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The digest examines conceptions of giftedness. A brief historical review notes L. Terman's work and impetus for increasingly broadened definitions since the 1940's. The 1969 Marland Report is cited and its definition of giftedness is presented. Current response to that definition's inclusion of six achievement areas (general academic ability, specific academic aptitude, creative or productive thinking, leadership ability, visual and performing arts. and psychomotor ability) is noted. The federal definition of giftedness as contained in the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 is provided. More recent emphasis on high performance capability in mathematics, science, foreign languages, or computer learning is noted. It is suggested that the ways in which schools operationally define giftedness are often based on the needs of society. Concluding points center on reasons for defining giftedness and considerations in deciding not only what giftedness is but how the gifted are identified and what services schools should provide. (CL)
DEFINING GIFTEDNESS

What is Giftedness?

For over a century, researchers, scientists, and educators have been trying to define the term "gifted." Historically, giftedness has been closely linked with the concept of genius. This association began around the turn of the century when psychologists developed tests that were designed to measure intelligence (cf. Terman, 1925), people who scored on the low end of the scale were labeled retarded, and those who scored on the high end were considered geniuses. The use of intelligence tests as the single measure of intelligence has been greatly criticized in recent years, primarily because the tests are often biased in favor of the white middle class and because they penalize children with differing linguistic styles. Also, many researchers and educators have come to believe that giftedness is more than high intellectual ability. It also includes creativity, memory, motivation, physical dexterity, social adeptness, and aesthetic sensitivity.

Disatisfaction with a limited perspective has led researchers and educators to develop "broadened" definitions. One of the first educators to write about such an expansion was Leta Hollingsworth. Although her research focused on children with IQ's above 170, Hollingsworth believed that children can have other types of gifts, such as mechanical aptitude or artistic ability (Pritchard, 1951).

During the 1940's, the concept of giftedness was expanded further when the federal government began to take an interest in the education of gifted and talented children. This federal interest was sparked during and after World War II when policy makers perceived a need for technological advancement in order to maintain the nation's military and political superiority. By 1950, Congress had passed the National Science Foundation Act which marked the first time the federal government provided funds specifically for the gifted and talented (Zettel, 1982). By providing funds for encouraging students to develop their abilities in mathematics and the physical sciences, the Act led, in essence, to the designation of specific academic aptitude as a type of giftedness.

Another significant development in the expansion of the definition of giftedness was the publication of J. P. Guilford's (1959) studies of the structure of the intellect. As early as 1950, Guilford had been urging psychologists to explore the area of creativity, or divergent thinking, but it was his structural model of the 120 theoretical components of intelligence that led to the development of tests that measure intellectual abilities other than those measured by conventional IQ tests. The development of creativity tests and the results of many studies of the relationship between intelligence and creativity (cf. Getzels & Jackson, 1962), have led many educators to include creativity in their definitions. Renzulli (1978), for example, considers giftedness to be a combination of above average ability, creativity, and task commitment.

In 1969, Congress mandated a study by the U.S. Commissioner of Education to determine the extent to which the needs of gifted and talented children were being met (Sisk, 1980). The ensuing document, known as the Marland Report (1972), contains a definition of giftedness that has been and continues to be the one most widely adopted or adapted by state and local education agencies. The Report states:

Gifted and talented children are those identified by professionally qualified persons who by virtue of outstanding abilities, are capable of high performance. These are children who require differential educational programs and/or services beyond those provided by the regular school program in order to realize their contribution to self and the society.

Children capable of high performance include those with demonstrated achievement and/or potential ability in any of the following areas, singly or in combination:

1. General intellectual ability.
2. Specific academic aptitude.
3. Creative or productive thinking.
4. Leadership ability.
5. Visual and performing arts.
6. Psychomotor ability.

Although the definition has been criticized as being limiting (Reis & Renzulli, 1982) and of promoting elitism (Feidman, 1979), more than 80% of the 204 experts polled for their reactions to the Marland definition agreed with the selection of the categories of high intellectual ability, creative or productive thinking, specific academic aptitude, and ability in visual or performing arts. Approximately half of the experts agreed that social adeptness and psychomotor ability should be included (Martinson, 1975).

The federal government has included five broad areas in the definition found in the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981. In this act, block grants for education have been provided to the states, some of these funds may be used for:

- special programs to identify, encourage, and meet the special educational needs of children who give evidence of high performance capability in areas such as intellectual, creative, artistic, leadership capacity, or specific academic fields, and who require services or activities not ordinarily provided by the school in order to fully develop such capabilities.

