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In order to perform adequate Texas documents reference, it is necessary to possess two essential qualities. First, an understanding of state/local government/administrative structure, and the Texas legislative process. This is important in order to know how to logically map out a research strategy with respect to a particular question or request. Second, it is valuable to have a great deal of finesse to guide you through those situations where logical research patterns are fruitless and, as is often the case, the information is hidden in some obscure and illusive source. Of these two qualities, only the first requires further examination.

State government is divided among legislative, judicial, and executive branches. Of the three, the judicial branch (composed primarily of the state and district judges) produces fewest materials suited for state documents reference. Unless yours is a law library, most of these legal publications would best be left to lawyers and experienced law librarians.

The Legislative branch is made up primarily of the Texas Legislature. In the process of conducting its law making responsibilities, the Legislature generates a sizable volume of material. Laws themselves are introduced into one of the two chambers as bills (legislation). Each must be read three times on different dates, voted on (and passed) after the second and third readings (unless certain parliamentary procedures are imposed), and signed by the Governor before becoming law. Should a particular bill fail during the legislative process, the text of the defeated bill can only be found at the Legislative Reference Library at the State Capitol Building. Laws which pass are available from the Secretary of State of the House/Senate Bill Distribution Service. At the end of each legislative session, the Session Laws are printed and Vernon’s Annotated Texas Statutes issues new pocket parts to bring the ‘black statutes’ up-to-date.

Within the jurisdiction of the Legislative branch also fall four legislative support agencies. These include the Legislative Reference Library (organized and equipped to handle the special needs of legislators), the Legislative Budget Board (produces recommendations to the legislature concerning all aspects of budgetary matters), the Legislative Council (assists the Legislature and executive agencies in the research and drafting of legislation), and the State Auditor (to audit state agencies and verify proper expenditures of allocated state funds). The legislature also authorizes and conducts special studies (interim committee reports, house study papers, etc.) on topics which are of current interest and have the potential of emerging as an issue during the following legislative session.

The executive branch is made up of all the other agencies in state government and produces by far the largest volume of published material. These agencies are usually established by statutory or constitutional law charging the agency with certain responsibilities and a description of its duties. Special commissions and study councils can also be created by executive order of the Governor. With few exceptions, the duties of executive agencies are structured to perform either a regulatory or service function.

Any discussion of state government would be incomplete without consideration of the regional and local governmental bodies. In 1956 the Legislature organized 24 Regional Councils of Governments which act primarily as informational or coordinating centers for governments in that region. There are also a variety of special districts and authorities— including school, hospital, river authorities, housing authorities, water districts and soil conservation districts. These are all valuable government entities created to provide specific services within a defined geographic area.
HANDBOOKS/DIRECTORIES

Texas. University of Texas Bureau of Business Research. Texas Trade and Professional Associations and Other Selected Organizations. 1981. Austin. Annual. Includes official names, addresses, directors, member statistics, activities, etc.


Texas Electric Cooperatives, Inc. Directory of Texas Electric and Telephone Cooperatives. Austin. Annual. Electric utilities by county, miles of line and managers. Profiles of each utility company including address, directors, and technical statistics. Profiles similar to electric cooperatives are provided for each telephone cooperative.


Texas State Directory. 1946- Austin. Biennial. Privately published. Texas agencies listed in alphabetical order, including for each agency the elected and appointed officials with photos, titles, address, tenure. Includes a section on state employees, giving address, hometown, and employing agency. Next, section lists cities in Texas with population and name of mayor, section on Chambers of Commerce, and section on county officials.


Texas. State Board of Insurance. List of Insurance Companies Under the Supervision of the State Board of Insurance. Austin. Annual.
Laws/Legal

Texas. State Bar. Professional Development Program.
- Advanced Civil Trial Course, 2 volumes and a supplement, 1978.
- Texas Consumer Law For General Practitioners, 1977.
- Texas Corporation Law For General Practitioners, 1977.
- Damages, 1977.
- International Law For General Practitioners, 1977.
- Pre-Trial, 1977.
- Real Estate Law, 1977.

Austin, University of Texas Institute of Public Affairs. Brief narrative description of the legislative process in Texas.

Vernon's Annotated Texas Statutes. 1925-. St. Paul, Minn., West Publishing Company. [Referred to as the "Black Statutes" which supersede the "Red Statutes" which are not annotated.] Annotated with library citations and references, historical notes on the development of the law and cross references to other related statutes.


General and Special Laws of the State of Texas. ['Session Laws']. (1846- ). Austin. Published biennially or after each session. Laws arranged in order as signed into law by Governor. Also contains effective dates, veto proclamations, resolutions, constitutional amendments proposed, bills vetoed, and index.


