicated by eight projects; agency personnel were reported by seven projects, and four projects are providing related services to business/industry personnel. The estimated totals being served are as follows: 446 parents, 238 agency personnel, 159 teachers, and 70 business/industry personnel.

COOPERATING AGENCIES & ORGANIZATIONS
Cooperation with outside agencies was reported by eight projects. Seventy-five percent (75%) of the reporting projects are collaborating with a state vocational rehabilitation agency. Three projects reported collaboration with a local JTPA service delivery area agent.

PRODUCTS
Nine projects reported on product development. Seven projects are developing articles, and five projects indicated development of brochures. Newsletters and training manuals were reported by five projects each. Four projects are developing replication manuals. Slide presentations, curricula and parent handbooks were reported by three projects each.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN
Eight projects reported the use of a systems analysis evaluation approach. Five projects indicated a goal based approach, four of which reported use of this approach in conjunction with systems analysis. Three projects are conducting case studies, and four projects reported the use of professional review as an evaluation approach.
Ten projects reported the collection of evaluation information on student/client with all projects compiling data on student/client progress in training programs. In addition to student/client demographics, the majority of these projects (80%) are collecting evaluation information on assessment results, student/client progress in education programs, student/client integration into the environment and student/client followup status. Employer information is being collected by seven projects, all of which are compiling data on employer demographics, level of direct service provided to employer, and employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc. Six projects reported data collection on employer collaboration level and employer outcome status. Three projects are collecting evaluation information on postsecondary education/training, primarily demographic information, level of satisfaction, and postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Nine projects indicated the type of instrumentation used in project evaluation. Information on students/clients is being collected primarily through the use of project developed instruments. Four projects reported on the use of commercially available instruments to assess specific competencies.

**PROJECT OBJECTIVES**

Project objectives were reported by nine projects under this competition. Eight projects each reported having the following specific objectives: student assessment, placement of students into supported work, nonvocational training of students, development and implementation of a service delivery model, development and dissemination of products, dissemination of information through conferences, presentations, articles, etc., and development of interagency agreements.

Seven projects reported the development of instruments as an objective, with four of the projects indicating this objective was added to their program. Other objectives reported by at least seven projects each included: vocational training of students, training of students after job placement, curriculum development, provision of technical assistance, conducting workshops, conferences, inservices, etc., and training employment related staff.

**GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED**

Of the 10 current projects three reported statewide services, and four projects reported serving major metropolitan areas. One project serves a portion of a county, and one project is countywide. The provision of service to more than one county within the state was reported by one project.
LOCATION OF 84.086M PROJECTS

Eleven projects have been funded under this competition, with 10 currently funded. The map below depicts the location of both the expired and current projects funded under this competition.
PROJECT TITLE: Project Origins

PROJECT DIRECTOR: James Gittings

PROJECT COORDINATORS: Christian E. Downum and Michael K. Fought

CONTACT PERSON: James Gittings

TELEPHONE: (602) 621-7823, 621-6274 (Lab)

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop innovative approaches to vocational skills training and social integration for youth with handicapping conditions through a joint effort by the Division of Special Education and the Arizona State Museum at the University of Arizona, Southern Arizona Pilot Parents Association, and a number of local schools. Toward this end the project seeks to develop the field of archaeology as a matrix for skills training, social integration, and longer-term employment possibilities.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Continue effecting a knowledge exchange program between project staff from archaeology and special education that will result in the complementary skills necessary to prepare the severely handicapped for employment.
2. Continue development and implementation of a comprehensive vocational skills training system for teaching archaeological procedures to severely handicapped youth.
3. Continue provision of on-the-job training for the 20 participants in the integrated setting.
4. Provide a system of parent instruction and participation in training activities.
5. Assess the levels of proficiency that can be achieved by project participants on the various archaeological tasks.
6. Continue to develop opportunities for social interaction in the normal work environment.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. State division of developmental disabilities, public secondary school and Pilot Parents of Southern Arizona.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>8/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide presentation</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>8/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 25.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 22.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe autism</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 - 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate developmental disability</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe developmental disability</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound developmental disability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe deaf/blind</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Related Service Components**

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 15 parents, 10 teachers and 7 project staff.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

**On Students/ Clients:**
Number referred to project services, student/client progress in training program, student/client integration into environment.

**On Employers:**
Employer collaboration level, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** Systems analysis, goal based, decision making and professional review.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director, project coordinator, project staff and advisory board.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Formal evaluation report and brochure/pamphlet for OSERS, advisory board, project participants and parents.

**INSTRUMENTATION**

**Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**

- Observation Form: Laboratory observation notebooks
- Rating Scale: Checklists and rating scales on the instructional competence of project staff and department
- Other: Assessment of current functional levels and progress for each specific task; and measures of satisfaction with respect to job performance and program components
PROJECT TITLE: Transition Skills Development

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Don Tarr

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Glen Maxion

CONTACT PERSON: Glen Maxion

TELEPHONE: (619) 442-0693

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To employ 30% of graduates each year; organize adult program service providers to follow through on individual student plans; and increase community involvement in instruction.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Job placement and training of graduating students in community integrated work stations.
2. Development/review, and implementation of vocational plans on all students 18 - 22.
3. Development/review, and implementation of transition plans on all students 18 - 22.
4. Implementation of community instructional activities schedule.
5. Inservice training to teachers, parents, and cooperating agencies.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Public secondary school.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. University/four year college, profit-making agency, state vocational rehabilitation, regional center, private non-profit agency and Association for Retarded Citizens.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide presentation</td>
<td>6/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational handbook</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 100 parents, 20 agency personnel, 25 teachers and 5 project staff.

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 280.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 280.
### Handicapping Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild autism</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate autism</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe autism</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound autism</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild cerebral palsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate cerebral palsy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe cerebral palsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound cerebral palsy</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate communication disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild emotional disorder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16 - 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate emotional disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 - 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate epilepsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hearing impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound hearing impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound mental retardation</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild physical handicap</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18 - 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate physical handicap</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18 - 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild visual impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate visual impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound visual impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

#### Type of Data/Information Being Collected:

**On Students/ Clients:**
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status.

**On Employers:**
- Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

**On Postsecondary Education:**
- Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.

#### Evaluation Approach(es).
- Systems analysis and goal based.

#### Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.
- Project director, project coordinator and project staff.

#### Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.
- Formal evaluation report for OSERS, Transition Institute, regional center, Association for Retarded Citizens, local school board and special education regional office.

### INSTRUMENTATION

**Type and name of locally/ project developed instruments.**
- Rating Scale: Vocational rating scale
- Interview: Parent Interview
- Other: Student collateral packet (work history, mobility training report and profile for student integration)
PROJECT TITLE: Transition Skills Development for Severely Handicapped

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Dan Hulbert

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Bonnie Bolton

CONTACT PERSON: Dan Hulbert

TELEPHONE: (213) 698-8121

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/89

INSTITUTE #: 146

COMPETITION #: 84.086M

MAILING ADDRESS:
Whittier Union High School District
Career Assessment and Placement Center
9401 S. Painter Avenue
Whittier, CA 90605

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Metropolitan area (100,000+) with public transportation system.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To design, implement, evaluate and disseminate innovative methods for the provision of transition skills training to adolescents and young adults with severe handicaps.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Development and implementation of the Transitional Instructional Model for project participants.
2. Refine and replicate model.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Public secondary school.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Local education agency, profit-making agency, state vocational rehabilitation and state developmental disabilities.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 60 - 80.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate multiple handicap</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe multiple handicap</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound multiple handicap</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15 - 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 20 - 40 parents, 10 teachers and 5 school administrators.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

**On Students/Clients:**
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

**On Employers:**
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** Goal free and professional review.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director and project coordinator.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Annual report for consumers, OSERS, advisory board, state vocational rehabilitation and state developmental disabilities.

**INSTRUMENTATION**

**Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**
Survey: Ecological inventories, Student repertoire inventory, Parent surveys and School personnel surveys
PROJECT TITLE: Supported Competitive Employment for Mentally Ill Youth

INSTITUTE #: 149

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Judith A. Cook

INSTITUTE #: 149

CONTACT PERSON: Judith A. Cook

COMPETITION #: 84.086M

TELEPHONE: (312) 850-2471

MAILING ADDRESS:
Thresholds
2700 North Lakeview Avenue
Chicago, IL 60614

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE: To provide supported employment services to severely mentally ill youth, enabling them to seek and maintain jobs in integrated work settings while avoiding psychiatric rehospitalization.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Provision of mobile job support services, including job development, job-client matching, workplace support and client advocacy for severely mentally ill youth.
2. Inclusion of families in job retention activities, including informational interviews and development of a Family Handbook.
4. Creation and field-testing of Social Skills in the Workplace curriculum.
5. Dissemination of project goals and activities through project newsletters and position papers.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: Private non-profit agency.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>6/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>3/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>3/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 105.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 105.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe chronic mental illness</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropouts</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically disadvantaged</td>
<td>30*</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority youth</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 40 parents, 20 agency personnel, 35 business/industry personnel, 6 teachers and 7 project staff.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director, project staff, project evaluator and project teachers.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Formal evaluation report, newsletter, conference reports, and publications for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, state department of education, state department of mental health, state vocational rehabilitation, Chicago board of education, local education agencies, mental health agencies, advocacy groups, employers and other rehabilitation agencies.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
- Social Skills: Leisure Time Activities Scale
- Daily Living Skills: Coping Mastery Scale
- Personality: Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale, Stigma Scale, and Depression and Anxiety Scales (Zung)
- Academic Achievement: Test of Adult Basic Education
- Other: Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale, Global Assessment Scale and Work Satisfaction Scale

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Observation Form: Work reporting form
- Other: Employer contact log, Individualized transition plan, Client support log and Rehospitalization report form
PROJECT PURPOSE. To demonstrate that the long-term residential, post-school residential and vocational environments for most severely handicapped students can be predicted. This knowledge coupled with extensive and intensive programming for these learners can facilitate the transition and maintenance of these individuals into community-based programs and alternatives.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Local development of adult service opportunities.
2. Continue ecological assessments.
3. Curriculum development/modification.
4. Continue residential and social/interpersonal training.
5. Continue employment training.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Local education agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. State vocational rehabilitation, state education agency and Association for Retarded Citizens.

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 60.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 60.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>12 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound mental retardation</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>12 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>12 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple handicap</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>12 - 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* no number indicated).

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: Teachers and community agency staff.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected:

On Students/ Clients:
Student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Formal evaluation report for OSERS, state education agency, ERIC and professional organizations.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:
Other: Independent living training-evaluation program

NOTE: Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from grant application.
PROJECT TITLE: Transitional Skills Development

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Sandra Copman

CONTACT PERSON: Sandra Copman

TELEPHONE: (617) 357-6000, ext. 570

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To facilitate a more effective transition from school to work or community living for 25+ multi-disabled youth from the City of Boston by developing, starting at age 14, individualized transitional plans for each client which will include plans for and implementation of: social development activities, counseling, job training, and job/other placement.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. General transitional skills activities including social, recreational, and career exploratory sessions/field trip outings.
2. Implementation of life skills planning workshops and independent living skills assessments and training.
3. Parent and family participation activities and training.
4. Employment-related transitional skills sessions and summer job training placements.
5. In-service training for staff, agency and employment sites' personnel.
6. Development of program brochures and newsletters.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Private non-profit agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Local education agency, state vocational rehabilitation and independent living center.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>3/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide presentation</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video tape</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloquium</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 60.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 50.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate multiple handicaps</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14 - 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe multiple handicaps</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14 - 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically disadvantaged</td>
<td>50*</td>
<td>14 - 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropouts</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>14 - 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority youth</td>
<td>35*</td>
<td>14 - 23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* indicates duplicate count).

**Related Service Components**

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 100 parents, 25 agency personnel, 45 business/industry personnel, 10 teachers and 17 project staff.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

*Type of Data/Information Being Collected.*

**On Students/ Clients:**
- Number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status, family relationships.

**On Employers:**
- Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

**On Postsecondary Education:**
- Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** System analysis, goal based, goal free and case study.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director, project coordinator, project staff and 3rd party evaluator.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Formal evaluation report for OSERS, Transition Institute, local education agency and U. S. Department of Education.

**INSTRUMENTATION**

*Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.*
- Other: Locally developed observation forms, checklists, rating scales, interviews, and surveys

**NOTE:** Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from 1986-87 Project Profile.
PROJECT TITLE: A Model Transition Skills Development Program for Severely Handicapped and Deaf-Blind Students in Residential and Day School Programs

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Norm Hursh

CONTACT PERSON: Norm Hursh

TELEPHONE: (617) 353-2725

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE: To develop, implement and evaluate an effective transition program to increase the employability, independence and community integration of severely handicapped and deafblind students in a residential and day school program.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Review current year objectives.
2. Select students.
3. Define objectives.
4. Review curriculum changes.
5. Perform job forecast.
6. Identify new employment sites.
7. Develop training manuals.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.


PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product	Date Available
Curricula	No date indicated
Journal articles	No date indicated
Training manual	No date indicated

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 30.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 30.
Handicapping Condition
Severe hearing impairment
Severe deaf-blind

No. Served Age Range
20 14 - 22
10 14 - 22

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 16 parents, 13 agency personnel, 10 business/industry personnel, and 12 teachers.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for advisory board.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
Spec .A Aptitude: Crawford Small Parts Dexterity
Vocational Skills: Talent Assessment Program
Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills: Street Survival Skills Questionnaire

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Other: Individualized education plan, Skills demonstrated evaluation form, and survey forms for employers, parents and advisory board.

NOTE: Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from grant application and 1986-87 Project Profile.
PROJECT TITLE: Planning for the Transition from School to Work and Adult Life for Severely Handicapped Youth

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Gary Parsons

CONTACT PERSON: Sandra Thompson

TELEPHONE: (612) 633-8691

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/86
PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To design and implement individualized special education programs which assure that students who are moderately or severely mentally retarded and/or multiply handicapped achieve maximum independence and transition into their future environments.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continue to focus on reviewing project progress and assisting in the development of cooperative interagency approaches to enhance transition planning.
2. Design system for planning and prioritizing student needs for instruction.
3. Develop range of instructional activities and program strategies to enhance student participation in employment and community living. This includes a 6-week live-in program on a college campus during the summer and employment near the students' homes which continues with the support of an adult service agency after graduation.
4. Implement transition planning guide for families, including list of community resources, and process for transition planning.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Public secondary school.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. JTPA service delivery area agent, community workshop, state vocational rehabilitation and Association for Retarded Citizens.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>6/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 200.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 45.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Related Service Components**

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 60 parents, 20 agency personnel, 50 teachers and 10 project staff.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

**On Students/ Clients:**
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status.

**On Employers:**
- Employer characteristics/demographics, level of direct service provided to employer.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** Goal based, professional review and case study.

**Personal Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director, project coordinator, project staff, advisory board and 3rd party evaluator.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Formal evaluation report for OSERS and advisory board.

**INSTRUMENTATION**

**Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.**
- General Ability/Intelligence: Leiter Intelligence Scale
- Language: Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test
- Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills: Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales and Inventory for Client and Agency Programming

**Type and name of instruments/project developed instruments.**
- Observation Form: Vocational training plan and agreement, Work task analysis form and log sheets, and Daily living skills observation
- Checklist: Self care checklist and Community mobility checklist
- Rating Scale: Employer/community sponsor evaluation of students and program
PROJECT TITLE: New Hampshire Transition Initiative

CONTACT PERSON: Stephanie J. Powers

TELEPHONE: (603) 224-0068

SPECIAL NET USER ID: NH.SE (Att: Stephanie Powers)

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 3/31/88

PROJECT PURPOSE: The purpose of this federally funded project was: to improve employment and training services provided for young people with severe disabilities. The priorities of the Initiative are designed to influence, not just the ways in which these young people move from educational services to the adult world, but also the ways in which secondary schools prepare these special education students for the transition from school to work.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

Newsletter/Fact sheets on transition for vocational educators and special educators: Available at no charge, contact Stephanie J. Powers, NH DD Council, 9 South Spring Street, Suite 204, Concord, NH 03301.

Training manual/How to manual about initiating transition planning at the local school level: Available at no charge, contact Stephanie J. Powers, NH DD Council, 9 South Spring Street, Suite 204, Concord, NH 03301.

Journal article/monograph/Transition concept paper, Executive summary, newspaper and journal article on parent involvement experiences: Available at no charge, contact Stephanie J. Powers, NH DD Council, 9 South Spring Street, Suite 204, Concord, NH 03301.

Other products/Report on transition planning teacher competencies for secondary teachers; Inservice training materials; Resource listing of reference materials: Available at no charge, contact Stephanie J. Powers, NH DD Council, 9 South Spring Street, Suite 204, Concord, NH 03301.
PROJECT TITLE: Integrated Community Employment Options

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Betty Macintosh

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Cynthie Johnson

CONTACT PERSON: P. Nemeth Wright

TELEPHONE: (614) 466-6051

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE: To successfully place students in integrated community employment situations in a rural county with high unemployment, addressing such barriers as transportation and parental resistance. To implement a community-based curriculum in a rural setting.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continue parent/staff training.
2. Continue job development.
3. Locate paid training sites.
4. Continue development of job bank.
5. Continue movement of school program for 6-22 year olds to a functional, community-based model.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: State mental retardation/developmental disabilities agency.

TYPE OF Cooperating AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: Public secondary school, JTPA service delivery area agent, residential education/rehabilitation facility, community workshop, community education/rehabilitation facility, city/county government and County Board of Mental Retardation/Developmental Disabilities.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job bank guidelines</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 60 - 80.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 75 parents, 50 agency personnel, 10 business/industry personnel, 20 teachers and 4 project staff.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected:**

**On Students/Clients:**
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

**On Employers:**
- Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

**On Postsecondary Education:**
- Level of direct service provided by project.

**Evaluation Approach(es):** Systems analysis and professional review.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities:** Project coordinator and project staff.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting:** Formal evaluation report.
PROJECT TITLE: Lifestyle Outcomes Curriculum Project

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Robert Horner

CONTACT PERSON: Robert Horner

TELEPHONE: (503) 686-5311

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To improve the transition of students with severe handicaps from high school to adult life by developing, evaluating, and disseminating a curriculum system that is functional, effective and feasible for use in integrated school, and post-school settings.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Modification of activity-based curriculum and program information system.
2. Implementation of information system in 5 residential settings.
3. Implementation in 3 secondary classrooms.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Local education agency, public secondary school, State Division of Developmental Disabilities, state education agency.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 280.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 120.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate developmental disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe developmental disability</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>17 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound developmental disability</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17 - 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 90 agency personnel and 13 teachers.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected:

On Students/ Clients:
Student/client demographics, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director and project coordinator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Formal evaluation report for OSERS.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project:
Adaptive Behavior/ Survival Skills: Behavior Development Scale

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:
Checklist: Valued outcomes information system (VOIS) model fidelity checklist
Other: Resident lifestyle inventory, Social network analysis form and Valued outcomes information system (VOIS)
PURPOSE OF COMPETITION
The purpose of the initial competition was to support projects designed to plan and develop cooperative models for activities among State or local education agencies and adult service agencies, which would facilitate effective planning and program development to meet the service and employment needs of youth with handicapping conditions as they leave school. Model programs were to target those youth who need but have traditionally had problems linking with community-based training programs and services or obtaining and maintaining employment. Projects were expected to consist of a planning phase which would attend to the development of a cooperative planning model, and an implementation phase which would implement and evaluate the model.

The program focus was more explicit in the second competition. It was specified that the planning phase (Year 1) should result in cooperative systemic planning which goes beyond collaboration to formal working commitments and agreements. The planning process was also to be sufficiently documented in terms of procedures, resources required, and outcomes obtained so that others could replicate the cooperative planning process. The implementation phase was extended to two years in the FY1986 competition, and replicability of the model was stressed. The model demonstration was also to provide for rigorous evaluation in order to determine its effectiveness to extend current knowledge relating to the transitional needs of youth with handicapping conditions. The second competition also emphasized the collaborative nature of the model projects and stressed the need for applicants to show the commitment of participating education, rehabilitation, or other service providers within the application.

The focus for FY1987 was the identification of systemic barriers in agencies affecting the transition process, development and implementation of innovative approaches for transitional service delivery and the evaluation of the effectiveness of cooperative planning and implementation. The third competition reflected the requirements of the second competition (FY1986) for a planning phase and an implementation and evaluation phase. The target population remained the same as the initial competition.

AUTHORITY
Authority for this program is contained in Section 626 of Part C of the Education of the Handicapped Act as amended by P.L. 98-199.
ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS
Institutions of higher education, state educational agencies, local educational agencies and other public and private nonprofit institutions or agencies (including the State job training coordinating councils and service delivery area administrative entities established under the Job Training Partnership Act) were eligible for all three competitions.

FUNDS AVAILABLE
Approximately $900,000 was available for support of an estimated 13 new cooperative models in fiscal year 1985. The approximate amount available for fiscal year 1986 was $880,000 for support of eight to ten new cooperative models. The approximate amount available for FY1987 was $700,000 for an estimated seven awards.

NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED
In FY1985, 16 model demonstration grants were awarded. In FY1986, 10 new model demonstration grants were awarded. In FY1987, 12 new model demonstration grants were awarded.

DURATION
For FY1985, project support was available for two years subject to an annual review of progress and availability of funds. All FY1985 projects expired in 1987. Project support was extended to three years for both FY1986 and FY1987 projects, subject to an annual review and availability of funds. The FY1986 projects will expire in 1989, and the FY1987 projects will expire in 1990.
Approximately one-half of the projects are developing journal articles related to their projects, and nine projects reported developing parent handbooks. Eight projects are developing replication manuals, and eight are developing curricula. Slide and video presentations were reported by approximately 20% of the projects.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

Of the 22 projects in this competition, 17 reported use of systems analysis as an evaluation approach. Twelve of these projects also reported using a goal based evaluation approach in conjunction with systems analysis. Ten projects reported using decision making as an approach and an additional four projects are using a goal based approach. Professional review was indicated by six projects as a supplemental evaluation approach, and three projects reported case study in conjunction with other evaluation approaches.

Eighteen projects indicated the collection of student/client evaluation information. Sixteen of these projects are collecting data on number receiving direct services and 14 projects reported a focus on evaluation information related to the student/client demographics and student/client employment status. Thirteen projects are compiling evaluation information on employers, the focus being on employer demographics, employer satisfaction and level of direct service provided to employer. Ten projects are collecting data on postsecondary education/training. Seventy percent (70%) of these projects are looking at postsecondary demographic information and level of direct service provided by project to postsecondary setting. Information on postsecondary education/training level of collaboration and postsecondary education/training outcomes is being collected by 60% of these projects.

Fifteen projects reported on instrumentation used in project evaluation, eight of which indicated the use of commercially available instruments to assess specific competency areas. The majority of these projects (75%) indicated the use of commercial instruments to assess the competency areas of general ability/intelligence, vocational skills, language, adaptive behavior, survival skills and academic achievement.

Of the 15 projects reporting, 13 indicated the use of project developed surveys, and ten projects reported development of rating scales.

**PROJECT OBJECTIVES**

Twenty projects reported on project objectives under this competition. Objectives in the general area of dissemination/replication/continuation were most frequently indicated by projects, with 20 projects involved with information dissemination, 18 projects developing and disseminating products and 17 projects conducting conferences/seminars for purposes of dissemination/replication. Sixteen projects reported the provision of technical assistance as an objective, and 16 projects indicated the identification of continuation strategies/resources as an objective. Fourteen projects reported project replication as an objective. Other objectives
reported by more than 80% of the responding projects included: develop and implement a service delivery model, develop interagency agreements, develop network among service providers, train professionals, paraprofessionals, etc., and conduct workshops, conferences, inservices, etc. for purposes of training.

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED
Of the 22 current projects, nine projects reported a statewide area being served. Three projects serve a county within their respective states, one of which includes a major metropolitan area. Four projects provide services to more than one county. Three projects serve small towns (5,000-100,000), and three projects indicated rural areas as the primary geographic area being served.

LOCATION OF 84.158C PROJECTS
Thirty-eight projects have been funded under this competition, with 22 currently funded. The map below depicts the location of the currently funded projects.
reported by more than 80% of the responding projects included: develop and implement a service delivery model, develop interagency agreements, develop network among service providers, train professionals, paraprofessionals, etc., and conduct workshops, conferences, inservices, etc. for purposes of training.

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED
Of the 22 current projects, nine projects reported a statewide area being served. Three projects serve a county within their respective states, one of which includes a major metropolitan area. Four projects provide services to more than one county. Three projects serve small towns (5,000-100,000), and three projects indicated rural areas as the primary geographic area being served.

LOCATION OF 84.158C PROJECTS
Thirty-eight projects have been funded under this competition, with 22 currently funded. The map below depicts the location of the currently funded projects.
PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop, implement, evaluate and refine a cooperative transition model designed to provide vocational training options to mild, moderate, and severely handicapped students residing in the Humboldt Unified School District, thereby enabling them to make the transition from school to community.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Infusion of career education curriculum into existing special education curriculum.
2. Identification of replication site for our program model.
3. Implementation of work experience program with 12 - 15 students.
4. Inservice training for special education teachers to infuse curriculum.
5. Disseminate project model and findings at local, state and national conferences.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Public secondary school.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. JTPA service delivery area agent, residential education/rehabilitation facility, community education/rehabilitation facility and state agency.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide presentation</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 100.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 100.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12 - 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14 - 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 6 teachers and 2 project staff.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, assessment results for
student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational
program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, employer satisfaction with
student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.


Personal Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS, Transition Institute,
advisory board and school board.

INSTRUMENTATION

Types and name of commercially available instruments used by the project.
General Ability/Intelligence: WISC-R
Special Aptitude: Career Ability Placement Survey
Vocational Skills: Valpar
Language: Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test - Revised
Career Interest: Wide Range Interest and Opinion Test
Dexterity/Manual Skills: Purdue Pegboard
Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test - Revised

Types and name of locally/procto developed instruments.
Observation Form: Work adjustment inventory
Rating Scale: Trainer/employer rating sheet, Training progress report and Trainer/employer evaluation
Other: Student contact sheet
PROJECT TITLE: Project CCTM

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Betsy Bounds

CONTACT PERSON: Betsy Bounds

TELEPHONE: (602) 882-2421

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/89

INSTITUTE #: 134

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Tucson Unified School District
Special Education
1010 E. 10th Street
Tucson, AZ 85719

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Metropolitan area (100,006+) with public transportation.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To improve pre-entry/pre-employment skills, to provide parents with transition information and support, to improve employer attitudes and number of job placements, to improve job success, and to increase mainstreaming into regular vocational education.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Implementation of pilot program to teach pre-entry level skills and increase mainstreaming into vocational education.
2. Revision and distribution of parent transition information manual.
3. Continue parent support meetings, counseling for students needing support to succeed in vocational education or employment training, development of vocational education/special education curriculum modules, and interagency meetings and collaboration.
4. Followup study (survey and phone contact) of graduates and dropouts to determine status and offer support, if needed.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Local education agency.

TYPE OF CooperATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Community/junior college, JTPA service delivery area agent, community workshop, community education/rehabilitation facility, profit making agency, state vocational rehabilitation, private nonprofit agency and Association for Learning Disabilities.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>Currently available (Revision 5/88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>4/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>4/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 1,060.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 350.
### Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 150 parents, 30 agency personnel, 20 teachers, 50 business/industry personnel, 3 project staff and 200 undergraduate/graduate students.

### PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

**On Students/ Clients:**
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client follow-up status.

**On Employers:**
Employer characteristics/demographics, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.

**On Postsecondary Education:**
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.

**Evaluation Approach( es).** Systems analysis and goal based.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director and staff evaluator.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Executive summary and brochure/pamphlet for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, and state education agency.

