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THE LIVING PLANET: A PORTRAIT OF THE EARTH
EVALUATION SUMMARY, 1984-85

The Living Planet: A Portrait of the Earth was a twelve-part educational television series on the Public Broadcast Station which was shown from 7 p.m. to 8 p.m. on Sunday evenings from February 3 to April 21, 1985. The series was also broadcast by WNYE during school hours to facilitate teaching. The Living Planet Program exemplified the cooperation of the public and private sectors in involving students, teachers, and parents in science education. For the pilot year, 1984-85, a budget of $69,500 provided by the Mobil Corporation covered the cost of instructional guides, four staff development sessions and program evaluation.

The Living Planet series narrated by David Attenborough, explored the concepts of the balance of nature and the adaptations by various life forms to our changing planet. The series was designed to increase viewers' commitment to protecting and preserving both our physical environment and the natural ecological cycle.

The Living Planet Program's objectives included the following:

- training teachers to integrate the series into their classroom curriculums;
- providing instructional guides;
- Integrating home viewing of the series with classroom instruction about the series in order to extend junior high and high school students learning environments;
- encouraging family participation in order to enhance students' learning experiences outside the classroom; and
- regenerating junior high and high school students' interest in the scientific community.

An evaluation of The Living Planet Program was conducted by the New York City Board of Education's Office of Educational Assessment through the distribution of questionnaires to New York City participants and telephone interviews with administrators outside of New York City.

The project director estimated that about 500 teachers and administrators were trained in four one-day staff development seminars held at the Bronx Zoo. Documentation of attendance was available for a total of 293 junior high and high school teachers and school administrators (192 teachers, 100 assistant principals, and one principal). Participants were taken on guided tours of the zoo and attended lectures given by David Attenborough and by zoo personnel. In addition, they received teacher and student guides and other materials which provided both a preview of The Living Planet and suggestions about how to integrate the series into their existing curriculums.
Training was designed to be applicable to all cultural institutions. Each group of participants was instructed to increase topic coverage with visitations to local cultural institutions. Certain participants were assigned "turnkey" positions; they were then responsible for training other teachers within their schools to use the program. Staff development participants indicated the teacher guides and guest lecturers as providing the most beneficial aspects of the training.

A total of 112 teachers, who attended the training sessions, responded to a post-program survey. Junior high school teachers reported greater success with The Living Planet than high school teachers. Junior high school teachers tended to use more classroom activities relating to The Living Planet (such as videotapes of the series during school hours) and they reported higher student viewing response rates.

Telephone interviews were conducted with assistant-superintendents, directors of science, a science advisor, and a science coordinator representing six city public school systems (Boston, Chicago, Detroit, New York, San Francisco and Washington, D.C.). Program implementation in those cities was similar to program implementation in New York City. The program was taught primarily in seventh to twelfth-grade science classes by teachers who had received specialized training. All of the coordinators were extremely positive about the program and all expressed a strong interest in working with more programs attempting to integrate television viewing with classroom instruction.

The following recommendations are offered for the further enhancement of program implementation:

- obtain videotapes from the producer for educational and student viewing during classroom hours.
- include student/parent orientation sessions to stimulate family participation.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. EVALUATION METHODOLOGY</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. EVALUATION FINDINGS</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>APPENDICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Staff Development Participant Reaction Forms</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Living Planet Questionnaire</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Living Planet Telephone Survey</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Sample Lesson From Living Planet Teacher Guide</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Sample Lesson From Living Planet Student Guide</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Note: This table of contents is a natural representation of the document's structure.*
LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Program Participation by City, *Living Planet* Program, 1984-85

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Program Participation by City, <em>Living Planet</em> Program, 1984-85</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


I. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Living Planet: A Portrait of the Earth was a twelve-part educational series on the Public Broadcasting System (P.B.S.) which was shown from 7 p.m. to 8 p.m. on Sunday evenings from February 3 to April 21, 1985. The series was also broadcast by WNYE during school hours to facilitate teaching. In 1984-85, the Mobil Corporation provided $69,500 to the New York City Board of Education to offer staff development, produce instructional materials to accompany the broadcasting of the series, and evaluate the program's impact.

The Living Planet series, narrated by David Attenborough, explored the concepts of the balance of nature and the adaptations by various life forms to our changing planet. The series was designed to increase viewers' commitment to protecting and preserving both the physical environment and the natural ecological cycle.

The Living Planet program objectives included the following:

- training teachers to integrate the series into their classroom curriculums;
- providing instructional guides;
- integrating home viewing of the series with classroom instruction about the series in order to extend students' learning environments;
- encouraging family participation, in order to enhance students' learning experiences outside the classroom; and
- regenerating student interest in the scientific community.

