To determine the effects of videorecording experience on the communication process in community college sophomore nursing students, 20 students were randomly assigned to two groups. One group received 90-minute sessions of videorecording/feedback experience twice weekly for five weeks. The control group was not exposed to the experimental variable. Both groups were pre- and posttested on a Communication Skill Behavior Check List for Empathy and Dogmatism. The experimental group's experience consisted of student construction of communication situations, utilization of the communication process guidelines, observation and increased sensitivity to nonverbal and verbal cues in the communications process, and group discussion of attitudes following replay sessions. Test results showed no significant differences in scores of the experimental group and the control group. (SK)
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The primary objective of the National Commission for the Study of Nursing and Nursing Education was to explore how to improve the delivery of health care to the American people through analysis and improvement of nursing and nursing education (23:173). The Commission believes that community college associate degree programs will eventually supply the major percentage of nurse practitioners. Opportunities in nursing were viewed in terms of interdisciplinary approaches and cooperative study to determine current data, emerging directions and needed changes. However, a major gap exists in translating useful nursing research findings into nursing education (1:252)(2:44).

Statement of Problem

Abdellah (1:239-252) reviewed 175 nursing research projects which were supported in part by the Division of Nursing, National Institutes of Health, between 1955 and 1968. She concluded there were two priorities involving nursing education, one of which was to explore methodology of incorporating new scientific and technological advances into the nursing curriculum. She further pointed out that nursing is rapidly moving toward clinical specialization but few nursing education programs have reflected ways to meet the new demands in their curricula.

The field of audiovisual technology has opened new vistas to faculty to enhance student learning experiences and provide the opportunity to combine multiple techniques in a variety of ways. Nurse educators
have shown much interest in using multimedia approaches in teaching. Many of these permit self-viewing, immediate feedback, and an opportunity to deal with sensitive situations that otherwise would not be possible in a nursing clinical setting.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of Video-recording Experiences upon the communication process in community college sophomore nursing students. One central concept permeating all nursing curricula is that of an effective communication process. It is possible to measure segments of the communication process through selected skills. Nursing as a helping relationship is dependent upon observation, communication, and problem-solving. This study will focus upon a technological approach to student participation in the communication process.

Research Questions

Question 1: Is there a significant difference between the scores on a Communication Skill Behavior Check List for Empathy in community college sophomore nursing students who participate in a Video-recording Experience as compared to community college sophomore nursing students who do not participate in the Video-recording Experience?

Question 2: Is there a significant difference between the scores on a measure of dogmatism in community college sophomore nursing students who participate in a Video-recording Experience as compared to community college sophomore nursing students who do not participate in a Video-recording Experience?
Assumptions

Assumptions in the study which will be required for adequate interpretation of the data are that the nursing students will respond honestly and accurately to the instruments in the study. Further, it is assumed that the necessity for sampling selection to be deliberate will result in a sample representative of community college sophomore nursing students.

Definition of Terms

Cognitive: The known or perceived.

Communication: Persons sharing in attitudes and feelings of others by giving and receiving symbolic messages (31:84).

Communication Skill Behavior Check List: Likert rating scale form designed to facilitate observation of empathy as a skill involving interpersonal relations (6).

Dogmatism Scale: An instrument developed to measure individual differences in open and closed belief systems (29:96).

Empathy: Respecting the other person's position (thoughts, feelings, values, etc.) by being able to convey to the other person that he is being received and understood (6).

Feedback: Information to the learner about his performance which focuses upon specific skills (18:70). Output from a system which is returned as input to control future output (10:34).

Group, Control: Sophomore nursing students enrolled in a nursing clinical laboratory section at a community college who will not be treated according to the experimental variable.

Group, Experimental: Sophomore nursing students enrolled in a nursing clinical laboratory section at a community college who will be treated according to the experimental variable.

Paraphrasing: Restating what another person has said, using one's own words (31:99).
Technology: Systematic application of scientific or other organized knowledge to practical tasks (16:12).

Video-recording Experience: Video-recording of role-playing situations in the communication process between a pair of students which is then replayed for student/teacher discussion. Also, the experimental variable.

