The paper considers the issues, decisions, and practices involved in evaluating the Technical Assistance Development System (TADS), a project to provide assistance to demonstration projects and start education agency grantees in the Handicapped Children's Early Education Program. Section 1 considers the focus for the evaluation in terms of its purposes (including providing ongoing feedback regarding the delivery and quality of individual technical assistance services), audiences and their information needs, the nature of the program being evaluated, and implication of the focus for the evaluation design. It is pointed out that the purposes of the evaluation made it necessary that the design include inquiry into four areas—the TADS contract, individual technical assistance services, all other services provided by TADS, and topics about which the staff wish to know more. The evaluation design is explained in another section. Considered are the evaluation questions and criteria, the inquiry approach, data collection procedures, data analysis procedures, and reporting. Finally, the organization, constraints, and supports of the evaluation are discussed. Appended materials include forms summarizing technical assistance services, needs assessment and evaluation instruments, and a TADS end of year survey form. (SW)
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TADS provides technical assistance to designated projects and states when it is requested and needed. TADS is a division of the Frank Porter Graham Child Development Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. It is located at 500 NCNB Plaza, Chapel Hill, NC 27514. Our phone number is (919) 962-2001.
What information should be gathered to evaluate technical assistance?

Who should provide the information?

How can a technical assistance agency which provides a helping service also evaluate the effectiveness of that service?

What resources are needed to conduct an evaluation of technical assistance?

These questions are examples of the types of issues confronted in designing and implementing evaluations of technical assistance (TA).

Unfortunately, when such issues surface, there is little in the literature on evaluation concepts and experiences to guide technical assistance agency staffs.

One way to begin answering these questions is for TA providers to share information on the evaluation of their services. This may include defining issues, solutions, and practices. When identified and analyzed, strengths and weaknesses, or successes and problems, can be used to crystallize concepts and develop models that may be used by the whole TA field.

It is the purpose of this paper to contribute to this process of sharing by presenting the issues, decisions, and practices which have been parts of one technical assistance agency's experience -- the Technical Assistance
Development System (TADS). The evaluation described in the following pages has been developed and used over the past five years as TADS provided assistance to demonstration projects and state education agency grantees in the Handicapped Children's Early Education Program (HCEEP).

Technical assistance agencies vary from each other in terms of client groups, purposes, and methods of providing services. Nonetheless, they are all likely to encounter program evaluation issues that are similar. To help the reader compare his or her experience with ours, the evaluation of TADS is described according to a general model for evaluation (see Figure 1).

FIGURE 1
Elements of a Program Evaluation Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOCUS</th>
<th>DESIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose (s) of the Evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluation Questions/ Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audiences and Their Information Needs</td>
<td>Inquiry Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of the Program Being Evaluated</td>
<td>Data Collection Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data Analysis Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FOCUS

An oversight or omission in much evaluation work is a clear specification of focus. To avoid the problems that an inadequate focus creates for an evaluation (e.g., the choice of inappropriate instruments, poor timing of evaluative events, etc.) and to achieve a consensus on an evaluation approach among staff members, considerable time and effort were spent in clarifying the focus for the evaluation of TADS. The results of the discussions to determine the focus, which sometimes were heated but always enlightening, are described below.

Purposes of the Evaluation

The evaluation of TADS was designed to be both formative (to improve the technical assistance system) and summative (to demonstrate its overall effectiveness or worth). Specific purposes of the evaluation were:

1. To determine if objectives for implementing the TA system as stated in its contract had been achieved;

2. To provide ongoing feedback regarding the delivery and quality of individual TA services;

3. To determine the overall effectiveness of the TA as designed and delivered by TADS; and

4. To generate new knowledge regarding the nature and processes of technical assistance.

Audiences and Their Information Needs

The purposes for the evaluation of TADS, to a large extent, grew out of the evaluation information needs of its audiences. The audiences for the evaluation were:

1. TADS staff

2. Office of Special Education (OSE) staff (OSE, TADS federal contracting agency, is part of the U.S. Education Department.)
3. Frank Porter Graham Child Development Center (FPG) staff (FPG is located at the University of North Carolina and is the administrative agency for TADS.)

4. TADS professional colleagues (e.g., other technical assistance agencies, special educators, medical personnel, and state education department personnel.)

To improve the TA system, TADS staff members needed continuous feedback regarding individual TA services and periodic feedback on the overall status and effectiveness of all services provided. To manage the TADS contract effectively, information was needed on the accomplishment of administrative objectives. Finally, staff members were interested in learning more about the process being used, so successes could be shared with other professionals and problematic processes could be changed, modified, or terminated.

OSE staff members needed information about the status of TADS activities and the accomplishments and quality of the technical assistance provided to clients. Because OSE funds several technical assistance programs, the agency also was interested in receiving any results which provided new insights about TA.

It was assumed that the leadership of FPG would be interested in the successful completion of the TADS contract and the effectiveness of the TA system. The TADS staff also believed that colleagues at FPG and other TA practitioners would be interested in new knowledge, insights, or practices discovered or developed as a result of TADS experience in providing technical assistance.

Nature of the Program Being Evaluated

TADS. The elements of a technical assistance system most directly affecting its evaluation are: (a) its goals; (b) the approach used to achieve the goals; and (c) the types of services provided (Suarez, 1980).
The overriding goal of TADS is to assist in and enhance the overall development of the HCEEP programs for which its clients (the leadership and staffs of HCEEP funded programs) are responsible. To accomplish this goal, TADS offers assistance in the early-childhood-special-education content areas in which the clients are working and in the overall organization, administration, and operation of client programs.

The attitude or philosophy toward technical assistance taken by TADS is that it should be supportive, responsive, interpersonal, and nonevaluative. TADS provides assistance to clients within the framework of a particular, systematic, yearly process focused on specific early-childhood-special-education content areas. The process itself consists of five distinct, cyclical stages: (1) program planning; (2) formal, total-program needs assessment; (3) development of an agreement between the client and TADS for work that is needed to accomplish identified TA needs; (4) delivery of the technical assistance; and (5) evaluation of the services. (See Figure 2.)
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**FIGURE 2**
The TADS Process of Technical Assistance
TADS provides a comprehensive and varied form of (a) in-field, (b) in-house, and (c) in-print services (Lillie and Black, 1975) to meet the overall goal of program development and the specific client needs identified during the needs assessment. These services are designed to create awareness, aid in program planning, enhance skills, or aid in the actual development or implementation of the program. The technical assistance services commonly offered by TADS are: on-site and off-site consultations, information searches, visits to exemplary programs, reviews and critiques of plans and products, small and large group workshops, a quarterly newsletter, and publications on topics of concern to clients.

**TADS Clients and Their TA Needs.** The primary client groups served by TADS are well defined. The funding source restricts direct TA services to: (a) three-year demonstration projects; and (b) state education agency grantees which are planning statewide comprehensive services for preschool handicapped children and their families.

The demonstration projects have similar overall purposes, and their staff members work in some of the same general areas. The states, on the other hand, share the general goal of developing and/or improving services to preschool handicapped children and their families, but they vary considerably from the projects in the efforts they undertake to accomplish this goal.

Through experience, TADS has identified the major categories in which most client needs fall. Demonstration projects most often need help in the content areas of: services for children, services for parents, staff development, demonstration/dissemination, administration/management, and program evaluation. Needs of state education agencies vary more from grantee to grantee, but they include areas such as: statewide planning, developing interagency agreements, in-service training, teacher certification, and
program evaluation. In terms of program development, both demonstration projects and states need assistance in decision-making, planning, obtaining information, developing skills and competencies, developing specific materials or procedures, and revising and refining existing materials or procedures.

Implication of the Focus for the Evaluation Design

Clarification of the focus of the evaluation provided TADS with two things that were very important. First, the clarification process itself provided a vehicle for involving staff members in the evaluation and encouraging their commitment to it. Second, clarifying the focus provided information which could be used to tailor the evaluation to TADS specific needs. By examining Figure 3, the reader can begin to see how the design needed to be shaped (on the basis of focus) to encompass and address TADS needs.

The purposes for the evaluation made it necessary that the design include inquiry into four, somewhat distinct, areas: the TADS contract, individual TA services, all other services provided by TADS, and topics (regarding the provision of technical assistance) about which the staff wished to know more.

The audiences for the evaluation and their information needs affected the type and timing of evaluation reports. The TADS staff needed ongoing, periodic, and summary (yearly) information. The funding agency required information relative to the contracts. Reporting mechanisms for providing this information (Quarterly Progress Letters) were specified in TADS contract with OSE. OSE and FPG needed the same summary information provided to the TADS staff. All audiences needed reports of special studies on technical assistance as they were developed.

The goals and philosophy of TADS suggested further considerations for
FIGURE 3

Focus of the TADS Evaluation

PURPOSES OF THE EVALUATION

1. Determine if contracted objectives were met
2. Provide ongoing feedback to the TADS staff regarding the technical assistance provided
3. Determine the overall effectiveness of TADS
4. Generate new knowledge regarding technical assistance

AUDIENCES THEIR INFORMATION NEEDS

1. TADS staff:
   Status of contract, delivery and quality of individual technical assistance services, overall effectiveness of TADS technical assistance, new knowledge regarding the delivery of technical assistance

2. Funding agency (OSE):
   Status of contract, effectiveness of TADS as a provider of technical assistance

3. Administrative agency (FPG):
   Overall effectiveness of TADS technical assistance, new knowledge regarding technical assistance

4. Other technical assistance professionals:
   New knowledge regarding technical assistance

NATURE OF THE PROGRAM BEING EVALUATED

1. TADS
   a. Goal: Enhance development of client programs
   b. Approach:
      (1) Attitude--Responsive, supportive, nonevaluative
      (2) Structure--Systematic, individualized, client-staff oriented
      (3) Types of services--In-house, in-field, in-print

2. Clients (HCHEP funded demonstration projects and State Implementation Grants)
   a. Goals: Create, develop, and/or implement model services for preschool handicapped children and their families
   b. Technical assistance needs: Specific content areas in the field of early childhood special education, program development processes and procedures
the evaluation. First, the overall goal of TADS and the focus of its services required that the evaluation concentrate on variables related to the organizational and programmatic development of the client programs. TADS philosophy required that the evaluation be nonevaluative of the client and that it not interfere with the supportive, interpersonal aspects of the technical assistance.

The structure of TADS TA process (Figure 2) permitted the evaluation to be systematic (across clients and client groups), but the individualized nature of the TA required that the evaluation design accommodate differences in the amount, type, and content of services that were delivered to individual clients. The variety of types of services provided to clients made it necessary to tailor data collection procedures to each specific service.

