California community colleges assign teaching loads according to district policy. In order to identify prevalent standards for assigning teaching loads, a survey by mail of 102 California community colleges was conducted. Responses were received from 73 institutions (72 percent). Although a survey of the literature reveals that a 15-clock hour load is considered optimal, and the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office supports this standard, many deviations from this norm were found. In such disciplines as English, foreign language, and other academic areas, faculty had clearly defined patterns of assignment, from 15 to 20 hours. The most inconsistencies were found in occupational education instructors' assignments, with hours ranging from 14 to 35 per week. On the basis of the review of the literature and an analysis of the survey results, a number of conclusions are drawn, and two recommendations are made: (1) State agencies and academic departments should establish and maintain realistic proposals of expected teaching loads; (2) Continuous assessment should be done regarding correlation of faculty teaching loads in each discipline. Survey results are tabulated in the body of the paper, and the survey instrument and list of respondents are appended. (Author/NHM)
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Chapter 1

THE PROBLEM AND DEFINITIONS OF TERMS USED

INTRODUCTION

The California community college instructor is facing the same basic problem that is besetting individuals throughout the present social order, namely the necessity of adapting to situations resulting from varying conditions of employment and the need to adapt to continuous institutional changes.

Questions regarding instructor scheduling are continually asked regarding the existence of a correlation of assigned teaching loads throughout the California community college system.

Attention to the areas of skills, attitudes, and application of knowledge to the teaching process presents a demanding need for evaluation of the assignment or scheduling of faculty members to best utilize the abilities of each. It cannot be denied that educational reforms can provide a more meaningful educational climate.

The design of this study was based on a select group of subjects throughout the California community college system in order to determine whether a correlation
can be found in order to compare the results at the conclusion of the study.

THE PROBLEM

Statement of the Problem

In recent years, there has been increasing concern on the part of community college instructors relative to the clock hours assigned them in comparison to those in surrounding districts. Variables are also present when comparisons are made with those teaching in disciplines other than the areas in which each individual is involved.

The problem is many times aggravated when instructors become aware that long hours assigned in classroom and/or laboratory prohibits professional growth. Academic instructors feel that study time is limited, while occupational education instructors find themselves unable to keep current with industry standards and methods of operation of newly developed advances in technology.

The problem of this study was: (1) to examine the assigned instructor clock hours at selected community colleges in California, listing subject matter taught; (2) to determine methods of modifying schedules when necessary; as well as (3) to provide assistance in establishing standards through fostering further research. This study was not concerned with instructional teaching.
Purpose of the Study

It was the purpose of this research to survey the presently functioning faculty assignments throughout the California community college system. To this end, answers were sought to the following questions:

1. What suggestions are offered in literature concerning clock hours taught at the community college level?
2. What standardization is presently in existence for use in assigning teaching loads?
3. What guidelines can be developed for standardization of teaching loads in community colleges?
4. What recommendations can be made for improving clock hours at the community college?

Need for the Study

A basic factor in planning faculty teaching assignments is the relative desirability of their work. In addition to a total teaching load, the attractiveness of a position may also be based on salary, extra duties, sick leave, tenure, and other benefits. Stable levels of expectancy should be attempted, even though no national data are available for comparison in several of these areas, in others fairly definite information has been
As far back as 1939, Conley studied the junior college instructor and discovered certain facts about his work load that are still reasonably accurate, as confirmed by a limited questionnaire distributed to 1150 instructors in fifteen instructional departments, including only those public junior colleges that employed more than 15 instructors.

Expanded enrollments and enlarged program offerings using extensive facilities and resources should reflect adequate planning of instructional time.

Limitations of the Study

This study was conducted in view of the following limitations:

1. Survey letters had to be prepared in a limited time in order to expect adequate response.

2. Questionnaire response would require a minimum of effort.

3. Wording of questions must be easily understood by all those surveyed.

4. Questions were gathered to collect data about selected disciplines.

5. Answers to questions would be reported in a way amenable to accurate computation, analysis, and interpretation.

6. Each of the 102 (as of summer, 1975) community colleges in California had to be researched to determine the name of the instructional deans in order to contact each personally to expect larger response.

7. This instrument was designed for the purpose of providing a sound basis for future programming.

DEFINITIONS OF TERMS USED

The following terms are defined as used in this study.

Clock hours. This term refers to the actual number of hours spent by each instructor in assigned teaching, whether it be lecture or laboratory and/or shop.

Community college. A community college is an institution of higher learning, controlled by a local board of trustees or regents and operated under statutory provisions. Two or more years of work in one or more standard collegiate academic curriculum are offered, but one or more years of instruction may be offered which are terminal in nature. A community college may confer the associate degree, but it does not grant the baccalaureate.
Standard teaching load. This term refers to a report by the California State Chancellor's Office that standard teaching load is generally felt to be equated to fifteen lecture hours per week.

ORGANIZATION OF THE STUDY

Chapter 1 has presented the problem and its importance, including an introduction, statement of the problem, purpose of the study, need for the study, and limitations of the study. Terms central to the study were defined.

Chapter 2 contains a review of related literature, including literature and major contributions (made) to the problem, and includes a brief overview of community college development.

Chapter 3 deals with the development of the questionnaire and refers to population studied.