Need for the Study

Major areas covered in nursing studies include such topics as the measuring of attitudes of applicants to nursing programs, academic success in relation to student characteristics, student perceptions of patient needs, methods for evaluating nursing education cost, and other wide-range topics. In a 30-year review of research studies and practices in nursing education, Taylor and others (33:56) concluded that research loaded in non-intellectual areas and in the "non-intelligent intellectual areas." Examples of these areas include creativity, leadership skills, communication skills, and interpersonal relations. In a similar summary, Moyer (26:3) states that the general nursing curriculum does not adequately prepare nurses to respond in an understanding or empathetic way to patients.

In the Oakland study, funded by the W. K. Kellogg Foundation, Fiorantino and workers (13:66) found that employers of community college nurse graduates indicated these graduates were lacking in abilities with communication skills. Incorporating learning experiences into nursing curricula can be done by videorecording and replay of student participation in the communication process. This has the potential of allowing students to view their own communication behavior with its influence upon others. A search of the literature does not reveal studies which demonstrate the effectiveness of this specific approach in community college nursing education.
Limitations of the Study

The researcher was not able to take large samples because of the institutional scheduling format and influential policies on teacher-student ratio imposed by accrediting bodies. Deliberate sequential selection was the sampling method of choice. Some authorities argue for a large sample and state that the crucial element is the appropriate statistical tool for analysis of the data (4:276). However, Fox (14:346) contends that the critical aspect of sampling is representativeness rather than sample size. This study was limited to a single class of sophomore nursing students in one community college and under the direction of one instructor. Statistical analysis of the data was limited to those techniques suited to small samples.
Taylor and his colleagues (33:91) conducted an evaluation of research findings covering a 30-year period. In their conclusion they state that research is needed in non-intellectual areas such as communication skills, leadership skills, and interpersonal relations. Nurses are given symbolic representation by all health team members as well as the public as persons who serve in a helping role with inherent skills of communication, leadership, and interpersonal relations. A pertinent question raised by these researchers related to the extent in which nursing education programs foster or inhibit maturity in students. They recommended consideration be given in future research to determining the success and satisfaction in nursing in measures of personality maturity and mental health.

There is very little evidence in the research literature indicating that nurse preparation programs include experiences specifically designed to promote adequate interpersonal skills (26:3). The assumption seems inherent that nursing students will acquire interpersonal skills as an integral part of their clinical and curricular experiences. Moyer (26) found no studies which attempted to investigate the potential of group procedures as means of influencing the interpersonal skills of nurses. He concluded that empathic communication must be acquired and furthermore that nursing educators should recognize the need for teaching of empathic ability to student nurses.

Greif and Hogan (17:280-284) reviewed several studies supporting the idea that empathy is an important aspect of interpersonal behavior.
The concept of empathy as sensitivity to the needs and values of others is considered to be a major element of interpersonal behavior. Saltzarch (30:375-377) found that regardless of orientation, the therapeutic process is positively correlated with the condition of empathy. Burke (6) identified three basic communication skills involving interpersonal relations. One of these focuses upon the empathic skills demonstrated by the listener (the helping person) in both a verbal and non-verbal context.

**Dogmatism**

The Dogmatism Scale, Form D, measures subject receptivity to new ideas, their degree of open-mindedness, and their authoritarian attitude (29:71-80). High scorers are thought to be dogmatic, close-minded, and unreceptive to new ideas. Burke (5:863-868) studied social perception as a function of dogmatism and ratings of subjects' interpersonal sensitivity. It is reported that the degree to which a person is perceived as being empathic and positive in his regard for others is a function of his level of dogmatism.

Kelly (21) points out that discrepancies are evident in the literature reporting findings between dogmatism scores and level of communication. He found that systematic training in communication skills for counseling students enable these students to make significant gains in writing helpful responses to client problems. Also these students made significant gains in terms of responses in a simulated counseling situation. However, the mean score was quite low representing performances inadequate to achieve positive client outcomes. No research studies can be found that would relate dogmatism to level of communication in student nurses.
VIDEO-RECORDING

Review of the literature reveals no research studies to demonstrate effectiveness of video-recording experience as a facilitator in the communication process in the learning atmosphere of student nurses. Muecke (27:200-208) has used videotape recordings to identify types, patterns, and inconsistencies of patient behaviors for supervisor learning purposes. Accordingly these have increased self-awareness and increased understanding of the communication processes as well as increasing observation and interpretation skills.

The behaviors necessary to develop special abilities and capabilities for problem-solving are thought to be more important than facts and generalizations (10:4). The challenge of helping students acquire more capabilities faces nursing education with the need to create conditions which facilitate student behavior change. Video-recording is a growing instructional strategy which allows the student to see himself as others see him and provides a powerful tool in developing communication skills and interpersonal relationships.

