The multiple role of the government legislator necessitates the constant evaluation of relevant and diverse information for effective decision-making, and requests for direct access of information have resulted in the use of video screen terminals and online computer services. Computerized bibliographic files augment traditional information support by executive branch subject specialists and libraries. Congressional support of the coordinated development of technology-supported information systems has resulted in legislative branch information resources covering many aspects of governmental decision-making. State legislators have information needs similar to those of Congress; they could also benefit from an increased use of computer technologies. Advantages and disadvantages of applying computer technology to identifiable legislative activities must be considered when developing new information services. However, the effectiveness and responsiveness of any such information system will depend basically upon the managerial competence and political astuteness of those who establish the policy and procedures governing its operation. (CWM)
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I. INTRODUCTION

Perhaps at no point in the history of the Republic has the need of senior governmental decision-makers for useful information been more acute. The 1970 decade has been characterized by an unprecedented array of critical domestic problems — air and water pollution, transportation snarl, crime prevention and administration of justice, housing for the nonaffluent, and substandard education and welfare services — which require measured judgment by officials at all levels of Government.

Within the Congress, functioning under a charter established long ago, the search for greater efficiency and effectiveness has been intensified in recent years. The Legislative Reorganization Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-510) provided guidance and procedures in certain key areas, including an emphasis on types of information support essential to the legislative and administrative operations of the Congress. For instance, budgetary and fiscal data, so critical to the congressional oversight role, would be collected and maintained in an executive branch computer-supported system. This information resource has proven to be useful in enhancing the ability of responsible congressional elements to make "more meaningful comparisons between the costs of Federal programs and their benefits" while permitting "the extraction of many other types of specialized information about the fiscal aspects of Federal activities." More recently, as a result of the passage of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-344), the newly created Congressional Budget Office and the two Budget Committees have begun using advanced information technology in

handling fiscal and budgetary data obtained from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and those derived from their own analyses.

Another thrust of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1970 goes to the heart of Member, committee, and staff needs for the type of research and analytical support provided by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). Several new duties were defined which encompass both a refinement of analytical and advisory services and a clear implication that more carefully structured and maintained files, better tools allowing selective retrieval of needed data from those files, and the rigorous use of available advanced technology would be required to:

1. Assist committees in analyzing, appraising, and evaluating the advisability of enacting legislative proposals and alternatives thereto and estimating their probable results; maintain continuous liaison with committees.

2. Inform committees of programs and activities scheduled to expire in the current Congress.

3. Provide committees with lists of subjects and policy areas suitable for analysis in depth.

4. Upon request, prepare concise legislative histories of measures upon which committee hearings are to be held. 2/

Such "user requirements" reflect the serious thinking which has been undertaken by those seeking to ease the burdens so long carried by Members and their staffs. Above all, time is of the essence where the Member's allocation of his personal and staff resources is concerned. Fulfilling, as he does, a multiple role — legislative conceptualist and debater, key determinant in the deliberations and recommendations of his committees and subcommittees, and ombudsman

serving his constituents — he should be able to identify, modify as required, and periodically evaluate the information that his office must have to function effectively.
II. MEMBER INFORMATION NEEDS THROUGH A PRISM

As the busy Congressman and his staff endeavor to provide the range of services implied by this multi-faceted role, they continue to search for ways to "work smart." Always there is the need for information — facts, quotations, statistics, citations, guidelines, pro's and con's, precedents, research summaries — information that is accurate, as comprehensive as possible, timely where necessary, and above all, relevant. In many quarters of Government today, there are references to "the white plague," the plethora of paperwork that threatens to engulf the bureaucrat, the top echelon decision-maker, and the populace at large.

Senators and Representatives have enunciated on numerous occasions, both informally and in surveys, their awareness of the "information problem." For the most part, they stress that the problem is not one of lacking specific information, although that obviously may arise from time to time. More often, the dilemma is which information to use, how to screen out the spurious or less useful data from the nuggets of truth. Each decision to be made is arrived at by a process which involves logic, hard facts, expert interpretation, a comparison of alternatives, political acumen, a "gut reaction," and a consideration of the real world situation as the Member sees it. How much reliance must he place upon his own staff or external resources in obtaining the information that he has to have? Indicative of the Member's incredibly diverse information needs is this typical calendar:

7:30 a.m. Breakfast with constituent group
8:45 a.m. Review speech draft with Administrative Assistant
9:00 a.m. Discussion with two colleagues of terminology in a bill up for debate
9:30 a.m. Telephone conversation with staff member in district office about pressing local problem
9:50 a.m. Meeting with visiting constituent who has a distressing problem involving an executive branch agency
Even the most cursory examination of this truncated schedule reveals the variety of research, staff interaction, and decision-making which must take place. And all of these actions must occur against a backdrop of "drop-in" visitors' inquiries, official and constituent correspondence, and the omnipresent telephone.