Chapter 4 provides the survey and acquisition of materials gathered from selected deans of the California community colleges and includes treatment of data gathered.

Chapter 5 summarizes and concludes the study.

A bibliography and appendixes provide appropriate reference to the text of the study.
Chapter 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND RELATED RESEARCH

HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT

Unifying forces contributing to the present status of the community college are numerous and varied, of which four major forces seem to stand out. The first force was the establishment of the idea itself, proposed by a succession of deans and university presidents; the second force involved the economic wherewithal for community college development in a nation that was rapidly becoming the wealthiest in the history of the world. A third force was the practical feasibility of integrating the idea, i.e., the ease with which the community college machinery could be set in motion. Finally, the fourth force was the public's acceptance of the idea of providing an easy access to higher education for all those who desired it and could profit by it. Therefore, the idea, the wealth, the practicability, and the democratic dream were the major forces interacting to produce the phenomenon of the American two-year college. While the divisions of this phenomenon vary with the writers on the subject, at least
four stages can be clearly identified.  

**Initial Two-year Private College Period (1835?-1900)**

Kelley and Wilbur noted,

The private junior college was the first type to be founded in the United States. The word initial is used in the heading because the greatest thrust of growth, as in the case of all other types, came after 1900.  

Of course, the groundwork for the beginning of the two-year public college in the United States was laid by the results of the private junior colleges and the actions taken by the various educational leaders before 1900. The greatest advances toward the eventual public community college probably occurred from 1883 to 1900.  

Institutes, including such types as technical and military, also began their rise before 1920; one example being the New Mexico Military Institute, founded in 1915.

---


3. Ibid., p. 6.
Various federal grants in the area of vocational-technical education fostered the growth of institutes as well as specialized programs in the other more general colleges.

Also fostering growth during this period was the starting of an associate in arts degree for junior college graduates who had completed certain required courses and programs. 4

Period of Expanding Occupational Programs (1921-1947)

It is natural that community colleges emphasized collegiate course of a transfer nature prior to 1921. These courses were in greatest demand. Also, these early colleges were quite often very small--many of them enrolled less than 100 students--therefore, they lacked the size and financial backing for the so-called "terminal" programs. However, the idea of occupational programs received noticeable increasing support from 1921 to 1947. It is interesting to note the comparison of growth of the community colleges in direct proportion to the number of occupational programs established in both the older and newly founded institutions. 5

---


5 Ibid., p. 14.
Period of the Comprehensive Community College (1948--)

Like the other dates mentioned to identify the stages of community college evolution, 1948 is somewhat arbitrary; and yet this particular year was selected logically. In 1948 at least three significant events fostered the identifying caption, the comprehensive community college.

Kelley and Wilbur indicated that the first event in that year was the report of the President's Commission on Higher Education for American Democracy. The report stated:

"The time has come to make education through the fourteenth grade available in the same way that high school education is available."

The report was released beginning in December, 1947, through February, 1948; and was issued in six volumes. The Commission proposed that free public education should be extended upward to include two more years of study beyond high school and that every state should establish local community colleges as a part of the public school system so that every person would have easy access to free education through the sophomore year.

The second event in that year was New York's establishment of "one of the most comprehensive laws ever enacted for the establishment of a state-wide system and was the first state to designate these institutions as community colleges." Even the idea and name--community college--had been discussed and written about several years prior to New York's action, however. The Commission on
Terminal Education, in 1940, listed the community college as essentially a community institution. Furthermore, the President's Commission used the term community college in its report of 1947-48. New York, however, paved the way through legislation toward the reality of a comprehensive community college system followed by other states in the years later.

A third event which had national implication occurred in California, which along with New York seems to have set many of the standards in community college growth and development. Almost from the beginning of its community college establishment (occurring in 1910), California led the nation in the rapid expansion of community college campuses. As mentioned previously, California had the nation's first community college state law in 1907. From then on, other states watched California with great interest and possibly looked for guidance in the development of their community college program. The situation was no different even in 1948, when the state then had established fifty-five community colleges. Later, in 1969, the junior college directory noted that California had the largest number of institutions--ninety--and the number has currently reached over one hundred.  

6Kelley and Wilbur, Teaching in the Community Junior College, pp. 14-16.
Legislative Status of Community College in 1965

In most of the states, the constitutionality of the public junior college is implied rather than expressed. Thus, as Simms pointed out, "Under Amendment X to the United States Constitution, the responsibility for public schools, having been neither "delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States," is reserved to the states respectively or to the people. Under this power, only California has made express constitutional provision for junior colleges. 7

The legislative definition of the public community college should be sufficiently broad to enable the responsible local and state authorities to develop the patterns of post-high-school education that will satisfy the developing needs of their communities. 8


MAJOR CONTRIBUTIONS IN PREVIOUS RESEARCH

A preliminary step in planning any investigation is an orientation to the problem through reference to the major contributions contained in previous related research. Developed in the past, valuable information may usually be located.