### INSTRUMENTATION

**Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.**
- General Ability/Intelligence: WISC-R, WAIS and Raven Standard Progressive Matrices
- Special Aptitude: Minnesota Spatial Relations Test, Bennett Mechanical Comprehension Test and Crawford Small Parts Dexterity Test
- Vocational Skills: McCarron-Dial Work Evaluation System, JEVS and Valpar
- Language: Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test
- Career Interest: California Occupational Preference System and Career Orientation Placement and Evaluation Survey
- Dexterity/Manual Skills: Purdue Pegboard and Crawford Small Parts Dexterity
- Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test and SRA

**Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**
- Observation Form: Work habits checklist
- Rating Scale: Employability and social skills rating scale
- Interview: Intake interview
- Survey: Parent survey and employer survey
PROJECT TITLE: Secondary Education Transition Model

INSTITUTE #: 184

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Elnora Gilfoyle

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Jean Morgenweck

MAILING ADDRESS:
Colorado State University
303 Occupational Therapy
Ft. Collins, CO 80523

CONTACT PERSON: Jean Morgenweck

TELEPHONE: (303) 491-5930

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/90

INSTITUTE #: 184

PROJECT PURPOSE. To plan and implement comprehensive transition services for severely handicapped students beginning at the secondary school level. This project will be conducted in cooperation with parents, state and local service agencies, and three local school districts (suburban and rural).

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Establishment of Advisory Council cooperating procedures, responsibilities, composition and team building activities.
2. Select and train "Core Transition Team" to implement individualized transition services within targeted school districts.
3. Prepare training materials/manual for parents, educators, and adult service providers.
5. Develop evaluation instruments to monitor project progress.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF Cooperating AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, local education agency, JTPA service delivery agent, community workshop, state vocational rehabilitation, state developmental disabilities and parents.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>1989 - 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>1988 - 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student handbook</td>
<td>1988 - 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide presentation</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>1988 - 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 110.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 110.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe cerebral palsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe deaf/blind</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe developmental disability</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe emotional disorder</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe epilepsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hearing impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe multiple handicap</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe physical handicap</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe speech impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe visual impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 110 paraprofessional, 20 agency personnel, 150 business/industry personnel, 45 teachers, 10 project staff, 10 postsecondary faculty, 80 undergraduate/graduate students and 15 advisory council members.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
- Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

Evaluation Approach(es). Systems analysis, goal based, decision making and case study.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator and advisory board.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report, executive summary and brochure/pamphlet for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, state vocational rehabilitation, state developmental disabilities, local education agencies, state education agencies, parent groups and parents.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
Other: Functional Assessment Inventory

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Observation Form: Vignettes/administrative journal and situational observation of team member
- Checklist: Quality of transition index and Student records
- Rating Scale: Competency achievement records and IEPs
- Survey: Improvement of linkage survey, Employer/community perception survey and Follow-up survey
- Questionnaire: Parent satisfaction survey and Student satisfaction survey
- Other: Record of student placements and Competency achievement records
PROJECT TITLE: Easter Seal Society of Connecticut, Inc.
Connecticut Transition Initiative

INSTITUTE #: 185

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Lucinda H. Gerson

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Valerie V. LaVake

MAILING ADDRESS:
Easter Seal Society of CT
P. O. Box 100
Hebron, CT 06248

CONTACT PERSON: Valerie V. LaVake

TELEPHONE: (203) 228-9438

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/89

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: State.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop more effective transition planning and service delivery options for secondary students with disabilities in the State of Connecticut through an interagency, statewide initiative.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Establishment of a 15 member advisory Transition Assistance Liaison Council (TALC) from state agencies and service providers.
2. Conduct four inservice workshops for a total of 30 secondary school districts.
3. Selection of five model transition centers for funding and technical assistance.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Private non-profit agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. University/four year college.

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 130 teachers and 30 secondary schools.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.
On Students/Clients:
Number receiving direct services, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training outcomes.

PROJECT TITLE: Life LAB

PROJECT DIRECTOR: B. J. Schenck
PROJECT COORDINATOR: Vicente Perez
CONTACT PERSON: Vicente Perez

TELEPHONE: (904) 336-3676

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/90

PROJECT PURPOSE: To identify barriers to transition and to develop and implement a motivational transitional program to overcome them. The motivational program will involve teachers, parents, and agencies with the student in developing realistic personal and career goals and in obtaining services, training and successful employment.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Conduct baseline data surveys on drop-out rate, agency barriers to transition, employment rate and parent opinion.
2. Compile curriculum of reality based activities.
3. Conduct motivational seminars for VE population.
4. Provide inservice training for faculty.
5. Form an interagency council.
6. Conduct informational seminars among agencies.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: Local education agency.

TYPE OF CooperATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: Community/junior college, university/four year college, JTPA service delivery area agent, residential education/rehabilitation facility, profit making agency, state vocational rehabilitation, state developmental disabilities, state job services, state school board association and Association for Retarded Citizens.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>11/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student handbook</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>6/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>6/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 1,200.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 1,200.
### Handicapping Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild emotional disorder</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate emotional disorder</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe emotional disorder</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hearing impairment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hearing impairment</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound hearing impairment</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound mental retardation</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate physical handicap</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13 - 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: Parents, agency personnel, teachers and project staff.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

**Type of Data/Information Being Collected.**

- **On Students/ Clients:**
  - Number receiving direct services, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

- **On Employers:**
  - Employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** Systems analysis, goal based and decision making.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director, project coordinator, 3rd party evaluator, staff evaluator, LEA funded staff and Interagency Council.

**Type of Evaluation Report and Audience.** Formal evaluation report and videotape for OSERS and Transition Institute.

**INSTRUMENTATION**

**Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.**

- **Rating Scale:** Teacher rating of student goals
- **Interview:** Student interview survey and Employer interview survey
- **Survey:** Parent opinion survey and Agency satisfaction survey
PROJECT TITLE: Cooperative Model for Planning and Developing an Evaluation and Intervention Program to Increase the Effectiveness of Transition Services

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Robert Stodden

CONTACT PERSON: Robert Stodden

TELEPHONE: (808) 948-7956

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To plan and operationalize a cooperative model for improving the availability and quality of transition services for handicapped youth; to implement and evaluate the cooperative model as a demonstration project with handicapped youth participating within transition activities; and to institutionalize effective elements of the demonstrated model, producing outcomes to be incorporated into existing service delivery structures, replicated, and disseminated.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Assist cooperative interagency committee on transition to determine components of the cooperative transition model.
2. Plan and develop a process to analyze information collected within a cooperative transition model re: program performance and school leaver data on mild, moderately and severely disabled students.
3. To plan and develop methods for applying program performance and school leaver data to the improvement of transition programs/services.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Private secondary school, local education agency, profit making agency, state department of education, state vocational rehabilitation, state vocational education and state developmental disabilities.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interagency manual/materials</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 25,000.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 250.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected:

On Students/ Clients:
Number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and graduate assistants.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS, advisory board and state vocational rehabilitation, developmental disabilities and department of education.

INSTRUMENTATION
Type and name of 'locally/project developed instruments.'
Other: Ecological assessments

NOTE: Project profile generated by Technical Assistance staff from grant application.
PROJECT TITLE: Idaho Model for Cooperative Planning and Implementation of Transitional Services  

INSTITUTE #: 188  

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Jani Lambrou  

COMPETITION #: 84.158c  

CONTACT PERSON: Jani Lambrou  

MAILING ADDRESS:  
Idaho State Department of Education  
LBJ Building, 650 W. State Street  
Boise, ID 83720  

PROJECT START DATE: 6/1/87  
PROJECT END DATE: 5/31/90  

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: State.  

PROJECT PURPOSE. To stimulate the development and improvement of programs for secondary special education, and to develop a system of cooperative planning and implementation of transition services through a coordinated system of local, regional and state efforts. Four major components include: interagency working group and the exchange of personnel for various inservice and training needs; inservice training at local, regional, and state workshops; availability of mini-grants to LEAs; and development of school-based transition services in LEAs.  

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)  
1. Support interagency networking and cooperation through activities of the interagency working group (IWG) on transition.  
2. Establish a statewide (time-limited) parent task force to develop two mini-guides for transition planning.  
3. Provide regional workshops on parents and transition planning.  
4. Develop and implement a system of inservice training, technical assistance and support.  
5. Conduct summer course on "Transition Planning and Vocational Special Needs."  
6. Sponsor annual statewide conference on transition.  
7. Select and assist sites involved in ITP Pilot Project.  
8. Stipends to LEAs to stabilize and improve current transition programs.  

PRIMARY GRANTEE. State education agency.  

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. State council on developmental disabilities, state department of vocational rehabilitation, state health and welfare, state department of labor, state social security, state department of employment, state department of vocational education, state commission for the blind and private industry councils.  

PROJECT PRODUCTS  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Policy packet on transition</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS  

Related Service Components  

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 40 agency personnel and 225 school personnel.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client work experience background data, student/client follow-up status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level.

Evaluation Approach(es). Systems analysis, goal based and decision making.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS, state education agency and interagency working group.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Rating Scale: Mini-guide rating scale, Regional workshop rating scale and Participant rating scales
Survey: Student follow-up survey
Questionnaire: Year end process evaluation form
Other: Activity/strategy documentation form and Process evaluation form (pilot site facilitator)
PROJECT TITLE: Illinois Transition Project

PROJECT CO-DIRECTORS: Paul Bates & Carl Suter

PROJECT CO-ORSMAN: Richard Schutz

CONTACT PERSON: Paul Bates

TELEPHONE: (618) 453-2311

PROJECT START DATE: 8/1/86
PROJECT END DATE: 7/31/89

PROJECT PURPOSE: To form a Transition Assistance Committee to develop policy recommendations for transition services development and systematic planning. Support Pilot Transition Projects in local school districts to collect Transition Needs data and conduct individualized transition planning. To evaluate the effectiveness of transition planning.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Develop transition policy recommendations.
2. Support pilot transition projects in local school districts.
3. Collect transition needs data.
4. Conduct individualized transition planning.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: State Governor’s Planning Council on Developmental Disabilities.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: University/four year college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Summer 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>Spring 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instrumenence</td>
<td>Spring 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Modules</td>
<td>Summer 1988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 1,500.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 1,500.

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 10 parents and 50 agency personnel.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client work experience background
data, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator and 3rd party evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Executive summary for consumers, OSERS, state agency, other
boards and other agencies.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/arc. set developed instruments.
Survey: Parent/professional survey
Questionnaire: Transition Planning Guide
Other: Follow-up evaluation
PROJECT TITLE: Promoting Competitive Employment Services for Persons with Severe Handicaps through a Coordinated Longitudinal Model

PROJECT DIRECTOR: David P. Wacker

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Thomas N. Flynn

CONTACT PERSON: Thomas N. Flynn

TELEPHONE: (319) 353-6452

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 6/3/90

INSTITUTE #: 109

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

MAILING ADDRESS:
The University of Iowa
Division of Developmental Disabilities
University Hospital School
Iowa City, IA 52242

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Region within a state including more than one county.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop and implement cooperative interagency agreements in four regions in Iowa to provide supported employment options for persons who are severely handicapped. The project will implement a longitudinal curriculum model to be utilized by secondary schools and adult service agencies to provide vocational programming to students and followup services at the adult program level.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Establish cooperative agreements among schools and adult service providers to implement supported employment services to secondary students and adults with severe handicaps.
2. Provide supported employment services to 40 individuals.
3. Initiate development of a longitudinal training plan in each of the 4 project sites.
4. Develop and distribute a survey to evaluate the acceptability and to isolate factors that limit the acceptability of supported employment to parents, adult service providers, employers, and school personnel.
5. Establish data collection procedures to evaluate the training participants receive.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school and community education/rehabilitation facility.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>6/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape on supported employment</td>
<td>6/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinated services agreements</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 80.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 60.
Handicapping Condition  
Moderate mental retardation  32  16 - 55  
Severe mental retardation  8  16 - 55

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 8 parents, 10 agency personnel, 8 business/industry personnel and 12 teachers.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, student/client demographics, student/client work experience background data, student/client progress in training program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator, project staff and advisory board.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Monograph for OSERS, advisory board, state vocational rehabilitation, state department of education and secondary schools.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Observation Form: Collateral behavior checklist
Checklist: Checklists for acquisition of collateral social behaviors
Survey: Acceptability surveys and pre-post surveys
Other: School and adult referral form and Job development brochure and Videotape
PROJECT TITLE: Project LEAF: Model Program of Leisure Education & Recreation to Facilitate Transition from School to Adult Living for Secondary School-Age Handicapped Youth

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Richard Zachmeyer

CONTACT PERSON: Richard Zachmeyer

TELEPHONE: (606) 278-4712

PROJECT START DATE: 9/01/86
PROJECT END DATE: 8/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To plan, implement, and evaluate a comprehensive community-based model program for recreation and leisure education to facilitate the transition from school to adult living for secondary school-age youth.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Implementation of model program under field test conditions concluding with a thorough review and analysis of field test and other evaluative data.
2. Revise and refine program model prior to full implementation during year three.
3. Continue to identify new program participants.
4. Continue to provide orientation and training to participants, parents, professionals, etc.
5. Maintain and expand on current cooperative agreements with government and community agencies.
6. Begin development of the continuation plan.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Private non-profit agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, city/county government, state vocational rehabilitation, 4-H and YMCA.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent/student handbook</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>Fall 1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 500 - 600.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 400 - 500.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild behavioral disorder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate behavioral disorder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe behavioral disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild brain damage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild cerebral palsy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate cerebral palsy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe cerebral palsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound cerebral palsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate epilepsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe epilepsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild health impairment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate health impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe health impairment</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild hearing impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hearing impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hearing impairment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound hearing impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound mental retardation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild physical handicap</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate physical handicap</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe physical handicap</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound physical handicap</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild speech impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate speech impairment</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe speech impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild visual impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate visual impairment</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe visual impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound visual impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild multiple handicap</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate multiple handicap</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe multiple handicap</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Related Service Components**

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: Parents, agency personnel, business/industry personnel, teachers, project staff and local politicians.

**PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN**

**Type of Data/information Being Collected.**

- On Students/Clients:
  - Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, assessment results for student/client, student/client integration into environment.

**Evaluation Approach(es).** Goal based.

**Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities.** Project director and project staff.

**Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience.** Formal evaluation report for consumers.
PROJECT TITLE: Project HIRED: Handicapped Interagency Rural Employment Development

INSTITUTE #: 136

COMPETITION #: 84.158

MAILING ADDRESS:
Mississippi State University
Counselor Education
P. O. Drawer GE
Mississippi State, MS 39762

TELEPHONE: (601) 325-3849

PROJECT START DATE: 3/1/86
PROJECT END DATE: 7/31/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop and implement an interagency model for transition from school to work in a poor, rural county of Mississippi; replication of the model in three additional Mississippi counties; and dissemination statewide and nationally.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Implement and continue materials development.
2. Conduct inservice for 2 school district's special education teachers and local human service agencies.
3. Conduct parent training seminars.
4. Maintain monthly contact with administrators and practitioners from schools and agencies and consult with special education teachers.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college and research institute.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, local education agency, JTPA service delivery area agent, residential education/rehabilitation facility, community workshop, community education/rehabilitation facility, profit making agency, city/county government, state departments of special education, vocational rehabilitation, developmental disabilities and vocational education, Association for Retarded Citizens and Parent Advocacy Center.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>7/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>7/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 300.

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: Parents, agency personnel, business/industry personnel, teachers and undergraduates/graduate students.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client work experience background data, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project.

Evaluation Approach(es): Systems analysis, goal based and decision making.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project coordinator and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Formal evaluation report for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, state agency and Community Council for the Handicapped.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
General Ability/Intelligence: WISC-R
Vocational Skills: Valpar
Language: WoodcockJohnson Psychoeducational Battery and Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test
Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills: Street Survival Skills Questionnaire
Social Skills: Adaptive Behavior Scale and Life Centered Career Education Competencies by Environmental.vomiein
Daily Living Skills: Life Centered Career Education Checklist
Academic Achievement: California Achievement Test and Wide Range Achievement Test

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Checklist: Functional skills checklist
Rating Scale: Employer/employee checklist
Survey: Parent survey
Other: Staff inservice rating scales
PROJECT TITLE: Specialized Education/Rehabilitation Transition Services (SERTS)

INSTITUTE #: 190

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Melanie L. Gibson

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

CONTACT PERSON: Melanie L. Gibson

MAILING ADDRESS: Advent Enterprises, Inc.
296 Melwood
Columbia, MO 65202

TELEPHONE: (314) 474-8560

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 5/30/90

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Small town (5,000 - 100,000) with city transportation.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop and implement a community system to transition handicapped youth exiting the public schools. These students will receive services to include case management, consultation, individualized assistance in job seeking skills, job search and supported employment. Referral services are also provided in order to maximize the employment potential by utilizing existing resources and reducing duplication of effort.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Development of service delivery linkages between public schools, vocational rehabilitation, adult service providers, and business/industry.

2. Development of project brochure.

3. Formation of advisory council and resulting letters of agreement.

4. Formalize referral system within community.

5. Serve 36 students in various stages of transition process.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Private non-profit agency.

TYPE OF CooperATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary schools, university/four year college, state vocational rehabilitation and state Department of mental health.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>7/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training package on career awareness &amp; job seeking skills</td>
<td>6/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 200.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 180.
Handicapping Condition | No. Served | Age Range
--- | --- | ---
Moderate emotional disorder | 10 | 16 - 22
Severe emotional disorder | 10 | 16 - 22
Moderate epilepsy | 5 | 16 - 22
Mild learning disability | 10 | 16 - 22
Moderate learning disability | 10 | 16 - 22
Mild mental retardation | 45 | 16 - 22
Moderate mental retardation | 45 | 16 - 22
Severe mental retardation | 20 | 16 - 22

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 100 parents, 40 agency personnel, 25 business/industry personnel, 10 teachers and 2 project staff.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employees:
- Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

Evaluation Approaches. Goal based.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS, Transition Institute and advisory board.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R and General Aptitude Test Battery
- Special Aptitude: General Aptitude Test Battery, Valpar System, and JEVS System
- Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills: Street Survival Skills Questionnaire
- Career Interest: Career Awareness Inventory, Inventory Determination Evaluation and Gordon Occupational Checklist
- Daily Living Skills: Independent Living Skills Checklist
- Dexterity/Manual Skills: General Aptitude Test Battery
- Personality: Piers Harris Self Concept Scale, Edwards Personal Preference Schedule, Myers-Briggs Type Indicator and Mooney Problem Checklist
- Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test, Adult Basic Learning Exam I, II, III
- Other:

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Observation Form: Behavioral observation forms
- Checklist: Work maturity competency checklist and Skills competency checklist
- Rating Scale: Employer satisfaction (follow-up) and Client satisfaction
- Interview: Screening interview, Intake interview, and Job seeking skills interview
- Survey: Labor market surveys
- Other: Client contact log and Release/information
PROJECT TITLE: Great Falls Transition Project

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Stephen White

CONTACT PERSON: Stephen White

TELEPHONE: (406) 791-2281

PROJECT START DATE: 8/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 7/31/89

INSTITUTE #: 130

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Great Falls VOTEC Center
2100 - 16th Avenue South
Great Falls, MT 59405

CONPETITICM #: 84.158C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Great Falls VOTEC Center
2100 - 16th Avenue South
Great Falls, MT 59405

PROJECT PURPOSE: To develop, implement, and validate a coordinated model of transitional services which enables disabled youth to access needed adult services and secure the least restrictive employment option available to them, regardless of disability.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1986-88)

1. Develop interagency inservice program which establishes a network for cross training among participating agencies.
2. Develop and disseminate a Transition Handbook for parents.
3. Develop an Employer to Employer Awareness Program.
4. Develop and disseminate a Handbook for Supervisors and Co-workers designed to enable workers with disabilities to reach their potential.
5. Develop, implement and disseminate a comprehensive assessment inservice program for schools.
6. Field test, validate and disseminate Index of Least Restrictive Employment.
7. Continue to develop a Supported Employment Component for Transition for School Age Youth.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: Public secondary school and local education agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: Private secondary school, community/junior college, JTPA service delivery area agent, community workshop, profit making agency, city/county government, state vocational rehabilitation, state developmental disabilities, state social security, state mental health, private non-profit agency, Association of Learning Disabilities and hospital.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual for supervisors</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition material dissemination packet</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 1500.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 300.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild behavioral disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate behavioral disorder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild brain damage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe cerebral palsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate chronic mental illness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild communication disorder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild developmental disability</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate developmental disability</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe developmental disability</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild emotional disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild hearing impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hearing impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hearing impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild physical handicap</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe physical handicap</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild speech impairment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate speech impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe speech impairment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound speech impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe visual impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropouts</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically disadvantaged</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority youth</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 - 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 20 agency personnel, 40 business/industry personnel, 25 teachers, 4 project staff and 100 parents.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., reason for working with project.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project staff and staff evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, Office of Public Instruction, state vocational rehabilitation, state developmental disabilities, Montana Employment Project and Montana Supported Employment Demonstration Project.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
- Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills: Attitudes Toward Interacting with People Test
- Career Interest: Self-Directed Search
- Personality: Career Maturity Inventory and CooperSmith Self-Esteem Inventory
- Other: Associated Work Skills checklist

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Observation Form: Critical Employment factors assessment
- Checklist: The work values inventory, Home-school assessment for use with severe disabilities and Life skills assessment
- Rating Scale: Assessment of employee independence, Post-placement probe and Index of least restrictive employment
- Interview: Student and parent exit interview
PROJECT TITLE: Project LINK

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Marjorie T. Goldstein

ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR: Susan N. Kuveke

CONTACT PERSON: Marjorie T. Goldstein

TELEPHONE: (201) 595-3092/3

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To facilitate the successful transition of mildly handicapped postsecondary youth from school to community. Using the college campus as a "sheltered community," the program involves members in paid, part-time campus-based work experience focusing on work values/attitudes, and on the development of appropriate work-related social behaviors; campus-based social and recreational activities coordinated by mentors in collaboration with members; and instruction, based on members' needs, and provided by mentors. A major objective is to create opportunities for members to "lose their labels" as they become increasingly independent of external controls and more self-reliant.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Implementation of interagency planning to include identification of collaborating agencies and the initiation of interagency communications network.
2. Plan, develop and implement college-based programs to include mentor training program, work-experience, social/recreational, and instructional aspects of campus-based program.
3. Establish concurrent work-experience skill training with PSSPs.
4. Design/implement evaluation procedures.
5. Identify research questions.
6. Identity/select LINK advisory board members and constitute leadership and liaison field boards.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Local education agency, JTPA service delivery area agent and state agencies.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidelines for mentor training</td>
<td>9/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>6/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final report</td>
<td>9/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus orientation manual</td>
<td>1/90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 55.

177

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 55.
Handicapping Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild behavioral disorders</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild emotional disorder</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropouts</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 8 undergraduate student mentors.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected:

On Students/ Clients:
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
- Level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.

On Postsecondary Education:
- Level of direct service provided by project.

Evaluation Approach(es): Systems analysis, goal based, goal free, decision making, professional review and case study.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities:
- Project director, associate director, project mentors, advisory board, 3rd party evaluator and administrative assistant.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience:
- Formal evaluation report and brochure/pamphlet for consumers; OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, state department of labor, state department of education, state department of vocational rehabilitation, state special education division, Private Industry Council, local education agencies and PSSPs.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project:
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R AND WISC-R
- Special Aptitude: Bennett Mechanical Comprehension Test
- Vocational Skills: POSVAP: Problem-Oriented Social Vocational Adaptation Program
- Language: Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test - Revised
- Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills: street Survival Skills Questionnaire
- Social Ills: Social and Prevocational Information Inventory
- Daily Living Skills: Brigance Inventory of Essential Skills
- Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:
- Observation Form: On-site work behaviors, Social/recreational behaviors, and Mentor activity records
- Checklist: Work readiness criteria and Social/recreational skills checklist
- Rating Scale: Work attitudes, Employer satisfaction, and Member satisfaction
- Interview: Intake interview, Mentor interview, and Progress reports from field liaison professionals
- Survey: Survey of attributes that influence adjustment to "world"
- Questionnaire: High risk questionnaire, Instrument utilization questionnaire, and Mentor satisfaction with project questionnaire
PROJECT TITLE: A Community Based Network to Assist Handicapped Youths' Transition from School to Work: A Four Part Model

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Lawrence Dennis

CONTACT PERSON: Lawrence Dennis

TELEPHONE: (614) 466-5718

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/86
PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/89

PROJECT PURPOSE: To develop an effective and permanent four-part model that will develop: local interagency cooperative agreements, individualized transition plans as a component of the individualized education plans, inservice training for parents, school personnel and support agency staff and a Project With Industry component.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Implement and field test the Transition Model in one Special Education Regional Resource Center.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: State education agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: Community workshop, state MR/DD, private non-profit agency and regional education centers.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
- Brochure
- Training manual
- Journal articles
- Resource guide of support agencies

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS
- Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 5,525.

Related Service Components
- Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: Parents, agency personnel, business/industry personnel, and teachers.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN
Type of Data/Information Being Collected:

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.

Evaluation Approach(es): Decision making.
Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and 3rd party evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS.

INSTRUMENTATION
Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Rating Scale: Inservice evaluation rating scales
- Survey: Community agencies survey

NOTE: Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from grant application.
PROJECT TITLE: From School to Adult Life: Building a Transition System

INSTITUTE #: 192

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Larry Rhodes

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Susan Bert

MAILING ADDRESS:
University of Oregon
Specialized Training Program
135 Education Building
Eugene, OR 97403

CONTACT PERSON: Susan Bert

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

INSTITUTE #: 192

INSTITUTE #: 192

INSTITUTE #: 192

PROJECT PURPOSE. To involve education, adult services and family participants in order to establish a state and local process for collective work to insure successful transition.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Expand and analyze the knowledge base regarding barriers to transition.
2. Construct transition model.
3. Develop collaboration among participants.
4. Develop materials and provide training to build family’s role.
5. Implement transition model at state and local level.
6. Evaluate project.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Local education agency, community/junior college, state developmental disabilities planning council, state vocational rehabilitation agency, state developmental disabilities agency, private non-profit agency, Association for Retarded Citizens and educational service district.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product                  Date Available
Training manual          No date indicated
Project developed instruments No date indicated
Journal articles         No date indicated

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 100.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 100.

Handicapping Condition       No. Served  Age Range
Severe handicapping condition 100  *

(* no age range indicated.)
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (train'through project activities: 40 60 parents and 12 teachers.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number receiving direct or related services, student/client demographics, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., and postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator and staff evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for consumers, OSERS, state policy makers, employment service providers and educators.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:

Checklist: Transition model checklist
Survey: Needs assessment survey and Survey of involved individuals
Other: Transition model documentation and individual data summaries
PROJECT TITLE: Oregon Transition Program

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Ray S. Rothstrom

CONTACT PERSON: Ray S. Rothstrom

TELEPHONE: (503) 378-4765

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop, field test, revise and implement a model for improving secondary special education and transition services for students with either mild or severe disabilities in school districts throughout Oregon. To construct local teams under the leadership of each LEA in order to develop and implement annual plans for improving secondary special education and transition services in local communities. To achieve statewide implementation of the model utilizing procedures and materials being developed as a foundation for such implementation.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Implement needs assessment and program planning activities with twelve local transition teams during the summer training program in Eugene, OR.
2. Negotiate and award special grants to twelve local transition teams.
3. Provide technical assistance a minimum of three times to each local transition team during the 1987-88 academic year.
4. Evaluate the extent to which each local transition team has achieved the objectives of its annual plan during the 1987-88 academic year.
5. Prepare a manual describing local transition team procedures for developing and implementing an annual plan.
6. Prepare a resource guide describing exemplary programs and services that might be incorporated into a local transition team annual plan.
7. Evaluate and revise the resource guide based on feedback from local transition teams.
8. Negotiate agreements with twelve new local transition teams to participate in the project during the second year of its operation.
9. Implement the needs assessment procedures with the twelve new local transition teams.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. State education agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, local education agency, community/junior college, university/four year college, JTPA service delivery area agent, community workshop, state vocational rehabilitation, state mental health division, parent organizations and state employment division.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>7/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA procedures manual</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 10,000.

