A project was designed to provide high school students in Nevada with the opportunity to research and devise a self profile to guide future vocational and/or educational plans. Seven of 10 counselors and schools that volunteered completed the project. Counselors attended a training workshop where they "walked through" the process using the career process handbooks for counselors and students. Their students then used the handbook and Student Profile to summarize their aptitudes and attitudes as related to correlated occupations. It was concluded that students and their families could become self-directed with minimum counselor contact. The cognitive student-family-school approach recommended was found to be defensible. Positive evaluation results were received from counselors who participated in the workshop, counselor interviews, parent surveys, administrator/counselor interviews, three non-high school sites, and student taxonomy of learning. (Other materials provided are an example student profile, three evaluator summaries, and creative extensions by counselors. The products developed are available separately--see note.) (YLB)
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Career Development Project was designed to meet a general objective of the Nevada State Department of Education headed by its Superintendent, Mr. Ted Sanders.

That general objective was that every high school student in Nevada be provided the opportunity to research and devise a self profile that would guide future vocational and/or educational plans.

A brief description of the activities, the processes and the products emanated from the project with the following results:

A. PROJECT OBJECTIVES WERE

1. To provide a career profile for selected Senior High Students in Nevada high schools.

2. To train a Pilot Cadre of counselors to expedite career program processing by senior students in Nevada.

3. Whereby senior students in high schools of Nevada shall become independent in their guidance of career development with their families.

4. The Pilot shall serve as a model for other Nevada high schools.

B. PROJECT PROCEDURES TO ATTAIN THE OBJECTIVES:

1. The selection of sites with high school students which represented the unique characteristics of Nevada Education, including one counseling center at a university.

2. To devise student/counselor handbooks during training the creation of the products did represent a process by which students devised their decision making for career development.

3. The "walk-thru" of counselors in a workshop shall be the same process that students will experience.

4. The monitoring of the program in the Fall of 1981 as counselors implemented their training with students and their families.

5. The eventual plan to disseminate the findings to all Nevada High Schools.
C. RESULTS

a. PROCESSES DEVELOPED
WERE TO HELP:

1. Students learn a processing system whereby they become knowledgeable about abilities and interests as related to projected "job markets".

2. Students, by assessing selves, to communicate more accurately with self and family about job futures; kinds of probable occupations; need for further education; and where, if needed.

3. Counselors reduce the floundering of students as to their future vocational significance upon leaving high school.

b. PRODUCT(S) PRODUCED
WERE:

1. A Handbook for counselor to guide students in career development.

2. A handbook for students to guide the self-decision making process about career.

3. A Profile which can be used by students as to their aptitudes, vocational interests, job temperaments and future career resources. The profile represents a summary of one's vocational significance (aptitudes, interests) as related to correlated occupations.
PERSONNEL AND PARTICIPANTS

A. Counselors

The following sites and counselors were involved in the project:

Ten counselors volunteered from the following areas:

- a. Chapparel High School (ROTC) - William Shields
- b. Tonopah High - Nye County - Robert Pavlicek
- c. UNLV Student Services - Ron Cantagallo
- d. Southern Nevada Drug Abuse Counselor - Richard Meyers
- e. Jim Bridger Junior High - Maurine Nielsen
- f. Lander County Superintendent Schools Office - Truett McCullah
- g. Pahranagat Valley High School - Lincoln County - Ed Hansen
- h. Washoe High School - Janet Wright
- Debby Loesch-Griffin (Foster Youth Services)

Of the above counselors seven (\(N=7\)) completed the project.

All ten had participated in the training workshop of August 17-21, 1981.

B. Evaluators

- a. Dr. Robert Whittimore for Washoe and Tonopah High Schools. Dr. Whittimore is trained in guidance. Also, he was knowledgeable with both settings.

- b. Dr. James Embree for Chapparel and Pahranagat High Schools. Dr. Embree has extensive background in both urban and rural schools, including being knowledgeable with vocational guidance.

- c. Dr. Eric Martin for Jim Bridger Junior High, Southern Nevada Drug Abuse Council and UNLV Counseling Center. Dr. Martin has a strong background in vocational guidance and in the function of human service agencies.

C. Project Director, Dr. Verdun Trione, Professor of Counselor Education at UNLV

Dr. Trione teaches vocational assessment and vocational counseling at UNLV. He has conducted prior projects of a similar nature. He was the Workshop Trainer.

D. Three Graduate Students in counseling at UNLV devised the prototypes for the Counselor and Student Handbooks used in the project under the direction of the Project Director.
E. Institutional Participants were:

- Nevada State Department of Education
- UNLV, Department of Vocational Education Research
- UNLV, Department of Counseling and Foundations
- Others are the enumerated schools.
Figure I is a flowchart of the Career Development Project. It represents a series of events which any county, or consortium of counties, could follow if they wished to replicate the project.

The following events took place for this project.

Event

1. A proposal was discussed with the State Department of Education, Nevada and UNLV was invited to submit one via the Vocational Education Research Office, College of Education.

2. In November, 1980 the proposal was submitted.

3. The proposal was approved on March 26, 1981 by the Nevada State Department of Education.

4. The Project Director arranged through the Controller's Office a fiscal system at UNLV to expedite funding transactions for the project.

5. Concurrently, three graduate students from UNLV, under the direction of the Project Director, formulated the prototype of the Counselor and Student Manuals.
**FIGURE 1: CAREER PROJECT FLOW CHART**

- **Fall 1980 Completion of Proposal**
- **Fall 1980 Proposal Submitted to NY State Dept. of Educ. in Nov.**
- **Fall 1980 Proposal Approved for Implementation Apr. 15, 1981**
- **Project Direct. Begins Development of Counselor Student & Counselor Handbooks**
- **Fall 1980 Fall 1980**
- **Mar. 1981 to June 1981 Prototype of Handbooks Finalized**
- **Instruction Handbooks Provided**
- **Instruction Handbooks Provided Workshops Evaluated**
- **Sept. to Dec. 1981 Participants Implement Project in Field**
- **Jan. 1982 Proj. Dir. Reports Progress to State Supt. & Staff**
- **Feb. 1982 Evaluators visit all sites**
- **Data Processed March 1982**
- **Final Report Issued, Closing Project 4/30/82**
- **July 1, 1st Interim Report**
- **Oct. 16, 2nd Interim Report**
- **Jan. 29, 3rd Interim Report**
- **Fiscal System Established Between State Dept. of Ed., UNL Y & Dept. of Counselors & Ed. Foundations in the College of Ed. Apr. 81**
- **Training Workshop Designed & supplied ordered 4/1 to 8/1, 1981 Voluntary participants identified and verified April-June**
- **Training Workshop Implemented 8/17-21, 1981**
- **Sept. to Dec. 1981 Participants Implement Project in Field**
- **Feb. 1982 Evaluators visit all sites**
- **Data Processed March 1982**
- **Final Report Issued, Closing Project 4/30/82**
6. Supplies were ordered for the Counselor Training Workshop, including voluntary selection of counselors for the workshop.