Much of what is referred to in available literature deals with general working conditions (salaries, teaching schedules, administrative policies, etc.). Kelley and Wilbur stated that when general working conditions are mentioned, it is noted that professional nonteaching duties and functions need to be included with these classified in two categories: (1) those which are mandatory and (2) those which are obligatory. By definition, both mandatory and obligatory duties are "required"; they differ to the extent that mandatory duties are those which are officially requested of or assigned to the teacher, while obligatory duties are those which are not officially assigned, but are nevertheless obligatory. 9

Garrison suggested, in a 1965-66 study, that key issues and problems be divided into four big areas: (1)

administration and the context in which the teacher works; including salaries, fringe benefits, facilities, equipment, teaching loads, chances for professional growth, and intellectual stimulation; (2) job satisfaction, continued growth and intellectual stimulation on the job, with all the faculty stating over and over again that TIME was a problem; (3) problems of huge enrollments, how to increase efficiency, the teacher's part in filling college goals; and (4) difficulties facing teachers promoted to department chairmanships rapidly, without the proper training and orientation.  

There are many variables, as Garrison suggested, but notice must be taken that teaching load appears lost among the "key issues and problems" in his study.

In an attempt to determine faculty attitudes and opinions, Kelley and Wilbur determined a necessity for a definite knowledge of just what (the) faculty wants. Their survey and studies show they want better or improved (1) salaries, (2) teaching loads, (3) free time, (4) working relations with administration, (5) lines of communication, (6) standards of teaching and learning, (7) student follow-up results, (8) counseling and student placement, (9) status and prestige, (10) faculty

---

orientation, (11) opportunities for professional growth, (12) public relations, (13) administrative leadership, (14) quality among the staff, (15) financing, (16) cooperation among staff, (17) articulation and coordination within and between levels, (18) attitudes among students and teachers, (19) methods of teacher evaluation, (20) methods of staffing, (21) agreement on philosophy, goals, purposes, and functions, (22) continuity of learning, (23) faculty voice in college government, (24) freedom from unnecessary pressures, and (25) faculty fringe benefits. 11

What happens when a group of teachers DO NOT get what they want? Among the possibilities range the "do nothing but complain" attitudes to the extreme of labor organization practices of striking. One instance in 1966-67 found the Cook County College (Chicago City College) Teachers Union to be the "first major two-year college in the nation to achieve the breakthrough to a 12-hour load" following its strike, with the major lesson, that the faculty demand for determination of their working conditions might no longer be ignored. According to Thornton:

Teaching assignments in public community colleges tend to approximate fifteen credit hours of teaching per semester, with some variation between twelve and eighteen credit hours.\textsuperscript{12}

When, in 1939, Conley studied the work loads of public junior college instructors, he found five departments (agriculture, art, biological science, engineering, and physical science) in which the teaching load exceeded 20 clock hours. It must be noted that in each of these departments, there is a high ratio of laboratory hours to lecture classes. In six other departments, he found average loads of between 16 and 20 hours weekly (commerce, home economics, mathematics, natural science, physical education, and social science), each of which finds it "usual for the scheduled weekly hours to exceed the credit value." In the remaining four departments (education, English, languages, and music), 15 hours per week or less were spent in the classroom.\textsuperscript{13}

In addition, Conley discovered that the average time devoted each week to instructional duties amounts to thirty-five hours, almost equally divided between

\textsuperscript{12}The use of credit hours as a basis of computing load will lead to variations in the number of classroom hours per week required of instructors in different disciplines.\textsuperscript{12}


\textsuperscript{13}Ibid., pp. 138-139.
classroom and nonclassroom instructional duties. To this, thirty-five hours must be added more than eighteen hours per week that instructors spend in other duties—student activities, committee work, administration, and miscellaneous. The total of fifty-one hours of professional activity weekly is not out of line with the findings of others investigating similar situations.14

Hillway summarized the situation as follows:
"Fifteen hours in the classroom, fifteen hours in lesson preparation, and fifteen hours in conferences, community meetings, and similar activities."15

An additional factor, yet unmentioned, is the number of different class preparations required—especially in unrelated subject fields. Koos, after reporting on class preparations of 1458 instructors in 48 community colleges, recommended that instructors be equipped to teach more broadly than in a single subject." He found that 45 percent of his respondents taught in a single subject field, 36 percent in two fields, 13 percent in three, and 6 percent in four or more fields. Although most teach only


in major and minor subjects fields, one out of five (19 percent) must, on occasion, be expected to teach in one or two additional fields. 16

In 1958, fifty-one California community colleges reported that they expected NO preparations other than the major or minor field. 17

SUMMARY

The findings derived from relevant related literature were limited. A library search failed to produce adequate information so an ERIC search was initiated using appropriate terms: community college teaching load, junior college teaching load, clock hours, community college teaching assignment. This still did not produce any relevant data.

The available literature substantiated the need for the study in several ways. Early studies produced varying expectations of faculty teaching load, many of which are yet unchanged.

Key issues and problems of general working

---


17 Thornton, The Community Junior College, p. 139.
conditions "lose" the problem of teaching load or clock hours assigned, and the importance of each to the faculty, among other necessities.

Faculty does rank teaching load high on the list of need and expectation in communication with administration.
Chapter 3

THE PROCEDURE

To effect this study, "Analysis of California Community College District Policies of Faculty Teaching Load," two basic procedures were used: (1) a review of related literature and (2) a survey. The study necessitated the development of a survey: a state-wide survey of class scheduling standards at each of the 102 California community colleges.