With regard to the clients, the evaluation needed to reflect the differences in the two primary client groups. It also had to focus on the common needs of the groups and the individual needs of the programs in each of them.

DESIGN

Even when the focus for an evaluation is specified, designing its specific content and procedures is not unlike putting together a puzzle containing an incomplete set of oddly shaped pieces. The focus provides the framework for the "puzzle." It shows how most of the pieces fit together. But there are some areas of the puzzle for which there are no pieces. These pieces can be located only after you have other parts of the puzzle put together and can see what is needed (i.e., after decisions regarding details are made and as other issues relative to evaluating technical assistance are confronted and resolved). The following discussion provides an explication
of the TADS evaluation design including the "missing pieces" and the ideas and strategies that were combined to provide the complete picture of the process.

Evaluation Questions and Criteria

On the basis of the decisions made about the focus of the evaluation, five major evaluation questions were developed. They corresponded almost directly to the purposes for the evaluation.

1. Has TADS fulfilled the provisions of its contract with the Office of Special Education? If not, why not?

2. How effective has TADS been in providing services to its clients?

3. How satisfactory have been the services provided to clients by TADS?

4. What has been the impact of TADS technical assistance on its clients?

5. What has been learned about technical assistance?

When specific planning began, additional questions were asked to sharpen the responses that could be given to the five major questions. These and other details of the evaluation design are outlined in Figure 4:

Criteria to determine if evaluation results were satisfactory were based on several considerations. First, the staff of TADS wished to complete all of the work in its contract with OSE. Therefore, all questions related to contract work had "successful completion of all work promised" as the criteria for a satisfactory answer. Provisions were made, however, for modifications in the contract which occur naturally as detailed planning is completed and the priorities of the contractee or funding agency change.

Second, data gathered prior to developing this evaluation system contained very high ratings of TADS services by both client groups. It was
### Figure 4: TADS Evaluation Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Questions</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Inquiry Approach</th>
<th>Data Collection Procedures (Provider of Information)</th>
<th>Data Analysis Procedures</th>
<th>Reporting (To the TADS Staff, OSE, Leadership of FPG or Other Professionals)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Has TADS fulfilled the provisions of its contract with the Office of Special Education? If not, why not?</td>
<td>A. Have the short-term objectives of the TADS contract been met? If not, why not?</td>
<td>A. All work completed as specified and/or modified</td>
<td>A. Gathering of documentation of work completed (TADS staff)</td>
<td>A. Comparison of work completed with objectives stated in the contract</td>
<td>A. Quarterly Progress Letters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. How effective has TADS been in providing services to its clients?</td>
<td>A. What have been the characteristics of the services delivered, i.e., number of services, type of TA, content area, TA provider, number of days, number of people involved?</td>
<td>A. Not applicable</td>
<td>A. Recording of data--regarding services delivered--on Aggregate Summary Form (TA Coordinators)</td>
<td>A. Compilation of data contained in Aggregate Summary Form</td>
<td>A. Quarterly Progress Letters and End-of-Year Evaluation Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Has TADS provided the services to clients specified in the Technical Assistance Agreements?</td>
<td>A. Discrepancy evaluation</td>
<td>B. Recording of data--regarding services delivered--on Aggregate Summary Form (TA Coordinators)</td>
<td>B. Computation of percentage of services delivered as scheduled; comparison of percentage with criteria</td>
<td>B. End-of-Year Evaluation Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Has TADS provided other specified services to clients: e.g., conferences, needs assessments, newsletters, etc.?</td>
<td>A. Discrepancy evaluation</td>
<td>C. Recording of data--regarding services delivered--on Aggregate Summary Form (TA Coordinators)</td>
<td>C. Computation of percentage of services delivered as scheduled; comparison of percentage with criteria</td>
<td>C. Quarterly Progress Letters and End-of-Year Evaluation Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 4 (1974-75)
Instructions For The Needs Assessment
(Second year projects' on site needs assessment)

The enclosed materials have been prepared to assist in the assessment of technical assistance needs for HCEEP projects who are approximately mid-way in their three-year funding cycle. The expected outcomes of this needs assessment are as follows:

1. To visit the project site; meet the staff and observe the program (if desired by the project director).
2. To review the technical assistance services provided to the project over the last six months and report results.
3. To review the project's renewal proposal and plans for the third year.
4. To identify the project's technical assistance needs (using the TOP).
5. To develop a tentative Technical Assistance Agreement.
6. To identify any strong components in the project that might be a resource for TADS in the future.

The contents of this needs assessment kit should include:

1. An information sheet on the project.
2. A description of the technical assistance the project has received during the last six months.
3. Two copies of the TOP.
5. Blank Technical Assistance Agreement forms.
6. Forms for writing the report on the needs assessment.
7. Travel reimbursement forms.

1975-76

Two major changes in the needs assessment process occurred in 1975-76. First, TADS abandoned its request that all new projects prepare a Program Plan Outline as a needs assessment prerequisite. Getting a completed program outline from the project and sending it to the needs assessor prior to his or her visit took a disproportionate amount of time; and, in many instances, the outlines were never received or were incomplete.

In place of the plan, projects were given five workguides to complete, one each to cover Administration and Management, Staff Development, Programs for Children, Programs for Parents, and Demonstration and Dissemination Components. Each workguide contained tasks which an HCEEP project should complete as its program evolved. After completing each workguide, the project filled in a checklist on the front page giving a quick summary of the status of project development in that component. (See Figure 5 for example of Administration and Management Checklist.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Questions</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Inquiry Approach</th>
<th>Data Collection Procedures (Provider of Information)</th>
<th>Data Analysis Procedures</th>
<th>Reporting (To the TADS Staff, OSE, Leadership of FPG or Other Professionals)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. What additional services not specified in the contract or technical assistance agreements has TADS provided?</td>
<td>D. Not applicable</td>
<td>D. Description</td>
<td>D. Recording of data--regarding additional services provided--on Aggregate Summary Form (TA Coordinators)</td>
<td>D. Compilation of data contained in Aggregate Summary Form</td>
<td>D. Quarterly Progress Letters and End-of-Year Evaluation Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111. How satisfactory have been the services provided to clients by TADS?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Were the direct services--i.e., workshops, on-site and off-site consultations, small group TA meetings, review and critiques, visitations, information services--perceived by clients to be of high quality?</td>
<td>A. Mean ratings of &quot;good&quot; or higher in each service delivery area</td>
<td>A. Survey research; discrepancy evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Were the indirect services--i.e., newsletter, publications, etc.--perceived to be useful to clients?</td>
<td>B. Mean ratings of &quot;good&quot; or higher in each area</td>
<td>B. Survey research; discrepancy evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Were the clients satisfied with the services delivered by TADS?</td>
<td>C. Mean ratings of &quot;good&quot; or higher in each area</td>
<td>C. Survey research; discrepancy evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
twenty people to its organization and technical assistance process and trained them in the needs assessment process: including the TOP, Program Planning Workguides, and agreement writing.

1976-77

The process developed the previous year was used again in 1976-77. The TOP was expanded to assess "Staff" as well as the three original areas (see Figure 6). All first-year projects continued to receive on-site needs assessments. Variations in needs assessment procedures were tried with second-year projects: (1) conducting needs assessments by telephone and (2) offering an Evaluation Track option.

TADS decided to try telephone needs assessments for two reasons: the large number of clients and the success of the systematic approach to a needs assessment which the Program Planning Workguides (see Figure 5) and the TOP (see Figure 6) had facilitated. Six second-year projects that were meeting their goals and objectives and maintaining a positive rapport with TADS were identified. When contacted, each agreed to have a telephone needs assessment in place of an on-site visit.

The assessment materials were mailed to each project. A time for the phone call was scheduled by the TADS staff needs assessor with the project's contact person to occur, typically, after TADS received the completed Program Planning Workguides, continuation proposal, and progress report for review. The telephone needs assessment included a discussion of the reviewed material and a joint rating of the TOP. Since this was a lengthy procedure in itself, usually another phone call was scheduled to complete the needs assessment -- confirming needs, timelines, and kinds of assistance.

The Evaluation Track, which a project could choose instead of a comprehensive needs assessment, provided a yearlong series of consultations in evaluation planning. Nine second-year projects opted for this alternative in lieu of on-site needs assessments. These projects felt their "need" priority was evaluation. There was no further assessment of needs for those who chose this option. A Technical Assistance Agreement was written with each project outlining a comprehensive, on-site delivery method with an evaluation consultant.

Third-year projects were "weaned" from TADS by having the option of attending a topical conference in Demonstration/Dissemination Activities at TADS expense. There were no needs assessments with third-year projects.

1977-78

During the spring of 1977, TADS supported an external evaluation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Questions</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Inquiry Approach</th>
<th>Data Collection Procedures (Provider of Information)</th>
<th>Data Analysis Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV. What has been the impact of TAOS technical assistance on its clients?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Has progress been made in areas targeted for technical assistance?</td>
<td>A. Significant difference (p ≤ .05) between status of needs before and after technical assistance</td>
<td>A. Survey research; discrepancy evaluation</td>
<td>A. Completion of End-of-Year Survey (clients)</td>
<td>A. Computation of correlated t-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. What were the organizational and programmatic impacts of TA?</td>
<td>B. Not applicable</td>
<td>B. Survey research; description</td>
<td>B. Completion of End-of-Year Survey (clients)</td>
<td>B. Computation of (1) percentage of clients indicating an impact; (2) means of impact for each item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Were the impacts of the technical assistance on clients positive?</td>
<td>C. 95% of impacts indicated to be positive</td>
<td>C. Survey research; discrepancy evaluation</td>
<td>C. Completion of End-of-Year Survey (clients)</td>
<td>C. Computation of percentage of responses that were positive; comparison of percentage with criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. What has been learned about technical assistance?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. May less costly strategies be used to conduct an effective TAOS needs assessment? (1979-80)</td>
<td>A. Not applicable</td>
<td>A. Input evaluation; exploratory research</td>
<td>A. Completion of Needs Assessment Evaluation Forms (clients and needs assessors)</td>
<td>A. Comparative statistical analyses, e.g., analysis of variance, chi-square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. What occurs as technical assistance is provided? (1979-82)</td>
<td>B. Not applicable</td>
<td>B. Case study; exploratory research</td>
<td>B. Observation, interviews (external consultants)</td>
<td>B. Content analysis of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. How does technical assistance change during the life of a three-year project?</td>
<td>C. Not applicable</td>
<td>C. Survey research; exploratory research</td>
<td>C. Content analysis of technical assistance provided; completion of TA Event Evaluation Forms &amp; End-of-Year Surveys (clients)</td>
<td>C. Comparative statistical analyses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reporting (To the TAOS Staff, OSE, Leadership of FPG or Other Professionals)
two third-year -- field tested the new materials. Minor modifications were made based upon the field-test experiences and the materials were prepared for use with projects.

