The manual describes the services and procedures of the University of Kansas Special Education Instructional Materials Center (KUSEIMC). KUSEIMC has helped to develop and facilitate communication among 73 associate centers regarding the dissemination of materials for exceptional children. Discussed are the following procedures and activities: instructional materials development (real life math, clothing construction skills, and name writing program); media, materials, and educational technology training (including workshops and teacher training products); media and materials information system; materials delivery system; and the regional state program delivery for six states and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Four appendixes provide such information as demographic data of filed service activities and a list of associate centers. (CL)
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Abstract

The University of Kansas SEIMC was established June 1, 1967 as a part of the larger SEIMC network. It served six states including Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and later the Bureau of Indian Affairs schools in North and South Dakota.

The KUSEIMC's primary responsibility was to serve as a communication center regarding instructional materials for exceptional children with a secondary goal of development of materials and stimulation of material development. A regional network to accommodate these goals was established with contact personnel in each state department and development of seventy-three associate centers in the various states. Information was disseminated through newsletters, information packets, responses to requests, workshops, and other related activities.

Early procedures emphasized field service activities that facilitated the development of associate centers and exposed teachers to instructional materials. During this period the number of associate centers and the regional library grew rapidly. Workshops and field activities of the later years were structured to encourage more teacher involvement by using a multiplier effect.

Also in the later years of the project, emphasis was given to development of prototypic products while maintaining the associate center and library activities. Three child-use instructional packages and seven pre-service/in-service training products were developed at the KUSEIMC.

The goals of the KUSEIMC seem to have been clearly met by the activities and projects of the center.
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University of Kansas Special Education
Instructional Materials Center

Final Technical Report

This final technical report is presented at the conclusion of the seventh year of the University of Kansas Special Education Instructional Materials Center (KUSEIMC) operation. An overview of the purpose and historical development is followed by a summary of the most significant procedures, activities, and conclusions of the project. Additional detailed information has been submitted to the IMC/RMC Network Office under separate cover.

Purpose of the Project

The major objective of the KUSEIMC was to provide supplementary support for programs, individuals, and state departments that were working with or preparing to work with exceptional children in a six-state region. The center collected, developed, and field-tested instructional materials and teaching techniques in its attempt to improve the quality of support that could be given to special education teachers.

Basically, this center was involved in three functional activities: (1) service activities; (2) materials development activities; and (3) stimulation of materials production activities. The service function included acquisition of instructional materials and dissemination of those materials to educators through library lending services, dissemination of information and training related to instructional materials and media, and stimulation and support services for associate centers and state departments. Materials development activities included evaluation of instructional materials and development of
new materials on a pilot basis. The third group of activities involved identifying outstanding examples of teacher-made materials, contacting programs and organizations with production capacity, and encouraging them to produce materials found to be effective during field-testing. Together these three activities served as means toward attaining the primary objective of providing improved education for exceptional children.

**Summary of Historical Development**

The unavailability of adequate instructional materials for use by the teacher in the special education classroom was cited by President Kennedy's Panel on Mental Retardation as being a "major barrier" to education of the handicapped. Legislation was subsequently passed in 1963 to provide funds for an innovative approach to the problem. Under Title III, Section 302, of PL 88-164, two Special Education Instructional Materials Centers (SEIMCs) were funded – one at the University of Wisconsin and one at the University of Southern California. The purpose of these centers was to provide materials and information to special education teachers.

Within two years of their establishment, the original two centers had demonstrated their effectiveness well enough to justify the expansion of the program by the U.S. Office of Education. Eight new SEIMCs and the CEC-ERIC Information Center for Handicapped Children were added in 1966, and four more SEIMCs were added in 1967. All fourteen SEIMCs were funded by the Bureau of Education for the Handicapped and shared the common goal of stimulating improved and innovative practices in the education of handicapped children through more appropriate use of materials and teaching techniques.
The University of Kansas SEIMC came into existence June 1, 1967, with Dr. Robert W. Ridgway serving as its director until its closing in August, 1974. It shared the same goals as the other SEIMCs but was also responsive to the region it was assigned to serve. Originally the KUSEIMC was housed in Hodder Hall on the University of Kansas campus, Lawrence, Kansas, but as programs were expanded additional space was needed and the KUSEIMC was forced to move. The director and materials library staff remained on the university campus in 213 Bailey Hall, while the field service and product development operations moved to Suite 5 of the Lawrence Savings Association Building, 205 West 9th Street, Lawrence, Kansas. The staff size increased from fewer than ten in 1967 to approximately twenty-five in 1974.

The KUSEIMC served a six-state area including Kansas, Iowa, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota and South Dakota as well as the schools in North and South Dakota under the direction of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. It was hoped from the beginning that state departments would develop individual programs and gradually take over increased responsibility for improving special education materials activities within their states. Seventy-three associate centers were established through cooperative planning with the state departments of education, and other associate centers were in various stages of organization at the close of the project. The six states served by the KUSEIMC varied widely in the sophistication and completeness of their associate center development. It is hoped that in the future, sufficient additional centers will be organized by the state departments to provide comprehensive services to all handicapped children within the region.

