ABSTRACT

The different technologies/applicable to computer networks serving limited geographic areas, e.g., a single campus, factory, or office complex, are discussed in a number of short presentations made by active researchers and implementers in this field. Intensive discussion by participants in working sessions is reported for six topics: subnet architecture, protocols for local area networks, local network applications, network architecture, network operating systems, and analysis and performance evaluation. A list of attendees and a bibliography on local area computer networks is included. (Author/RAO)
Local Area Networking

Report of Workshop Held at the National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland

August 22-26, 1977

Ira W. Cotton, Chairman & Editor

Institute for Computer Science and Technology
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C. 20234

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Juanita M. Kreps, Secretary

Dr. Sidney Harman, Under Secretary

Jordan J. Baruch, Assistant Secretary for Science and Technology

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Ernest Ambler Director

Issued April 1978
Reports on Computer Science and Technology

The National Bureau of Standards has a special responsibility within the Federal Government for computer science and technology activities. The programs of the NBS Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology are designed to provide ADP standards, guidelines, and technical advisory services to improve the effectiveness of computer utilization in the Federal sector, and to perform appropriate research and development efforts as foundation for such activities and programs. This publication series will report these NBS efforts to the Federal computer community as well as to interested specialists in the academic and private sectors. Those wishing to receive notices of publications in this series should complete and return the form at the end of this publication.
This is the report of a workshop convened at the National Bureau of Standards on August 22-23, 1977, to discuss the different technologies applicable to computer networks serving a limited geographic area, such as a single campus, factory, or office complex. A number of short presentations were made by active researchers and implementers in this area, afterwards the group broke up into a number of working sessions for intensive discussion of specific topics. A recorder at each session prepared a session report with the session chairman. The sessions were as follows:

1. Subnet architecture
2. Protocols for local area networks
3. Local network applications
4. Network architecture
5. Network operating systems
6. Analysis and performance evaluation

A list of attendees and bibliography on local area computer networks is included in the report.
ABSTRACT

This is the report of a workshop convened at the National Bureau of Standards on August 22-23, 1977, to discuss the different technologies applicable to computer networks serving a limited geographic area, such as a single campus, factory or office complex. A number of short presentations were made by active researchers and implementers in this area, afterwards the group broke up into a number of working sessions for intensive discussion of specific topics. A recorder at each session prepared a session report with the session chairman. The sessions were as follows:

1. Subnet architecture
2. Protocols for local area networks
3. Local network applications
4. Network architecture
5. Network operating systems
6. Analysis and performance evaluation

A list of attendees and bibliography on local area computer networks is included in the report.

Key words: Computer communications; computer networks; data communications; operating systems; performance evaluation; protocols.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special appreciation is due to the session chairmen and recorders, without whose assistance the Workshop could not have been held nor this Workshop Report prepared. The session chairmen were David Mills, Stuart Wecker, Philip Stein, Richard Sherman, Stephen Kimbleton and Ashok Agrawala. The recorders were Paul Meissner, Robert Rosenthal, Cary Donnelly, Robert Carpenter, James Hanks and William Franta.

Note: reference to any commercial products in this report is for the purpose of identification only and does not imply endorsement by NBS.
## CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

2. ABSTRACTS AND SHORT PAPERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real-Time Network for the Control of a Very Large Machine - J. Altabe</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of Hyperchannel - G. Christensen</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitenet -- Introduction and Overview - J. P. Hanks</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Ring at Computer Laboratory, University of Cambridge - A. Hopper</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Mission-Oriented Network - R. L. Larsen</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interconnection of Local Networks Using Satellite Broadcast Technology - D. L. Mills</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethernet: Distributed Packet Switching for Local Computer Networks - R. M. Metcalfe &amp; D. R. Boggs</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ARPA Local Network Interface - P. V. Mockapetris, M. R. Lyle &amp; D. J. Farber</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The MIT Laboratory for Computer Science Network - K. T. Pogran &amp; D. P. Reed</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Summary of Ford Activities in Local Networking - R. H. Sherman, M. Gable &amp; G. McClure</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Area Networks at Queen Mary College - A. R. West</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECNET: Issues Related to Local Networking - S. Wecker</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. WORKSHOP REPORTS

Subnet Architecture
Chairman: D. Mills
Recorder: P. Meissner

Protocols for Local Area Networks
Chairman: S. Wecker
Recorder: R. Rosenthal

Local Network Applications
Chairman: P. Stein
Recorder: G. Donnelly

Network Architecture
Chairman: R. Sherman
Recorder: R. Carpenter

Network Operating Systems
Chairman: S. R. Kimbleton
Recorder: J. P. Hanks

Analysis and Performance Evaluation
Chairman: A. K. Agrawala
Recorder: W. R. Franta

4. BIBLIOGRAPHY ON LOCAL AREA COMPUTER NETWORKING
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INTRODUCTION

The NBS Workshop on Local Area Computer Networking is part of an effort to develop standards and guidelines for Federal agencies on the implementation and utilization of local area data communications networks. This is felt to be an area that will be receiving increased attention over the next several years and one for which adequate guidance does not yet exist. For example, we have been conducting an investigation into the best way to meet NBS needs for interconnecting large numbers of simple terminals and minicomputers and a modest number of full-sized host computers on the NBS Gaithersburg campus. While surveying available technologies for accomplishing the desired interconnection, two things became clear:

1. Many other organizations, including Government and civilian laboratories, office complexes and factories, felt the same need as NBS for local area data communications capabilities; and

2. People in these other organizations who were also investigating local area networking technologies, and in many cases even building prototype systems, were extremely interested to find out what their counterparts elsewhere were doing.

All of the people we contacted during our technology survey* were enthusiastic at the idea that NBS host a Workshop on the subject to be attended by the active investigators in this new area of networking. In addition to the primary goal of eventual standards-making in this area, NBS interest was sustained by the obvious benefits to advancing the state-of-the-art through information interchange among leading-edge researchers and system developers and by the parochial desire to ensure that we had not overlooked any significant candidate solution to NBS local computer networking needs. Accordingly, this workshop was organized and held on August 22-23, 1977 at the National Bureau of Standards Headquarters in Gaithersburg, Maryland.

The workshop was attended by approximately 50 of the most active workers in the local area networking field, including representatives from other Government agencies, universities, and industry in the U.S. and abroad. We were very pleased at our success in attracting the right set of

* To be issued as an NBS Special Publication.
attendees, particularly workers with whom we were not familiar or had failed to contact during our survey.

The two days of the Workshop were organized into three plenary and two working group periods. The first plenary session was devoted to short presentations of work in progress by attendees wishing to make and discussion of such presentations. Three parallel working groups met during each of the two periods allotted; thus six specific topics were covered quite intensively. Chairmen of the first three working groups gave short reports after the sessions on the first day. A Workshop dinner was followed by a "Blue Sky" session in the evening. The final plenary session was devoted to reports from the second three working groups and to general discussion on all topics.