Texas. Attorney General. Opinions. Rendered on the request of a state or local official. Provide Texas interpretations of Texas statutes prior to court action and are subject to court overrule.
LEGISLATIVE
Texas. Legislature. Senate. Journal. Austin, 1846-. Published biennially with daily issues during the legislative session with bound volumes including index issued at the close of each session. Contents similar to House Journal.

Texas. Legislature. House of Representatives. Journal. Austin, 1846-. Published biennially with daily issues during the legislative session with bound volumes including index issued at the close of each session. Includes bill captions, index of bills and resolutions by author, history of House bills, history of House concurrent resolutions, history of Senate bills in House.


Texas. Legislature. Members of the Texas Legislature, 1846-1962. Published biennially with daily issues during the legislative session with bound volumes including index issued at the close of each session. Includes bill captions, index of bills and resolutions by author, history of House bills, history of House concurrent resolutions, history of Senate bills in House.


Texas. Constitution. General Community profiles. Compilation of statistical data on over 500 different Texas cities. Each profile includes information on only one city and provides information such as labor force statistics, population characteristics, economic statistics, transportation, etc.


Texas Municipal League. Directory of Texas City Officials. Austin. Annual. (published each spring following the April elections. Gives name of elected officials, chief administrative employees of incorporated cities and towns. Includes population, county, city hall address, zip code, telephone number, time of city council or commission meeting).

STATE GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL AND STATISTICAL INFORMATION

The basic source for agency financial information is the agency audit (in the case of state supported colleges and universities - financial report) which includes a description and evaluation of real property of the agency, legal authority under which it operates, board members names and terms, major divisions and functions as well as financial status.

Texas. Comptroller of Public Accounts. Annual Report. 2 volumes. Austin, Texas. Property valuations for counties, tax collections, etc.

City Sales and Use Tax Allocation Summary. Monthly. Austin. A list of Texas cities which shows net payment from sales tax to each city.

Sales Tax Analysis by County Code. Quarterly. Austin. Gives number of reporting outlets by county, with gross sales, gross taxable sales, etc. for each county.

Sales Tax Analysis by SMSA and SIC. Quarterly. Austin. A list of SMSAs in Texas with information about number of reporting outlets, gross sales, gross taxable sales, etc.


Texas. Department of Community Affairs. FACS (Family and Child Statistics) Information System. Austin. Updated every six months and issued as 254 separate reports, one for each Texas county. Contains statistical data pertinent to needs of young children and their families.


BIBLIOGRAPHIES AND GENERAL REFERENCE TOOLS


Texas. Agriculture, Department of. Crop and Livestock Reporting Service. Cash Receipts from the Sale of Texas Farm Commodities. Austin. Annual. Report on farm income from the sale of all farm products in Texas by crop-reporting district. Breaks down the farm income into crops, livestock, government payments, etc.

Texas Agriculture, Department of. Crop and Livestock Reporting Service. (Continued)

Texas County Statistics. Austin. Annual. Agricultural statistics by county in Texas. Weekly reports on crop progress in Texas by crop and geographic area. Also gives the statewide weather and precipitation for the week.

Texas Crop-Weather. Austin. Annual. A report of Texas crop production given by crop-reporting district and by county. Includes statistics on value, number of cows, number of dairy farms, etc.


Texas Fruit and Pecan Statistics. Austin. Annual. Maps, charts, and graphs on fruit and pecan production in Texas by crop-reporting district and county.

Texas Livestock Statistics. Austin. Annual. Livestock data on Texas by crop-reporting district. Includes information on the number of farms with livestock and gives a breakdown of cattle, hogs, sheep, and lambs, etc. Charts and graphs are included.


Texas Prices Received & Prices Paid by Farmers. Austin. Annual. Report showing monthly prices received by farmers from 1955 to the present and prices paid by farmers for the current year and preceding year. Included are both commodities used in production and commodities used in family living.

Texas Small Grains Statistics. Austin. Annual. Maps and charts showing data on small grain production in Texas by crop-reporting district. Includes data on amount of the crop planted, harvested, yield per acre, average price during the season, and value of production.

Texas Vegetable Statistics. Austin. Annual. Information on Texas vegetable production by commodity and area harvested. Also gives the acreage of vegetables by county.


Texas State Library. Library Developments Division.

Texas Public Library Statistics. Annual.

Texas Special Library Statistics. Biennial.