Regional needs were taken into consideration in developing state plans and are described later in this report. The number of associate centers grew from thirty-six
in FY 1970-71 to seventy-three in FY 1973-74, as illustrated in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows the breakdown by state of the estimated percentage of handicapped children who received special education services in the KUSEIMC region. Approximately 36% of the handicapped children in the total KUSEIMC region were served, with the percentage served in individual states ranging from 17% in North Dakota to 57% in Kansas. Figure 3 illustrates the estimated percentages of children in each handicapping condition that received special education services in the KUSEIMC region.

The KUSEIMC's primary responsibility was to provide information about instructional materials and to disseminate those materials to educators who were working with exceptional children. The materials library dealt with requests from associate centers, state departments, and teachers who were not served by an associate center. The library acquired over 9,000 materials and circulated approximately 6,800 of them each year. The KUSEIMC participated in conferences, workshops, planning sessions, and other activities related to the development of special education services in the six-state region. Training services for associate centers were provided, and some training activities were given for larger school districts that were not affiliated with an associate center. The format and content of these workshops varied greatly because they were based on the particular requests and needs of the individual state departments. Newsletters and information packets provided by the KUSEIMC kept educators up-to-date about the latest research, products, and literature in the field of Special Education. Field requests for information retrieval were coordinated through the Council for Exceptional Children (CEC) Information Center and other Network facilities.

Although the primary responsibility of the KUSEIMC was to serve as a communication center regarding instructional materials, of secondary importance was the
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N = number of handicapped children in each state (estimated).

- KANSAS: N=51,992
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- NORTH DAKOTA: N=47,585
- MISSOURI: N=20,173
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- COMBINED FOR 6 STATE REGION: N=528,370
Figure 3

Estimated percentages of children in each handicapping condition that receive and do not receive special educational services in the KU Seimc region.

- Served
- Not served

N = Total number of children with a particular handicapping condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Served</th>
<th>Not Served</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trainable mentally retarded</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educable mentally retarded</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaf and hard of hearing</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech impaired</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision impaired</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crippled</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotionally disturbed</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning disabled</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other health impaired</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = Number of children with that condition.
development of new instructional materials would result in the development of new evaluation procedures and that these evaluation procedures would in turn precipitate the development of additional instructional devices. The KUSEIMC was productive in its creation of materials, some of which included Teacher-Parent Communication In-Service Training Kit; Teaching Sight Words Using the Kinesthetic Method; Examination, Evaluation, and Use of Instructional Materials (also in Spanish); Real-Life Math; Name Writing Program; and the Clothing Construction Skills - A Basic Guide. Product development changed direction during the KUSEIMC's existence in order to fulfill the specifications of the SEIMC Network directives, which were modified from teacher-use to child-use in orientation. Network encouragement also led the KUSEIMC to form interlocks with other organizations such as the Rocky Mountain and University of California SEIMCs, the RMC in Lincoln, Nebraska, and the KU-affiliate center at Parsons, Kansas. These interlocks were made with the idea that mutual benefit and improved programs and products would result from shared responsibility and knowledge.

Although the goals and objectives of the KUSEIMC remained relatively consistent over the years, some changes in policy and procedure were made throughout the life of the project. This was because the KUSEIMC needed to remain responsive to the educators it served. Directives from the SEIMC Network office and suggestions from the Regional Advisory Council necessitated changes in procedure that will be described in more detail later. The basic goal, to improve the quality of education for exceptional children, remained the same.
PROCEDURES AND ACTIVITIES

The KUSEIMC was funded as a demonstration project. Procedures and activities varied as Bureau of Education for the Handicapped (BEH) guidelines varied. This resulted in an emphasis of service type activities for the region.

The project activities are described in this report in terms of the categories outlined by BEH in the FY 1973-74 Network workscope. Area I of the workscope involved instructional materials development; Area II dealt with media, materials, and educational technology training; Area III included the media and materials information system; Area IV related to the materials delivery system; and Area V involved the regional state program delivery.

**AREA I: Instructional Materials Development**

In order to develop child-use materials, it was necessary to (1) identify needed materials and set priorities, (2) make needs known to commercial and non-commercial materials developers, (3) locate existing usable materials which might fulfill identified needs, (4) adapt existing but not usable materials which might fulfill needs, (5) develop unavailable and needed materials to fulfill needs, (6) field-test new and old products for effect on learner, and (7) enter tested products into the distribution systems for materials.

The particular area grew rapidly in the last few years of operation because of the emphasis of the SEIMC Network on child-use materials and because the earlier functions of setting up the center and library as well as organizing associate centers needed somewhat less attention.
The development of specialized materials was initiated in two ways. In the first, the KUSEIMC staff observed needs and conducted surveys to determine needs and then located existing products or designed new ones to meet those needs. The other process by which products were initiated was through the discovery of someone in the region who was doing something that might be useful to other special educators. In this case the KUSEIMC offered to mediate the product. In FY 1973-74 this area received special emphasis as interlocks with the Colorado IMC, the Nebraska RMC, and the Parsons Media Project gave the KUSEIMC access to excellent child-use products. Interaction with local educational agencies and curriculum projects in the region provided another excellent resource for potential products for SEIMC development.