Fifteen short presentations were made in the first plenary session. The abstracts or short paper provided by the presenters along with copies of some of the transparencies used are included as the first section of this report. The presentations were all somewhat abbreviated due to the large number that had to be fit into the morning allotted, but they did serve to portray the various approaches that were being taken and the status of on-going projects. It was evident that a wide variety of different approaches are being tried, spanning a cost domain of at least three orders of magnitude.

Following the short presentations, the afternoon working group session topics were discussed, and the following decisions made as to topic, chairman and recorder:

1. Subnet Architecture
   Chairman: David Mills, COMSAT
   Recorder: Paul Meissner, NBS

2. Protocols
   Chairman: Stuart Wecker, DEC
   Recorder: Robert Rosenthal, NBS

3. Applications
   Chairman: Philip Stein, NBS
   Recorder: Gary Donnelly, NSA

At the end of the afternoon each of the chairmen gave a short report of the discussion and results of the working group. The written reports prepared afterwards by the recorders in coordination with the chairmen are included as the second part of this report.
Before adjourning for the day, the topics, chairmen and recorders for the following morning's working groups were also selected, as follows:

1. Network Architecture & Interconnection
   Chairman: Richard Sherman, Ford
   Recorder: Robert Carpenter, NBS

2. Network Operating Systems
   Chairman: Stephen Kimbleton, NBS
   Recorder: James Hanks, Mitre

3. Performance Analysis
   Chairman: Ashok Agrawala, University of Maryland
   Recorder: William Franta, University of Minnesota

In the evening, most of the day's participants met for dinner at a nearby motel. After dinner, a "Blue Sky" session was chaired by Robert Metcalfe of Xerox. This session, which had been planned to permit discussion of "far out" or "half-baked" ideas which people might be reluctant to suggest in formal sessions, devoted itself to consideration of the parameters for a "standard local area network interface chip." The ensuing discussion was only partially tongue-in-cheek!

In the morning, each working group convened directly to consider its chosen topic. Following lunch, all attendees reassembled in the final plenary session, which began with the Chairmen's reports for the morning working groups. As with the first set of working groups, the recorders' written reports for this set are included in this report.

In the discussion following the reports, there was general agreement on the following points:

1. The technical problems involved in designing local area computer networks are not very different from the problems in designing global networks.

2. A major distinction between local and global networks is the higher degree of control that a single organization is likely to have over the design and operation of a local network.

3. The most pressing problem in the local network field is not technical but rather information dissemination.
Some sort of standardization is needed in order to make the production of a "local area network interface" chip economically attractive to a semiconductor manufacturer. This is vital to reducing costs.

There was rather a lively controversy over the expected size of future local area networks. One vendor predicted few networks larger than 3-5 interconnected hosts on the basis that users could not deal with the complexity of larger networks. Other vendors and users countered that the network should be viewed as a resource pool which could be shared by a large number of terminals (simple terminals up to host computers) each interworking with only a few other terminals at any one time. There was agreement that reliability issues had not been adequately addressed by the Workshop, and that reliability problems could limit the network complexity that could be achieved.

Participants expressed satisfaction with the size, duration and general organization of the Workshop. There was some feeling that the short presentations were overly short; it was suggested that general presentations be retained at an opening session but that specialized presentations be moved to the appropriate working group session. It was agreed that another Workshop in about a year's time would be extremely useful, since many local networks currently under development will have reached operational status by then.
small operating team. The geographical layout of the machine, which consists of a 2.2 km diameter ring, and the necessity to reduce the number of control cables and their length, have led to a decentralized structure for the control system which has been finalized into a star network of 24 computers.

The design constraints of the control network were allowing.
A LOCAL NETWORK FOR THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

Robert J. Carpenter
Robert Rosenthal
Computer Systems Engineering Division
Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C. 20234

...
A connection retry protocol is proposed that provides a software "rotary" allowing this standard TIE to be used on host computer ports with a single connection address, automatically incremented to bypass busy or broken ports.
APPLICATIONS OF HYPERCHANNEL™

Gary S. Christensen
Network Systems Corporation
Brooklyn Center, Minnesota

Examples of actual and planned applications of HYPERCHANNEL™ include:

...
DATA RING AT COMPUTER LABORATORY, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

A. Hopper
Computer Laboratory
University of Cambridge
Cambridge, England

The data ring at Cambridge was designed to provide a high-speed, low-error rate communication path between computers and other devices in the Computer Laboratory. These devices are connected through the ring on an individual basis, yet they are networked by local protocols to provide an overall link established over the primary network for control, sharing and file sharing.
When a station has a packet ready for transmission in its shift register it waits until the beginning of the next slot. It now reads the full/empty bit and at the same time writes a one at the output. If the full/empty bit is zero it transmits the packet. However, if the full/empty bit was a one the slot is already occupied and the algorithm is repeated for the next packet. This scheme minimises number of bits delay at each node.
destination.

If one of the SOP bits is corrupted or the full/empty bit becomes full then this will be detected and corrected by the monitor station. If the full becomes empty then the packet might be ignored at the destination but this will be detected by the source, similarly the transmitter will detect if the monitor station bit becomes corrupted in such a way that the slot becomes empty. An error in the source's bits may cause the packet to be delivered incorrectly be assigned to the long station error in the receiver the right have more serious first as it does not be detected by the transmitter which might stop at the end of a slot. It was received correctly then fills as not the air. Unless the slot is part of another packet propagated to the long bytes are detected by the long monitor station with the 1 bits, without error.
has no ambiguity about the start of a digit (unlike phase modulation). A change on both pairs indicates a one and a change on only one pair indicates a zero, each pair being used alternately. The advantages of the four wire system can be summarised as follows:
Discussion

The Cambridge ring was designed in an environment where many different types of machines exist and where the disruption to their operating systems has to be minimal. This differs significantly from systems where the designer has a free hand to develop host software according to his wishes, especially from systems which connect a large number of identical machines. Furthermore, it was a design task to make the system as inexpensive as possible and it was thus kept simple. Nevertheless, many options are left and some of these are discussed below.

...
LOCAL MISSION-ORIENTED NETWORK

Ronald L. Larsen
National Aeronautics & Space Administration
Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, Maryland

The purpose of this paper is to describe
feasibility and design of a local mission
oriented network for the support of ground and
support operations for space flight missions.
Within the context of this study, the
workload to be placed on the
system and its proper distribution among
processes, as well as the system architecture and operation, are
considered. Specifically, the
study examines the
feasibility and design
of a local mission
oriented network for
the support of
ground and
support
operations for
space flight
missions.
ETHERNET: DISTRIBUTED PACKET SWITCHING FOR LOCAL COMPUTER NETWORKS

Robert M. Metcalfe
David R. Boggs
Xerox Corporation
Palo Alto, California

Casting initial data packets among locally connected computers, the packet transport mechanism provided by Ethernet has been used to build systems that can be viewed as distributed computer networks of locally coupled multiprocessors. An Ethernet's shared communication facility is thus a powerful adjunct to a central control or a collection of processors in the Ethernet packet model. A network using the standard transmission line is a set with a single attachment to this line. Multiple networks on the Ethernet interface, as well as network packetization and implementation, are possible in many networking options, including the Ethernet. Some Ethernet protocols are available. A major benefit of an Ethernet network is its ability to accommodate multiple users, each using packet-switched communications.
been operational for three years. The major characteristics of the new communications system are as follows:

- A design capable of implementation using a single chip LSI transmission controller (LTI) and incorporating a flexible, process oriented addressing structure.