POLITICS/GOVERNMENT - CURRENT


The Texas State Publications Clearinghouse at the Texas State Library publishes a number of valuable materials to assist the state document researcher, including:
- Texas State Documents: Monthly. Monthly list of all state documents received by the Clearinghouse.
- Texas State Documents Title Index. Quarterly.
- Texas State Documents Subject Index. Bi-monthly.
- Texas State Documents Classification and Almost Complete List of Texas State Agencies from Statehood to the Present. By Brenda Olds. 1975. This classification scheme was adopted by the Texas State Library for use in administering the state documents program.

In addition to these state document tools, several publications have been issued by the Texas State Library which provide information about the official depository network of state documents in Texas:
A "Policy Interpretation" of Section 504 issued August 14, 1978, by the Department of Health, Education and Welfare states:

The Secretary believes that the standard is flexible enough to permit recipients to devise ways to make their programs accessible short of extremely expensive or impractical physical changes in facilities. Accordingly, the section does not allow for waivers.

It should be pointed out that this same "Policy Interpretation" considers the subject of carrying handicapped persons in order to achieve program accessibility:

Carrying is an unacceptable method...except in two cases. First, when program accessibility can be achieved only through structural changes, carrying may serve as an expedient until construction is completed. Second, carrying will be permitted in manifestly exceptional cases if carriers are formally instructed on the safest and least humiliating means of carrying and the service is provided in a reliable manner.

In summary, it is not necessary to make structural changes in existing facilities if other methods are effective in achieving program accessibility. Thus, the law does not require the elimination of all architectural barriers for handicapped persons in existing buildings. Jerry Rempel, Program Manager, Vocational Rehabilitation, State of Oregon, points out that "this is probably the biggest misunderstanding about Section 504 that exists at this time." The major concept is that the program must be available and usable by handicapped persons. With regard to existing facilities, Section 504, Subpart C, is a "program access" law rather than a "barrier-free" law.

Yoanna Cole illustrates a program accessibility request in this reasonable but assertive statement:

If there was a class on the third floor that I really wanted to take, I would ask that it be put where I could get
to it. We—the school and I—should figure out a compromise. It would be outrageous of me to ask that the building be torn down or completely remodeled. But at least if the school would meet me halfway, I would be able to take that class.

It would be lawful and appropriate for a school to relocate a class to make it available to a student in a wheelchair. It would be neither lawful nor appropriate were the school's response to result in a concentration of handicapped students in one area.

B. Small Schools

School districts should be aware that if they have fewer than fifteen employees, they may, after consultation with a handicapped student and the parents, refer the student to another school district that has accessible facilities. This is in the event that there is no method of complying short of significant building alterations. Oregon and other rural states have numerous small school districts. It may be appropriate for any such district that has a student in a wheelchair, to arrange for the student to attend a nearby barrier-free school.

C. Time Line

The "Rules and Regulations" were signed by Joseph Califano, Secretary of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare on April 28, 1977, and became effective May 4, 1977. Non-structural changes were to have been made within 60 days. That is, program accessibility possible without remodeling should have been accomplished by July, 1977. Congress allowed three years for structural changes needed to achieve program accessibility for handicapped persons. Building alterations should thus be complete by June, 1980.
The August 14, 1978, "Policy Interpretation" of Section 504, Subpart C, by the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, states that "the absence of mobility impaired persons residing in an area cannot be used as the test of whether programs and activities must be made accessible."

In other words, the stated time line cannot be delayed until handicapped persons move into the school district. This would ignore the needs of persons temporarily disabled and those who wish to move into the area.

D. Transition Plan

If structural changes to school buildings are needed in order to make school programs accessible, Section 504, Subpart C, requires that a transition plan be developed within six months of the effective date (by December, 1977) that specifies those changes. It is particularly significant that the "Rules and Regulations" specify that the transition plan be developed with the assistance of handicapped persons or organizations representing handicapped persons. Such consultation might avoid a situation which Yoanna Cole describes:

There is a restroom downtown that has the grab bars in the right places and the right width, but it's not accessible because the door won't close when I enter with my wheelchair. That's not good—I can't use it. Often when you follow simple blueprints, the result is not a barrier-free building.

Attempts have been made and will continue to be made to codify all that needs to be done to comply with Section 504, Subpart C. School leaders may follow these codes and assume that they have met the letter of the law, but the real test of "program accessibility" is by handicapped individuals. As Jerry Rempel warns, "if the handicapped person can't use the facility, you may have a discrimination complaint against your school."
The assistance of handicapped persons in the development of the transition plan is practical from a financial point of view, too. There are recent accounts of schools with expensive new elevators that have doors too narrow for wheelchairs; replumbed and remodeled restroom facilities when the elimination of just one partition and one toilet stool would have sufficed; and newly installed concrete ramps without any level landing so that an individual in a wheelchair is unable to open the door. The involvement of handicapped persons in planning and "trial use" of the facilities should help avoid costly mistakes.