On November 8-9, 1977, TADS held a training meeting for thirty needs assessors. Fifteen trainees were totally new to TADS needs assessment process. (See Figure 7 for agenda topics.) The purposes of the new Profile and how to use it with the projects were the primary foci of the meeting.

In mid-winter of that year, the U.S. Office of Education determined that the needs assessment forms should undergo "clearance" before their use could be required of projects. TADS immediately notified its needs assessors and clients, instructing them not to require that the forms be filled out in preparation for the assessment but to use them only for informal planning.

Since the forms-clearance process would take several months, TADS designed alternative needs assessment and technical-assistance delivery processes for second- and third-year projects. Small-group meetings on topics with which projects tended to need help were offered to all clients. They could select, via mail or telephone, two such meetings to attend at TADS expense.

These small groups each involved four to seven projects working with consultants to address areas of need. Group presentations
assumed that this phenomenon was the result of the high quality of TADS technical assistance or the natural tendency of people to rate TA types of activities quite favorably, or a combination of the two. These assumptions led to the selection of above average results as the criteria for acceptable responses by clients and TA providers regarding the quality of services delivered.

Finally, some of the questions required descriptive rather than evaluative answers. These questions were designed to obtain information that would provide the basis for interpreting other results or, in the case of the research studies, new information regarding TA. It was inappropriate to assign criteria to these questions but important that the questions themselves be included.

**Inquiry Approach**

Because of the variety of information needs, no one inquiry approach could be used to provide the data that the evaluation questions required. Each question had to be considered for its own information needs, and an appropriate inquiry approach to those needs had to be chosen.

The first decision to be made was whether the approach required evaluation or another form of inquiry. Some questions clearly demanded an evaluative response. Others, as mentioned previously, required descriptive answers. Still others, particularly those related to discovering new knowledge, called for research.

Once the basic approach was determined -- description, evaluation, or research -- it was necessary to be more explicit about the type of inquiry so that data collection and analysis procedures could be chosen. For those questions that were evaluative, several types of inquiry were indicated by the questions and their criteria. Objective-based evaluation (Gallagher,
Surles and Hayes, 1972; Popham, 1972) was used to determine the accomplishment of objectives. When meeting criteria rather than accomplishing objectives was the basis for determining results, discrepancy evaluation (Provus, 1971) -- comparison of results to a previously established standard -- was used. For one of the special studies, an input evaluation approach (Stufflebeam, et al., 1971) was selected as most appropriate.

Specifying the generic type of evaluation or research needed did not, for some questions, provide sufficient guidance for the design of data collection procedures. For them, primarily those requiring information about or from the clients, additional specification was needed. In order to obtain continuous feedback from clients regarding TA services and at the same time build a database to use for summarizing data about the total client group, a quick turn-around system of inquiry was needed. Survey research was chosen as the approach because of the speed with which information could be acquired, the systematic data it could provide, and its feasibility in terms of time and cost.

For the research studies, approaches appropriate for the specific research questions posed were used. Because there was no body of knowledge on which to base the study of TADS type of technical assistance, all of these approaches were considered exploratory.

**Data Collection Procedures**

Analysis of the information needed in order to complete the inquiries led to the development of five types of data collection procedures.

The TADS Filing System was established to provide a storage and retrieval system for the documents which related to the objectives in the contract. It consists of extensive files maintained by the Director of TADS and staff members who have specific responsibilities relative to the contract.
The **TADS Aggregate Summary Form** was developed to provide a system for recording and describing all services provided to clients. (See Appendix A.) Maintained by the technical assistance coordinators (the staff members at TADS who maintain liaison with clients and arrange TA services) responsible for technical assistance to clients, these forms provide information on: clients' needs, the type of assistance provided, the amount of assistance, the number of clients participating in the assistance, and the type of TA provider (e.g., a TADS staff member or an external consultant).

The **TA Event Evaluation Forms** are questionnaires designed to provide both systematic information about all services and unique information on specific types of technical assistance events. "TA events" include needs assessments and the specific services designed to meet individual technical assistance needs identified during those assessments. Forms have been developed for: consultations, reviews and critiques, visits to other programs, information searches, needs assessments, small group meetings, and workshop presentations. (See Appendix B for examples of each TA event form.)

These forms are sent to clients when the specific TA is provided. In the cases of the needs assessments and consultations, the consultant who provided the TA also receives a form. Responses on the form provide descriptive information about the event itself, about client and consultant perceptions of what the TA accomplished, and about the client's level of satisfaction with the technical assistance and its quality.

The **TADS End-of-Year Survey** is sent to clients at the completion of each cycle of TA (i.e., each year). It provides information regarding:

1. progress toward meeting technical assistance needs,
2. the impact of the TA on programmatic and organizational areas of the client programs, and
3. perceptions of overall satisfaction with the TA provided by TADS during
Specific forms were not developed for the case study. Instead, four questions were specified to guide the observations and interviews of the external consultants (Behr, Hawes, Vandiviere, and Suarez, 1981).

**Data Analysis Procedures**

Most of the evaluation questions required that specific types of results be compared to preestablished standards or that the data provide a description of the TA as it occurred during a given year. Consequently, data analyses were needed which summarized results of TA with the total client group. The computation of summary statistics, e.g., frequencies, percentages, means, etc., is, therefore, the first step and base for most data analysis procedures used in the evaluation. These summary statistics are then compared to the preestablished criteria.

For other questions, particularly those for additional research studies, the selection of appropriate data analysis techniques was necessarily specific to the questions posed. (Refer to Figure 4.)

**Reporting**

To meet the needs and interests of the evaluation audiences, five types of written reports are prepared. The TADS staff members responsible for technical assistance (primarily the technical assistance coordinators) receive the written feedback of clients and consultants on the TA Event Form as it is received in the TADS offices. From the ratings and comments on the forms, they determine if the TA was perceived to be successful and, then, design appropriate followup activities.

Quarterly Progress Letters are prepared to provide a description of activities undertaken and progress made toward meeting the objectives stated
in the TADS contract. Documentation to support or further describe activities is attached. These letters are sent to the TADS staff, the funding agency (OSE), and the administrative agency (FPG). They serve, for all three audiences, the purpose of providing information which can be used to manage the contract.

An End-of-Year Evaluation Report is prepared each year to provide information on TADS effectiveness in delivering technical assistance, the quality of the services delivered, and their impact on the clients and their programs. This report provides the TADS staff, OSE, and FPG with summary information regarding the organization's effectiveness. The information can be used to modify and improve future services.

Two types of reports furnish information to TADS audiences and the field at large on new knowledge about TA. They are special reports and journal articles. Special reports, i.e., reports of studies conducted to learn more about TA, are distributed to the TADS staff, OSE, FPG, and professionals in other technical assistance centers around the country. From these reports and other data gathered, journal articles are written to share the new information with a wider audience.

CONDUCTING THE EVALUATION

As the reader is aware, knowing what you would like to do and getting it done can be two different things. Organization, resources, and fiscal support have a great influence on the success of an evaluation. This is particularly true for new programs, such as technical assistance, for which there has been neither a history of or impetus for extensive evaluations. TADS has been fortunate in the way its organizational structure has evolved to support the evaluation process.
Because the primary audience for the evaluation is TADS staff members who need ongoing feedback to accomplish their tasks, it was determined that at least part of the evaluation should be conducted internally. From TADS earliest days, a position within the organization entitled "Associate Director of Evaluation" has existed. The importance given evaluation by the designation of a full-time administrative position with accompanying staff support and the organizational level at which the position was placed are noteworthy.

Organizationally, the evaluation section of TADS is one of four distinct operating units, the others being TA Services to Demonstration Projects, TA Services to States, and Publications. The Associate Director responsible for the evaluation is part of the management team, under the guidance of TADS Director, that comprises a fifth organizational component -- Administration.

One of the keys to the operation and success of the TADS evaluation is the data management system. A half-time data analyst and manager has the responsibility of coding, entering, cleaning, and analyzing all of the quantitative data gathered. The analyst works most of the time at the FPG data management center where all of the equipment, services, and expertise needed to store, retrieve, and analyze data are located. The analyst deliberately spends some time at the TADS offices. This arrangement allows the analyst to become familiar with the organization and to provide data services to members of the TADS staff other than those directly involved in the TADS evaluation.

At various times in its history, TADS has hired outside consultants and consulting agencies to review and aid in the design and implementation of its evaluation. Other efforts to obtain completely external evaluations of
the system have been proposed to the contracting agency, but not funded.

Resources for the Evaluation

As the current evaluation system has been implemented, the resources for the evaluation have increased. Currently, the resources for the evaluation of TADS include:

1. **Financial Support:** Funding within the contract provides for staff salaries, consultant and contractual services, supplies, and computer services.

2. **Personnel:** An equivalent of two full-time program positions are assigned to conduct the evaluation. They include the associate director, half of the time of a program evaluation specialist, and a half-time data analyst and manager. Other TADS staff members and, when needed, external consultants provide input into and/or review of evaluation activities or products. Clerical support is provided by the TADS secretarial staff and temporary secretarial service agencies.

3. **Facilities:** Office space, equipment, and facilities are provided through the contract by the University of North Carolina. TADS has access to the computer facilities of the Triangle Universities Computation Center through the data management office of FPG.

Constraints and Supports

In addition to resources, there are other constraints and supports which affect the evaluation of TADS.

**Constraints.** In spite of the detailed planning and resources available, there are constraints which place limits on the amount or type of evaluation that can be done.

First, most of the evaluative information gathered is client perceptions of the technical assistance. For consultations and needs assessments, perceptions of consultants are also obtained. Both perceptions of the process are valuable and should be a part of any evaluation of technical assistance. Due to cost and feasibility considerations, other perceptions are not routinely obtained -- e.g., those of all client staff members.
persons in the administration of the agency in which the client program operates, persons in the funding agency, TADS staff members, or external, objective observers. This limits not only the amount of information available, but also the spectrum of views which would provide a complete portrait of the technical assistance. It was for this reason that the case study was implemented. While all the perceptions listed above have not been obtained, many have been provided via the case descriptions of technical assistance to two demonstration projects. (See Behr, Hawes, Vandiviere, and Suarez, 1981.)

Related to this constraint is the known bias in the perceptions of most clients. TADS services are provided at no cost to the clients and in a supportive and responsive manner. Clients do not tend to respond negatively to free services arranged by nice people. The bias is recognized and steps are taken in the evaluation to minimize its effects; e.g., the positive end of rating scales has been expanded to permit greater discriminations. The presence of the bias, however, continues to affect the evaluation results that are obtained.