Utilization of video-recording to develop communication skills is now being used in physician education to allow translation of insight and knowledges into a modus operandi for the physician (3:10). Inclusion of communication skills as part of the curricula in medical schools is directed primarily at developing a humanistic attitude into the doctor-patient relationship in place of the authoritarian attitude prevalent in the past. Communication skill training is thought to aid the physician to be of higher quality as a fellow human being. However, no research studies can be found to support or refute the value of this approach.
Thirty occupational therapy students at Colorado State University were exposed to a 12-hour experience focused upon interpersonal and communication skills within a one-week period (9:1027-29). A specific behavior change goal was decided by each participant who found at the workshop conclusion that the experience was valuable for working effectively with groups. The final question raised, however, related to a need for further research to determine transferability to the work area of the occupational therapist.

Video-recording: Non-health Professions

Research findings on videotape utilization within the disciplines of teacher education, counselor education, psychological therapy, business training, and speech education were reviewed by Clift (7:18). He concluded that it is necessary to determine whether or not the task involved can be accomplished by other means than videotape replay. There are several considerations which tend to support the use of other media for feedback. These considerations include:

1) Other methods of feedback as superior to self-viewing,
2) The emotional dangers inherent in self-viewing by the individuals,
3) Excess time that must be devoted to the taping-replay process.

After determining suitability of the videotape approach and behavioral objectives, Clift (7:19), further important considerations are:

1) Structuring the experience and convincing the participants that behavioral change from the structure is valuable,
2) Allowing the participants to operate the cameras and other equipment in order to become familiar with the hardware,
3) External factors such as the time element should not determine length of playback, and immediate replay,
4) During playback, attention should be directed toward behaviors felt important by the practitioner.
Videotape feedback was employed in sensitivity training at the School of Education of East Texas State University (125233). This modality was found to be effective in producing changes in some areas of self-concept and self-actualization. The videotape replays, however, were observed to decrease in use and to become less effective as a tool for presenting visual feedback when sessions were left to participants discretion. The suggested reason for this is that increased involvement on the part of participants develops group adhesion.

Video-recording has also been used as a training methodology in microteaching. Meier (24145) believes this methodology is most likely based on reinforcement learning theories. This suggests that a person's behavior can be changed by giving him some kind of reward or positive reinforcement when he behaves in the desired manner. The principle that behavior is influenced by its consequences provides an impetus to further study of video-recording experiences in this regard.

Video-recording simulation techniques for developing the communication process have been used extensively in the counseling literature by Delaney (8), Eisenberg and Delaney (11), Frankel (15), Iv (19), and Kelley (20). Mezzano (2564-65) comments that in addition to the importance of communication skills to counseling effectiveness, there is evidence suggesting that open-mindedness, or the lack of dogmatism, is also related to counselor effectiveness. This suggests there are other variables than video-recording, simulation, and communication skills in the effectiveness of any person in the helping role. There are numerous examples in the literature of application and practice of video-recording as a teaching tool but no evidence of a theoretical foundation.
Summary of Literature Review

Evidence was not found in the literature to indicate that research on the influence of video-recording of the communication process had been accomplished using student nurses as subjects. Studies were not found which relate specifically to the concepts of empathy and dogmatism as being influenced either by the innovative techniques of video-recording of student nurses. Related areas such as medical education and occupational therapy education, are minimally influenced but do not report actual research. On the other hand, teaching, counseling, business training, and speech education have used this approach extensively. Some studies support effectively derived outcomes and other studies do not support effectiveness.

It seems evident from the literature that several variables are involved in the communication process in the video-recording setting. These include characteristics of the student, the time element in recording/replay, and the complexity of the equipment. Objectives and structured experiences appear necessary to any effectiveness that might be derived from videorecording. No research efforts point out a relationship with use of any technological media as influencing empathy and dogmatism.
CHAPTER III

METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The purpose of this study and its theoretical framework are presented in Chapter I. Chapter II contains a review of the literature relative to the problem. This chapter contains descriptions of the population, sampling method, design of the study, instrumentation, treatment techniques, and data analysis plan.

POPULATION

The population consisted of sophomore nursing students who are presently attending Glendale Community College and enrolled in the nursing curriculum. All nursing courses are required without deviation from a set pattern in order to fulfill curriculum requirements. Because of professional accreditation requirements, student supervision, and agency space limitations, the student laboratory experiences are provided in groups not exceeding ten each. Consequently, sampling cannot be extensive.