Listen to the comments of the Members themselves as they reflect upon their information needs. Their candor is an indication of the importance attached to obtaining better information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information that is current and reliable on the content and status of proposed legislation</td>
<td>&quot;I want to find out when hearings are to be held; who are the witnesses; can other witnesses be scheduled or programmed?&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Floor action on a bill | "We don't know what's going to happen on the floor. Trying to follow the whip notices, and what is happening on the floor; there is a slight difference as to what takes place."
| Information about Federal grants, loans, projects, and contracts—programs in existence, and status of individual application by constituents | "We stumble across a program that is being used badly in a district. The money is available but it is just sheer luck if we find it."
| Information for evaluation of Federal programs, especially funding ramifications | "Congress ought to move on our [sic] own initiative to develop some sort of capacity to make our own budget analysis."
| Information on the scope and nature of Federal programs throughout the country | "It is not uncommon to have to place seven or eight calls to get through to the right bureaucrat."
Great stress continues to be placed within the Congress on attaining a heightened capability for budget analysis and program evaluation. Legislative proposals introduced during recent Congresses have provided for the creation of legislative branch offices to perform these essential tasks in a way that will allow the legislators to conduct their oversight more effectively.

Just as the Member has his hour-to-hour quandary about which sets of facts to ask for, much less use, so the administrative and legislative assistants, the special caseworkers, the committee staffers also must know how to exploit their information contacts. At the Member's hurried request, these de facto information specialists must be able to ferret out the latest White House utterance, editorial comment, or recommendation by an esteemed "think tank." And such information must then be readied for the Member to use in a variety of ways: press release, comment over coffee with a colleague or in chamber debate, reflection during a "meet the press" interview, or as a possible amendment to a bill being considered in committee session. Ideally, Members would like to be given an "executive brief" in corporate parlance or a "daily estimate" as prepared for many senior military commanders. A number of Members asked the Congressional Research Service (CRS) to look into the feasibility of establishing an "issue briefing system" which would furnish the essential elements of information on a wide range of topics before the Congress. In response to these requests a computerized file, known as the Major Issues System, now features "briefs" on more than 200 active issues and makes them available as cathode ray tube (CRT) displays or in printed form.

Patterns of handling the myriad information needs of Congress and its constituents are shifting, as the volume and variety of requests proliferate. Committee staffs today find themselves occasionally being asked to fulfill Member's constituent problems. The expertise required to cope with many of the current
domestic and foreign issues often must be sought outside the Member's personal or committee staff resources. Such factors as these necessarily affect the types of persons selected for the congressional staffs, and the degree of reliance on external support groups.

Two recent developments have enhanced Members' direct access to computerized information. The Senate, working through its Committee on Rules and Administration, has facilitated placement of video screen terminals in virtually all Member offices. (See figure 1.) Thus far, 98 Senate offices are equipped with these devices which allow direct and immediate access to the CRS computerized data base that includes the Bill Digest File, the Bibliographic Citation File, and the Major Issues System. In the House of Representatives, House Order Number 23 (1975) authorized each Member to spend up to $1,000 a month out of clerk-hire funds for "computer services." More than 200 House offices now have on-line capabilities -- many having two or more terminal devices, including some located in district offices -- supporting various administrative and legislative tasks.

---

Figure 1

Congressional Videoscreen (CRT) Terminal Station
III. UTILIZATION OF EXTERNAL INFORMATION SERVICES

As the Congress has come to realize that it no longer can depend solely upon its in-house staffs and some of the traditional information resources elsewhere in Government and the private sector, a twin-pronged effort has been initiated to ensure acquisition of even better information. Information systems groups skilled in the use of computer and microform technology have been created within the House and Senate, and are offering such services or products as electronic voting (in the House), addressing and mailing (in the Senate), legislative calendar preparation, storage of campaign expenditure data, bill status information, and voting record information. But in addition, a major look is being taken at what exists in the Federal executive branch and beyond.

The Members and committees of the Federal legislature always have funneled many types of requests to the Federal executive establishment. In the volume, Both Your Houses, the author points out that:

ready access to legitimate facts on the costs and performance of the Defense Department can be of incalculable value to an increasingly questioning Congress, which will have to be on the alert for any attempt to cloak routine information with a security blanket. 5/

An inquiry from a Congressman to the executive branch usually is routed through designated liaison officers who will seek to expedite responses. Regardless of the effectiveness of this channel, however, the Congressman who would demand for himself or his committee salient budgetary or planning information must be prepared to do certain things:

Many types of bibliographic files have been computerized. The National Library of Medicine makes available the MEDLINE service, an on-line version of the Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System (MEDLARS).
IV. LEGISLATIVE BRANCH INFORMATION RESOURCES