The procedures in product development generally followed the systematic process outlined in Figure 4. After selection of a topic, several KUSEIMC staff members decided on the media to be used, i.e., whether the form of the product would be a book, filmstrip and cassette, or film. The staff then became involved in the technical production, involving such tasks as writing a script, shooting pictures, and recording the narration. The product was field-tested, evaluated, revised, and sometimes field-tested a second time, before it was finally printed and duplicated. Complimentary copies were then disseminated to each state department and associate center in the region. Copies of products were also distributed to those who requested them, for the cost of production. The priority of developing child-use materials was defined relatively late in the KUSEIMC existence, and so most of what follows were products of FY 1973-74.
PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES

1. Identify ongoing projects to be mediated and shared

   Start

   - Ideas generated by staff
     - Generate ideas within staff
   - Ideas generated from the field
     - Generate ideas from the field

2. Write alert memo to staff

3. Present product idea to all product staff for go no-go decision

4. Assign staff member to product follow-through

5. Generate ideas from the field

6. Circulate sample of potential product for staff review

7. Conduct needs assessment and Literature Review

8. Identify needed personnel in and out of RISEINC

9. Circulate sample of potential product for staff review

10. Conduct needs assessment and Literature Review

11. Identify needed personnel in and out of RISEINC

12. Develop tentative plan for evaluation of products

13. Develop tentative media presentation and format

14. Develop outline and/or product content

15. Present content and format to staff for go no-go decision

16. Finalize content

17. Rewrite and editing coordinated by one person

18. Circulate copies for staff's editorial suggestions

19. Editing by writer

20. Ongoing input by Director (via copies of revised drafts)

21. Plan audio

22. Plan visual

23. Plan packaging design

24. Identify personnel to work phases in and out of RISEINC
Conduct formative evaluation

Get product staff approval

Receive administrative revision and approval

Complete clearance forms and submit to Washington and/or contractor

Prepare scripts

Order needed materials

Finalize field test plans and develop instruments

Implement production activities up to 40% cost of product

Receive final approval from Washington

Implement production 100%

Complete prototype product

Proofread scripts

Make final scripts

Select field test sites

Finalize field test plans

Receive administrative revision and approval

Complete clearance forms and submit to Washington and/or contractor

Prepare scripts

Order needed materials

Finalize field test plans and develop instruments

Implement production activities up to 40% cost of product

Receive final approval from Washington

Implement production 100%

Complete prototype product

Proofread scripts

Make final scripts

Select field test sites

Finalize field test plans

Recycle product for revisions

Conduct field test

Accept finished product

Get final, final approval

Duplicate product

Disseminate product

Conduct report for evaluation
Real-Life Math

The Real-Life Math Kit, for secondary level special education students, was designed to present a simulation experience that taught individualized arithmetic objectives set by the classroom teacher for the student. The program also taught practical money-handling skills (opening a bank account, keeping business and personal records, etc.) and served as a vehicle for teaching arithmetic functions geared to the level of the individual student. Specifically, Real-Life Math was a role-playing exercise which could be used in the classroom for three weeks to three months. Each student set up his own business, complete with files and billing forms, and carried on a variety of financial transactions with a bank and a number of classroom businesses and utilities operated by the teacher and one or more aides. In order to insure that all transactions were mathematically meaningful, the student-run businesses did not have financial dealings with each other. The student learned at his/her own rate from the ten cassette tapes and accompanying Skillbooks that taught him/her a variety of skills such as writing a check, balancing a check register, and opening bank accounts. The Kit included supplies for twenty students and their businesses: checkbooks and check registers, pre-printed ditto masters for invoices and record-keeping forms, bank account cards, cassette tapes (two per topic), Skillbooks and even colorful posters to enhance the classroom environment and generate student excitement for the learning program.

Clothing Construction Skills - A Basic Guide

The Clothing Construction Skills product, which grew out of a program in Home Economics for EMR adolescents developed from a Title III project in Fargo,
North Dakota, included a set of eight carefully sequenced and illustrated instructional units developed to teach students how to perform tasks needed for sewing with simple patterns. The guide was not intended to function as a programmed instructional packet, but rather to aid the teacher in small group and individual instruction of students who had difficulty in learning from traditional materials. The pages could easily be separated to allow students to use them as a supplement to, or substitute for, the pattern guide sheet; as an aid to review of a certain process, and as a way to evaluate completed tasks.