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 22 parents, 40 agency personnel, 3 business/industry personnel, 55 teachers, 3 project staff, 11 postsecondary faculty and 27 administrators.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Evaluation Approach(es). Goal based, goal tree and decision making.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Subcontract director, project staff and project associate.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Executive summary for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board and state department of education.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.

Survey: Proposed standards for evaluating the quality of secondary special education and transition programs
PROJECT TITLE: Tennessee Transition Model

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Carolyn Meredith-Henderson

CONTACT PERSON: Carolyn Meredith-Henderson

TELEPHONE: (615) 453-1671

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/86
PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/89

PROJECT PURPOSE: To assist in the development of a statewide system of transition services by participation in interagency planning at the state and local levels and by demonstration of a transition model in a rural area.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Conduct follow-up study of special education students exiting 1979-89.
2. Revise secondary special education curriculum.
3. Develop postsecondary curriculum and home training program.
4. Establish facilities for Basic Life Skills.
5. Develop individualized recreation program.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: Private non-profit agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: Local education agency, community/junior college, university/four year college, JTPA service delivery area agent, community workshop, research institute, city/county government, state vocational rehabilitation, state department of education, state developmental disabilities, state social security, state job service and Association for Retarded Citizens.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Manual</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 425.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 425.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
<td>16+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* Indicates various handicapping conditions that reflect all present or former special education students in Sevier County Schools).
Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 200 parents.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN
Type of Data/Information Being Collected:
On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services,
student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience
background data, assessment results... student/client, student/client progress in training program,
student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment,
student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level,
level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with
student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. 3rd party evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS.

INSTRUMENTATION
Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Other: Inservice evaluation forms, Monitoring charts and State of Tennessee Community Skills Profile
(CSP)
PROJECT TITLE: A Statewide Model for Cooperative Planning and Developing Transitional Services

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Marc Hull

CONTACT PERSON: Marc Hull

TELEPHONE: (802) 828-3141, 656-1142

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop, implement and evaluate a model for state- and local-level interagency collaboration between the Divisions of Special Education and Vocational Education, the Division of Mental Retardation, the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation and the Department of Employment and Training. The goal is to enhance the transitional services available to youth labeled mentally retarded in Vermont.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Planning models for transitional services in area vocational centers, comprehensive regional high schools and small :ural high schools.
2. Restructuring secondary service delivery models so that transitional services can be offered in all high schools in Vermont.
3. Assisting districts to identify resources for developing transitional services.
4. Assisting districts to develop transitional curricula and community-based training op 'ons.
5. Providing on-site inservice education in transitional services.
6. Developing local interagency agreements between school districts and adult service providers.
7. Developing instruments for conducting followup on students who receive transitional services.
8. Developing a state level policy on transitional services.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. State education agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. University/four year college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>8/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>8/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>12/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 1,200.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 400.
Handicapping Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

("* no age range indicated.")

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 2 agency personnel, 40 teachers, 4 project staff and 20 local administrators.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, student/client progress in training program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer outcome status.

Evaluation Approach(es). Systems analysis, goal based and goal free.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report and data summaries for consumers, OSERS and state agencies.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Rating Scale: Employer satisfaction rating scale
Survey: Needs assessment survey
Questionnaire: Process evaluation questionnaire
PROJECT TITLE: Project PACT: Partnerships in Action for Community Transition

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Dorsey Hiltenbrand

CONTACT PERSON: Dorsey Hiltenbrand

TELEPHONE: (703) 876-5223

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/86
PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To design and implement an integrated service system model to enhance transition for youth with handicaps to work and adult living. Project PACT will focus on the design of a cooperative agreement and implementation plan to provide a continuum of services. This will include the linkage of educational agencies, adult services, rehabilitation, mental health, advocacy organizations and the employment sector.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Develop a multi-agency cooperative agreement and implementation plan that delineates objectives, roles and responsibilities.
2. Utilize the vocational planning guides for handicapped students, 7-12th grades, to incorporate input from school and community personnel in the assessment of and individual planning for transitional services (to include vocational and support services).
3. Jointly develop and implement a curriculum that defines and incorporates the range of skills necessary for participation in work and adult life.
4. Plan and implement a series of informational seminars and workshops for parents on transitional/post secondary services and resources for handicapped students.
5. Plan and implement a collaborative inservice training model for school and agency personnel
6. Develop and implement a comprehensive evaluation plan to document project achievements.
7. Design and disseminate a brochure which identifies all members of this cooperative effort, outlines the role of each agency and provides a point of contact for each agency.
8. Disseminate project information to agencies and systems involved in transitional service delivery.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Local education agency.

TYPE OF CooperATING AGENCIES/Organizations. State vocational rehabilitation and state community services board.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>6/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary report</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NATIONAL INSTITUTE: 133
COMPETITION #: 84.158C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Department of Student Services & Special Ed.
Devonshire Center
2831 Graham Road
Falls Church, VA 22042

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: County including metropolitan area (100,000+) with public transportation.
PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 1,250.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 200.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild emotional disorder</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate emotional disorder</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe emotional disorder</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate learning disability</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild physical handicap</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate physical handicap</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe physical handicap</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17 - 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* indicates participation in the curriculum component only)

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 400 parents, 30 agency personnel, 20 business/industry personnel, 200 teachers and 100 guidance counselors.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
- Number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status.

On Postsecondary Education:
- Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project.

Evaluation Approaches:
- Systems analysis, goal based, decision making and professional review.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities:
- Project director, project coordinator, staff evaluator, vocational rehabilitation agency representative and community services board representative.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience:
- Formal evaluation report, brochure/pamphlet and follow-up survey of graduates for cures, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, vocational rehabilitation and community services board.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:
- Interview: Survey interview for graduate follow-up
- Survey: Needs assessment - training needs for agency personnel and parents

NOTE: 1986 Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from 1987 Project Profile.
PROJECT TITLE: Project VAST

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Anthony G. Fiana

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Raymond C. Graesser

CONTACT PERSON: Raymond C. Graesser

TELEPHONE: (804) 225-2880

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/86

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop a model at state and local levels which insures students with disabilities in Virginia will receive transition services focusing on career preparation, employment, independence and successful life adjustment through an organized case management system. The service delivery model involves ten state agencies and a computerized transition information system.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Replicate transition procedures in eight additional sites.
2. Expand transition information system to include client tracking and resource information.
3. Revise project handbook and training package.
4. Train local task force team members.
5. Provide inservice and technical assistance to implementation sites.
6. Conduct professional presentations at state and local conferences.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. State department of education.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, local education agency, community/junior college, university/four year college, JTPA service delivery area agent, residential education/rehabilitation facility, community workshop, community education/rehabilitation facility, state vocational rehabilitation, state employment commission, state department for the rights of the disabled, state department for the deaf and hard of hearing and state department for the visually handicapped.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>10/22/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>6/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide presentation</td>
<td>6/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication Manual</td>
<td>6/87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 240.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 240.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate autism</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/profound autism</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate behavioral disorder</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/profound behavioral disorder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate brain damage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/profound brain damage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate cerebral palsy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/profound cerebral palsy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate chronic mental illness</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/profound chronic mental illness</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild communication disorder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate communication disorder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/profound communication disorder</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild emotional disorder</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate emotional disorder</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/profound emotional disorder</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild epilepsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe epilepsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild health impairment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe health impairment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild hearing impairment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hearing impairment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe learning disability</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild physical handicap</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/profound physical handicap</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild speech impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate speech impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/profound speech impairment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild spinal cord injury</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate spinal cord injury</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/profound spinal cord injury</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild traumatic head injury</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe traumatic head injury</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild visual impairment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe visual impairment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropouts</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically disadvantaged</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority youth</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Related Service Components**

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 120 agency personnel, 24 teachers and 2 project staff.
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
- Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
- Employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
- Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator, project staff, advisory board, 3rd party evaluator and task force.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report and executive summary for OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, state agency and state task force.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.

General Ability/Intelligence: WISC-R and WAIS-R

Other types: Special Aptitude Tests, Vocational Skills, Academic Achievement, Language Tests; Social Skills; Career Interest Inventories; Survival Skills; Daily Living Skills; Dexterity/Manual Skills; and Vocational Adaptive Rating Scale
PROJECT TITLE: Project PLACEMENT

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Anthony Faina

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Susan Sinkewiz

CONTACT PERSON: Susan Sinkewiz

TELEPHONE: (304) 225-2899

PROJECT START DATE: 7/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 6/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop, implement and refine a model which ensures successful competitive employment for job-ready special education students exiting the public schools through establishment of business, industry, education, rehabilitation, and state employment service alliance; interagency planning and collaboration; development and implementation of a job readiness assessment system; utilization of client tracking system; job placement; and development of employer services.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Development and implementation of job readiness assessment system.
2. Implementation of coordinated placement procedures across agencies in two localities.
3. Development of training materials and project operations manual.
4. Inservice of local placement teams in two localities.
5. Formation of State Project Advisory Committee.
7. Establishment of local employer networks in two localities.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. State education agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Rehabilitation facility, state department of vocational rehabilitation and state employment commission.

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: Parents, agency personnel, business/industry personnel, teachers and project staff.

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 560.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 560.
Handicapping Condition  | No. Served | Age Range
--- | --- | ---
Mild behavioral disorders | * | 18 - 20
Mild brain damage | * | 18 - 20
Mild cerebral palsy | * | 18 - 20
Mild communication disorder | * | 18 - 20
Mild deaf/blind | * | 18 - 20
Mild developmental disability | * | 18 - 20
Mild emotional disorder | * | 18 - 20
Mild hearing impairment | * | 18 - 20
Mild learning disability | * | 18 - 20
Mild mental retardation | * | 18 - 20
Mild multiple handicap | * | 18 - 20
Mild speech impairment | * | 18 - 20
Mild visual impairment | * | 18 - 20

(*) = no numbers indicated.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


INSTRUMENTATION
Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
- General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R and WISC-R
- Vocational Skills: Vocational Assessment and Curriculum Guide
- Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills: Street Survival Skills Questionnaire
- Academic Achievement: Wide Range Achievement Test

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Observation Form: Daily living skills observation and work behavior observation form
- Checklist: Independent living skills checklist
- Rating Scale: Employer satisfaction rating
- Interview: Intake interview
SUMMARY OF 84.158C EXPIRED PROJECT PROFILES

PROJECT PURPOSE
Federal funding for 16 projects in this competition expired in August and September, 1987. The overall purpose stated by these projects was to improve employment outcome for students served through the project model. Two projects focused on statewide system change. The projects served youth with various handicapping conditions.

FOCUS OF CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES
Twelve projects reported on the extent of continuation activities upon expiration of federal funding. Of the reporting projects, six are focusing on dissemination activities. Expansion of project services and manpower training were each reported as a continuation focus for five projects.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED
Of the 12 projects reporting on continuation activities, six projects indicated the continuation of major program components through agencies other than the primary grantee. Seven projects reported training as a program component being continued. Other program components being continued by at least one-third of the reporting projects include: assessment, placement, followup, education, dissemination, agency coordination, identification of employers/business sites and provision of transitional/employment and support services.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Twelve projects reported on the availability of project products. Eight projects indicated the development of a project brochure, and six projects reported the availability of a parent handbook. Seven training manuals have been developed by four projects, and six articles have been written by three projects. Replication manuals and project developed instruments were each reported as products by three projects. Slide presentations/videotapes have been developed by three projects. Other singular products included newsletter, resource directory, curricula, student handbook and teacher handbook.
LOCATION OF 84.158C EXPIRED PROJECTS

The map below depicts the location of the 16 expired projects in this competition.
PROJECT TITLE: Project MEAL

CONTACT PERSON: Dorothy Crawford

TELEPHONE: (602) 254-0822

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE: The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a model which provides successful transition for persons with learning disabilities to optimal employment that is commensurate with their capabilities. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continue program as developed during grant period.
2. Disseminate project products.
3. Present model at national conferences to demonstrate replication process.
4. Initiate extended services.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Core training and Vocational skill related training/Dorothy Crawford or George Roybal, R & D Training Institutes, P. O. Box 15112, Phoenix, AZ, (602) 254-0822.
2. Vocational training/Carie Brauer, Maricopa Skill Center, Rio Salado Community College, (602) 437-1550.
4. Job placement monitoring and Followup/George Roybal, R & D Training Institutes, P. O. Box 15112, Phoenix, AZ, (602) 254-0822.
5. Vocational assessment, Bill Gadzia, Maricopa Skill Center, (602) 437-1550.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title
Project developed instruments/Varied forms and checklists: Available from R & D Training Institutes, Inc., P. O. Box 15112, Phoenix, AZ.
Replication manual/MEAL manual: Available $10.00 from R & D Training Institutes, Inc., P. O. Box 15112, Phoenix, AZ.
The purpose of this federally funded project was to develop and implement a cooperative transitional services model that will prepare special education students for transition to employment and independent living. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability and mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Adding an additional classroom at Adult Education to provide services for an additional fourteen students.
2. Adding an Adult Education funded staff member to provide supported work activities.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Community-based education, Job development, Followup, Placement, Job coaching, Student support services and Service management/Peg Fairbrook, Stockton Unified School District, 701 N. Madison, Stockton, CA 95202, (209) 944-4497.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
PROJECT TITLE: Transition Improvement Planning (TIP)

CONTACT PERSON: Michael Norman

TELEPHONE: (606) 257-1337

SPECIAL NET USER ID: NORMAN

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to improve programs preparing youth with handicaps for transition from school age programs to community living and employment.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Training of 20 community transition teams.
2. Dissemination of transition manuals.
3. Dissemination of TIP newsletters.
4. Recommendations of state transition model.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure/Transition: Available from Human Development Institute, University of Kentucky, Porter Building, Lexington, KY 40506.
Newsletter/LEA/Agency Newsletter: Available from Human Development Institute, University of Kentucky, Porter Building, Lexington, KY 40506.
Parent handbook/Currently being developed.
Training manual/Transition Improvement Planning Guide: Available from Human Development Institute, University of Kentucky, Porter Building, Lexington, KY 40506.
Videotape/Transition: What the Future Holds (30 minutes): Available from Human Development Institute, University of Kentucky, Porter Building, Lexington, KY 40506.
Article/Possible Dreams: Available in Counterpoint, February 1987.
PROJECT TITLE: STEEP Span

CONTACT PERSON: Patrick J. McGinn

TELEPHONE: (816) 833-4417

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

INSTITUTE #: 42

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Independence School District
14220 E. 35th Street
Independence, MO 64055

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was to strengthen and coordinate education, training and related services that assist handicapped youth in the transition to competitive or supported employment, postsecondary education, vocational training, continuing education, or adult services. The project provides preparatory vocational training, job site development within the community, and needed transitional support services in the least restrictive environment. Provide vocational evaluation and training to handicapped youth to promote a successful transition from school to work. The service delivery model is one of direct intervention and training. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, cerebral palsy, developmental disability, emotional disorder, epilepsy, health impairment, learning disability, mental retardation and physical handicap.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Vocational material development.
2. Daily living skills material development.
3. Develop audio and visual tapes.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Vocational evaluation, Prevocational training and Academics related to vocational training or job placement/Patrick J. McGinn, Independence School District, 14220 E. 35th Street, Independence, MO 64055.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Brochure/STEEP Span; available at no cost from Patrick J. McGinn, Independence School District, 14220 E. 35th Street, Independence, MO 64055.

Parent handbook, Training manual, Videotape and Curricula//currently being developed.


PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop and implement a model cooperative plan to insure the effective and timely transition of persons with developmental disabilities into the world of work. This plan will be developed by a planning committee consisting of adult service providers, parents, school personnel and employers and implemented through a Community Transition Center. In addition, recently graduated students, unemployed or underemployed, are provided vocational training utilizing the Supported Employment Model as well as related skill training. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: developmental disability, emotional disorder, mental retardation and traumatic head injury.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Supported employment (job coach and work crew options)/Services purchased by Missouri Vocational Rehabilitation, Charles Kimberline, 304 S. Franklin, Kirksville, MO 63501; Department of Mental Health, Tim Magruder, 1702 E. LaHouse, Kirksville, MO 63501.
2. Supported employment (job coach and work crew options)/EDGE (vendor), Sue Ann Morrow, 301 E. Missouri, Kirksville, MO 63501.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure: Available at no cost from EDGE, Inc., 301 E. Missouri, Kirksville, MO 63501.
Parent handbook and teacher handbook/Currently being developed: Contact EDGE, Inc., 301 E. Missouri, Kirksville, MO 63501 for further information.
PROJECT TITLE: A Planning Model for the Development of Intersector Agreements and Transitional Services

CONTACT PERSON: Barb Elliott

TELEPHONE: (402) 463-5611

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to improve employment outcomes for handicapped rural high school students that are currently characterized by high unemployment rates, low wages, and dependent post-evaluation living arrangements. To develop an interagency joint working group at the local level to improve the transition from school to work and to establish supported employment options which are community and interagency supported. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, hearing impairment, learning disability, mental retardation, speech impairment and multiple handicap.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continue to refine secondary vocational curriculum and secondary transition process.
2. Continue parent and staff training.
3. Continue to work with state level agencies on dissemination.
4. Continue to work with local intersector group to refine and further develop job coach/supported employment model.
5. Continue longitudinal evaluation studies.
6. Prepare journal articles.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Intake, Eligibility determination, Assessment, Referral and Placement/Barb Elliott, Educational Service Unit #9 in conjunction with LEA and Jack Shepard, Vocational Rehabilitation and other state agencies.
2. Dissemination, Followup and Training/Barb Elliott, Educational Service Unit #9 in conjunction with LEA and Jack Shepard, Vocational Rehabilitation and Mid-Nebraska Mental Retardation Services.
3. Education, Program refinement and Longitudinal evaluation/Barb Elliott, Educational Service Unit #9.
4. Interagency coordination/Intersector group representing Educational Service Unit #9, LEA, Vocational Rehabilitation, Mid-Nebraska Mental Retardation Services and Job Service.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Tite
Brochure//Supported Employment Specialists: Available from ESU #9, P. O. Box 2047, Hastings, NE 68901.
Parent handbook//Agency Resource Guide: Available from ESU #9, P. O. Box 2047, Hastings, NE 68901.
Training manual//Cooperative Vocational Handbook: Available from ESU #9, P. O. Box 2047, Hastings, NE 68901.
Training manual//Developmental Training Program Curriculum: Available from ESU #9, P. O. Box 2047, Hastings, NE 68901.
Training manual//Developmental Training Program Transition Guide: Available from ESU #9, P. O. Box 2047, Hastings, NE 68901.
Project developed instruments//Longitudinal evaluation study format: Available from ESU #9, P. O. Box 2047, Hastings, NE 68901.
Journal article/Transition Process: Definition for Rural Areas: Rural Special Education Quarterly - AGRES, Volume 8, #5.
PROJECT TITLE: Assisting Learning Disabled Students
Transition to Postsecondary Programs through Cooperative
Planning at the Secondary Level

CONTACT PERSON: Lawrence C. Gloeckler

TELEPHONE: (518) 474-5548

SPECIAL NET USE: NY.SE

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a model for assisting LD students make a successful transition from secondary school to postsecondary education. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Expand activities at original project sites.
2. Replicate model at other sites.
3. Provide training for new project participants.
4. Disseminate project final report and description of model upon request.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Project developed instruments/Description of Model Data Collection Instruments: Product information available from Nancy Laurie, NYS Education Department, Room 1069, EBA, Albany, NY 12234.
Final report: Product information available from Nancy Laurie, NYS Education Department, Room 1069, EBA, Albany, NY 12234.
PROJECT TITLE: Competitive Employment through Vocational Experience

CONTACT PERSON: David W. Test

TELEPHONE: (704) 547-2531

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide handicapped secondary students with competitive and volunteer work opportunities to compensate for the lack of work experience prior to graduation as well as provide each student with specific guidance in seeking employment through a written transition model. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability, mental retardation, physical handicap and traumatic head injury.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

1. Placement, Training, Follow-along, Job coaching and Research/Ms. Pat Keul, Supported Employment Training, Inc.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

Parent handbook//Planning for transition: Answers for parents: Available at no cost from David W. Test, Department of Special Education, UNC-Chapel Hill, Charlotte, NC 28223.

Replication manual//Providing supported work experience through job coaching: Available at no cost from David W. Test, Department of Special Education, UNC-Chapel Hill, Charlotte, NC 28223.

Other manual//Writing transition plans: Available at no cost from David W. Test, Department of Special Education, UNC-Chapel Hill, Charlotte, NC 28223.


Journal article//A functional analysis of the acquisition and maintenance of janitorial skills in a competitive work setting by D. W. Test, P. K. Keul and T. Grossi (in press), The Journal of the Association for Persons with Severe Handicaps: Available $81.96 from David W. Test, Department of Special Education, UNC-Chapel Hill, Charlotte, NC 28223.

PROJECT TITLE: Planning and Developing Cooperative Models of Transitional Services for North Carolina's Handicapped Youth

CONTACT PERSON: Susan Gurganus

TELEPHONE: (919) 733-3004

SPECIAL NET USER ID: NC.SE

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE: The purpose of this federally funded project was: to affect statewide improvement in services for handicapped youth by assisting local education and human service organizers to cooperatively plan the transitional and adult services needed by handicapped youth.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Inservice training, four statewide workshops held for transition service providers.
2. Continue information dissemination to key individuals and groups in North Carolina.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Training, Agency coordination and Information dissemination/Susan Gurganus, Division of Exceptional Children, NC Department of Public Instruction, 116 W. Edenton Street, Raleigh, NC 27603-1712, (919) 733-3004.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Training manual/Transition: A handbook for service providers: Available at nominal cost from Office of Publications, NC Department of Public Instruction, 116 W. Edenton Street, Raleigh, NC 27603-1712.
PROJECT TITLE: Transition from School to Adult Life

CONTACT PERSON: Debra N. Hatcher

TELEPHONE: (919) 782-8346

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide the opportunity for students with disabilities to experience and learn about the local job market, job seeking skills, and relatedness of avocational planning in order to smooth the transition from school to work. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: autism and mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. State funding of 5 positions.
2. Provide direct student services on at least a semester-long basis.
5. Continue services for those students in last year of self-contained classes.
6. Develop program brochure and conduct monthly educational seminars for parents.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

1. Tour and trial of community work sites, Volunteer work experience, Community resource training, Identification of business sites, Interagency coordination. Leisure education/Debbie Thomas, ARC/Wake County, United Way Center, 100 Wake Forest Road, Raleigh, NC, 27604, (919) 832-2660.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

Brochure: For product information, call Debbie Thomas at Wake Association for Retarded Citizens, 919/832-2660.


Slide presentation: For product information, call Debbie Thomas at Wake Association for Retarded Citizens, 919/832-2660.

Resource directory/Community resources in transition - A description of adult services available in Raleigh, NC: Available $2.50 from Employment Opportunities, Inc., 3509 Haworth Drive, Suite 402, Raleigh, NC, 27609.
PROJECT TITLE: Transition Employment Program

INSTITUTE #: 38

CONTACT PERSON: Terence W. Admas

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

TELEPHONE: (615) 832-4222

MAILING ADDRESS:
Genesis Learning Center
477 McMurray Drive
Nashville, TN 37211

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop and disseminate a national model for transition of developmentally disabled handicapped youth, who are exiting school for the first time and entering the adult community, with an emphasis on employment and extended job placement. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: cerebral palsy, developmental disability, emotional disorder, learning disability, mental retardation, physical handicap and spinal cord injury.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continued client expansion.
2. Training job instructors for other agencies, i.e., vocational rehabilitation.
3. Expanded employer recruitment.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Job instructor training, TEP client orientation, Job placement and Employer recruitment/Terence W. Admas, Genesis Learning Centers, 477 McMurray Drive, Nashville, TN 37211.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure//Transition Employment Program brochure: Available at no cost from Terence W. Admas, Genesis Learning Centers, 477 McMurray Drive, Nashville, TN 37211.
PROJECT TITLE: Cooperative Model for Planning and Developing Transitional Services for Handicapped Youth

CONTACT PERSON: Kathleen K. May

TELEPHONE: (804) 321-7474

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a cooperative model for providing vocationally-oriented rehabilitation and education services to youth with severe physical disabilities ages 14 - 22. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: cerebral palsy, spinal cord injury, traumatic head injury, cardiac disorder, polyarticular juvenile arthritis, muscular dystrophy, osteogenesis imperfection, aneurysm and spina bifida.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Vocational assessment of disabled youth, 21 and younger and Career exploration visits/Kathleen K. May, Children's Hospital, 2924 Brook Road, Richmond, VA 23230.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
1. Brochure: Available at no cost from Rebecca T. Turlington, Career Development Department, Children's Hospital, 2924 Brook Road, Richmond, VA 23230.
2. Curriculum/Social skills, job seeking skills, job retention skills, independent living skills including teaching notes, goals and objectives, activities and worksheets: Available $25.00 from Rebecca T. Turlington, Career Development Department, Children's Hospital, 2924 Brook Road, Richmond, VA 23230.
PROJECT TITLE: Developing Cooperative State Models for Planning & Developing Transition Services to Meet the Needs of Disabled Youth: An Interagency Approach

CONTACT PERSON: Cynthia G. Brown

TELEPHONE: (202) 393-8159

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

INSTITUTE #: 52

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

MAILING ADDRESS:
CCSSO
400 N. Capitol Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to initiate and document five comprehensive state interagency models supportive of successful transition approaches and programs for disabled youth.

******************************************************************************

PROJECT TITLE: Utah Transition Planning and Employment Project

CONTACT PERSON: Susan S. Behle

TELEPHONE: (801) 533-7146

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

INSTITUTE #: 36

COMPETITION #: 84.158C

MAILING ADDRESS:
Utah Department of Social Services
DSH, 150 W. North Temple, 2nd Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84109

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to facilitate the transition of students with severe handicaps from high school to adult services and community-based employment alternatives. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: cerebral palsy, communication disorder, developmental disability, mental retardation, physical handicap and speech impairment.
PROJECT TITLE: Project STEER

CONTACT PERSON: Mary Kelvin

TELEPHONE: (804) 264-3157

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop cooperative model programs in Virginia that will facilitate effective integration of visually impaired students into vocational education programs and ultimately into competitive employment. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: visual impairment.

PROJECT TITLE: Cooperating Models for Planning and Developing Services for Mildly Handicapped Individuals

CONTACT PERSON: Carol Richardson

TELEPHONE: (206) 533-4387

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to design and implement a program which aims to provide transition services from school to work for handicapped youth in rural areas. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, developmental disability, learning disability, mental retardation and speech impairment.
COMPETITION PROFILE: CFDA 84.153L
SECONDARY EDUCATION AND TRANSITIONAL SERVICES FOR HANDICAPPED YOUTH: MODELS FOR PROVIDING SECONDARY MAINSTREAMED LEARNING DISABLED AND OTHER MILDLY HANDICAPPED STUDENTS WITH JOB RELATED TRAINING
INITIAL COMPETITION: 12/15/86

PURPOSE OF COMPETITION
The purpose of this priority was to provide support to projects that: (1) identify the job related training and experience needed by mainstreamed secondary-aged learning disabled and other mildly handicapped students to successfully exit school to competitive employment and an independent adult life; (2) develop vocational/occupational intervention models providing job-related training and experience while maintaining the students placement predominantly with general education; and (3) evaluate the effectiveness of the model using quantitative and qualitative evaluation approaches and incorporating comparison groups or cohorts into the evaluation design.