This chapter deals with an overview of the community college concept and a description of the population studies, selection of the control group, and the development of the questionnaire.

SELECTION OF CONTROL GROUP STUDIED

The California community college assigned teaching loads are generally prescribed by the district policy of each. According to the district involved, requirements for assignment of faculty as to teaching differ. Instructors are generally assigned varying teaching clock hours. The procedures of assignment vary, but generally are determined in comparison with academic clock hour
POPULATION INFORMATION

The population for this study was composed of the 102 California community colleges and policies followed for teacher assignment in each. The California community college system is widely situated throughout the state with 66 institutions in the southern portion and 36 in the northern area (San Francisco and above). The system spans the state for nearly 800 miles, from Weed in the north to San Diego in the south. The principal function of the system is to provide an "open door" policy of post-high-school education for all.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE

The principal source of information for this study was a questionnaire. This instrument was designed with the purpose of providing a sound basis for future research, as well as improvement in teacher teaching load assignments.

It was decided that the most effective instrument for securing a variety of data from 102 sources was a written questionnaire. The information afforded a consistent presentation to the respondent, and the data could be recorded in a manner which simplified tabulation. The guidelines for the formulation of questions were
by the following criteria:

1. The answering of questions would require a minimum of effort.

2. The questions were primarily designed to gather information about faculty teaching load, in relation to clock hours.

3. The questions were gathered to collect data about selected disciplines.

4. The answers to questions would be reported in a way amenable to accurate computation, analysis, and interpretation.

5. The wording of the questions must be easily understood by all respondents.

According to the above criteria, the questions were constructed to collect information regarding the following:

"Are 'standard teaching loads' equated to lecture hours per week?"

If answer was yes, what number of hours is the guideline based upon?

Range or average clock hours per week for:

1. Occupational education instructors
2. Physical education instructors
3. Business instructors
4. Instructors who have laboratory, studio, performance, or other classes which are not considered lecture in nature.
(In lecture/nonlecture combination loads, are the nonlecture hours computed in proportion to lecture hours? ________)

If so, what is the ratio used? ________)

5. Instructors having English composition classes

6. Foreign language instructors

7. Other academic instructors

8. Physical science instructors hours in lecture. Are additional laboratory hours required? ________

Are instructors assigned more than two lecture hours consecutively without consent? ________

Are instructors expected to be on campus more than seven (7) hours in any one day? ________

Are instructors assigned more than three (3) classes requiring different preparations? ________

If it is necessary to assign an instructor a heavier than normal schedule in one semester, is the schedule of the instructor reduced proportionately the following semester? ________

If so, how? ___________________________________

From the above information, the questionnaire was developed (see Appendix A).
ADMINISTRATION OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE

After construction of the questionnaire, the instrument was mailed, together with a self-addressed, stamped return envelope to each of the 102 community colleges in California. Each was specifically addressed to the dean of instruction and/or occupational education. Returns were tabulated as they were received. In the organization of the questionnaire, other areas of responsibility (instructor teaching assignments) were mentioned as to clock hours required of instructors in each to determine whether a "standard teaching load" was being followed by individual districts contacted.

A personal follow-up letter urging cooperation in the study was addressed to those deans who did not respond to the first request (see Appendix B), and duplicate questionnaires, cover letters, and return envelopes were provided.

Each included the offer of a return of data gathered, should the respondent so desire (see Appendix C).

Colleges having respondents to the questionnaire are listed in Appendix D.
SUMMARY

The description of basic procedures used in the development of the study dealt with: (1) a description of the population studied, (2) selection of the control group, as well as (3) construction of the questionnaire.

At the time of the study, there were 102 community colleges in California, many with differing policies as to requirements for faculty assignment. Each of the colleges was surveyed with a written questionnaire, planned to provide responses to various data selected in relation to faculty teaching load.

Following construction of the questionnaire, the instrument was mailed, along with a self-addressed, stamped return envelope, to each California community college. A personal follow-up letter was directed to those deans who did not respond within reasonable amount of time to the first request. The second request included duplicate questionnaires, cover letters, and return envelopes.

For those desiring data collected, a response was solicited in the questionnaire.
Chapter 4

RESULTS

The first three chapters were concerned with the statement of the problem and its importance, a review of literature, and a presentation of the procedures utilized in conducting the investigation.

This chapter reports the results of the survey of faculty teaching loads, additional assignments, and other related information. The procedures used in conducting the survey were described in Chapter 3. Reported here are the findings from 73 replies from California community colleges returning questionnaires. This represented a 72 percent return, which was considered to be an adequate sample.

So that all the respondents would have the same frame of reference for answering the questionnaire, the term "standard teaching load" as equated to lecture hours per week was used.

To facilitate analysis of the data, structured responses were asked for to ensure comparability, but several items allowed open-ended responses to obtain maximum detail and comment. The instrument was divided
into sections based on each instructional discipline for clarity of response.