Four one-day staff development seminars were held at the Bronx Zoo. The project director estimated that about 500 teachers and supervisors were
trained. Documentation of attendance was available for a total of 293 school administrators and science and social studies teachers (selected by the school's science or social studies department chairperson) representing junior high and high schools throughout the city. The first seminar was held on October 30, and repeated on November 1, 7, and 8, 1984. Participants were taken on guided tours of the zoo and attended lectures given by David Attenborough and zoo personnel. In addition, participants received instructional materials (Teacher Guide and Student Guides) which offered a preview of The Living Planet series and suggestions about how to integrate the series into their existing curriculums. These guides were developed by staff of the Division of Curriculum and Instruction. Certain participants were designated "turnkeys"; they were responsible for training other teachers within their schools to use the program. The training was designed to be applicable to all cultural institutions. Each group of participants was instructed to increase topic coverage with visitations to local cultural institutions.

A Teacher Guide (Appendix D) was designed to provide assistance to teachers in selecting and implementing activities relating to The Living Planet which met the needs and interests of their students. The guide also offered suggestions on how to integrate the series into specific science classes. The Teacher Guide was divided into individual chapters in the order of the individual series episodes. Each chapter summarized the episode. In addition, an "Objectives" section outlined what kinds of information teachers could reasonably expect their students to have learned about the episode; a "Previewing" section suggested specific activities designed to help students
to discover the basic principles of the episode; and a "Follow-up" section offered homework ideas, test questions, and activities for individual and group projects.

Teachers in the subject areas of science and social studies were the predominant participants in the program. In addition to the participants at the training sessions, the curriculum and instructional specialist of the New York City Board of Education's Science Unit distributed Teacher and Student Guides to every junior high and high school teacher (5,283).

A Student Guide (Appendix E) was designed for use while viewing the series at home. Each chapter contained a brief summary of an episode, a list of key vocabulary words and their definitions, program-related questions, and some ideas for home discussion with participating family members.

This report presents an evaluation of the program with emphasis on its implementation in classrooms of teachers attending staff development sessions. This program description is followed by a summary of the evaluation methodology. Findings are presented and conclusions and recommendations are offered in the final chapter.
II. EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

The Office of Educational Assessment (O.E.A.) conducted an evaluation of The Living Planet Program in New York City. The evaluation consisted of three components: a post-training questionnaire given to participants after their one-day session, a post-program survey which was mailed to the training participants, and a survey of the coordinators of the Living Planet Program in the six cities where the program was implemented. Program-developed reaction forms (17 multiple choice and four open-ended items) concerning the quality and usefulness of the training were distributed to participants after the four staff development sessions (See Appendix A.) In addition, a questionnaire developed by O.E.A. was mailed to 270 participants in the staff development program immediately following the broadcasting of the first episode of the series. (See Appendix B.) The 17-item, multiple choice questionnaire asked program participants to rate and comment on their use of the Living Planet activities in their classrooms. This questionnaire included the following questions:

- Was the teacher training applicable to classroom instruction?
- How many of the trained teachers and their students participated in the program?
- Did the program enhance the regular curriculum?
- Were additional follow-up activities conducted? and
- What was the student's response to the program?

Telephone interviews were conducted with assistant superintendents, directors of science, a science advisor, and a science coordinator representing
six city public school systems (Boston, Chicago, Detroit, New York, San Francisco and Washington, D.C.) to document their methods of program implementation. Interviewees were asked several open-ended questions relating to the application of the program in their cities and any recommendations they had for its future use.

Overall, the evaluation focused on the following four areas:

- determining the impact of staff development on teachers' ability to integrate program material into the classroom;
- determining which activities were used most effectively and frequently in integrating program material into the classroom curriculum;
- determining how students and teachers responded to the program and;
- determining how the program was implemented in other city school systems, as well as how participants in other cities responded to the program.
III. FINDINGS

STAFF DEVELOPMENT

Training seminars at the Bronx Zoo were attended by 192 teachers (124 junior high school, and 68 high school teachers), 100 assistant principals (61 junior high school and 39 high school principal) and one junior high school principal. Post-training reaction forms developed by the Science Unit of the Division of Curriculum and Instruction were distributed to participants immediately following each session to determine their opinions regarding the quality and usefulness of training.