SAMPLE SELECTION

The sample consisted of 20 sophomore nursing students divided equally into two groups by virtue of the institutional scheduling format. One group was scheduled in the clinical area on Monday/Wednesday and the other group on Tuesday/Thursday. The Tuesday/Thursday group was determined the experimental group according to a mechanical system described by Borg and Gall (4:380).

Design of the Study

The research design of choice was the non-equivalent control-group design described by Borg and Gall (4:394). Structurally, this is:
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This quasi-experimental design allowed the researcher to take advantage of an already established, functioning group in a natural setting where a data-collection dimension could be added. According to Fox (14:453), some conclusion can be drawn about the relative effectiveness of treatment.

Eight major sources of internal invalidity are history, maturation, testing, instrumentation, regression, selection, mortality, and interaction of selection and maturation. The non-equivalent control group design controls for six of these sources. It does not explicitly control for regression nor interaction of selection and maturation. Regression is unlikely since the group is not an extreme group from an achievement standpoint. Both the control group and the experimental group are in the same school class level and therefore interaction between selection and maturation is remote.

INSTRUMENTATION

Two instruments were used to collect pre-treatment and post-treatment measures of a communication skill reflecting empathy and openness/closedness of belief systems.

Communication Skill Behavior Check List for Empathy

The Communication Skill Behavior Check List for Empathy is a communication skill instrument developed by three counseling faculty at Bradley University for use in a communication skill laboratory setting. No reports of validity and/or reliability are reported in their study. This instrument (See Appendix A) was used by a panel of three experts to rate communication behavior in a video-recorded role-play situation of
students in both the experimental group and the control group. The panel of experts consisted of two nursing faculty colleagues and one nurse alumni. Interrater reliability with a Pearson product-moment statistic was used to determine the reliability of the observers ratings. This correlational technique only measures the extent to which sets of observer’s ratings are linearly related (4:361).

**TREATMENT TECHNIQUES**

The experimental treatment consisted of one and one-half hour sessions of video-recording/feedback experience twice weekly for five weeks in conjunction with the regularly scheduled laboratory experiences provided as a segment of the nursing curriculum. In other words, the experimental variable consisted of a total of 15-hours exposure to the treatment with the experimental group. A Guide for Communication Skills was used for didactic purposes at initial video-recording. (See Appendix B)

As a base-line, all members of the sample were video-recorded in a role-play situation in a pre and post setting only for rating purposes by the panel of experts. Koch (22:38) points out that complete objective observation can be recorded by the camera whereas note-taking records interpretation of an observation. The experimental group were exposed to the experimental variable whereas the control group was not.

Audiovisual technology combined with role-playing allows students to learn and gain insight into their own attitudes as well as attitudes of others. Most students had no prior experience with the communication process being video-taped and replayed. Objectives for the sessions were to allow:
1) student construction of communication situations,
2) utilization of the communication process guidelines involving
   attending behavior, open-ended questioning, and minimal en-
   courages to talk,
3) observation and increased sensitivity to non-verbal and
   verbal cues in the communication process, and
4) group discussion of attitudes toward replay sessions.

DATA ANALYSIS PLAN

The two instruments used to collect data resulted in pre-treatment
and post-treatment scores as well as three ratings on the behavior check
list. The pre-treatment scores and post-treatment scores were analyzed using
the Mann-Whitney U Test to determine whether the scores were significantly
different as a result of the experimental treatment. The behavior check
list ratings were correlated using the Pearson product moment correlation
to determine interrater reliability. Levels of significance were set at
.05 as recommended by Borg and Gall (4:286-287).

Summary of Methods and Procedures

One clinical laboratory group of sophomore nursing students were
treated with Video-recording Experience and one clinical laboratory group was
used as a control. The total sample was pre-tested and post-tested. The ex-
perimenatal group received a total of 15-hours exposure to the experimental
variable. Scores from the Communication Skill Check List and the Dogmatism
Scale were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U Test.
CHAPTER IV

ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Data collected using the two instruments for measuring dogmatic belief systems and communication skill behavior were used to test the two hypotheses which were presented in Chapter I. A description of the analysis and results are presented in this chapter. Test of the hypotheses follows the analysis and results.