- A transmission system which, in its normal configuration, is a ring architecture utilizing a single unidirectional twisted pair operating at a transmission speed of more than one megabit. (The expected rate is in the range of two to four megabits).

- A unit failure bypass mechanism to enable continued system viability in the event of unit failure.

- An addressing structure and acknowledgement mechanism supportive of a distributed processing environment.

- A possibility of operating in a variety of communications topologies including the "Ethernet" protocol, a contention ring, and others.

This research is supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency under Contract N00014-76-C-0954.

1. Mockapetris, Lyle and Farber, On the Design of Local Network Interfaces, IFIP 77.

COMPUTER CELLS--HIGH PERFORMANCE MULTI COMPUTING

David L. Nelson
Prime Computer, Inc.
Framingham, Massachusetts

Current research activities at Prime Computer, Inc., for the development of high performance multicomputer networks are described. Certain methodologies for the design of such systems have been previously presented [1] and are herein extended to include engineering and manufacturing trends. These considerations suggest future architectures that will be comprised of moderately coupled, highly regular, local homogeneous multicomputer networks which are characterized as high performance data flow computers. Described are the current designs for
interprocess communication (pipelines), system packaging and interconnection (fiber optics ring), process to processor binding, and thoughts regarding problem decomposition.


THE MIT LABORATORY FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE NETWORK

K. T. Pogran
and
D. F. Reed
MIT Laboratory for Computer Science
Cambridge, Massachusetts

The MIT Laboratory for Computer Science is developing a local area network which will initially serve the needs of our laboratory and which, we hope, will form the basis of an eventual campus-wide network. The immediate objective of the LCS Network is two-fold: first, to provide an intercommunication capability for the ever-growing collection of minis, micros, and larger-scale systems within the Laboratory, and, second, to provide a vehicle for the Laboratory's research in the area of distributed computing.

In developing the LCS Network, we have tried to take a "total system" approach, concerning ourselves from the outset not only with architecture and hardware issues, but with protocols as well, and with such issues as: interfacing the network to already-existing systems, large and small; the impact of a high-bandwidth network on small systems, and providing economical access to a high-bandwidth network for terminals which are, by comparison, low-speed devices.

Technology and Architecture

We began two years ago by studying some of the technologies then available for local networks. Both the Ethernet and the Farber Ring Network offered the attributes of high bandwidth and completely distributed control, and we restricted our study to these two technologies. We realized that both offered essentially the same functional capabilities; in addition, we realized that, with properly designed interface hardware, a network using either basic technology could present the same logical interface to a
host. Finally, we concluded that the same basic interface hardware could be used with either network technology, with only minor modifications to its control structure and internal data flow.

Therefore, in the fall of 1976 we decided to join forces with Dave Farber's group at UC-Irvine to develop a single "Local Network Interface" which could be used for either a Ring Network or an Ethernet. Implementation of the initial "ring-only" version of the LNI, running at 1 Mb/s, is nearly complete; this fall we will be developing the modifications required for Ethernet use. We are hopeful that the Ethernet LNI will be able to operate in the 4-8 Mb/s range.

The LNI has been designed from the start with an eye toward Large Scale Integration. Once its design has been finalized, it should be possible to implement most of it on a single chip, thus making the eventual LNI a very inexpensive device.

The LCS Network will be composed of a number of "sub-networks," some using Ethernet technology and some using Ring technology, all using identical protocols, and sharing a single "address space." The sub-networks will be interconnected by means of relatively simple hardware "bridges"; the network as a whole will be connected to the ARPANET via a PDP-11 "gateway" system. This "sub-network" architecture will enable us to evaluate the relative merits of the Ethernet and Ring Net technologies; it will allow us to try out new technologies within our overall network, and it will provide us with a straightforward method of coping with future traffic growth.

Protocol Issues

The LCS Network will not exist in a vacuum. As was mentioned above, our plans already include interconnection to the ARPANET. For this reason, a primary goal in the design of protocols for the LCS Network was to incorporate at an early stage the necessary flexibility to have each host computer, microprocessor, or terminal connected to the LCS Network participate in communications with systems outside the local network in the same way that communications occur within the net. We are thus seriously involved in the internetworking game.

In looking at the protocols available, only TCP (Transmission Control Protocol, Cerf & Kahn) seemed to attack most of the problems of addressing, technology matching, etc. Unfortunately for us, though, TCP seemed
somewhat more complicated than it had to be, so we have
developed a variant called the Data Stream Protocol (DSP)
that we believe is simpler than TCP. DSP is still under
evolution, as is TCP, and it is our hope that they will
eventually merge into a truly simple but general
internetworking protocol.

We are currently trying to look at very flexible
addressing schemes within the networks to allow both generic
addressing of services by name in an internetworking
environment where services are dynamically created and
destroyed, and to improve routing of packets in an
internetwork environment where gateways may choose not to
participate in "optimal routing" negotiations.

While we are not currently developing new higher level
protocols (we expect to use existing ARPANET TELNET and File
Transfer Protocol software as our initial higher level
protocols) we expect to evolve much more effective protocols
to deal with distributed data as time goes on.

An important goal in our participation in an
internetworking environment is to secure our communications
against unauthorized prying. Our experience in designing
the Multics system leads us to believe that protection is an
absolute requirement, even within a university environment.
Consequently, we will be experimenting with the use of
dynamic encryption, probably with the NBS algorithm,
integrated into our end-to-end protocols. We feel that a
protocol with features such as those of DSP or TCP is the
right sort of protocol for use with end-to-end encipherment.

CURRENT SUMMARY OF FORD ACTIVITIES IN LOCAL NETWORKING

R. H. Sherman
M. Gable
G. McClure
Ford Research and Engineering Staff
Dearborn, Michigan

Ford is designing a communication network named
CYBERNET to support local decentralized computing for real
time data acquisition and control in the manufacturing
system. The decentralized broadcast media is similar to
that employed by the Xerox Ethernet. Communication
connections in CYBERNET, however, are made between
processes, not hosts and terminal-oriented devices. The
communication media is cable television (CATV) coax.
Connections to the coaxial cable may employ terminated
resistive taps or a low loss cable impedance matched daisy-chain. In trunk branching situations, a lossy tap fabricated from CATV power splitters is permitted. The transceiver electronic module is 15 conventional chips and serves the function of modulation/demodulation, signal amplification, idle detection, collision detection, and retry timers. The ability to detect collision under signal attenuation has been demonstrated. The modulation is baseband PCM (+3.5 volts) using a D.C. balanced, self synchronizing, encoding of the data bits which requires six times the data bandwidth. The transceiver can be used with micro(mini) computer serial ports provided all of the serial ports on the network use the same baud rate. For a higher performance network with synchronous, bit stuffed, 1.3 megabaud data rate, a fast microprocessor based adapter is combined with the transceiver for functions of packet switching and error control. The message protocol includes free formatted destination, source, control and data fields. The prototype network is being implemented in Research for laboratory automation. Stations will include a PDP-10 computer, an engine dynamometer test facility, a numerically controlled machine tool and an operator station.