   Effort was sought to select counselors in schools representative of differing locations in Nevada. By the end of June 1981, several changes had to be made as compromises to fit the schedules of interested participants.
   a. The workshop had to be reduced to 5 days (37 hours of training).
   b. Several schools withdrew because of other commitments or lack of interested counselors.
   c. The Project Director then sought volunteers from other settings, i.e., Agency, University, and a Junior High School.
   d. Note that travel and per diem costs and supplies were provided the participants.

7. By the middle of June, 1981 the Counselor and Student Handbook were completed and duplicated for the workshop.

8. July 1, supplies were received and set up for the workshop. The first interim report of the progress of the report to the Department of Vocational Education and Research and the State Department of Education.

9. The training workshop was implemented from August 17 to August 21, 1981 with ten (10) counselors. The Project Director was the instructor.
   a. Table I is an outline of the activities of the workshop.
   b. Table I is a summary of the participants' evaluation of the workshop. Items selected for the evaluation were suggested from publications of evaluations done by the Center for Research and Vocational Education at Ohio State University.
Implementation on sites was monitored by the Project Director. Per agreement and request by the Counselor participants, the Project Director was asked to delay visitations until December 1981, and January 1982.

Monitoring took place by informal telephone calls from September 15 to December 1, 1981.

On October 16, the second interim report was issued by the Project Director revealing outcomes of the training workshop and early monitoring.

The Project Director personally visited all participating sites in late December and early January.

- Washoe High School
- Tonopah High School
- Pahranagat High School
- Chapparel High School ROTC Unit
- Jim Bridger Jr. High School
- Southern Nevada Drug Abuse Council (Counseling Unit)
- UNLV Student Counseling.

Personnel selected as evaluators.

In mid-January, the Project Director met with Mr. Ted Sanders, State Superintendent of Instruction and some members of his staff. The progress of the project was reported. Samples of the Counselor/Student Handbooks and a completed "Student Profile" was submitted.

On January 29, the third interim report was submitted.

The Department of Vocational Education and Research submitted a report on the Career Development Project in the January-February, 1982 Nevada Vocational Education Research Report.

February, 1982: Evaluators visited designated sites. They are listed under the section "Personnel and Participants" in this report. Note here that participants used evaluation forms derived from models suggested by the Center for Vocational Education and Research at Ohio State University.

Data returned by the evaluators was collated by the Project Director and a graduate student.

As of April 30, 1982 the final report was completed.
THE COUNSELOR WORKSHOP

(Training Program)

The workshop outline (see Table II) illustrates what was implemented for the training of counselors. Initial orientation and discussion of project objectives were made clear. Then the participants were "walked through" the process they would present to the students.

Considerable discussion of counselor roles was maintained during this portion of the process.

The Counselor Handbook, the Student Handbook and Student Profile were used, including the "Job Grabber" authored by the Project Director.

Other materials were aptitude, vocational interest, and job temperament inventories. Use of the "Job Grabber", the D.O.T. and the Occupational Outlook Quarterly were demonstrated. The final result was the completion of the Student Profile enumerating probable occupations the person could be suited for---with and/or without further training. In particular, the Occupational Outlook Quarterly was emphasized. This document, published by the U.S. Bureau of Labor, forecasts needs in the job market. For illustration, attorney's and university professors now saturate the job market and are expected to do so for the next decade. The real need now is for engineers and technicians in the electronic industry. As one writer put it, professors could transfer their skills to marketing research where there will be a great need for the next 5 to 10 years. This latter point suggests how residual skills may be transferred to other occupations.

This is one way a counselor can introduce the concept of job mobility that often occurs during the working life of a person. This must be communicated to students and their families.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAY/TIME</th>
<th>TOPICS</th>
<th>TOOLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Career Development: A Theoretical Rationale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 - 9:00</td>
<td>Objectives: Program and Behavioral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00 - 10:00</td>
<td>Research/Careers Lecture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 - 11:00</td>
<td>Client Needs and Community Makeup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 - 12:00</td>
<td>Implementation Areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Family</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Community Resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- School Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 - 3:00</td>
<td>Student/Counselor Manuals (Their Use)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15 - 4:00</td>
<td>Personalizing and Implementing the Walk-Thru With the Manuals</td>
<td>Test Data Identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Temperament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Aptitudes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAY/TIME</td>
<td>TOPICS</td>
<td>TOOLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 - 10:00</td>
<td>Coordinating the test.</td>
<td>Counselor/Student Handbook use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 - 12:00</td>
<td>Participant feedback and discussion.</td>
<td>Counselor/Student Handbook use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 - 3:30</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion of Basic Instrumentation - Test Rationale and Theory - Application to Student Profile.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15 - 5:00</td>
<td>Complete testing sequence.</td>
<td>Manuals for Counselors/Students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAY/TIME</td>
<td>TOPICS</td>
<td>TOOLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 - 10:00</td>
<td>Processing and Profiling.</td>
<td>Manuals Applied&lt;br&gt; D.O.T. &lt;br&gt; &quot;Job Grabber&quot; &lt;br&gt; Occupational &lt;br&gt; Outlook Quarterly &lt;br&gt; Student Profile Forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 - 12:00</td>
<td>Guidance: Student Profile.</td>
<td>Students use of Profile as a Communication Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 - 3:00</td>
<td>Confering with Parents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15 - 5:00</td>
<td>Follow Through: &lt;br&gt; - Counselor's an individual consultant to family and student. &lt;br&gt; - Meeting with parents as a group. &lt;br&gt; - Meet with parents and students as a general group.</td>
<td>- Use of Student Profile: Its interpretation and application &lt;br&gt; - Profile relationship to D.O.T. and other Guidance Materials, i.e., Chronicle or SRA Series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAY/TIME</td>
<td>TOPICS</td>
<td>TOOLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 - 10:00</td>
<td>Counsel sharing with Staff results of student processing.</td>
<td>Department presentations by Counselor and selected students techniques.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 - 12:00</td>
<td>Sharing with Administration and Board the outcomes of the local project.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 - 4:00</td>
<td>Logistical Support and Approach - Proposal Outlines to Administration - Budget Projects - Supplies - Equipment</td>
<td>AVICENT Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 - 10:30</td>
<td>Feedback Among Participants</td>
<td>Sharing of own findings on Student Profiles, including selection of potential occupation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 - 11:00</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 - 12:00</td>
<td>Evaluation of Workshop.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 4:00</td>
<td>Discussion of Workshop Elements and Participant Inputs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendations:

1. Pace the project slowly.
2. Allow for local modifications and creativity.
3. Project should be 3 years.
ACHIEVEMENT OF OBJECTIVES, RESULTS AND OUTCOMES OF PROJECT

The following narrative demonstrates how each objective, separately presented, represented necessary procedures to assure the outcomes originally planned for.

