

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 237 918

CG 017 175

AUTHOR Liotta, Richard F.; Jason, Leonard A.  
 TITLE A Behavioral Approach for Measuring Social Support.  
 PUB DATE Aug 83  
 NOTE 21p.; Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association (91st, Anaheim, CA, August 26-30, 1983).  
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)  
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS Behavior Change; \*Behavior Rating Scales; Coping; Family Environment; Interaction; Measurement Techniques; \*Observation; Parent Education; Parents; \*Research Methodology; \*Social Support Groups; Spouses

ABSTRACT

Although research on the role of social support in psychological well-being has increased, little attention has been given to behavioral methods for measuring these supportive mechanisms. To study the support exchanged in marital dyads, a behavioral observation system was designed and used to detect changes in the marital relationship of one white, middle class family participating in an Early Intervention parent training program. The behavioral observation system recorded supportive functions (cognitive guidance, social reinforcement, tangible assistance, socializing, and emotional support) at 30 second intervals as well as the directionality of the support. Observations were recorded during evening, home-based family interactions every 2 weeks for 5 months. Concurrent with the observed interaction periods, the parents proceeded through the parent training program from baseline to Intervention Level 3. An analysis of the results showed that it was possible both to monitor supportive behaviors reliably in a home setting, and to document changes in these behaviors. Changes in inter-parent support were found concurrent with involvement in the parent training program. Individual modification of dimensions of emotional support were also increased through the use of feedback and goal setting, as monitored by the observational system. (BL)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
 \* from the original document. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

ED237918

A Behavioral Approach for Measuring  
Social Support

Richard F. Liotta and Leonard A. Jason

DePaul University

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- X. This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Parts of a new program initiated in this field must do not necessarily represent official position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Leonard A. Jason*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

CG 017175

Running head: Measuring Social Support

## Abstract

The present study describes the construction of an observation system to measure social support behaviors. The supportive behavioral categories of cognitive guidance, tangible assistance, social reinforcement, emotional support, socializing, and the directionality of support may be measured using this system. Once developed, the observational system was employed in documenting changes in supportive behaviors between two parents who were involved in a parent training program. Findings from this study indicated that it is possible to both reliably monitor supportive behaviors in a home setting, and document changes in these behaviors. Implications for using an observational system to study social support are discussed.

### A Behavioral Approach for Measuring Social Support

In recent years, the area of social support has received considerable attention. Originally, social support was thought to be a buffer against stress, and it was felt that those with high social support were less likely to evidence stress related symptomology (Caplan, 1974). More recent studies have undertaken an examination of the relationship between social networks and various psychological states (Wellman, 1981; Hirsch, 1981; Heller & Swindle, 1983). For example, Hirsch (1980) found that women coping with major life changes had significantly higher self-esteem if they had multi-dimensional relationships, but women having denser networks experienced less satisfaction. As these findings and others have emerged, many mental health professionals have become interested in the area of social support.

At least part of the upsurge in interest in social support has derived from the findings that people are more likely to turn to friends and family for advice, reassurance and other kinds of support than to professional service organizations (Gourash, 1978). Yet, relatively little is known about the nature of these naturally occurring social ties. To deal with this gap in our knowledge base, social scientists have devoted attention to better conceptualizing and articulating the concept of social support. For example, investigators have developed instruments to measure subjective appraisals of support (Heller & Swindle, 1983) and the types of activities engaged in during supportive interactions (Barrera, 1981). There is a need to be even more specific by defining exactly what behaviors are supportive and how they are manifested in interpersonal relationships.

Gottlieb (1981) has proposed a "micro level of analysis" to study social support. He proposed this approach to identify the resources available in, and

benefits derived from, close and confiding social ties. In taking this approach, investigators need to specify what specific behaviors, in the context of particular interactions, are supportive. Gottlieb examined a variety of supportive interactions and derived a classification of 26 helping behaviors, which could be sorted into three categories: emotionally sustaining behaviors, problem solving behaviors, and indirect personal influence behaviors (Gottlieb, 1978). Unfortunately, these behaviors have not been formally observed using rigorous behavioral categories.