The system is being designed to allow interconnection of networks using gateways in order to provide full support of resources. The network protocol is designed to make these interconnections as simple and reliable as possible. The gateways need not contain routing tables associated with the network topology since the message header contains the complete route (pathname) from the source to the destination. This pathname is dynamically constructed during the communications process by each gateway concatenating its name to the source name field and removing its name from the destination field of the message.

LOCAL AREA NETWORKS AT QUEEN MARY COLLEGE

Anthony R. West
Computer Systems Laboratory
Queen Mary College
Mile End Road
London E1 4NS, England

The group in the Computer Systems Laboratory has two main areas of research interest: the first is in the design of low-cost computer systems to promote a high degree of user-interaction; the second is in the architecture of distributed computer systems. In both these fields, the use of a number of low-cost micro- or minicomputers which
cooperate with one another to tackle user applications is not feasible unless some convenient "glue" exists for "sticking" systems together. We see this glue as taking the form of a high-bandwidth local-area computer network, and have been considering network designs for some time now. Although we had been interested in ring networks similar to that used in DCS at the University of California at Irvine, our interest really took shape with the appearance of the paper on the Ethernet Network in use at the Xerox Palo Alto Research Center [Metcalf 76]. We set out to design a similar bus-oriented contention network based on current 8-bit microprocessor technology.

At that time (about August 1976), we came into contact with a group working at the Rutherford High Energy Physics Laboratory who had a requirement for a flexible, extensible, fast, local network to improve the facilities for resource sharing at their site. It was felt that the best way to satisfy their requirement and ours was to embark on a joint development project to construct an Ethernet-like network, which we may decide to call the ENET. This work has been in progress for six months now, and three prototype node controllers based on the Motorola M6800 micro are nearly ready. Testing should take place starting in September. The data rate down the coaxial cable is 3 megabaud and the cable can be up to 2 km long (at present).

In the meanwhile, whilst Rutherford are working on the hardware, we decided to hack together out of the standard building bricks of our M6800 development system a similar network (but of much lower bandwidth) to investigate the software structures and problems inherent in such a network. This network, the CNET (C stands for Cheap!), is based on standard M6800 Asynchronous Communications Controller Circuits with open-collector line-transceivers to interface to the shared coax. The data rate of 76.8 kilobaud is fairly low, but all the software is interrupt driven instead of requiring DMA facilities like the ENET (E stands for Expensive?!). This CNET was intended to give us an accurate model of the future ENET controller (except for DMA) so as to give us a chance to investigate protocol questions in advance of the availability of the Rutherford Hardware. Many of the questions we propose to study are described in [West 77].

The ENET uses synchronous communications and there is a possibility that, after the prototypes have been tested, the production circuits will use HDLC interface circuits. This is highly dependent on the performance of the forthcoming chips and the as yet unknown desirability of using HDLC in both Rutherford's and QMC's contexts. The College Computer
Both networks use passive coaxial cable for a shared transmission medium, and in order to protect this medium from being corrupted by unintentional (or intentional) pollution from nodes, a self-testing facility has been proposed. At regular and frequent intervals (e.g., every 10 seconds?) controllers queue a packet which is sent out onto the network and received by that same controller again. If the entire transmission and reception paths (in both software and hardware) check out, the microprocessor refreshes the timer on a relay. If this relay is not refreshed within some time interval (like 15 seconds?) it opens, disconnecting that node from the net and initiating a restart in the microprocessor software. The node then checks itself (by sending itself a packet without being connected to the net) and if it is functional, connects back to the Ether again. If a failure occurs immediately, the node repeats the process once more before deciding that the Ether is unusable and sounding an alarm.

Our laboratory also houses some undergraduate teaching facilities for Computer Science students. At the moment, these take the form of a PDP 11/40 running the UNIX operating system, and a number of satellite microcomputers supporting intelligent terminals, etc. We are about to acquire a PDP 11/34 and several LSI-11's for research into some Man-Machine Interface questions (like text processing in the distributed office environment) and we intend to start by connecting these to the CNET (and later to the ENET) in order to bootstrap software and share resources. The possibility of interfacing UNIX, local-area networks and long-haul X.25 networks is attractive.

Rutherford has also received approval to build a satellite ground station for a broadcast satellite network to link various research establishments in Europe. We propose to study ways to enable ENET users at Rutherford to send data via satellite to other sites (which may grow interested in local ENET's later).
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DEACNET: ISSUES RELATED TO LOCAL NETWORKING

Stuart Wecker
Research and Development Group
Digital Equipment Corporation
Maynard, Massachusetts

Abstract

The Digital Network Architecture (DNA) is the framework for DECnet implementations. Its goal is to provide efficient and flexible networks for both global and local environments. This paper presents some of the issues and tradeoffs made during the design of DNA which relate specifically to local networks.

Introduction

The Digital Network Architecture (DNA), the framework for the DECnet family of implementations, creates a general networking communication base within which programs and data can be easily accessed and shared. DNA is designed to provide this general resource sharing and distributed processing across a broad range of hardware and software components. It is designed to be efficient in network structures ranging from small local networks of 2 or 3 minicomputers in a single room, to large geographically distributed networks of many large mainframes.

The general approach taken in the architecture is to partition the system functions into: (1) communications, (2) networking, and (3) applications. These are then implemented in a layered structure, each layer creating a richer environment for the layers above it, providing them with a set of functions upon which they can build. A more detailed discussion of the architecture and its components can be found in [1, 2, 3, 4].

The layers and their functions are each reflected in a protocol which provides the communications and synchronization between corresponding layers in the distributed computer systems. The layers of DNA and their functions are:

Communications. The goal of this layer is to create a
sequential error-free data link for the movement of data over a communication channel. Here we are concerned with detecting and correcting bit errors introduced by the data channel and with the management of multipoint and half-duplex channels. The protocol used in DECnet within this layer is DDCMP (Digital Data Communications Message Protocol). Other protocols providing similar functionality are SDLC[5], HDLC[6], and ETHERNET (contention level protocol)[7].

Networking. The goal of this layer is to create a process-to-process communication mechanism that is sequential and flow controlled for the movement of data between communicating nodes. Here we are concerned with routing data between nodes, creating logical data paths between users and providing integrity, sequentiality, and flow control on these data paths. The protocol used in DECnet within this layer is NSP (Network Services Protocol). Other protocols providing similar functionality are the packet level protocols of SNAP (X.25)[8] CYCLADES [9], and the ARPANET Host-to-Host protocol [10].

Applications. The goal of this layer is to create a mechanism for the movement of application data between communicating processes and/or resources. Here we are concerned with communicating with, for example, I/O devices, disk files, system loaders, and the distributed programs of a user application. There may be many application protocols executing in a DECnet network. Some are user created protocols; others are DEC provided such as DAP (Data Access Protocol) used to access files in the network. Other protocols with similar functionality are the ARPANET FTP (File Transfer Protocol) and TELNET (Terminal Access Protocol).