PRESENTATION OF DATA

Pre-treatment Results

Using a Pearson product moment correlation on rater scores from the communication skill behavior, correlation coefficients were found to be .73 between Rater #1 and Rater #2, .36 between Rater #1 and Rater #3, and .72 between Rater #2 and Rater #3. Actual rater scores and computational data on pre-treatment scores may be found in Appendix C. Interrater reliability, using Pearson product moment correlation method, appear graphically as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rater</th>
<th>#1</th>
<th>#2</th>
<th>#3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample mean scores derived from the communication behavior instrument were mathematically manipulated with the Mann-Whitney U Test. The resulting values of \( U = 69 \) and \( U^1 = 31 \) are presented in Table 1. These scores were verified by applying the transformation formula (32) (120):

\[
U = N_1 N_2 - U^1
\]

Mann-Whitney U Formula is as follows:

\[
U = \frac{N_1 N_2 + N_1 (N_1 + 1)}{2} - R_1 \\
U^1 = \frac{N_1 N_2 + N_2 (N_2 + 1)}{2} - R_2
\]
Table 1.
Summary of Ranks and Mann-Whitney U Values on Communication Skill Pre-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Group (N1)</th>
<th>Experimental Group (N2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.34</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.33</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.33</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.66</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ R_1 = 86.0 \]
\[ U = 69 \]
\[ U_1 = 31 \]

\[ R_2 = 124.0 \]

Ordinal data of pre-test scores collected from the sample on dogmatism were ranked jointly according to the Mann-Whitney U formula. These scores were then separated into the control group and experimental group as shown in Table 2. The observed value for \( U = 34.5 \) and the value for \( U_1 = 65.5 \). The transformation formula for verification was then utilized. The data on pre-test scores from the Dogmatism Scale are presented in Table 2. There are several purposes for using a Mann-Whitney U Test. In this study, the purpose is to determine whether a difference exists between two methods applied to members of an experimental group and a control group.
Table 2.
Summary of Ranks and Mann-Whitney U Values on Dogmatism Scale Pre-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R₁ = 120.5
R₂ = 89.5
U = 34.5
U₁ = 65.5

Post-treatment Results

The Communication Skill Behavior post-test rated scores were subjected to interrater reliability scrutiny using Pearson product moment correlation. A table of actual rater values appears in Appendix D. Graphically presented, the correlations between raters appear thus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rater</th>
<th>#1</th>
<th>#2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Post-test mean scores on performance from the Communication Skill Behavior ratings were combined for ranking then separated into the control and experimental groups as shown in Table 3. The Mann-Whitney U Test was utilized to determine whether significant change had occurred as a result of the experimental variable. The value of U was found to be 47 and \( U^1 \) as 53. These findings were checked and found to be true by applying the transformation formula (32:120).

Table 3.
Summary Ranks and Mann-Whitney U Values on Communication Skill Post-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Group (( N_1 ))</th>
<th>Experimental Group (( N_2 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.68</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.33</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.33</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.66</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.01</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.01</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.66</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.67</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( R_1 = 108.0 \) \( R_2 = 102.0 \)

\( U = 47 \)

\( U^1 = 53 \)
Ranks were established for the total sample of scores derived from the Dogmatism scale. These rank scores were then totaled and calculated according to the Mann-Whitney U formula for small groups. Table 4 reveals the value of $U = 50$ and the value of $U_1 = 50$. These values were verified by applying the transformation formula.

Table 4.
Summary of Ranks and Mann-Whitney U Values on Dogmatism Scale Post-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R_1 = 105.0$

$U = 50$

$U_1 = 50$

DATA ANALYSIS

Pre-test and post-test data from two instruments were analyzed statistically by use of the Mann-Whitney U Test and application of the transformation formula to verify the U values. The two instruments used to collect pre-treatment and post-treatment data were the Communication Skill Behavior Check List and the Rokeach Dogmatism Scale. Data on the pre-test and post-test ranks and scores are presented in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4. See Appendix E for Rokeach Dogmatism Scale.
Ratings on the Communication Skill Behavior Check List obtained from three raters who observed subjects in the sample as pre-test and post-test data base-line were submitted to interrater reliability. Pearson product moment correlation as pre-tests between Raters #1 and #2 was .73 and between Raters #2 and #3 was .72. Both these being considered to represent a high degree correlation. However, Pearson product moment correlation on pre-tests between Raters #1 and #3 was .36, which is considered to be of only moderate degree. The post-test correlations of .82 between both Raters #1 and #2 as well as #1 and #3 are representative of a high degree of correlation. The correlation between Rater #2 and #3 was .32 which is considered in the lower limits of a moderate degree.