The target population was specified for this competition as students at the secondary level with a learning disability or other mild handicap receiving special education services within the general education class or receiving up to two hours of special education per day within a resource room setting. Projects were to submit detailed information regarding the needs and problems encountered by the target population. Suggested components for inclusion in projects were: a 13th year component, job placement, counseling, on-the-job training, independent living skill training, and interpersonal skill training.

AUTHORITY
Authority for this program is contained in Section 626 of Part C of the Education of the Handicapped Act as amended by the Education of the Handicapped Amendments of 1983, P.L. 98-199.

ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS
Institutions of higher education, State educational agencies, local educational agencies, and other public and private non-profit institutions or agencies (including the State job training coordinating councils and service delivery area administrative entities under the JTPA).

FUNDS AVAILABLE
Approximately $700,000 was expected to be available for support of up to seven projects.

NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED
Ten grants were awarded under this competition.

DURATION
Project support was available for up to three years under this competition subject to an annual review of progress and availability of funds.
SUMMARY OF 84.158L PROJECT PROFILES

PRIMARY GRANTEE
Five grants were awarded to universities under this competition. Two awards were received by local education agencies and two nonprofit agencies received grant awards. A community college also received a grant award.

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS
Projects under this competition were to target mainstreamed secondary-aged youth with learning disabilities or other mild handicapping conditions. With ten projects reporting, an estimated 4221 youth are being impacted by the projects in this competition. Approximately 1201 youth are reported as receiving direct services. Eight projects reported on direct services. Of the youth receiving direct services, 83% have a learning disability. The age range of the youth is from 14 to 24 years.

Seven projects reported on the provision of related service components. Teachers are receiving service/training from five projects, with three projects reporting services to 161 teachers. Two projects are providing training to 340 parents, two projects each are also serving business/industry personnel, postsecondary faculty and undergraduate students. Agency personnel and project staff are receiving related service/training from three projects each.

COOPERATING AGENCIES & ORGANIZATIONS
Five projects reported cooperating agencies and organizations. Four projects are cooperating with public secondary schools or local education agencies. Two projects are collaborating with community colleges, and two projects are cooperating with JTPA service delivery area agents. State vocational rehabilitation agencies were also indicated as cooperating agencies by two projects.

PRODUCTS
Nine projects reported on product development. Six projects indicated the development of curricula, and four projects indicated the development each of brochures, training manuals, articles and replication manuals. Newsletters, student handbooks, and instruments were reported as products by three projects each. Two projects are developing parent handbooks, and one project anticipates development of a videotape.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN
Nine of the ten projects indicated the use of systems analysis as an evaluation approach. Five projects reported using a goal based approach; three in conjunction with systems analysis. Three projects reported using decision making, three indicated case study, and two projects reported using professional review.

All ten projects are collecting information on students/clients, specifically student/client progress in training programs. Eight of the projects indicated data collection on the number receiving direct or related services, assessment results for student/client and
Student/client followup status. Seven projects reported collecting information on the number referred to project services, intake/referral information, student/client progress in education programs, student/client employment status, and student/client outcome status.

Seven projects are collecting information on employers. Six projects reported collecting information on employer characteristics/demographics and employer satisfaction with student, project activities, etc. Employer outcome status data is being collected by four projects. Postsecondary information is being collected by eight projects, six compiling postsecondary education/training demographics. Five projects indicated data collection on postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Nine projects reported on instrumentation in project evaluation, seven of which indicated the use of commercially available instruments to assess specific student competency areas. Four projects reported using commercial instruments to assess career interests. Three projects reported instruments in vocational competency areas. Two projects each indicated using instruments in the general competency areas of general ability/intelligence, social skills, personality and academic achievement. Eight projects indicated the use of project developed instrumentation. Seven projects reported using interviews and five projects indicated the use of surveys.

**PROJECT OBJECTIVES**

Ten projects reported on project objectives under this competition. All projects reported objectives in the general area of assessment and referral with nine projects indicating student assessment as an objective. Nine projects also indicated student placement in competitive employment as an objective while four projects reported supported work placement as an objective. Postsecondary education/training placement was reported as an objective by six projects in this competition. Followup services to project participants was indicated as an objective by six projects. In the general objective area of training/education, all projects indicated vocational training as an objective, and seven projects reported nonvocational training as an objective. Eight projects reported on counseling as an objective, the majority providing career/vocational counseling. Provision of peer support groups was reported by four projects.

Nine projects reported on objectives in the general area of program development with all nine involved in curriculum development. Seven projects are developing instrument(s). In the general objective area of dissemination/replication/continuation, eight projects indicated objectives in dissemination of information and product development/dissemination. Six projects are involved in the development of interagency agreements. Seven projects reported training of professional, paraprofessionals, etc. as an objective, and seven projects indicated they were conducting workshops, conferences, inservices, etc. as an objective under manpower training. Under the general area of research, six projects indicated conducting followup studies as an objective and four projects reported empirical research as an objective.
GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED
Of the ten reporting projects, six reported serving major metropolitan areas. In addition, one project serves a portion of a county which includes a major metropolitan area, and one project is rural. Services to more than one county within the state were reported by two projects.

LOCATION OF 84.1581 PROJECTS
The map below depicts the location of the ten currently funded projects.
PROJECT TITLE: Project A.C.T.I.V.I.T.Y.

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Betsy Bounds

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Daniel M. Perino

CONTACT PERSON: Betsy Bounds

TELEPHONE: (602) 882-2421

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop skill development packages in the areas of related basic skills, personal/social/living skills, learning strategies and pre-entry vocational skills; provide comprehensive vocational evaluations for the mildly handicapped through observation in work or training settings and appropriate standardized instruments; provide for coordination between family, school, employer and appropriate agencies; and provide counseling support.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Research and draft skill development packages for related basic skills, personal/social/living skills, learning strategies, and vocational skills.
2. Develop and implement a vocational evaluation system for students with mild handicaps.
3. Develop and implement a support group emphasizing self advocacy.
4. Develop and implement a thirteenth year program with postsecondary educational and vocational agencies in the community.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Local education agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, community/junior college, JTPA service delivery area agent, community education/rehabilitation facility, Association for Learning Disabilities, state vocational rehabilitation and state developmental disabilities.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>5/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student handbook</td>
<td>12/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>5/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>5/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape</td>
<td>12/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>1/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>6/90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 1,000.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 500.
Handicapping Condition
Mild chronic mental illness
Mild hearing impairment
Mild learning disability
Moderate learning disability
Mild mental retardation
Mild physical handicap

No. Served Age Range
77 14 - 21
32 14 - 21
610 14 - 21
171 14 - 21
79 14 - 21
31 14 - 21

Related Service Components
Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 300 parents, 20 agency personnel, 200 business/industry personnel, 53 teachers, 15 project staff, 5 postsecondary faculty and 3 undergraduate/graduate students.

Project Evaluation Plan
Type of Data/Information Being Collected.
On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status, parental involvement, self-advocacy results, participation in IEP.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator, project staff and staff evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report and brochure/pamphlet for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, state departments of education and vocational rehabilitation, school board and interagency council.

Instrumentation
Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
Special Aptitude: Bennett Mechanical Comprehension, Crawford Small Parts Dexterity Test and Minnesota Spatial Relations Test
Career Interest: California Occupational Preference System and Career Orientation Placement and Evaluation Survey
Dexterity/Manual Skills: Purdue Pegboard and Crawford Small Parts Dexterity
Personality: Piers Harris Self Concept

Type and name of locally developed instruments.
Interview: Parent Interview and Student Interview
Survey: Parent questionnaire, Student questionnaire, Vocational educator needs assessment and Employer needs assessment
Other: Computer assisted case management on Appleworks and Employer/student/parent contact logs
PROJECT TITLE: The Career Ladder Program

INSTITUTE #: 172

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Robert Gaylord-Ross

COMPETITION #: 84-158L

CONTACT PERSON: Robert Gaylord-Ross

MAILING ADDRESS:
San Francisco State University
Department of Special Education
1600 Holloway Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94132

TELEPHONE: (415) 469-1161

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Metropolitan area (100,000+) with public transportation system.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To increase the likelihood of successful transition for mildly handicapped youth through implementation of program components including: pre-transitional career education inservice for teaching staff; a community vocational training and placement component; a social skills training component; a career counseling component; a follow-along monitoring service; and an interagency component.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Implement placement and followup services.
2. Develop/revise social skills training program.
3. Develop/revise counseling program.
4. Implement pre-transitional inservice.
5. Provide followup services.
6. Collect followup data on former students.
7. Establish contractual interagency linkages.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school, local education agency, community/junior college, profit making agency, state vocational rehabilitation and state education agency.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product                                      Date Available
Training manual                              No date indicated
Curricula                                    No date indicated
Project manual                               No date indicated

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 100.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 100.

Handicapping Condition   No. Served   Age Range
Mild emotional disorder   20          *
Mild learning disability  80          *
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: teachers.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected:

On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Evaluation Approach(es). Goal based and decision making.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and project coordinator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS.

NOTE: Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from grant application.
PROJECT PURPOSE. To enable secondary-aged, dropout handicapped youth overcome numerous systemic barriers to maturity and self-reliance through a model which engages learning disabled and other mildly handicapped migrant and minority students in a three-dimensional comprehensive program of transition services utilizing the Foxfire learning concept. The service delivery model consists of five interrelated, individual components that rely upon three modes of service delivery: didactic instruction, experiential educational techniques, and time-limited support services.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Plan participant diagnosis/assessment procedures.
2. Refine formative and responsive evaluation issues.
3. Refine youth-adult partnership component.
4. Refine adapted-Foxfire curriculum.
5. Develop learning plan/job description and finalize interinstitutional agreements for work-study peer partnerships.
6. Refine support services component strategy.
7. Diagnose and assess 20 youths for pilot program.
8. Conduct field test of Foxfire and assessment programs.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Private non-profit agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Community/junior college, profit making agency, state department of education, jobs council, educational service center, health clinics and service clubs.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 2,500.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 55.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>.6 - 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project staff and evaluation consultant.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board, state education agency, community colleges and job councils.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
Language: Test of Written Language
Academic Achievement: Woodcock-Johnson Psychoeducational Battery, Woodcock Reading Mastery Test and KeyMath Diagnostic Arithmetic Test

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Interview: Intake Interview
Other: Student portfolios

NOTE: Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from grant application.
PROJECT TITLE: Model for Providing Secondary Mainstreamed - "13th Year" Learning Disabled & Other Mildly Handicapped Students with Job Related Training

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Sally Vernon

PROJECT COORDINATOR: P. Hayes-Eversley

CONTACT PERSON: P. Hayes-Eversley

TELEPHONE: (312) 443-5203

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/88

PROJECT PURPOSE. To provide transitional services for persons with mild disabilities. This project will give students the opportunity to attain job related training and daily living experiences needed to leave school successfully, enter the labor market and achieve independence.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Staff recruitment.
2. Inservice training for staff.
3. 13th year curriculum developed.
4. 13th year student recruitment.
5. Inservices for students and parents; outline objectives of program, etc.
6. Create an individual transition plan for each student.
7. Monitor each student's progress towards their own individual goals.
8. Implement evaluation strategies.
9. Prepare overview of project's findings.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Community/junior college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent handbook</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student handbook</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 16.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild hearing impairment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18 - 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild multiple handicap</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropouts</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21 - 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically disadvantaged</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21 - 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: Agency personnel, peer tutors, teachers and project staff.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Brochure/pamphlet for other agencies and various school systems.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
General Ability/Intelligence: WAIS-R
Academic Achievement: Brigance Diagnostic Inventory of Essential Skills and Stanford Achievement Test

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Checklist: Project checklist
Interview: Intake Interview
PROJECT TITLE: Teaching Job Related Skills to LD Students through Existing Vocational Courses

PROJECT DIRECTOR: John N. Aiken

CONTACT PERSON: John N. Aiken

TELEPHONE: (316) 421-6550, ext. 1872

PROJECT START DATE: 10/1/87

PROJECT END DATE: 9/30/90

INSTITUTE #: 175

COMPETITION #: 84.1581

MAILING ADDRESS:
Parsons State Hospital & Training Center
2601 Gabriel
Parsons, KS 67357

SPECIAL NET USER ID: KSParsons

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Rural area.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To identify a core set of job related skills that are prerequisites for entering into or succeeding in vocational classes that can be incorporated into a curriculum format of instruction for learning disabled learners. When the skills have been identified, the project will establish three pilot programs to demonstrate the incorporation of the core skills within the existing curricula. The pilot programs will be established at the high school, vocational technical school and community college levels.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Development, dissemination and analysis of parent, student, instructor, special education teacher survey instruments.
2. Identification of pilot sites.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Local education agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Community/junior college and vocational technical schools.

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 30.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16 - 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client progress in training program, student/client integration into environment.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, postsecondary education/training collaboration level, level of direct service provided by project, postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc., postsecondary education/training outcomes.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and project staff.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Executive summary for consumers, OSERS, advisory board and school boards of pilot sites.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
- Rating Scale: Parent/student/instructor satisfaction rating scale
- Interview: Instructor interview
- Survey: Parent/vocational instructor/student/special education teacher survey
- Other: Course syllabus
PROJECT TITLE: Transitional Opportunities for LD

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Pat Catapano

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Michael Kremlin

CONTACT PERSON: Michael Kramer

TELEPHONE: (212) 563-7474

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop and implement a model program to facilitate competitive employment of learning disabled young adults who are in transition from school to adult settings. Primary objectives include: expanding liaisons between secondary education settings, service providers and prospective employers; refining a Vocational Needs Assessment and Vocational Preparation Curriculum to be used in a Transitional Vocational Training Program (TVTP); establishing a TVTP and expanding the Job Placement Bureau; establishing support mechanisms for disabled young adults who are in transition or competitively employed; and exploration of continued means of funding for this or similar projects.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continue and expand liaisons between board of education and YAI.
2. Expand advisory board.
3. Expand and refine vocational needs assessment.
5. Expand and revise transitional vocational training program (TVTP) for "aging-out" LD clients.
7. Establish procedures for support during transition and employment.
8. Establish continuing means of program funding.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. Private non-profit agency.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. State education agency, state vocational rehabilitation and local education agency.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource directory</td>
<td>Currently available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 150.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 150.

Handicapping Condition
Mild learning disability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client follow-up status, student/client outcome status.

Evaluation Approach(es). Systems analysis, goal based and decision making.

Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator and advisory board.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report and media releases for OSERS.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.

Interview: Employer/supervisor interview
Survey: Informal teacher survey and Parent/client ongoing support survey
Other: Vocational needs assessment, Advisory board minutes and Project records

NOTE: Project Profile generated by Technical Assistance Program staff from grant application.
PROJECT TITLE: Project JOB

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Irwin Rosenthal

CONTACT PERSON: Irwin Rosenthal

TELEPHONE: (212) 998-5572

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 8/30/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To establish a demonstration project for learning disabled/mildly handicapped high school students with a focus on career/job development and training. Project JOB will have three treatment groups: a traditional career education program; a career exploration program including experience-based career education through a series of work-world internships; and a high school coursework and vocational exploration and training program.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Recruit students.
2. Survey business.
3. Internship development and consultation.
5. Provide career maturity exploration course.
6. Provide individual, group and parent counseling.
7. Conduct information giving seminars.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school and university/four year college.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>No date indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 45.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 45.

Handicapping Condition | No. Served | Age Range |
------------------------|------------|-----------|
Mild learning disability | 45         | *         |

(* no age range indicated).
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: business/industry personnel and postsecondary faculty.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Types of Data/Information Being Collected:

On Students/ Clients:
Assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program.

On Employers:
Employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities: Project director and evaluation consultant.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience: Formal evaluation report for OSERS, state education agency, NYC board of education, professionals and business/industry personnel.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project:
Social Skills: Test of Interpersonal Competence for Employment
Career Interest: Career Development Inventory and Harrington O'Shea System for Career Decision Making
Personality: Coopersmith Self-Esteem Inventory

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments:
Observation Form: School/work site observations
Checklist: Internship checklist
Rating Scale: Student satisfaction rating scale, teacher/supervisor satisfaction rating scale and job-related by-pass rating scale
Interview: Student interview, Supervisor/employer interview and Parent interviews
Survey: Business needs survey and High demand survey
Questionnaire: Job placement questionnaire
Other: Reading/writing test of job-related academic skills, Internship count form and QUEST (New York City Board of Education developed career interest inventory)
PROJECT TITLE: Deriving Job Skills from the Workplace

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Joseph Jenkins

PROJECT COORDINATOR: John Emerson

CONTACT PERSON: John Emerson

TELEPHONE: (206) 543-4811, ext. 283

PROJECT START DATE: 9/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 8/31/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To determine the specific employee characteristics and skills sought by employers; to develop and field test a model job skills curriculum; and to determine the effects of the model curriculum on LD students' job acquisition and retention, and on employer satisfaction.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Identify employers of 1985, 86, 87 special education graduates from Seattle School District #1.
2. Develop questionnaire which identifies characteristics and skills required for successful employment.
3. Interview at least 100 employers.
4. Analyze data from interviews to determine entry level employment skills.
5. Develop a model curriculum which focuses on social skills, job acquisition skills, and self assessment.
6. Identify four high schools to implement curriculum during 1988-89 school year.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Local education agency.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student handbook</td>
<td>5/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>6/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>8/90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 80.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 80.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicapping Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild learning disability</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>17 - 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17 - 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically disadvantaged</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>17 - 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority youth</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>17 - 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* indicates duplicate count).
Related Service Components

Individuals receiving services (training) through project activities: 8 teachers.

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employer outcome status, how employers find workers and why employers find workers.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director and project coordinator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS, Transition Institute and local education agency.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
Vocational Skills: Job Entry Skills Assessment
Career Interest: MESA

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Interview: Employer interview
Questionnaire: Employer questionnaire
PROJECT TITLE: Vocational Education to Work

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Greg R. Weisenstein

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Sharon Field

CONTACT PERSON: Greg R. Weisenstein

TELEPHONE: (206) 545-1807

PROJECT START DATE: 8/15/87

PROJECT END DATE: 8/14/90

GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED: Metropolitan area (100,000+) with public transportation system.

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop practical, workable strategies that will facilitate the success of handicapped students in vocational education classes. The four model components include active recruitment, guided placement, continuing support and job placement/followup.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Develop comprehensive recruitment program directed to persons who serve as both formal and informal student advisors (e.g., special education teachers, school counselors, parents).
2. Develop and pilot test vocational course profits in order to obtain data on physical, academic, and problem solving skills that students must possess to participate fully in regular vocational programs.
3. Develop program of systematic placement of disabled students into vocational education to include an assessment of interests and aptitudes, a system of comparing current student competencies with those expected in vocational courses, and a working menu of accommodations, circumvention strategies and resources.
4. Develop pre-placement curricula for each vocational program type in cooperating school district.
5. Implement active recruitment and guided placement portion of the program model.
6. Collect baseline data and first year data on experimental and comparison population.
7. Begin development of the continuing support and job placement and followup portion of the program model.

PRIMARY GRANTEE. University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS. Public secondary school and state vocational rehabilitation.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>8/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments</td>
<td>8/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula</td>
<td>8/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>8/90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 150.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 75.
### Handicapping Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No. Served</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate behavioral disorders</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate brain damage</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate communication disorder</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate emotional disorder</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate learning disability</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate mental retardation</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* no number indicated).

### Related Service Components

Individuals receiving service: (training) through project activities: 40 parents, 6 agency personnel, 100 teachers, 2 project staff, 10 undergraduate/graduate students and 20 administrators/counselors.

### PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

#### Type of Data/Information Being Collected

**On Students/ Clients:**
- Intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics
- Student/client educational background data, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client
- Student/client progress in training program, student/client progress in educational program, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status, teacher/parent/student impressionist data related to program.

#### Evaluation Approach(es)

- Systems analysis, goal based and professional review.

#### Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities
- Project director, project coordinator, project staff, advisory board and cooperating school district personnel.

#### Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience

- Formal evaluation report, executive summary, brochure/pamphlet and procedural manual for consumers, OSERS, Transition Institute, advisory board and school districts.
PROJECT TITLE: Community Transition Center Model

PROJECT DIRECTOR: Charles Coker

PROJECT COORDINATOR: Dave Swan

CONTACT PERSON: Charles Coker

TELEPHONE: (715) 232-2236

PROJECT START DATE: 12/1/87
PROJECT END DATE: 11/30/90

PROJECT PURPOSE. To develop and test the Community Transition Center Model. The goals of the Model are to increase the capacity of secondary schools to provide employment preparation skills to their handicapped youth, increase the ability of postsecondary settings to educate or employ these youth, and provide direct services to these youth to assist in the transition process. The CTC Model is designed to serve mildly handicapped youth who are in the process of transitioning into postsecondary educational and employment settings, and who need employment preparation, placement, and post-placement support services.

CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Development of a community transition center to serve handicapped youth in 35 secondary schools.

PRIMARY GRANTEE: University/four year college.

TYPE OF COOPERATING AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS: Public secondary school, local education agency, JTPA service delivery area agent, residential education/rehabilitation facility, community workshop, community education/rehabilitation facility, profit making agency, state vocational rehabilitation and vocational adult education districts.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Date Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training manual</td>
<td>9/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum</td>
<td>9/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal articles</td>
<td>9/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication manual</td>
<td>9/90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Direct Services

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions impacted by project activities over entire funding period: 150.

Estimated number of youth and/or adults with handicapping conditions receiving direct services over entire funding period: 150.
Handicapping Condition | No. Served | Age Range
--- | --- | ---
Mild brain damage | * | 16 - 25
Mild learning disability | * | 16 - 25
Moderate learning disability | * | 16 - 25
Mild mental retardation | * | 16 - 25
Traumatic head injury | * | 16 - 25
(* no numbers indicated).

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Type of Data/Information Being Collected.

On Students/ Clients:
Number referred to project services, intake/referral information, number receiving direct services, student/client demographics, student/client work experience background data, assessment results for student/client, student/client progress in training program, student/client integration into environment, student/client follow-up status, student/client employment status, student/client outcome status.

On Employers:
Employer characteristics/demographics, employer collaboration level, level of direct service provided to employer, employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc., employee outcome status.

On Postsecondary Education:
Postsecondary education/training demographics, level of direct service provided by project.


Personnel Involved in Evaluation Activities. Project director, project coordinator, project staff and staff evaluator.

Type of Evaluation Reporting and Audience. Formal evaluation report for OSERS, Transition Institute and state vocational rehabilitation, education and vocational education agencies.

INSTRUMENTATION

Type and name of commercially available instruments used by project.
Vocational Skills: Vocational Decision Making Interview
Career Interest: Gordon Occupational Checklist

Type and name of locally/project developed instruments.
Rating Scale: Vocational adaptivity scale
Survey: Student needs assessment
Other: Curricula package with various rating scales
COMPETITION PROFILE: CFDA 84.023D

RESEARCH IN EDUCATION OF THE HANDICAPPED:
HANDICAPPED CHILDREN'S MODEL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS/
YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROJECTS

INITIAL COMPETITION: 3/05/84

PURPOSE OF COMPETITION
The purpose of this program was to support model projects that demonstrate innovative approaches to facilitating transition from school to work. Projects in this competition were expected to identify populations of individuals with handicapping conditions who have been isolated from the sequence of vocational education to employment capability. Projects were also to choose a particular school-community setting for developing a comprehensive model that incorporates a number of components for transition from school to work. Suggested components included attitude development, job placement, counseling, on-the-job training, training in areas of independent living, and development of interpersonal skills. The general aim of this competition was to use direct service to demonstrate the effectiveness of a newly conceived model, which may be replicated either in part or in its entirety, in other communities.

AUTHORITY
Authority for this program is contained in Sections 641 and 642 of Part E of the Education of the Handicapped Act.

ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS
Institutions of higher education, States, State or local educational agencies, and other public or nonprofit private educational or research agencies and organizations were eligible for this competition.

FUNDS AVAILABLE
Approximately $1,500,000 was expected to be available for support of 15 new demonstration projects in fiscal year 1984.

NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED
Twelve demonstration grants were awarded under this competition.

DURATION
Project support was for a three-year period subject to an annual review of progress and availability of funds. All Youth Employment Projects expired in 1987.
SUMMARY OF 84.023D EXPIRED PROJECT PROFILES

PROJECT PURPOSE
The 12 projects awarded in this competition addressed the overall purpose of developing and demonstrating innovative approaches to facilitating transition from school to work within the context of a model program. The majority of the project demonstrations included a focus on curriculum development or revision emphasizing employment and vocational outcomes. Community-based models were specifically referenced by four projects. Two projects established community-based linkage models, one being a network of employability readiness support groups and the other providing a computerized clearinghouse. The projects in this competition served students and clients with various handicapping conditions with five focusing on severe handicapping conditions.

FOCUS OF CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES
Eight projects reported on the focus of project continuation activities; four each reporting dissemination and inservice activities as a primary focus. Technical assistance activities were reported by two projects.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED
Nine projects reported on project components being continued. Replication and education/training were each indicated by four projects. Assessment, technical assistance and dissemination were components being continued by three projects each. Other components being continued by at least two projects included placement, counseling, inservice, referral and agency coordination. Of those projects reporting on the continuation of project components, five indicated the involvement of an agency or agencies other than the primary grantee in continuation activities.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Products were reported by nine projects. Five projects indicated the availability of project curricula. Training manuals/modules were also reported by five projects. Four projects each indicated development of instruments and replication manuals. Three projects have written a total of four journal articles and two book chapters. Audiovisual materials have been developed by four projects.
LOCATION OF 84.023D EXPIRED PROJECTS
The map below depicts the location of the expired projects funded under this competition.
PROJECT TITLE: Project Bridge

CONTACT PERSON: Joseph DeMarsh

TELEPHONE: (602) 274-2710

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop, test and refine a model designed to link secondary handicapped youth with community training/education resources and ultimately with jobs in competitive or non-traditional employment. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: autism, brain damage, cerebral palsy, emotional disorder, epilepsy, hearing impairment, learning disability, mental retardation, physical handicap and visual impairment.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Provide clearinghouse information upon request.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Community transition resource information, Technical assistance for developing automated information clearinghouse and Project outcome data/Joe DeMarsh, SWBIRA, 4410 N. Saddlebag Trail, Scottsdale, AZ 85251, (602) 274-2710.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

Training manual//Developing a Computer-Assisted Information Clearinghouse: Product available on cost reimbursement basis from SWBIRA.
Curricula//Food Service Training Curriculum: Product available on cost reimbursement basis from SWBIRA.
Journal articles//Three articles: Reprints available from Joe DeMarsh.
Replication manual//Project Bridge Replication Manual: Product available on cost reimbursement basis from SWBIRA.
Project outcome data: Product available on cost reimbursement basis from SWBIRA.
PROJECT TITLE: STEP: Secondary Transition and Employment Project

CONTACT PERSON: Diane Baumgart

TELEPHONE: (208) 885-6156

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 3/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was to develop and implement curricula for non-school and school-based instruction which will prepare students in the vocational domain and allow them to meet state graduation requirements. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: communication disorder, learning disability, mental retardation, and physical handicap.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Dissemination of secondary/vocational and transition manuals/Diane Baumgart, University of Idaho, Department of Special Education, Moscow, ID 83843, (208) 885-6172.
2. Social skill (job-related) research/Diane Baumgart, University of Idaho, Department of Special Education, Moscow, ID 83843, (208) 885-6172.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Video cassettes//Overview of STEP Curriculum: Product ordering information available from STEP, College of Education, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83843.
Video cassettes//Social Skills Scenarios for Assessment and Intervention: Product ordering information available from STEP, College of Education, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83843.
Training manuals//Seven STEP manuals: Product ordering information available from STEP, College of Education, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83843.
Videotape//Teacher video training modules for students/adults with handicaps: Product ordering information available from STEP, College of Education, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83843.
Project developed instruments//Socialskills assessment/intervention: Product ordering information available from STEP, College of Education, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83843.
The purpose of this federally funded project was to develop and implement a model for enhancing the role of a school district in (a) increasing the employability of handicapped individuals through the utilization of an area vocational-technical institute's resources, and (b) facilitating the transition of handicapped individuals from school to employment in competitive industry, sheltered employment, or work activity commensurate with their skills, knowledge and ability. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, chronic mental illness, emotional disorder, epilepsy, learning disability, mental retardation and traumatic head injury.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Development and implementation of an on-going followup survey to assess/track graduate progress and quality of life.
2. Define referral guidelines and intake criteria of population served, for benefit of member district referral sources, as well as for programmatic consistency.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
4. Counseling/Loren Hoopman, West Hennipen Community Center, 933-9339.
5. Training (secondary vocational education)/Bob Papa, District 287, Hennipen Technical Institute, 559-3535.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure//VECTOR: A New Direction: Product available upon request.
Project developed instruments//Student intake form: Specimen set available upon request.
Videotape/Vocational Programs in District 287: Product available $65.00 from Program Coordinator.
Final report//VECTOR: Product available upon request.
Journal article//VECTOR: A New Direction - Is it the right direction?: Product available upon request.
PROJECT TITLE: Life and Career Skills Development
Program

CONTACT PERSON: John Melder

TELEPHONE: (716) 325-6560

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 7/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to address the issue of youth employment by providing career education, occupational information, job placement and on-the-job monitoring of performance for 75 handicapped youth aged 15 - 21 who are currently enrolled in the Center High Program. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability, emotional disorder and behavioral disorder.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continue use of curriculum.
2. Pre/post test students on Brigance.
3. Placement of students with non-profit organizations and evaluate them as to work performance.
4. Continue expansion of job placement activities.
5. Conduct inservices on effective teaching methods.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONCLUDED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Life and career skills development program curriculum and instruction, Student work experience, Pre/post testing with Brigance Diagnostic Inventory: social skills, survival skills, daily living skills, and IEP goals/Barbara Brown, Lofton Senior High, 242 W. Main Street, Rochester, NY 14614, (716) 325-6934.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Curricula/Life and Career Skills Development Program: Product information available from Barbara Brown, Lofton High School, 242 W. Main Street, Rochester, NY 14614.
PROJECT TITLE: Oregon Transition to Employment Project

CONTACT PERSON: Jo-Ann Sowers

TELEPHONE: (503) 484-2123

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 10/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop, field test, replicate, and disseminate nationally a model for transitioning severely orthopedically impaired secondary school students to competitive employment placements. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: cerebral palsy.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Provide inservice training and technical assistance to districts throughout Oregon.
2. Disseminate project materials.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. OTEP model/Martin Sheehan, Lane Educational Service District, 1200 Highway 99 N., Eugene, OR 97402, (503) 689-6500.
2. OTEP model/Diana Roberts, Roseburg High School, Roseburg, OR 97421, (503) 440-4780.
3. Inservice training and technical assistance/Penny Reed, Oregon Department of Education, POI State Program, 700 Pringle Parkway, S.E., Salem, OR 97310, (503) 440-4791.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

Training manual/Preparation of students with severe physical and multiple disabilities for the transition from school to work: Available $25.00 from Jo-Ann Sowers, ORI, 1899 Willamette, Eugene, OR 97401.