Eighty-one percent* (220) of the respondents to the post-training questionnaire reported that their main reason for participating was to learn how to integrate The Living Planet Program into their classroom curriculum. The quality and usefulness of training was rated as highly favorable by 92 percent (269) of the group. Almost 90 percent stated that they would be inclined to use visits to the zoo as a classroom resource in the future. All respondents agreed that the program's integration of home television viewing, cultural resources and instructional guides would enrich students' learning experiences. The only recommendation for improvement was that the training seminars be expanded and follow-up sessions be provided to offer in-depth coverage of materials.

INSTRUCTIONAL GUIDES

Living Planet instructional guides for teachers and students were prepared by the New York City Board of Education's Division of Curriculum and Instruction.

* Valid percents are used; missing responses are eliminated from the total number of respondents for any given question.
Instruction. A section of the post-program survey distributed to teachers at the end of the series focused on their use of the Teacher Guide. Respondents were asked to rate the guide on its instructional merit and compatibility with students' needs. The respondents to the post-program survey represented 26 junior high schools and 28 high schools. Seventy-five percent of those who responded to the evaluation survey were teachers (50 junior high and 39 high school teachers). The remaining 25 percent of respondents were school administrators (21 junior high and eight high school administrators).

The Teacher Guide was reported by 102 respondents to have been very useful for planning lessons. Teachers reported that activities used in classroom instruction were class discussions (93%); written reports (58%); individual projects (23%); group projects (12%); and homework assignments (11%), and videotapes.

Students were given the Student Guide to use while viewing the series at home. On the post-program survey, teachers reported that the students' response to home viewing of The Living Planet, which was supposed to include use of the Student Guide, was generally unenthusiastic, and family participation at home did not appear to have been widely practiced. Eighty-six percent (102) of the teachers, however, rated the Student Guide favorably; they found the Guide especially helpful concerning homework activities.

PROGRAM PARTICIPATION

The nature of program participation was also ascertained through the post-program survey. According to respondents, the Living Planet curriculum was taught primarily (92%) in basic science and biology classrooms. The mean number of teachers teaching the Living Planet course within a school was six. Each teacher who used the course used it with approximately four classes. Seventy-six percent of the respondents reported that The Living Planet
Program was implemented as an ancillary curriculum to their schools' existing science curriculum; ten percent reported that they implemented only portions of the programs, and ten percent offered the program as an elective course.

Response to the post-program survey shows that The Living Planet Program was used most extensively with seventh and eighth graders. Of the 969 classes indicated on the post-program survey, 47 percent (451) were seventh or eighth grade classes. Of the 180 teachers who attended staff development, over half (124), taught at the junior high level. Administrative support for the program was also more visible at the junior high level that at the high school level. Thirty-four percent (21) of J.H.S. administrators who completed training followed-up on the post-program survey sent out in May. Only 21 percent (8) of the high school administrators followed-up. In addition, 57 percent of the junior high school teachers rated the teacher training very useful, while only 30 percent of high school teachers indicated the same. Junior high school teachers (45%) were also twice as likely as high school teachers (23%) to have required their students to watch the series. Fifty-six percent of junior high school teachers reported a student viewing response of 50 percent of the episodes, while only 33 percent of high school teachers reported a viewing rate that high. In general, junior high school teachers (88%) perceived students reactions to the program as favorable. Although both groups indicated that the course content was appropriate for their students' intellectual levels, 63 percent of the junior high school teachers believed the program significantly enhanced their classroom environment. Only 47 percent of high school teachers reported any improvement to their previous teaching methods. Junior high school respondents (86 percent) reported greater overall success with the program than high school respondents (57 percent), in that, integration of the
television program with classroom instruction was used effectively and student response to the program was positive.

Administrators (24) in general, rated the program more favorably (82%) than teachers. Participation at the administrative level may have played a significant role in teachers motivation to implement the course; program implementation appeared to have been more extensive at the junior high school level where administrators actively supervised the teachers. Implementation at the high school level seemed more or less an elective choice for the teachers. Sixty-two junior high and thirty-nine high school administrators attended the staff development training seminars. Of this group, twenty-one junior high representatives and eight high school representatives (97 percent) stated that they supported the idea of incorporating television programs into classroom learning and that they would like to work with similar projects in the future.

Home viewing of the series was designed to extend students learning environments outside the classroom. Family viewing and participation was also encouraged. In some classes, students were required to watch the series (36%), while in others students were only encouraged to do so (55%) (often with incentives such as the opportunity to receive extra credit). Teachers reported that students who were required to watch the program exhibited varying viewing response rates. One-quarter of the teachers indicated each of the four following categories: 0 - 24 percent, 25 - 49 percent, 50 - 74 percent, and 75 - 100 percent. When home viewing was not required the overall viewing rates dropped to under 25 percent. Overall, regardless of the instructions given to students, only 47 percent of the teachers stated that their students actively participated in home viewing of
the series. Students who did actively participate, however, were perceived by teachers to have been extremely enthusiastic.