STANDARD TEACHING LOAD

As a method of determining the number of colleges surveyed that were engaged in following standard teaching load as a guideline, the instrument inquired as to whether or not standard teaching load was equated to lecture hours per week. Of the respondents, 48, or 65.8 percent, reported that this was the policy generally used; 9, or 12.3 percent, reported that this was not so at the particular institution; and 16, or 21.9 percent, gave no response to this question. Upon further examination of the 57 respondents who stated that standard teaching load was a policy adhered to; it was noted that of the 57, 52, or 89.5 percent, DID follow the fourteen or fifteen clock hour scheduling as a guideline (see Table 1 for distribution of the remainder of the respondents, all amounts negligible).

Occupational Education Instructors

Replies indicated that the area of occupational education was one of the least consistent in assignment of faculty clock hours. Clock hours reported ranged from fourteen to thirty-five hours per week, and many areas.
Table 1

Standard Teaching Load (N = 57)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total clock hours</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percent of response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 or 15</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>89.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

overlapped in assignment. Since each occupational program necessitates varied scheduling, the responses clearly indicated that there was no clearly defined pattern.

Of the participating colleges, 3, or 4.1 percent, reported clock hours assigned in the range from fourteen to thirty-five per week, and was noted as a special case due to the wide range possible at those institutions. Seven, or 9.6 percent, reported a range from fifteen to eighteen hours; while 36, or 49.3 percent, reported their assignments in the range of eighteen to twenty-four hours; 8, or 10.9 percent, noted a range from twenty to twenty-four hours; 5, or 6.9 percent, reported from twenty to thirty clock hours; and 4, or 5.5 percent, gave no answer to the question.
In recording returned data regarding physical education instructors, it was noted that there was a great overlap of assigned hours, and the information was recorded in Table 2, since the variations formed a significant differential in expectation of faculty teaching load. The highest percentage noted was the group of thirty respondents that formed 41.2 percent of the group responding and placed their faculty in the twenty to twenty-four hour scheduling of clock hours.

Table 2
Physical Education Instructors (N = 73)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total clock hours</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percent of response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 to 18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 22*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 to 28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 and over</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Overlap of hours
Faculty load for business education instructors formed an area of response that is significant with 40, or 54.8 percent, of instructors expected to teach fifteen to eighteen clock hours while an additional 20, or 27.4 percent, assigned to eighteen to twenty-one clock hours, with some of the larger number assigned in "practical observation" according to notes included in respondents' replies.

Table 3
Business Education Instructors (N = 73)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total clock hours</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percent of response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 to 15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Responses regarding teaching hours for instructors who have laboratory, studio, etc. were less clearly defined. Table 4 presents notes regarding overlap in expectation of hours spent.
### Table 4

Clock Hours Stated as Guideline for Instructors Who Have Laboratory, Studio, Performance, or Other Classes Which Are NOT Considered Lecture in Nature (N = 73)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total clock hours</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percent of response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 to 20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 25*</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 and above</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note overlap in hours

#### Lecture/Nonlecture Combination Loads

The questionnaire requested specific information regarding lecture/nonlecture combination teaching loads:

1. Are the nonlecture hours computed in proportion to lecture hours? (2) If so, what is the ratio used?

   Sixty, or 82.8 percent, of the total 73 questionnaire respondents answered the question. Of those responding, 48, or 80.0 percent, reported in the affirmative; and 12, or 20.0 percent, reported that nonlecture hours were not computed in proportion to lecture hours.
Lack of response to this question seemed to indicate a basic indifference to this need for an established ratio. Table 5 illustrates some of the differences in ratio used in response to Question 2.

Table 5
Ratio Used in Computation of Lecture/Nonlecture and/or Laboratory Hours (N = 48)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio used—hourly</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percent of response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 lecture to 1 lab*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 lecture to 2 labs</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 lecture to 3 labs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 lectures to 3 labs</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 lectures to 4 labs</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 hours lecture and 20 hours lab**</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 hours lecture and 25 hours lab**</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individually determined, &quot;varies&quot; according to subject matter</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This is a special case as assigned load MUST meet 18-hour load requirement.

**Each noted that this time was "partially spent in supervising teaching assistants, NOT actual teaching load."
Instructors conducting English composition were categorized into two significant areas with 40, or 54.7 percent, expected to spend fifteen to seventeen clock hours in the classroom; and 23, or 31.5 percent, assigned to twelve to fourteen hours (see Table 6 for further data).

Table 6
Instructors Conducting English Composition Classes (N = 73)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total clock hours</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percent of response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 to 14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 17</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 to 24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is notable that foreign language instructors followed a more definite pattern with 54, or 74.0 percent, of the total respondents assigned to fifteen to eighteen clock hours (see Table 7).

The MOST clearly defined pattern of assignments is that which includes the "other academic faculty;" not mentioned thus far in the study. The assignments for this group found 65, or 89.1 percent, of the respondents
Table 7
Scheduling for Foreign Language Instructors
(N = 73)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total clock hours</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percent of response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 to 14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 and above</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

assigned to the fifteen to twenty hours scheduling (see Table 8 for additional data).

Less than one half of the physical science instructors are included in the largest group able to be categorized. Thirty-three, or 45.2 percent, are expected to spend fifteen to eighteen clock hours in the classroom. Table 9 notes additional assignments required for some teachers in this discipline.

