Teaching young children remains a generally female occupation in spite of some educators' encouraging men to enter the field. In order to explore the reasons for this imbalance, 10 male school teachers of young children were interviewed at length about their teaching history and plans, their satisfaction with their work, and their attitudes about male participation in the profession. Responses from the men were compared with those from eight women holding comparable jobs. Open-ended, semistructured questions guided the 60 to 90 minute interviews, which were recorded and additionally transcribed when deemed appropriate. Results suggested both similarities and differences between the two groups. Men and women expressed their liking for children, but men reported having more complex career plans and experiencing more frequent situations leading to discussion of alternative careers. Men also reported incidents of discrimination as a result of their gender, but the significance of these incidents was unclear. Altogether, results suggested that the distraction of positive career alternatives accounted more for the scarcity of men in early childhood education than did discrimination. (Author/RH)
ABSTRACT

The Achievement of Care: Men Who Teach Young Children

Teaching young children remains a heavily female occupation in spite of some educators' encouraging more men to enter it. In order to explore the reasons for this imbalance, ten male school teachers of young children were interviewed at length about their teaching history and plans, their satisfaction with the work, and their attitudes about male participation in the field. Responses of the ten men were compared to those from eight women holding comparable jobs. Open-ended, semi-structured questions guided the interviews, which lasted 60-90 minutes, and which were tape recorded and transcribed at appropriate places.

Results suggested both similarities and differences: men and women both expressed liking for children, but men reported more complex career plans, and more frequent experiences that led to discussing alternative careers. Men also reported incidents of negative discrimination on account of their gender, but the content of these incidents made their significance unclear. Altogether the results suggest that positive career distractions, more than negative discrimination, account for the scarcity of men in early childhood education.
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Since men at most times and places have not been very involved with young children, educators have sometimes advocated compensating for this lack by recruiting more men into early childhood teaching (Robinson, 1981). According to this argument, having both sexes as teachers would give children a more androgynous educational experience: they would (hopefully) witness both sexes form caring, personal relationships with children—at least at school if not elsewhere. Men would contribute to early childhood education by being living examples that contradict the usual stereotypes about being male. They would not contribute, however, by behaving in "manly" ways in the classroom; evidence in any case suggests that they do not, and even cannot because of situational constraints (Lee & Wolinsky, 1973; Seifert, 1975).

Yet men have remained scarce in early childhood education, even in public-school sponsored programs such as kindergarten, where salaries and conditions now match those at all levels of public schooling. Since men often do choose to teach older children and adolescents, why do they continue not choosing the very young? One possible answer is that early childhood teaching lacks status within education and among occupations generally, and therefore discourages many males from entering
the field. Men who do value contact with young children would therefore worry not only about achieving competence with them, but also about earning at least a modicum of respect from other professionals and from society. Women entering a male-dominated profession, on the other hand, would "only" need to worry about their competence, since entering men's work would usually increase their public status, not decrease it (Schreiber, 1979). Thus men and women in non-traditional careers (Schreiber calls them "New Types") may face related, but not identical pressures.

From day to day, of course, New Types might not experience the status and competence issues in the rather blunt way just described. Much of their attention, for example, would go instead to the ongoing demands of their jobs, in which they presumably have as much intrinsic interest as more traditional workers. To some extent, furthermore, the issues of status and competence might exist only in the minds and hearts of New Types—through prior socialization—rather than in actual interactions with others. Whether perceived or real, however, and whether well articulated or not, these concerns would accompany New Types in their work, at least during its initial stages. The study described here explored these possibilities for one particular kind of New Type, namely male teachers of young children.

Method

Eighteen teachers of young children provided information for the study. Ten of these were male, and eight were female.
all were currently teaching nursery, kindergarten, or Grade 1 in the Winnipeg School Division. The ten men constituted the large majority of males teaching at these levels in this division. Wherever possible, the women were selected from the same school as the men; in two cases, however, they were selected from comparable schools because of scheduling problems. The women therefore represent a tiny, and hopefully typical, sample of female early childhood teachers in Winnipeg. No effort was made to find outstanding or unusual females to match with the males.

The teachers had early childhood teaching experience ranging from three years to twenty-nine years, and university training ranging from three years to six years. Overall, their education was typical of Winnipeg area teachers, though their classroom experience was a bit less than teachers generally. Median early childhood classroom experience was seven years.

Each teacher was interviewed for sixty to ninety minutes about three general topics: 1) his or her teaching history and plans; 2) her or her satisfactions and frustrations in early childhood teaching; and 3) his or her beliefs about men entering early childhood education. The interviews followed a flexible set of questions that generally invited open-ended responses. A precisely standardized format quickly proved impossible, partly because the questions invited elaborate responses, and partly because the teachers themselves initiated frequent digressions in their comments. All the
teachers reported enjoying the interviews, and some capitalized on the opportunity by talking at some length on many topics related to their careers.