While some procedural constraints occurred, the original objectives were not deviated from. Not having enough schools, the Project Director prevailed upon one social agency (SNDAC) which served a large population of high school youth, including those that were "dropouts".

Also included was the UNLV Student Counseling Center which serviced a large number of incoming freshman from high schools in Nevada.

Suffice to say, objectives were successfully met at all levels, including the alternative participants. In so doing the Career Development Project demonstrated its flexibility to operate at levels other than high schools.

Each objective is isolated in the following, demonstrating specific procedures and outcomes that were achieved.
PROJECT OBJECTIVE 1

To Provide a Career Program Profile for Selected High School Students in Nevada

**Objectives**

a. Proposal devised and approved by State Dept. of Education.

b. Selection of voluntary sites finalized.
   - Chapparal High School
   - Tonopah High School
   - Washoe High School
   - Lander County
   - Bridger Jr. High
   - Pahranagat High School
   - UNLV Counseling Center
   - SNDAC

c. Designed prototype of coordinated Career Process Handbooks for Counselors and Students.

d. Field tested the Student Profile process.

**Procedures**


b. Addition of UNLV, one Jr. High, and Human Services Agency gave an added dimension to the project during the period of training provided counselors. It extended the groups thinking, including a respect for settings other than high schools where career Ed. is also necessary.

c. Handbook prototypes served well in the training workshop. It provided the counselors the same experience the students would have.

d. Process finalized.

*Note these schools and faculty were added in late summer due to counselors not being available from high schools originally selected.*

@ Lander County counselor dropped out of Project due to ill health.
PROJECT OBJECTIVE 2

Objective
To train a Pilot Cadre of counselors to expedite Career Program Processing by Sr. High School Students in Nevada

Procedures

Outcomes
a. Counselors made suggested changes but preferred to "field test" the handbooks during the year.
b. Counselors increased awareness of the Career Development process caused them to make the recommendation that the project should be a 3-year program to assure implementation in Nevada schools.
**Objective**

Whereby Sr. Students in High Schools of Nevada shall become independent in their guidance of career development with their families.

**Procedures**

a. Students shall use Handbooks with families.

b. Devise and implement the decision making process for career development, i.e., use of Student Profile.

**Outcomes**

a. Students become knowledgeable about the career process with reference to their own abilities, vocational interests, job, temperament and their relationship to projected job market.

b. Students and families communicated more accurately with families, self and faculty relative to occupations and future training.

c. It reduced student floundering. See Evaluation results.

d. See case study model of student profile.
PROJECT OBJECTIVE 4

Objective

This Pilot Project shall serve as a model for other Nevada High Schools. (See Handbooks for Counselor and Students, also flow chart of Project.)

Procedures

a. The project was monitored by the Project Director to its fulfillment. (See Project Interim Reports #1, #2, #3 dated July 1, 1981; Oct. 16, 1981; and Jan. 29, 1982 respectively).

b. Further, the evaluation of the project was done by three professionals knowledgeable in guidance, and with the Nevada Schools.

c. Note sample profile of student.

d. The evaluation did illustrate successful fulfillment of the project.

Outcomes

a. Recommend dissemination of Handbooks with profile and procedures for counselors and students in High Schools of Nevada.

b. Recommend present Cadre of counselors present outcomes of this project at Vocational Counselors Meeting in Carson City, Summer 1982.

c. Note sample profile of student.

d. The evaluation did illustrate successful fulfillment of the project.
PRODUCTS RESULTING FROM THE PROJECT
(SEE VOLUME II)

Three products were devised in the project plus a prior publication published by the Project Director. They are:

a. Counselor Handbook which is correlated with the Student Handbook, plus directions as to how to guide the student in his Career Development Processing.

b. The Student Handbook which is consumable by the student. It "walks" the student through the Career Development process by showing him how to:
   - Assess his interests objectively
   - Assess his aptitudes objectively
   - Assess his vocational temperaments objectively
   - Use resource materials such as the Dictionary of Occupational Titles; the Occupational Outlook Quarterly and other "job" and career materials
   - Create his own individual vocational-educational profile
   - Communicate his findings with his family, his peers and his counselor.

c. The Student Profile, of which there is a copy in Counselor and Student Handbooks, may be duplicated. It was found that "Profiles" were revised with the addition of new information. Thus several copies should be provided each student.

A profile may be defined as an outline, contour, or a short biographical sketch representing the most striking characteristics of a person.

In this instance, the student profile refers to a short biographical sketch representing the Career-Development Characteristics of each student in this project.

d. An ancillary product, devised by the Project Director was provided to the Project. It's the "Job Grabber" with several hundred representative jobs and also found in the D.O.T. The purpose of the "Job Grabber" is to enter into the D.O.T. (Ed. IV) with ease. It saves "fumbling" through pages of the D.O.T.

After a student has isolated several jobs, he first enters the Job Grabber which offers Job Code Numbers. These numbers then can be found in the D.O.T. for other related jobs. Hence, a "job family" is created by the student offering a variety of occupational alternatives to study and explore.
PRODUCTS RESULTING FROM THE PROJECT

1. Student Manual

This has been "field tested". No recommendations of a major nature came from the evaluation. This is available and consumable for student use. It takes the student through the steps of investigating:

a. One's vocational interests.
b. One's vocational temperaments:
c. One's vocational aptitudes.
d. Differing occupational "families" which are correlated with the findings from a, b, c.
e. Producing a "Profile" for the student representing strengths and/or weaknesses with reference to potential job requirements.
f. The profile is then shared with the student's parents providing a base for dialogue which the family shares.

2. Counselor Manual

This manual is correlated with the student manual. It also includes the procedures the counselor follows to encourage the student along in his self investigation and career development procedures. Further, the manual lists out several kinds of testing instruments the student may use.

3. Student Profile

The profile is not just a percentile outline which is commonly used. Rather the project developed the Student Profile as a summarized biographical sketch representing the Career Development characteristics of the student. The Career Development characteristics criteria was derived from the Bureau of Labor publication known as the "Dictionary of Occupational Titles", 4th Ed, 1978.