Table 8

Physical Science Instructors

Of the institutions responding to the question regarding the requirement that physical science instructors are assigned laboratory time, 26, or 35.6 percent, of the total respondents (73) replied in the affirmative. In
Table 8

Present Assigned Clock Hours for Other Academic Faculty not Included in Study thus Far (N = 73)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total clock hours</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percent of response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 to 14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 and above</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9

Physical Science Instructors (N = 73)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total clock hours</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percent of response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 to 8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 to 12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Further assigned hours in some institutions for laboratory hours required are indicated later in the text.
to lecture hours; 5, or 19.2 percent, are assigned to laboratory sessions of three to five hours; and 14, or 53.8 percent, of six to nine hours; and 7, or 27.0 percent, must spend ten to eighteen additional assigned hours.

**Instructor assignment of more than two consecutive lecture hours.** As stated, it was felt to be a necessary part of this survey to determine additional data regarding faculty scheduling. When the question was included in the survey as to whether instructors were assigned more than two consecutive lecture hours without consent, the respondents stated that 33, or 45.3 percent, ARE; 35, or 47.9 percent, ARE NOT; and 2, or 2.7 percent, "sometimes are given such assignments"; with 3 giving no answer to the question.

**Requirement that instructors remain on campus more than seven hours each day.** Additional instructor time commitments presented the need to seek response to the question, "Are instructors expected to be on campus more than seven hours in any one day?" Of those institutions replying to the question, only 8 replied in the affirmative; 59 responded negatively; with 6 declining to answer the question.
Assignment of instructors to more than three different preparations. When presented with the question regarding the assignment of teachers to more than three preparations, of the respondents (73), 50, or 68.5 percent, replied affirmatively; 17, or 23.2 percent, responded in the negative; with 2, or 2.8 percent, stating "seldom done"; and 4, or 5.5 percent, not answering.

Additional faculty assignment. When it is occasionally necessary to assign an instructor to a heavy schedule in one semester, is the schedule of the instructor reduced proportionately the following semester? Of the answers received from the total group of respondents, 69 responded affirmatively, with 4 replying that the schedule was NOT reduced.

Of the 69 replying that the schedule was adjusted or reduced in the case of an overload, each was requested to include the method used to adequately compensate the instructor. Table 10 provides the respondents' replies.

AVAILABILITY OF SURVEY DATA TO RESPONDENTS

Respondents were provided with the opportunity to request survey results with the following results: 45, or 61.7 percent, stated that they would want them when available; 17, or 23.3 percent, replied negatively; with 11, or 15.0 percent, supplying no response.
response is shown in Appendix C.

Table 10

Adjusted (or Reduced) Instructor Schedule to Compensate for Heavy Course Load Indicating Methods Used by Respondents (N = 69)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percent of respondents</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>Reduction in hours the following semester/quarter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>Monetary compensation using hourly base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>Future hours equated and/or averaged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Release time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Mutual agreement with division chairman or dean prior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>Method not stated, just noted that it was done</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 5

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SUMMARY

The purpose of this study was to survey the presently functioning faculty teaching assignments within the California community college system in order to determine if a standard guideline was in existence.

Teaching hours assigned to community college faculty directly influence faculty attitudes. Variables in assignments present areas of conflict in relationships with those in other disciplines. Leaders who assign clock hours are many times unaware that academic instructors feel that their study time is limited, while occupational education instructors find themselves equally limited for time to enable them to keep their educational presentations current with industry advances, new methods of production, and/or advances in technology.

At the present time, each district surveyed appears to act on an autonomous basis, as shown in the variables in results gleaned from the survey responses. Suggestions in literature imply a fifteen-clock hour load to be the
optimum, and the California chancellor's office supports this, however, many deviations from this were found in compilation of results.

It was noted that in disciplines such as English, foreign language, and other academic areas, that faculty had more clearly defined patterns of assignment, with English, foreign language, and most physical science instructors assigned from fifteen to seventeen or eighteen hours; and other academic areas given fifteen to twenty hours as a general expectation.

In the area of occupational education instructor assignment, the most inconsistencies were found, with hours ranging from fourteen to thirty-five hours per week, and many areas overlapping in assignment. Not quite one half of the respondents reported from fifteen to twenty-four hours, but this is not enough to establish a definite pattern due to many varying responses in smaller percentages.

Physical education instructors, in spite of a few overlapping situations, maintained a range in the area of eighteen to twenty-four hours.

Other responsibilities, such as lecture/nonlecture and/or laboratory hours brought varying responses, each requiring in-depth evaluation of individual scheduling, caused for the most part by varying ratio computation.
CONCLUSIONS

A review of the literature and an analysis of the results of the survey conducted led to the following conclusions:

1. The most efficient scheduling of each skilled faculty member should be expedited throughout the California community college system in order to best use the teaching time of staff members.

2. If the administration and faculty are unable to devote the requisite time to planning scheduling, the educational quality will suffer.

3. The philosophies of college administrative personnel determines the extent to which the changes necessary may be made.

4. Thorough and effective planning is a necessary process in the development of the college program to effectively meet the educational needs of those to be served.

5. While considerable differences exist in the types of programs offered at the community college level, each should be carefully scheduled with full instructor consent, so that total instructor potential may be utilized.
On the basis of the findings and conclusions of this study, the following recommendations are made:

1. State agencies and both academic and occupational education departments should establish realistic proposals of expected teaching loads and make an attempt to effect any changes in the existing system for their establishment.