Name Writing Program

The Name Writing Program, developed in the University of Kansas University Affiliated Facility and produced by the KUSEIMC, was designed to teach the trainable mentally handicapped child to write his/her name. It was based on the premise that the name writing task could be broken down into individual skills. The program's three instructional units corresponded to the basic skills: (a) arranging the letters in a specific order, (b) recognizing that particular marks have special meaning, and (c) forming these marks in a consistent manner to make letters. The child simultaneously learned what to write and how to write. After completing the program, the learner was able to write his/her own first name from memory. The program was written for the trainable retarded child, but it was also used with children with a variety of disabilities and with normal preschool children. There was no age range for the program. The learner needed to have only three prerequisite skills: (1) the ability to recognize his/her first name in print, (2) to make a voluntary line with a marking instrument and match letters by discriminating shape and direction. The program was
made up of three instructional units: Letter Order, Stencil Use, and Tracing. Letter cards, stencils, and tracing sheets were combined with a carefully sequenced series of lessons, as illustrated on a sequence chart which was included in the kit.

AREA II: Media, Materials, and Educational Technology Training

The goal of Area II was to provide aid to teachers of the handicapped through the use of media, materials, and educational technology training. To work toward this goal, it was necessary to (1) identify media, materials, and educational technology training needs; (2) make those needs known to pre- and in-service training institutions and agents; (3) locate usable media-training materials which would fulfill needs; (4) develop unavailable and needed media-training materials to fulfill needs; (5) collect, classify, and loan media-training programs and materials; and (7) respond to inquiries by matching training needs to training sources.

Teacher-training received extensive attention by the KUSEIMC and was implemented through a wide variety of formats. The initial staff of the KUSEIMC was selected for strength in the area of training, and during the early years of the project major emphasis was placed on this goal. One of the original needs of teachers of handicapped children was that of narrowing the gap in time between research and implementation of procedures and the use of new products. The KUSEIMC engaged in activities of locating, previewing, and making recommendations for the purchase of materials and responded to inquires of training needs by matching training materials to those needs.

Teachers became members of the KUSEIMC by simply filling out a membership card that was attached to a brochure describing the Network, which was sent to
anyone requesting general information about KUSEIMC services. Members were sent copies of the KUSEIMC newsletter on a regular basis, until the changing workscope of the Network resulted in its discontinuation in 1973. To replace the newsletter, a series of information packets were developed and disseminated. They contained more information but were sent only to State Departments and Associate Centers, which in turn were responsible for distributing this information to teachers in their state and district. A series of eight of these packets was sent out to a list of about 350 agencies.

Workshops and Conferences

During the first year, there was a heavy emphasis on orientation sessions in order to let people know about the existence of the KUSEIMC. Twenty-two presentations were made to state departments, school systems, universities, and potential associate centers to inform them of KUSEIMC services. In subsequent years the number of these presentations declined, but orientation information continued to be emphasized during the beginning segment of all training workshops. Displays were also built to take to conventions and meetings where special educators would be present.

One of the more important functions of the KUSEIMC has continued to be both inservice and preservice training in new techniques and materials for teaching various types of exceptional students. Training workshops were arranged through state departments, with the KUSEIMC responding to specific requests or making suggestions as to topics that might be relevant. Gradually, emphasis was shifted to the development of a multiplier effect in all training activities, whereby teachers
who were trained agreed to train a number of other teachers. Whenever possible, before initiating a training activity, a commitment was secured from participants to carry out training activities in their own locale. Thus, the KUSEIMC began playing more of a supportive role by providing consultative service to persons preparing to direct a workshop and by providing them with necessary training materials. Training materials were prepared in such a way that participants in training workshops took training packages home with them, which enabled them to become self-sufficient in carrying on similar training at the local level. The emphasis on developing a multiplier effect made good program packaging a necessity.

The workshops that were given covered a wide variety of topics. Some emphasized the demonstration of materials designed for use within a selected categorical area, such as learning disabled children, mentally retarded student, or emotionally disturbed students. Other demonstrated materials in selected content areas such as language development, early childhood, perceptual development, vocational materials, reading, arithmetic, and early identification of learning disabilities. Teaching techniques were discussed in workshops titled Examination and Evaluation of Instructional Materials. Preservice and inservice approaches were explored in workshops titled Designing Instructional Games, Learner's Approaches to Learning, Planning a Workshop, Classroom Observation, Prescriptive Teaching, and Behavioral Objectives.

A series of special workshops were organized in conjunction with the Parsons Media Center's Project MORE (Mediated Operational Research for Education) during FY 1973-74. No more than nine teacher-administrator teams attended each presentation and each team made a precommitment to try Project MORE products.
in a local classroom for four weeks. These workshops were initiated to train TMR teachers in the use of systematic training packages designed and developed for the trainable mentally retarded in the area of self-care skills. The first day of work included a presentation of Project MORE and a description of how to use specific programs, giving the participants an opportunity to learn about Project MORE's philosophy and operation in designing and developing its instructional packages; how to select daily living skills appropriate to the needs of students; how to use Project MORE programs through simulation and actual practice; and how to select and use teaching strategies, reinforcement techniques, and simple measurement procedures. The second one-day session was held six weeks after the first session with the same teams. This session enabled the teams to discuss, share, and help solve problems they encountered in using the program. Each team was committed to train five other teams in their area in the use of Project MORE programs, so this second presentation included instructions in how to conduct a workshop for the second generation teams. The commitment also included feedback of information to the KUSEIMC as to the results of the training and problems they encountered in presenting their workshops and the second generation follow-up six weeks later. A total of -- participants were trained in these workshop sessions.