Some teachers (6) showed videotapes of the series during school hours to reinforce and highlight particularly relevant aspects of the program. On the evaluation questionnaire, teachers were asked to give their general comments on the program. It was observed that students seemed to respond more favorably to the series when viewing took place in class. Students seemed to enjoy and understand the material much better than when they were required to watch the series only at home. Teachers also stated that use of videotapes allowed them to edit and show only those segments in the series which were relevant to the class.

Reasons cited for poor student response to home viewing of the series included the following:

- the one hour length of the program was too long and students became bored;
- David Attenborough used vocabulary that was too sophisticated and complex; and
- the series was situated against top-rated network shows.

Teachers suggested that home viewing rates would increase if students and parents participated in their own Living Planet orientation workshops. The television series was commended for its visual scope which allowed students to experience events that could not be fully appreciated using textbooks.

LIVING PLANET IN OTHER CITIES

Public school administrators in Boston, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, and Washington, D.C. also used the Living Planet series in their schools. Telephone interviews were conducted with the science coordinators in these five cities and with the New York City coordinator concerning implementation of the program in their respective cities.
The Living Planet curriculum was most often taught by science teachers in these cities, although it was also taught by social studies teachers. The estimated number of students receiving instruction varied from 1,400 (San Francisco) to 430,000 (Chicago). All of the science coordinators stressed that efforts had been made to integrate the program into as many classrooms as possible. Table 1 shows estimated teacher and student participation for each city.

Except in Boston and Detroit, all participating teachers received training before the start of the program. Training generally consisted of one orientation session for teachers; in Washington, D.C., and Chicago, students were also invited. (New York's training program was the most extensive.) David Attenborough, the narrator of the series, was present at the Chicago, New York City, and Washington, D.C. training seminars. None of the science coordinators reported conducting any follow-up training.

The Living Planet curriculum was taught primarily in grades 7-12 in other cities, although in Washington, D.C. and Detroit, students in grades 3-6 also participated. Generally, teachers in these cities used The Living Planet series as an ancillary course to their existing classroom curriculum. The Teacher and Student Guides were perceived as very useful, easily adaptable, and well-organized. The Washington, D.C. science coordinator reported, however, that some material in the Student Guide was too advanced for elementary level students.

Students were able to view the series during school hours in all of the cities except Chicago, where video equipment was not available in the schools. As in New York City, other program activities commonly used included class discussions, written reports and field trips. The series has
Table 1

Program Participation by City, Living Planet Program, 1984-85

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Estimated Teacher Participation</th>
<th>Estimated Student Participation</th>
<th>Subject Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>12,700</td>
<td>430,000</td>
<td>Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>Science, Social Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1,409</td>
<td>169,070</td>
<td>Science, Social Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>Science</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The cities with the largest numbers of students participating were Chicago, Detroit, and New York.

- In all cities the program was taught in science classes and in Detroit and New York it was also taught in social studies classes.
been videotaped for future use in Boston and Detroit. In Washington, D.C. and Chicago, science coordinators have made arrangement with local television stations to broadcast the program during school hours in Fall, 1985. All of the coordinators expressed a strong interest in working with more programs which integrate television viewing with classroom instruction.
IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Living Planet Program was well received and used by science, biology, and social studies teachers who attended staff development sessions. Staff development was seen as extremely useful and applicable to classroom instruction.

Student response to The Living Planet program was generally positive. According to teachers, students were less positive, however, when they viewed the program only at home and not in the classroom. Viewing rates were higher for junior high school than for high school classes. Junior high school teachers integrated more activities relating to The Living Planet into their teaching than did high school teachers. Teachers believed that use of videotapes during school hours increased students' understanding of the course content. It was suggested that one way to encourage more student (and parent) participation would be to design student/parent orientation workshops. In general, junior high school teachers reported greater success with the program than high school teachers. Administrative support was more evident at the junior high level which may have contributed to junior high school teachers more extensive use of the program.

Nearly all participants (97 percent) said that they were interested in working with more programs which integrate outside television viewing with classroom activities. Videotapes of The Living Planet series will be available next year for use in New York City Public School classrooms. The cities of Boston, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, and Washington D.C. also used The Living Planet Program in their schools. Classroom instruction and teacher training methods appeared to have been extremely similar in all the
participating cities.