During the 1970's, there has been a serious and sustained commitment of...
House Information Systems Group handles all of the information developmental and service operations.
Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974. For the most part, these systems were developed and are maintained by commercial vendors under the aegis of the House Information Systems (HIS) group. The following programs include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem Name</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget Tracking System</td>
<td>Financial Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Control System</td>
<td>Management Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Data Base</td>
<td>Internal Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement Data</td>
<td>Administrative Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Analysis</td>
<td>Industry and Federal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparative Studies</td>
<td>International (USA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
retrieval" programming through use of the SCORPIQ software, data files featuring official information on Senators, Senate committees, and committee membership. These include information on Majority and Minority staff.
by law. An overview of computer services provided to committees and other budget agencies and the public is as follows.

- Senate appropriations
- House appropriations
- Budget
- Library
- Committee Services
- Computer Services
- Library
- Minnesota Legislative Council
- Minnesota Legislative Reference Library
- House and Senate Library
- Library of Congress
- Library of the University of Minnesota
- Library of the University of North Dakota
- Library of the University of South Dakota
- Library of the University of Wisconsin
- Library of the University of Iowa
- Library of the University of Minnesota
- Library of the University of North Dakota
- Library of the University of South Dakota
- Library of the University of Wisconsin
Computers, microfilm, and other technologies are employed by the Senate as it copes with massive addressing and mailing responsibilities. Receives and stores Senate campaign contributions in the office and performs such
Figure 3

Chronicle of Key Steps Toward Improved Congressional Information Support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Referred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Referred</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The table and text are not fully legible due to the quality of the image.*
The General Accounting Office's "watchdog" role is well-known, and in recent years the thoroughness of its detailed and sometimes massive analyses of governmental operations often has been sharpened by the use of computers in program analysis.
1. Data which are already, of necessity, being processed on ADP equipment and must be printed in high volume.

2. Data to be printed in volume and which could be handled advantageously through the use of computer processing.
a congressional office staffer wishes to see the full text of certain documents, a request to that effect will be honored by the library, system improvements
Congress is a relatively open system, and it is unlikely to escape such fundamental changes as the computer will introduce in American society, social organization, and education itself. 16/

As the Members' perception of what information might be available to them, and in what forms, improves, certain decisions can commence to be made regarding source selection. Timeliness of the data in any system, whether computerized or not, and the depth of coverage provided may sharply limit the options open to congressional committees and Members needing selected narrative and statistical information. In some instances, where definitive material could be recovered and synthesized if time were no factor, the Congressman may have to accept less perfect information with which to make his decision. And while many of the traditional periodicals such as the Congressional Quarterly and the National Journal still are used heavily, congressional aides look longingly at any service which allows them optimum flexibility in "browsing" through and selectively retrieving from a given collection of information.

V. STATE LEGISLATURE USE OF COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY

The Congressman's impatience with the shortcomings of the information system supporting him often stems from an awareness of technological achievements, no matter how distorted in the popular literature, contact with people in "the industry," or experience in a State legislature where computers have been employed. Federal and State legislators perform many identical-or-similar functions, hence sharing a need for:

- Assembly of salient facts and data, independently developed, accurate, as complete as possible, of maximum currency, and readily available for use.

- Access to and an understanding of the executive branch planning, budgeting, and program performance data required for effective review of governmental operations.

- Assistance in analysis of policy problems, which requires the additional capability to assess and apply policy-relevant information.

The contemporary State legislator must be knowledgeable in many areas, with an appreciation of problems of regional, statewide, or local importance. In most States the growing legislative workload has resulted in longer sessions, permanent staff expansion, improved pay for the legislators, and significantly increased use of consultants. By 1977, all but three of the State legislatures had successfully applied computer technology to one or more key legislative functions. Ten legislative applications involve significant employment of computer technology, and each of these should be of high interest to the Federal legislator:

1. Bill drafting and statutory revision
2. Statutory retrieval
3. Status of pending legislation
4. Legislative histories
5. Index of pending legislation
6. Digest of bill contents
7. Fiscal-budgetary information
8. Legislative printing
9. Electromechanical or electronic voting
10. Political redistricting
There is a realization on the part of many Congressmen that lessons could be learned and benefits derived from the States' investments in these priority applications areas. In 1972, the Joint Committee on Congressional Operations commissioned the Congressional Research Service to prepare a detailed analysis entitled "Modern Information Technology in the State Legislatures." This study identified the alternative paths the States have followed in developing and managing their new information capabilities. It was recognized, of course, that Congress' requirements for information systems and services differ from those of the State legislatures, just as the requirements vary from State to State. But it was believed that this type of 50-state survey could point up the benefits and limitations discovered in the use of the technology by the States. The most recent survey of State legislature use of various information technologies -- computers, microfilm, telecommunications, audio, and video -- conducted by the CRS for the House Commission on Information and Computers, indicates the following growth in selected computerized applications during the past five years:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill drafting and statutory revision</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory retrieval</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of pending legislation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal-budgetary information</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative printing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electromechanical/electronic voting</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political redistricting - 19/</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the comments and supporting factual data were derived from discussions with and material provided by key State legislative officials and their technical (systems development) staff, it became apparent that virtually all of the caveats, admonitions, and guidelines which have been raised in the several explorations of how the Congress should proceed with its evolution of advanced information services were encountered as well by State system developers.