Interviews were tape recorded, and the recordings were used to reconstruct answers to the original set of questions. For the most part this procedure resulted in transcribing essentially verbatim quotations from the tapes, though often the quotations were taken from more than one place within the recording. In some cases inferred answers seemed necessary and plausible in order to make sense of comments elsewhere in the interview; where these seemed called for, they were made and noted as such in the questionnaire protocols. The resulting reconstructed questionnaires were then examined both for common themes and for variety among individuals; and in particular they were studied for gender-related differences.

The interviews and analysis were therefore clinical rather than psychometric or statistical. This approach was determined both by the context-laden nature of the research questions, and by the current need to explore gender effects in early childhood careers, rather than confirm them. So far the very considerable scholarship about gender issues in careers has applied almost entirely to the problems of women in male occupations, and not vice versa (Hoffman, 1983).

Results

Several themes emerged in the interviews that differentiated between the men and the women. These differences oc-
 incurred, however, in the context of an important similarity that pervaded all the interviews, whether of male or female teachers. These are described one at a time below, beginning with the similarity.

**A similarity: liking for children.** All teachers, without exception, conveyed or stated a liking for children. They inserted comments to this effect even when not asked, and using various terms: children, they said, are fun, relaxing, spontaneous, and the like. One teacher summed up his feelings by saying, "Children give you more, and young children give you the most." Such comments showed no obvious relationship to the gender of the teacher. This fact may cause no surprise in liberally-minded educators and psychologists. It is worth noting explicitly, though, since it contradicts a well-documented stereotype about men who teach young children (Seifert, 1983). The universal liking for children, furthermore, needs remembering in interpreting the gender differences described below.

**A difference: enticements to work elsewhere.** Eight men reported experiences in which significant others discussed alternative careers or jobs with them. Only out of the nine women reported similar incidents. The potential career changes varied in content by individual, as did the source or person from which the proposals came. Table 1 lists the changes and the sources for the men. The one woman who reported similar experience had been invited by a school administrator to apply to become an elementary school principal.
She began the training program necessary for applying for this job, but left it before completion.

Although one of the men reported a similar invitation (also declined), most men said that their discussions of career alternatives were not clearly identified as "official job recruitment efforts." Instead they occurred as periodic informal conversations with friends or relatives in other occupations or in other fields of education. At no time in these conversations, according to the male teachers, did anyone criticize the men for teaching young children, nor express dislike or contempt for early childhood education in general. Instead the conversations simply emphasized the positive attractions of other sorts of work, and they seemed to assume that the teachers would in fact want to consider such alternatives. Evidently the male teachers in this study were presented with such career alternatives more than were the females. As discussed further below, though, they may also have invited such alternatives as well.

A difference: discrimination incidents. Five of the ten men reported events or situations that they considered discrimination on the basis of gender. Unlike the positive distractions described above, these events tended to close down options for the men, rather than expand them. One man, for example, reported that a former principal of his had refused to let him teach nursery in the school, although the principal had been willing to let him teach Grade 2. Three reported taking
excessive time (2-3 years) to fit into the social life of the school, and one of these was still not sure that he belonged after three years working with the same staff. Two male teachers described serious conflicts with their own parents about the wisdom of choosing early childhood education as a career.

Comparable negative events were described by one of the women. In her case, she reported conflict with her parents about the wisdom of beginning university training in early childhood education, instead of "getting married right away like a good girl," as she put it. By the time she entered the teaching force, however, this conflict had mellowed considerably, suggesting that the conflict really centered more on whether she should have worked at all, rather than on the content of her work, as was true for the men.

Since some of these problems resemble ones reported by teachers generally (Lortie, 1975), they represent less clearcut evidence for gender effects than the positive-distraction finding already described. It is impossible, furthermore, to decide how much these men and women experienced gender discrimination in some objective sense, and how much they simply felt that they did. Significantly, though, the men all attributed their problems to gender discrimination, rather than to what might be called the occupational hazards of teaching in general.

A difference: complexity of career plans. The men were noticeably more ambitious than the women in their future career plans. The question "What do you expect to be doing ten
years from now?", for example, produced dramatic gender differences. All twelve men described future activities out of the classroom, but which mostly involved or related to young children somehow; their ideas are summarized in Table 2. None of the eight women described plans in any way comparable in complexity. Instead, their vision of the future focused on issues of personal or family development: they hoped to travel, or to partially retire, or (for one of them) to raise children, or to simply continue teaching the young. As one woman put it, "My commitment to early childhood education obliges me to give priority to my own family"—even to the point of interrupting her career. No man expressed personal commitments this strong, although four included travel and partial retirement among their hopes for the future, paradoxically in spite of also making more involving plans.