Results from the Interrater Reliability using Pearson product moment correlation for the Communication Skill Behavior Check List are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-test</th>
<th>Post-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raters #1 and #2</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raters #1 and #3</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raters #2 and #3</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control Group and Experimental Group performance as rated by a panel of expert raters using the Communication Skill Behavior Check List resulted in mean scores for each subject which were analyzed by a Mann-Whitney U Test:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-test</th>
<th>Post-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U value</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U^1 value</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These scores are not significant at the .05 level of significance as listed in the Table of Critical Values of U for the Mann-Whitney U Test (32;276).
The Mann-Whitney U Test values resulting from the Dogmatism Scale scores on both the Control Group and the Experimental Group were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-test</th>
<th>Post-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U value</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1 value</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These scores were not within the .05 level of significance when compared to the Table of Critical Values of U for the Mann-Whitney U Test (32:276).

**TEST OF THE RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

**Question 1:** Is there a significant difference between the scores on a Communication Skill Behavior Check List in community college sophomore nursing students who participate in a Video-recording Experience as compared to community college sophomore nursing students who do not participate in the Video-recording Experience?

Observed Mann-Whitney U values on the Communication Skill Behavior Check List are not within the .05 level of significance as demonstrated in the Table of Critical Values of U for the Mann-Whitney U Test (32:276). The experimental group was not significantly different from the control group with regard to the variable.

**Question 2:** Is there a significant difference between the scores on a measure of dogmatism in community college sophomore nursing students who participate in a Video-recording Experience as compared to community college sophomore nursing students who do not participate in a Video-recording Experience?

The Table of Critical Values of U for the Mann-Whitney U Test (32:276) confirmed that the observed U values on the Dogmatism Scale are not
within the .05 level of significance. The experimental group was not
significantly different from the control group with regard to the variable.
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary

Nursing educators have been lacking in attention to exploring and incorporating changing technological concepts into their curricula in preparing nurses for future trends toward clinical specialization. This study involves determining the effectiveness of video-recording/replay opportunities for an experimental group of nursing students as one method of approaching the teaching/learning process with communication skills. Two research questions were tested.

Question 1: Is there a significant difference between the scores on a Communication Skill Behavior Check List for Empathy in community college sophomore nursing students who participate in a Video-recording Experience as compared to community college sophomore nursing students who do not participate in the Video-recording Experience?

Question 2: Is there a significant difference between the scores on a measure of dogmatism in community college sophomore nursing students who participate in a Video-recording Experience as compared to community college sophomore nursing students who do not participate in a Video-recording Experience?

A sample of 20 sophomore nursing students equally divided into two intact clinical groups were determined by a mechanical system of sampling as the control group and the experimental group. The research design of choice was a non-equivalent control-group design controlling for six of eight sources of internal invalidity.
Two instruments, the Communication Skill Behavior Check List for Empathy and the Dogmatism Scale D Form, were chosen. These were administered to the total sample as pre-tests and post-tests. The experimental group was treated with a series of Video-recording Experiences, the variable, totaling 15-hours while the control group was not treated.

Four sets of scores were obtained for statistical analysis. This was accomplished with the Mann-Whitney U Test to determine variation at the .05 level of significance. Pearson product moment coefficient correlations were utilized to determine interrater reliability between check list ratings by three raters on the Communication Skill Behavior Check List. Testing of Question 1 and Question 2 revealed that there was no significant difference between the experimental group and the control group at the .05 level of significance.

Conclusions

In view of the findings, three conclusions were evident:

1) The Video-recording experience in this study did not reveal it to be an effective method for altering the communication skill of empathy nor lower the dogmatism scores among community college sophomore nursing students.

2) Video-recording experience as an instructional strategy has no previous research foundation in the communication process with community college nursing students.

3) There have been some related fields to nursing, especially counseling, where video-recording experiences have demonstrated effectiveness in persons working in helping roles.
Recommendations

Three recommendations are suggested.

1) Design a second experimental situation to evaluate the effectiveness of video-recording by maintaining the experimental variable for a longer period of time.

2) Develop a study not using video-recording experience but with instruments more sensitive to the measurement of empathy and its relationship to the communication process.