The following recommendations are offered for the further enhancement of program implementation:

- obtain videotapes from the producer for educational distribution and student viewing during classroom hours.
- include student/parent orientation sessions to stimulate family participation.
01. What is your current position?
   1. Teacher
   2. Assistant Principal
   3. Principal
   4. Other (please specify)

02. What is the most important reason you took this training?
   1. I thought taking the training would be useful or helpful to me in my teaching or supervisory position
   2. I was asked to attend this training by a supervisor or administrator
   3. I thought that the training would be valuable to me in the future
   4. I thought taking the training would be useful in learning how to integrate The Living Planet film series with the zoo collection and the secondary level science curriculum

03. I found the day's training to be:
   1. of little or no interest to me
   2. only slightly interesting
   3. somewhat interesting
   4. very interesting

04. For my needs, what we were taught at the zoo:
   1. was not relevant
   2. was only slightly relevant
   3. was moderately relevant
   4. was highly relevant

05. How useful do you think what you learned in the training will be in your immediate instructional or supervisory work?
   1. not at all useful
   2. only slightly useful
   3. moderately useful
   4. very useful

06. How well do you think that the training sessions were organized?
   1. very poorly
   2. poorly
   3. fairly well
   4. very well

07. How would you rate the quality of classroom instruction in the training?
   1. very poor
   2. poor
   3. good
   4. very good
08. How would you rate the quality of tours that were a part of the training?
   1. very poor
   2. poor
   3. good
   4. very good

09. Given the time limitations in covering a broad spectrum of topics, do you feel that on the whole, the material was well covered?
   1. no, coverage was very poor
   2. no, coverage was poor
   3. yes, coverage was good
   4. yes, coverage was excellent

10. What is your overall assessment of this training?
    1. very poor
    2. poor
    3. good
    4. excellent

11. If I were asked to be a "turnkey" trainer for the Living Planet program, the training I received would be:
    1. not at all useful
    2. only slightly useful
    3. moderately useful
    4. very useful

12. Has your perception of the usefulness of staff development changed as a result of this training session?
    1. I feel more negative about staff development
    2. My opinion hasn't changed, we need it.
    3. My opinion hasn't changed, we don't need it.
    4. I'm slightly more in favor of staff development
    5. I feel positively in favor of staff development

13. Have you ever attempted to integrate "at home" viewing of a television program with your classroom teaching?
    1. no, never
    2. rarely
    3. sometimes
    4. frequently

14. Do you find the combination of a television program, a cultural resource, and prepared packets of materials useful in enriching classroom instruction?
    1. no, not at all useful
    2. yes, slightly useful
    3. yes, useful
    4. yes, very useful

15. Have you ever participated in a staff development program outside of the N.Y.C.B.O.E.? Please list institutions
    1. ____________________________________________
    2. ____________________________________________
    3. ____________________________________________
    4. ____________________________________________

16. How does this training compare with other staff development programs you attended?
    1. poorly
    2. about the same
    3. well
    4. much better
017. Have you used the zoo as a resource for your classroom teaching?
   1. no, never
   2. sometimes
   3. frequently
   4. always

018. As a result of this training would you be more inclined to use the zoo as a classroom resource?
   1. no, not at all
   2. perhaps
   3. yes, somewhat
   4. yes, definitely

019. What were the most useful aspects of the training?

020. What were the least useful aspects of the training?

021. Do you have any suggestions for improving this training? Please include any ideas which would improve this session.

To help facilitate the per diem substitute payroll please return the completed evaluation form as soon as possible to:

Ira B. Kanis
Science Unit
131 Livingston Street - Rm. 414A
Brooklyn, N. Y. 11210

Thank you
The Office of Educational Assessment is interested in your perceptions of The Living Planet television series, the training program which you attended, and the success of the instructional activities related to this series. Your responses will help determine whether this type of effort will be offered in the future. Please choose the single answer which best describes your views. Try to answer all questions. Return the completed questionnaire in the enclosed envelope by May 1, 1985. Thank you for your cooperation.

Please choose the single answer which best describes your views. Try to answer all questions. Return the completed questionnaire in the enclosed envelope by May 1, 1985. Thank you for your cooperation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Subject Specialty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Did you conduct any instructional activities related to the Living Planet television series (including suggesting that students view the episodes)?

1. ____ Yes, I conducted instructional activities related to the series.

2. ____ No, I did not conduct instructional activities related to the series.

If Yes, briefly list these activities in the space below. Then complete the remaining questions.

If No, briefly state why you did not conduct such activities. Then skip the remaining questions and return the questionnaire using the enclosed envelope.