Operationalization of supportive functions into observable and quantifiable behaviors could contribute to our understanding of the nature of supportive ties. The development of an observation system to measure social support could facilitate our understanding of social support in several ways. Such a system could aid in the examination of the temporal aspects of support, such as how supportive behaviors develop and change overtime in particular relationships and circumstances, such as life transitions. Several authors have noted the need for research on the temporal aspects of social support (Hirsch, 1981; Eckenrode & Gore, 1981; Wilcox, 1981; Heller & Swindle, 1983). In addition, specifying the behavioral counterparts of structural variables such as strength and reciprocity would do much to elucidate the nature of support in dyadic relationships. Finally, more precise behavioral observation systems could be utilized to document changes in support due to specific interventions which attempt to increase supportive behaviors.

The present study describes the development of a behavioral observational system designed to observe support exchanged in marital dyads. The behavioral observation system was used to detect change, in a marital relationship concurrent with involvement in a parent training program. In addition,

an attempt was made to increase emotionally supportive behaviors occurring between parents. Feedback and goal-setting were used to modify these supportive behaviors.

#### Method

##### Social Support Observation System

A coding system was developed to record supportive interactional behaviors between parents in the home setting. The system was developed using the categories of supportive functions postulated by Hirsch (1980). These are cognitive guidance, social reinforcement, tangible assistance, emotional support, and socializing. Specific behaviors consistent with these categories were defined so they could be reliably observed and recorded. The specification of these behaviors drew heavily from Gottlieb's categorization of helping behaviors (Gottlieb, 1978). Definitions of the behavioral categories are below:

- |                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Cognitive guidance:   | -provides information, advice, explanations, suggestions, or problem clarifications; focused talking about problem details.           |
| Social reinforcement: | -praising actions, expressing appreciation for actions performed.   |
| Tangible assistance:  | -intervening to assist in a task already begun by the other person; offering assistance after a request for assistance has been made. |
| Socializing:          | -talk about non-problem oriented topics.  |
| Emotional Support:    | -provides reassurance or encouragement; expresses understanding, respect, concern, trust or intimacy.                                 |

These categories of behavior are recorded as either occurring or not occurring at 30 second intervals. Also the direction of support given is recorded (i.e., mother to father, father to mother, or reciprocal) for each 30 second interval.

The interactional behaviors are expressed as frequencies per observation period. An overall indication of support is derived by the number of intervals where any supportive behavior occurred divided by the total number of intervals. The ratio of mother to father, father to mother, and reciprocal support are also derived (e.g., the number of intervals where reciprocal support occurred divided by the total number of intervals where supportive behaviors occurred).

Four hours of training was required to train observers (reliability will be reported in the results section). Observers were equipped with a cassette tape recorder with a tape with tones designating the recording intervals, an earphone, and coding sheets.

### Participants

One family referred to the Early Intervention Program (EIP), a parent training program at Children's Memorial Hospital, Chicago, Illinois, served as participants in the study. The family satisfied the following criteria: 1) both the mother and father resided in the home, 2) one child under the age of six had been identified as exhibiting noncompliant behaviors in the household, and 3) the child had no history of psychiatric or psychological treatment.

The family was caucasian and middle class, with the father's profession being teaching and the mother being a home-maker. There were three male children in the family, one, three, and five years of age. The five year

old, pre-school youth, was the child targeted as exhibiting noncompliance.

### Setting

Both parents and the treated child were at each home observation. The family remained in two adjoining rooms, and avoided watching television or making phone calls. With the exception of commercial board games, toys were permitted in the observation area. At times other family members were present in the observation area, but their behaviors were not recorded.

### Procedure

Evening observations were done approximately once every two weeks for the duration of the study (five months). Each home observation consisted of a 40 minute observation period. The family proceeded through baseline, intervention I, intervention II, and intervention III. The intervention phases are described below.

Baseline. Baseline data were collected for one month (three data points) prior to the family's beginning the EIP in-clinic parent training program.

Intervention I. During this phase, which lasted one month (three data points), the mother began involvement in the EIP child management program (Reisinger & Lavigne, 1980). In the program, the mother learned contingent attention skills by working with a trainer in clinic structured play sessions twice per week. The mother also learned social learning parenting techniques and participated in a parent problem solving group with other EIP mothers.