More recently, the Regulations for the Educational Security Act of 1984, which provides grants for strengthening the skills of teachers and instruction in mathematics,
science, foreign languages, and computer learning have
defined the term “gifted student” as a “student, identified by
various measures, who demonstrates actual or potential
high performance capability in the fields of mathematics,
science, foreign languages, or computer learning.” Gifted
students may come from “historically underrepresented
and underserved groups, including females, minorities,
handicapped persons, persons of limited English-speaking
proficiency, and migrants.

By placing an emphasis on math, science, foreign lan-
guages, and computer learning, this latest federal definition
highlights the fact that the ways in which schools op-
erationally define giftedness are often based on the needs
of society. Definitions are also influenced by cultural and
socioeconomic factors. As Ernest Bernal points out, “what
is clever and creative for a child in the barrio or on the
reservation, where different value systems are in operation,
will not be the same as for the child who grows up in the
suburbs” (1974, p 268). For economically disadvantaged
populations that place a heavy emphasis on preparing stu-
dents for employment rather than college, a definition
might recognize that students can be gifted in areas that are
generally nonacademic in nature, such as carpentry or
mechanics (McClellan, 1984).

Why Do We Need to Define Giftedness?

A definition of giftedness is the foundation upon which an
educational program for gifted children is built. The spec-
cific abilities included in a definition determine the kinds
of identification criteria that are used to select children for
a program and the kinds of educational services that are
provided to those children. The selection of abilities to be
included in a definition is, therefore, very important to edu-
cators who must determine which children are designated
as gifted and what kinds of educational services are pro-
vided to them. For example, a definition that incorporates
creativity as a category suggests that schools provide ex-
periences aimed at developing the potential of children who
have been identified as being creative. A definition that
includes leadership ability suggests other types of identifi-
cation criteria and educational experiences.

Educators who are charged with the responsibility of
creating or maintaining programs for gifted children and
youth face a different task when they must decide what
giftedness is, how gifted children can be identified, and
what services schools should provide. The following points
are a guide for helping them make those decisions.

- The concept of giftedness is not limited to high intel-
lectual ability. It also comprises creativity, ability in specific
academic areas, ability in visual or performing arts, social
adequacy, and physical dexterity.
- A program for gifted children should be based on the
way in which the school system operationally defines gifted-
ness. A definition should be the basis of decisions regard-
ing the selection of identification procedures as well as
the provision of educational services for gifted children.
- Definitions of giftedness are influenced by social, politi-
cal, economic, and cultural factors.
- Giftedness is found among all groups, including females,
minors, handicapped persons, persons with limited
English-speaking proficiency, and migrants.

REFERENCES

ethnoscience perspective. California Journal of Educa-
tional Research, 25, 261-273.

Feldman, D. (1979). Toward a nonelitist conception of
giftedness. Phi Delta Kappan, 660-663.

Getzels, J. W. & Jackson, P. W. (1962). Creativity and Intel-

Psychologist, 14, 469-479.

Report to the Congress of the United States by the U.S.
Commissioner of Education. Washington, DC. U.S.
Government Printing Office.

and talented. Reston, VA. The Council for Exceptional
Children.

approach. Paper presented at the American Educational
Research Association Annual Meeting, New Orleans, LA.
Omnibus budget reconciliation act of 1981. Section 582, 42
USC 3842.

Pritchard, M. C. (1951). The contribution of Leta Hollings-
worth to the study of gifted children. In P. Witty (Ed.),

regulations for the education for economic security act of
1984. Part 208. Section 208.3

conception of giftedness. Phi Delta Kappan, 63, 619-620

Renzulli, J. (1978). What makes giftedness? Phi Delta Kap-
pan, 60, 180-184.


Terman, L. (1925). Genetic studies of genius: Volume I.
mental and physical traits of a thousand gifted children.

Zettel, J. J. (1982). The education of gifted and talented
children from a federal perspective. In J. Ballard, B.
Ramirez, & F. J. Weintrob (Eds.). Special education in
America. Its legal and governmental foundations. Rest-
on, VA. The Council for Exceptional Children.

Prepared by Elizabeth McClellan, Coordinator, Project RETOOL. The Council for Exceptional Children.

ERIC Digests are in the public domain and may be freely reproduced and disseminated.