Finally, TADS commitment to being a non-evaluative source of assistance precludes direct evaluations of client program operations or progress. As a result, the scope of the evaluation is narrowed to client perceptions of progress made in only those areas in which technical assistance is provided. While definitely useful, it is recognized that assessment of the effects of the technical assistance is not as comprehensive as, in an ideal situation, it might be.

Supports. The strong support for the TADS evaluation by all who are involved has been extremely beneficial if not crucial. Administrative support and involvement at TADS, by underscoring the importance of evaluation, has made it easier to get the job done. Financial support from the funding
agency has permitted the expansion of evaluation efforts and the subsequent implementation of the evaluation described in this paper.

It is probably not possible to overemphasize the importance and value of a supportive staff to internal evaluation efforts. The interest and involvement of the TADS staff in the evaluation has led to the efficient and comprehensive implementation of the evaluation design and noticeable improvements in data collection procedures and reports. In addition, the staff has provided the impetus for special studies by suggesting topics, aiding in their implementation, and requesting information from the evaluation unit.

Much of the data that is gathered for the evaluation comes from TADS clients and consultants. Due in large part to the rapport established by the TADS staff with the clients and the support of clients themselves, return rates for questionnaires are uniformly high and extensive feedback is provided.

SUMMARY

Technical assistance is, by its nature, a complex process. Evaluations of the process are therefore necessarily complex and varied because of the types of technical assistance provided. It has been the intent of TADS staff members to develop an evaluation system which addresses the complexities and the specific nature of the technical assistance they provide. The system described in this paper has been a useful one. It is hoped that by describing the system, the ideas presented can be used by other technical assistance agencies in designing their specific evaluations and by the field at large in improving the overall quality of technical assistance evaluations.
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APPENDICES
AGGREGATE SUMMARY OF TA SERVICES

Project Name: ______________________________
City, State: _________________________________
ID Number: _________________________________
TA Coordinator: _____________________________

**Type of Service Code**
1. On-Site Consultation
2. Visitation
3. Information Service
4. Review and Critique
5. Additional Technical Assistance
6. Topical Conference
7. Newsletter
8. Publication
9. Small Group Consultation
10. Off-site Consultation
11. Needs Assessment
12. Orientation Conference
13. Conference/Workshop Presentation
14. Other

**Content Area Code**
1. Services for Children
2. Parent Involvement
3. Staff Development
4. Demonstration and Dissemination
5. Evaluation
6. Administration and Management
7. All Areas

**TA Provider Code**
1. TADS Staff
2. TADS Consultant
3. NASDSE
4. TADS/NASDSE
5. NASDSE/Consultant
6. TADS/Consultant
7. TADS/NASDSE/Consultant
8. TADS/WESTAR
9. TADS/WESTAR/BEH
10. TADS/NASDSE/BEH
11. TADS/BEH
12. Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL NUMBER OF TA NEEDS IDENTIFIED BY CONTENT AREA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Quarter (Oct. 1 - Dec. 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Services for the Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second Quarter (Dec. 8 - Mar. 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Services for the Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Third Quarter (Mar. 8 - June 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Services for the Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fourth Quarter (June 8 - Sept. 30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Services for the Quarter
FIGURE 15
Sample Profile Summary

HCEEP DEMONSTRATION PROJECT PROFILE

Summary Page

Directions: (1) After completing the entire HCEEP Demonstration Project Profile: A Needs Assessment Instrument, CIRCLE the numbers of each task in each program component that you indicated a desire for change in status during the current funding year.

(2) Place a "X" through any circled task number that you indicated a need for "outside" or technical assistance.

(3) Example: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Development Phases</th>
<th>Conceptualization</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Administration and Management Component</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
<td>34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41</td>
<td>59 60 61 62 63 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>9 10 11</td>
<td>42 43 44</td>
<td>65 66 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Arrangements</td>
<td>12 13 14</td>
<td>45 46</td>
<td>68 69 70 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Administration</td>
<td>15 16 17</td>
<td>47 48 49 50 51</td>
<td>72 73 74 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Planning</td>
<td>18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26</td>
<td>52 53</td>
<td>76 77 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records and Reports</td>
<td>27 28</td>
<td>54 55</td>
<td>79 80 81 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Boards</td>
<td>29 30 31</td>
<td>56 57 58</td>
<td>83 84 85 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination with Other Agencies</td>
<td>32 33</td>
<td>59 60</td>
<td>87 88 89 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Services to Children Component</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12</td>
<td>10 14 23 24 25 26 27</td>
<td>91 92 93 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Services to Parents Component</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</td>
<td>11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19</td>
<td>95 96 97 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV Staff Development Component</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>13 14 15 16 17 18</td>
<td>99 100 101 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V Demonstration, Dissemination and Continuation Component</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12</td>
<td>15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29</td>
<td>103 104 105 106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Work Sheets. Each technical assistance need is recorded on a Work Sheet (Figure 16). The focus of the technical assistance, the people who will be direct recipients of the assistance, and the date by which the assistance is to be completed are all included on this form. Then this information is used to write a Technical Assistance Objective. Finally, a delivery method that addresses the need efficiently and effectively is chosen.

Sequence-of-Technical-Assistance Activities (Figure 17). For each delivery method listed on the Work Sheet, a Sequence form has been developed.
## AGGREGATE SUMMARY OF TA SERVICES

### TOTAL NUMBER OF TA NEEDS IDENTIFIED BY CONTENT AREA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Development/Management</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Personnel Training</th>
<th>Interagency</th>
<th>Comm./Dissem.</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Type of Service Code

1. On-Site Consultation
2. Visitation
3. Information Service
4. Review and Critique
5. Additional Technical Assistance
6. Topical Conference
7. Newsletter
8. Publication
9. Small Group Consultation
10. Off-site Consultation
11. Needs Assessment
12. Orientation Conference
13. Conference/Workshop Presentation
14. Other

### Content Area Code

1. Program Development/Management
2. Evaluation
3. Personnel Training
4. Interagency
5. Communication/Dissemination
6. All Areas

### TA Provider Code

1. TADS Staff
2. TADS Consultant
3. NASDSE
4. TADS/NASDSE
5. NASDSE/Consultant
6. TADS/Consultant
7. TADS/NASDSE/Consultant
8. TADS/WEistar
9. TADS/WEistar/BEH
10. TADS/NASDSE/BEH
11. TADS/BEH
12. Other

### First Quarter (Oct. 1 - Dec. 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>TAA No.</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Content Area</th>
<th>No. of Clients</th>
<th>Direct Service</th>
<th>TA Provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Services for the Quarter

### Second Quarter (Dec. 8 - Mar. 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>TAA No.</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Content Area</th>
<th>No. of Clients</th>
<th>Direct Service</th>
<th>TA Provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Services for the Quarter

### Third Quarter (Mar. 8 - June 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>TAA No.</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Content Area</th>
<th>No. of Clients</th>
<th>Direct Service</th>
<th>TA Provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Services for the Quarter

### Fourth Quarter (June 8 - Sept. 30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>TAA No.</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Content Area</th>
<th>No. of Clients</th>
<th>Direct Service</th>
<th>TA Provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Services for the Quarter
FIGURE 17  
Sequence of Technical Assistance Activities  
Sample

SEQUENCE OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE ACTIVITIES  
FOR  
ON-SITE CONSULTATIONS

VII: Sequence of Activities: The primary purpose for this form is to indicate the dates of the activities that both the project staff and TADS need to accomplish in order to address the technical assistance objective and need that are associated with this activity sequence. If more than one objective is listed for an individual need, there should be separate activities indicated for each objective. See Section III of the manual for a more detailed discussion of how to use this form. (*The first step should occur at least two to three weeks after completion of the needs assessment.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEPS</th>
<th>ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>By <strong>November 17, 19</strong> (date), <em>(date)</em> the project will complete and send to TADS a Consultation Preparation Form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>By <strong>December 1, 19</strong> (date), <em>(date)</em> TADS will identify and confirm with the project the consultant(s). TADS will support the consultant’s services for up to <strong>15</strong> days and <strong>19</strong> visits to the project. <em>(Specify the number of consultant days and visits.)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>By <strong>December 18, 19</strong> (date), <em>(date)</em> TADS and the project will confirm the dates of the consultant’s visit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>By <strong>January 11, 19</strong> (as requested by the project) <em>(date)</em> the consultant will visit the project for <strong>15</strong> days to provide training on the Us girls and Hunt Sales through demonstration and observation of the project staff <em>(Please specify number of days of consultant’s first visit and the specific tasks to be addressed, use the back of this form if more space is needed.)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>By <strong>January 25, 19</strong> (date), <em>(date)</em> the consultant will send to the project and a copy to TADS a summary report on the visit including recommendations for follow-up activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>By <strong>January 26, 19</strong> (2 weeks after Step 4) <em>(date)</em> the designated staff will send TADS a completed Evaluation of Consultation report form.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Since these steps represent the minimal preparation and follow-up activities, you are encouraged to build in other activities that will help both you and TADS to address the need and objective. Please use the below space and the reverse of this page. Be sure to date the activity and fit it into the sequence above by re-numbering the steps.

Step 7. By

VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS, QUALIFICATIONS, AND OTHER INFORMATION: Please list below any specific qualifications the consultant should have and/or requested consultants for this activity.  
The consultant should have experience in administering, adapting, and interpreting the Us girls and Hunt Sales for very young at-risk and/or mildly to moderately handicapped infants.
APPENDIX B

City, State
Project ID
Dates of Needs Assessment
Needs Assessor
Type of Needs Assessment  On-site

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM

PROJECT EVALUATION OF NEEDS ASSESSMENT

This questionnaire is designed to gather information regarding the TADS' needs assessment conducted with your project. The items are designed to provide TADS with information regarding the needs assessment procedure and your opinions regarding its quality and usefulness. The information provided by all projects will be used to determine the effectiveness of our needs assessments. YOUR RESPONSES TO ITEMS WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL and will be reported only in combination with information gathered from other projects. We would appreciate your most honest and objective opinions. THANK YOU.

I. THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT: Listed below is a set of statements of criteria for TADS' needs assessment. Please rate the extent to which you believe the needs assessment of your project met the stated criteria by circling the appropriate number. For question 6, please follow the instructions given with the item:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stated Criterion</th>
<th>Not Met</th>
<th>Met Partially</th>
<th>Met Completely</th>
<th>Exceeded Stated Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The needs assessment provided a comprehensive review of the project.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The needs of the project, those requiring and not requiring technical assistance, were identified.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. A list of clearly specified needs to be addressed by technical assistance was developed.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Technical assistance activities for the needs described in item 3 above were identified.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Roles and responsibilities for the project staff and TADS in planning and carrying out technical assistance were clarified.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. In addition to these criteria, were there other accomplishments of the needs assessment process? (please check)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, please describe:

TADS, UNC-Chapel Hill, 1981
11. THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT MANUAL: Please rate the quality of the needs assessment manual by circling the appropriate number for each of the following items. Place any comments you may have regarding the manual in the section labeled "comments."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Clarity, i.e., extent to which contents are clear, understandable, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Completeness, i.e., extent to which information needed to complete the needs assessment is included, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Format, i.e., extent to which organization, format of the manual makes it easy to use, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Usefulness, i.e., extent to which the contents of the manual are of use in planning and participating in the needs assessment.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Quality, i.e., overall quality including freedom from errors, misleading information, writing style, etc.</td>
<td>Not at All</td>
<td>Somewhat</td>
<td>Rather Completely</td>
<td>Precisely</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. To what extent were the procedures outlined in the manual followed during your needs assessment?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Comments: ____________________________________________________________


APPENDIX B

III. THE NEEDS ASSESSOR: Please rate the following characteristics of the needs assessor by circling your response on each of the following items. Place any comments regarding the needs assessor in the section labeled "Comments."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Preparedness, i.e., familiarity with the project's proposal and other relevant materials sent to him/her, familiarity with the Needs Assessment process and materials, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expertise, i.e., knowledge of HCEEP project operation, ability to assist in the identification of needs, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Organization/Management Skills, i.e., leadership ability, attention to task, summarization skills, priority setting skills, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Flexibility, i.e., ability to adjust schedules, focus, etc. to meet project needs, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Interpersonal Skills, i.e., ability to relate well to the staff, supportiveness, open, non-threatening approach, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. THE OVERALL NEEDS ASSESSMENT PROCESS: Please rate the total needs assessment process by circling your response to each of the following items. Please answer the questions and provide comments, where appropriate, in the "General Comments" section. Please note in your comments any particular strengths or weaknesses of the process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Satisfacation with Needs Assessment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Extent to which the needs assessment experience met your staff's expectations.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The usefulness/applicability of the needs assessment to your project.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The quality of the overall needs assessment process.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Your overall satisfaction with the total needs assessment experience.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. General Comments: 


THANK YOU.
This questionnaire is designed to gather your opinions concerning the needs assessment conducted by TAOS with your SIG. We will use the information you provide to determine the effectiveness of our needs assessments and improve those that we may conduct in the future. We appreciate your most honest and objective opinions. THANK YOU.

INSTRUCTIONS: It would be most helpful to us if the ratings and comments on this form reflect the summary opinions of all staff members who participated in the needs assessment. We would appreciate your explanations regarding any negative opinions expressed. Please place these and other comments you would like to make in Section III at the end of the form.

I. THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT: Please rate the extent to which the following items were accomplished during the needs assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Not Accomplished</th>
<th>Accomplished Adequately</th>
<th>Accomplished Very Well</th>
<th>Accomplished Exceptionally Well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. THE NEEDS ASSESSOR: Please circle your response to the quality of the needs assessor on each of the following items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Preparedness, i.e., familiarity with the SIG proposal and other relevant materials sent to him/her; familiarity with the needs assessment process and materials, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expertise, i.e., knowledge of SIG grant operation, knowledge of early childhood/special education, ability to assist in the identification of needs, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Organization/Management Skills, i.e., leadership ability, attention to task, summarization skills, priority setting skills, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Flexibility, i.e., ability to adjust schedules, focus, etc. to meet SIG needs, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Interpersonal Skills, i.e., ability to relate well to the staff, supportiveness, open, non-threatening approach, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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III. OVERALL OPINIONS: Please consider the total needs assessment in responding to these questions.

1. What was your overall satisfaction with the needs assessment? (Please circle)

   Not at all Satisfied Extremely Satisfied Exceptionally Satisfied
   1 2 3 4 5

2. What were the most useful aspects of this needs assessment for your SIG? (Please list)

3. What would you recommend changing or adding to the needs assessment to improve the process? (Please list)

4. Other Comments:

   

THANK YOU.
APPENDIX B

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM
NEEDS ASSESSOR EVALUATION OF ON-SITE NEEDS ASSESSMENTS

PURPOSE: This questionnaire is designed to gather information regarding the TADS' needs assessment you recently conducted with the project listed above. The information that you provide will be combined with that of other needs assessors to determine the effectiveness of our efforts. We would appreciate your most honest and objective opinions. THANK YOU.

I. TIME EXPENDED: Please provide the information requested in each blank.

1. How much time did you spend on the needs assessment?
   
   Hours
   
   In preparation
   
   On-site conducting the needs assessment
   
   In follow-up
   
   In travel

II. THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT: Listed below is a set of statements of criteria for a TADS' needs assessment. Please rate the extent to which you believe the needs assessment you conducted met the stated criteria by circling the appropriate number. For question 6, please follow the instructions given with the item.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not Met</th>
<th>Met Partially</th>
<th>Met Completely</th>
<th>Exceeded Stated Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The needs assessment provided a comprehensive review of the project.
2. The needs of the project, those requiring and not requiring technical assistance, were identified.
3. A list of clearly specified needs to be addressed by technical assistance was developed.
4. Technical assistance activities for the needs described in item 3 above were identified.
5. Roles and responsibilities for the project staff and TADS in planning and carrying out technical assistance were clarified.

TAOS, UNC-Chapel Hill, 1981
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6. In addition to these criteria, were there other accomplishments of the needs assessment process? (Please check.)

Yes  No

If yes, please describe:

III. THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT MANUAL: Please rate the quality of the needs assessment manual by circling the appropriate number for each of the following items. Place any comments you may have regarding the manual in the section labeled "comments."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Clarity, i.e., extent to which contents are clear, understandable, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Completeness, i.e., extent to which information needed to complete the needs assessment is included, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Format, i.e., extent to which organization, format of the manual makes it easy to use, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Usefulness, i.e., extent to which the contents of the manual are of use in planning and conducting the needs assessment.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Quality, i.e., overall quality including freedom from errors and misleading information, writing style, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not at All  Somewhat  Rather Completely  Precisely

6. To what extent were the procedures outlined in the manual followed during this needs assessment?

7. Comments:

---

SEE NEXT PAGE
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IV. THE PROJECT: Please rate the following characteristics of the project by circling the response which reflects your opinion of the extent to which the characteristic was evident.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Not Evident</th>
<th>Somewhat Evident</th>
<th>Quite Evident</th>
<th>Per-vasive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Understanding of the needs assessment process, i.e., familiarity with TADS and the purpose of the needs assessment, familiarity with needs assessment materials, the activities comprising the process, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Preparedness, i.e., all needed staff in attendance, calendars cleared, necessary materials at hand, all appropriate staff oriented to needs assessment procedures, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Openness, i.e., willingness to share information, willingness to consider new ideas, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Leadership Support, i.e., provision of adequate resources, facilities, etc., support of the needs assessment, involvement in the needs assessment, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. General Comments: Please list here any comments that you wish to make regarding the needs assessment. Please note in your comments any particular strengths or weaknesses of the process.

THANK YOU.
APPENDIX B

PROJECT/SIG

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM

Demonstration Project/SIG Evaluation of Consultation

PURPOSE: We would appreciate information from you and your staff concerning the recent TADS-sponsored consultation, which is described below. This form includes a series of questions and ratings which are designed to monitor and evaluate our work. Your feedback will be combined with information from other projects and SIGs to determine our current effectiveness and improve future consultations. It also will be shared with the consultant who worked with you. Your comments will otherwise remain confidential.

We would appreciate your honest and objective opinions. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME AND THOUGHTFULNESS.

Technical Assistance Need No.: ____________________________________________

Technical Assistance Objective No.: ____________________________________________

Consultant: ____________________________________________ Dates: ____________________

Instructions: Please rate, check or list your responses regarding the consultation on the following items. We would also welcome additional comments regarding aspects of the consultation or TADS’ procedures in arranging the consultation which were important to you and your project/SIG.

1. QUALITY OF THE CONSULTATION

A. The Consultant (Please circle your response)

1. Preparedness, i.e., familiarity with the project/SIG proposal and other relevant materials sent to him/her, familiarity with your technical assistance need, etc.

2. Expertise, i.e., knowledge of his/her content area, knowledge of other resources in the area, etc.

3. Organization/Management Skills, i.e., leadership ability, attention to the task specified above, summarization skills, priority setting skills, etc.

4. Flexibility, i.e., ability to adjust schedules, focus, etc. to meet project/SIG needs, etc.

5. Interpersonal Skills, i.e., ability to relate well to the staff or colleagues, supportiveness, open, non-threatening approach, etc.

*State Implementation Grant
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A. The Consultant (Cont'd):

6. Would you recommend the consultant who worked with you to other projects/SIGs with a need similar to yours? (Please check)  
   No   Yes, with reservations   Yes

If No or Yes, with reservations, please explain:

B. Satisfaction with Consultation:
   (Please circle your response)

I. Extent to which the consultation met your staff's expectations.
   Unsatisfactory   Below Average   Average   Good   Excellent
   1   2   3   4   5   6

2. The usefulness/applicability of the consultation to your staff and project/SIG.
   Unsatisfactory   Below Average   Average   Good   Excellent
   1   2   3   4   5   6

3. The quality of the overall consultation.
   Unsatisfactory   Below Average   Average   Good   Excellent
   1   2   3   4   5   6

4. Your overall satisfaction with the consultation.
   Unsatisfactory   Below Average   Average   Good   Excellent
   1   2   3   4   5   6

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE CONSULTATION ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

A. What were the major accomplishments of the consultation? (Please list)

1. 

2. 

3. 

B. Does your project/SIG still have a need for technical assistance in this area? (Please check)
   No   Yes

If Yes, please describe:

SEE NEXT PAGE
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III. COMMENTS.

A. Were there some particularly strong and/or useful aspects of this consultation? (Please check) __  Yes __ No

If Yes, please describe:

_________________________ _______________________

_________________________ _______________________

_________________________ _______________________


B. Were there some weak or nonuseful aspects of this consultation? (Please check) __  Yes __ No

If Yes, please describe:

_________________________ _______________________

_________________________ _______________________

_________________________ _______________________

C. General Comments: Please list here any additional comments that you wish to make regarding the consultation.

_________________________ _______________________

_________________________ _______________________

_________________________ _______________________

Person completing form: _______________________

(Name)

(Staff Position)

Return to TAOS
500 NCNB Plaza
Chapel Hill, N. C. 27514

THANK YOU.
CONSULTANT

APPENDIX B

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM

Consultant Evaluation of Consultation

PURPOSE: We would appreciate information from you concerning the recent TADS-sponsored consultation which is described below. This form includes a series of questions and ratings which are designed to monitor and evaluate our work. Your feedback will be combined with information from other consultants to determine our current effectiveness and improve future consultations. We would appreciate your honest and objective opinions. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME AND THOUGHTFULNESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Assistance Need No.</th>
<th>Technical Assistance Objective No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultant: ___________________________ Dates: __________________

Instructions: Please complete the following regarding your work with the project/SIG. If your answers are contained in your report to the project/SIG, please reference the report in the appropriate space and attach the portions of the report containing your response.