A transition plan is to specify the steps necessary to complete the architectural changes. The plan should:

1. Identify physical obstacles in facilities that limit accessibility to the handicapped;
2. Describe in detail the methods that will be used to make the facilities accessible;
3. Specify the schedule for taking the steps to achieve program accessibility; and
4. Indicate the person responsible for implementation of the plan.

The Oregon Architectural Barriers Council is a non-profit organization with the stated purpose of aiding in the elimination of attitudinal and architectural barriers in the State of Oregon. Its members have indicated a willingness to assist schools and other agencies develop transition plans. OABC also maintains a wide selection of written materials that will be loaned to interested school leaders. Their address is: 3214 S.E. Holgate, Room 318, Portland, OR 97202 (phone 503-231-4977).
E. Self-Assessment-Checklists

One way to identify the physical barriers that make schools inaccessible is to use a comprehensive checklist as a self-assessment. The Oregon Architectural Barriers Council has developed a "Checklist for Barrier-Free Design." OABC developed the checklist from the Oregon Uniform Building Code and the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Copies of this checklist are available from the Oregon Architectural Barriers Council.

Three additional survey forms are found in "Barrier-Free School Facilities for Handicapped Students," an Educational Research Service Aid. The Bibliography on page 35 includes ordering information. These checklists are from the National Easter Seal Society for Crippled Children and Adults, the North Carolina Department of Insurance, and Colorado State University. They were not designed specifically for school use but can be adapted for surveys of educational facilities.

Richard Clelland, in Section 504: Civil Rights for the Handicapped, has also developed a checklist based on the ANSI Standards. Unlike the previous four checklists, it is especially designed for schools. This checklist is reprinted in this Bulletin with the permission of the publisher, the American Association of School Administrators. The checklist is in Appendix B.

Jesse Fasold, Associate Superintendent for Special Education, State of Oregon, was asked with regard to Section 504, Subpart C, "where does a school superintendent start?" His response was:
I think we ought to start with a checklist and get things in order. If we begin with the checklist, we have set in motion the ability to be in substantial compliance with the provisions of this law. You have an opportunity to make a facility survey and outline a plan in which you indicate your intention to comply by 1980.

After a survey tool has been selected and the survey conducted for those buildings requiring structural changes, the actual steps anticipated to make the facilities accessible should be described in detail. If the time required to accomplish these steps is longer than a year, identify the steps that will be taken during each year of the transition period. The district will also need to designate an individual as the person responsible for implementation of the transition plan.

F. Program Access for Blind or Deaf Persons

Some advocacy groups are interpreting the "program accessibility" requirement of Section 504 to include special needs of persons with auditory or visual impairments as well as those with general physical handicaps. Their proposed interpretation is that in order for a program to be classified as accessible, an interpreter would be needed for the deaf, and braille materials for the blind. The financial implications of this interpretation are immense.

Two important points should be kept in mind by school leaders with regard to deaf or blind persons. First, sensory impairments are usually not total; blind persons generally have some vision and deaf persons often have some hearing. For example, a legally blind student may actually be a print reader because of sufficient residual vision. A hearing impaired child may read lips adequately and not need an interpreter to translate spoken English into sign language. In such situations, the teacher simply
faces the hearing impaired child and speaks distinctly. However, every handicapped child must have an "individualized education plan" based on his or her particular needs as required by P.L. 94-142. These "I.E.P.s" may or may not specify that braille is required or that an interpreter is needed. In short, if the special requirements for a legally blind or deaf child are met by the I.E.P. outline, it would seem that "program accessibility" requirements for that child also are met.

Second, sensory impairments are quite rare. For example, only three out of every 10,000 children are blind. If a school district does have blind or deaf children in its attendance area, their educational needs must be met as specified in P.L. 94-142, but it would seem unwise to consider hiring a braillist for the blind or an interpreter for the deaf before an I.E.P. is developed.

In the "Rules and Regulations" (see Appendix A) there is a "Notice" (§ 34.22 (f)) which requires schools to be sure that all persons, including the visually impaired and hearing impaired, are able to obtain information about the "services, activities, and facilities" of the school. This could be accomplished through local radio or television announcements for the blind and written newspaper notices for the deaf. It would be appropriate to indicate to the community that, if given notice, the school will have an interpreter at public meetings for hearing impaired persons who need manual communication. Similarly, board meetings can be taped in the event that a visually impaired person wants a recorded transcript. (Neither process is particularly expensive, although the availability of an interpreter for the deaf may be a problem in remote areas.)
Various sources indicate that from 10 to 12 percent of the population in the U.S. have some disability. Most do not need any adaptation to make facilities usable or accessible. Schools should locate school patrons in the district with handicaps that would prevent their participation in school functions. Ask their suggestions for making school facilities available and usable in the most efficient and economical way. Make adaptations on an individual basis with advice from handicapped patrons. Large districts could have a concentration either of hearing impaired or visually impaired persons that warrant special amplifiers in the board room or braille copies of the board agenda. This would seem unlikely in small districts.