The KUSEIMC was also responsible for the development of teacher-use materials and often provided workshops with these products. For example, an 80-minute slide presentation describing the Peabody Language Development Kit was developed during FY 1968-69. It was narrated by James O. Smith and described the content, theoretical model, and possible uses of the materials in the kit.

The KUSEIMC, in conjunction with other SEIMC's and RMC's in the Western
United States, field-tested the DCA (Dissemination Change Agent) model for delivering training in contingency management to rural populations.

Demographic data of field service activities are displayed in Appendix A. A description of the DCA model is presented in Appendix B.

Teacher-Training Products

In 1971, the Teacher Parent Communication Program (TPCP) was developed. Basically, the TPCP, a simplified token-economy system, encourages the child to develop self-discipline and better academic performance by exchanging home privileges for acceptable school behavior. The program operates on a daily report card system. Each child in the program may receive from one to ten daily evaluations. For each evaluation period, the child is given a card which indicates his social and academic performance on a daily basis. The KUSEIMC developed a notebook, cassette tape, transparencies, 16 mm film, and a five-hour workshop about the program. The program received wide acceptance in the region and nationally.

Development of the product describing the Prescriptive Materials Retrieval System (PMRS), a 2000 item instructional materials retrieval system, was initiated in FY 1971-72. The product was designed to assist teachers, instructional media center personnel, and college students in the use of PMRS for finding the right materials for individual learning problems. The color, sound filmstrip describes the bibliographic value of PMRS and explained how the system should be used.

Also begun in FY 1971-72 was the development of the product titled Teaching Sight Words Using the Kinesthetic Method. This sound, color filmstrip was designed for in-service classes and seminars for professional educators as well as for college
and university teacher-training courses in remedial reading techniques. It was also designed for parents who, with the advice of a reading specialist want to use the kinesthetic method in the home to help with their child's reading problems. The presentation introduces the rationale of the kinesthetic method of teaching sight words and illustrates how to teach sight words according to Grace Fernald's techniques. Each of the four stages in the kinesthetic method is described so they can be applied in the classroom.

Another product developed for teacher-use was a soft-cover annotated bibliography titled Preservice/Inservice Training Materials. This publication contains an abstract of each of the KUSEIMC library holdings designed for preservice/inservice use. Each abstract lists the title, publisher, type of media, length of presentation, and acquisition number. A brief description of the purpose and use of each product is also given. Also included are bibliographies of teacher-training materials not in the KUSEIMC library, but of interest to special educators. The book is cross-indexed to facilitate location of material by subject. An alphabetical list of titles is also included.

Products were also developed to facilitate the teaching of a fourteen session course of study on Examination, Evaluation, and Use of Instructional Materials. The presentations consisted of a one semester college course of from two to three hours each or fourteen separate workshop sessions. The materials include a Student Handbook and an Instructor's Manual, which provide a detailed, extensive guide for teaching a course or conducting workshops in the examination, evaluation and use of instructional materials. Included are suggestions for pre-class preparations, class lectures and discussions and post-class evaluation. The Student Handbook
contains information sheets, laboratory exercises, charts, bibliographies, and other reference materials. Instructional aides (transparencies, a complete lecture, and suggested films and tapes) are also included. These materials were also provided in Spanish for instruction of professionals whose first language is Spanish.

Also developed for Spanish-speaking teachers were translations of eight of the Michigan State SEIMC Workshop Kits. Another product, Bilingual-Bicultural Materials, was a notebook of annotated listings of bilingual/bilingual materials for use with children whose basic language is Spanish. The publication is divided into three sections: child-use curriculum materials, evaluative instruments useful in making assessments of Spanish-speaking children, and reference sources and bibliographies.

The BEST RX (Behavioral Evaluation as a Source for Teaching Prescriptions) Series provides educators with a general overview of evaluative instruments frequently used with handicapped children. Each booklet surveys one instrument, describes its nature and purpose, provides examples of test content, and suggests ways test results can be used in selecting types of instructional materials. Information on the time required to administer the instrument, reliability and validity, norms, and the instrument's strengths and limitations is also presented. The titles of the booklets are: Auditory Discrimination Test, Detroit Test of Learning Aptitude, Developmental Test of Visual Perception, Diagnostic Test for Fundamental Processes in Arithmetic, Illinois Test of Psycholinguistic Abilities, KeyMath Diagnostic Arithmetic Test, Leiter International Performance Scale, Lincoln-Oseretsky Motor Development Scale, Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test, Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children.
Other Related Projects

A FY 1973-74 strategy involved cooperation with the staff of the Midwest Regional Media Center for the Deaf (Nebraska RMC) in order to identify elements of existing training materials for teachers of the deaf which could be modified to meet the needs of teachers in MR and LD classrooms. The two staffs were to carry out the modification and packaging process as a joint effort. This resulted in a Symposium on the Utilization of Educational Media for the Handicapped, March 11 and 12, 1974, at the Holiday Inn, Omaha, Nebraska. Representatives from the six state departments, a BIA representative, and teachers in the field attended the symposium. Many questions were raised and left unanswered about the need and feasibility of sharing products cross-categorically. The group agreed that further attempts at sharing products could not be made until those basic questions were resolved.