2. Continuous assessment should be done regarding correlation of faculty teaching loads in each discipline.
BIBLIOGRAPHY


APPENDIX A

QUESTIONNAIRE
May 15, 1975

As an Occupational Education faculty member of this institution, conducting an independent survey of class scheduling standards in California Community Colleges, I would appreciate your response to the following questions:

Is your "standard teaching load" equated to lecture hours per week? yes____ no____ If answer was yes, what number of hours is the guideline based upon? ______

Please fill in the areas left blank for reply in the remaining areas.

1. Occupational education instructors have a range of ______ to ______ per week.
2. Physical education instructors normally have ________ clock hours per week.
3. Business instructors average ________ hours per week.
4. Instructors who have laboratory, studio, performance, or other classes which are not considered lecture in nature, usually teach ________ hours per week.
   If in lecture/non-lecture combination loads, are the non-lecture hours computed in proportion to lecture hours? yes____ no____ If so, what is the ratio used? ______
5. Instructors having English composition classes average ________ hours per week.
6. Foreign language instructors average ________ hours each semester.
7. Other academic instructors average ________ hours each semester.
8. Physical science instructors average ________ hours in lecture. Additional laboratory hours of ________ are required.

Are instructors' assigned more than two lecture hours consecutively without consent? yes____ no____

Are instructors expected to be on campus more than seven (7) hours in any one day? yes____ no____

Are instructors assigned more than three (3) classes requiring different preparations? yes____ no____

If it is necessary to assign an instructor a heavier than normal schedule in one semester, is the schedule of the instructor reduced proportionately the following semester? yes____ no____ If so, how? ______

Results of this survey will be provided upon request. If you so desire, please check here ______, noting your name.

Thank you for your participation.

Very truly yours,

Frances F. Shaw
Assistant Professor
Glendale College.

51

1500 NORTH VERDUGO ROAD, GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91208 (213) 240-1000
As yet, I have not received your response to the survey regarding class scheduling standards. As I mentioned in the first mailing, results of the survey will be provided if you so desire, hopefully the data will be helpful to you.

Thank you very much for your time spent in completing the questionnaire, it is much appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Frances F. Shaw
Assistant Professor
Glendale College
APPENDIX C

METHOD OF RESPONSE
Upon completion of the recent survey on class scheduling standards in California community colleges, it was found that the following figures established a norm.

The "standard teaching load" is not limited to lecture hours per week.

(Number of hours the guideline is based upon.)

1. Occupational education instructors have a range of to per week.
2. Physical education instructors normally have hours per week.
3. Business instructors average per week.
4. Instructors who have laboratory, studio, performance, or other classes which are not considered lecture in nature, usually teach hours per week.

In lecture/nonlecture combination loads, the nonlecture hours are computed in proportion to lecture hours. (Ratio used.)

5. Instructors having English composition classes average hours per week.
6. Foreign language instructors average hours each semester.
7. Other academic instructors average hours each semester.
8. Physical science instructors average hours in lecture. Additional laboratory hours of are required.

Instructors are assigned two lecture hours consecutively without consent.

Instructors are expected to be on campus more than seven hours in any one day.

Instructors are assigned more than three classes requiring different preparations.
If it is necessary to assign an instructor a heavier than normal schedule in one semester, the schedule of the instructor is ____ is not ____ reduced proportionately the following semester.

How? ________________________________

Your interest in the survey was appreciated. I hope that the data will be beneficial to your program.

Thank you for your participation.