The DOT provides levels of worker development in aptitudes, vocational interests and temperaments. These characteristics are then matched with job profiles via the "Job Grabber" designed by the Project Director. The D.O.T., 4th Ed, 1978, is then "entered" via job codes, from the "Job Grabber". In the process more probable occupations are selected for consideration by the student.
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. CONCLUSIONS

1. It can be concluded that the present system has demonstrated flexibility, student cognitive and affective growth, parent interest and support. Further, it appears to not interfere with the regular "basics" of the school program.

2. The project demonstrates that students and their families can become self-directed with a minimum of counselor contact. It places the counselor in a strong position to initiate student action, monitor it and remain as a resource consultant with students and their families.

3. A group of interested counselors can be quickly oriented to Career Development with a minimum of time (37 hours training).

4. From a learning point of view, the present system does coordinate self-control techniques of stimulus control, self-observation, self-reinforcement, self confrontation and alternate career response training. Self control behaviors, when applied:

- do identify and alter student career perceptions
- identify and alter beliefs and attributes
- commit one to a course of investigative action
- do promote, explore, change and foster career development.

5. Rationale for the educational justification for this project may be summarized as follows:

There's abundant data to demonstrate how youth fantasies about careers (Morgan & Skovholt, 1977). However, the counselor is a critical agent with the ability to interject the client with reality, present and future. Krumboltz, 1979, demonstrated how cognitive organization, or systems of task approach skills, performance standards and affective responses, monitored by a counselor, changes fantasy bound conceptions of careers to reality based career potentials.

Kazdin (1978) found that unless evidence on careers is factual, students are prone to overgeneralize, make arbitrary inferences, and extend career events as absolutes rather than processes. Ergo, the result is a dysfunctional career schema. Therefore, training students and their families in generic problem solving
strategies is a valid and effective approach (O'Zurilla & Goldfried, 1971). Career concerns involve solving problems.

The present project presents a system of career development that reinforces operants of self control (Thoreson & Ewart, 1976). Thoreson and Ewart defined self control behaviors as "learnable cognitive processes that a person uses to develop controlling actions which, in turn, function to alter factors influencing behavior".

6. Last but not the least, the project is cost effective. It's estimated that materials, some reusable, such as tests, handbooks may be dittoed; plus the outlay for references, D.O.T., etc., can come to $3-$5 per student. This is a small price considering the impact it has on student and family.

7. The products (Handbooks and Student Profile) were field tested under a variety of settings. Also such products allow for counselor discretion to modify materials to meet local population needs without destroying the basic thrust for career development.

8. The cognitive student-family school approach recommended by this project, therefore, is defensible. Further testing of this approach (3 years) to justify its existence as a permanent process in Nevada schools is recommended.
B. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Continuation of the Career Development Project for another two years.
   a. The presentation of the first year's findings at the Vocational Counselor's Meeting, Summer, 1982. The counselors from the project can become a resource cadre to other interested schools in Nevada.
   b. The second year should direct a proposal for the development of a Career Laboratory or Career Center in key schools initially.

2. The Career Laboratory Concept.
   a. Rationale for the Career Laboratory.

Since few young people understand the educational or training steps towards occupations, accurate information is a must. Career Development is a lifelong process.

Failure to be informed has revealed data that a 12th grade student uses 8 years of his life "job-hopping". This is evident from the lack of orientation regarding the formal and informal rules and realities of the world of work.

The need for career information is important because of sweeping economic and technological changes. A career center, or laboratory, while not a cure all, does begin to alleviate some of the problems associated with a lack of career information. It's a room set aside (or a portable building sponsored by a PTA, a Parent Club or a Service Club) with organized materials on careers. It can include local or regional resources for further career exploration and job awareness.

The laboratory can provide tools for personal assessment. Most students can be taught quickly to self-administer instruments to better understand oneself, one's attitude, work values, work interests and aptitudes.

The development of a vocational-educational profile leads to further exploration, decision making, resume writing and Interview preparation. Laboratory users can share findings and concerns with each other, including parents, in a non-threatening environment.

The development of Guidance Committees at local high schools. The composition should include a representative from local business, local government, PTA, school board or administration. It's recommended the counselors chair that advisory group to plan a career program and assist in its implementation.

4. Continuous with the 2nd year is a continuation of the 1st year's program for interested schools:

1. Training workshops.
2. Refinement or modification of manuals for counselors and students.
3. A monitor on a part-time basis, either from the Nevada State Department of Education or a University, could fill that role.
4. The beginning of a follow-up system could be instituted by a monitor on the 1st year graduates.

The second year should also include students at both the junior and senior high school level. Note this should be voluntary in the beginning. Experience has shown the impact of the "ripple effect" on other students and families. In particular will the existence of a career laboratory motivate students. Parents whose children are either in the program, or evening, if feasible, could man the career laboratory as volunteers. In fact, if a service club, or several in a consortium, could establish a portable, if there is no school space, and the school's commitment of $500.00 for materials could get a brisk career program in motion. The $500.00 figure is applied to a school the size of Tonopah High School. Price will vary as to size of student body.

5. The third year could introduce sophomore students into the program. By this time sufficient expertise will have been developed among faculty, among students and parents and the community.

Further, the continued existence of followings of students shall be maintained to provide feedback on how well the career program is serving its constituents. They will have an effect on the school board, faculty and community.

Last but not the least is the interface of the career program with the vocational education program in schools.
FIG. 2 THREE YEAR MODEL TO IMPLEMENT CAREER PROJECT PROCESS

Pilot

Year I 1981-82
- Implemented Project in Sites
- Materials Developed and Field Tested
- Cadre developed (Counselors) for training of future counselors
- 12 grade students and agencies
- Community Resources Identified
- Followup

Year II 1982-83
- School Guidance Labs Implemented
- Increased No. of Sites
- Community Resources Identified
- Materials refined and adapted where needed
- Followup

Year III 1983-84
- Guidance Labs Improved
- Grades 10, 11, 12 Implemented
- Grades 11 & 12 only Implemented
- Community Resources Increased, plus faculty
- Materials Refined
- Feedback to Administration and School Board
- Followup

Followup
6. Consideration of a computer terminal or mini-computer in high schools to retrieve vocational career information. Information would be current and readily accessible. It's possible such a unit could be the result of a voluntary community effort to its school children. It could be housed in the career laboratory.

7. Rationale for a Three Year Study.

The longitudinal premise for a three year study is evident. Questions about which occupations are available geographically will reflect student occupational mobility. Further, we have more sophistication in the intervention of traditional self-selected occupations by students. In response to crowded, popular courses such as cosmetology and auto mechanics, often with poor placement records, there is interest in performing better "matchups" between occupations with openings and the interests, skills, and temperament of young workers.