A list of Competency Based Special Education Teacher Programs was also compiled. All major colleges and universities in the six-state region were contacted by the KUSEIMC for competency based programs that they would be willing to share. A summary list of all materials received was compiled and presented to the KUSEIMC staff, and it was decided that courses not relating to special education would be deleted. The revised list was sent to those who responded in any way to the request – to those who sent materials and to those who indicated that they had no materials. The revised list and materials were put on file but it was decided not to put them in the library because of the poor response and poor quality of response.

Publishers’ workshops were conducted, in order to give educators in the field a chance to see new materials demonstrated. Problems were discovered with the
first workshop at the BIA in Pierre, South Dakota because of the poor attendance and the lack of purchasing power of those who did attend. A more successful workshop was held at the Diagnostic Center in Wichita, Kansas. A third publishers' workshop was scheduled for South Dakota, November 6 and 7, 1973. All plans were made and publishers were scheduled to come, but at the last minute the workshop was cancelled because of lack of participants.

**AREA III: Media and Materials Information System**

The goal of Area III was to provide a system to inform special educators about media and materials. To carry out this function it was necessary to: (1) identify and classify instructional materials for handicapped children; (2) evaluate materials by standard criteria and recommend usage; (3) describe materials and encode descriptions for data base entry; (4) develop and maintain machine readable data base; (5) produce catalogs, bibliographies, and profile-matching materials lists; (6) operate search/retrieval facilities to respond to materials-inquiries; (7) maintain source file for materials in data base as part of data base; (8) match source-of-demand with appropriate source-of-supply; and (9) maintain inventory records including demand, circulation, and reaction information.

The KUSEIMC chose not to attempt to develop an elaborate computer-based retrieval system, but left that work to other centers in the Network. The search and retrieval operations carried out at the KUSEIMC involved the use of the experience of the library staff, supplemented by the use of the Prescriptive Materials Retrieval System. This retrieval system is a manually operated retrieval system developed at the Olathe, Kansas Educational Modulation Center (EMC), a project...
funded under Title III of ESEA. The client could either request specific materials from the shelf or catalog or describe the type of materials needed by identifying such learner characteristics as the child's age, handicapping condition and level of achievement and the materials characteristics desired. When difficult requests came to the KUSEIMC the University of Texas SEIMC was contacted in order to use the services of their computer-based retrieval operation. This procedure proved satisfactory and the KUSEIMC chose not to invest further in this work area until a Network decision was made as to a single information system for all centers.

The Instructional Materials Catalog was an essential component of the materials dissemination process in that it listed all materials contained in the KUSEIMC library by category. The catalog, which was revised in 1973, contained descriptions of materials in twenty-six subject areas and contained almost 9000 entries.

**AREA IV: Materials Delivery System**

Area IV has been concerned with the materials delivery system and library circulation. It involved the following tasks: (1) acquiring materials included in the information system; (2) processing materials by placing them in depositories; (3) maintaining materials collections in interacting depositories; (4) shipping materials; (5) checking in returns, collecting overdues, negotiating claims, and re-shelving materials; (6) repairing, replacing, and rejuvenating materials; (7) maintaining booking system, usage records, and added print requirements; and (8) accounting for any funds involved in usage charges.

The KUSEIMC emphasized three areas in the operation of its materials delivery system; (1) service to associate centers through bulk mailing; (2) service
to teachers throughout the six-state area who did not have an associate center in
their area; and (3) service to local special educators who used the KUSEIMC as
their own associate center. As new associate centers became active and existing
centers became better equipped, the needs for service in the first two areas lessened.
However, newer materials and those with high initial cost remained in great demand
until the library closed.

At the KUSEIMC, the materials specialist and librarian were responsible for
ordering materials, using suggestions from the staff, from educators in the region,
and from other regional centers. Materials acquired or developed by the KUSEIMC
were catalogued, using the same accession number system as used by the Wisconsin
SEIMC. A maximum of five items were loaned to a teacher at one time, for a
period of three weeks by mail request and for two weeks by personal request at the
Center. The number of materials checked out by category and state are displayed
in Appendix C. All 16 mm films and some in-service materials could be reserved
far in advance to insure availability on the date needed. If a needed material
which was not on the reserve system was checked out, the borrower could put the
material on "hold" and it would be sent as soon as it was returned to the Center.
There was no system of fines for overdue books, but notices were sent to tardy borrowers
on a regular basis. If materials were lost, the borrower was expected to pay for
replacement. Most patrons were cooperative, which was an important factor in
the success of the circulation system.