Conflicting interpretations of the "Rules and Regulations" regarding sensory impaired persons continue to confuse this particular issue. Until court cases give school leaders additional guidance, it seems prudent that the "Rules and Regulations" themselves be used by schools as a guide rather than discrepant interpretations.

IV. Program Accessibility: New Construction

I know that there are people saying "we don't have any handicapped people going to our school—why change it?" It is because someday there will be—maybe tomorrow.

Yoanna Cole

Congress had tomorrow in mind when it considered new construction in Section 504, Subpart C. Here the law is unequivocal regarding accessibility— all new facilities (or new parts of facilities) must be "readily accessible to and usable by handicapped persons." In existing facilities it is a question of "program accessibility"—in new facilities, the buildings must
be barrier-free. New buildings are those where construction began after May 4, 1977. Schools as recipients of federal funds must design and construct buildings which can be used by physically handicapped persons.

Any alteration of school buildings shall, "to the maximum extent feasible," provide access for handicapped persons in the altered portion of the building. For example, an alteration of ceilings in an existing building will not require that doorways be widened, but if a wall opening is remodeled it must be wide enough for wheelchairs.

The "Rules and Regulations" indicate that schools will have complied if design, construction, and alteration conform with the "American National Standard Specifications for Making Buildings and Facilities Accessible to, and usable by, the Physically Handicapped," published by the American National Standards Institute, Inc. Section 504 allows deviation from these standards when "it is clearly evident that equivalent access to the facility...is thereby provided." Building plans that are reviewed by knowledgeable handicapped persons are least apt to have architectural barriers that will be costly to remove later.

V. More Barriers: Attitudes, Money, Communication

Sometimes there are not only architectural barriers but attitudinal barriers, too. People don't know why they have to change their buildings and they get upset because it costs a lot...I'm for changing the attitudinal barriers first because once those are changed, I think everything else will fall into place.

Yoanna Cole

The idea that every child—regardless of race, creed, or color—has a right to an education is now an accepted belief in our country. "Open doors
for all children" is part of the ideal of the American common school. Handicapped persons had asked that these doors be opened wide enough for them, too. When their request was not met voluntarily, legislation in the form of Section 504 resulted. School leaders may wish to make school programs accessible because they believe it is right, because school patrons want it, or because of legislative dictum. Regardless, it is quite evident that structural modifications to remove architectural barriers will be a continuing priority for most school districts.

Can school leaders meet the needs and wants of handicapped people with efficient planning and economical school governance? The crunch comes when costs are considered. The National School Boards Association estimates that it would take $1.7 billion for elementary and secondary schools to comply fully with Section 504 regulations. Other estimates are substantially below this. In August, 1978, a contract was let by the Department of Health, Education and Welfare to formulate a compliance cost estimate for 50 school districts. Congress used the absence of reliable cost estimates as the reason not to allocate federal funds to public schools for removing architectural barriers. President Carter's fiscal 1979 budget carried a request for $50 million for barrier removal for colleges and universities, but no funds for elementary and secondary schools. In short, the June, 1980, deadline for program accessibility remains, but there are no federal dollars to help. When he signed the "Rules and Regulations," Secretary Califano stated:

"Ending discriminatory practices and providing equal access to programs may involve major burdens on some recipients. Those burdens and costs...provide no basis for exemption from Section 504 or this regulation: Congress' mandate to end discrimination is clear.
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New construction will not present the same difficulties as barrier removal in existing buildings. The Office of Facilities, Engineering and Property Management, Department of Health, Education and Welfare, suggests that one-half of one percent of the total new construction cost be budgeted for barrier-free construction. Other estimates are as low as one-tenth of one percent of total costs.

School leaders are challenged to conform to this federal mandate without additional funds. A close reading of the "Rules and Regulations", as well as communication with the handicapped community will prevent unnecessary expenditures while striving for program accessibility. Good communication might help to prevent legal action, too. An official of the Oregon State Department of Education was heard commenting on the six recent hearings in the state concerning handicapped children and alleged violations of P.L. 94-142:

If there had been good communication with the parents and if they had been assured that school officials wanted to do all they could, none of those situations would have reached the courts.

Section 504 reflects the government's intent that unfair barriers to self-sufficiency of the handicapped be removed by full force of the law. Resourceful school leaders will devise ways to open school doors wider for handicapped children.
§ 84.21 Discrimination prohibited.