Having complex goals does not, of course, guarantee that the goals will be reached, nor that the goals themselves will never change over the long run. Many of the teachers interviewed were young enough, presumably, to revise their career and personal plans eventually. If so, then these men and women may find themselves more similar to each other in the long run than they expect to be right now (Gilligan, 1982). Nevertheless, at the time of these interviews, the men clearly placed their teaching in a larger context of careers and vocational activity than did the women.
Discussion

Taken together, these findings suggest the following portrait of the male teacher in early childhood education:

1) He likes children as much as any teacher of young children;

2) He likes children enough, in fact, to ignore the distractions of other careers;

3) He may sometimes experience discrimination against males in this field, but not necessarily;

4) He sees relationships between his teaching young children and other, related work;

5) He expects to act on these insights eventually.

The men in this study differ from most men, then, in having a uniquely nurturant career interest, namely young children. They are rather typical of men generally, though, in their firm commitment to a lifetime of work. At the same time, their commitment to work differentiates them from the females in this study, and probably also from the female majority of early childhood teachers.

These similarities and differences, of course, have only as much validity as the interview method that found them. Most likely they suffer from the usual problems of self-reports: the selective memory of the subjects, and their desire to present themselves in a positive light (some call this "face saving validity"). It is socially desirable, for example, for teachers to say that they enjoy children, whether or not they really
do; likewise, it is desirable for men to show interest in their careers. The responses in this study may reflect knowledge of these social expectations to some extent, as well as genuinely held attitudes. Only further research using diverse methods (e.g. direct classroom observation, or experimental designs) can clarify the relative influence of social desirability in this study.

Assuming that the findings do prove stable and valid, they suggest certain reasons why men may remain scarce in early childhood education. In particular they suggest that positive career distractions may operate more strongly to minimize male involvement than does negative discrimination. Men, in a sense, may not be so much pushed out of the field, as pulled into others. Even though they may not respond to it, the men in this study faced a comparative abundance of information and options about alternatives to classroom teaching of young children. This fact constitutes an indirect—yet socially acceptable—form of social pressure on the men. Although they had not yielded to this pressure yet, these men’s career plans suggest that they may do so eventually.

Achieving a better gender balance in early childhood education, then, would require larger numbers of men coping with and ignoring such distractions, at least for part of their careers. Could larger numbers of men in fact do so? The answer waits upon further research—perhaps of cross-cultural early childhood services, or of career development projects intended
to develop male interest in this field (e.g. McCandless, 1974). The current gender imbalance in early childhood education suggests that under present cultural conditions, most men respond to career distractions rather quickly—perhaps before even considering early childhood teaching seriously. The distracting process may keep the numbers of men down even though actual discriminatory incidents prove quite rare, and even though more men might succeed with teaching the young if they actually tried it, and even though female early childhood teachers may themselves prefer a better balance of the genders in the field.

Given current conditions, the career creativity of these men may be both a blessing and a curse. A blessing, because any profession presumably needs ambitious individuals who can create innovative services to serve the public. A curse, though, if the career distractions cause these same individuals to end their direct experience with children sooner than they should. If so, then the male focus on career enhancement may reduce, rather than enhance, their long-run contributions to the field. In the long run the field itself would also then lose by producing certain innovators who do not understand children well. In a sex-typed society, however, a sex-typed occupation may not be able to escape these dangers.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggested Changes</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Administrator of social service projects for the church</td>
<td>family, church members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) camp director</td>
<td>friend who is a director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) school principal</td>
<td>school administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) writing children's books</td>
<td>spouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) teaching high school or upper elementary</td>
<td>parents, school administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) administrator of special education programs</td>
<td>school administrator, friend who is a teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) making children's toys from wood</td>
<td>friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) administrator and teacher of own day care center</td>
<td>friends involved in day care movement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that #5 was reported by two teachers, and that #2 and #3 were reported by the same teacher.
Table 2: Careers Plans Described By Male Early Childhood Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Career Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teaching high school or older elementary grades (3 times)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School principal or other administration (3 times)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school counselor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early childhood curriculum consultant sponsored by the schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent or free-lance consultant to early childhood teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directing after-school, multi-purpose recreation program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing children’s books</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent political advocate for day care in the schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day care director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer camp director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment counseling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note that the first two options were reported by three different men, and the others by one each. Note, too, that some of these plans overlap with the “Suggested Changes” reported in Table 1.)