I. THE CONSULTATION

A. How much time did you spend on the consultation? (Please list) No. of Hours

   - In preparation
   - On-site or with project/SIG representative(s) conducting the consultation
   - In follow-up
   - In travel (HOT applicable to off-site consultations)

B. How many project/SIG related persons were involved in the consultation? (Please list) No. of Persons

   - 50% of the time or more
   - Less than 50% of the time
   - Total

*State Implementation Grant
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C. Briefly describe the consultation, i.e., major content areas covered, major activities, etc. Also include any major activities which were unrelated to the need and objectives listed on the first page.

II. THE PROJECT/SIG. Please rate the following characteristics of the project/SIG by circling the response which reflects your opinion of the extent to which the characteristic was evident.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Never Evident</th>
<th>Sometimes Evident</th>
<th>Usually Evident</th>
<th>Always Evident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Understanding of the purpose of the consultation, i.e., familiarity with TABS and the purpose of the consultation, knowledge of the activities to be conducted during the consultation, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Preparedness, i.e., all needed staff in attendance, calendars cleared, necessary materials at hand, all appropriate staff oriented to purpose of consultation, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Openness, i.e., willingness to share information, willingness to consider new ideas, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Leadership Support, i.e., provision of adequate resources, facilities, etc., support of the consultation, involvement in the consultation, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THE CONSULTATION.

A. What were the major accomplishments of the consultation? (Please list)

1. 

2. 

3. 

SEE NEXT PAGE
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B. Does the project/SIG still have technical assistance needs in this area?  
(Please check)  
No  Yes  
If Yes, please describe:  


IV. COMMENTS. Please provide your opinions regarding the consultation by answering the following questions.

A. Were there, in your opinion, some particularly strong and/or useful aspects of this consultation?  
(Please check)  
Yes  No  
If Yes, please describe:  


B. Were there some weak or nonuseful aspects of this consultation?  
(Please check)  
Yes  No  
If Yes, please describe:  


C. General Comments: Please list here any additional comments that you wish to make regarding the consultation.  


Return to: TADS  
500 NCNB Plaza  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514  

THANK YOU.
APPENDIX B

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM
Demonstration Project/SIG Evaluation of Information Service

PURPOSE: We would appreciate information from you and your staff concerning the recent TADS-sponsored information service which is described below. This form includes a series of questions and ratings which are designed to monitor and evaluate our work. Your feedback will be combined with information from other projects/SIGs to determine our current effectiveness and improve future technical assistance. It also will be shared with the person who provided the service. Your comments will otherwise remain confidential.

We would appreciate your honest and objective opinions. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME AND THOUGHTFULNESS.

Technical Assistance Need No. 

Technical Assistance Objective No. 

Consultant: __________________________ Dates: __________________________

Instructions: Please rate, check, or list your response to the quality of the technical assistance on each of the following items. We would also welcome additional comments regarding aspects of the information service or TADS' procedures for arranging the information service which were important to you and your project/SIG.

I. QUALITY OF THE INFORMATION SERVICE. (Please circle your response)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Extent to which the information prepared and sent by TADS met your staff's expectations.

B. The usefulness/applicability of the information to your project/SIG.

C. The quality of the overall information service.

D. Your overall satisfaction with the information service.
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II. DESCRIPTION OF THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

A. What are/will be the major project/SIG accomplishments as a result of this information service?

1. 

2. 

3. 


B. Does your project/SIG still have a need for technical assistance in this area? (Please check) 

   No ___ Yes ___

   If Yes, please describe:

   

   

III. COMMENTS.

A. Were there some particularly strong and/or useful aspects of this information service? (Please check) 

   Yes ___ No ___

   If Yes, please describe:

   

   

B. Were there some weak or nonuseful aspects of this information service? (Please check) 

   Yes ___ No ___

   If Yes, please describe:

   

   

SEE NEXT PAGE
C. General Comments: Please list here any additional comments that you wish to make regarding the information service.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Person completing form: ___________________________ (Name)

_________________________ (Staff Position)

Return to TADS
500, NCNB Plaza
Chapel Hill, N. C. 27514

THANK YOU.
PURPOSE: We would appreciate information from you and your staff concerning the recent TADS-sponsored review and critique which is described below. This form includes a series of questions and ratings which are designed to monitor and evaluate our work. Your feedback will be combined with information from other projects/SIGs to determine our current effectiveness and improve future assistance. It also will be shared with the consultant who provided your review. Your comments will otherwise remain confidential.

We would appreciate your honest and objective opinions. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME AND THOUGHTFULNESS.

Technical Assistance Need No.: 

Technical Assistance Objective No.: 

Consultant: Date: 

INSTRUCTIONS: Please rate, check, or list your responses to the quality of the technical assistance on each of the following items. We would also welcome additional comments regarding aspects of the review/critique or TADS procedures for arranging the review/critique which were important to you and your project/SIG.

1. QUALITY OF THE REVIEW/CRTIQUE. (Please circle your response)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Extent to which the review/critique met your staff's expectations.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. The usefulness/applicability of the review/critique to your project/SIG.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. The quality of the overall review/critique.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Your overall satisfaction with the review/critique.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

11. DESCRIPTION OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

A. What are/will be the major project/SIG accomplishments as a result of this review/critique? (Please list)

1. 

2. 

3. 

B. Does your project/SIG still have a need for technical assistance in this area? (Please check)  

   - Yes  
   - No  

If Yes, please describe:

   

III. COMMENTS.

A. Were there some particularly strong and/or useful aspects of the review/critique? (Please check)  

   - Yes  
   - No  

If Yes, please describe:

   

B. Were there some weak or nonuseful aspects of the review/critique? (Please check)  

   - Yes  
   - No  

If Yes, please describe:

   

SEE NEXT PAGE
C. General Comments: Please list here any additional comments that you wish to make regarding the review/critique.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Person completing form: ________________________________

(Name) ______________________________________________

(Staff Position) ______________________________________

Return to TADS
500 NCHB Plaza
Chapel Hill, N. C. 27514

THANK YOU.
APPENDIX B

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM

Administration Project/SIG® Evaluation of Visitation

PURPOSE: We would appreciate information from you and your staff concerning the recent TADS-sponsored visitation which is described below. This form includes a series of questions and ratings which are designed to monitor and evaluate our work. Your feedback will be combined with information from other projects/SIGs to determine our current effectiveness and improve future visitations. It also will be shared with the site you visited. Your comments will otherwise remain confidential.

We would appreciate your honest and objective opinions. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME AND
THOUGHTFULNESS.

Technical Assistance Need No.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Assistance Objective No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Visitation Site: ____________________  Dates: ____________________

Instructions: Please rate, check, or list your responses regarding the visitation on the following items. For those items regarding the visitation site that were not applicable to your visit, please circle NA.

We would also welcome additional comments regarding aspects of the visitation or TAOS' procedures in arranging the visitation that were important to you and your project/SIG.

I. QUALITY OF THE VISITATION.

A. The Visitation Site (Please circle your response)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Evidence of pre-planning for your visit at the site.
2. Appropriateness of activities scheduled.
3. Access to appropriate staff.
5. Opportunity for review of written materials.
6. Quality of training in a practice or procedure.
7. Would you recommend this visitation site to other projects/SIGs with needs similar to yours? (Please check)

No [ ] Yes, with reservations [ ] Yes [ ]

If No or Yes, with reservations, please explain: ____________________
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B. Satisfaction with the Visitation (Please circle your response)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Extent to which the visitation met your expectations.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The usefulness/applicability of the visitation to your project/SIG.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The quality of the visitation.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Your overall satisfaction with the visitation.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE VISITATION ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

A. What were the major accomplishments of the visitation? (Please list).

1. 

2. 

3. 

B. Does your project/SIG still have a need for technical assistance in this area? (Please check)

No ___  Yes ___

If Yes, please describe:

SEE NEXT PAGE
III. COMMENTS.

A. Were there some particularly strong and/or useful aspects of this visitation? (Please check)  
   Yes  No

If Yes, please describe:

____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________

B. Were there some weak or nonuseful aspects of this visitation? (Please check)  
   Yes  No

If Yes, please describe:

____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________

C. General Comments: Please list here any additional comments that you wish to make regarding the visitation.

____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________

Person completing form: ____________________________ (Name)

__________________________ (Staff Position)

Return to TADS
500 NCB Plaza,
Chapel Hill, N. C. 27514

THANK YOU.
PURPOSE: We would appreciate information from you and your staff concerning the recent TAOS-sponsored presentation which is described below. This form includes a series of questions and ratings which are designed to monitor and evaluate our work. Your feedback will be combined with information from other projects and SIGs to determine our current effectiveness and improve future presentations. It also will be shared with the presenter who worked with you. Your comments will otherwise remain confidential.

We would appreciate your honest and objective opinions. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME AND THOUGHTFULNESS.

Technical Assistance Need No. ____________________________

__________________________________________________________

Technical Assistance Objective No. __________________________

__________________________________________________________

Presenter: ____________________________________________ Date: __________________________

Instructions: Please rate, check or list your responses regarding the presentation on the following items. We would also welcome additional comments regarding aspects of the presentation or TAOS' procedures in arranging it which were important to you and your project/SIG.

I. QUALITY OF THE PRESENTATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. The Presenter</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Please circle your response)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Preparedness, i.e., familiarity with your technical assistance need, availability of appropriate materials, media, etc., evidence of organization and planning of the presentation, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Content-area Expertise, i.e., knowledge of his/her content area, the material presented, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Presentation Skill and Style, i.e., presentation of topic in a clear and interesting way, maintenance of audience contact and involvement, flexibility, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Would you recommend the presenter who worked with you to other projects/SIGs with a need similar to yours? (Please check)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, with reservations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If No or Yes, with reservations, please explain: _________________________________________________________________

OVER
APPENDIX B

B. Satisfaction with Presentation:
(Please circle your response)

1. Extent to which the presentation met your staff's expectations
   1  2  3  4  5  6

2. The usefulness/applicability of the presentation to the audience
   1  2  3  4  5  6

3. The quality of the overall presentation
   1  2  3  4  5  6

4. Your overall satisfaction with the presentation
   1  2  3  4  5  6

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE PRESENTATION ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

A. What were the major accomplishments of the presentation? (Please list)

1. 
   