No qualified handicapped person shall, because a recipient's facilities are inaccessible to or unusable by handicapped persons, be denied the benefits of, be excluded from participation in, or otherwise be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity to which this part applies.

§ 84.22 Existing facilities.

(a) Program accessibility. A recipient shall operate each program or activity to which this part applies so that the program or activity, when viewed in its entirety, is readily accessible to handicapped persons. This paragraph does not require a recipient to make each of its existing facilities or every part of a facility accessible to and usable by handicapped persons.

(b) Methods. A recipient may comply with the requirement of paragraph (a) of this section through such means as redesign of equipment, reassignment of classes or other services to accessible buildings, assignment of aides to beneficiaries, home visits, delivery of health, welfare, or other social services at alternate accessible sites, alteration of existing facilities and construction of new facilities in conformance with the requirements of § 84.23, or any other methods that result in
making its program or activity accessible to handicapped persons. A recipient is not required to make structural changes in existing facilities where other methods are effective in achieving compliance with paragraph (a) of this section. In choosing among available methods for meeting the requirement of paragraph (a) of this section, a recipient shall give priority to those methods that offer programs and activities to handicapped persons in the most integrated setting appropriate.

(c) Small health, welfare, or other social service providers. If a recipient with fewer than fifteen employees that provides health, welfare, or other social services finds, after consultation with a handicapped person seeking its services, that there is no method of complying with paragraph (a) of this section other than making a significant alteration in its existing facilities, the recipient may as an alternative, refer the handicapped person to other providers of those services that are accessible.

(d) Time period. A recipient shall comply with the requirement of paragraph (a) of this section within sixty days of the effective date of this part except that where structural changes in facilities are necessary, such changes shall be made within three years of the effective date of this part, but in any event as expeditiously as possible.

(e) Transition plan. In the event that structural changes to facilities are necessary to meet the requirement of paragraph (a) of this section, a recipient shall develop, within six months of the effective date of this part, a transition plan setting forth the steps necessary to complete such changes. The plan shall be developed with the assistance of interested persons, including handicapped persons or
organizations representing handicapped persons. A copy of the transition plan shall be made available for public inspection. The plan shall, at a minimum:

(1) Identify physical obstacles in the recipient's facilities that limit the accessibility of its program or activity to handicapped persons;

(2) Describe in detail the methods that will be used to make the facilities accessible;

(3) Specify the schedule for taking the steps necessary to achieve full program accessibility and, if the time period of the transition plan is longer than one year, identify steps that will be taken during each year of the transition period; and

(4) Indicate the person responsible for implementation of the plan.

(f) Notice. The recipient shall adopt and implement procedures to ensure that interested persons, including persons with impaired vision or hearing, can obtain information as to the existence and location of services, activities, and facilities that are accessible to and usable by handicapped persons.

§ 84.23 New construction.

(a) Design and construction. Each facility or part of a facility constructed by, on behalf of, or for the use of a recipient shall be designed and constructed in such manner that the facility or part of the facility is readily accessible to and usable by handicapped persons, if the construction was commenced after the effective date of this part.

(b) Alteration. Each facility or part of a facility which is altered by, on behalf of, or for the use of a recipient after the effective
date of this part in a manner that affects or could affect the usability of the facility or part of the facility shall, to the maximum extent feasible, be altered in such manner that the altered portion of the facility is readily accessible to and usable by handicapped persons.

(c) American National Standards Institute accessibility standards. Design, construction, or alteration of facilities in conformance with the "American National Standard Specifications for Making Buildings and Facilities Accessible to, and Usable by, the Physically Handicapped," published by the American National Standards Institute, Inc. (ANSI A117.1—1961 (R1971)), which is incorporated by reference in this part, shall constitute compliance with paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section. Departures from particular requirements of those standards by the use of other methods shall be permitted when it is clearly evident that equivalent access to the facility or part of the facility is thereby provided.

1Copies obtainable from American National Standards Institute, Inc., 1430 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10018.

Taken from the Federal Register, Volume 42, Number 86, Wednesday, May 4, 1977, page 22681.
APPENDIX B

CHECKLIST USED TO DETERMINE
WHETHER BUILDINGS ARE ACCESSIBLE
TO THE PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED

This checklist is based on the American National Standards Institute guidelines developed to determine whether buildings are accessible to the physically handicapped. In some instances, the language of the ANSI Standard was modified to quantify data or questions were added to more completely assess a particular aspect of a building. Other federal, state, and local/privately developed standards were consulted in making the modifications. The modifications are identified by an asterisk.