The above matters of occupational choices, geographical economic needs and mobility may be countered with the following questions.

a. What are historical (traditional) differences in patterns for entry into some occupations such as farming/ranching as compared to retailing, services, or manufacturing?

b. What are the entry needs or "market" for occupations in the general occupational areas?

c. What are the occupational ladders or lattices which appear to be patterns for youth who enter and advance into the world of work, i.e., bureau of labor projections?

d. What are occupational ladders for females and/or minorities?

e. What are the disadvantages and/or costs for youth who must become mobile?

8. A longitudinal study can be generated by the three-year project.

a. A study of the distribution of vocational educational funds for services, occupations, target populations, enrollments to determine human resource needs.

b. The provision of a set of standards for vocational guidance and assessment of youth.
c. The improvisation of a comprehensive counseling guide indicating patterns of aptitude and ability which may be predictive of success and satisfactory in various careers.

d. Identify the educational and life experiences which better prepare students for their life work.

e. An information system to provide data based student follow-up could possess the following components:

(1) The academic record; et al.

(2) The vocational profile, i.e., intent, aptitudes, interests and temperaments.

(3) Graduate and dropout and transfer characteristics.

(4) Follow-up:

(a) 1st year graduates
(b) 2nd year graduates
(c) 3rd year graduates
(d) Dropout
(e) the transfer student

(5) College follow-up.

(6) Occupational/technical school follow-up.

(7) Employer follow-up.

(8) Adult and Continuing Education.

- Preparatory?
- Supplemental?
- Other?

9. The above could provide to the State of Nevada:

a. Pertinent detailed information about student bodies of Nevada schools

b. Information on the mobility of Nevada students and whether their education fitted them for such mobility.

c. Information on factors with certain vocational education and vocational guidance needs including those related to limited English speaking, disadvantaged and handicapped.

d. The impact of college programs, vocational/technical schools on the lives of students.
9. Last but not least, a feedback to the Nevada constituency and its legislature. Such will have realistic implications for future budgets and services for education.
EVALUATION RESULTS

The evaluation of the project was positive. The criteria for the final summative phase was kept nontechnical for consumption and reporting of results. The criteria employed was a methodological set of standards.

Changes were made from the original evaluation plan noted in the proposal. This became evident through recommendations from the Center for Vocational Education and Research of Ohio State University, the Nevada State Superintendent of Schools and participating counselors.

In fact the evaluation forms used on the project were those recommended by the Center at Ohio State University.

The general intent of keeping the evaluation Formative and Summative was maintained as stated in the proposal. Note that forms were created to assess the Project Objectives.

Evaluation was formative in terms of the primary objectives stipulated in this report, i.e., congruence between objectives and participant behaviors.

Summative evaluation was to determine the impact or outcomes of the project.

The evaluation model is a modification of Stufflebeam's "Context-Input-Process-Product Model". It has four aspects:

1. **Intended Ends** (Goals or objectives which are determined through a series of planning decisions). Context Evaluation is the procedure for continuous assessment of needs, problems and resources. Example: Workshop and Counselor input.

2. **Intended Means**: A process which is determined through structure of the project process. Input is the procedure which assesses probable alternatives to keep it moving. Site visitations would be one stimulus. Example: The original objectives plus changes in personnel and site prior to training.

3. **Actual Means**, the following of a plan or schedule outlined by the intended means. A process evaluation is maintained to monitor and "de-bug" the project in order to keep it in conformity with the original objectives. Example: The Project Directors monitoring and visits.

4. **Actual Ends**, is a form of product evaluation (students, materials, school, parents, counselor) which includes the context evaluation plus comparing actual or intended ends, including unintended effects. Example: Independent evaluation of outcomes.
This model has a built-in process for delineating, obtaining, and applying descriptive and judgmental information for future decisions. Therefore, complex statistical data was avoided.

The Evaluation took place in the 11th procedural month from March 1981 to February 1982 of the project. Three evaluators were used. (See section on Personnel and Participants.)

The Steps were:

1. Counselor interviews.
2. Parent interviews.
3. Administrative-Counselor interviews.
5. Independent observation scale by each rater with each of their assigned sites.

Some of the Evaluation results are presented intact. They are:

1. Counselor Interviews.
2. Evaluator observations of each site visited.

Other aspects of the evaluation are summary statements:

1. Parent Interviews.
2. A composite of Counselor and Administrative interviews.
3. A composite of interviews with students and the process of learning they went through. Bloom's Taxonomy of Learning was used as a Criterion by the evaluators to judge cognitive and affective growth.
4. The Counselor Workshop - an analysis.
Evaluation of Counselor Workshop

Inspection or "eyeballing" the results summarized on Table I indicate an overall positive impact. However, the major concern seemed to be of counselor implementation. Items 6, 8 and 10 deal with the areas of implementation. Informal conversations, after the written evaluation, revealed the following:

a. Special agencies such as SNDAC did not consider implementation a problem.

b. Those representatives from district or county levels considered implementation a problem due to:

- priority tasks they were already assigned to.
- "selling" the concept and procedures to local high schools, i.e., principals.
- the realization they would have to train local high school counselors first of all.
- the limited accessibility to students and/or parents since they (the consultants) were not always on site.

Actually, the workshop members were moving on to the next pragmatic phase. The group was expressing a legitimate concern. One does not impose a "system without adequate preparation with the already existing system.

One recommendation was to implement without fanfare. This meant the selection of a few highly interested students and parents. This is the "ripple effect" which the Project Director has found successful. It eventually draws community support.
One workshop member clearly enunciated his concern. "This is an excellent system and set of materials. Kids and their folks are no problem. How do I get faculty to see this as an integral part of education? How do I convince the administrator to allocate time and enough funds that ensure the merging of vocational guidance with "curriculum"?

Another participant observed that counselors either have no skills (or interest) in the area of vocational guidance. Counselors seemed to be more concerned with clinical forms of counseling in her opinion.

To these latter constraints, the Project Director offered the concept of a local "Guidance Committee". This is a concept which the Project Director has successfully implemented in the past. Its purpose is purely advisory. However, it is an extension of the leadership of the vocational counselor, or consultant. Representation on the Guidance Committee is usually:

a. A counselor.
b. Interested faculty members - at least two from the local high school.
c. Two parents of students enrolled in the school.
d. One student representative, at least.

Obviously, different combinations can be contrived. This will be due to availability and interests of the potential members. The committee should meet at least once per month. Reporting to administration and school board, and parent groups are the immediate "interface" functions.