Videotape/Employment of persons with multiple and physical disabilities, Illustrations: Available $30.00 from Jo-Ann Sowers, ORI, 1899 Willamette, Eugene, OR 97401.


PROJECT TITLE: The Employability Support Network of Disabled Youth

CONTACT PERSON: Pat Mailey

TELEPHONE: (503) 279-8313

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 7/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to establish a network of "employability readiness" support groups for disabled youth that (a) uses successfully employed disabled adults as role models in a support group setting, and (b) to help individuals to overcome feelings of isolation and invisibility, develop their self-respect, self-esteem, increase independent living skills, and learn their rights and how to assert them. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: cerebral palsy, epilepsy, hearing impairment, learning disability, mental retardation, physical handicap, speech impairment, spinal cord injury, visual impairment and multiple disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Two to four support groups serving disabled adolescents will be initiated and maintained for approximately 20 - 30 youth.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON


PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

Brochure: Product currently out-of-print.
PROJECT TITLE: Utah Community-Based Transition Project

CONTACT PERSON: Michael Hardman or John McDonnell

EMAIL: 

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE: The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop and implement a community-based training model in integrated public school classrooms of regular high schools for severely handicapped secondary aged school youth, integration of model components into teacher preservice at University of Utah, and provide on-going inservice training and technical assistance to participating school district staff with respect to model. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Inservice training and dissemination of model components is being supported by a grant from the Utah State Office of Education, as well as a grant from OSERS.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Replication of UCBT Model in 15 districts/Michael Hardman, UCBTP, Department of special Education - 221 MBH, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, (801) 581-8121.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Replication manual/The Utah Community-Based Transition Project Employment Training Manual: Product available $812.50 from Julia Hightower, UCBTP, Department of Special Education - 221 MBH, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112.
PROJECT TITLE: Employment Training and Transition
Project: A Replicable Model for High Schools Serving Students with Severe Handicaps
INSTITUTE #: 17
COMPETITION #: 84.023

CONTACT PERSON: Carla Jackson
Mailing Address:
Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction
Old Capitol Building, FG - 11
Olympia, WA 98504

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE: The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a classroom model for students with severe/moderate disabilities which prepares them for supported employment and community living. Model features include: selection of locally relevant job clusters for training, parent involvement in IEP processes, on-site training and systematic reporting on post school service needs of severely handicapped school leavers. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Yearly inservice events for special education teachers, administrators, support staff, parents and adult service staff.
2. Possible inclusion of ET & T as best practice model in interagency state plan for transition.
3. Joint presentation and training with Washington Supported Employment Initiative and ET & T staff.
4. Develop manuals into "module" format (4 state effort).
5. Submit two proposals to OSEP to expand the inservice and supported employment components of ET & T.
6. Continue assistance to ET & T model sites.
7. Disseminate.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Model site replication and technical assistance/Carla Jackson, OSPI, Old Capitol Building, FG-11, Olympia, WA 98504.
2. Inservice (3 yearly = 100 participants per session)/Carla Jackson, OSPI, Old Capitol Building, FG-11, Olympia, WA 98504.
5. Program refinement and revision/Carla Jackson, OSPI/U of Oregon/U of Utah/Indiana University, Old Capitol Building, FG-11, Olympia, WA 98504.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure/Employment Training & Transition Project: Product used for recruitment only.
Newsletter/Employment Training & Transition Update: Product currently available from project.
Training modules: Product available $56 - $10 from University of Oregon, field test of materials required.
Project developed instruments//Quick Check: Product available from OSPI.
Slide presentation/Quick Check Slide Presentation: Product available from OSPI.
Curricula/Activities Catalog: Product available from Paul H. Brookes Publishing Company.
Final report/Employment Training & Transition Project: Product available from OSPI.
Replication manual/Administrators & Teachers Manual: Product available only in conjunction with training.
Other manuals//Principals primer: Effective schools - implications for programs for high schools with students with moderate/severe disabilities: Product available from OSPI.
PROJECT TITLE: Project ADAPT

CONTACT PERSON: Charles Coker or Dale Thomas

TELEPHONE: (715) 232-1151

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was to develop and demonstrate a model project for the transition from school to work for mildly handicapped students. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included learning disabled and mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Dissemination of curricula.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON


PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

Student handbook//Employment Readiness Training: Available from Research and Training Center, University of Wisconsin - Stout.

Training manual//Instructors Manual: Available from Research and Training Center, University of Wisconsin - Stout.

Project developed instruments//Vocational Adaptivity Scale and Vocational Decision Making Interview: Available $19.95 from Research and Training Center, University of Wisconsin - Stout.

Curricula//Project ADAPT Curriculum Package: Available from Research and Training Center, University of Wisconsin - Stout.
PROJECT TITLE: Project TYRAD: Transition Model for Multiply/Severely Handicapped Young Adults

CONTACT PERSON: J. Edward Carter

TELEPHONE: (617) 552-8208

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/30/87

INSTITUTE #: 10

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to replicate a case-management process for transitioning multiply/severely handicapped young adults into appropriate post-school programs from public schools, collaborative and private school programs throughout Massachusetts.

**********************************************************************************

PROJECT TITLE: Youth Employment Project: Facilitating Access through an Employer/School Consortium

CONTACT PERSON: Alan Lerman

TELEPHONE: (718) 899-8800, ext. 316

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/1/87

INSTITUTE #: 12

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to explicate a conceptual model and then design, develop, implement, evaluate, revise, and replicate an exemplary program of prevocational assessment, vocational preparation, placement, and followup directed to the needs of the severely and multiply handicapped hearing impaired young adult. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: hearing impairment.
PROJECT TITLE: A Nonsheltered Community-based Training Model for Students with Severe Behavior Disorders

CONTACT PERSON: Anne Donnellan

TELEPHONE: (608) 263-4272

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to design, develop, implement and evaluate a community-based vocational training program for severely behavior disordered students by emphasizing a community-based public school vocational training component, a public school teacher inservice component, and a task force component. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavior disorder.
PURPOSE OF COMPETITION
The purpose of this program was to support new model demonstration projects which would complement secondary programming and link individuals with handicapping conditions who exit the secondary schools not yet ready for competitive employment to community-based training programs and services. Issues of particular interest included: development of an interface between education programs and community service providers, efforts to place and provide continued training and support to individuals for competitive employment, and the development of models to demonstrate that all individuals with handicapping conditions leaving public school programs have access to community-based training programs. The aim of the program was to use direct service to demonstrate the effectiveness of newly conceived educational models, which may be replicated, either in part or in their entirety, in other communities. Projects were expected to identify populations of individuals with handicaps who need but have traditionally had problems linking with community-based training programs and services or obtaining and maintaining employment. Suggested models included improvement of the effectiveness of postsecondary vocational education programs, demonstration of unique methods of ensuring postsecondary placement, and models demonstrating the intervention of various support systems.

AUTHORITY
Authority for this program is contained in Sections 641 and 642 of Part E of the Education of the Handicapped Act.

ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS
Institutions of higher education, States, State or local educational agencies, and other public or nonprofit private educational or research agencies and organizations were eligible for this competition.

FUNDS AVAILABLE
Approximately $1,500,000 was expected to be available for support of 15 new demonstration projects under this program in fiscal year 1984.

NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED
Fifteen demonstration projects were awarded under this competition.

DURATION
Project support was for a three-year period subject to an annual review of progress and availability of funds. All projects in this competition expired in 1987.
SUMMARY OF 84.023G EXPIRED PROJECT PROFILES

PROJECT PURPOSE
The 15 model demonstration grants in this competition addressed the priorities of this competition through the development of community-based programs leading primarily to employment-based outcomes. Eight projects specifically targeted competitive employment as an outcome of their model program. The majority of the projects established linkage models and expanded training for exiting secondary special education students to include employment training. Two projects targeted postsecondary education placement with eventual employment as a final outcome. The target population consisted primarily of 18-22 year old youth with learning disabilities, mental retardation, emotional disorders and physical handicaps as the primary handicapping conditions.

FOCUS OF CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES
Nine projects reported on the focus of their continuation activities. Four of the projects are targeting dissemination activities. Agency coordination, assessment, supported employment placement, training, product development and program evaluation were each indicated by at least two projects as a current focus.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED
Nine projects also reported on program components being continued. Of the nine reporting projects, five projects each indicated continuing the following components: agency coordination, assessment, referral, followup, placement and dissemination. Four projects are continuing an education component and three projects each are continuing the following components: intake/eligibility determination, training, program refinement/revision and research. Three projects indicated continuation activities occurring in agencies outside the primary grantee.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Eight projects reported on product development. Six projects indicated the availability of a training manual and four projects listed project developed instruments. Seven articles have been produced through four projects. Three projects developed curricula, and two projects each indicated development of parent handbooks, videotapes and newsletters.
LOCATION OF 84.0236 EXPIRED PROJECTS
The map below depicts the location of the expired projects funded under this competition.
PROJECT TITLE: The Illinois Competitive Employment Project

CONTACT PERSON: Thomas C. Lagomarcino

TELEPHONE: (217) 333-2325

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/20/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to facilitate the transition of students with moderate and severe handicaps to nonsheltered employment options through interagency cooperation. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: autism, cerebral palsy, developmental disability and mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continue vocational transition planning committee meetings.
2. ITP meetings for all students between 18 - 22 years of age.
3. Placement of students into supported employment prior to graduation.
4. Co-funding of the transition specialist position.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Referral/Kathleen Leehr, Developmental Services Center, Champaign, IL, (217) 356-9176.
2. Job Placement and Followup/Carole Powers, Developmental Services Center, Champaign, IL, (217) 356-9176.
3. Agency Coordination/Transition Committee/Joan Fortschneider, Unit 4 and Unit 116 Schools and Developmental Services Center, Champaign, IL, (217) 384-3551.
4. ITP Meetings/Joan Fortschneider, Unit 4 and Unit 116 Schools and Developmental Services Center, Champaign, IL, (217) 384-3551.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Final report: Available in subsections for dissemination.
PROJECT TITLE: Young Adult Model Vocational Program

CONTACT PERSON: Judith A. Cook

TELEPHONE: (312) 880-2471

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 11/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was to provide innovative, community-based services that result in work readiness for mentally ill young adults who have recently exited or are about to exit from secondary school. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: chronic mental illness.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Ongoing provision of community-based services resulting in work readiness for mentally ill young adults, including: prevocational course, community experience course, visiting chef program, assessment, TEP, research, and dissemination.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Research, Dissemination, Visiting chef program, Assessment, TEP services, Prevocational and community experience education/Judith Cook, Thresholds, 2700 North Lakeview Avenue, Chicago, IL 60614, (312) 880-22471.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title
Brochure/Young Adult Model Vocational Program: Contact Judith Cook for prices and availability.
Newsletter/Young Adult Model Vocational Program: Contact Judith Cook for prices and availability.
Project developed instruments: Will be available 6/88.
Video Young Adult Model Vocational Program Video: Contact Judith Cook for prices and availability.
Curriculum/Prevocational Class Curriculum and Community Experience Program Curriculum: Contact Judith Cook for prices and availability.
Journal article/Transitioning into employment: Correlates of vocational achievement among severely mentally ill youth: Contact Judith Cook for prices and availability.
Journal article/The role of work in psychiatric rehabilitation: A therapeutic alternative to competitive employment: Contact Judith Cook for prices and availability.
PROJECT TITLE: Development of a Model Program to Facilitate the Transition of Mildly Handicapped Adolescents from Secondary to Postsecondary Education

CONTACT PERSON: Stephen Hazel

TELEPHONE: (913) 864-4780

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 10/87

PROJECT PURPOSE: The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop and operate a 3-year demonstration project that integrates school and community resources to facilitate the transition of mildly handicapped students from secondary schools to postsecondary education and training programs. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: emotional disorder and learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Monitor and supervise current mentor/protege teams.
2. Recruit protege applicants.
3. Conduct parent information meetings.
4. Screen, select and train new mentors.
5. Match new mentors and proteges.
7. Involve new teams in community resources.
8. Maintain transition curriculum instruction in participating schools.
9. Evaluate and disseminate project data.
10. Develop products.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Transition Agency Program Component/Ruthie Moccia, Bert Nash Mental Health Center, 336 Missouri, Lawrence, KS 66045, (913) 843-9192.
3. Research and Dissemination/Stephen Hazel, Institute for Research in LD, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045, (913) 864-4708.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure/The Transition Project.
Newsletter/Monthly Mentor Update.
Student handbook: Product currently not available for dissemination.
Training manual: Product currently not available for dissemination.
Project developed instrument: Product currently not available for dissemination.
Curricula: Product currently not available for dissemination.
PROJECT TITLE: Project Respect

CONTACT PERSON: Beth Maguire

TELEPHONE: (606) 253-2658

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was to increase the number of rural special education students who make a successful transition from school to work through a series of training procedures. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continue to disseminate project information.
2. Provide training for vocational trainers with each school system.
3. Serve as fiscal agent, overall program monitor.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title
PROJECT TITLE: Improving the Postsecondary Education and Employability of LD Students

CONTACT PERSON: Charles Bernacchio

TELEPHONE: (207) 780-4430

STUDENT STATE USER ID: UDFSME

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was to provide postsecondary transitional services to LD students from three participating Southern Maine school districts. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Over the six month extension period, the project's focus is on valuation of project outcomes and dissemination of project products.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Followup and Dissemination/Charles Bernacchio, Human Services Development Institute, University of Southern Maine, 96 Falmouth Street, Portland, ME 04103, (207) 780-4430.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

School & community handbook//Partners in Progress: Available $15.00, c/o Janet Brysh, CSR, USM, 96 Falmouth Street, Portland, ME 04103, (207) 780-4431.

Project developed instruments//Parent, Professional and Student Surveys: Available at no charge, c/o Charles Bernacchio, HSDI, USM, 96 Falmouth Street, Portland, ME 04103.

PROJECT TITLE: Training for Effective Transition

CONTACT PERSON: Joyce Beam

TELEPHONE: (301) 870-3814

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 6/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to implement a comprehensive employment and training transition program for handicapped students from four regular high schools and a center for students who are moderately and severely handicapped. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: emotional disorder, learning disability and mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continuation of all previous services.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. College for Living/Becky Cockerham, Charles County Community College.
2. Intake, Referral, Job placement, Job support, Peer support group for LD adults, and Self advocacy for MR adults/Joyce Beam, Charles County Board of Education, P. O. Box D, La Plata, MD 20646, (301) 870-3814.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure/Project TET: Training for Effective Transition.
Brochure/Service Delivery: Questions and Answers.
Training manual/Staff Handbook.
Journal article/Factors in the development of rural transition programs: Rural Special Education Quarterly.
PROJECT TITLE: Project READDY
CONTACT PERSON: Dianne Berkell
TELEPHONE: (516) 299-2125
DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to prepare severely handicapped autistic students, through a community-based vocational training program, for competitive employment positions. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: autism.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Institutionalization of the Program as part of the Nassau Center's ongoing curriculum.
2. Establishment and utilization of linkages with cooperating agencies to obtain job placements and supervision for aging-out students.
3. Product development.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Intake and eligibility determination, Assessment, Referral, Placement, Followup, Training, Education, Counseling, Program refinement and revision, Dissemination, Replication, Agency coordination and Research/Patrick Barry, Nassau Center for the Developmentally Disabled, 72 S. Woods Road, Woodbury, NY 11797, (516) 921-7650.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
PROJECT TITLE: Project PROGRESS

CONTACT PERSON: Donna M. Bupp

TELEPHONE: (814) 238-1444

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 11/7/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to expand the continuum of services for the developmentally disabled in Centre County to include on-site competitive employment training. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability, mental retardation and physical handicap.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. All program components listed below will be continued with the primary activity being on-going search for resources to maintain the project for the future.

2. Current funding for project is provided by local school district and state department of education.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

1. Intake/eligibility determination, Assessment Referral, Placement, Followup, Training, Program refinement/revision, Dissemination, Replication, Agency coordination and Manpower training/Donna M. Bupp, Association for Retarded Citizens, 305 S. Burrowes Street, State College, PA 16801, (814) 238-1444.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

- Brochure/PROGRESS: Available at no charge.
- Training manual/Providing Realistic Opportunities for Gainful Rehabilitative Employment Success in Society: Available $6.00 from Project PROGRESS.
- Project developed instruments/WORK PLUS: Available from Project PROGRESS.
- Curricula/WORK PLUS: Available $3.00 from Project PROGRESS.
- Journal article/Financial implications of half- and full-time employment for persons with disabilities by Schloss, Wolf, and Schloss: Available $8.50 from Project PROGRESS.
- Journal article/Self-management strategies for adolescents entering the work force by Schloss: Available $8.50 from Project PROGRESS.
- Journal article/PROGRESS: A model program for promoting school-to-work transition: Available $8.50 from Project PROGRESS.
PROJECT TITLE: Postsecondary Training Project

CONTACT PERSON: Carla Jackson

TELEPHONE: (206)753-6733

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 6/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a model for coordinating the placement and maintenance of special education graduates in post high school training programs. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: autism, behavioral disorder, developmental disability, health impairment, hearing impairment, learning disability, physical handicap and visual impairment.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Interagency team building - local education agencies.
2. Assessment/referrals - local education agencies.
3. Followup activities continuing in all three districts.
4. Focus on preparation for supported employment.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Followup studies/University of Washington, Network and Evaluation Team.
2. Agency coordination, Program refinement and revision, Referral and Assessment/John Pearson, local education agency.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Training manual//Adult Transition Model, Community Service Model: Available at $15 - $18 from Edmarck Publications.
Final report: Available from Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Old Capitol Building, FG-11, Olympia, WA 98504.
PROJECT TITLE: Project INTERFACE

CONTACT PERSON: Justin Marino

TELEPHONE: (602) 965-2070

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

INSTITUTE #: 53

COMPETITION #: 84.023G

MAILING ADDRESS:
Arizona State University
Community Services Center
Tempe, AZ 85287

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to design, implement, and evaluate a model postsecondary demonstration project for handicapped youth ages 18 - 22 years. Project is designed to link handicapped youth, who exit secondary schools and who are not yet ready for competitive employment, to community-based training programs and services. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: mental retardation, emotional disorder, physical handicap, learning disability and multiple handicap.

PROJECT TITLE: Job Training and Tryout

CONTACT PERSON: Mary Ann Katski

TELEPHONE: (301) 468-0913

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/30/87

INSTITUTE #: 54

COMPETITION #: 84.023G

MAILING ADDRESS:
George Washington University
Department of Education
2121 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20052

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to demonstrate and disseminate a comprehensive service delivery model of transitional services needed to enhance the employability of "out of school", out of work" handicapped youth ages 18 - 22 years. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability and mental retardation.
**PROJECT TITLE:** Project TEE: Transitional Employment Support for Disabled Students  
**INSTITUTE #:** 61  
**COMPETITION #:** 84.023G  
**TELEPHONE:** (603) 624-0600  
**DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED:** 9/30/87  
**MAILING ADDRESS:**  
Transitional Employment Enterprises  
1361 Elm Street  
Manchester, NH 03103

**PROJECT PURPOSE.** The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide a transitional employment program based in the private sector for students aging out of special education systems in New Hampshire. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: mental retardation and physical handicap.

---

**PROJECT TITLE:** Undergraduate Training Program to Enhance Employment Opportunities for LD College Students  
**INSTITUTE #:** 63  
**COMPETITION #:** 84.023G  
**TELEPHONE:** (914) 359-9500, ext. 285  
**DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED:** 9/30/87  
**MAILING ADDRESS:**  
St. Thomas Aquinas College  
Route 340  
Sparkill, NY 10976

**PROJECT PURPOSE.** The purpose of this federally funded project was: to collaborate with Rockland County Association in order to enhance employability opportunities for learning disabled college graduates through a program which was established in 1982. A career training component was planned. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.
PROJECT TITLE: Postsecondary Nonsheltered Vocational Training and Continuing Education for Severely Handicapped Young Adults

CONTACT PERSON: Jan Nisbet

TELEPHONE: (315) 423-4121

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide appropriate services and training that will prepare severely handicapped postsecondary age young adults for maximum participation in community based competitive employment sites, through instruction in criterion routines and in "best example" and/or general case training environments. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: mental retardation, physical handicap and communication disorder.

-------------------------------

PROJECT TITLE: Distributed Supported Work Project

CONTACT PERSON: Larry Rhodes

TELEPHONE: (503) 686-5311

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 7/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to improve the range and level of work benefits experienced by most postsecondary individuals with severe handicaps through placement and long term support in competitive job sites. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: mental retardation.
COMPETITION PROFILE: CFDA 84.078B
POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION PROGRAMS
FOR HANDICAPPED PERSONS:
DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS FOR MILDLY MENTALLY
RETAIRED AND LEARNING DISABLED
INITIAL COMPETITION: 7/06/84

PURPOSE OF COMPETITION
The purpose of this competition was to stimulate the field of higher education to conduct projects that would enhance postsecondary possibilities for persons with mild mental retardation or persons with a learning disability especially to assure that demonstrated models for these populations are available to those concerned with their continuing educational needs. The primary focus was to provide individuals with a handicapping condition a means by which to pursue appropriate and effective educational opportunities in postsecondary, vocational and technical institutions. Projects were expected to develop, operate and disseminate specially designed model programs of postsecondary, vocational, technical, continuing, or adult education for persons with mild mental retardation or a learning disability.

AUTHORITY
Authority for this program contained in Section 625 of Part C of the Education of the Handicapped Act.

ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS
State educational agencies, institutions of higher education including junior and community colleges, vocational and technical institutions, and other appropriate nonprofit educational agencies were eligible for this competition.

FUNDS AVAILABLE
Approximately $2,200,000 was expected to be available for support of an estimated 15 new grants in fiscal year 1984.

NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED
Fifteen demonstration projects were funded under this competition.

DURATION
Project support was available for periods of one, two, or three years. One project expired in 1985, four projects expired in 1986, and the remaining ten projects expired in 1987.
SUMMARY OF 84.078B EXPIRED PROJECT PROFILES

PROJECT PURPOSE
The 15 projects under this competition focused on enhancing postsecondary possibilities for persons with mild mental retardation or learning disabilities. Two of the projects were involved with systems change with more than one institution. One project involved a statewide community college system and the second project focused on five college campuses. The primary purpose of four projects included service delivery at the community college level. Vocational training at the postsecondary level was the purpose for four projects. Information dissemination for purposes of enhancing access was the purpose indicated by two projects. Three projects specifically reported development of support and/or learning assistance programs as their primary purpose.

FOCUS OF CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES
Six projects reported on the focus of their continuation activities. One-third of these projects each indicated a focus on product development, expansion of program services, dissemination, assessment and inservice training.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED
Of the six projects reporting on project components being continued, half indicated the continuation of assessment, training and intake/eligibility determination components. Two projects each reported continuing followup, referral, placement, education, manpower training, counseling and program refinement/revision components. Two projects indicated the continuation of project components by agencies other than the primary grantee.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Products were reported by six projects in this competition. Seven training manuals have been developed by four of the projects and nine journal articles have been written by three projects. Brochures were indicated as a product by three projects, and two projects indicated instruments had been developed.
LOCATION OF 84.0788 EXPIRED PROJECTS

The map below depicts the location of the expired projects funded under this competition.
PROJECT TITLE: Program Standards & Eligibility Criteria for LD Adults in Postsecondary Education

CONTACT PERSON: Lynn Frady

TELEPHONE: (916) 323-5957

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to standardize, delineate, and distribute a process and criteria for assessment and identification of LD adults in community colleges to be normed and validated for non-handicapped adult population for the State of California. To develop minimum learning disabled program services to be standardized statewide. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Inservice training of LD specialists for use of LD guidelines.
2. Continued revision of training manual, intake screening booklet.
3. Judgemental analysis research on test bias.
4. Assessment and bias task force.
5. Publication of core services directory and dissemination.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Intake/eligibility determination, Assessment, Referral, Placement, Followup, Training, education, Counseling, Program refinement/revision, Dissemination, Replication, Agency Coordination, Manpower training and Research/Lynn Frady, Chancellor's Office, 1107 Ninth Street, 2nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916) 323-5957.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Training Manual/California Assessment System for Adults with Learning Disabilities: Available $250.00 in the rough draft form from Chancellor's Office Workshops.
Core Services Directory/California Community Colleges Programs and Services for the Learning Disabled: will be available approximately 5/88.
PROJECT TITLE: Postsecondary Intervention Model for Learning Disabilities

CONTACT PERSON: Mary Morris and Jan Leuenberger

TELEPHONE: (402) 472-5469/472-5475

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide a program for the language learning disabled (LLD) population who are motivated to seek postsecondary education in a university setting. The project will implement a sequence of activities for LLD students, promote accepting and supportive climate, increase awareness of service availability, document the incidence of LLD students and provide adapted education alternatives. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continuation of program components listed below.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Identification/verification of LD adults and Modified education programs/Jan Leuenberger, Barkley Center Speech and Hearing Clinic, University of Nebraska - Lincoln, Handicapped Services, 127 Administration, Lincoln, NE 68583-0731, (402) 472-3417.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure//PS IM LD Brochure: Product information available from M. Morris or Jan Leuenberger, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 253 Barkley Memorial Center, Lincoln, NE 68583-0731.