Each question requires a "yes," "no," or "not applicable" response. "Not applicable" responses occur where the particular building category under inspection is nonexistent or where the adequacy of that building category is impossible to determine from the building plans, or from questioning/interviewing or observation. Narrative comments and specific measurements requested during the inspections should be included.

Site Development

Yes No N/A

[ ] [ ] [ ] Is the grading of the site, even contrary to existing topography, such that approaches to the building can be provided which are substantially level with building entrances?

[ ] [ ] [ ] Is there parking within 200 feet of the building entrance?
Yes No N/A

☐ ☐ ☐ Is any of this parking identified as reserved for use by individuals with physical disabilities?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are there any parking spaces open on one side, allowing room for individuals in wheelchairs or on braces to get in and out of an automobile?

☐ ☐ ☐ If parking spaces for individuals with physical disabilities are placed between two conventional diagonal or head-on parking spaces, are they at least 12 feet wide?

☐ ☐ ☐ Is it unnecessary for individuals in wheelchairs or those using braces or crutches to wheel or walk behind parked cars?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are the parking spaces located to allow persons to get in or out on a level surface?

☐ ☐ ☐ Is there clear, level, or ramped path (void of curbs) from the parking lot to the building entrances?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are walks at least 48 inches wide?

☐ ☐ ☐ Is the walk gradient not greater than 5 percent (1-foot rise in 20-feet)?
Are walks of a continuing common surface, not interrupted by steps or abrupt changes in level?

Wherever walks cross other walks, driveways, or parking lots, do walks blend to a common level?

Do walks that are elevated have a level platform at the top which is (a) at least 5-by-5 feet if a door swings out onto the platform or toward the walk, or (b) 3-by-5 feet if door does not swing onto the platform?

Does the platform extend at least 1 foot beyond each side (inside and outside) of the doorway?

Do walks have a surface that is nonslip?

Do ramps have a slope no greater than 8.33 percent, or a 1-foot rise in 12 feet?

If ramps have a gradient of more than 5 percent, are handrails provided on at least one side?
Yes No N/A

☐ ☐ ☐ If handrails are provided are they 32 inches in height measured from the surface of the ramp?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are the surfaces smooth?

☐ ☐ ☐ Do the surfaces extend 1 foot beyond the top and bottom of the ramp?

☐ ☐ ☐ Do ramps have a surface that is nonslip?

☐ ☐ ☐ Do ramps have at least 6 feet of straight clearance at the bottom?

☐ ☐ ☐ Do the ramps have level rest areas, a minimum of 5 feet in length, provided at turns?

☐ ☐ ☐ Do ramps that exceed a gradient of 5 percent have level platforms, a minimum of 3 feet in length, at 30-foot intervals?

☐ ☐ ☐ Do ramps that exceed a gradient of 5 percent have level rest areas, a minimum of 5 feet in length, provided at turns?

☐ ☐ ☐ Is at least one primary entrance to the building usable by individuals in wheelchairs? (It is preferable that all or most entrances (exits) should be accessible to and usable by
Yes No N/A  

individuals in wheelchairs or other forms of physical disability.)

☐ ☐ ☐ Is at least one entrance usable by individuals in wheelchairs on a level that would make the elevators accessible?

☐ ☐ ☐ Do doors have a clear opening of no less than 32 inches when open?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are doors operable by a single effort? Note: Two leaf doors are not usable by those with disabilities unless they operate by single effort, or unless one of the two leaves meets the 32-inch width.

☐ ☐ ☐ Is the floor of the doorway level for a distance of 5 feet from the door in the direction it swings?

☐ ☐ ☐ Does the floor of the doorway extend 1 foot beyond each side of door?

☐ ☐ ☐ Does the floor of the doorway extend 3 feet in the direction opposite to the door swing?

☐ ☐ ☐ Is the floor of the doorway at least 5 feet wide?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are sharp inclines and abrupt changes in level avoided at doorsills?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does the speed of door closers allow the use of doors by physically disabled persons?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do steps avoid abrupt nosing? Note: Nosing is the protruding lip at the front edge of steps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do stairs have handrails 32 inches high as measured from the tread at the face of the riser?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do stairs have at least one handrail that extends at least 18 inches beyond the top and bottom step (parallel to floor or landing, and extension preferably secured to wall to avoid creation of a hazard)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do steps have risers 7 inches or less?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do floors have a nonslip surface?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are floors on each story at a common level or connected by a ramp? (There should be no differences in level between corridor and adjacent rooms.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Yes  No  N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is there at least one toilet for each sex on each floor with facilities for the physically handicapped?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can physically handicapped persons, particularly those in wheelchairs, enter the restroom?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do toilet rooms have turning space 60-by-60 inches to allow traffic of individuals in wheelchairs?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do toilet rooms have at least one toilet stall that is 3 feet wide?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do toilet rooms have at least one toilet stall that is at least 4 feet, 8 inches (preferably 5 feet) deep?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do toilet rooms have at least one toilet stall that has a door that is 32 inches wide and swings out?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do toilet rooms have at least one toilet stall that has handrails on each side, 33 inches high and parallel to floor, 1 inch in diameter, with 1 inch clearance between rail and wall, fastened securely to the wall at the ends and center? If grab bars are other than parallel, describe.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do toilet rooms have at least one toilet stall that has a clearance of at least 48 inches between the outside wall and the front of the stall entrance?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do toilet rooms have at least one toilet stall that has water closet with seat 20 inches from the floor?

Do toilet rooms have lavatories (wash basins) with narrow aprons, which when mounted at standard height are no greater than 34 inches at the top and which have a clearance underneath of 29 inches?

Are drain pipes and hot water pipes covered or insulated?

Is one mirror at a height as low as possible and no higher than 40 inches above the floor?

Is one shelf at a height as low as possible and no higher than 40 inches above the floor?

Do toilet rooms for men have wall-mounted urinals with the opening of the basin 19 inches from the floor, or have floor mounted urinals that are level with the main floor of the toilet room?

Do toilet rooms have towel racks mounted no higher than 40 inches from the floor?

Are other dispensers, mounted no higher than 40 inches from the floor?
Yes No N/A

☐☐☐ Are disposal units mounted no higher than 40 inches from the floor?

☐☐☐ Are towel racks, towel dispensers and other appropriate disposal units located to the side of the lavatory rather than directly above?

☐☐☐ Is there at least one drinking fountain on each floor for use by the physically handicapped?

☐☐☐ Can persons in wheelchairs wheel up to the water fountain?

☐☐☐ Do water fountains or coolers have up-front spouts and controls?

☐☐☐ Are the water fountains hand operated?

☐☐☐ If coolers are wall mounted, are they hand operated, with basins 36 inches or less from the floor?

☐☐☐ Is there at least one public telephone in each "bank" accessible to physically handicapped persons?

☐☐☐ Is the height of the dial from the floor 48 inches or less?
Yes No N/A

☐ ☐ ☐ Is the coin slot located 48 inches or less from the floor?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are there telephones equipped for persons with hearing disabilities?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are telephones equipped for persons with hearing disabilities identified as such?

☐ ☐ ☐ If more than a one-story building, are elevators available to the physically handicapped?

☐ ☐ ☐ Can physically handicapped persons, particularly those in wheelchairs, enter elevators?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are outside call buttons 48 inches or less from the floor?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are control buttons inside the elevators 48 inches or less from the floor?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are the buttons labeled with raised (or indented) letters beside them?

☐ ☐ ☐ Are the buttons touch sensitive or easy to push?
Yes No N/A

- [ ] Is the elevator cab at least 5-by-5 feet?

- [ ] Can a person in a wheelchair facing the rear see floor numbers (by mirror or floor identification number at rear of cab)?

- [ ] Are floors announced orally by recorded devices for the benefit of the blind?

- [ ] Are light switches not more than 48 inches above the floor?

- [ ] Are controls for heating, cooling and ventilation not more than 48 inches above the floor?

- [ ] Are controls for fire alarms and other warning signals not more than 48 inches above the floor?

- [ ] Are controls for draperies and other items of frequent or essential use not more than 48 inches above the floor?

- [ ] Are raised (or recessed) letters or numbers used to identify rooms or offices?

- [ ] Is identification placed on the wall, to the right or left of the door?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes No N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is such identification placed at a height between 4 feet 6 inches and 5 feet 6 inches, measured from the floor?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are doors that might prove dangerous to a blind person if he were to exit or enter through them (doors not intended for normal use) made quickly identifiable to the touch by knurled door handles or knobs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are audible warning signals accompanied by simultaneous visual warning signals for those with hearing or sight disabilities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When manholes or access panels are open and in use, or when an open excavation exists on a site, when it is approximate to normal pedestrian traffic, are barricades placed on all open sides at least 8 feet from the hazard, and warning devices installed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there no low-hanging door closers that remain within the opening of a doorway, or that protrude hazardousely into regular corridors or traffic ways?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there no low-hanging signs, ceiling lights, fixtures, or similar objects that protrude into regular corridors or traffic ways? (A minimum height of 7 feet, measured from floor is recommended.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is lighting on ramps adequate?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are exit signs easily identifiable to all disabled persons?

Permission to reprint this check list was granted by the American Association of School Administrators, Arlington, Virginia.
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