Other local representatives can be included depending on the size and composition of the community. Local business leaders and/or local employment agency services are one example.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Adequate</th>
<th>Interesting</th>
<th>But OK</th>
<th>Dull</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 10 Participants
QUALITATIVE REMARKS

The workshop sparked interest and enthusiasm. Also what became increasingly apparent to participants was "the need for more time" to study methods and materials. The other concept was the enormity of the process of vocational decision making; its relationship to local curriculum; to the mobility of students leaving a community adequately prepared; the need for parental involvement. One participant voiced the comment, "Hell, if this thing's to work, it will take at least three years to get it on. A vocation is one of the most important decisions a youth, or an adult, will ever have to make".

There was no argument as to the workshop objectives, the methodology or materials offered. The evident awareness of the workshop members was a healthy one, i.e., how will I get this incorporated locally?

As final note, the group's evaluation of the student and counselor handbooks was positive. No modifications were recommended. Rather, the consensus was, "let's try it first. Then consideration of modification would be entertained.

IMMEDIATE OUTCOMES OF WORKSHOP TRAINING EVALUATION - PARTICIPANT RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Re-define the role of the counselor towards being a member of the educational service team. Diminish but do not eliminate the need for dealing with troubled youth.

2. Intuitive estimates by the workshop members suggest a 3:50:1 ratio for students. A ratio of 8 vocationally oriented counselors to 1 clinical counselors to 1 clinical counselor is preferable.

3. Include local school faculty as part of the guidance process and counselors as part of the curriculum process. After all the major objective of the school is to educate and "prepare for adulthood".

4. Validation of workbooks and guides for counselors and students where parents are included. The decision making process is based on data (GPA, tests, etc.) rather than on "guessimates" or "hopes".

5. Validation of tools that students may use to start determining their own vocational significance, i.e., use of D.O.T.; Job Grabber Handbook.

These are tools and processes, offered in this workshop, that can be used for decades by the local high school graduate for his continued vocational significance.
5. A set of materials and methods and tools for counselor implementation at the home site of each participant.

6. Credential requirements for counselors have very little emphasis on the area of vocational guidance. One or two courses are presently required for the professional counselor. The participants suggested 18-24 credit hours of training in vocation.

7. The workshop participants, while sympathetic for troubled youth, were strongly in support of guidance systems that served the majority of students. The present counselor system in Nevada, as they saw it, is a limited service for the crucial problem of career development.
INTERVIEWS OF COUNSELORS

SUMMARY

These are intact interviews as recorded, all were included in detail because of differing sites. Findings reflect positive, convergent reactions to the project.

1. The training workshop was essential to the Project's implementation.

2. There was greater interaction among students/parents due to the project.

3. The smaller the secondary school, greater awareness of all participants involved.

4. The workshop did achieve program objectives even with non high school settings.

5. There are local constraints to career guidance, not objections. Counselors were of the opinion that it was their job to overcome this and enlist support.

6. For this project to be a three year process was necessary to establish it in schools.

7. There was no recommendation to modify the Counselor-Student Handbook or Profile. Counselor saw this as a consideration, if needed, during the third year.
COUNSELOR INTERVIEW

Name: Pavliceck
Site: Tonopah
Grade Level Responsibilities: 1-12
Interviewer(s): Whittemore
Date: February 17, 1982

1. What were your reasons for partaking in the careers program?
   More service to kids in decision making.

2. Do you have direct responsibilities for any of the plans that were outlined during the workshop? Yes

3. What have you done to implement plans outlined during the workshop?
   1. Testing; conferences. 2. Firo B. "Job 0"

4. How have your planning activities varied from the original design?
   Major-minor finder is immediate feedback.

5. Prior to the workshop, what previous career guidance efforts were you involved in? Seminars, independent study correspondence.

6. To what extent are the priorities which were identified during the workshop being carried out? Hierarchy is still in existence.

7. Are these activities part of a systematic plan submitted for approval to the principal, superintendent, or school board? None planned at this time.

8. Was the plan developed during the workshop realistic? Yes, very much so for us.
9. Have there been any barriers to implementing the plan? If so what? Other assignments on my job.

10. Are these barriers that can be overcome? Not with current staff members.

11. What resources do you have available to assist with program implementation (human or material)? Background in educational journalism.

12. Has the attitude toward career guidance changed in your school/district since the workshop? Among the participants? Is the relationship between the school and community any different? If so, how has it changed? Not among faculty or staff, among participants - Yes.

13. What is the general attitude of your district and fellow staff toward career guidance? "It's my job".

14. What has been done to attach career guidance to the total guidance program? Career guidance is central to total guidance program (PL94-142). Responsibilities have decreased time available.

15. What did the workshop do for your local district? What one unique thing occurred as a result of this activity? Created enthusiasm, more student self-reliance towards career decision making.
### COUNSELOR INTERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Bill Shields</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Chaparral High School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td>H.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibilities</td>
<td>Interviewer(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. E. Embree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>February 24, 1982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. What were your reasons for partaking in the careers program?
   - Confidence and appreciation for values expressed by project director

2. Do you have direct responsibilities for any of the plans that were outlined during the workshop? Yes

3. What have you done to implement plans outlined during the workshop?
   - Routine and continuing class discussions, testing and completion of exercises.

4. How have your planning activities varied from the original design?
   - Extensions and expansion - no basic change of objectives.

5. Prior to the workshop, what previous career guidance efforts were you involved in? Masters in Vocational Guidance - unstructured class work - Juvenile guidance.

6. To what extent are the priorities which were identified during the workshop being carried out? Priorities are being met.

7. Are these activities part of a systematic plan submitted for approval to the principal, superintendent, or school board? Submitted and approved by immediate supervisor.

8. Was the plan developed during the workshop realistic? Yes, goals and objectives were realistic.
9. Have there been any barriers to implementing the plan? If so, what? None of consequence, some limit on human resources available.

10. Are these barriers that can be overcome? Fuller use of personnel for analysis and development.

11. What resources do you have available to assist with program implementation (human or material)? Reading consultants available for analysis, full material support from administration.

12. Has the attitude toward career guidance changed in your school/district since the workshop? Among the participants? Is the relationship between the school and community any different? If so, how has it changed? No major impact on the school as a whole. Participants verbalize and internalize career planning security.

13. What is the general attitude of your district and fellow staff toward career guidance? Apathy.

14. What has been done to attach career guidance to the total guidance program? Little impact was accomplished on the umbrella counseling system.

15. What did the workshop do for your local district? What one unique thing occurred as a result of this activity? Gave structure in a single classroom for guidance, i.e., ROTC program.
1. What were your reasons for partaking in the careers program? Interest.