Local Networking

In general, the characteristics of applications and their demands on the network are very similar in both local and global networks. User programs want to communicate with other user programs, access I/O devices and files, and interact with terminals located at other nodes in the network. However, the topologies and physical components used in local networks (systems located within a small geographic area) may be different from those used in large geographically distributed ones. For example:

1. No backbone communication network. Many local networks consist of a small number of host systems directly connected without front-end communication computers or a separate backbone communication network. The hosts perform all
routing, networking, and communication functions.

2. Use of many specialized link types. Local networks tend to choose data links based on interface costs, link length, and performance. Thus, they are able to use asynchronous links, parallel links, and high-speed loops, not always available over large geographic areas.

3. Direct communications. Many local networks are topologically configured with direct point-to-point connections between the end communicating hosts. Typical topologies are stars, trees, and multipoint links, such as loops and ethers, where any node can communicate with any other node, forming completely connected networks.

There are non-geographical differences as well:

1. No central maintenance control. Many small local networks operate without any node being in control of the topology or maintenance of the network, as is usually the case in large networks.

2. Simple routing requirements. Many local networks have no routing requirements at all since they are directly connected. Those that do are usually very simple (either the operator makes changes via commands, or plugs in alternate cables).

Many of these factors were considered in the design of DNA and its protocols. The result is that many features have been included to enhance DECnet's efficiency in local networking environments. Some of these design features are:

1. Common network level protocol. All nodes in a DECnet network are equivalent at the network level. The characteristics of a node (host, front-end, router) depend on its functional use and physical location in the network. Host computers use the same NSP protocol to communicate with other host computers as they do to communicate with front-ends and switching nodes. In local networks the hosts may be directly connected without intervening communication computers. The addition of communication computers and/or a backbone communication network is transparent to the hosts, since they use the same protocol to communicate with the communication computers as they do to communicate with other hosts. Thus, some nodes may be "front-ended" to off-load some communication functions, while others, with excess processing capability, may directly communicate in the network without using a front-end. This commonality of protocol gives the user the flexibility to configure the network based on application requirements and computing node
capability, rather than on networking structure requirements.

2. Subset level of network protocol. Directly connected networks, where the end communicating systems are connected via a direct channel, are common in local configurations. Here, some functions of the NSP protocol may be omitted to eliminate the duplication of function with other levels, and increase the efficiency of the network. On directly connected links, the link level protocol provides an error-free sequential end-to-end path. The normal end-to-end functions of timeout and retransmission are omitted from NSP for simplification in the hosts. In more geographically distributed networks, communication computers can be added to perform the routing, timeout, and retransmission functions needed in these topologies. These functions are added via an intercept function in the communication computers, which accepts the subset NSP protocol from the hosts and adds these features, creating a superset protocol, suitable for use in these larger networks. This interception is totally transparent to the host. A host may participate in both a local (directly connected) and global network using the same network protocol, the host protocol code always being optimal for the environment in which it executes.

3. Extensible fields. For efficiency in small networks many of the NSP protocol fields have been made variable-length. This allows efficient use of short fields within small local networks, while allowing expansion for use in larger ones. Some examples are the node address, logical link address, process name, and accounting fields. In addition, a hierarchical addressing scheme has been used, dividing node addresses into node areas and addresses within areas. In local networks all nodes may be in the same area, reducing the addressing in the system.

4. Independence of link level protocol from physical link characteristics. The DDCMP protocol was specifically designed to be as independent as possible from the specific characteristics of the data link. Synchronization is defined specific to each type of data link used. A byte count field is used to locate the end of a message, detaching it from any specific characteristic of the link. It has been implemented on synchronous, asynchronous, and 16-bit parallel channels.

5. Optional routing header and changeable algorithm. The NSP routing header may be omitted in directly connected systems. In these configurations the receiver assumes that it, itself, is the destination and the sender is the source.
This increases the overall bit efficiency of the protocol when used in such configurations. The routing algorithm is independent of the NSP protocol and may be changed based upon the requirements of the configuration. In local networks, simple algorithms, such as change on operator command, may be implemented while complex adaptive algorithms may be used in large global networks.

6. Layered structure. In layered structures, each layer performs specific functions while hiding the techniques and protocol used within that layer. The layer is only visible through the interfaces with which it communicates to the layers above and below it. This allows clean replacement of layers with functionally equivalent layers. Implementation of DECnet in a ring or ether structured environment only requires replacement of the DDCMP protocol with a suitable ring or contention link level protocol. This would result in a fully connected network, and allow use of the directly connected subset of the network protocol described above, eliminating duplication of function.

7. No central maintenance. The maintenance features of the network have been made independent of the basic structure, as is done with the routing algorithm. The network will operate with each node executing independently, coordinating with the other nodes via the protocols. Any maintenance features can be added at higher levels in the structure to provide overall control of the network. In small local networks such features may not be necessary, and may be omitted.

8. Routing in a star topology. The intercept function, described in (2) above, includes the capability for routing between end nodes connected via a single intermediate node. For example, a star configuration where the central node performs the intercept routing for the network. In this case the end nodes use the directly connected subset of the network protocol. They may have messages routed to other nodes without the addition of communication computers or use of the superset protocol. The central node may participate in application level functions as well. These topologies are very common in local area networking structures.

Conclusions

The requirements of local networks are not significantly different from those of large geographically distributed networks. Differences exist mostly in their physical topologies and data link characteristics. The protocols designed for local networks must perform the same functions as those designed for larger global networks. By
Taking into account the geographical differences in the design of the protocols comprising the DECnet architecture, the same protocols and structure are used very effectively in local networking situations, without compromising their effectiveness in large geographical applications.
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The following topics were identified as candidates for discussion:

- Transceiver design
- Cable technology and the "tap"
- Isolation
- Optical transmission
- Interfaces
- Architecture
- Virtual circuits versus datagrams
- Guaranteeing performance
- Availability
- Standards

Participants requested that their names not appear in the session notes. The session opened with a discussion of standards, the general feeling being that standards would be premature for most aspects and would inhibit innovation. Later in the discussion it was suggested that the implementation of certain functions in LSI would be aided by standardizing these functions in order to achieve a sufficient production base to offset the LSI design and set-up costs.