Very truly yours,

Frances F. Shaw,
Assistant professor,
Glendale College
APPENDIX D

RESPONDENTS TO QUESTIONNAIRE
RESPONDENTS TO QUESTIONNAIRE

American River College
4700 College Oak Drive
Sacramento 95841

Antelope Valley College
3041 West Avenue K
Lancaster 93534

Bakersfield College
1801 Panorama Drive
Bakersfield 93305

Barstow Community College
2700 Barstow Road
Barstow 92311

Butte College
Route 1, Box 183A
Oroville 95965

Cabrillo College
6500 Soquel Drive
Aptos 95003

Canada College
4200 Farm Hill Boulevard
Redwood City 94061

Canyons, College of the
25000 West Valencia Boulevard
Valencia 92155

Cerritos College
11110 East Alondra Boulevard
Norwalk 90650

Cerro Coso Community College
College Heights Boulevard
Ridgecrest 93555

Chaffey College
5885 Haven Avenue
Alta Loma 91701

Citrus College
18824 East Foothill Blvd.
Azusa 91702

Compton College
1111 East Artesia Blvd.
Compton 90221

Contra Costa College
2600 Mission Bell Drive
San Pablo 94806

Crafton Hills College
11711 Sand Canyon Road
Yucaipa 92399

Cuesta College
P. O. Box J
San Luis Obispo 93406

De Anza College
21250 Stevens Creek Road
Cupertino 95014

Diablo Valley College
321 Golf Club Road
Pleasant Hill 94523

East Los Angeles College
5357 East Brooklyn Avenue
Los Angeles 90022

Evergreen Valley College
860 South Bascom Avenue
San Jose 95128

Fresno City College
1101 East University Avenue
Fresno 93741

Gavilan College
5055 Santa Teresa Blvd.
Gilroy 95020
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Community College</td>
<td>1500 North Verdugo Road</td>
<td>Glendale</td>
<td>91208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden West College</td>
<td>15744 Golden West Street</td>
<td>Huntington Beach</td>
<td>92647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hancock (Allan) College</td>
<td>800 South College Drive</td>
<td>Santa Maria</td>
<td>93454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartnell College</td>
<td>156 Homestead Avenue</td>
<td>Salinas</td>
<td>93901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial Valley College</td>
<td>P.O. Box 158</td>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>92251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Valley Colleges</td>
<td>720 Ignacio Boulevard</td>
<td>Novato</td>
<td>94947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laney College</td>
<td>900 Fallon Street</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>94607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles City College</td>
<td>855 North Vermont Avenue</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>90029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Harbor College</td>
<td>1111 Figueroa Place</td>
<td>Wilmington</td>
<td>90744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles North San Fernando Valley College</td>
<td>400 South San Fernando Mission Boulevard</td>
<td>San Fernando</td>
<td>91340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Pierce College</td>
<td>6201 Winnetka Avenue</td>
<td>Woodland Hills</td>
<td>91364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Southwest College</td>
<td>11514 South Western Avenue</td>
<td>San Jacinto</td>
<td>92383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Trade-Tech College</td>
<td>400 West Washington Boulevard</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>90015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Valley College</td>
<td>5800 Fulton Avenue</td>
<td>Van Nuys</td>
<td>91401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Medanos College</td>
<td>2700 East Leland</td>
<td>Pittsburg</td>
<td>94565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendocino College</td>
<td>P. O. Box 3000</td>
<td>Ukiah</td>
<td>95482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced College</td>
<td>3600 M Street</td>
<td>Merced</td>
<td>95340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merritt College</td>
<td>12500 Campus Drive</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>94619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miracosta College</td>
<td>One Barnard Drive</td>
<td>Oceanside</td>
<td>92054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modesto Junior College</td>
<td>435 College Avenue</td>
<td>Modesto</td>
<td>95350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Peninsula College</td>
<td>908 Fremont</td>
<td>Monterey</td>
<td>93940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moorpark College</td>
<td>7075 Campus Road</td>
<td>Moorpark</td>
<td>93021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. San Antonio College</td>
<td>1100 North Grand Avenue</td>
<td>Walnut</td>
<td>91789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. San Jacinto College</td>
<td>21-400 Foothill Boulevard</td>
<td>San Jacinto</td>
<td>92383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Zip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa Valley College</td>
<td>2277 Napa-Vallejo Highway</td>
<td>Napa</td>
<td>94558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Peralta Community College</td>
<td>5714 Grove Street</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>94609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange Coast College</td>
<td>2701 Fairview Road</td>
<td>Costa Mesa</td>
<td>92626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Verde College</td>
<td>811 West Chanslorway</td>
<td>Blythe</td>
<td>92255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palomar College</td>
<td>1140 West Mission</td>
<td>San Marcos</td>
<td>92069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porterville College</td>
<td>900 South Main Street</td>
<td>Porterville</td>
<td>93257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redwoods, College of the Eureka</td>
<td>1065 North Old Oregon Trail</td>
<td>Redding</td>
<td>96001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reedley College</td>
<td>995 North Reed Avenue</td>
<td>Reedley</td>
<td>93654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Hondo College</td>
<td>3600 Workman Mill Road</td>
<td>Whittier</td>
<td>90011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside City College</td>
<td>4800 Magnolia Avenue</td>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>92506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saddleback College</td>
<td>28000 Marguerite Parkway</td>
<td>Mission Viejo</td>
<td>92675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino Valley College</td>
<td>701 South Mt. Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>92403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego City College</td>
<td>1425 Russ Boulevard</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>92101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Evening College</td>
<td>3375 Camino Del Rio South</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>92108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Mesa College</td>
<td>7250 Mesa College Drive</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>92111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose City College</td>
<td>2100 Moorpark Avenue</td>
<td>San Jose</td>
<td>95128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Ana College</td>
<td>17th Street at Bristol</td>
<td>Santa Ana</td>
<td>92706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Rosa Junior College</td>
<td>1501 Mendocino Avenue</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>95401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta College</td>
<td>1065 North Old Oregon Trail</td>
<td>Redding</td>
<td>96001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra College</td>
<td>5000 Rocklin Road</td>
<td>Rocklin</td>
<td>95677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siskiyous, College of the 800 College Avenue</td>
<td>800 College Avenue</td>
<td>Weed</td>
<td>96094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solano College</td>
<td>P. O. Box 246</td>
<td>Suisun City</td>
<td>94585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern College</td>
<td>900 Otay Lakes Road</td>
<td>Chula Vista</td>
<td>92010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Valley College</td>
<td>P. O. Drawer 00</td>
<td>Victorville</td>
<td>92392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
West Hills College
300 Cherry Lane
Coalinga 93210

West Los Angeles College
4800 Freshman Drive
Culver City 90230

West Valley College
1400 Fruitvale Avenue
Saratoga 95070

Yuba College
2088 North Beale Road
Marysville 95901

Lake Tahoe Community College
District
P. O. Box 14445
South Lake Tahoe 95702