2. How many of your classes did you involve in The Living Planet instruction or activities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Number of Classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ BEST COPY AVAILABLE \]
3. How useful do you think what you learned in The Living Planet training was for your instruction or supervision of student activities?

   1. not useful at all  
   2. minimally useful  
   3. moderately useful  
   4. very useful  

   ____ (16)

4. What was the most useful aspect of this training?

   ____________________________________________

   ____________________________________________

5. What was the least useful aspect of this training?

   ____________________________________________

   ____________________________________________

6. How did you use The Living Planet series and materials?

   1. as an ancillary curriculum to an existing curriculum  
   2. as an elective course  
   3. I never conducted instructional activities related to the series  
   4. other (please specify) ______________________

   ____ (17)

7. How would you rate the usefulness of the teacher's guide?

   1. not useful at all  
   2. minimally useful  
   3. moderately useful  
   4. very useful  

   ____ (18)

8a. Were student guides for the Living Planet series distributed to all students with whom you used the curriculum?

   1. yes  
   2. no  

   ____ (19)

If no, why not? ____________________________

---
8b. How would you rate the usefulness of the student guide?

1. not useful at all
2. minimally useful
3. moderately useful
4. very useful

9. Which student activities were conducted in relation to The Living Planet series? (check all that apply)

1. class discussion
2. group projects
3. individual projects
4. written reports
5. none
6. other (please specify)

10. To what degree did activities related to The Living Planet series enhance the content of the regular curriculum?

1. greatly enhanced
2. moderately enhanced
3. somewhat enhanced
4. not at all enhanced
5. not applicable

11. What was student reaction to integrating home viewing of television with classroom work?

1. very negative
2. somewhat negative
3. neither positive nor negative
4. somewhat positive
5. very positive
6. not applicable

12. What was student reaction to the content of The Living Planet curriculum?

1. very negative
2. somewhat negative
3. neither positive nor negative
4. somewhat positive
5. very positive
6. not applicable
13. How appropriate was the content of the television program for your students' intellectual level?

1. not at all appropriate
2. minimally appropriate
3. moderately appropriate
4. very appropriate

14. Were students required to view the program episodes or was viewing voluntary?

1. all episodes required
2. all episodes voluntary
3. some episodes required, some voluntary
4. other (please specify)

15. What is your estimate of the student viewing response rate?

1. 75% to 100% of the class viewed consistently
2. 50% to 74% of the class viewed consistently
3. 25% to 49% of the class viewed consistently
4. 0% to 24% of the class viewed consistently
5. can't estimate

16. Overall, how successfully was the television program and classroom work integrated?

1. not at all successfully
2. minimally successfully
3. moderately successfully
4. very successfully
5. not applicable

17. Would you like to see more efforts which attempt to integrate television programs like The Living Planet and classroom instruction?

1. yes
2. no

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION.
PLEASE RETURN THE COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE USING THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.
Hello ________________________, (Position: ______________________)  

My name is ___________ and I'm calling from the New York Public Schools, Office of Educational Assessment. Our office is responsible for the evaluation of The Living Planet Program in New York City. As a part of our investigation, we are surveying key staff in Boston, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, and Washington D.C. The purpose of the survey is to find out how the program is being implemented in each city. I have a few short questions I'd like to ask you that will take about ten minutes.

First:

1. How many teachers in your city used the Living Planet Program as a basis for classroom instructional activities? ______
   Which content areas do they teach: ____________________________

2. Overall, how many students are participating? ______
   Which grade levels: ____________________________

3. Did the teachers have any training or orientation workshops before the program began? No ____ Yes ____ If yes, how many workshops were held? ____
   Briefly describe the training: ____________________________
   ____________________________
   ____________________________
   ____________________________
   ____________________________

   (Interviewer be sure to include):
   a. Number of teachers participated: ____
   b. Where held: _____ school _____ zoo
      other: ____________________________
   c. Any follow-up training? Yes ____ No ____

BEST COPY AVAILABLE
d. Any other communications with teachers? Yes ___ No ___

4. How are teachers using the Living Planet series and materials?
   ___ as an ancillary curriculum to an existing curriculum
   ___ as an elective course
   ___ do not use the activities related to the series
   ___ other (specify): ____________________________

5. How would you rate the usefulness of the teacher's guide?
   ___ not useful at all comments: ____________________
   ___ somewhat useful ______________________________
   ___ moderately useful _____________________________
   ___ very useful ___________________________________
   ___ don't know _________________________________