It was observed that much of the existing design effort has been done without the benefit of extensive RF engineering, and participants were invited to comment on their experience in this regard. Some implementations were developed, around Jerrold Electronics CATV equipment, including facilities for taps. An example was given of 3-megabit operation with 3-volt signals. The Jerrold equipment was modified to reduce losses introduced by taps. Coaxial cables were contrasted with twisted pairs for transmission lines. Coax was cited as advantageous in that a single cable could be run throughout an installation and used for a variety of services through multiplexing. It was noted that proper grounding can be a problem, since there may be voltage differences in the grounds between different facilities and this can result in heavy currents in the coax shield.
Examples were given of using the center conductor of a coaxial cable for transmitting power for powering electronic devices along the cable, such as repeaters.
Tradeoffs between implementing functions in hardware or software were discussed. If speed is the governing factor, it is generally necessary to resort to hardware. Designer preference is likely to play a substantial part in the decision. An example was given using the hardware for receiving because of the large volume of received data but doing the rest in software for transmission. When the data, however, at the station can be used, it is more likely to be in the hardware.
Another participant's approach is shown below:
Availability was discussed briefly. Some examples were given of loopback features which enable a station to perform self-testing. However, this requires two buffers, and minimal system cannot afford this. The use of monitoring in the Packet Radio Network was cited as a means of determining circuit holding.
control in ALOHA/Ethernet systems is a prime target for these activities.

4) Two operations, namely, allocation and de-ALOHA are utilized. One group sees them as the ultimate channel control, while the other views them as secondary channel management. The former is primarily concerned with coordinating access to the channel, while the latter is more concerned with the actual transmission of data. However, both groups recognize the importance of cooperation between the two operations to ensure efficient and effective channel utilization.

ERI
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Interface</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Hardware</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethernet</td>
<td>daughteraker</td>
<td>flow control</td>
<td>and end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIT-LAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>interface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoD</td>
<td></td>
<td>interface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hardware</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table Notes:**
- Flow control:
  - Place the flow control end of the cable.
- Daughteraker:
  - Make sure that the daughteraker is at least 2.51 cm away from the end of the cable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hardware</td>
<td>2k x 4k</td>
<td>Company 1</td>
<td>LSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Software</td>
<td>4k x 8k</td>
<td>Company 2</td>
<td>Hardware</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3. Network Level proc.
   - routing
   - congestion control
   - virtual circuit creation
   - end-to-end acknowledgment
   - flow control
   - sequencing

I/O device
fill area
1. High bandwidth (so high that the communications network itself is not a bottleneck)

2. Low delay (delays on the network are very long)

3. Reliability (the network is very robust)

4. Links
bandwidth allows you to stay simple and use the network as an extension of a given local capability.

Why not use a standard protocol for all local nets? Because in many cases we are not solving a general problem with local nets. We build local networks to solve specific intercommunication problems, usually the solutions require very high bandwidths.

is very much applications dependent. In our case we have a little problem because the network might be physically small and yet we have a small geographical area where many different users physically live. Hence, for us, we need to solve a security problem.
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The presentation today is an overview of the current status of the local network applications project, which is an ongoing effort to develop and evaluate different types of local area networks. The project is focused on understanding the requirements and characteristics of local networks in order to support various applications. The discussion today will cover the current status, ongoing work, and future plans of the project.

In order to effectively develop and deploy local area networks, it is important to understand the specific needs and requirements of the applications that will be using the network. This includes factors such as bandwidth, latency, and reliability, as well as the types of data that will be transmitted across the network. The presentation will also discuss the technical challenges that are currently being addressed and the potential solutions that are being considered.

The goals of the project are to develop a network architecture that can support a wide range of applications, while also being scalable and adaptable to changing requirements. The project is expected to produce a set of recommendations for the design and implementation of local area networks, which will be useful for both researchers and practitioners in the field.
it was stated that the user doesn't care if the mean response time is 1.5 seconds with a deviation of 0.5 seconds. What he really cares about is that no task takes more than two seconds. Therefore, one measure that is useful to this user is a guaranteed maximum response time.

The following are the parameters that were found in the application of the network to know which of the definitions are not complete. It was felt that they represent a minimal set.
7. What is the long term data rate that the network will support? Over what period of time may this rate be specified?

In addition to the technical requirements of the network, it is often not possible to specify the exact characteristics of the user devices and their interaction with the network. This variability means that the network design must be flexible enough to accommodate a wide range of user requirements.

In practice, this means that the network must be designed to support a variety of user devices and applications. This flexibility is achieved through the use of standardized protocols and interfaces, which allow different devices to communicate with each other in a consistent and predictable manner.

The design of the network must also take into account the potential for future expansion and growth. This requires careful consideration of the network infrastructure, including the selection of appropriate hardware and software components. By designing the network with flexibility in mind, it is possible to accommodate future changes in user requirements and technology developments.

In summary, the design of the network must be based on a thorough understanding of the user requirements and the potential for future growth. This requires careful consideration of a wide range of factors, including the selection of appropriate technology, the design of the network infrastructure, and the selection of appropriate protocols and interfaces.

Therefore, the long term data rate that the network will support can be specified over the period of time that the network is designed to support. This period of time should be long enough to accommodate the expected growth in user requirements and technology developments.
Internetworking
A vertical cut through protocol layers.
Local network to local network interface.
Local network to global network interface.

Network Architecture
Distributed computing
Naming
Monitoring
How to tell the user what is happening.
Language-driven approaches
Data and commands for existing operating systems.
Approaches transferring grammar nearer user.

INTERNETWORKING

Vertical Cut

It was quickly agreed that the interest of this group spanned the full vertical cut through the layers of protocol from the hardware up to the user. This is to be compared with the interests of the Network Operating Systems session.
Local to local network interconnection

The discussion of the interconnection of local networks led to the division of the problem into two categories: networks or network segments with essentially identical protocols (or at least packet designs), and networks with substantially different designs. In the first case the interconnecting means could be logically simple, Pogran proposing the name Bridge. The second case was felt to be similar to connection to global networks through a Gateway capable of complex protocol transformation.

What Does a Bridge Do?

The Bridge, as described, is essentially a store-and-forward packet repeater with address filtering. The drawing in Fig. 1 formed the basis of the discussion of the bridge and the contrast between a bridge and a Gateway. The portion of Fig. 1 to the left of the broken vertical line may be considered as a single local area network, made up of four segments. In the example, these segments were assumed to operate differently, a high-speed ethernet, a ring, a low-speed ethernet, and a segment of unspecified-but compatible-design. These segments are connected by bridges.

![Figure 1. Multisegment Local Network Employing Bridges](image-url)
The discussion identified the following positive statements about the network and the bridges:

A common address space encompassed all segments of the network(s) interconnected by bridges.

The packet design of all interconnected segments must be the same in all internal address, control and data fields. There may be local control and synchronizing bits local to each segment, that are stripped on entry to the bridge and added on exit on the new segment.

The packet-repeater has a limited packet-buffering ability and merely ignores further packets when all its buffers are full.

The bridge performs an address-filtering function. That is, it examines the destination address in each packet to determine if it needs to be repeated through onto the other network. If not the packet is not repeated (discarded). This is a powerful function for reducing network load if much traffic is localized on the individual segments.

There must be an end-to-end protocol. The bridge itself does not issue acknowledgements in an ethernet situation and only does such acknowledgement in a ring as is necessary to avoid repeat transmissions.

Since there is storage in the bridge, it effectively breaks the contention area in an ethernet, thus increasing the efficiency of the resulting segments (which is related to the delay between the most distant stations participating in the contention). This is of particular interest in the case of the "Long Bridge" in Fig. 1, where the use of the bridge removes the very long delay and consequent loss of efficiency which would result if the distant segment were connected through a conventional non-buffered repeater amplifier.