AREA V: Regional-State Program Delivery

The goal of Area V was to develop regional-state program delivery. This
included (1) associate center development; (2) assistance in state planning; (3) consultation on materials usage; (4) participation in media and materials conferences and workshops; (5) dissemination of materials and information through the state departments; and (6) reinforcing state staff in media, materials, and educational technology.

The role of the KUSEIMC was as an advisory and supportive one to the associate centers. At the beginning of an associate center's development, the KUSEIMC helped the local agency to find funding or to make cooperative arrangements with existing agencies. The KUSEIMC staff helped write grant applications and helped state departments write state plans, and/or develop short- and long-range goals for developing associate centers within their respective states. One suggestion the KUSEIMC consistently made was that state departments hire an IMC coordinator through Title VI funds. The KUSEIMC helped associate centers get organized by providing training for personnel, selecting materials, and advising them concerning systems for accessioning and circulating materials. Once the associate center was in operation, the KUSEIMC provided the associate center with back-up services for the dissemination of information and materials as well as copies of all products developed at the regional center.

The KUSEIMC served six states and a network of Bureau of Indian Affairs schools administered through the Aberdeen, South Dakota BIA office. Development of media services within these seven units progressed at very different rates. State legislative mandates, decisions on priorities for use of Title VI funds, geographic conditions, and the recent history of special educational programs within each state all had an impact on media services within the seven units. A short summary of
the current status within each unit is listed below. See Appendix D for a list of
the associate centers.

Bureau of Indian Affairs

KUSEIMC provided workshops and instructional materials for teachers in BIA
schools in North and South Dakota during the past few years. In 1973, the fourteen
IMCs in BIA schools were organized as associate centers to receive information and
materials from KUSEIMC and to participate in media training. The KUSEIMC re-
garded the Aberdeen BIA office as the equivalent of a State Department and
treated it as such in all transactions.

Iowa

Prior to the establishment of the SEIMC Network, the State Department of
Education in Iowa had divided the state into sixteen regions, each served by an
educational media center. During the first year of center operation, an associate
SEIMC was developed within each of these sixteen regional media centers. These
associate centers were organized into a network directed by a representative of the
Iowa State Department of Education. Each center received state funding and par-
ticipated in the state-wide plan for dissemination of materials, in-service training,
and special consultation. Iowa has the best-developed services of the seven units
in the region.

Kansas

All SEIMC activities in Kansas were coordinated by a member of the State
Department of Education staff. Regularly scheduled meetings of the directors of
the eleven centers provided opportunities for planning cooperative activities, discussion of common problems, and coordination of state training activities. Associate centers in Kansas were cooperative ventures of local school districts and the State Department and were supported by various combinations of local funds and Titles III and VI funds from the State Department. Each of the eleven centers provided for the dissemination of information, the loaning of instructional materials, consultation and in-service training. Three centers facilitated distribution of materials through the use of vans. One center specialized in materials for the blind and served the entire state. Another center received a Title I grant to provide for production, duplication, and dissemination of materials developed by local teachers. Still another center housed a film library valued at over $150,000. Materials held by the local centers varied from 1600 items to over 5000 items.

Missouri

At the beginning of FY 1973, there were nine associate centers in Missouri, six in state universities and three in Regional Diagnostic Centers. Five of the university centers were not functioning, due to lack of funds and staff, and with the approval of the State Department were dropped as associate centers. Two new centers were added at the St. Charles County Special Education Cooperative and the TENCO Regional Schools Materials Center.

During FY 1973, KUSEIMC funded a field representative for Missouri to work with the State Department in developing a state plan for IMCs and to promote IMC services throughout the state. This person served on the task force which formulated the state plan for a Special Education Resource System which was approved by the State Department of Education.
Nebraska

The state of Nebraska was divided into fourteen Educational Service Units (ESU) which generally provided media services, speech therapy, and trainable programs to the schools in their geographic region. The ESUs were locally funded and were not under State Department of Education control. Each of the eight associate centers in Nebraska was located in an ESU.

North Dakota

For six years, teachers in North Dakota were served by a single center located in Dickinson. FY 1972 was the last year of Title III grant for support of this center. The center subsequently contracted with individual school districts throughout the state to provide services.

North Dakota had fewer than four hundred special education teachers. Estimates from the state department indicated that approximately 17% of the handicapped students were receiving special education services, which left more than 80% not receiving services. In a sparsely populated state such as this, new models for delivery of services were desperately needed. Efforts were made to assist the state department in organizing ways to provide special services for the handicapped children of the state. The state department identified contact persons in the seven largest school districts within the state to serve as a delivery network for information and training. These persons received all information and materials the KUSEIMC sent to associate centers and they, in turn, interacted with local teachers.

South Dakota

At the beginning of FY 1972, South Dakota had only one associate center. In
1973 three additional centers began operation in Spearfish, Aberdeen, and Vermillion. Each center had a state consultant in special education as its director. These directors were funded by Title VI and provided state-wide services including loaning of instructional materials, direct consultation to teachers, in-service training and pre-service training in the colleges in which the centers were located.