Training manual//Test preparation and test taking techniques: Product information available from M. Morris or Jan Leuenberger, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 253 Barkley Memorial Center, Lincoln, NE 68583-0731.

Training manual//Word study: a problem-solving strategy for reading comprehension: Product information available from M. Morris or Jan Leuenberger, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 253 Barkley Memorial Center, Lincoln, NE 68583-0731.

Training manual//Writing the research paper: Product information available from M. Morris or Jan Leuenberger, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 253 Barkley Memorial Center, Lincoln, NE 68583-0731.

Training manual//Self-advocacy & assertiveness for the LD college student: Product information available from M. Morris or Jan Leuenberger, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 253 Barkley Memorial Center, Lincoln, NE 68583-0731.

Journal article//Are student services professionals and faculty prepared to serve learning disabled college students?, Journal of College Student Personnel, Vol. 28, No. 1, 1/87.

PROJECT TITLE: Redirecting Vocational Training to the Community College: A Purchasable Option for Mildly Handicapped Consumers

INSTITUTE #: 90

PROJECT TITLE: Redirecting Vocational Training to the Community College: A Purchasable Option for Mildly Handicapped Consumers

CONTACT PERSON: Bert Flugman

TELEPHONE: (212) 221-3532

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop low-cost, non-degree vocational training at community colleges for handicapped youth who are school leavers and for disabled adults who need further training but aren't eligible for matriculated programs because they lack a high school diploma. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Conduct project in collaboration with a non-profit proprietary school rehabilitation agency. The latter will provide intense vocational skills training.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

1. Basic skills training, Interpersonal skills training, Vocational skills training, Career counseling, Work study and Tutoring/Bert Flugman, CASE/IRDOE, CUNY Graduate School, 33 W. 42nd Street, Room 620N, New York, NY 10036, (212) 221-3532.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

Project developed instruments/Competency rating forms, adapted self-concept scale, and forms used to monitor student performance.


PROJECT TITLE: Comprehensive Program for LD University Students

INSTITUTE #: 100

CONTACT PERSON: Anna Gajar

TELEPHONE: (814) 863-2284

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 6/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a comprehensive diagnostic and academic support service program for learning disabled university students for the purpose of retaining and graduating participants. To conduct awareness and information activities for LD advisors, faculty, counselors, and other staff involved with LD students. To address and/or identify unique problem areas (e.g., written expression, foreign language) indicating a need for research. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Increase the number of students being served to 30 - 50 per semester.
2. Presentations of systematic study skills program, which has evolved from working with LD university students.
3. Development of a video on the SSS Program.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

1. Assessment and Training/Anna Gajar, Penn State University, 2268 Moore, University Park, PA 16801, (814) 863-2284.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title:

Training manual/Programming for college students with learning disabilities: A program development and service delivery guide for university service providers, diagnosticians, tutors, counselors, and learning disabled students: Available $16.00 (AHHSPPE member); $25.00 (non-member) from AHHSPPE, P.O. Box 21192, Columbus, OH 43221.


Journal article/Performance of learning disabled university students on the Woodcock-Johnson Psycho-Educational Battery Part II-Tests of Achievement by Anna Gajar, (in press), Diagnostique.


Journal article/A computer analysis of written language variables and a comparison of compositions written by learning disabled and non-learning disabled university students by Anna Gajar (in press), Journal of Learning Disabilities.
PROJECT TITLE: Project PERT

INSTITUTE #: 96

CONTACT PERSON: Joseph M. Ashley

COMPETITION #: 84.0788

TELEPHONE: (703) 332-7123

MAILING ADDRESS: Woodrow Wilson Rehabilitation Center
Box 250
Fishersville, VA 22939

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to demonstrate an innovative approach which will enhance the post secondary options and opportunities of mildly retarded and LD youth in the Commonwealth of Virginia. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Increase implementation sites by 10 localities.
2. Provide inservice training for 50 professionals from Department of Education’s LEA’s and Division of Rehabilitative Services in PERT Transition Model.
3. Provide initial evaluations for 150 students.
4. Provide 50 supplemental evaluations.
5. Monitor 350 students in secondary and postsecondary settings.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Intake/eligibility determination, Assessment, Referral, Placement and Followup/Wendell L. Coleman, Director of Vocational Services, Woodrow Wilson Rehabilitation Center, Fishersville, VA 22939, (703) 332-7232; Thomas Bess, Program Supervisor, Department of Education, 4901 Fitzhugh, Richmond, VA 23230, (804) 327-0291; Department of Special Education, 23rd Floor, Monroe Building, Richmond, VA 23216-2060, (804) 225-2880.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title

Brochure/Project PERT Process: Available from project.
Newsletter/PERT Newsletter: Available from project.
Training manual/PERT Training Manual: Available @$20.00 from project.
Replication manual/PERT Operations Manual: Available @$20.00 from project.
PROJECT TITLE: CHANCE: Supportive Services for Mentally Retarded Adults

CONTACT PERSON: Susan W. Meslang

TELEPHONE: (804) 440-4735

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 10/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide support for the mentally retarded adult and his family in his transition from special education classes to the adult community experience. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Social skills classes for developmentally disabled adults and caregivers including: care of clothing, time management, meal planning, and preparation for grocery shopping.
2. Development of training model for replicated program.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Social skills classes for developmentally disabled adults, Parenting classes for caregivers of DD adults and Curriculum development/Susan Meslang, CHANCE Program, Child Study Center, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529-0136, (804) 440-4735.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Type/Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brochure/CHANCE Program Information Brochure: Available free from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project developed instruments/Program Evaluation: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/People to people: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Improving your self concept: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Assertiveness training: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Emergency &amp; home safety: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Building relationships: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Living Well: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Work adjustment: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Manners that matter: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Dress for success: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Basic consumerism: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Home repair: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Introduction to dancing: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Understanding your body: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula/Social &amp; intimate relationships: Available from project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROJECT TITLE: Project Collegebound
CONTACT PERSON: Ellen Racloppi
TELEPHONE: (617) 729-3091
DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 3/6/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide secondary LD students (and those with similar learning characteristics) with skills known to be critical to good learning and academic success through a credited, JDRP approved, Learning to Learn course. To provide teachers with the training and coaching required to implement LTL skills within the content area, and to incorporate current research on learning styles/hemispheric preference in lesson plan design. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

PROJECT TITLE: Enhancing the Transition of Mildly Retarded and LD Postsecondary Vocational Education
CONTACT PERSON: James M. Brown
TELEPHONE: (612) 624-7754
DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop and field test a model program that enhances the transition of mildly retarded and learning disabled persons from secondary special education programs and other settings, through postsecondary vocational education settings, and into gainful employment. To finalize development and implementation of materials and processes from both the Minnesota and Washington field sites. To determine the validity of instrumentation for identifying and monitoring potential dropouts with handicaps. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability and mental retardation.
PROJECT TITLE: Assimilating the Learning Disabled into Community College

CONTACT PERSON: Craig Michaels

TELEPHONE: (516) 747-5400

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to facilitate the transition of learning disabled students, who may have had no previous plan for higher education, into three community college settings. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.

PROJECT TITLE: Project CLASS - Career and Learning Assistance and Support Services

CONTACT PERSON: Irwin Rosenthal

TELEPHONE: (212) 594-7841

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to offer learning disabled students at New York University in undergraduate and graduate colleges with three different orientations - traditional liberal arts, professional pre-admission, and a non-traditional work/study program - varied services to facilitate academic survival/success, career development and job preparation. Services include individualized programming, computer-assisted instruction, and group and individual career and study counseling services. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.
PROJECT TITLE: Postsecondary Education Programs for the Handicapped

CONTACT PERSON: Dorothy Fisher

TELEPHONE: (701) 663-0376

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/1/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide vocational training in the human services field for functionally handicapped young adults - the result being employment for this relatively new labor force. Includes course development, curriculum modification, and replication of instructional materials.

INSTITUTE #: 91

COMPETITION #: 84.0788

MAILING ADDRESS:
Housing, Industry, Training, Inc.
Habilitation Services
1007 - 18th Street, ND
Mandan, ND 58564

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

PROJECT TITLE: Centrally Co-ordinated Approach to Serving LD Students on Five College Campuses

CONTACT PERSON: Lydia Block

TELEPHONE: (614) 422-3995

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 12/1/85

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to demonstrate a model which will show how many clusters of geographically close schools can share services effectively to better meet the needs of the learning disabled.

INSTITUTE #: 97

COMPETITION #: 84.0788

MAILING ADDRESS:
The Ohio State University Research Foundation
1314 Kinnear Road
Columbus, OH 43212-1194
PROJECT TITLE: A Model Program of Community College Special Education for Adults with Mild Mental Retardation

CONTACT PERSON: Daniel Close/Robert Wells

TELEPHONE: (503) 686-3585

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop, validate, and replicate a model instructional program within community college settings for persons with mild mental retardation. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, cerebral palsy, developmental disability, emotional disorder, epilepsy, hearing impairment, learning disability, mental retardation, physical handicap, speech impairment and visual impairment.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

PROJECT TITLE: College Access Program

CONTACT PERSON: Earl Davis

TELEPHONE: (615) 795-4368

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to design, implement, and disseminate information on a program for persons with a learning disability who wish to pursue postsecondary education. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability.
PROJECT TITLE: Transition II - Postsecondary

CONTACT PERSON: Michael Collins

TELEPHONE: (802) 656-2936

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop, implement, and evaluate a training and employment program in a postsecondary setting for individuals who are mentally retarded. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: mental retardation.
COMPETITION PROFILE: CFDA 84.128A

SPECIAL PROJECTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS FOR PROVIDING VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION SERVICES TO SEVERELY DISABLED INDIVIDUALS

INITIAL COMPETITION: 5/08/84

PURPOSE OF COMPETITION
The major purpose of this program was to establish demonstration projects for providing comprehensive programs in rehabilitation services which hold promise of expanding or otherwise improving the vocational rehabilitation of persons with severe disabilities who have special rehabilitation needs because of the nature of their disabilities. The primary goal was to assist individuals with severe disabilities to achieve the optimal vocational adjustment of which they are capable. Three priorities were identified under this competition. Programs supported under priority three, transition from school or institution to work, were to develop effective strategies that involve the use of integrated, generic community programs such as community colleges, non-profit vocational and technical schools, non-profit private schools, and other similar agencies or institutions. These programs were to provide transitional vocational services leading to full employment for individuals leaving a school or an institution.

AUTHORITY
Authority for this program is contained in Section 311(a)(1) of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. (29 U.S.C. 777a(a)(1)).

ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS
States and public or nonprofit agencies and organizations were eligible to apply for grants under this program.

FUNDS AVAILABLE
Approximately $2,935,000 was made available to support an estimated 25 new severely disabled projects in fiscal year 1984. The amount available for the transition priority was approximately one-fourth of the overall funding level or $733,750.

NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED
Five special projects and demonstration grants were funded under the transition priority.

DURATION
Project support was available for up to three years subject to an annual review of progress and availability of funds. All projects supported by this grant award expired in 1987.
SUMMARY OF 84.128A EXPIRED PROJECT PROFILES

PROJECT PURPOSE
The primary purpose of the five projects funded under this competition was the provision of training for employment demonstration models. Projects were to target individuals with severe disabilities in the development of effective transitional strategies.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED
Three projects reported on continuation activities. Followup was the focus of continuation activities for two projects. Training, assessment and placement components were also reported as being continued by two projects. One project indicated the continuation of project components by agencies other than the primary grantee.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
One project indicated the development and availability of a brochure, operational manual and slide presentation as project products.

LOCATION OF 84.128A PROJECTS
The map below depicts the location of the five expired projects in this competition.
PROJECT TITLE: Youth Employment: Transition from School to Work

INSTITUTE #: 80

CONTACT PERSON: Patricia Patton

COMPETITION #: 84.128A

TELEPHONE: (615) 229-2462

MAILING ADDRESS: San Diego State University
6310 Alvarado Court
San Diego, CA 92120

SPECIAL NET USER ID: SDSU SE California

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 10/1/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was to design a model which includes instructional, training and employment strategies that will prepare students with moderate and severe handicaps for transition to, and employment in, a wide variety of community jobs and businesses. Primary to this purpose will be the active coordination of school and adult service agencies working with students, parents, and families to facilitate transition. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, learning disability and mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Followup.

PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES BEING CONTINUED CONTACT PERSON


2. Education/Bob Langsdale, The B.E.L.I. Clinic/Laddi Farm, 2017 Orange Avenue, Ramona, CA 92065 (619) 789-8040.


4. Agency coordination/Karin Norberg, CA Department of Rehabilitation, Vocational Resource Services, 1350 Front Street, Room 406, San Diego, CA 92101, (619) 237-7284; and Carlotta Pennell Taylor, San Diego Regional Center, 4355 Ruffin Road, Suite 306, San Diego, CA 92123, (619) 576-2980.


PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title

Final report/Products listed in final report: Available from ERIC Clearinghouse.
PROJECT TITLE: Blind Access Computers (BAC)

INSTITUTE #: 79

CONTACT PERSON: Philip Hertz or David Best, VA Department for the Visually Handicapped

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to enable blind student/clients to make a smooth transition from the classroom to employment by providing a mechanism to allow them to participate fully in computer-related courses, and providing meaningful work experiences, job placements and follow-up services. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: visual impairment.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

1. Purchase of adaptive computer equipment/Philip Hertz or David Best, VA Department for the Visually Handicapped, 397 Azalea Avenue, Richmond, VA 23227.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title
PROJECT TITLE: Valley Transitional School Project

CONTACT PERSON: George Drummond

TELEPHONE: (703) 332-7716

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide comprehensive rehabilitation and educational services to students with severe disabilities, which will enable them to make the transition from school to gainful employment. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: cerebral palsy, emotional disorder, hearing impairment, learning disability, mental retardation and physical handicap.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continuation of project activities.
2. Development of 5 year followup plan.
3. Increase inservice and community awareness activities for teachers, guidance personnel and school officials.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Transitional School Program including Screening, Assessment, Training options and Placement services/Barbara Smallwood, Transition Specialist, Augusta County Schools, (703) 885-0088.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure//A Sense of Pride, a guide for parents/employers: Available at no cost from project, contact George Drummond, Department of Rehabilitation Services, 112 Mactanly Place, Staunton, VA 24401.
Operational manual//Transitional School Services: Available $5.00 (copying & postage), contact George Drummond, Department of Rehabilitation Services, 112 Mactanly Place, Staunton, VA 24401.
Slide presentation//A Sense of Pride: For product information contact George Drummond, Department of Rehabilitation Services, 112 Mactanly Place, Staunton, VA 24401.

INSTITUTE #: 82
COMPETITION #: 84.128A
MAILING ADDRESS:
Department of Rehabilitation Services
112 Mactanly Place
Staunton, VA 24401
PROJECT TITLE: Otte' Doo Naa Nishji Project
CONTACT PERSON: Sherry A. Curley or Elmer Guy
TELEPHONE: (602) 871-5076
DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to ensure that handicapped Navajo youth leave school with marketable skills and with opportunities for postsecondary training and employment. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: learning disability and substance abuse.

******************************************

PROJECT TITLE: Goodwill Industries Special Projects for Severely Handicapped
CONTACT PERSON: Maxine Fuller
TELEPHONE: (301) 530-6500
DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to bring together education, rehabilitation, business, and Goodwill Industries in a program effort (pre-employment services, job training, and job placement) to train and place 250 severely handicapped persons in competitive employment. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: severe handicap.
PURPOSE OF COMPETITION
The purpose of this program was to support projects that would develop and establish exemplary models for services and programs which include specific vocational training and job placement. Projects were expected to identify populations of individuals with handicapping conditions who have been isolated from the sequence of vocational education to employment capability. Using a school-community setting for developing a comprehensive model, projects were to incorporate a number of components for transition from school to competitive or supportive employment. Suggested components included: curriculum development in special education and vocational education, transition from secondary schools to time-limited services to gain entry into the labor market, development of ongoing community-based services to provide necessary support, and development of school/employer linkages such as Projects With Industry.

AUTHORITY
Authority for this program is contained in Section 626 of Part C of the Education of the Handicapped Act.

ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS
Institutions of higher education, State educational agencies, local educational agencies, or other appropriate public and private nonprofit institutions or agencies (including the State job training coordinating councils and service delivery area administrative entities established under the Job Training Partnership Act) were eligible for this competition.

FUNDS AVAILABLE
Approximately $1,000,000 was expected to be available for support of 10 new demonstration projects in fiscal year 1984.

NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED
Sixteen service demonstration models were funded under this competition.

DURATION
Project support was for a three-year period subject to an annual review of progress and the availability of funds. All projects funded under this competition expired in 1987.
SUMMARY OF 84.158A EXPIRED PROJECT PROFILES

PROJECT PURPOSE
The demonstration models funded under this competition were to focus on vocational training and job placement. The specific purposes stated by the 16 funded projects reflected this overall purpose. Six projects indicated as a specific purpose, the development of either a competitive employment or supported work model. The other projects all indicated a purpose which included vocational training, job placement or work training models.

FOCUS OF CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES
Four projects reported on the focus of their continuation activities. The current focus indicated by individual projects included agency coordination, placement, followup, program refinement/revision, dissemination, referral, training, assessment, evaluation, transition planning and continuation of funding.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED
Of the five projects indicating which project components were being continued, all reported continuation of a placement component. Four projects are continuing an intake component and three projects each indicated continuance of agency coordination, followup and dissemination. Two projects each reported program refinement/revision, training, assessment, transition planning and support services as components being continued. Three projects indicated continuation of project components by agencies other than the primary grantee.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Four projects reported on product development. Three projects indicated availability of a brochure. Four journal articles, a book and a chapter in a book have been produced by two projects. Replication manuals and various curriculum guides have been developed through two projects.
LOCATION OF 84.158A EXPIRED PROJECTS
The map below depicts the location of the expired projects funded under this competition.
PROJECT TITLE: Secondary Education and Transitional Services for Handicapped Youth

CONTACT PERSON: Dan Hulbert

TELEPHONE: (213) 698-8121

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 12/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to provide a comprehensive network of vocational, independent living skills, community consumer and career education services for all students with exceptional needs (within the 8 cooperating school districts) through expanding services of existing Career Assessment and Placement Center, evaluating effectiveness of these services, and implementing a dissemination program. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: emotional disorder, health impairment, hearing impairment, learning disability, mental retardation, speech impairment, visual impairment and multiple handicap.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continuation and refinement of all program components.
2. Dissemination of training/replication manuals.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Videotape//The Best That You Can Be: Available $30.00 from project, contact Dan Hulbert, Whittier Union High School District, Career Assessment & Placement Center, 9401 S. Painter, Whittier, CA 90605.
Videotape//Help Wanted: Available $15.00 from project, contact Dan Hulbert, Whittier Union High School District, Career Assessment & Placement Center, 9401 S. Painter, Whittier, CA 90605.
Replication manual//Dissemination manual: Available from project, contact Dan Hulbert, Whittier Union High School District, Career Assessment & Placement Center, 9401 S. Painter, Whittier, CA 90.05.
PROJECT TITLE: A Continuum of Coordinated Transition Services for Developmentally Disabled in a Large Rural Area

CONTACT PERSON: Patricia Tompkins-McGill

TELEPHONE: (505) 672-1791

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 10/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE: The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop and demonstrate innovative methods of facilitating sheltered, competitive and supported employment opportunities for developmentally disabled youth who reside in large rural socio-economically depressed regions of northern New Mexico. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: developmentally disabled.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Placement and Follow-along/Terry Briggance, (505) 827-3522, Andy Winegar (505) 827-3520, Division of Vocational Rehabilitation, 604 San Mateo, Santa Fe, NM 87501; Steve Osossey, Developmental Disabilities Bureau, Health and Environment Department, P.O. Box 968, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0968, (505) 827-2573; Jim Crews, Developmental Disabilities Planning Council, Office of Health Policy and Planning, P.O. Box 968, Room #4094 North, 4th Floor, Harold Runnels Building, 1190 St. Francis Drive, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0968, (505) 827-2573; Ronald Garcia, Las Cumbres Learning Services, Inc., P.O. Box 740, Los Alamos, NM 87544
2. Supported living and Cottage industry/Steve Dossey, Developmental Disabilities Bureau, Health and Environment Department, P.O. Box 968, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0968, (505) 827-2573.
3. Sheltered employment/Terry Briggance, (505) 827-3522, Andy Winegar, (505) 827-3520, Division of Vocational Rehabilitation, 604 San Mateo, Santa Fe, NM 87503; Steve Osossey, Developmental Disabilities Bureau, Health and Environment Department, P.O. Box 968, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0968, (505) 827-2573.
4. Public Awareness/Jim Crews, Developmental Disabilities Planning Council, Office of Health Policy and Planning, P.O. Box 968, Room #4094 North, 4th Floor, Harold Runnels Building, 1190 St. Francis Drive, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0968, (505) 827-2573.
5. Support to families/Steve Osossey, Developmental Disabilities Bureau, Health and Environment Department, P.O. Box 968, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0968, (505) 827-2573; Las Cumbres Learning Services, Inc., P.O. Box 740, Los Alamos, NM 87544, (505) 672-1791.
6. Transition from school/Jim Crews, Developmental Disabilities Planning Council, Office of Health Policy and Planning, P.O. Box 968, Room #4094 North, 4th Floor, Harold Runnels Building, 1190 St. Francis Drive, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0968, (505) 827-2573.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title
Curriculum/Death and Dying and the Grief Process: Available $25.00; Sexuality: Available $25.00; Adult Clients’ Rights Handbook: Available $15.00; Disability Adjustment: Available $25.00; Task Analysis and Curriculum on Janitorial & Groundskeeping Skills: Available $25.00; Task Analysis & Curriculum on Pantograph Engraving Skills: Available $25.00; Task Analysis & Curriculum on Electronics Assembly Skills: Available $25.00; Employment of the Handicapped through Community Involvement: Available $25.00; Las Cumbres Learning Services, Inc., P.O. Box 740, Los Alamos, NM 87544, (505) 672-1791.

Videotape/Wait 'Till You See What I Can Do: Available $135.00 for series of 3/4" broadcast quality or $85.00 individually; also available in 1/2" and 1" formats.
PROJECT TITLE: Competitive Employment for Young Adults with Mental Retardation

CONTACT PERSON: Paul Whom

TELEPHONE: (804) 367-1851

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 7/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE: The purpose of this federally funded project was: to demonstrate competitive employment for youth with mental retardation through a supported work approach including job site training and follow-along services after placement. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: autism and mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Evaluate program outcomes.
2. Demonstrate job placement for youth with severe handicaps using a supported employment approach.
3. Participate in Individualized Transition Planning meetings.
4. Provide linkage with adult service providers.
5. Provide followup services.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Research and Dissemination/Paul Whom, Virginia Commonwealth University, VCU P.O. Box 211, Richmond, VA 23284-2011, (804) 367-1851.
2. Individualized transition planning meetings, Supported work services, Job placement, Referral and Agency coordination/Dianna Camden, Amelia Street School, 1821 Amelia Street, Richmond, VA 23220, (804) 780-6275; Mary Ellen Hale, Bird High School, 1301 Courthouse Road, Chesterfield, VA 23832, (804) 266-7609; Connie Ford Jasper, Special Education, Howard Administration Building, 3801 West Braddock Road, Glen Allen, VA 23060, (703) 990-2176; Cathy Crider & Jeanette Thorpe, Center for Effective Learning, 235 North Witchduck Road, Virginia Beach, VA 23462, (804) 473-5041.
3. Intake/eligibility determination, Funding, Referral and Individualized transition planning meetings/Mike Stone, Virginia Department of Rehabilitative Services, P.O. Box 6297, Richmond, VA 23226, (804) 257-0042; Bill Berndt, Virginia Department of Rehabilitative Services, Newington Building, 7830 Backlick Road, Suite 115, Springfield, VA 22150, (703) 569-4303; Virginia Department of Rehabilitative Services, Corporate Center II, 4456 Corporation Lane, Suite 234, Virginia Beach, VA 23462.
4. Intake, Job placement, Supported work services, Follow-along services, Funding, and Individualized transition planning meetings/Kathy Sadler, Richmond Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation & Subsance Abuse, 9 E East Broad Street, Richmond, VA 23219, (804) 780-8296; Louise MacDonald, (804) 266-6991, Rich Brown (804) 262-6665, Henrico County Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation, 1029 Woodman Road, Glen Allen, VA 23060; Janet Hill, (804) 748-1421, Lance Elwood, (804) 271-9451, Chesterfield Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation, P.O. Box 92, Chesterfield, VA 23832; Gwen Sother, Alexandria Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation, 206 N. Washington Street, Alexandria, VA 22314, (804) 838-4455; Jill White, Virginia Beach Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation, Virginia Beach Adult Services, 3432 Virginia Beach Boulevard, Virginia Beach, VA 23452, (804) 486-1382.
PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title
Brochure/Education to Employment.

Project developed instruments/A three-way interagency agreement for transition planning and supported employment services, individual transition plan packet by W. Wood and M. Hill (1986).


Manuscript/P. Wehman, W. Wood, & W. Parent, (1987), Competitive employment outcomes for youth with mental retardation after three years, unpublished manuscript, Department of Rehabilitative Medicine, Medical College of Virginia, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA.
PROJECT TITLE: Project Transition

INSTITUTE #: 34

CONTACT PERSON: Jim Heliotis

COMPETITION #: B4.158A

TELEPHONE: (206) 281-6796

MAILING ADDRESS:
Career and Vocational Education
Wilson Pacific Annex, Room 103
1330 N. 90th
Seattle, WA 98103

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 12/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to set up a four phase vocational training and placement sequence addressing the needs of handicapped dropouts and high risk secondary level students. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, health impairment, learning disability and mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

1. Continuation of program components listed below through June, 1988.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON

PROJECT TITLE: The Continuing Education Project:
A Realistic Transition Model for Secondary School Handicapped

CONTACT PERSON: Greg R. Weisenstein

TELEPHONE: (206) 545-1807

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 12/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a model that school districts can use to better prepare 17-21 year old handicapped students for the world of work. Students will work in competitive jobs during the day, evenings or weekends, attend a transition resource room three times per week, and participate in an Employment Support Group once per week. They will be placed in other in-district programs (e.g., vocational classes) as warranted by individual training needs. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, learning disability and mental retardation.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continue program in same form as developed under model demonstration project, with the school district and state agencies assuming complete responsibility for continuation.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Intake, Training, Agency coordination, Placement, Follow-along, Evaluation and Program refinement/
   Dr. Benedetti, 18675 Ambrumm Blvd S.W., Seattle, WA 98166, (206) 433-2125.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Journal articles/monographs/ Currently being developed.
PROJECT TITLE: Employment Retention Program

CONTACT PERSON: Devi Jameson

TELEPHONE: (415) 724-4657

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 12/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to apply an individual supported work model for handicapped youth in transition. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: autism, behavioral disorder, chronic mental illness, communication disorder, developmental disability, emotional disorder, hearing impairment, learning disability, mental retardation, physical handicap, speech impairment and visual impairment.

---------------------

PROJECT TITLE: LCTM: Comprehensive Transition Training Model

CONTACT PERSON: Dennis Mithaug

TELEPHONE: (303) 593-3114

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 12/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to increase movement of moderately, severely and profoundly retarded students from school and home to independent living and work situations; through promoting independent functioning and adaptability at all levels. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, learning disability and mental retardation.
PROJECT TITLE: City Lights Project
CONTACT PERSON: Bert L'Homme or Paul Bucci
TELEPHONE: (202) 682-0815
DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a demonstration program to assist seriously emotionally and educationally handicapped students to make the transition from school to work and from dependence to independence. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder.