2. 
   
3. 
   

B. Does your project/SIG still have a need for technical assistance in this area? (Please check)
   No  Yes

   If Yes, please describe:
   
   
   

SEE NEXT PAGE
III. COMMENTS

A. Were there some particularly strong and/or useful aspects of this presentation? (Please check)  
   Yes  No
   If Yes, please describe:

B. Were there some weak or nonuseful aspects of this presentation? (Please check)
   Yes  No
   If Yes, please describe:

C. General Comments: Please list here any additional comments that you wish to make regarding the presentation.

Person completing form: ____________________________ (Name)

__________________________ (Staff Position)

Return to: TADS
500 NCNB Plaza
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

THANK YOU.
APPENDIX B

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM
Demonstration Project/SIG Evaluation of Small Group Technical Assistance (TA)

PURPOSE: We would appreciate information from you and your staff concerning the recent TADS-sponsored small group meeting which is described below. This form includes a series of questions and ratings which are designed to monitor and evaluate our work. Your feedback will be combined with information from other projects and SIGs to determine our current effectiveness and improve future small group TA. Your comments will otherwise remain confidential.

We would appreciate your honest and objective opinions. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME AND THOUGHTFULNESS.

Technical Assistance Need No. ____________________________

Technical Assistance Objective No. ____________________________

Topic: ____________________________  Dates: ____________________________

Instructions: Please rate, check or list your responses regarding the small group TA on the following items. We would also welcome additional comments regarding aspects of the meeting or TADS' procedures in arranging the meeting which were important to you and your project/SIG.

1. QUALITY OF THE SMALL GROUP TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.

A. The Small Group Technical Assistance
(Please circle your response)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hostile</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Group presentations and/or discussions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Work for a specific time with an individual consultant (if applicable)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sharing of ideas, concerns, etc., with other project/SIG personnel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Organization of meeting</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Materials/handouts</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Location/facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*State Implementation Grant
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I. Satisfaction with the Small Group Technical Assistance: 
(Please circle your response)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Extent to which the meeting met your expectations</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. The usefulness/applicability of the meeting to you and your project/SIG</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. The quality of the overall meeting</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Your overall satisfaction with the meeting</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE SMALL GROUP TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

A. What were the major accomplishments of the small group TA? (Please list)

1. 

2. 

3. 

B. Does your project/SIG still have a need for technical assistance in this area? (Please check)

   No ____  Yes ____

   If yes, please describe:

   

SEE NEXT PAGE
III. COMMENTS.

A. Were there some particularly strong and/or useful aspects of this small group TAT? (Please check)  
   Yes  No
   If Yes, please describe:

B. Were there some weak or nonuseful aspects of this small group TAT? (Please check)  
   Yes  No
   If Yes, please describe:

C. General Comments: Please list here any additional comments that you wish to make regarding the meeting, i.e., organization, procedures, topics covered, consultants, etc.

Person completing form: ___________________________ (Name)

(Staff Position)

Return to Meeting Convener
OR
TADS
500 NCNB Plaza
Chapel Hill, N. C. 27514

THANK YOU.
APPENDIX C

TADS
End of Year Survey

PURPOSE: The TADS End of Year Survey is designed to determine the overall effectiveness of the technical assistance delivered to HCEED Demonstration Projects and State Implementation Grantees (SIGs) during a calendar year. To accomplish this, the survey is structured to gather information in three areas:

1. The effects of TADS' assistance on the technical assistance needs which were identified for each program during the year;
2. The impacts of TADS' technical assistance on each program; and
3. The program staff's satisfaction with the technical assistance received from TADS.

USE: The results of the survey will be analyzed with other information provided during the year to determine the overall effectiveness of TADS. The information will be used to improve TADS' services and report on its effectiveness to those audiences which are interested and invested in the results.

CONFIDENTIALITY: As is TADS' standard policy, the responses to this survey will be kept strictly confidential and reported only in grouped or anonymous individual formats. Your most objective and candid assessments of the assistance that TADS has provided to your program will be appreciated.

INSTRUCTIONS: Instructions for completing the survey are given at the beginning of each of the three sections.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

Technical Assistance Development System
500 NCNB Plaza
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514
June 1981
APPENDIX C

Technical Assistance Development System
END OF YEAR SURVEY

ID No.__________________________

1. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE NEEDS AND SERVICES PROVIDED. Listed below are a series of questions concerning the technical assistance needs which were identified with your project/SIG* and which are contained in your memorandum of agreement with TADS. Before each set of questions is a statement of the technical assistance need, a brief description of the services which were scheduled to meet the need, and the dates of the services. Please review this information carefully before answering the questions which follow.

NEED No. | Identified TA Need | Type of Service/Provider | Dates
--- | --- | --- | ---

1. Did the scope and/or direction of the task described in this need change during the year? (please check)
   (1)_____YES (2)_____NO
   If YES, please restate the need as it was changed: ASSISTANCE IN

2. What was the status of the task described in the originally stated or, if changed, restated need at the beginning of the year? (please check)
   (1)_____HAD NOT YET BEGUN TO PLAN WORK ON THIS TASK
   (2)_____HAD CONCEPTUALIZED AND/OR PLANNED THE WORK TO BE DONE
   (3)_____HAD beguN TO IMPLEMENT SOME OF THE ACTIVITIES
   (4)_____HAD IMPLEMENTED MOST OF THE ACTIVITIES
   (5)_____HAD COMPLETED DESIRED WORK ON THIS TASK

3. What is the status of the task described in the need now? (please check)
   (1)_____HAVE NOT YET BEGUN TO PLAN WORK ON THIS TASK
   (2)_____HAVE CONCEPTUALIZED AND/OR PLANNED THE WORK TO BE DONE
   (3)_____HAVE beguN TO IMPLEMENT SOME OF THE ACTIVITIES
   (4)_____HAVE IMPLEMENTED MOST OF THE ACTIVITIES
   (5)_____HAVE COMPLETED DESIRED WORK ON THIS TASK

4. Did you receive outside assistance (other than from persons or resources within the project/SIG) in this area from any person or source OTHER THAN TADS during the year (please check)
   (1)_____YES (2)_____NO
   If YES:
   a. From whom did you receive the assistance? (please check)
      (1)_____AN INDIVIDUAL CONSULTANT
      (2)_____AN AGENCY
      (3)_____OTHER: (Specify)
   b. How much assistance did you receive? (please check)
      (1)_____LESS THAN WAS PROVIDED BY TADS
      (2)_____APPROXIMATELY THE SAME AMOUNT AS PROVIDED BY TADS
      (3)_____MORE THAN WAS PROVIDED BY TADS

5. What, in your opinion, did TADS contribute to your progress in this area? (please check)
   (1)_____NOTHING
   (2)_____SOME
   (3)_____A GREAT DEAL

*State Implementation Grant
Technical Assistance Development System, UNC-CH, 1981

OVER
II. IMPACTS OF TADS' TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE. Listed below are both organizational and programmatic impacts of TADS' technical assistance which have been given to us by projects in the past. Please review the items briefly to identify their differences and then determine if each impact occurred in your project AS A RESULT OF THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE YOU RECEIVED FROM TADS THIS YEAR.

As you determine your response please consider ALL of the technical assistance you received, e.g., needs assessment, conferences, consultations, information services, publications, etc., and not just those services stated on the previous pages. If other impacts occurred in your project which are not listed, please list them in the spaces under the word "Other." Then rate all of the impacts by circling your response.

**Organizational Impacts of TADS' Technical Assistance:** These are impacts which occurred in the overall organization and operation of a project as a result of technical assistance received from TADS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Decision-making, e.g., deciding courses of action, selecting specific materials approaches, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Policy changes, e.g., changes in programmatic and/or administrative policy, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Program analysis, e.g., identification of program's strengths and weaknesses, setting priorities for efforts, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Leadership style, e.g., approach of project leadership to administering project, interacting with staff, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Communication, e.g., communication styles and patterns between and among project leadership and staff, fiscal agency administration and project, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Staff roles, e.g., identification and/or clarification of staff roles, responsibilities, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Staff knowledge and/or awareness, e.g., new knowledge, learning, understanding, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Staff skills, e.g., new staff skills, competencies, abilities to administer and operate the program, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Staff attitude/Morale, e.g., staff attitude, morale, enthusiasm, confidence, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Staff understanding of the project as a model HC/EEP program, e.g., understanding of model development, roles in demonstration and dissemination, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technical Assistance Development System, UNC-CH, 1981
APPENDIX C

C. Program Clarification

1. Program organization and clarification, e.g., direction given to program efforts, appropriate components of program brought together, tasks to be accomplished identified and/or clarified, etc.

2. Specification/clarification of goals and objectives, e.g., goal/objective definition, reduction, etc.

3. Program refinement, e.g., refinement of specific areas such as curricula, evaluation plans, teaching approaches, inter-agency linkages, etc.

Other

D. Program Operation

1. Resource identification, e.g., identification of additional materials, consultants, resources, etc.

2. Planning, e.g., development of plans for meetings, program components, etc.

3. Program implementation, e.g., development establishment and/or operation of specific components, activities, etc.

4. Project documentation/Record-keeping, e.g., implementation and/or refinement of record-keeping, documentation systems, etc.

5. Product development, e.g., development of materials, curricula, brochures, etc.

Other

E. Program Support

1. Administrative support, e.g., support received for administration of program, budgeting, etc., from fiscal agencies, other capacity, state and/or federal agencies, etc.

2. Program recognition, e.g., visibility, credibility, etc., for the program, etc.

3. Additional funding, e.g., added funding for the program secured, etc.

Other

Technical Assistance Development System, UNC-CH, 1981
F. Benefits to Non-Staff Persons in the Program

1. Benefits to children, e.g., growth in target areas, increased services, etc.

2. Benefits to parents, e.g., skills in teaching/parenting, participation, etc.

3. Benefits to other participants (college students, administrators, consultants, volunteers, etc.), e.g., skills awareness/understanding of the program, etc.

Other

---

G. Relations with Other External to Program

1. Interagency relations, e.g., improved and/or increased relations with other agencies, etc.

2. Assistance to other programs, e.g., direct assistance provided to other programs, etc.

3. Information sharing, e.g., sharing of information with support personnel, administrative agencies, other agency personnel, etc.