2. Do you have direct responsibilities for any of the plans that were outlined during the workshop? Yes (Division into 2 groups).

3. What have you done to implement plans outlined during the workshop? New materials - coordinating into larger program. Evaluation and assessment.

4. How have your planning activities varied from the original design? Correlate with an already existing program changed instruments to fit local needs. More individual work.

5. Prior to the workshop, what previous career guidance efforts were you involved in? Certification as counselor (CETA), involvement in schools, R-D in career work experience.

6. To what extent are the priorities which were identified during the workshop being carried out? Hierarchy is being followed. Three phases being followed gathering of objective data.

7. Are these activities part of a systematic plan submitted for approval to the principal, superintendent, or school board? Yes, to the Principal as part of larger program.

8. Was the plan developed during the workshop realistic? Had to be modified to local program. Too "high level" - had to be "adjusted" students needs and levels.
9. Have there been any barriers to implementing the plan? If so, what? Structure of school - ability to collate "times" of students and parents. Some turnover rate.

10. Are these barriers that can be overcome? Barriers are inherent to system (district learning center).

11. What resources do you have available to assist with program implementation (human or material)? Basic structure oriented to world of work. Career education materials already available.

12. Has the attitude toward career guidance changed in your school/district since the workshop? Among the participants? Is the relationship between the school and community any different? If so, how has it changed? "Committee" recognizes "process" that students need to engage in. School has good relations with community.

13. What is the general attitude of your district and fellow staff toward career guidance? Fellow staff is ++ "District", may not know or care about program.

14. What has been done to attach career guidance to the total guidance program? Integral to total program.

15. What did the workshop do for your local district? What one unique thing occurred as a result of this activity? 1. Meet with parents. 2. Encouragement and impetus to pull "fragments" together so that kids would accomplish academic goals and develop a process towards life style centered around world of work.
COUNSELOR INTERVIEW

Name Edward L. Hansen
Site Pahranagat High School
Grade Level Responsibilities 7-12
Interview(s) J. E. Embree
Date February 22, 1982

1. What were your reasons for partaking in the careers program?
   Awareness of the void in student preparation.

2. Do you have direct responsibilities for any of the plans that were outlined during the workshop? Yes, the model was perceived by the counselor as personal.

3. What have you done to implement plans outlined during the workshop? Continuing counseling sessions over the entire year, single and small group.

4. How have your planning activities varied from the original design? Less structured parental involvement.

5. Prior to the workshop, what previous career guidance efforts were you involved in? Vocational interest inventory and college campus visitations.

6. To what extent are the priorities which were identified during the workshop being carried out? Priorities were realistic and largely completed.

7. Are these activities part of a systematic plan submitted for approval to the principal, superintendent, or school board? Yes, Principal and local School Board Member included in planning discussions.

8. Was the plan developed during the workshop realistic? Yes, has been possible to follow.
9. Have there been any barriers to implementing the plan? If so, what? None, except budget for extending testing. Materials are now ordered.

10. Are these barriers that can be overcome? Yes, budget now approved.

11. What resources do you have available to assist with program implementation (human or material)? Military recruiters and college recruiters.

12. Has the attitude toward career guidance changed in your school/district since the workshop? Among the participants? Is the relationship between the school and community any different? If so, how has it changed? Students now weigh their values. Very little opportunity to evaluate community attitude.

13. What is the general attitude of your district and fellow staff toward career guidance? Total support and cooperation.

14. What has been done to attach career guidance to the total guidance program? Career guidance continues to be vital. Almost all students must leave their home town after graduation.

15. What did the workshop do for your local district? What one unique thing occurred as a result of this activity? Provided a needed structure for students to evaluate their desires and abilities.
# COUNSELOR INTERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>R. S. Meyers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>SNDAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade Level Responsibilities</td>
<td>Dir.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewer(s)</td>
<td>Dr. Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>March 17, 1982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. What were your reasons for partaking in the career program? To provide better services to our clients.

2. Do you have direct responsibilities for any of the plans that were outlined during the workshop? Yes.

3. What have you done to implement plans outlined during the workshop? Developed the program as a result of the trainings.

4. How have your planning activities varied from the original design? We tailored the program to meet our clients' needs.

5. Prior to the workshop, what previous career guidance efforts were you involved in? Nothing.

6. To what extent are the priorities which were identified during the workshop being carried out? Fully.

7. Are these activities part of a systematic plan submitted for approval to the principal, superintendent, or school board? N/A

8. Was the plan developed during the workshop realistic? Yes.
9. Have there been any barriers to implementing the plan? If so, what? Limited man power.

10. Are these barriers that can be overcome? Maybe.

11. What resources do you have available to assist with program implementation (human or material)? Human and technical and testing material.

12. Has the attitude toward career guidance changed in your school/district since the workshop? Among the participants? Is the relationship between the school and community any different? If so, how has it changed? Yes. Awareness of its need and its usefulness.

13. What is the general attitude of your district and the fellow staff toward career guidance. Excellent.

14. What has been done to attach career guidance to the total guidance program? Planning to use additional resources to further develop the program.

15. What did the workshop do for your local district? What one unique thing occurred as a result of this activity? Helped clients to identify where to go occupationally and find a way to get there.
COUNSELOR INTERVIEW

Name: Ron J. Cantogallo
Site: UNLV
Grade-Level Responsibilities: 13-16
Interviewers(s): Dr. Martin
Date: March 12, 1982

1. What was your reason for partaking in the careers program?
   My goal was to become a career counselor.

2. Do you have direct responsibilities for any of the plans that were outlined during the workshop? No.

3. What have you done to implement plans outlined during the workshop?
   A great deal. I used much of the information and still do. It helps me greatly in dealing with students.

4. How have your planning activities varied from the original design?
   I pretty much adhered to the original design.

5. Prior to the workshop, what previous career guidance efforts were you involved in? None.

6. To what extent are the priorities which were identified during the workshop being carried out? I select a few students each semester and work with them intensely.

7. Are these activities part of a systematic plan submitted for approval to the principal, superintendent, or school board? No, at this point I am on my own.

8. Was the plan developed during the workshop realistic? Yes.
9. Have there been any barriers to implementing the plan? If so, what? I don't feel there are any barriers.

10. Are these barriers that can be overcome? N/A.

11. What resources do you have available to assist with program implementation (human or material)? D.O.T. and O.O.H. as well as other resource books, a secretary, xerox machine, other resource information.

12. Has the attitude toward career guidance changed in your school/district since the workshop? Among the participants? Is the relationship between the school and community any different? If so, how has it changed? No

13. What is the general attitude of your district and fellow staff toward career guidance? My fellow staff have a minimal interest probably because they have not been trained in the area.