------------------------------------------------------------

PROJECT TITLE: IAM-CARES Transitional Services for Handicapped Youth
CONTACT PERSON: Guy Stubblefield or Angela Traiforos
TELEPHONE: (202) 857-5173
DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 10/31/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to plan, establish, develop, and demonstrate a vocational training and job placement program for secondary level handicapped youth that demonstrates a fully unified and coordinated approach between business, industry, labor, local education agencies, and rehabilitation systems. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, developmental disability, emotional disorder, epilepsy, learning disability, mental retardation, physical handicap, speech impairment and visual impairment.
PROJECT TITLE: Secondary School/Post Training Employment Transition Service Demonstration Model Project for Handicapped Students

CONTACT PERSON: Robert Stoddten

TELEPHONE: (808) 948-7956

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to focus on the three phases of transition process: secondary program IEP management, transitional management, and availability/appropriateness of postsecondary program options. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: emotional disorder, learning disability, mental retardation, physical handicap and multiple handicap.

INSTITUTE #: 25

COMPETITION #: 84.158A

MAILING ADDRESS: University of Hawaii Department of Special Education, UA4-4 1776 University Avenue Honolulu, HI 96822

PROJECT TITLE: Project COMPETE: Community-Based Model for Public School Exit and Transition to Employment

CONTACT PERSON: Richard Dever

TELEPHONE: (812) 335-0423

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 1/10/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop, evaluate, and replicate a transitional service model linking rehabilitation centers and public schools in preparing moderately and severely handicapped youth to obtain and maintain competitive employment. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: mental retardation.
PROJECT TITLE: Human Resources Center Transition of Severely Disabled Youth from School to Work: A Demonstration Model

CONTACT PERSON: Roberta Housman

TELEPHONE: (516) 747-5400

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to create a service demonstration model program which utilizes community resources for facilitating the transition of non-collegebound severely disabled students from secondary education into employment. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: behavioral disorder, brain damage, cerebral palsy, chronic mental illness, communication disorder, developmental disability, emotional disorder, epilepsy, hearing impairment, learning disability, mental retardation, physical handicap, speech impairment, spinal cord injury, traumatic head injury, and visual impairment.

PROJECT TITLE: Project EMPLOYMENT

CONTACT PERSON: Michael Kramer

TELEPHONE: (212) 563-7474

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 12/5/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop and implement a model program to facilitate competitive employment in developmentally disabled young adult who are in transition from school to adult environments. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: developmental disability.
PROJECT TITLE: Community Services for Autistic Children

CONTACT PERSON: Patricia Juhrs

TELEPHONE: (301) 258-2950

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 6/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to refine a successful community-based, nonsheltered work-training program; evaluate the effectiveness of the model curriculum, the methods and interventions, nonsheltered placements and staff training; and disseminate information regarding the model for replication through site visits, a demonstration training film brief handbook and presentations at conferences. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: autism and mental retardation.

PROJECT TITLE: Electronics Industry Enclave Project

CONTACT PERSON: Larry Rhodes

TELEPHONE: (503) 686-5311

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a fully tested and nationally replicable model for employing persons with severe mental retardation and related educational handicaps in regular worksites upon graduation from school. The primary handicapping condition(s) of the students/clients served by this project included: developmental disability.
PROJECT TITLE: American Council on Rural Special Education

CONTACT PERSON: Doris Helse

TELEPHONE: (206) 676-3576

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/6/87

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop and identify effective models of transition from school to work settings/community for rural persons with disabilities.
PURPOSE OF COMPETITION
The purpose of this program was to support projects designed to plan and develop cooperative models for activities among State or local education agencies and adult service agencies, which would facilitate effective planning to meet the service and employment needs of handicapped youth as they leave school. Projects were expected to identify handicapped individuals who need but have traditionally had problems linking with community-based training programs and services or obtaining and maintaining employment. Suggested model program components included: development of formal working agreements and mechanisms, demonstration of unique methods of ensuring placement in continuing education and training programs, demonstration of the intervention of various support systems, incorporating successful programs, i.e., Projects With Industry, with educational agencies.

AUTHORITY
Authority for this program is contained in Section 626 of Part C of the Education of the Handicapped Act.

ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS
Institutions of higher education, State educational agencies, local educational agencies, or other appropriate public and private nonprofit institutions or agencies (including the State job training coordinating councils and service delivery area administrative entities established under the Job Training Partnership Act) were eligible for this competition.

FUNDS AVAILABLE
Approximately $1,000,000 was expected to be available for support of 13 new cooperative models in fiscal year 1984.

NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED
Eleven cooperative models were funded under this competition.

DURATION
Project support was for up to a two-year period subject to an annual review of progress and the availability of funds. All projects under this competition have expired.
SUMMARY OF 84.158B EXPIRED PROJECT PROFILES

PROJECT PURPOSE
Of the 11 projects funded under this competition, five projects were involved in the development of local cooperative models. One project indicated the development of a state level cooperative model, and one project reported development of a regional interagency model. The purpose of one project was the provision of technical assistance and collection and dissemination of transition status data among selected state education agencies.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED
Three projects reported on continuation activities, all of which indicated dissemination as a component being continued. Two projects each are continuing the components of transition planning, manpower training and agency coordination.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Brochures, parent handbooks, videotapes and instruments were each reported by two projects. One project has developed a bibliography on transition.

LOCATION OF 84.158B EXPIRED PROJECTS
The map below depicts the location of the expired projects under this competition.
PROJECT TITLE: A Cooperative Model for Planning and Developing Transitional Services for Handicapped Youth

INSTITUTE #: 69

CONTACT PERSON: Joseph J. Pastanella

COMPETITION #: 84.1588

TELEPHONE: (805) 963-4331

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 12/86

MAILING ADDRESS: Santa Barbara High School District
723 East Cota Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to build interagency partnerships which result in the collaborative design and delivery of programs and services which support the successful transition to adulthood by youths with handicaps via: developing partnerships with community service providers, business and industry; providing additional support services for students; developing post-school services through functional interagency agreements.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Continuation of program components listed below.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Individual transition plans, Parent training regarding transition and job coaches/Joseph Pasanella, Santa Barbara High School District, 723 E. Cota Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93105, (805) 963-4331.
2. Parent training regarding transition/Joseph Pasanella or E. Medina, Santa Barbara High School District, 723 E. Cota Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93105, (805) 963-4331.
3. Curriculum alignment SDC (LH & SH) & RSP/ Joseph Pasanella or Don Bennett, Santa Barbara High School District, 723 E. Cota Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93105, (805) 963-4331.
4. Interagency agreements/Joseph Pasanella or Wendy Vaughn, Santa Barbara High School District, 723 E. Cota, Santa Barbara, CA 93107, (805) 963-4331.

PROJECT PRODUCTS

Product Type/Title
Brochure/Parent Guidelines for Transition Services: free from project.
Parent handbook/Parent Guidelines for Transition Services: free to district parents.
Project developed instruments: Currently not available for distribution.
Videotape: Currently not available for distribution.
Curricula: Currently not available for distribution.
PROJECT TITLE: Innovative Program for Severely Handicapped

CONTACT PERSON: Josephine G. Mesta/Felix R. Nogis

TELEPHONE: (670) 322-9956/9256

SPECIAL NET USER ID: CON.SE

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/89

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to plan a structured secondary and post high school training and transitional service delivery model for handicapped students in the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Hire three job coach positions.
2. Establish second pilot project.
3. Implement peer tutoring program.
4. Increase community-based training options.
5. Increase joint collaborative effort with other agencies (JTPA, VR, DD, Voc. Ed., and Northern Marianas College).
6. Establish an on-going system of a joint agency generated activities.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Mainstreaming program, Community-based training and Peer tutoring program/J. Mesta or F. Nogis, State Wide System Change, Special Education, Department of Education, Lower Base, Saipan, CNMI, MP 96950, (670) 322-9956/9256.
2. Youth tryout employment program and On-the-job training program/J. Mesta, F. Nogis, State Wide System Change, Special Education, Department of Education, Lower Base, Saipan, CNMI, 96950, (670) 322-9956/9256 or Ben Guerrero, Administrator, JTPA Office.
PROJECT TITLE: Planning and Developing Cooperative Transitional Services for the Handicapped in CT

CONTACT PERSON: D. Joyce O'Reilly

TELEPHONE: (203) 566-3317

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 12/31/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a regional interagency collaborative process through which students with handicapping conditions may receive suitable training, counseling, and assessment in preparation for leaving high school to enter competitive or supported employment or to access further education or training; to facilitate the student's transition from school based services to adult/community based services.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Develop and disseminate curricula, public information and resource materials regarding transition.
2. Provide training to school personnel regarding transition, particularly the development of individualized transition plans (ITP's).
3. Develop and disseminate school/community-based programs to facilitate transition, particularly for students with severe disabilities.
4. Expand vocational rehabilitation services to students with disabilities.
5. Increase coordination among the divisions of the CT State Department of Education, including hiring a departmental transition coordinator and updating the interdivisional cooperative agreement.

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Agency coordination and Training/D. Joyce O'Reilly, Division of Rehabilitation, CT State Department of Education, 600 Asylum Avenue, Hartford, CT 06105, (203) 566-3317.
2. Dissemination/D. Joyce O'Reilly, Division of Rehabilitation, CT State Department of Education. 600 Asylum Avenue, Hartford, CT 06105 (203) 566-3317 or Nancy Krafcik, Special Education Resource Center (SERC), 25 Industrial Park Road, Middletown, CT 06457, (203) 632-1485.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
- Project developed instruments//Transition from School to Work: A Resource Manual for Practitioners and Parents of Students with Disabilities: Available at no charge (quantities limited) from SERC, 25 Industrial Park Road, Middletown, CT 06457.
- Journal articles//Bibliography of Journal Articles on Transition and Special Education: Available at no charge from SERC, 25 Industrial Park Road, Middletown, CT 06457.
PROJECT TITLE: Project IMPACT: Innovative Model for Achieving Community Transition

INSTITUTE #: 76

COMPETITION #: 84.1588

Mailing Address:
Dallas Independent School District
4528 Rusk Avenue
Dallas, TX 75204

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a school to community transition model that facilitates comprehensive planning and the identification of appropriate services for handicapped young adults who are "aging out" of eligibility for public school services.

FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)
1. Coordinating school to community transition of more than 30 "aging out" students who will no longer be eligible for public school services.
2. Dissemination of information regarding the model. (More than 500 dissemination packets have been sent in response to requests for information).

PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED/CONTACT PERSON
1. Community, school, & parent awareness; Communication effort, Transition staffing model and Followup/Kathy O'Neill, Special Education, Dallas ISD, (214) 490-8701.
2. Dissemination/Bill Quinones, Dallas ISD, 4528 Rusk Avenue, Dallas, TX 75204, (214) 826-0250.

PROJECT PRODUCTS
Product Type/Title
Brochure/Project IMPACT - DISD Community Transition Model: Available at no charge from Transition Services, Special Education Department, DISD.
Parent handbook/Transition Planning Guide for Parent of Special Education Students: Available at no charge from Transition Services, Special Education Department, DISD; or ERIC/EDRS Document #ED 282379.
Videotape/TRANSITION: Parent Awareness/Overview: Available free for loan from Transition Services, Special Education Department, DISD.
Program profile/Recognized as a model short-term transitional program: Available at no charge from SEDL, Regional Rehabilitation Exchange, 211 East 7th Street, Austin, TX 78701.
PROJECT TITLE: The Sonoma County Transition Project

CONTACT PERSON: Gail O'Connor

TELEPHONE: (805) 963-4331

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 12/30/86

MAILING ADDRESS:
North Bay Developmental Disabilities Services
North Bay Regional Center
790 Sonoma Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop model processes through information exchange, interagency coordination, community acceptance and system awareness to assist individuals with developmental disabilities in the transition from school into a fully integrated adult life in the community.

PROJECT TITLE: A Program to Coordinate the Services of DVR and DPI to Effectuate a Smooth Transition from School to Employment

CONTACT PERSON: Barbara Bennett/Martha Brookes

TELEPHONE: (302) 571-3916/454-2073

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 8/30/86

MAILING ADDRESS:
Client Services
Division of Vocational Education
321 E. 11th St., L-1 Building
Wilmington, DE 19801

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to develop a model for interagency coordination of services (among home, school, and community agencies) that will better prepare handicapped youth in Delaware to move from the school environment to the work environment.
PROJECT TITLE: International Assoc. of Machinists & Aerospace Workers National Demonstrati
Model for Transition Services for handicapped Youth

CONTACT PERSON: Charles Bradford

TELEPHONE: (202) 857-5173

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 11/1/85

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to promote the transition from classroom to workplace for students with disabilities by providing vocational and on-the-job training; developing work experience opportunities; placing 50 handicapped students in permanent full-time competitive employment following OJT; and providing on-site followup to participants and employers.

PROJECT TITLE: National Transition Program Support System

CONTACT PERSON: Dick Galloway

TELEPHONE: (202) 296-1800

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to establish a national center for information collection and dissemination of the status of adult transition services among state agencies and to provide technical assistance to state level program planners and implementors regarding new transition programs.
PROJECT TITLE: Cooperative Models for Planning and Developing Transitional Services

CONTACT PERSON: Mitylene Arnold

TELEPHONE: (404) 542-1235

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 5/30/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to analyze components in successful transition programs to determine appropriate state development activities and resource allocation within the state which will facilitate effective transition from school to work.

project title: Special Education and Transitional Services for Handicapped Youth

CONTACT PERSON: Gary Gronberg/Deanne Horne

TELEPHONE: (701) 224-2277/852-6318

DATE FEDERAL FUNDING EXPIRED: 9/30/86

PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to facilitate the orderly transition of handicapped students from school to independent adult life through the design, development, implementation, and dissemination of a state-level cooperative model for transitional services in North Dakota. To conduct eight regional workshops to special education, vocational education, vocational rehabilitation and developmental disabilities personnel in North Dakota and disseminate Transitional Process Handbook.
PROJECT PURPOSE. The purpose of this federally funded project was: to design and implement a model which allows 70% of the students in the project to obtain successful unsubsidized employment in the military environment or elsewhere and implement independent living plans upon completion of their program.
Appendix A

PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS QUESTIONNAIRE

The Project Characteristics Questionnaire was used as the basis for information contained in the Individual Project Profiles for current projects.
TRANSITION INSTITUTE AT ILLINOIS
PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS QUESTIONNAIRE

Please complete the following information for inclusion in the 1987-88 Compendium of Project Profiles.

I. PROJECT DEMOGRAPHICS

Project Title: ________________________________  Competition #: __________

Mailing Address:

__________________________________________

City  State  Zip

Project Director: ____________________________

Project Coordinator: _________________________

Contact Person: _____________________________

Telephone: ________________________________

Project Implementation Date: ________________

Project Termination Date: ________________

Special Net User ID: ________________________

II. GEOGRAPHIC AREA SERVED

Type of Political Unit Served (check one only)

1. community

[ ] a. metropolitan area (100,000 plus) with public transportation system

[ ] b. metropolitan area (100,000 plus) without public transportation system

[ ] c. suburb of a metropolitan area

[ ] d. small town (5,000 - 100,000) with no city transportation system

[ ] e. small town (5,000 - 100,000) with city transportation

[ ] f. rural area

[ ] g. other (specify _____________________________)

[ ] 2. part of a county

[ ] 3. county

[ ] 4. region within a state (including more than one county)

[ ] 5. state

[ ] 6. region of nation (more than one state)

[ ] 7. nationwide

[ ] 8. other (specify _____________________________)
III. AGENCY TYPE

Indicate the following: 1 = primary grantee, 2 = cooperating agencies involved in project

1 2 public secondary school
1 2 private secondary school
1 2 local education agency (LEA)
1 2 community or junior college
1 2 university or four year college
1 2 JTPA Service Delivery Area agent
1 2 residential education or rehabilitation facility (institution)
1 2 community workshop
1 2 community education or rehabilitation facility
1 2 profit making agency i.e. employment agency, private business
1 2 research institute
1 2 city or county government
1 2 state agency(s), e.g. VR, DD, SEA (specify)

____________________________________________________________________

1 2 private non-profit agency
1 2 Association for Retarded Citizens
1 2 Association for Learning Disabilities
1 2 hospital
1 2 other (specify) __________________________________________
IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

Write a general statement of project goal and a brief description of service delivery model; if purpose statement is same as stated on 1986-87 profile write SAME.

V. CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

(What activities are projected for this current year of project?)
Example: During FY 87-88 (1) implementation of peer tutoring program with 20 students; (2) inservice training for faculty members, (3) development of training manual for providing inservice, (4) implementation of computerized job bank.
VI. RELATED SERVICE/TRAINING COMPONENTS

Indicate number of individuals receiving direct training through project activities, e.g., inservice training, workshops, etc.

- Parents
- Agency Personnel
- Business/industry personnel
- Project staff
- Postsecondary faculty personnel
- Undergraduate/graduate students
- Peer tutors
- Other (specify)

VII. PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Estimated number of youth with handicapping conditions impacted by project during entire funding period: __________

Estimated number of youth with handicapping conditions served through direct service for entire project funding period: __________

DESCRIPTION OF TARGET POPULATION

Please read over entire list of handicapping conditions before answering. Choose those categories most closely describing the population estimated to be served by your project for the ENTIRE funding period. Indicate the unduplicated number of individuals served at each level, and the age range. If more than one handicapping condition, indicate either the primary condition or multiple handicap. Do not duplicate counts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HANDICAPPPING CONDITION</th>
<th># SERVED AT EACH LEVEL</th>
<th># RAE</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain damage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral Palsy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic mental illness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication disorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaf/blind</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional disorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health impairment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing impairment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental retardation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple handicap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical handicap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech impairment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal cord injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic head injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual impairment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropouts</td>
<td>#:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically disadvantaged</td>
<td>#:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority youth</td>
<td>#:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse</td>
<td>#:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA/INFORMATION BEING COLLECTED: (1) Please indicate what type of data or information is being collected by placing a checkmark in box provided; (2) specify the type of information/data being collected by circling appropriate example(s) in parenthesis; (3) If appropriate example is not provided please write specific type of information/data being collected in space provided.

INFORMATION ON STUDENTS/CLIENTS SERVED

ARE YOU COLLECTING INFORMATION ON:

[ ] 1. Number referred to project services
[ ] 2. Intake/referral information; specify (i.e., method of referral, referral ant)
[ ] 3. Number receiving direct or related services; specify (i.e., students, clients, parents, school personnel, business/industry, community agencies, etc.)
[ ] 4. Student/client demographics; specify (i.e., age, sex, handicapping conditions)
[ ] 5. Student/client educational background data; specify (i.e., school attendance, GPA, credits earned, courses taken, etc.)

[ ] 6. Student/client work experience background data; specify (i.e., previous work experience, type of job, wages earned, reason for leaving, etc.)
[ ] 7. Assessment results for student/client; specify (i.e., vocational, educational, behavioral, psychological, medical, social, etc.)
[ ] 8. Student/client progress in training program; specify (i.e., work behavior, situational assessments, competency level attained, objectives accomplished, placement in competitive employment, etc.)
[ ] 9. Student/client progress in educational program; specify (i.e., objectives accomplished, grades earned, credits earned, acquisition, maintenance, and generalization of skills, etc.)
[ ] 10. Student/client integration into environment; specify (i.e., contact with nonhandicapped peers, access to community services, access to residential alternatives, etc.)
[ ] 11. Student/client follow up status; specify (i.e., duration of employment, completion of training program, completion of educational program, longitudinal data, etc.)
[ ] 12. Student/client employment status; specify (i.e., length of employment, wages earned, job classification, benefits received, level of employment, e.g., supported, competitive; etc.)
[ ] 13. Student/client outcome status; specify (i.e., post training assessment, post placement assessment, ability to obtain employment, assimilation into educational employment setting, etc.)
[ ] 14. Other student/client data information; specify
INFORMATION ON EMPLOYERS

ARE YOU COLLECTING INFORMATION ON:

[ ] 15. Employer characteristics/demographics; specify (i.e., type of business/industry, number of employees, access to training opportunities, level of supervision, etc.)

[ ] 16. Employer collaboration level; specify (i.e., financial incentives, wage reimbursement, employee training, level of project outreach activities, etc.)

[ ] 17. Level of direct service provided to employer; specify (i.e., training of supervisors, employer contact, presence of job coach, etc.)

[ ] 18. Employer satisfaction with student/client, project activities, etc.

[ ] 19. Employer outcome status; specify (i.e., post placement hires, attitude/acceptance level, integration of handicapped worker, etc.)

[ ] 20. Other employer data/information; specify

INFORMATION ON POST SECONDARY EDUCATION

ARE YOU COLLECTING INFORMATION ON:

[ ] 21. Post secondary education/training demographics; specify (i.e., type training, type of agency, services available, accessibility, etc.)

[ ] 22. Post secondary education/training collaboration level; specify (i.e., financial incentives provided, level of project outreach activities, availability of support services, etc.)

[ ] 23. Level of direct service provided by project; specify (i.e., inservice training, contact with post secondary personnel, etc.)

[ ] 24. Post secondary education/training satisfaction with student/client participation, project activities, etc.

[ ] 25. Post secondary education/training outcomes; specify (i.e., competency/objective attainment, grades, assimilation into college setting, employment placement, etc.)

[ ] 26. Other post secondary data/information; specify
IX. EVALUATION APPROACH

Please indicate type of evaluation approach(es) used.

[ ] 1. SYSTEM ANALYSIS
   (information gathered on planning, monitoring, impact and costs of a program)
   Examples of evaluation models under this approach include control group, cost effectiveness, quasi experimental, non-equivalent comparison group, pretest-posttest preexperimental, process checklist, program analysis of service systems, program evaluation and review technique (PERT), single subject design, true experimental design, time series, frequency counts.

[ ] 2. GOAL BASED
   (incorporates the use of goals and a set of objectives that determine a specific outcome)
   Examples of goal based models include discrepancy evaluation model (DEM), Goal Attainment Scaling, Management by Objectives.

[ ] 3. GOAL FREE
   (approach which ascertains all program outcomes and not just the ones intended by the program personnel)
   Examples of goal free models include Goal Free Evaluation, Impact Evaluation, Stakeholder Analyses.

[ ] 4. DECISION MAKING
   (approach which utilizes the criterion of utility: Which information will be most useful? This approach underscores evaluation as a practical activity.)
   Examples of decision making models include CIPP (Context, Inputs, Process, Products), Concerns-Based Adoption Model (CBAM).

[ ] 5. CONNOISSEURSHIP
   (approach which employs a single expert as a judge of the quality of a program)
   Examples of connoisseurship models include art criticism, file review.

[ ] 6. PROFESSIONAL REVIEW
   (approach which involves the use of members of a profession to judge the activities of their peers; can include internal and external professional review systems)
   Examples of professional review include advisory board review.

[ ] 7. QUASI LEGAL
   (approach which incorporates the procedures and authority of the law)
   Examples of quasi legal approach include blue ribbon panels, most types of public hearings, mock trials and judicial (adversarial) evaluation.

[ ] 8. CASE STUDY
   (a narrative account of the actors and actions associated with the day to day running of a program; emphasis is on capturing the multiple perspectives of the participants in an effort to judge program success)
   Examples of case study strategy include journalistic accounts, ethnographies.

[ ] 9. OTHER
   (please specify type)
X. INSTRUMENTATION

Indicate type of instruments used in project to assess specific competency areas. Specify title.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competency Assessed</th>
<th>Name of Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Ability/Intelligence</td>
<td>(e.g. WAIS-R, WISC-R, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Aptitude</td>
<td>(e.g. Bennett Mechanical Comprehension,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crawford Small Parts Dexterity,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minnesota Spatial Relations Test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Skills</td>
<td>(e.g. Vocational Assessment &amp; Curriculum Guide,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Francisco Vocational Competency Scale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>(e.g. Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Test of Auditory Discrimination)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive Behavior/Survival Skills</td>
<td>(e.g. Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Street Survival Skills Questionnaire)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Skills</td>
<td>(e.g. Social &amp; Prevocational Information Battery,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fundamental Interpersonal Relations Orientation-Behavior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Interest</td>
<td>(e.g. Becker Reading-Free Interest Survey,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strong-Campbell Interest Inventory)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Living Skills</td>
<td>(e.g. Coping Master Scales, Leisure Time Activities Scale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexterity/Manual Skills</td>
<td>(e.g. Purdue Pegboard, Stromberg Dexterity Test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality (e.g. Piers Harris Self</td>
<td>Concept, ROTTER Locus of Control, 16 Personality Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Questionnaire)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Achievement</td>
<td>(e.g. Wide Range Achievement Test, California Achievement Test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (e.g. Functional Assessment Inventory, Lifestyle Satisfaction Scale)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicate type of locally developed or project developed instruments and/or forms utilized. Specify title and/or use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locally/Project Developed Instruments</th>
<th>Name and/or Use of Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation Forms</td>
<td>(e.g. Daily Living Skills Observation Form, Work Behavior Observation Form)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checklists</td>
<td>(e.g. Independent Living Skills Checklist, Learning Disability Behavior Checklist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating Scale</td>
<td>(e.g. Employer Satisfaction Rating Scale, Conference Evaluation Rating Scale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>(e.g. Intake Interview, Teacher Interview)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>(e.g. Needs Assessment Survey, Parent Survey)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>(e.g. Process Evaluation Questionnaire, Intellectual Achievement Response Questionnaire)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>(e.g. High School Referral Form, Employer Contact Log)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
XI. EVALUATION PERSONNEL INVOLVED

Indicate personnel involved in conducting evaluation activities. Include the FTE for personnel (i.e. 1.0 FTE = full time, .50 FTE = half time, .25 FTE = quarter time).

[ ] Project Director ____ FTE
[ ] Project Coordinator ____ FTE
[ ] Project Staff ____ FTE
[ ] Advisory Board ____ FTE
[ ] 3rd Party Evaluator ____ FTE
(outside agency)
[ ] Staff Evaluator ____ FTE
(within agency)
[ ] Other (specify ________________________________)

XII. EVALUATION REPORTING

Indicate type of report form and intended audience for reporting evaluation results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FORM</th>
<th>AUDIENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] formal evaluation report</td>
<td>[ ] Consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] executive summary</td>
<td>[ ] OSERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] brochure/pamphlet</td>
<td>[ ] Transition Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] other</td>
<td>[ ] Advisory Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| | | (specify ____________________________)
| | | [ ] Other Boards or Panels |
| | | [ ] Other Agencies |
| | | (specify ____________________________)
| | | [ ] Other (specify ____________________________)

XIII. PROJECT PRODUCTS

Indicate type of products that will be available from project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT</th>
<th>Date Available (mo/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] brochure</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] newsletter</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] parent handbook</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] student handbook</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] training manual</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] project developed instruments</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] slide presentation</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] video tape</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] curricula</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] journal articles</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] replication manual</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] other (specify)</td>
<td>______________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
XIV. PROJECT OBJECTIVES

Indicate your project objectives using the following codes (circle all that apply)

0 = project not addressing this objective
1 = a formal objective of the project that was written in the project proposal
2 = a project objective that has been added since project implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1. refer students</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2. determine eligibility/service needs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3. assess students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4. place students into competitive employment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5. place students into supported work</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6. place students into postsecondary education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7. place students into postsecondary training</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8. provide followup services to project participants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9. train students - vocational</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10. train students - nonvocational (academic, personal-social, living skills)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11. train students after job placement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12. provide career exploration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13. counsel students (specify type)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14. develop peer support groups (specify type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15. provide case management</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16. develop curricula</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17. develop instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18. develop and implement a service delivery model</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19. develop computerized management information system (specify use, i.e. student tracking, project management)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20. develop training packages (specify use)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>21. replicate project model in another site</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22. develop/disseminate product(s)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23. disseminate information through conferences, presentations, articles, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24. provide technical assistance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25. identify continuation strategies/resources</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26. conduct conferences/seminars for dissemination/replication purposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>27. develop interagency agreements</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28. develop network among service providers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29. conduct transition needs assessment of area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>30. train professionals, paraprofessionals, peer tutors, parents (specify)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31. conduct workshops, conferences, inservices</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32. train employment related staff (i.e. job coaches, supervisors, employers, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>33. conduct library research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34. conduct empirical research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35. conduct follow-up studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36. (specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B

EXPIRED PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS QUESTIONNAIRE

The Expired Project Characteristics Questionnaire was used as the basis for information contained in the Summary of Expired Projects and the Expired Project Profiles.
TRANSITION INSTITUTE AT ILLINOIS
EXPIRED PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS QUESTIONNAIRE

Please complete the following information on your expired transition project for inclusion in the 1987-88 Compendium of Project Profiles.