6. Were students given the student guide?
   ___ Yes
   ___ No, why not: __________________________________

7. How would you rate the usefulness of the student guide?
   ___ not useful at all comments: ____________________
   ___ somewhat useful ______________________________
   ___ moderately useful _____________________________
   ___ very useful ___________________________________
   ___ don't know _________________________________
8. Are the students watching the program at home or are they watching a video tape during school hours? ____________________________________________________________________________

9. Were students required to view the program episodes or was viewing voluntary?

   ___ all episodes required    ___ all episodes voluntary
   ___ some episodes required, some voluntary
   ___ Other ____________________________

10. Which student activities were conducted in relation to the Living Planet series? (Check all that apply)

     ___ class discussion    ___ written reports
     ___ group projects     ___ none
     ___ field trips       ___ don't know
     ___ individual projects

     Other: ____________________________

     ____________________________

     ____________________________

     ____________________________

11. Have you personally observed any of the Living Planet activities in the classroom?

     ___ Yes        ___ No

12. Does your school district have plans to implement this program in the fall through replaying video tapes of the series?

     ___ Yes        ___ No

13. Would you like to see more efforts which attempt to integrate television programs and classroom instruction?

     ___ Yes        ___ No
14. Is your school district conducting an evaluation of the Living Planet Program?

____ Yes  _____ No

(If Yes) Does it include:

____ survey of teachers receiving training or orientation
____ survey of teachers who are implementing the program
____ pre and posttesting of students
____ unit testing of students
____ survey students on attitudes/opinions about the program
____ Other: __________________________________________

15. (If there is an evaluation...) We would like to have a copy of your evaluation findings. (Send to Robert Futterman, Office of Educational Assessment, New York Public Schools, 110 Livingston Street, Room 742, Brooklyn, New York 11201)

__________________________, thank you for your time and your frank answers to these questions, (and the materials you will send to our office). You have been very helpful in our assessment of the Living Planet Program.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
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EPISODE 7  THE SKY ABOVE

What would happen if, magically, gravity on earth were to cease? David Attenborough hurtles earthward in zero gravity in an astronaut-training aircraft to demonstrate the link between gravity, the earth's atmosphere, and life on earth.

This program examines parachuting spiders, skydiving frogs, fluffy seeds, and winged seeds that spin like helicopter blades.

The peregrine falcon uses gravity as it speed-dives at its prey, while the bearded vulture drops animal bones from high above to be smashed into edible fragments on the rocks below.

The atmosphere is the ocean of air in which all life on earth exists. With the program's guide, the audience explores the atmosphere's upper layers. We see the indescribable aurora borealis and an unforgettable red sunset. From an open basket of a high-altitude balloon, four miles above the earth's surface, David braves the lack of elements and searches for tiny organisms. And we watch as the balloon swells in the thinning atmosphere despite the fact that nothing has been added to it.

Finally, the atmosphere's huge and complex weather patterns are illustrated with special time lapse photography taken from a satellite 22,500 miles above the earth. A seemingly harmless, puffy cloud is observed as it forms powerful storm clouds that could produce hailstones, lightning, or a tornado — the most powerful weather phenomenon of all.

The program concludes on an optimistic note. Powerful, uncontrollable weather may bring destruction, but it brings life also, because rain from such weather yields almost pure water . . . and water is necessary for life.

OBJECTIVES  The students will be able to:
- explain how the sun's heat helps to produce air currents
- explain the formation of rain, hail, and tornadoes
- provide examples of seed adaptation for wind dispersal
- describe the processes of physics that permit lift
- explain how birds, such as the condor, use thermals as an assist to flight
- relate the air currents of the earth to weather conditions
- define weight, gravity, and volume
- compare the characteristics of solids, liquids, and gases
PREVIEWING

1. You may want to review the following vocabulary. (Italicized words are also listed with definitions in the student guide.)
   atmosphere, gravity, lift, pollen, spore, thermals, tornado, volume, weight

2. Air has weight and volume. Use a balance to weigh a deflated, large balloon. Record the weight. Inflate the balloon and weigh it. Record the weight. Have the students compare and explain their results.
   To illustrate volume, place a dry tissue in the bottom of a glass tumbler. Invert the glass tumbler and submerge it into a larger, clear container filled with water. Is the tissue getting wet? Remove the submerged glass tumbler. Remove the tissue. Is it wet? Explain.

3. Use a convection box to illustrate how heat produces currents of air. Hold a piece of ignited, smoking paper over the glass chimney that is not above the candle. What do you observe happening to the smoke? Light the candle, and repeat the process, continuing to hold the smoking paper above the chimney without the candle. What are your observations? Why?
   The students should be able to explain that the heat from the candle heated the air above it, creating an upward convection current. The colder air from outside the box replaced the less dense, warmer air, which flowed up the chimney and out of the box. Apply this principle to the film.