Intervention II. This intervention, which lasted one month (two data points), consisted of: 1) instructing the father in basic social learning principles, and 2) enumerating the importance of father involvement in child-rearing. The experimenter went over this material with the father and mother, in the home, emphasizing important points and clarifying aspects the father



had questions about. The intent of this intervention was to provide the father with parenting skills the mother had been acquiring in the EIP program. The mother continued involvement in EIP during this phase.

Intervention III. This intervention, which lasted two months (six data points), consisted of giving the parents feedback on the frequencies of each of the five categories of support as they occurred during baseline through intervention II, and setting goals for increasing supportive behaviors. Both parents selected emotional support as a dimension which they would be interested in changing. They discussed with the first author possible ways in which emotional support could be increased. They decided to attempt to increase their expressions of caring and intimacy toward one another, which both agreed needed to be increased. The father, in particular, desired to increase his emotionally supportive behaviors.

By way of clarification, the study reported here is one component of a project which also evaluated the generalization of EIP parenting skills, learned by the mother, to the home setting (i.e., intervention I), and the effectiveness of an intervention to increase the father's use of behavioral parenting skills (i.e., intervention II). Observers, trained in the Forehand (1977) system, coded parent-child interactional behavioral sequences, concurrent with the observation of inter-parent supportive behaviors. Behaviors recorded included parental commands, child compliance or noncompliance to commands, and contingent attention (i.e., rewarding of compliant behavior). An increase in the parents use of contingent attention and a decrease in the targeted child's noncompliance were expected.

## Results

Social support can be reliably observed with the present system. Reliability was taken during one observation period. Interrater reliability was assessed using the formula  $[\text{agreement}/(\text{agreement} + \text{disagreement})]$ . Reliabilities ranged from .89 to 1.00 for different categories of supportive behaviors. Reliabilities for the different categories follow: cognitive guidance, .89; socializing, .95; tangible assistance, .99; emotional support, .99; and social reinforcement, 1.00.

Changes in support over baseline and the first two intervention phases were found. Reciprocity was defined as the per cent of intervals, where support was provided, in which provision of support was bidirectional between parents. Reciprocity of support decreased during the first intervention and returned to baseline levels during the second intervention phase. The mean percentages were as follows: baseline, 68%; intervention I,

---

Insert Figure 1 about here

---

36%; and the mean for the next two intervention phases, 64%. The decrease during the first intervention was due primarily to the father being less supportive. Figure 2 shows the mean percentages of intervals in which the father and mother provided support out of the total intervals where support occurred.

---

Insert Figure 2 about here

---

During the last intervention, the parents were provided with feedback and set goals for increasing emotional support. This was successful in increasing the frequency of emotionally supportive behaviors. The mean

frequency across baseline and the first two intervention phases of emotionally supportive behaviors was .62 per observation period. During

---

Insert Figure 3 about here

---

the last intervention, the frequency increased to a mean of 4.5 per observation period. This intervention also had the effect of increasing cognitive guidance, socializing, and social reinforcement.

As an overview of the results of the other aspects of the study, the mother's and father's use of contingent attention to child compliance increased. The mean percentages of contingent attention to child compliance by the father were as follows: baseline, 3%; intervention I, 16%; and the mean for the next two intervention phases, 36%. The corresponding percentages for the mother were, 1%, 14%, and 40%, respectively. The targeted child's noncompliance also decreased. The mean percentages of noncompliance to total commands were as follows: baseline, 10%; intervention I, 9%; and the mean for the next two intervention phases, 2% (Liotta, Note 1).

### Discussion

The present investigation demonstrated that social support can be reliably operationalized into specific supportive behaviors. This observational system illustrates a different approach for studying social support, one which might increase our understanding of the nature of supportive ties, and provide a way to examine changes in support over time.

The data from the behavioral observations provided an excellent example of how behavioral training might damage social support. Parent training of the mother negatively affected the reciprocity of support exchanged in the marital

relationship, primarily by reducing the support offered by the father. When the father was taught the parenting skills which had previously been shown to the mother, the negative effects were ameliorated. The father felt the decrease in reciprocity during intervention I was due to his "uncomfortableness" with the new parenting techniques the mother was beginning to use. He mentioned that he did not really understand the techniques and felt they were of questionable utility. When he was actually taught the techniques, he became more comfortable with this approach to child management. Apparently, the father's "uncomfortableness" was reflected in supportive interactional behaviors.