14. What has been done to attach career guidance to the total guidance program? Nothing at this time.

15. What did the workshop do for your local district? What one unique thing occurred as a result of this activity? N/A
1. What were your reasons for partaking in the career's program?
I am extremely interested in the career potential of my students with whom I work with on a daily basis.

2. Do you have direct responsibilities for any of the plans that were outlined during the workshop? Yes, obviously!

3. What have you done to implement plans outlined during the workshop? Career Development Project plans in process.

4. How have your planning activities varied from the original design? I am consistently following the original plan.

5. Prior to the workshop, what previous career guidance efforts were you involved in? Three hours of graduate level vocational counseling.

6. To what extent are the priorities which were identified during the workshop being carried out? To a "T".

7. Are these activities part of a systematic plan submitted for approval to the principal, superintendent, or school board? Yes, absolutely.

8. Was the plan developed during the workshop realistic? Dr. Trione's plan, of course, was realistic; it has been proved.
9. Have there been any barriers to implementing the plan? If so, what? None

10. Are these barriers that can be overcome? None

11. What resources do you have available to assist with program implementation (human or material)? Resources available of course is Dr. Martin, Richard Paulin, Principal and material from the workshop.

12. Has the attitude toward career guidance changed in your school/district since the workshop? Among the participants? Is the relationship between the school and community any different? If so, how has it changed? Absolutely not anything but positive valid attitudes.

13. What is the general attitude of your district and fellow staff toward career guidance? Hopefully the career guidance program will be implemented.

14. What has been done to attach career guidance to the total guidance program? Discussions with counselors within the school system are taking place.

15. What did the workshop do for your local district? What one unique thing occurred as a result of this activity? Counselor Administrators and Jr.-Sr. High teachers have a united front in regard to career education for the first time.
PARENT SURVEYS

Summary

While only four parents were available, there was reasonable consensus and support for Career Development. A scrutiny of the 20 areas suggest that parent support needs more encouragement.

More counselor orientation as to the use of handbooks by parents is deemed necessary. This is where parent groups and counselors should meet independently.

A very positive parental response was their awareness of the increased investigative skills of their children, including increased logic in decision making.
## COMPOSITE OF PARENTS
### FIELD EVALUATION
#### POST SURVEY
##### CAREER DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

**Investigator** Drs. J. Embree, R. Whittemore  
**Date** February 1982  
**School or Facility** Chaparrel, Tonopah, Washoe, Pahranagat  
**Person Interviewed**  
- Student  
- Faculty  
- Administrator  
- Parent/XXX  
- Counselor  
- Other  
**N=4 Interviewed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Very Definitely</th>
<th>Definitely</th>
<th>Not Certain</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Are students who participated in the career program at the high school and postsecondary level more persistent in their desire to complete their programs of study than were those enrolled in general/academic programs?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did participation in career program at the high school level create a desire in students for postsecondary schooling, especially in a field of study parallel to their high school programs?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was the Student Manual used for facilitating self actions of students?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. By participating in a career program did students learn to send and receive information in a variety of modes (e.g., written, graphic, oral, etc.) and for a variety of purposes (e.g., to inform, to persuade, to analyze, etc.)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. By providing some sort of career program manual did students develop independence and ability to assume responsibility outside the parental environment?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Very Definitely</td>
<td>Definitely</td>
<td>Not Certain</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Did participation in a career program provide students with the skills, understanding, and appreciations needed to upgrade or update their occupational competence?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Are present career and vocational programs tied closely to local needs and opportunities, or is the student's future ability to become occupationally mobile limited? (No Opportunity to Implement)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Did participation in the career program enable parents to help sound decisions about particular occupations based on their explorations of alternative occupations with the student?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Did participation in a career program produce students training and skills that will enable them to become geographically mobile?</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Did participation in the career program develop in students a greater awareness of the occupational options available in the work world than in the awareness held by comparable students in no career program?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Did participation in the career program result in students coming to regard themselves as human resources whose economic value can be increased by selective educational investments?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Did participation in the career program result in women acquiring an attitudinal preparation to obtain and succeed in positions in male-dominated occupations?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. As a result of their participation in the career program did students respond well to parents on the level of personal relations since they shared interests in the careers program?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14. Did participation in the career programs seem to motivate students to stay on in school?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Definitel</th>
<th>Not Certa</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Did students in the Careers program learn 'teamwork and leadership skills by pursuing activities with collective goals as training experiences?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Definitel</th>
<th>Not Certa</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Did students, as a result of participation in careers based on self-expressive activities, showing an exploration of original and/or alternative solutions to problems? (No time to observe)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Definitel</th>
<th>Not Certa</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Did participation in the careers program help students and parents improve their communication skills, e.g., receiving and transmitting messages, receiving and interpreting information, getting a point across to each other?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Definitel</th>
<th>Not Certa</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. Was the Careers program successful in involving community leaders as a resource to youth? (Too early in the project.)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Definitel</th>
<th>Not Certa</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. Did the establishment of a careers program result in a heightened awareness within the community of the importance of developing human resources? (Too early to determine.)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Definitel</th>
<th>Not Certa</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. Did the existence of a career program in high school detract from attention paid to basic educational subjects as math, reading and writing?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Definitel</th>
<th>Not Certa</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

Counselors and administrators interviewed were in general agreement as to objectives and intent of the career development program. Their responses also suggest their sensitivity to the fact that the program is "new". Time is needed for development. Both groups were in complete agreement that the program in no way conflicted with the curriculum "basics".

The general impression was that parents were supportive. Students showed growth and a sense of independent decision making as their occupational information base increased.
# Field Evaluation

## Post Survey

**Career Development Project**

**Investigator** Drs. J. Embree, R. Whittemore  
**Date** February 1982

**School or Facility** Washoe H, Tonopah I, Pahranagat Hi, Chaparrel Hi

**Person Interviewed**  
- Student /  
- Faculty /  
- Administrator /  
- Parent /  
- Counselor /  
- Other /  

N=3

N=5

---

### Item Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Very Definitely</th>
<th>Definitely</th>
<th>Not Certain</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Are students who participated in the career program at the high school and postsecondary level more persistent in their desire to complete their programs of study than were those enrolled in general/academic programs?</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did participation in career program at the high school level create a desire in students for postsecondary schooling, especially in a field of study parallel to their high school programs?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was the Student Manual useful for facilitating self actions of students?</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. By participating in a career program did students learn to send and receive information in a variety of modes (e.g., written, graphic, oral, etc.) and for a variety of purposes (e.g., to inform, to persuade, to analyze, etc.)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Represents the opinion of the three principals. Of interest, two principals involved their own children in the project.