FOLLOW-UP

After discussing the program and viewing questions with the students, you may assign activities such as the following:

1. Use a prism and a flashlight to illustrate the refraction of white light and its dispersion into a spectrum. Have the students trace the path of a light beam through the prism. Identify the sequence of colors that appears on a screen behind the beam that passes through the prism. Explain that rainbows may appear after rainstorms when water droplets are suspended in the air. Depending upon the cloud conditions, each water droplet has the potential to act as a small prism with a mirror behind the prism to reflect the light toward the earth.

2. Demonstrate lift by observing how motion reduces pressure. Take a strip of paper about one inch wide and eight inches long. Place one end against your lower lip so it can be blown across. What do you observe? Why?

3. Trace weather patterns in your community by cutting out weather maps from local newspapers and relating air mass fronts to resulting weather. Compare and relate national and local weather patterns. Explain how hurricanes, tornadoes, and hail develop.

4. Explain why gliders, such as the albatross, favor the use of cliffs to begin their flight.

5. Describe how the peregrine falcon uses gravity to hunt prey.

6. Explain how the aurora borealis is created.

7. As a library assignment, investigate the history of flight. Some students might report the historical sequence of events, while others might explain the scientific principles and illustrate how the principles were applied. A few students might compare the actual structure of different life forms to technological applications of the same scientific principles.
EPISODE 4 JUNGLE

David Attenborough begins a journey from the top of a huge silk cotton tree down through the layers of the jungle environment. Here conditions are stable. There is continual warmth and moisture with little change in temperature, little variation in seasons. Because such favorable conditions have continued over millions of years, more forms of plants and animals live in the jungle than in any other environment. Every niche is filled. The pigmy marmoset eats the sap of the trees. Some frogs carry their young tadpoles to tiny pools of water trapped by the leaves of plants. And a snake has developed the ability to glide through the air. Most, but not all, the living creatures are found in the jungle's canopy, a sea of green leaves, which is 40 to 50 meters above ground level. Below the canopy, many birds travel from one part of the forest to another. By flying at this level, they escape the eagles patrolling above. Here in the understory the leaves are not so thick. We see bare trunks of trees, hanging vines, and a few species of low trees adapted to dim light. Below this layer, the jungle floor appears. It is hot and humid; very little light has filtered this far down. The forest floor is covered with a thick blanket of decaying leaves. One would not expect to see flowers. But it is here that the parasite Rafflesia produces the biggest flower on earth, bunga banka — the corpse flower. The soil is not fertile. Nutrients are used too quickly by the abundant plant growth; and frequent rains also wash away minerals from the soil. As the molds, fungi and bacteria decompose the blanket of dead vegetation, nutrients are returned to the soil. Without this process, the life of the jungle could not continue. Termites, too, are vital to the life of the jungle. They eat the dead wood and, in turn, are food for other creatures. Each plant and animal has its niche, but the inhabitant who makes the most varied and extensive use of the jungle is man. The program shows how the Waorani Indians have used the jungle for all their needs, living in harmony with their environment for thousands of years.

VOCABULARY

canopy — top layer of the tallest trees in the forest
surface — the property of a liquid surface that apparently forms a thin elastic film. It is caused by unequal molecular attractive forces near the surface.
tension — decomposers — fungi and bacteria that feed on the remains of organisms
parasite — a plant or animal that lives in or on an organism of another species from which it derives food or protection without benefitting the host, and usually doing harm
saturated — filled to capacity

VIEWING QUESTIONS

1. What factors account for the large variety of plant and animal life found in the tropical rain forest?

2. How does the jungle canopy illustrate phototropism?

3. What is the importance of the leaves' glossy, waxy surface and pointed tips?

4. Why are decomposers vital to the food web in the jungle?

5. Why is the forest floor relatively infertile?

6. Explain why, in the jungle, perspiring is ineffective.

7. The death and fall of an old tree is a beginning as well as an end. Explain.

8. List at least two camouflage disguises used by animals in the jungle.

9. Why are termites a crucial link in the flow of nutrients from one organism to another? Explain.

HOME DISCUSSION

The Waorani Indians of Ecuador had no contact with the outside world until about three years ago. They had lived in close harmony with the jungle for several thousand years, taking from it everything they needed to survive. How do you think contact with the outside world will affect the Waorani society?

Some people suggest that tribal peoples who have had no contact with the outside world should be protected from such contact because the rapid changes can destroy their societies. What do you think?