Further, it appears that changing one aspect of family functioning will have second-order effects on supportive interactions. These findings are important for they indicate that behavioral investigators need to adopt a more ecological or system-level approach when implementing behavior change programs.

Another interesting finding was that individuals can modify dimensions of emotional support. The couple was able to increase emotional support and increases were also noted in cognitive guidance, socializing, and social reinforcement. Both parents reported that the feedback about their supportive behaviors was worthwhile. They were surprised by the low frequency of many of the behaviors during baseline and the next two intervention phases. The father felt his goal of attempting to increase support was helpful to him.

Consistent with these attitudes, changes in support were due primarily to increases in the father's elicitation of supportive behaviors. Thus, it does seem possible to make self-initiated changes in social support

when goal-setting and feedback are employed. More than likely, individuals have the potential to create more supportive relationships. Still, these findings need to be interpreted cautiously until they can be replicated with larger samples.

The findings of the present study indicate that social support can be reliably operationalized into specific supportive behaviors. Changes in inter-parent support were found concurrent with involvement in a parent training program. Also, emotionally supportive behaviors were increased through the use of feedback and goal-setting. The observation system developed for this investigation facilitated documentation of changes in supportive interactions in a marital couple over time. There is a need for further research examining how supportive behaviors develop and change over time in particular relationships, settings, and circumstances. In addition, examining methods of increasing supportive behaviors is an area worthy of further research. Observational systems, such as the one employed in present study, have the potential of providing the operational specificity needed to examine "micro level" aspects of social support (such as those noted above), which are not easily investigated by other methodological approaches.

## Reference Notes

1. Liotta, R. F. Effects of a couple's involvement in a parent training program: An examination of parenting skills, child compliance, and inter-parent supportive behaviors. Unpublished master's thesis. DePaul University, 1983.

## References

- Barrera, M., Jr. Social support in the adjustment of pregnant adolescents: Assessment issues. In B. H. Gottlieb (Ed.), Social networks and social support. Beverly Hills: Sage, 1981.
- Caplan, G. Social support systems and community mental health. New York: Behavioral Publications, 1974.
- Eckenrode, J. & Gore, S. Stressful events and social supports: The significance of context. In B. H. Gottlieb (Ed.), Social networks and social support. Beverly Hills: Sage, 1981.
- Forehand, R. Child noncompliance to parental commands: Behavioral analysis and treatment. In M. Hersen, R. M. Eisler, & P. M. Miller (Eds.), Progress in behavior modification (Vol. 5). New York: Academic Press, 1977.
- Gottlieb, B. H. The development and application of a classification scheme of informal helping behaviors. Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science, 1978, 10, 105-115.
- Gottlieb, B. H. Social networks and social support. Beverly Hills: Sage, 1981.
- Gourash, N. Help-seeking: A review of the literature. American Journal of Community Psychology, 1978, 6, 413-423.
- Heller, K., & Swindle, R. W. Social networks, perceived social support and coping with stress. In R. D. Felner, L. A. Jason, J. Moritsugu, and S. S. Farber (Eds.), Preventive psychology: Theory, research and practice. New York: Pergamon, 1983.
- Hirsch, B. Natural support systems and coping with major life changes. American Journal of Community Psychology, 1980, 8, 159-172.

- Hirsch, B. J. Social networks and the coping process: Creating personal communities. In B. H. Gottlieb (Ed.), Social networks and social support. Beverly Hills: Sage, 1981.
- Reisinger, J. J. & Lavigne, J. V. An early intervention model for pediatric settings. Professional Psychology, 1980, 11, 582-590.
- Wellman, B. Applying network analysis to the study of support. In B. H. Gottlieb (Ed.), Social networks and social support. Beverly Hills: Sage, 1981.
- Wilcox, B. L. Social support in adjusting to marital disruption: A network analysis. In B. H. Gottlieb (Ed.), Social networks and social support. Beverly Hills: Sage, 1981.



Author Notes

The authors sincerely thank Susan Garvey, Beverly Lyons, and Pam Green for their help in data collection.

Requests for reprints should be sent to Leonard A. Jason, Psychology Dept., DePaul University, Chicago, Ill. 60614.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Proportion of reciprocal intervals of total supportive intervals

Figure 2. Proportion of intervals each parent was supportive of total supportive intervals

Figure 3. Frequency of emotional support

Interventions:





