

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 126 345

CB 007.481

AUTHOR Vitola, Bart M.; And Others
 TITLE Comparison of Enlisted Air Force Accessions: 1972-1974.
 INSTITUTION Air Force Human Resources Lab., Lackland AFB, Tex. Personnel Research Div.
 SPONS AGENCY Air Force Human Resources Lab., Brooks AFB, Texas.
 REPORT NO APHRL-TR-76-7
 PUB DATE May 76
 NOTE 23p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS Aptitude; Armed Forces; *Comparative Analysis; *Data Analysis; Educational Background; *Enlisted Personnel; Geographic Distribution; *Individual Characteristics; Racial Distribution
 IDENTIFIERS *Air Force

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted to determine if the Air Force has been able to sustain the quality of its force during 1973-74 as compared to 1972, the last year of the Selective Service Draft Lottery System. Data were collected on male and female non-prior service basic trainees who enlisted in the Air Force in 1972 (4,688 females and 81,563 males), 1973 (7,691 females and 71,762 males), and 1974 (8,952 females and 64,405 males). Data were collected on the following: year of birth; aptitude scores (Airman Qualifying Examination, Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery, and Armed Forces Qualification Test); years of education completed; geographic area of enlistment; and racial subgroup membership. An analysis of the data is presented with supporting tables. Discussion of the findings cover: (1) the Air Force is an equal opportunity employer, (2) the Air Force is enlisting young individuals who are less talented than older enlistees, (3) a moderate gain in the distribution of educational level existed during 1973-74, (4) the removal of draft pressure has not adversely affected the quality of enlistees, and (5) a deviation from the 1972 geographic pattern of aptitude levels appeared. A list of references is included.
 (Author/EC)

 * Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
 * materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
 * to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
 * reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
 * of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
 * via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
 * responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
 * supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

JUL 01 1976

CE

AIR FORCE



HUMAN RESOURCES

**COMPARISON OF ENLISTED AIR FORCE ACCESSIONS
1972 - 1974**

By
Bart M. Vitola
Nancy Guinn
Penny J. Magness, Sgt, USAF

PERSONNEL RESEARCH DIVISION
Lackland Air Force Base, Texas 78236

May 1976

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

LABORATORY

**AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
BROOKS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS 78235**

ED126345

BO07481

NOTICE

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This final report was submitted by Personnel Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas 78236, under project AFSD, with Hq Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFSC), Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235.

This report has been reviewed and cleared for open publication and/or public release by the appropriate Office of Information (OI) in accordance with AFR 190-17 and DoDD 5230.9. There is no objection to unlimited distribution of this report to the public at large, or by DDC to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

LELAND D. BROKAW, Technical Director
Personnel Research Division

Approved for publication.

DAN D. FULGHAM, Colonel, USAF
Commander

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER AFHRL-TR-76-7	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) COMPARISON OF ENLISTED AIR FORCE ACCESSIONS 1972 - 1974		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Bart M. Vitola Nancy Guinn Penny J. Magness		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Personnel Research Division Air Force Human Resources Laboratory Lackland Air Force Base, Texas 78236		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 62703F AFSD1000
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Hq Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFSC) Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235		12. REPORT DATE May 1976
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 22
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
all-volunteer force	force structure quality	
aptitude levels	geographic areas	
draft pressure	male/female qualities	
education levels	manpower pool	
equal opportunity	racial subgroups	
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
<p>Analyses of the 1972 through 1974 accessions lead to the following conclusions: (a) compared to the 1972 accessions, there has been an increase in average aptitudes of the 1973 and 1974 accessions in the Electronics aptitude area along with a moderate decrease in Administrative aptitudes, (b) in the post-draft period, Air Force enlisted a greater percentage of young men and women with 12 or more years of education than were enlisted in 1972, (c) for the male population, there was a significant decrease in the percentage of enlistees with education beyond high school, (d) for females, no change in level of education beyond high school from 1972 to 1974 was noted, (e) the aptitude levels of Black enlistees in 1973-1974 are equal to or higher than the aptitudes of Blacks enlisting in 1972, (f) there has been a deviation from the 1972 pattern of aptitude levels by geographic area to the</p>		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Item 20 Continued:

extent that Areas 3 and 4 (South and Southwest) do not consistently fall at the lowest end of the geographic aptitude spectrum, (g) Air Force continues to enlist a proportion of Blacks equal to, or greater than, the proportion of Blacks found in the general population, and (h) the all-volunteer Air Force has not created a "man-drain" of skills from the civilian manpower pool. Enlistment is from the mid-range portion of the aptitude spectrum with a return, four years hence to the civilian sector of thousands of skilled personnel in over 200 job types.

PREFACE

The work reported in this study was accomplished under Project AFSD, Air Force Personnel System Development on Selection, Assignment, Evaluation, Quality Control, Retention, Promotion, and Utilization; Task AFSD 10, Air Force Systems Command Directed Special Efforts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction	5
II. Method	5
III. Results and Discussion	6
Accession by Racial Subgroup	6
AFQT Performance	9
AQE and ASVAB Performance	10
Accessions by Educational Level	14
Geographic Area of Enlistment	15
Geographic Aptitudes of Males	18
Geographic Aptitudes of Females	18
IV. Conclusion	19
References	20

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Distribution of Air Force Enlistees by Racial Subgroup and Sex, 1972-1974	6
2	Average AQE/ASVAB General and Electronics Aptitude Indexes for Male Enlistees by Age and Racial Subgroup, 1973-1974	7
3	Average AQE/ASVAB General and Electronics Aptitude Index for Female Enlistees by Age and Racial Subgroup, 1973-1974	8
4	Distribution of AFQT Mental Categories for Air Force Enlistees, 1972-1974	9
5	Descriptive Statistics of AQE/ASVAB and AFQT Scores for Male Enlistees by Racial Subgroup, 1972-1974	10
6	Descriptive Statistics of AQE/ASVAB and AFWST/AFQT Scores for Female Enlistees by Racial Subgroup, 1972-1974	11
7	AQE/ASVAB Aptitude Indexes for Air Force Enlistees, 1972-1974	12
8	Cumulative Percentages of AQE/ASVAB Aptitude Index Levels for Air Force Enlistees, 1972-1974	13
9	Distribution of Educational Levels for Air Force Enlistees, 1972-1974	14
10	Descriptive Statistics of ASVAB Composites for Male Enlistees by Racial Subgroup and Enlistment Region (1974)	16
11	Descriptive Statistics of ASVAB Composites for Female Enlistees by Racial Subgroup and Enlistment Region (1974)	17

COMPARISON OF ENLISTED AIR FORCE ACCESSIONS, 1972 - 1974

I. INTRODUCTION

As of 1 January 1973, the United States Air Force became an all-volunteer force. The Air Force has never conscripted men to fill first-term personnel needs, but it has been generally accepted by personnel planners that draft-pressure associated with the Selective Service System accounted for a large percentage of Air Force enlistments. The termination of the draft and conscription of 18 through 26-year-old men into the Armed Services was viewed by some as the removal of draft-pressure as a motivator for enlistment.

Prior to a zero-draft force becoming a reality, studies were done to predict the quality and quantity of men most likely to enlist in the military forces in the absence of the draft (Cook, 1970; Hause & Fischer, 1968; Saber Volunteer, 1971; Vitola & Brokaw, 1973; Vitola & Valentine, 1971). The theme of these studies centered around the need for enlistment incentives, the magnitude of difficulty recruiters would experience in procuring high aptitude people, and the gradual erosion of enlistment of high-aptitude personnel.

In addition to these studies, a presidential commission (Gates, 1970), chaired by a former Secretary of Defense, Mr. Thomas S. Gates, predicted the racial composition of an all-volunteer military force. Subsequent research done by Vitola, Mullins, and Brokaw (1974) characterizing 1973 first-term accessions supported the predictions and estimates made by investigators during the pre-volunteer force period.

One conclusion of the all-volunteer force studies indicated the feasibility of expanded utilization of women in career fields which were predominately occupied by males. Further studies (Vitola, Mullins, & Weeks, 1974) compared male and female performance on Air Force selection measures and sampled the attitudes of 1973 female enlistees. The aptitude potential of female personnel as shown by accession data supported the Air Force decision to recruit women for entrance into mechanical and electronics career fields. In fact, recruitment of female personnel for mechanical and electronics jobs increased so significantly that by 1974, 50 percent of incoming female accessions were assigned to mechanical and electronics jobs; 25 percent were assigned to the mechanical area, and 25 percent to the electronics area.

It is the purpose of this investigation to determine if Air Force has been able to sustain the quality of its force for the 1973-1974 time period that it maintained in calendar year 1972, the last year of the Selective Service Draft Lottery System. Data are presented on the 1973 and 1974 male and female accessions depicting the dimensions of aptitude, race, age, education, and geographical area of enlistment. These zero-draft force data are compared with pre-volunteer force data from calendar year 1972.

II. METHOD

Data were collected on male and female non-prior service basic trainees who enlisted in the Air Force in 1972 (females: N = 4,688, males: N = 81,563); 1973 (females: N = 7,691, males: N = 71,762); and 1974 (females: N = 8,952, males: N = 64,405). Source of the data was the Processing and Classification of Enlistees (PACE) files of information provided to the Computational Sciences Division of the Air Force Human Resources Laboratory by Air Training Command. Data on the enlistees included year of birth, and Airman Qualifying Examination (AQE) or Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) aptitude indexes. In addition, Armed Forces Qualification Test (AFQT) scores were obtained for men from 1972 through 1974, for women in 1974 only. In the 1972-1973 time period, Congressional law required all male enlistees to take the AFQT and all female enlistees to be given the Armed Forces Women's Selection Test (AFWST). In 1974, both men and women were given the ASVAB which replaced the AQE for males and females and replaced the AFWST for females only. An AFQT for males and females is derived from three of the ASVAB subtests: Word Knowledge, Arithmetic Reasoning, and Space Perception.

The AQE and the ASVAB may be considered equivalent to the extent that (a) both yield four aptitude composites: Mechanical, Administrative, General, and Electronics, (b) both have separate five centile interval indexes (01, 05, 10 . . . 95) which are developed so that five percent of the normative sample falls within each of the 20 intervals of the scale, (c) both are normed against the same Project Talent

reference tests (Daily, Shaycoft, & Orr, 1962), and (d) both tests' aptitude composites are equally effective in predicting the probability of technical school success for courses in the Mechanical, Administrative, General, and Electronics areas (Vitola, Mullins, & Croll, 1973).

In addition to date of birth and aptitude scores, years of education completed, geographic area of enlistment, and racial subgroup membership data were obtained.

Means and standard deviations for the three yearly groups were computed on the four AQE and ASVAB composites. Score distributions for accessions in each year were obtained for each of four levels of education: 16 or more years completed, 13 through 15 years, 12 years, and 11 years or less. Each yearly group, males and females separately, was divided into Black and non-Black racial subgroups. Various comparisons were made on aptitude level, education completed, age, and geographic area of enlistment.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Accession by Racial Subgroup

During the 1972 through 1974 period of economic recession and rising rate of unemployment, some social action groups suggested that the military was in a "buyers market posture" and under the all-volunteer force concept would select only the most talented applicants for enlistment. It was further suggested that the accepted applicant population would contain a smaller percentage of Blacks than the proportion they represent in the population-at-large (11.8%).

The data shown in Tables 1, 2, and 3 do not lend support to either suggestion. It becomes evident, after inspecting the data of Table 1, that Air Force enlisted an increasing proportion of Blacks in the all-volunteer force. Regardless of sex, the proportion of Blacks enlisted in the Air Force in 1973 and 1974 was greater than the proportion that Blacks represent in the population-at-large.

Table 1. Distribution of Air Force Enlistees by Racial Subgroup and Sex, 1972-1974

Enlistment Year	Number and Percent for Racial Subgroup				Combined Groups N
	Black		Non-Black		
	N	%	N	%	
Males					
1972	10,475	13	71,088	87	81,563
1973	10,985	15	60,777	85	71,762
1974	11,375	18	53,030	82	64,405
Total	32,835	15	184,895	85	217,730
Females					
1972	629	13	4,059	87	4,688
1973	1,196	16	6,495	84	7,691
1974	1,549	17	7,403	83	8,952
Total	3,374	16	17,957	84	21,331
Total Accessions					
1972	11,104	13	75,147	87	86,251
1973	12,181	15	67,272	85	79,453
1974	12,924	18	60,433	82	73,357
Total	36,209	15	202,852	85	239,061

Table 2. Average AQE/ASVAB General and Electronics Aptitude Indexes for Male Enlistees by Age and Racial Subgroup,^a 1973 - 1974

Age	Proportion and Aptitude Index for Racial Subgroups											
	1973						1974					
	Black (N = 10,985)		Non-Black (N = 60,777)		Black (N = 11,375)		Non-Black (N = 53,030)					
%	Gen Mean	Elec Mean	%	Gen Mean	Elec Mean	%	Gen Mean	Elec Mean	%	Gen Mean	Elec Mean	
17	3	50	47	4	55	59	2	58	52	4	62	64
18	26	51	48	29	59	62	25	58	53	28	66	66
19	28	51	49	29	60	64	29	58	54	31	66	67
20	20	52	51	18	60	64	18	58	54	17	66	67
21	11	54	51	9	62	66	11	60	55	8	69	69
22	6	54	52	5	65	68	6	62	56	5	70	70
23	3	55	52	3	69	71	4	63	56	3	72	73
24+	3	56	51	3	67	69	5	64	57	4	73	73
Total	100	52	48	100	60	64	100	59	54	100	67	67

^aMeans have been rounded to nearest whole number.

Table 3. Average AQE/ASVAB General and Electronics Aptitude Index for Female Enlistees by Age and Racial Subgroups,^a 1973 - 1974

Age	Proportion and Aptitude Index for Racial Subgroup											
	1973						1974					
	Black (N = 1,196)		Non-Black (N = 6,495)		Black (N = 1,549)		NonBlack (N = 7,403)					
%	Gen Mean	Elec Mean	%	Gen Mean	Elec Mean	%	Gen Mean	Elec Mean	%	Gen Mean	Elec Mean	
18	19	56	42	20	64	53	13	60	46	19	68	57
19	26	56	42	28	65	55	25	61	46	28	69	57
20	18	57	41	18	66	56	20	63	47	15	70	58
21	12	59	43	11	68	56	12	64	48	11	71	60
22	8	59	43	8	68	56	9	65	49	8	72	62
23	6	58	40	5	69	57	6	65	52	6	75	64
24+	11	59	43	10	70	57	15	65	51	13	73	63
Total	100	57	42	100	66	55	100	63	48	100	70	60

^aMeans have been rounded to nearest whole number.

The distributions of Tables 2 and 3 present data showing the age, race, and average aptitude scores made by 1973 and 1974 enlistees on the General and Electronics composites of the Air Force selection test. The General and Electronics areas are the areas for which the majority of high-aptitude personnel are recruited. Analysis of Tables 2 and 3 data strongly suggest that Air Force is not selecting only the most talented applicants for enlistment. On the contrary, regardless of race or sex, the average aptitude score for a majority of the all-volunteer force enlistees indicates a considerable number of accessions were selected from the mid-range segment of the aptitude spectrum. These enlistees also are the younger enlistees (17 through 19 for males, 18 through 20 for females) who apparently offer relatively less skill to the labor market. Department of Labor statistics support the hypothesis that for males and females, Blacks and non-Blacks, the younger the individual is in the age range of 17 through 24 years of age, the greater the percentage of seasonally adjusted unemployment rate (Department of Labor, 1974). Based on the data of Tables 1 through 3, it is concluded that Air Force has been and continues to be an equal opportunity employer. Further, regardless of opportunity, Air Force did not recruit only those men and women displaying high potential but did in actuality recruit a majority from the mid-range of the aptitude scale. In their continuing effort to cooperate with the civilian community, Air Force planners of the all-volunteer force have not permitted a qualitative selection ratio that would leave the civilian sector a manpower pool of low-potential personnel.

AFQT Performance

Currently, the Department of Defense requires that all individuals wishing to enlist in the military service demonstrate a proficiency on the AFQT. This test yields a centile score (01, 02, 03 . . . 99) which is then translated into a mental ability level designated Category I (93-99), Category II (65-92), Category III (31-64), and Category IV (10-30). Table 4 shows percentage distributions of AFQT categories for 1972 through 1974 male enlistees and 1974 female enlistees.

Table 4. Distribution of AFQT Mental Categories for Air Force Enlistees, 1972 - 1974

Mental Ability Category	Range of Scores	1972		1973		1974	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
Males							
I	93-99	4,652	6	3,563	5	2,294	4
II	65-92	31,933	39	28,031	39	24,248	38
III	31-64	40,822	50	38,177	53	37,138	58
IV	10-30	3,663	4	1,773	2	340	0
Incomplete Data		493	1	218	0	385	0
Total		81,563	100	71,762	100	64,405	100
Females*							
I	93-99					364	4
II	65-92					3,423	38
III	31-64					5,079	57
IV	10-30					13	0
Incomplete Data						73	1
Total						8,952	100

*In 1972-1973 females were administered the AFWST. Males were administered the AFQT. In 1974, both males and females were administered the ASVAB from which an AFQT score is derived.

The data in Table 4 appear to indicate that, in a non-draft posture, Air Force was not able to procure the same proportion of Category I male personnel as it did in 1972. The decline in Category I personnel is offset by the enlistment of less than one percent Category IV personnel. Previously documented evidence (Grunzke, Guinn, & Stauffer, 1970) has shown that, regardless of race, AFQT Category IV personnel with less than 12 years of education are much less adaptable to Air Force life than their contemporaries who were Category I, II, and III with a high school education. Since the majority of Category IV enlistees have less than a high school education, the trade-off of two percent loss of Category I personnel versus about four percent loss of Category IV personnel may be viewed as a cost-effective qualitative gain. The data from Table 4 also indicate that the mental abilities potential of 1974 females is almost identical to those of their male counterparts. In fact, the average female score on the AFQT is slightly higher than the average male score (see Tables 5 and 6). Overall, it does not appear that Air Force has experienced an appreciable loss of mental ability potential in the transfer from a draft-induced population to one of all-volunteer.

AQE and ASVAB Performance

Tables 5 through 7 show average AQE and ASVAB and AFQT scores for 1972 through 1974 male and female enlistees. Tables 5 and 6 further delineate the three-year populations by racial subgroups, Black and non-Black.

Table 5. Descriptive Statistics of AQE/ASVAB and AFQT Scores for Male Enlistees by Racial Subgroup,^a 1972 - 1974

Selection Measure	Mean and SD on Selection Measure					
	1972		1973		1974	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
	Black					
AFQT Score	43	16	47	16	50	15
AQE/ASVAB Mechanical	44	18	46	18	44	18
AQE/ASVAB Administrative	46	19	46	19	46	19
AQE/ASVAB General	51	16	51	16	59	17
AQE/ASVAB Electronics	47	18	48	18	54	16
	Non-Black					
AFQT Score	64	20	64	19	64	18
AQE/ASVAB Mechanical	62	20	62	20	62	20
AQE/ASVAB Administrative	58	21	54	20	55	20
AQE/ASVAB General	63	18	60	18	66	18
AQE/ASVAB Electronics	65	20	64	19	67	18
	Total Sample					
AFQT Score	62	21	62	18	60	18
AQE/ASVAB Mechanical	60	20	60	20	60	21
AQE/ASVAB Administrative	56	21	52	20	53	20
AQE/ASVAB General	62	18	59	19	65	18
AQE/ASVAB Electronics	63	20	62	20	65	18

^aMeans and standard deviations have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Table 6. Descriptive Statistics of AQE/ASVAB and AFWST/AFQT Scores for Female Enlistees by Racial Subgroup,^a 1972 - 1974

Selection Measure	Mean and SD on Selection Measure					
	1972		1973		1974	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Black						
AFWST/AFQT Score ^b	58	9	56	19	53	14
AQE/ASVAB Mechanical	29	16	32	18	27	18
AQE/ASVAB Administrative	63	12	59	15	59	18
AQE/ASVAB General	63	11	57	14	63	15
AQE/ASVAB Electronics	42	16	42	17	48	17
Non-Black						
AFWST/AFQT Score ^b	63	12	63	13	64	17
AQE/ASVAB Mechanical	37	19	41	19	34	17
AQE/ASVAB Administrative	69	14	65	16	68	17
AQE/ASVAB General	70	13	66	15	70	16
AQE/ASVAB Electronics	53	18	55	19	59	18
Total Sample						
AFWST/AFQT Score ^b	63	12	62	13	62	17
AQE/ASVAB Mechanical	36	19	39	19	33	18
AQE/ASVAB Administrative	68	14	64	16	67	17
AQE/ASVAB General	69	13	65	15	69	16
AQE/ASVAB Electronics	52	18	53	19	57	18

^a Means and standard deviations have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

^b In 1972-1973, women were not tested with the AFQT. In 1974, both males and females were given the ASVAB from which an AFQT score is derived.

Inspection of the average performance of 1972-1974 Black male enlistees leads to the conclusion that in every instance and on every measure, the mental ability level and aptitude potential of Blacks in the all-volunteer force was equal to or greater than the mental ability level and aptitude potential of Blacks enlisting prior to the all-volunteer force. In 1972, the average Black scored a 43 on the AFQT. By 1974, the average score was 50, a gain of some practical significance. In the two areas of critical assignment, General and Electronics, the average aptitude scores of Blacks increased significantly. In the Mechanical and Administrative areas, average scores remained almost constant for the 1972-1974 time period.

In the all-volunteer force (Blacks and non-Blacks), differences in mean performance has decreased, especially in the critical assignment areas of General and Electronics. In 1972 (pre-volunteer), the mean difference for the Mechanical, Administrative, General, and Electronics indexes for Blacks and non-Blacks was 18, 12, 12, and 18 centile points, respectively. In 1974, the mean differences for the Mechanical, Administrative, General, and Electronics indexes for Blacks and non-Blacks was 18, 9, 7, and 13, respectively. The implication of this trend is that without benefit of draft pressure, all-volunteer force Blacks have demonstrated appreciable gains in average aptitude levels in the General and Electronics areas, which permits Air Force more flexibility for assignment of Blacks to the critical specialties within these areas.

The same pattern was not evidenced for male non-Blacks. Mental and Mechanical ability level remained constant for the 1972-1974 time period. A moderate loss of aptitude potential was experienced in the Administrative area and moderate gains were made in the General and Electronics areas. Inspection of the total sample leads to the conclusion that the termination of the draft has had little, if any, detrimental effect on the overall quality of the male accessions of the all-volunteer Air Force.

Table 7. AQE/ASVAB Aptitude Indexes for Air Force Enlistees, 1972 - 1974

AQE/ ASVAB Cont'd	Aptitude Composite Percentage by Year and Sex											
	1972 (N = 01,803)				1973 (N = 71,702)				1974 (N = 04,405)			
	Mech %	Admin %	Gen %	Elec %	Mech %	Admin %	Gen %	Elec %	Mech %	Admin %	Gen %	Elec %
Males												
95	5	5	6	8	4	3	5	5	4	2	6	6
90	7	3	4	6	6	2	3	5	7	3	5	6
85	6	5	6	7	7	4	4	7	8	3	9	9
80	5	5	7	10	5	3	6	8	5	4	8	9
75	6	5	6	5	6	5	4	6	6	6	8	7
70	7	7	8	5	6	6	8	6	7	7	12	8
65	6	8	10	7	6	7	9	6	8	6	10	10
60	13	10	12	10	14	11	11	10	11	9	9	9
55	12	8	8	11	12	7	8	13	9	9	9	11
50	10	9	8	7	10	11	9	7	7	12	8	7
45	6	8	10	8	6	9	11	7	6	10	7	6
40	3	7	7	6	4	7	11	7	5	6	3	7
Below 40	14	18	8	10	14	25	11	13	17	23	6	5
1972 (N = 4,000)				1973 (N = 7,001)				1974 (N = 0,052)				
Females												
95	0	5	4	1	1	5	5	2	1	7	8	2
90	0	4	4	2	1	4	4	2	1	7	6	3
85	1	8	8	3	1	6	5	4	1	7	11	6
80	1	10	11	4	1	6	8	5	1	8	9	8
75	1	9	10	4	2	8	7	5	2	10	9	6
70	2	14	12	4	2	11	11	5	3	12	12	6
65	2	15	17	8	4	13	14	6	4	9	10	10
60	6	19	19	11	9	17	17	12	5	10	10	9
55	9	6	5	13	11	6	7	14	7	8	7	13
50	10	4	4	9	11	9	6	10	8	8	7	9
45	8	2	4	13	8	6	7	9	7	6	5	7
40	5	2	1	9	5	4	6	9	7	3	2	10
Below 40	55	2	1	19	44	5	3	17	43	5	4	11

Prior to analysis of the 1972-1974 female accession data shown in Table 6, note should be taken of the fact that procurement policy for enlisting women in the Air Force was changed in this time period. Normally, the vast majority of female accessions are recruited for the Administrative and General aptitude areas and for jobs traditionally held by women. Studies dealing with the characteristics of an all-volunteer force highlighted the need and value of expanded utilization of women in career areas heretofore male oriented. By 1974, recruiters were being tasked to enlist women in the four aptitude areas in the following proportions: 25 percent for Mechanical, 25 percent for Electronics, and the remaining 50 percent to the Administrative and General areas.

Inspection of the data of Table 6 (Vitola & Wilbourn, 1971) reveals that in the all-volunteer force the aptitude of women enlisted for the General and Administrative areas has declined slightly, possibly because of the shift in procurement strategy from the General/Administrative to the Mechanical/Electronics areas. However, the 1973-1974 total sample data show that women continue to display higher average aptitude

potential in the Administrative and General areas than do males. In the Mechanical area an increase in aptitude potential of women enlisting in the volunteer force for the 1973 period was evidenced. An increase in the Electronics aptitude level was found both in 1973 and 1974. The extent of the increase of female aptitude levels in the Mechanical and Electronics areas is delineated in Table 7.

As indicated by the data from AFM 35-1 (Change 1, Table 5-2, 25 October 1974) there are mandatory aptitude scores required for the 34 and 29 possible career field/subdivision assignments in the Mechanical and Electronics areas, respectively. In the Mechanical area, 17 of these assignments require an M40, 14 an M50, and 3 an M60. In the Electronics area, 6 require an E40, 6 and E50, 10 an E60, 1 an E70, and 6 an E80.

The data from Table 7 concerning female aptitude indexes for the 1972 through 1974 time period show that prior to the all-volunteer Air Force, 45 percent of female accessions were qualified for assignment in the Mechanical area. In 1973 and 1974, that percent increased to 56 percent and 57 percent, respectively. At the M50 and above index, which would account for 17 of the 34 possible career field/subdivision assignments, the proportion of all-volunteer force female enlistees exceeded the proportion of 1972 female enlistees at those index levels. In the Electronics index, especially for the E60 and above levels which account for 17 of the 29 possible assignments, similar trends occur. These data dispel the notion that in an all-volunteer force posture, Air Force would experience difficulty in recruiting women who were qualified for the Mechanical and Electronics job areas. Further research should be done to follow the technical training and job performance experiences of first-term women enlistees and make comparisons of their modes of adaptation to Air Force life with those of their male contemporaries.

The data from Table 8 show the cumulative percentages of males and females on the four aptitude composites of the AQE and ASVAB (1974). Summarily, the data suggest that for the two-year period of

Table 8. Cumulative Percentages of AQE/ASVAB Aptitude Index Levels for Air Force Enlistees, 1972 - 1974

AQE/ASVAB Aptitude Index	Percentage in Score Range on AQE/ASVAB Composites					
	Males			Females		
	1972 %	1973 %	1974 %	1972 %	1973 %	1974 %
Mechanical						
80 and above	23	22	24	2	4	4
60 and above	55	54	56	13	21	17
40 and above	86	86	83	45	56	57
Administrative						
80 and above	18	12	12	27	21	29
60 and above	48	41	40	84	70	70
40 and above	82	75	77	98	95	95
General						
80 and above	23	18	28	27	22	34
60 and above	59	50	67	85	71	75
40 and above	92	89	94	99	97	96
Electronics						
80 and above	31	25	30	10	13	19
60 and above	58	53	64	37	41	50
40 and above	90	87	95	31	83	89

recruitment effort, without benefit of the draft as an enlistment motivator, Air Force has not experienced a drop in quality among first-term accessions. Most dramatic has been the rise in the proportion of females demonstrating aptitude potential in various levels of the Mechanical and Electronics areas.

Accessions by Educational Level

Number of years of formal education completed or educational level is a valid predictor of capability to be trained and degree of adaptability to Air Force life. Table 9 shows distributions of educational levels of male and female enlistees for 1972 through 1974.

A comment should be made concerning the educational levels of the 1974 male and female accessions. In the May - December time period, format changes to the personnel files resulted in an unusually high rate of incomplete data. Five percent of the male and female educational data files were incomplete. Discussion centering around the 1974 data should be considered tentative rather than absolute.

Table 9. Distribution of Educational Levels for Air Force Enlistees, 1972 - 1974

Years Schooling Completed	Number and Percent for Educational Level					
	1972		1973		1974	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Males						
16 or more	1,573	2	824	1	747	1
13-15	8,095	10	4,201	6	2,357	4
12	60,967	75	58,741	82	54,206	84
11 or less	10,926	13	7,995	11	3,927	6
Incomplete Data	2	0	1	0	3,168*	5
Total	81,563	100	71,762	100	64,405	100
Females						
16 or more	64	1	177	2	207	2
13-15	371	8	633	8	506	6
12	4,246	91	6,868	90	7,556	85
11 or less	7	0	13	0	194	2
Incomplete Data	0	0	0	0	489	5
Total	4,688	100	7,691	100	8,952	100
Total Accessions						
16 or more	1,637	2	1,001	1	954	1
13-15	8,466	10	4,834	6	2,863	4
12	65,213	76	65,609	83	61,762	84
11 or less	10,933	12	8,008	10	4,121	6
Incomplete Data	2	0	1	0	3,657*	5
Total	86,251	100	79,453	100	73,357	100

*In the May-December time period, format changes to the personnel files resulted in an unusually high rate of incomplete data.

As was expected, there was a downward shift in the levels of education in the 1972 through 1974 time period among the male accessions who had completed education beyond the high school level. The data also indicated a loss of male enlistees having less than a high school education. Overall, the proportion of male enlistees in the all-volunteer force having completed at least a high school education (89 percent) indicates that, in terms of trainability and adaptation to military life, Air Force should not experience any more unsuitability problems than were encountered in 1972.

Inspection of the female data for the three-year period leads to the obvious conclusion that Air Force did not experience any difficulty during 1973 and 1974 in enlisting women with formal education beyond the high school level. The rationale for enlisting about two percent of the 1974 female accessions having less than a high school education was on the basis that most of these young ladies qualified on the General index and either the Mechanical or Electronics index.

It is apparent that although Air Force recruits from the mid-range of the aptitude spectrum, there are a sufficient number of qualified men and women in the job-market pool who find the prospect of a career in the United States Air Force interesting.

Geographic Area of Enlistment

Since 1958, Air Force has had a recruiting program which permitted selection on the basis of aptitude and educational levels of potential enlistees. In 1960, Flanagan et al., published the results of a massive study called Project TALENT in which an extensive test battery was administered to more than 400,000 high school age boys and girls to obtain an indication of their aptitudes, interests, and personality characteristics. In 1962, Dally et al., reported procedures by which Air Force aptitude indexes were calibrated to Project TALENT norms, thus allowing Air Force to pulse the aptitude levels of the younger portion of the general population. In essence, based on the market analysis of aptitudinal and educational levels of the population-at-large and population density data, Air Force was able to develop a systems approach to establishing enlistment standards and determining enlistment quotas for each area of the United States. In the decade which followed 1965, selection and classification measures were refined to indicate more accurately the potential of its enlistees to be trained for various specialties within the Air Force personnel system. It is assumed, since Air Force tests are normed and calibrated against Project TALENT, that the aptitude potential demonstrated by those young men and women who enlist in the Air Force is a representative sample of the aptitude potential of their contemporaries in the population-at-large.

Tables 10 and 11 indicate the geographic sources of input for males and females for 1974. The data show average test performance on the ASVAB within racial subgroups by geographic area of enlistment. Each area (1-7) corresponds to an Air Force recruiting group. The geographic areas are designated as follows:

- Area 1. Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York.
- Area 2. New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, West Virginia, Virginia, District of Columbia.
- Area 3. North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee.
- Area 4. Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Texas, Arizona.
- Area 5. Ohio, Indiana, Michigan, Illinois, Kentucky.
- Area 6. Washington, Oregon, California, Nevada, Idaho, Montana, Utah, Alaska, Hawaii.
- Area 7. Missouri, Iowa, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Kansas, Nebraska, Colorado, Wyoming, Wisconsin.
- Area 8. Other than Areas 1 through 7.

Federally accepted two-letter state abbreviations were used in Tables 10 and 11.

Table 10. Descriptive Statistics of ASVAB Composites for Male Enlistees by Racial Subgroup and Enlistment Region (1974)^a

Enlistment Region	Black			Non-Black			Total Sample		
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD
Mechanical									
1. ME, NH, VT, MA, CT, RI, NY	1,036	38	18	7,116	62	21	8,152	59	22
2. NJ, PA, DE, MD, WV, VA, DC	1,818	40	17	6,053	62	20	7,871	57	22
3. NC, SC, GA, FL, AL, MS, TN	3,886	43	18	7,093	62	19	10,979	55	21
4. AR, LA, OK, NM, TX, AZ	1,918	43	17	7,010	62	19	8,928	58	20
5. OH, IN, MI, IL, KY	1,471	43	18	8,944	65	19	10,415	61	21
6. WA, OR, CA, NV, ID, MT, UT, AK, HI	744	45	18	9,677	64	20	10,421	63	20
7. MO, IA, MN, ND, SD, KS, NE, CO, WY, WI	446	50	17	6,630	67	19	7,076	66	20
8. Other	24	46	19	344	48	19	368	48	19
Incomplete Data	32	-	-	163	-	-	195	-	-
Total	11,375	44	18	53,030	62	20	64,405	60	21
Administrative									
1. ME, NH, VT, MA, CT, RI, NY	1,036	43	17	7,116	54	19	8,152	53	19
2. NJ, PA, DE, MD, WV, VA, DC	1,818	43	18	6,053	57	20	7,871	54	20
3. NC, SC, GA, FL, AL, MS, TN	3,886	48	20	7,093	59	20	10,979	57	21
4. AR, LA, OK, NM, TX, AZ	1,918	41	18	7,010	52	19	8,928	49	19
5. OH, IN, MI, IL, KY	1,471	47	19	8,944	53	19	10,415	52	19
6. WA, OR, CA, NV, ID, MT, VT, AK, HI	744	47	18	9,677	52	19	10,421	51	19
7. MO, IA, MN, ND, SD, KS, NE, CO, WY, WI	446	51	18	6,630	58	20	7,076	57	18
8. Other	24	57	17	344	56	20	368	56	19
Incomplete Data	32	-	-	163	-	-	195	-	-
Total	11,375	46	19	53,030	55	20	64,405	53	20
General									
1. ME, NH, VT, MA, CT, RI, NY	1,036	63	17	7,116	69	17	8,152	66	17
2. NJ, PA, DE, MD, WV, VA, DC	1,818	59	17	6,053	69	17	7,871	66	17
3. NC, SC, GA, FL, AL, MS, TN	3,886	59	16	7,093	69	16	10,979	65	17
4. AR, LA, OK, NM, TX, AZ	1,918	57	17	7,010	65	18	8,928	63	18
5. OH, IN, MI, IL, KY	1,471	60	17	8,944	65	18	10,415	65	18
6. WA, OR, CA, NV, ID, MT, UT, AK, HI	744	57	17	9,677	64	18	10,421	63	18
7. MO, IA, MN, ND, SD, KS, NE, CO, WY, WI	446	60	18	6,630	67	17	7,076	67	18
8. Other	24	64	19	344	60	17	368	60	17
Incomplete Data	32	-	-	163	-	-	195	-	-
Total	11,375	59	17	53,030	66	18	64,405	65	18
Electronics									
1. ME, NH, VT, MA, CT, RI, NY	1,036	57	17	7,116	69	18	8,152	67	18
2. NJ, PA, DE, MD, WV, VA, DC	1,818	54	16	6,053	68	17	7,871	65	18
3. NC, SC, GA, FL, AL, MS, TN	3,886	53	16	7,093	70	17	10,979	63	18
4. AR, LA, OK, NM, TX, AZ	1,918	54	15	7,010	66	17	8,928	63	17
5. OH, IN, MI, IL, KY	1,471	55	17	8,944	67	17	10,415	65	18
6. WA, OR, CA, NV, ID, MT, UT, AK, HI	744	54	17	9,677	66	18	10,421	65	18
7. MO, IA, MN, ND, SD, KS, NE, CO, WY, WI	446	54	15	6,630	69	17	7,076	68	18
8. Other	24	60	18	344	60	17	368	60	17
Incomplete Data	32	-	-	163	-	-	195	-	-
Total	11,375	54	16	53,030	67	18	64,405	65	18

^aMeans and standard deviations have been rounded to nearest whole number.

Table 11. Descriptive Statistics of ASVAB Composites for Female Enlistees by Racial Subgroup and Enlistment Region (1974)^a

Enlistment Region	Black			Non-Black			Total Sample		
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD
Mechanical									
1. ME, NH, VT, MA, CT, RI, NY	168	17	13	1,023	32	17	1,191	30	18
2. NJ, PA, DE, MD, WV, VA, DC	221	21	14	925	33	18	1,146	30	18
3. NC, SC, GA, FL, AL, MS, TN	518	28	18	992	37	18	1,510	35	18
4. AR, LA, OK, NM, TX, AZ	260	30	20	888	34	18	1,148	33	18
5. OH, IN, MI, IL, KY	217	26	20	1,291	33	16	1,508	32	17
6. WA, OR, CA, NV, ID, MT, UT, AK, HI	104	28	17	1,229	36	18	1,333	35	17
7. MO, IA, MN, ND, SD, KS, NE, CO, WY, WI	56	21	17	1,010	35	17	1,066	35	17
8. Other	3	18	5	32	29	18	35	28	18
Incomplete Data	2	-	-	13	-	-	15	-	-
Total	1,549	26	18	7,403	34	17	8,952	33	18
Administrative									
1. ME, NH, VT, MA, CT, RI, NY	168	55	18	1,023	67	17	1,191	65	17
2. NJ, PA, DE, MD, WV, VA, DC	221	60	18	925	70	17	1,146	68	18
3. NC, SC, GA, FL, AL, MS, TN	518	60	18	992	72	17	1,510	68	18
4. AR, LA, OK, NM, TX, AZ	260	56	17	888	65	17	1,148	63	18
5. OH, IN, MI, IL, KY	217	62	17	1,291	67	17	1,508	66	17
6. WA, OR, CA, NV, ID, MT, UT, AK, HI	104	61	17	1,229	66	17	1,333	66	17
7. MO, IA, MN, ND, SD, KS, NE, CO, WY, WI	56	62	18	1,010	71	16	1,066	70	17
8. Other	3	60	11	32	64	21	35	64	20
Incomplete Data	2	-	-	13	-	-	15	-	-
Total	1,549	59	18	7,403	68	17	8,952	67	17
General									
1. ME, NH, VT, MA, CT, RI, NY	168	63	18	1,023	72	15	1,191	70	16
2. NJ, PA, DE, MD, WV, VA, DC	221	64	15	925	72	15	1,146	70	16
3. NC, SC, GA, FL, AL, MS, TN	518	62	14	992	72	15	1,510	68	15
4. AR, LA, OK, NM, TX, AZ	260	62	14	888	69	16	1,148	67	16
5. OH, IN, MI, IL, KY	217	65	16	1,291	69	16	1,508	69	16
6. WA, OR, CA, NV, ID, MT, UT, AK, HI	104	66	16	1,229	71	16	1,333	70	16
7. MO, IA, MN, ND, SD, KS, NE, CO, WY, WI	56	63	15	1,010	68	16	1,066	69	16
8. Other	3	52	14	32	65	14	35	64	15
Incomplete Data	2	-	-	13	-	-	15	-	-
Total	1,549	63	15	7,403	70	16	8,952	69	16
Electronics									
1. ME, NH, VT, MA, CT, RI, NY	168	43	16	1,023	58	18	1,191	56	19
2. NJ, PA, DE, MD, WV, VA, DC	221	47	17	925	59	19	1,146	57	19
3. NC, SC, GA, FL, AL, MS, TN	518	48	17	992	62	18	1,510	57	19
4. AR, LA, OK, NM, TX, AZ	260	49	17	888	59	18	1,148	57	18
5. OH, IN, MI, IL, KY	217	50	19	1,291	58	18	1,508	57	18
6. WA, OR, CA, NV, ID, MT, UT, AK, HI	104	52	18	1,229	60	18	1,333	59	18
7. MO, IA, MN, ND, SD, KS, NE, CO, WY, WI	56	47	17	1,010	60	17	1,066	59	18
8. Other	3	48	2	32	50	20	35	50	19
Incomplete Data	2	-	-	13	-	-	15	-	-
Total	1,549	48	17	7,403	59	18	8,952	57	18

^a Means and standard deviations have been rounded to nearest whole number.

Geographic Aptitudes of Males

Using the total sample, inspection of the data from Table 10 indicate that with the exception of the Administrative area, enlistees from Area 7 (the Middle West) obtained a higher than mean score average level across all aptitude indexes than did subjects from any other area in 1974. This same phenomena has occurred each year since 1970 (Vitola & Valentine, 1971). There were no accessions from any particular area who scored consistently low across all aptitude indexes. In 1972, enlistees from Areas 3 and 4, regardless of race, consistently made lower average aptitude scores on all four indexes than did enlistees from other regions (Vitola, Mullins, & Brokaw, 1974).

Regardless of current recruiting climate, it is necessary to have knowledge of aptitude potential by source of input and by racial subgroup composition. Results of studies done in the past indicated definite geographic patterns of aptitudes by racial subgroup and by total sample (Vitola & Valentine, 1971; Vitola, Mullins, & Brokaw, 1974). Pre-volunteer force studies indicated that on the Mechanical, General, and Electronics composites, male subjects from Areas 5, 6, and 7 performed at a higher average aptitude level than did subjects from Areas 1 through 4. This phenomenon obtained across racial subgroups. In addition, Areas 3 and 4, regardless of race, were consistently at the lowest end of the aptitude spectrum. Inspection of the data of Table 10 indicates many shifts have occurred in the 1972-1974 time period. Although enlistees (total sample) from Areas 5, 6, and 7 still demonstrate the highest aptitude capabilities on the Mechanical aptitude composite, Blacks in Areas 3 and 4 scored as well or higher than Blacks in Areas 1, 2, 5, and 7. In addition, non-Blacks in Areas 3 and 4 scored as well as non-Blacks in Areas 1 and 2.

On the Administrative composite, regardless of race, enlistees from Area 3 demonstrated aptitude capabilities which surpassed the aptitude capabilities of all areas except Area 7 (in the total sample). Enlistees from Areas 5, 6, and 7 did not demonstrate aptitudes surpassing those of enlistees from Areas 1 through 4.

Usually, enlistees from Areas 1 and 2 score at the relatively high end of the General and Administrative aptitude scale (Vitola & Valentine 1971; Vitola, Mullins, & Croll, 1973). This phenomenon continued in 1974. Noticeable is the gain in demonstrated aptitude by the enlistees from Area 3, usually at the low end of the General aptitude continuum.

Worthy of mention are one or two shifts in demonstrated Electronics aptitude by the enlistees from Areas 1 and 3. In the past, regardless of race, enlistees from Areas 5 through 7 averaged higher Electronic scores than enlistees from Area 1. In 1974, Area 1 enlistees demonstrated slightly more Electronics potential than 5 other areas. In addition, the non-Blacks from Area 3 showed the greatest potential of any of the seven areas.

In summary, the geographic pattern of aptitude potential by racial subgroup and by total sample has changed for males enlisting in the all-volunteer force from those of males enlisting under the Selective Service Lottery System. It appears that the present level and geographic distribution of demonstrated capabilities gives Air Force greater flexibility in the classification and assignment process under the all-volunteer concept than it had during the pre-volunteer period.

Geographic Aptitudes of Females

Table 11 shows the average scores for females on the ASVAB composites for racial subgroups by enlistment region. Since some of the racial subgroup populations by geographic area are small, discussion of comparisons between geographic areas will be based on total sample only.

Apparently, female enlistees do not follow the same patterns of aptitude potential in the Mechanical area as do males. Area 3 enlistees (South) demonstrated average scores as high or higher than enlistees from the other six areas. As it was with male enlistees, Areas 6 and 7 remain sources of high quality input.

Data prior to 1973 indicate that the highest quality input into the Administrative and General areas was recruited from the East Coast (Areas 1 and 2). This trend continued in 1974 for the General aptitude composite. However, it was not evident for the Administrative composite. Area 3 and Area 7 female enlistees demonstrated Administration aptitude potential greater than Area 1 enlistees. Noteworthy of mention is the fact that Area 3 with the high Administrative quality also inputted the greatest number of female enlistees in 1974.

Previous to all-volunteer force enlistment, the geographic patterns of average scores on the Electronics composite were in the following rank order: Areas 7 and 6 were equal; then Areas 5, 1, 2, 4, and 3. Inspection of Table 11 data indicate a shift in that pattern. Areas 6 and 7 are equally ranked followed by an equal ranking of Areas 2, 3, 4, and 5. Area 1 is ranked last.

Overall, it appears that recruiters from the 3503rd and 3504th Groups have taken vigorous action to enlist females and males with average aptitudes equal to or greater than the average aptitudes of enlistees from other geographic regions.

IV. CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study was to assess the characteristics of males and females enlisting in 1973 and 1974 and to determine if the removal of draft pressure has had an appreciable effect on the quality of personnel enlisting in the all-volunteer Air Force. Accession data for 1972 through 1974 were presented on males and females across various dimensions of race, mental ability, aptitude, education, and geographic area of enlistment.

Analysis of the data of this study lead to the following conclusions:

1. Air Force continues to be an equal opportunity employer. Even in a "buyer's market" climate where it was predicted that Blacks might not be competitive, the Air Force has increased the proportion of Black enlistees from 13 percent in 1972 to 15 and 18 percent in 1973 and 1974.

2. Regardless of race or sex, Air Force enlists rather young individuals who are less talented than their older enlistee peers. The all-volunteer Air Force is not creating a skill "man-drain" in the civilian industrial complex. In fact, in view of the current high unemployment rate among 17 through 19 year-olds, Air Force is enlisting unemployed and unskilled personnel and, after four years, returns them to the civilian manpower pool as skilled employees for the civilian work force.

3. The distribution of educational levels for the total accessions in 1973 and 1974 indicates a moderate gain in terms of a greater percentage having completed at least a high school education. Among male enlistees, there has been an appreciable loss of males who have completed more than 12 years of education; in 1972 the percentage was 12 percent; in 1973, 7 percent; and in 1974, 5 percent. No change in the percentage of women at the higher educational levels occurred during the 1972-1974 time period. Looking at the other end of the educational spectrum, among male enlistees and in the total accessions sample, there has been a substantial decrease of percent of enlistees having less than a high school education. Of the total accessions in 1972, there were 12 percent; in 1973, 10 percent; and in 1974, about 6 percent.

4. In general, regardless of race or sex, the removal of draft pressure has not adversely affected the quality of individuals enlisting in the Air Force. Compared to the aptitudes of the 1972 population, Black and non-Black females demonstrate higher average aptitudes in the Electronics area. Although the average Mechanical Aptitude of females (both Black and non-Black) show a slight decrease from 1972 to 1974, the overall percentage of females achieving a Mechanical index of 40 or above has increased. These phenomena are probably a function of a change in recruiting policy to enlist an increasing number of females in the Mechanical and Electronics career fields. Black males in the 1973-1974 accession population demonstrate average aptitude scores equal to or greater than Black males enlisting in 1972. The total male sample (as well as the non-Black male sample) show a moderate loss of quality in the Administrative area and moderate gains of average aptitude in the critical areas of assignment, the General and Electronics areas.

5. There has been a deviation from the 1972 geographic pattern of aptitude levels to the extent that enlistees from Areas 3 and 4 no longer consistently demonstrate lower average aptitude levels than other regions of the country. In fact, enlistees to be assigned to the Administrative area might be recruited from the South.

It is recognized that new and more stringent enlistment standards will prevail in calendar year 1975, which will change the characteristics of first year accessions. In addition, the collapse of recruiting groups from seven to five will impact on analyses of accessions by geographic area of enlistment. Research should be conducted to evaluate the new enlistment standards and the dynamics of varying these standards, if necessary, in the future.

REFERENCES

- AF Regulation 35-1. *Military personnel classification policy*. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Air Force, 25 July 1974. (Change 1, Table 5-2, 25 October 1974).
- Cook, A.A., Jr. *The cost implications of reducing draft calls on the number of airmen volunteers and the quality of airmen recruits*. WN-7133-PR, Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, November 1970.
- Dailey, J.T., Shaycoft, M.F., & Orr, D.B. *Calibration of Air Force selection tests to Project TALENT norms*. PRL-TDR-62-6, AD-285 185. Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Laboratory, Aerospace Medical Division, May 1962.
- Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. *Seasonally adjusted employment rates for selected age groups*. Washington, D.C., 1974.
- Flanagan, J.C., Dailey, J.T., Shaycoft, M.F., et al. *Designing the study*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh, December 1960. (Project TALENT Monograph Series - Monograph No. 1).
- Gates, T.S. (Chairman) *The report of the President's commission on an all-volunteer armed force*. New York: Macmillan Co., 1970.
- Grunzke, M.D., Guinn, N., & Stauffer, G.F. *Comparative performance of low-ability airmen*. AFHRL-TR-70-4, AD-705 575. Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, January 1970.
- Hause, J., & Fischer, A. *The supply of first-term enlisted personnel in the absence of the draft*. Study S-293, AD-691 185. Arlington, VA: Institute for Defense Analysis, April 1968.
- Saber Volunteer. *An analysis of problems associated with the establishment of an all-volunteer force for the United States*. Washington: United States Air Force, Asst Chief of Staff, Studies and Analysis, December 1971.
- Vitola, B.M., & Brokaw, L.D. *Comparison of 1970 and 1971 Air Force enlistees by draft-vulnerability category*. AFHRL-TR-72-49, AD-760 537. Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, March 1973.
- Vitola, B.M., Mullins, C.J., & Brokaw, L.D. *Quality of the all-volunteer Air Force - 1973*. AFHRL-TR-74-35, AD-781 755. Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, April 1974.
- Vitola, B.M., Mullins, C.J., & Croll, P. *Validity of Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery, Form 1, to predict technical school success*. AFHRL-TR-73-7, AD-767 578. Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, June 1973.
- Vitola, B.M., Mullins, C.J., & Weeks, J. *Characteristics of women in the Air Force 1970 - 1973*. AFHRL-TR-74-59, AD-A000 049. Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, July 1974.
- Vitola, B.M., & Wilbourn, J.M. *Comparative performance of male and female enlistees on Air Force selection measures*. AFHRL-TR-71-9, AD-726 531. Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, February 1971.
- Vitola, B.M., & Valentine, L.D., Jr. *Characteristics of Air Force enlistees related to draft vulnerability*. AFHRL-TR-71-29, AD-730 593. Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, June 1971.