There was an extended discussion of the ramifications of alternate or redundant paths as would be represented by bridge B4. This device might be installed to increase network reliability. Each packet from segment 1 would reach segment 3 directly, and another copy by the indirect path through segment 2, thus needlessly doubling network traffic. The situation would become worse with additional redundant paths. It was felt that there might be some oscillatory situations in more complex networks. One possible solution to truncate excessive copies would be to append a hop count
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each time a packet was repeated. A limit would be set on the number of times a single copy was repeated.

A bridge would have an extremely rudimentary routing table. In most cases this would consist of the ranges of addresses reached through each of its ports. An alternate solution would be to adaptively form a routing table based on the source field of each received packet.

Some Things Bridges Don't Do

Bridges do not originate packets.
Bridges do not add protocol.
Bridges do not contain routing information beyond that required to direct output to their correct port.
Bridges do not confirm correct delivery of packets, this must be an end-to-end function.

The Long Bridge

A special version of the bridge can be used where a segment of a local network is some distance away. In this case the bridge is split down the middle with a high-speed data link between the two halves. To conform with the definitions of the bridge, this data link must have sufficient bandwidth that it does not form a bottleneck to data flow. Since each bridge is bidirectional, and loss of contention efficiency is generally associated with the placing of packets on a segment, the packets would presumably be buffered at the end of the link nearest their destination. An interesting consequence of the idea of the long bridge is that a network consisting of two similar segments connected by a long bridge spanning many kilometers (a time delay of several packets), would still meet the definition of a local network.

Gateways

When two networks, or segments, differ substantially in protocol or packet design; or if sophisticated routing strategies are to be employed, the interconnecting device must be of greater complexity. The concept of this Gateway is fairly well understood. It was accepted that the complexity of connection between dissimilar local networks was essentially equal to that of connection between a local network and a global network. A gateway joins two (or more) networks and may perform translations at all levels, from electrical, link-level protocol, end-to-end protocol, teletype protocol or character conversions, and user-to-user conversions such as with error messages.
The performance of a gateway will be poor if it is asked to connect between networks which differ greatly, since similar functions may not exist in the two networks. The example of ARPANET vs. Tymnet was given to contrast a terminal-oriented network and a host-oriented network.

Gateways may do complex routing and may initiate communication with other gateways and hosts.

Reed mentioned a few points concerning gateways that all designers of local networks should remember. The likelihood of eventually desiring to connect a local network to a global network is so great that it is foolish to design a local network without considering this interconnection in the design. Be prepared to use X.25 (or some equally widespread) standard for connection outside the local network. This should minimize the translation requirements in the gateway.

Be prepared to add security within your local network should it be connected to a global network.

NETWORK ARCHITECTURE

Distributed Computing

There was an extended discussion of process/user naming in distributed systems. Sherman described an approach in which, after initial connect, communication between processes was by means of packets in which the whole path name was concatenated at the start of the packet. As the packet progressed toward the receiver, each level in the path removed the first item in the destination field (its own name) and prepended it to the source address string. Thus when a packet reached the intended recipient the destination field would contain only the name of the destination, but the source field would contain the entire path from the sender, in correct order to be used as a destination field for a return packet. See Fig. 2.

The full pathname between the sender and receiver would be obtained by a broadcast enquiry of a directory. Duplicate directories might exist but would (hopefully) be identical. The connection between process name and pathname would be provided by the directory, and would allow access control to be maintained by the directory to offer some security.
Reed described a similar dynamic naming scheme, similar to a Multics pathname structure, Fig. 3. In this case each node has a process which knows the names of connected branches (nodes). These processes (directories) must be followed in sequence to find the intended destination. For example the process of name aa.bc.bc would be located by determining from process aa the location aa.bc. Each level strips off its level name and appends route information. Process aa.bc would then be required to indicate the location of process, aa.bc.bc. This kind of approach can be followed to any depth. Once determined, the routing information can be used directly for further packets.

Two approaches were presented to maintain up-to-date directories.

Sherman: Grow the tree information by broadcasting from a node when it comes alive, and occasionally thereafter.

Prune the tree by discarding directory information if a node has not been heard from in longer than the broadcasting period.
FIGURE 3. LOGICAL NAME STRUCTURE

Reed: Grow directory by each node telling its parent(s) that it is present and will be for a specified timeout period.

Prune information by deleting from directory if the timeout expires.

There was some surprise that such a distributed approach would be of interest to a person involved in industrial manufacturing automation. Sherman pointed out the manufacturing consequences of failures in inflexible systems and the desire to obtain continued operation in the event of failure of some servers.

Monitoring

Rosenthal felt that it was often important for the user, or at least the system control personnel, to know the current status of a network. This is a high-level protocol issue not generally faced. He also enquired if any participants had been able to find an important use for the "all-points" broadcast feature (with ACKs) built into many
Types of monitoring which might be done are:

2. May listen only to header information to gather traffic information.

The information obtained through monitoring may be used for operational purposes such as making high-level decisions for the user about strategies to follow for network traffic optimization. It may also be used for accounting purposes. It is clearly of interest in diagnosis of network malfunctions. It is also important in evaluation of network "tuning".

If detailed monitoring, such as full header information, percentage of packets damaged, etc., the available speed of monitoring equipment may be the limiting factor on network data rate. In the case of less detailed information gathering, the addition of monitoring will generally not require a reduction in network data rate.

Language-driven Approaches

There was a short discussion of the locality for action on commands. It was noted that there is some pressure to move this nearer the user. The concept of a Network Access Machine (NAM) which can translate between a common set of commands and those required by various servers was presented. The user-level interaction can be tailored to even the individual user, including correction of his habitual errors.

It was suggested that the incompatibility of system commands may be transitory problem, at least if the National Software Works is successful with a common network operating system.

Rogers wondered whether local networks will be run by more cooperative people than global networks. No one was very optimistic.

Zobrist emphasized that many users wanted a distributed computing system in which the user could ask for a type of service rather than for a specific machine. If in fact, the assigned machine proved inadequate, the process should automatically migrate to a suitable machine without user request or detection.
Dr. Kimbleton opened the proceedings by outlining a possible set of functions and objectives of Network Operating Systems as follows:

The underlying assumptions of the discussion are:

1. Heterogeneous host computers

2. Bursty transmission characteristics

3. A host operating system is inviolate

The network operating system should meet the following objectives which collectively will provide a uniform user viewpoint of the network resources:

1. Terminal support
2. Network Job Execution (somewhat related to remote job entry)
3. Network data support
4. Control
These four requirements break out into:

a. User-System interface:
   1. Command language
   2. File management (resources)
   3. Network Job Execution

b. System-System Interface:
   - Inter-process communication (IPC)
     - Remote record access (access to files or data sets at the record level thus avoiding the need to actually transfer files)
     - There are a set of data format mapping problems associated with preserving the logical structure of data as well as the data types. This problem is generally referred to as "data translation."