Other

---
**APPENDIX C**

**Programmatic Impacts of TADS' Technical Assistance:** These are impacts which occurred in specific areas of program operation as a result of technical assistance received from TADS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Services for Children</th>
<th>Significant Impact</th>
<th>Moderate Impact</th>
<th>Limited Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
<th>Positive Impact</th>
<th>Moderate Impact</th>
<th>Significant Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Identification and acquisition of children, e.g., determining who will be served, developing admission criteria, screening, referring children to other programs who are not admitted, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Diagnosis and assessment, e.g., identifying and/or developing instruments, establishing plans and/or procedures for diagnosis and/or assessment, diagnosing and/or assessing children, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Instructional program, e.g., designing IEP conferences, developing IEPs, curriculum development, designing specific instructional strategies, developing on-going data keeping systems, to record progress of children, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Services for children through other agencies, e.g., identifying agencies, establishing interagency coordination, acquiring services, placing children in other programs who leave the project, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Determining the effectiveness of the services for children component, e.g., identifying and/or developing instruments, designing data gathering procedures, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Services for Parents</th>
<th>Significant Impact</th>
<th>Moderate Impact</th>
<th>Limited Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
<th>Positive Impact</th>
<th>Moderate Impact</th>
<th>Significant Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Introductory activities, e.g., orienting parents to project, assessing parent needs, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Involvement in project, e.g., involving parents in IEP conferences, involving parents in project operation, e.g., making materials, recruiting children, record keeping, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Direct services to parents, e.g., training parents to teach their children, counseling parents, training parents in child development, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Services through other agencies, e.g., identifying other agencies, establishing interagency agreements, assisting parents in receiving services from other agencies, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Determining the effectiveness of the services for parent component, e.g., identifying and/or developing instruments, designing data gathering procedures, etc.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### C. Staff Development

1. Introductory activities, e.g., orienting staff to project, identifying and/or developing instruments to determine staff development needs, identifying staff development needs, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIGNIFICANT</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>NEGATIVE IMPACT</th>
<th>LIMITED</th>
<th>NEGATIVE IMPACT</th>
<th>NO IMPACT</th>
<th>LIMITED</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>POSITIVE IMPACT</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT</th>
<th>POSITIVE IMPACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Conducting staff development, e.g., identifying resources for staff development, developing staff development plans, conducting training, etc.

3. Determining the effectiveness of the staff development component, e.g., identifying and/or developing instruments, designing data gathering procedures, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIGNIFICANT</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>NEGATIVE IMPACT</th>
<th>LIMITED</th>
<th>NEGATIVE IMPACT</th>
<th>NO IMPACT</th>
<th>LIMITED</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>POSITIVE IMPACT</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT</th>
<th>POSITIVE IMPACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other

### D. Demonstration/Dissemination/Continuation

1. Planning, e.g., identifying targets for demonstration, dissemination and/or continuation, identifying aspects of the project to be demonstrated or communicated, developing plans for demonstration, dissemination and/or continuation, etc.

2. Developing products, e.g., developing brochures, slide-tapes, films, reports, presentations, etc.

3. Carrying out demonstration and/or dissemination activities, e.g., distributing materials, providing training, making presentations, etc.

4. Determining the effectiveness of the demonstration/dissemination/continuation component, e.g., identifying and/or developing instruments, designing data gathering procedures, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIGNIFICANT</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>NEGATIVE IMPACT</th>
<th>LIMITED</th>
<th>NEGATIVE IMPACT</th>
<th>NO IMPACT</th>
<th>LIMITED</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>POSITIVE IMPACT</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT</th>
<th>POSITIVE IMPACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other

---
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E. Administration/Management

1. Personnel selection and administration, e.g., developing job descriptions for each staff position, developing policies for staff hiring, promotion and termination, establishing channels for staff communication, etc.

2. Program planning, e.g., developing plans for the content and operation of the program, etc.

3. Financial administration, e.g., reviewing and/or developing budgets, establishing procedures for financial administration, designing and/or conducting a cost analysis, etc.

4. Records and reports, e.g., designing record keeping systems, developing plans for producing reports, etc.

5. Advisory board, e.g., determining role and function of advisory board, recruiting members of the advisory board, conducting advisory board meetings, etc.

6. Coordination with other agencies, e.g., identifying other agencies, establishing cooperative agreements, etc.

Other

F. Evaluation

1. Planning, e.g., identifying purposes for evaluation, identifying evaluation audiences and their information needs, identifying and/or clarifying aspects of the program to be evaluated, determining evaluation procedures, etc.

2. Implementation, e.g., identifying and/or developing instruments, determining specific data analysis strategies, etc.

3. Communication/Using results, e.g., developing formats, reports or other methods of communicating evaluation results, etc.

Other

SGNIFICANT NEGATIVE IMPACT

MODERATE NEGATIVE IMPACT

LIMITED NEGATIVE IMPACT

NO IMPACT

LIMITED POSITIVE IMPACT

MODERATE POSITIVE IMPACT

SIGNIFICANT POSITIVE IMPACT

-3  -2  -1  0  +1  +2  +3

-3  -2  -1  0  +1  +2  +3

-3  -2  -1  0  +1  +2  +3

-3  -2  -1  0  +1  +2  +3

-3  -2  -1  0  +1  +2  +3

-3  -2  -1  0  +1  +2  +3
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SATISFACTION WITH TADS' TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

A. Please indicate your satisfaction with this year's TADS' technical assistance to your project by circling your response.

B. Comments: Please list here any comments which you would like regarding the technical assistance you have received from TADS this year.
PURPOSE:
The TADS End of Year Survey is designed to determine the overall effectiveness of the technical assistance delivered to HCEEP Demonstration Projects and State Implementation Grantees (SIGs) during a calendar year. To accomplish this, the survey is structured to gather information in three areas:

1. The effects of TADS' assistance on the technical assistance needs which were identified for each program during the year;
2. The impacts of TADS' technical assistance on each program; and
3. The program staff's satisfaction with the technical assistance received from TADS.

USE:
The results of the survey will be analyzed with other information provided during the year to determine the overall effectiveness of TADS. The information will be used to improve TADS' services and report on its effectiveness to those audiences which are interested and invested in the results.

CONFIDENTIALITY:
As is TADS' standard policy, the responses to this survey will be kept strictly confidential and reported only in grouped or anonymous individual formats. Your most objective and candid assessments of the assistance that TADS has provided to your program will be appreciated.

INSTRUCTIONS:
Instructions for completing the survey are given at the beginning of each of the three sections.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

Technical Assistance Development System
500 NCNB Plaza
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514
June 1981
APPENDIX C

Technical Assistance Development System
END OF YEAR SURVEY

I. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE NEEDS AND SERVICES PROVIDED. Listed below are a series of questions concerning the technical assistance needs which were identified with your project/SIG and which are contained in your memorandum of agreement with TADS. Before each set of questions is a statement of the technical assistance need, a brief description of the services which were scheduled to meet the need, and the dates of the services. Please review this information carefully before answering the questions which follow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEED No.</th>
<th>Identified TA Need</th>
<th>Type of Service/Provider</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. Did the scope and/or direction of the task described in this need change during the year? (please check)
   (1) ____YES  (2) ____NO
   If YES, please restate the need as it was changed: ASSISTANCE IN ________________________________

2. What was the status of the task described in the originally stated or, if changed, restated need at the beginning of the year? (please check)
   (1) ____HAD NOT YET BEGUN TO PLAN WORK ON THIS TASK
   (2) ____HAD CONCEPTUALIZED AND/OR PLANNED THE WORK TO BE DONE
   (3) ____HAD BEGUN TO IMPLEMENT SOME OF THE ACTIVITIES
   (4) ____HAD IMPLEMENTED MOST OF THE ACTIVITIES
   (5) ____HAD COMPLETED DESIRED WORK ON THIS TASK

3. What is the status of the task described in the need now? (please check)
   (1) ____HAVE NOT YET BEGUN TO PLAN WORK ON THIS TASK
   (2) ____HAVE CONCEPTUALIZED AND/OR PLANNED THE WORK TO BE DONE
   (3) ____HAVE BEGUN TO IMPLEMENT SOME OF THE ACTIVITIES
   (4) ____HAVE IMPLEMENTED MOST OF THE ACTIVITIES
   (5) ____HAVE COMPLETED DESIRED WORK ON THIS TASK

4. Did you receive outside assistance (other than from persons or resources within the project/SIG) in this area from any person or source OTHER THAN TADS during the year (please check)
   (1) ____YES  (2) ____NO
   If YES:
   a. From whom did you receive the assistance? (please check)
      (1) ____AN INDIVIDUAL CONSULTANT
      (2) ____AN AGENCY
      (3) ____OTHER: (Specify) __________________________
   b. How much assistance did you receive? (please check)
      (1) ____LESS THAN WAS PROVIDED BY TADS
      (2) ____APPROXIMATELY THE SAME AMOUNT AS PROVIDED BY TADS
      (3) ____MORE THAN WAS PROVIDED BY TADS

5. What, in your opinion, did TADS contribute to your progress in this area? (please check)
   (1) ____NOTHING
   (2) ____SOME
   (3) ____A GREAT DEAL

*State Implementation Grant
Technical Assistance Development System, UNC-CH, 1981
II. IMPACTS OF TADS' TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE. Please list below any impacts, either positive or negative, which you believe have occurred with your SIG AS A RESULT OF THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE YOU RECEIVED FROM TADS THIS YEAR.

As you will notice, the space provided for comments is divided into three different levels at which impacts of technical assistance may occur: (a) The SIG program and staff; (b) The State Education Agency; and (c) Other individuals, agencies or groups. Please select the appropriate level or levels and describe what you believe the impact of technical assistance to have been. Responses may include, but are not restricted to, areas such as the following:

- administration
- communication
- staff roles, skills, responsibilities
- SIG program clarification or operation
- program standards and guidelines
- interagency agreements
- evaluation
- statewide planning
- policy development
- in-service training

As you determine your response, please consider ALL of the technical assistance you received, e.g., needs assessment, conferences, consultations, information services, publications, etc., and not just those services associated with the technical assistance needs listed on the previous pages.

STATEMENTS ABOUT THE IMPACTS OF TADS' TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

POSITIVE IMPACTS

On the SIG Program and Staff:
1. 
2. 
3. 

On the State Education Agency:
1. 
2. 
3. 

On Other Individuals, Agencies or Groups:
1. 
2. 
3. 

NEGATIVE IMPACTS

1. 
2. 
3. 
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### III. SATISFACTION WITH TADS' TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNSATISFACTORY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BELOW AVERAGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AVERAGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOOD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXEMPLARY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXCEPTIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A.** Please indicate your satisfaction with TADS' technical assistance to your SIG by circling your response.

**B.** Comments: Please list here any comments which you would like regarding the technical assistance you have received from TADS this year:

---

**THANK YOU**