3) Utilize the collection of video-recordings from the present study for demonstration and future groups of nursing students as participants in discussion groups as a classroom strategy.
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**APPENDIX A**

**COMMUNICATION SKILL TRAINING**

**BEHAVIOR CHECK LIST***

**SKILL:** Empathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date of Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not At All</th>
<th>Some</th>
<th>To a Great Extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- Extent that the listener paraphrases verbal content
  - Not At All
  - Some
  - To a Great Extent

- Extent that the paraphrase appears to be accurate
  - Not At All
  - Some
  - To a Great Extent

- Extent that listener verbally reflects the present affect of the speaker
  - Not At All
  - Some
  - To a Great Extent

- Extent that listener's reflection of affective aspects appears to be accurate
  - Not At All
  - Some
  - To a Great Extent

- Extent that speaker indicates (verbally or non-verbally) that he has understood
  - Not At All
  - Some
  - To a Great Extent

- Extent that the listener appears to care about speaker
  - Not At All
  - Some
  - To a Great Extent

1 2 3 4 5

*Adapted from: Burke, Margaret J. *Communication Skills Training,* Bradley University, College of Education, Peoria Illinois, 25 pages. (Microfiche; ERIC; ED 075 749)
APPENDIX B

GUIDE FOR COMMUNICATION SKILLS

I. Attending Behavior

A. Good attending behavior demonstrates to the helper that you respect him as a person and are interested in what he says.

B. Primary behaviors of the helper:

1. Physically relaxed, natural posture facilitates ease of listening and communicating.

2. Initiate and maintain eye contact, then vary the use of.

3. Use of comments that follow leads from helper.

II. Open Invitation to talk

A. The helpee comes to the helper with something that he feels is a problem. The initial task of the helper is to stay out of the helpee's way so as to find out how he sees his situation.

B. Provide limited structure to facilitate the helpee's expression of his problem.

Examples of questions:

Open: Could you tell me a little bit about yourself?

How did you feel about that?

Closed: Are you single?

Do you get along with your par-

C. Questions should be designed to help the helpee clarify his own problems rather than provide information for the nurse.

III. Minimal Encouragements to Talk

A. Providing, along with attending behavior and open questions, slight or minimal encouragements to continue talking, and maintaining focus may be thought of as reinforcement of verbal behavior.

B. Should follow directly from helpee's statement,
D. Examples:

1. "Oh," "So," "Then," "And!"
2. The repetition of one or two key words.
3. Tell me more.
4. How did you feel about that?
5. Give me an example.
6. What does that mean to you?
7. "Ummmmmm

### APPENDIX C

#### INTERRATER RELIABILITY

Behavior Check List: **Hypathy**

Pre Scores for Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.S.</th>
<th>( R_1(x) )</th>
<th>( R_2(y) )</th>
<th>( R_1(x) )</th>
<th>( R_3(z) )</th>
<th>( R_2(y) )</th>
<th>( R_3(z) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-11</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-12</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-13</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-14</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-15</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-16</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-17</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-18</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-19</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-20</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \Sigma x = 64.67 \]
\[ \Sigma x^2 = 219.85 \]
\[ \Sigma xy = 198.48 \]
\[ s.s. = 20 \]
\[ Pearson's r = 0.73 \]
\[ \Sigma y = 58.66 \]
\[ \Sigma y^2 = 185.61 \]
\[ \Sigma yz = 188.85 \]
\[ \Sigma z = 61.66 \]
\[ \Sigma z^2 = 199.17 \]
\[ \Sigma xz = 202.96 \]
## APPENDIX D

### INTERRATER RELIABILITY

**Behavior Check List: Empathy**

**Post Scores for Sample**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.S.</th>
<th>( R_1(x) )</th>
<th>( R_2(y) )</th>
<th>( R_1(x) )</th>
<th>( R_3(z) )</th>
<th>( R_2(y) )</th>
<th>( R_3(z) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-11</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-12</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-13</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-14</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-15</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-16</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-17</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-18</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-19</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-20</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum x &= 87.00 \\
\sum x^2 &= 384.01 \\
\sum xy &= 378.77 \\
\text{s.s.} &= 20 \\
\text{Pearson's } r &= .82 \\
\sum y &= 86.00 \\
\sum y^2 &= 375.60 \\
\sum yz &= --- \\
\sum z &= --- \\
\sum z^2 &= 87.89 \\
\sum xyz &= 446.50 \\
\sum xz &= 387.01 \\
\sum lxz &= 384.37 \\
\end{align*}
\]