Inter-process Communication (IPC) Levels

a. end-to-end - similar to capabilities provided by a Job Control Language
b. call/return based - implied wait for return
c. message based - send a message, continue until a response returns later
d. problems of synchronization and mutual exclusion must be resolved

One mechanism looked upon favorably is a version of the UNIX "PIPE", generalized to be more independent - i.e., not just between siblings, and also to include a mechanism for mutual exclusion from resources.

Dynamic Network Reconfiguration

Another aspect of the restorability issue raised below is the need for a higher level means of configuring a network at initialization time. For example, if you are running a 10 to 12 computer network, odds are higher that failed components will exist than if you are running a 6 computer network. The issue is, how do you cope with these outages? What is desirable is a descriptive language interface that allows a network operator to define the
mapping of processes into processors and how the processes "pipe line" together in a network functional configuration.

To achieve this, a set of "schema" much like a database mapping language might employ are required. These schema would be executed by some system "manager." The ability to dynamically "bind" and "re-bind" processes is an important reliability factor to people using networks in a real time environment.

**Errors**

Error control is a problem somewhat aggravated by the complexities of a network. There are several approaches to the management of error conditions.

In some cases, operational requirements place the emphasis on the prevention of error conditions. For example, in the manufacturing industry, a network failure in a parts assembly line could cause the entire production line to stop. Similarly, when instrumenting an expensive laboratory experiment, it is a disaster if all the data is not captured. In these situations there is strong motivation to minimize the potential for error. In a university time-sharing environment on the other hand, there is correspondingly less motivation for flawless operation because the users can tolerate and recover from outages.

Another aspect of the issue is how recovery from failure is effected. There are some unanswered questions, e.g., do you reinitialize everything? Do you leave failed components off until the following day in order to preserve uninterrupted although degraded service? Again, particular strategies depend on the nature of the network.

The conclusions were that errors should be dealt with in terms of system reliability and availability when designing a real time system. Reliability connotes a low failure rate for component parts of a network; but availability, a perhaps more important criterion, connotes the expectancy that all of the parts needed by a user are capable of doing the job when they are wanted. Restorability is in the more traditional sense of "mean time to restore" but the restoration strategy must be developed to meet operational requirements of a particular network.
Network Language Issues

One important need is for a language (or hierarchy of languages) suitable for use in a network environment. In a network of heterogeneous hosts, not only are data represented in different ways but differing implementations of "standard" languages produce inconsistent results.

At CERN, the use of interpreters to deal with source statements has been the mechanism for achieving some semblance of compatibility. An interpretive approach to representation of data has also been employed.

It was pointed out that a Command Language Interpreter is just that—an interpreter—and that inefficiencies are common with the interpretive approach. Even so, a command procedure language, based on assemblages of lower level functions, would be of benefit to naive users. For example, the statement "EXECUTE = Group 2 in Computer b" is easy enough to deal with (where group 2 is the function being invoked).

Although there is need for a network oriented language or family of languages, there is always the problem of getting a new language accepted by users. People are reluctant to learn a new one when they can do what they want to do with languages they already know.

Compilers/Data Structures

Current research in compilers and how they treat data structures is important to networking technology. It is necessary to deal with data in the system in independent ways for inter-host interoperability. Traditionally, compilers have taken advantage of local conventions and not retained information describing the data structures to be dealt with by the compiled code.

Access Control - Versus Capability Based Control

Security can be provided by access control mechanisms based on access control lists or "tickets." In distributed systems, either approach presents a problem. However, local networks with high bandwidth provide a reasonable environment for a ticket-based mechanism because control information can be exchanged rapidly. Another alternative for access control is to provide an authority mechanism for naming "pipes." If an object to be operated on is viewed as a capability, a "pipe" can be invoked by a user as long as it is within his name space.
At the outset the attendees decided to center workshop discussion around issues germane to, first, the user's viewpoint of the network, and subsequently to the designer's viewpoint of the network.

I. User's Viewpoint

Network availability, message throughput, and message response time (including a measure of guaranteed service) were identified as the three network attributes most significant to the user. The meaning of availability and throughput are discussed in the Section II. Guaranteed service is meant to imply a guarantee that each message will be transmitted before a specified number of time units has elapsed since its presentation to the communications subnetwork.

II. Designer's Viewpoint

The areas identified as being of major concern to the network designer included:

a) technology selection (including access protocol),
b) transmission path medium selection,
c) instrumentation of the communication network to measure performance,
d) selection of performance measures, and
e) the selection of modelling tools and model features.
a) Technology Selection

The following list of technology dependent options was constructed. Namely it was concluded that the designer must select from among:

1. random access broadcast
2. rings
3. polling
4. TDMA
5. Direct connection
6. Store and forward
7. Circuit switching
8. Shared memory

It was also observed that systems representing realizations of each alternative either exist or are under design.

b) Path Medium Selection

It was observed that most links are realized by either:

1. twisted pairs
2. coaxial TV cable, but that
3. fiber optic links are being investigated by a number of researchers (e.g., Xerox, Honeywell).

The transmission rates expected are in the 1-5 megabit per second range, but some are lower, and some (Network Systems Corporation) are rated as high as 50 megabits per second.

It was observed that as a "rule of thumb" a processor produces 1 bit of I/O per instruction executed. On the basis of this rule a machine's ability to develop (require) a trunk in the 100 Mb/s range would dictate that it operate at the 500 Mi/s level. That is fast. This observation suggests that from an efficiency point of view fiber optics is not an efficient medium, in the sense defined in the ETHERNET paper (CACM, 19, 7, July, 1976).

Following is a side discussion that developed concerning the placement of protocol (e.g., access, collision detection, realization of retransmission policy) related matters: specifically, what and how much of this machinery should be cast in the hardware, and how much should be relegated to software in the connected host. One approach (Xerox) has been to place as much as possible in software to minimize hardware cost, while another (Network Systems Corporation) is to remove most functions from host software and handle them in Bus Interface Unit firmware. No consensus of opinion evolved, although the attendees seemed to favor
moving the majority of the tasks to the interface unit and away from the host software.

c) Instrumentation of the Network

The instrumentation should be provided in such a way that no external instrumentation is needed to extend a test and whether it has been included in the original design.
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represented a crucial element of the model, and then that the following traffic models should be considered:

1. **Independent Poisson process traffic**
2. **Deterministic (periodic) traffic**
3. **Bi-modal traffic**, representing the long and short traffic request distributions of messages destined for messages emanating from the dominant use application. Dependent traffic (e.g., real traffic in a mix of Poisson and periodic real traffic resulting from data collected from a live network with both finite and infinite population) is also useful.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Traffic Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Independent Poisson process traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Deterministic (periodic) traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bi-modal traffic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The session concluded with several discussions on the (at least in some instances) designer's quest for novelty and the effect of protocol on efficiency. It was noted that the degree of novelty in a design is:

1. Inversely proportional to confidence in place in the resulting system.
2. Limited by available manpower.

Also noted was the use of different protocol efficiencies and implementations that led to the efficiency of the protocol. In static (and resilient) sessions, flow rates (work in packets) to avoid the path (testing) the load in a location.
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