I. PROJECT DEMOGRAPHICS

Project Title: ________________________________________________  Competition #: _____  TI #: _____

Contact Person: ____________________________________________  Telephone: ____________________________

Mailing Address: ______________________________________________ Special Net User ID: _______________________

City  State  Zip

Date Federal Funding Expired: ____________________  Expiration Date of 'No Cost Extension' (if applicable): ____________________

II. PROJECT COMPONENTS BEING CONTINUED

Please list the components of your model program that are being continued. Specify the components in the spaces provided by referencing the examples provided. If the following list does not contain a reference to one of your components, please identify the component(s) in your own words. For each component being continued, indicate (1) the agency through which the component is being administered (see list of possible agencies); and (2) the contact person at the specific agency.

FREQUENTLY IDENTIFIED PROGRAM COMPONENTS: Intake/eligibility determination, assessment, referral, placement, followup, training, education, counseling, program refinement/revision, dissemination, replication, agency coordination, manpower training, research.

POSSIBLE ADMINISTERING AGENCIES: public secondary school, local education agency, community/junior college, university, JTPA service delivery area agent, residential facility, private non-profit agency, profit making agency, research institute, city/county government, state agency, (e.g., V.R., DD, SEA, etc.) federal agency, (e.g., OSERS, DOL, ADD, etc.).

Program Components Being Continued  Administering Agency  Address and phone number

1.  1.  

2.  2.  

3.  3.  

4.  4.  

5.  5.  

302
III. CURRENT FOCUS OF PROJECT CONTINUATION ACTIVITIES (1987-88)

(What activities are projected for this year of project? For example: During 1987-88 (1) implementation of peer tutoring program with 20 new students; (2) increase inservice training for postsecondary faculty members; (3) disseminate training manuals to job coach training programs.

IV. PROJECT PRODUCTS

Indicate project products currently available for dissemination. If product is not directly available from project, but is being distributed through a publisher or other type of clearinghouse, please indicate ordering information. If a descriptive product list is available, please attach it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Type</th>
<th>Descriptive Title</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Order From</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] brochure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] newsletter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] parent handbook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] student handbook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] training manual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] project developed instruments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] slide presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] video tape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] curricula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] journal articles/monographs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] replication manual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Index

The Index serves as a key to specific characteristics of the Transition Projects. Identification numbers represent the page numbers of the specific projects.
EVALUATION APPROACH

Case Study. 23, 27, 29, 47, 49, 51, 53, 65, 97, 101, 105, 123, 143, 147, 191, 199, 201

Decision Making. 9, 11, 13, 19, 25, 33, 49, 51, 67, 91, 99, 123, 127, 129, 131, 139, 147, 149, 151, 153, 159, 189, 197, 199

Goal Based. 7, 9, 11, 15, 21, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, 41, 43, 45, 57, 59, 63, 65, 69, 71, 73, 91, 93, 97, 101, 105, 119, 121, 123, 127, 131, 133, 135, 137, 139, 141, 147, 153, 155, 157, 159, 161, 187, 189, 197, 201, 203

Goal Free. 21, 37, 47, 71, 91, 95, 101, 147, 153, 157

Professional Review. 7, 9, 15, 17, 27, 29, 43, 47, 49, 65, 69, 71, 91, 95, 105, 109, 125, 133, 135, 147, 159, 161, 195, 203


FOCUS: CURRENT PROJECTS

Assessment.
Ecological Assessment. 99
General Assessment. 7, 191
Needs Assessment. 7, 25, 33, 53, 71, 105, 153
Vocational/Career Assessment. 11, 43, 51, 91, 159, 197, 199, 203

Collaboration.
Interagency Coordination/Agreement. 105, 121, 123, 125, 127, 129, 131, 135, 137, 141, 143, 147, 157, 159, 165, 189, 197
Linkage/Network Development. 7, 35, 49, 51, 59, 69, 105, 125, 131, 137, 139, 147, 165, 197
Outreach. 33, 65

Computer Assisted Instruction. 15, 37, 39, 45, 49, 73

Computerized Information/Tracking System. 9, 53, 155, 161

Continuation.
Project Continuation. 11, 137, 197
Replication. 19, 37, 95, 119, 131, 161

Course Development/Adaptation. 7, 19, 61, 63, 131, 147, 199, 203

Curriculum Development/Modification. 9, 25, 27, 29, 33, 45, 63, 65, 97, 99, 111, 119, 121, 127, 135, 159, 189, 191, 197, 201, 203
Dissemination. 11, 21, 27, 29, 35, 37, 55, 61, 63, 67, 71, 97, 105, 119, 143, 159, 161, 193, 205

Evaluation Plan Development/Implementation. 21, 27, 37, 61, 65, 69, 71, 93, 135, 147, 153, 159, 191, 193

Follow-up. 31, 53, 105, 121, 135, 189, 203

ITP Development. 49, 93, 127, 133, 193

Job Development/Placement. 11, 15, 31, 57, 93, 97, 109, 197, 203

Model Development.
  Model Implementation/Field Test. 13, 17, 19, 21, 25, 121, 131, 137, 143, 149, 151, 153, 191, 199, 203, 201
  Model Replication. 19, 95, 119, 161
  Statewide Transition Planning/Model Development. 131, 133, 153, 157
  Transition Model Development/Refinement. 19, 95, 109, 137, 151, 205

Parent Education/Involvement. 67, 71, 91, 97, 101, 105, 109, 131, 137, 139, 143, 151, 193

Product Development/Revision. 7, 15, 23, 29, 33, 37, 45, 53, 57, 59, 61, 63, 71, 73, 97, 101, 103, 105, 121, 123, 139, 141, 143, 151, 153, 159, 161, 165, 187, 195, 201

Recruitment. 15, 59, 63, 137, 193, 199, 203

Research. 11, 15, 23, 35, 45, 55, 73, 121, 127, 129, 133, 135, 147, 151, 187, 189, 201, 203

Summer Program. 51, 59, 69, 71, 101, 105, 131

Support Service.
  Adult Service Opportunities. 99
  Counseling. 9, 17, 47, 49, 51, 121, 199
  Educational Alternative Program Services. 159, 187, 191, 193
  Instructional/Mentoring Service. 51, 69
  Peer/Tutoring Program. 33, 45, 47, 49, 53, 59, 63, 65
  Support Group Development. 63, 187

Supported Employment Development/Placement. 11, 135, 143

Technical Assistance/Consultation. 13, 21, 35, 125, 131, 139, 153, 157, 161

Training.
  Community-Based Training. 93
  Educational Skills/Learning Strategies Training. 17, 37, 65, 67, 73, 147
  Employer Training. 57, 101
  Independent Living Skills Training. 99, 101, 155
Inservice Training. 9, 13, 19, 33, 35, 53, 55, 59, 63, 93, 101, 119, 125, 127, 131, 139, 143, 157, 159, 161, 189, 193
Occupational Skill Training. 31, 39, 41, 61
Parent/Family Training. 101, 109, 193
Recreation/Leisure Training. 101, 147, 155
Social Skills Training. 17, 47, 91, 93, 97, 99, 101, 147, 189
Staff/Faculty Training. 7, 9, 13, 33, 35, 45, 49, 51, 109, 127, 161, 193, 191
Vocational Training. 17, 47, 57, 61, 91, 197
Work Experience Training. 119, 147

Transition Team Development. 123, 153, 161, 165

Workshop/Seminar/Conference.
    General Workshops, Seminars, Conferences. 13, 47, 51, 63, 127, 137, 161, 199
    Parent/Community Seminars. 47, 71, 121, 131, 139, 159

FOCUS: EXPIRED PROJECTS

Agency Coordination. 77, 78, 80, 82, 174, 175, 177, 178, 180, 216, 218, 227, 233, 234, 235, 243, 257, 265, 270, 279, 280, 231, 282
Assessment. 77, 78, 80, 81, 83, 169, 172, 174, 213, 214, 219, 228, 230, 233, 234, 235, 246, 243, 247, 259, 265, 269
Counseling. 77, 80, 81, 82, 213, 216, 233, 243, 245, 257, 265
Curriculum/Instruction. 172, 174, 214, 248, 279, 281
Dissemination. 77, 78, 79, 80, 83, 169, 171, 174, 175, 177, 211, 217, 218, 219, 227, 228, 229, 231, 233, 234, 243, 265, 267, 270, 281, 282
Education. 229, 233, 216, 246, 243, 82, 78, 77, 265, 257, 174, 170, 175
Evaluation. 79, 83, 174, 227, 267, 270
Inservice Training. 79, 177, 215, 217, 218, 243, 247, 259
Intake/Eligibility Determination. 77, 80, 81, 82, 83, 174, 216, 232, 233, 234, 243, 244, 265, 267, 269, 270
Manpower Training. 234, 243
Model Continuation. 215, 282
Product Development/Revision. 172, 178, 218, 227, 243, 246

Program Monitoring. 79, 230, 247

Program Refinement/Revision. 77, 78, 83, 174, 218, 232, 233, 234, 243, 244, 265, 270

Referral. 77, 80, 81, 174, 216, 227, 232, 233, 234, 235, 243, 265, 269

Replication. 77, 175, 216, 217, 218, 233, 234, 243, 248, 265

Research. 83, 176, 211, 228, 229, 233, 243, 267

Technical Assistance. 171, 211, 215, 218

Training.
  Basic Skill/Interpersonal Training. 82, 248
  Community-Based Training. 178, 228, 285
  Employment-Related Training. 82, 169, 172, 174, 175, 219, 235, 245, 257, 269, 280
  Faculty/Staff Training. 171, 179, 227, 281
  Occupational Training. 213, 228, 230, 233, 234
  Parent Training. 279, 282

Transition Planning. 227, 267, 279

Service Expansion. 169, 171, 175, 179, 281

Summer Program. 79, 83

Support Services. 79, 81, 83, 170, 173, 177, 216, 232, 245, 258, 266, 267, 280

GRANT RECIPIENT TYPE

Association for Retarded Citizens (ARC). 234

Community Education or Rehabilitation Facility. 31, 41, 182, 230, 250, 274

Community/Junior College. 7, 9, 15, 21, 45, 53, 63, 65, 77, 78, 86, 193, 243, 245

Hospital. 180


Private Non-Profit Agency. 41, 43, 97, 101, 125, 137, 137, 131, 155, 169, 173, 179, 181, 191, 197, 211, 220, 228, 230, 233, 234, 237, 260, 266, 272, 274, 275, 276
Profit Agency. 176, 214
Research Institute. 41, 85, 139, 215, 231
Residential Education/Rehabilitation Facility. 169, 250
Secondary School, Private. 233
State Agency. 61, 107, 109, 131, 133, 149, 153, 157, 161, 165, 171, 175, 181, 182, 212, 218, 235, 247, 258, 259, 260
University/Four Year College. 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 25, 27, 29, 35, 37, 39, 47, 49, 51, 55, 57, 59, 67, 69, 71, 73, 79, 80, 81, 83, 84, 91, 103, 111, 123, 129, 135, 139, 147, 151, 171, 176, 189, 199, 201, 203, 205, 217, 219, 220, 221, 227, 229, 233, 236, 237, 238, 244, 245, 249, 250, 252, 253, 257, 267, 271, 273
Vocational Technical School/Center. 33

HANDICAPPING OR AT-RISK CONDITION

Autism. 91, 93, 99, 161
Behavior Disorder. 11, 23, 31, 137, 143, 147, 161, 165, 203
Brain Damage. 11, 57, 137, 161, 165, 203, 205
Cerebral Palsy. 15, 23, 31, 37, 41, 57, 93, 123, 137, 143, 161, 165, 187

Developmental Disability. 11, 23, 91, 111, 123, 143, 161, 165
Dropout. 97, 121, 141, 143, 147, 161, 187, 191
Economically Disadvantaged. 97, 143, 161, 187, 193, 201
Emotional Disorder. 11, 41, 93, 119, 121, 123, 127, 141, 143, 147, 159, 161, 165, 189, 203
Epilepsy. 11, 31, 37, 93, 123, 137, 141, 161
Health Impairment. 15, 23, 31, 41, 137, 161
Hearing Impairment. 23, 31, 37, 39, 41, 57, 93, 103, 119, 121, 123, 127, 137, 143, 165, 161, 187, 193


Mental Retardation. 11, 31, 93, 99, 105, 109, 119, 121, 123, 127, 135, 137, 141, 143, 147, 157, 159, 161, 165, 187, 201, 203, 205

Minority You.th. 97, 143, 161, 187, 191, 201

Multiple Handicap. 11, 15, 37, 95, 99, 101, 121, 123, 137, 165, 193

Physical Handicap. 15, 23, 31, 37, 39, 41, 93, 121, 123, 127, 137, 143, 159, 161, 165, 187

Speech Impairment. 37, 57, 123, 137, 143, 161, 165

Spinal Cord Injury. 15, 23, 37, 57, 161

Substance Abuse. 31, 41, 97, 161

Traumatic Head Injury. 15, 37, 69, 161, 205

Visual Impairment. 11, 23, 37, 39, 41, 57, 93, 121, 123, 137, 143, 161

INFORMATION/DATA BEING COLLECTED

Employer Information.
Characteristics/Demographics. 11, 15, 41, 43, 57, 65, 93, 95, 97, 101, 103, 105, 109, 119, 121, 123, 131, 135, 139, 141, 143, 149, 165, 187, 189, 191, 193, 199, 201, 205

Collaboration Level. 11, 43, 65, 71, 91, 93, 95, 97, 101, 109, 119, 123, 139, 143, 165, 187, 193, 205

Direct Service Provided by Project. 11, 49, 65, 93, 95, 97, 101, 103, 105, 109, 121, 123, 135, 139, 141, 143, 147, 149, 165, 187, 193, 205

Outcome Status (post placement hires, integration, etc.). 11, 15, 43, 49, 91, 93, 95, 97, 101, 109, 119, 123, 139, 141, 157, 161, 165, 187, 193, 201, 205

Satisfaction Level. 9, 11, 43, 49, 51, 57, 65, 91, 93, 95, 97, 101, 103, 109, 119, 121, 123, 127, 135, 139, 141, 143, 147, 149, 161, 165, 187, 189, 191, 193, 201

Other Employer Data/Information. 139, 143, 201
Postsecondary Information.
Characteristics/Demographics. 7, 17, 19, 23, 27, 29, 37, 43, 45, 53, 59, 63, 69, 71, 93, 97, 101, 121, 131, 139, 143, 155, 159, 161, 165, 187, 189, 191, 193, 195, 205


Direct Service Provided by Project. 7, 9, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 29, 35, 43, 45, 53, 55, 59, 61, 63, 67, 69, 71, 73, 93, 131, 139, 143, 147, 155, 159, 161, 165, 187, 193, 195, 205


Outcome Status (competency attainment, grades, assimilation, placement, etc.). 7, 9, 15, 17, 19, 21, 27, 29, 37, 39, 43, 45, 47, 53, 57, 59, 61, 63, 67, 69, 73, 93, 101, 121, 125, 133, 143, 155, 161, 165, 187, 189, 191, 193, 195

Other Postsecondary Data/Information. 13, 29, 35, 53, 59, 63, 69, 155, 201

Student/Client Information.
Assessment Results. 7, 9, 11, 15, 21, 23, 27, 29, 35, 37, 41, 43, 45, 47, 51, 53, 59, 61, 63, 65, 67, 69, 71, 73, 93, 95, 97, 101, 103, 105, 109, 111, 119, 121, 123, 137, 141, 143, 147, 155, 161, 165, 187, 189, 191, 193, 197, 199, 203, 205

Demographic Information. 7, 9, 11, 15, 21, 22, 27, 29, 31, 35, 37, 41, 43, 45, 47, 53, 55, 57, 59, 61, 63, 65, 67, 69, 71, 73, 93, 95, 97, 101, 103, 105, 109, 111, 123, 129, 131, 133, 135, 137, 139, 141, 143, 147, 155, 157, 159, 161, 165, 187, 189, 193, 201, 203, 205

Educational Background. 7, 9, 11, 15, 21, 27, 29, 35, 37, 41, 43, 45, 53, 55, 57, 59, 63, 65, 67, 69, 71, 73, 93, 97, 101, 105, 109, 123, 129, 141, 147, 155, 157, 159, 161, 165, 187, 193, 195, 201, 203

Educational Program Progress. 7, 9, 11, 23, 27, 29, 31, 35, 37, 39, 43, 45, 47, 51, 53, 55, 57, 59, 61, 63, 65, 69, 71, 73, 93, 95, 97, 101, 103, 105, 109, 119, 123, 139, 141, 143, 147, 155, 161, 165, 187, 189, 191, 193, 199, 201, 203, 205


Intake/Referral Information. 7, 9, 11, 15, 19, 21, 29, 31, 35, 47, 53, 57, 59, 63, 65, 67, 71, 73, 93, 99, 105, 109, 123, 135, 137, 141, 147, 155, 161, 165, 187, 189, 191, 193, 201, 203, 205

Integration into Environment. 11, 15, 29, 41, 51, 53, 59, 63, 71, 91, 93, 95, 97, 101, 105, 109, 111, 123, 125, 137, 139, 141, 143, 147, 155, 157, 159, 161, 165, 187, 189, 193, 195, 205
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Other Student/Client Data. 27, 29, 37, 39, 63, 69, 71, 101, 147, 155, 187, 193, 203

**INSTRUMENTATION: ASSESSMENT MEASURES FOR STUDENT/CLIENT**

Adaptive Behavior Checklist. 7
Adaptive Behavior Scale. 139
Adult Basic Learning Exam (ABLE I, II, III). 141
Associated Work Skills Checklist. 143
Attitudes Toward Interacting with People. 143
Auditory-Verbal Learning Task. 7
Basic Achievement Skills Individual Screener. 9
Behavior Development Scale. 111
Bender-Gestalt Visual Motor Test. 21, 37
Bennett Hand Tool Dexterity Test. 121
Bennett Mechanical Comprehension Test. 147, 187
Benton Revised Visual Retention Test. 9
BETA Exam - Revised. 61
Bloomer Learning Test. 59
Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale. 97
Brigance Diagnostic Inventory of Essential Skills. 21, 61, 147, 193
CAI-LD. 9
California Achievement Test (CAT). 139
California Occupational Preference Survey (COPS). 9, 57, 121, 187
Career Ability Placement Survey (CAPS). 9, 119
Career Assessment Inventory (CAI). 43
Career Awareness Inventory. 141
Career Interest Inventory. 29
Career Maturity Inventory. 143
Career Orientation Placement and Evaluation Survey (COPES). 9, 121, 187
College Entrance Assessment Battery. 19
Coopersmith Self-Esteem Inventory. 9, 69, 143
Coping Mastery Scale. 97
Crawford Small Parts Dexterity Test. 121, 187
Daly-Miller Scale of Writing Apprehension. 27
Detroit Test of Learning Aptitude. 7
Diagnostic Assessment of Reading Errors. 65
Discover. 73
Edwards Personal Preference Schedule. 141
Functional Assessment Inventory. 11, 109, 123
Fundamental Interpersonal Relations Orientation (FIRO-B). 15
General Aptitude Test Battery (GATB). 15, 141
Global Assessment Scale. 97
Gordon Occupational Checklist. 141, 735
Harrington-O'Shea System for Career Decision Making. 9, 15
Holland Self-Directed Search. 143
Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP). 105
Janis-Fields Feelings of Inadequacy Scale. 29
Jewish Employment and Vocational Service Work Sample System (JEVS). 121, 141
Job Entry Skills Assessment (JESA). 201
KeyMath Diagnostic Arithmetic Test. 63
Kuder Preference Schedule. 63
Leisure Time Activities Scale. 97
Life-Centered Career Education Curriculum (LCCE). 139
Microcomputer Evaluation and Screening Assessment (MESA). 33, 201
McCarron-Dial Work Evaluation System. 43, 121, 187
Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI). 15
Minnesota Spatial Relations Test. 121, 187
Mooney Problem Checklist. 141
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator. 63, 65, 141
Nowicki-Strickland Locus of Control. 71
Occupational Aptitude Survey and Interest Schedule (OASIS). 9
Peabody Individual Achievement Test (PIAT). 63
Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (PPVT). 35, 63, 105, 119, 121, 139, 147
Piers-Harris Self-Concept Scale. 47, 71, 141, 187
Problem Oriented Social-Vocational Adaptivity Program. 147
Purdue Pegboard. 119, 121, 187
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Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale. 97
Rotter Locus of Control. 187
Social and Prevocational Information Battery (SPIB). 147
SRA. 121
Stanford Achievement Test. 69, 193
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Stanford Diagnostic Reading Test. 59
Stanford Test of Academic Skills. 59
Stigma Scale. 97
Street Survival Skills Questionnaire (SSSQ). 121, 139, 141, 147, 165
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Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales. 105, 119
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Vocational Decision Making Interview. 205
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Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children - Revised (WISC-R). 61, 71, 119, 121, 139, 147, 161, 165
Wechsler Memory Scales. 9
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Work Satisfaction Scale. 97
Work Values Inventory. 141
Wyoming Career Information System. 73
Zung Depression and Anxiety Scale. 97
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Instrumentation: Project Developed
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  Agency Satisfaction Survey. 127, 151
  Agency Survey. 49, 57, 71
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Employer.
  Employer/Community Perception Survey. 123
  Employer/Community Sponsor Evaluation. 105
  Employer/Employee Checklist. 139
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<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation Workshop Evaluation.</td>
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<td>Program Evaluation Rating Scale.</td>
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<td>Program Logs.</td>
<td>37, 105</td>
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<td>123</td>
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<td>Quarterly Evaluation Form.</td>
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<td>Referral Checklist.</td>
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<td>VOIS Model Fidelity Checklist.</td>
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Student/Client.

Academic Probes. 19
Adjustment to the World Attributes Survey. 147
Adult Basic Education Screening Device. 17
Application for Project Participation Interview. 53, 73
Assessment of Employee Independence. 143
Attitude and History Writing Sample Survey. 27
Behavior Checklist. 55
Business Advisory Council Student Evaluation. 15
Case History. 17, 19
Checklist for Acquisition of Social Behaviors. 135
Checklist of Vocational Skills Attainment. 47
Cognitive Mapping. 63
Collateral Behavior Checklist. 135
Community Mobility Checklist. 105
Competency Achievement Records. 11, 123, 205
Competency Rating Forms. 47
Consumer Satisfaction Questionnaire/Rating Scale. 21, 31, 141, 147
Critical Employment Factors Assessment. 143
Curriculum Based Assessment Instruments. 19
Daily Living Skills Observation. 105, 165
Ecological Inventory. 95, 129
Employability and Social Skills Rating Scale. 121
Employer/Employee Interview. 11
Environmental Checklist. 19
Exit Interview. 143
Freagon & Wilcox Assessment Materials. 109
Followup Survey. 123
Functional Skills Checklist. 139
Grooming/Self Care Skills Checklist. 15, 105
High Risk Questionnaire. 147
Home-School Assessment. 143
Independent Living Skills Checklist. 141, 165
Informal Essay. 19
Information Assessment. 19
Intake Interview/Checklist. 19, 73
Interest and Expectation Checklist. 187
Job Seeking Skills Interview. 141
Job Shadow Worksheet/Guide Questionnaire. 9
LD Adaptation/Accommodation Observation. 7
Learning Style Preference Checklist. 73
Learning Styles Inventory. 29, 69
Leisure/Recreation/Social Skills Assessment. 161
Life Planning Survey. 67
Life Skills Assessment. 143
Needs Assessment for Additional Testing. 53
Needs Assessment of Youth Exiting School. 205
Needs of Students Survey. 71
Post-Placement Probe Index of Least Restrictive Employment. 143
Postsecondary Awareness Rating Scale. 187
Progress Reports. 31
Readiness Skills Checklist. 63
Resident Lifestyle Inventory. 111
Sample Transition Plan. 29
Screening Interview. 141
Self Rating of Satisfaction. 67
Skills Competency Checklist. 141
Social Network Analysis Form. 111
Social/Recreational Behavior Observation/Skills Checklist. 147
Student Checklist. 17
Student Evaluation of CAI. 45
Student Evaluation of Tutor Questionnaire. 45
Student Evaluation Rating Scales. 15
Student Exit Survey. 69
Student Intake Interview. 21, 37, 45, 19, 165, 67, 35, 17, 15, 69, 9, 63, 121, 147, 193, 141, 7
Student Interview. 15, 19, 71, 127, 187
Student Questionnaire. 187
Student Records. 123
Student Repertoire Inventory. 95
Student Satisfaction Questionnaire. 123, (124)
Student Vocational Needs Survey. 195
Student Workshop Evaluation Checklist. 45
Strengths & Weaknesses Checklist. 21
Study Schedule Daily Log. 21
Study Skills Checklist. 63, 73
Training Progress Report. 119
Valued Outcome Information System. 111
Vocational Adaptivity Scale. 205
Vocational Outcome Questionnaire. 47
Vocational Rating Scale. 93
Vocational Training Plan and Agreement. 105
Winston Grammar Evaluation. 65
Work Adjustment Inventory. 119
Work Attitude Rating Scale. 147
Work/Behavior Observation. 144, 147, 165
Work Habits Checklist. 121
Work Maturity Competency Checklist. 141
Work Reporting Forms. 97
Work-Study Competency Rating Form. 47
Work-Study Observation Form. 47
Work Task Analysis Form. 105
Work Values Inventory. 143
Worker Performance Rating Scale. 11
Writing Sample. 21
Written/Oral Language Analysis. 35

Staff/Teacher/Faculty.
Attitudinal Change Questionnaire. 11
Faculty Attitudes/Knowledge Toward L.D. Survey. 35
Faculty Awareness Questionnaire. 21
Faculty Checklist. 17
Faculty Evaluation Form. 63
Faculty Evaluation of CAI. 45
Faculty Referral Checklist. 19
Faculty/Teacher Attitudes Survey. 7, 45, 187, 195
Field Liaison Program Reports. 147
Instructor Interview. 197
Needs Assessment for Faculty/Teachers. 53, 71
Site Trainer Competency Checklist. 7
Teacher Rating of Student Goals. 127
Vocational Education Needs Survey. 187

Peer Tutor/Mentor.
Mentor Activity Records. 147
Mentor Satisfaction Questionnaire. 147
Peer Tutor/Mentor Interview. 147
Tutor Evaluation of Student. 45

Other Project Developed instruments/Forms.
Application Form. 73
Case Studies. 47
Contact Logs/Sheets. 11, 71, 69, 9, 39, 63, 13, 97, 119, 141, 131, 187
Followup Forms. 5, 19, 133
Inventories/Academic Profiles. 55, 59, 51, 109
ITP, IEP Forms. 71
Meeting Minutes. 197
Needs Assessment. 37, 197
Placement Records. 123
Pre-Post Academic Skill Tests. 65, 199
Project Records. 147, 197
Referral/Intake Forms. 11, 19, 15, 71, 135, 147, 19
Site Implementation Forms. 19
Student Packets. 93, 191
Testing Protocol. 53
Writing Sample. 47
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