---

VI. DEVELOPING NEW INFORMATION SERVICES: A RATIONALE

In the years since the Second World War, when planning and implementing sophisticated information systems seemed to require the creation of a new cult of technocrats, accompanied by often drastic revisions in the thinking of managers and operating personnel everywhere, a great deal of sober experience was gained about this process. Regardless of the approach employed — working within the grand strategy of a "total system" or developing individual applications piecemeal without reference to such a master plan — it became de rigueur that successful installation and use of the new tools and techniques would require, at a minimum, the following:

- Recognition that three distinct elements within the legislature must be accommodated: the leadership, standing and ad hoc committees, and the individual Members.

- Willingness on the part of key leadership to explore alternative approaches to improving a given legislative service or function.

- Establishment of a legislative oversight group (either a "commission," "task force," or "committee") to determine specific needs of individual legislators, committees, or leadership.

- Recruitment of a professional staff, responsible to the legislature, to provide the necessary technical support for information system modification.

- Establishment by the legislative oversight group of priorities for activities requiring improvement.

- Analysis in detail of alternative ways of providing better legislative support, whether through adjusting manual procedures or using computer and microform technology.

- Experimentation with a "pilot" project — in a top priority area — to permit management to assess technological feasibility and user reaction.

- Evaluation of the "pilot" project prior to any decision to develop a fully operational system.

In summarizing the advantages and disadvantages connected with applying computer technology to identifiable legislative activities, it must be stressed
that the effectiveness and responsiveness of any such information system will depend basically upon the managerial competence and political astuteness of those who establish the policy and procedures governing its operation. If Members or staff complain that a given service or product is not being delivered within the time frame or form required, then most likely the fault lies not with the "machine," but with those who have designed and are responsible for operating the system.

In establishing a list of pro's and con's for using automatic data processing, the experience derived from developing congressional as well as State legislature applications has been emphasized, but for the most part such considerations will occur in the "automation" of any similar business or governmental information system. The following listing, while not all-inclusive, should prove helpful to those who must determine the role of computer technology in creating useful information systems. 20/

Advantages

1. High-speed arithmetical processing of selected data.

2. Flexibility in storage of key data: on cards, disk, tape, drum, with possible tie-in to microform.

3. Selective retrieval of narrative or graphic material, in display or print-out form at a user (on-line) station or the ADF center.

4. Cost savings, particularly in reducing clerical tasks and preparation of copy for printing.

Disadvantages

1. Limitations, at times, on form in which data are stored.

2. Immediate visual access to certain data may be delayed due to retrieval procedures.

3. Retrieval may be constrained due to software limitations, placing an increased burden on the user to think of "proper" key terms.

4. Increased costs for quick-response service may not be justifiable in the light of user needs.

**Advantages (cont.)**

5. ADP offers capability to "mas sage" preselected data at various levels of aggregation, and can produce multiple correlative options.

6. Rapid fulfillment of users' requests may allow improved committee and Member functioning; also allows iterative "homing in" on a difficult question.

7. Decision-makers are more easily provided with critical data which may be presented in various "sets" (combinations).

8. As the top decision-making level learns how to use the ADP tool, new demands for more sophisticated data presentations may result.

**Disadvantages (cont.)**

5. "Soft" (inexact) data may be arbitrarily excluded from consideration because of automatic data processing limitations.

6. "Instantaneous" access to data may upset the "measured" procedures traditional within the legislature; electronic voting tends to create similar perturbations.

7. Once data elements are decided upon and a routine established for their ADP conversion, it may be difficult to change the modus operandi.

8. If the decision-makers are to be limited to ADP-type "thinking," bad judgments may result from having insufficient or imbalanced data.

In summary, the legislator who is a user of information systems — simple and advanced, present and future — can never be a passive recipient of the products and services emanating from such systems. In his own way, directly and through his staff, he will be instrumental in molding the structure, operation, and responses of these information support mechanisms. For if useful information is to be provided the Congress, the "customers" of any system must dominate the "feedback loop," without which its service will founder. It has been proven that there is a role for this technology to play within the legislative milieu — it remains for the legislators themselves to delineate the precise nature and scope of this critical support, and determine the level of resources requisite to its attainment.
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