CONCLUSIONS

During the period of time the University of Kansas Special Education Instructional Materials Center was in operation, several major changes were made in the objectives the Bureau of Education for the Handicapped set for the IMC Network. These changes at times made it awkward for the regional centers; as they had to retrain or replace staff and shift emphases in their interactions with state departments of special education and with special educators in the field. However, the KUSEIMC was moderately successful in meeting BEH objectives.

The major contribution made by the Center was in publicizing the needs of handicapped children for special instructional materials and in organizing active associate centers throughout the region. From the beginning of the project work with state departments was given high priority and major effort was expended in promoting associate center development. This region has a far higher proportion of associate centers in relation to population than the median for the network.

During the middle portion of the project period training was emphasized. The staff developed many workshop modules and brought training programs to special educators whenever possible. In the later stages of the project emphasis shifted to training trainers. Most training sessions had built in multiplier factors, with each
trainee committed to take training materials home with him to use in training other special educators. Training materials were developed during this period which could be used by individuals quite readily—in other words, they were teacher-proof.

The final year and a half of the project saw a move toward production of field-validated child-use materials. At this stage the center staff included personnel with excellent skills in evaluation and production of prototype instructional materials. Several outstanding projects were developed and disseminated throughout the network.

In total, the staff of the KUSEIMC feels that the project has been successful. Special educators in the region are more aware of the needs of their students and of the existence of specialized materials designed to meet these needs. State departments are allocating resources to materials centers and have emphasized the need for training programs in the use of media and materials. Colleges and universities have increased their instructional component dealing with materials. And of primary importance, programs for handicapped children have been improved by better instructional materials and more effective instructional practices.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEMOGRAPHIC DATA OF FIELD SERVICE ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Workshops by State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Workshops for Orientation to KUSEIMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Inservice/Preservice Training Workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of People Served in Workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOWA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KANSAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSOURI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH DAKOTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH DAKOTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEBRASKA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Number of Workshops for Orientation to KUSEIMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>67-68</th>
<th>68-69</th>
<th>69-70</th>
<th>70-71</th>
<th>71-72</th>
<th>72-73</th>
<th>73-74</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>67-68</td>
<td>68-69</td>
<td>69-70</td>
<td>70-71</td>
<td>71-72</td>
<td>72-73</td>
<td>73-74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOWA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KANSAS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSOURI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEBRASKA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH DAKOTA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH DAKOTA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NUMBER OF PEOPLE SERVED IN WORKSHOPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>67-68</th>
<th>68-69</th>
<th>69-70</th>
<th>70-71</th>
<th>71-72</th>
<th>72-73</th>
<th>73-74</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IOWA</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KANSAS</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSOURI</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Incomplete Records</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEBRASKA</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Incomplete Records</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH DAKOTA</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Incomplete Records</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH DAKOTA</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,488</td>
<td>1,307</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>538</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

Description of DCA Model
Problem

Regional IMC's and RMC's as well as other BEH supported projects have been involved in delivery of training. While delivery of training has been relatively easy in locations where there are heavy concentrations of special education teacher, delivery of training in rural and sparsely populated areas has been difficult to accomplish - and perhaps as a result, has not been pursued vigorously.

Various patterns of training delivery have been implemented, but little systematic consideration has been given to the relative cost efficiency of the various approaches. At this point, when dollars are scarce and the need of the "isolated" teacher is great, there is little data upon which to base administrative decisions as to relative effectiveness of delivery approaches.

The Western IMC/RMC interlock group proposes to establish a working agreement to collect and share information derived from specific training efforts. At the present time, a rigorous research approach to determine relative cost efficiency of various approaches seems unwarranted. The interlock group, therefore, proposes to cooperate in an essentially "case study" approach which should provide a basis for preliminary effectiveness estimations, as well as other descriptive data which might serve to produce hypotheses for later investigation.

The Interlock Framework

It is the assumption of the interlock group that the crucial aspect of diffusion of skills and techniques is not the training itself, but the durable implementation of those skills and techniques in the classroom of the recipient. It is the assumption of the interlock group that various types of post-training support might play a part
in the degree and durability of classroom implementation. The interlock framework therefore identifies several optional training delivery and/or support models.

It is proposed that individual interlock centers will select one or more training delivery approaches for implementation during fiscal year 1973. In all cases the training content will be constant, and in most cases is training which would be attempted without the interlock activity. Chart 1 identifies the training delivery approaches.

**CHART 1**

**DIFFUSION STRATEGY DEFINITIONS**

1. One-Shot Conference - teachers are trained directly by the training agency. There is no multiplier effect. The teachers have no obligation to train other teachers.

2. Self-Instruction - teachers receive a packaged programmed course. There is no multiplier effect.

3. Circuit Rider-Teacher - a "Circuit rider" is recruited from ASEIMC, State Department of county program (etc.) personnel and is trained by the IMC or RMC. The circuit rider agrees to train and support teachers.

4. Teacher-Teacher - teachers are trained by IMC/RMC and agree to train and support other teachers. There is a multiplier effect.

5. Teacher-Administrator - a team composed of one teacher and his administrator are trained by the IMC/RMC. There is a multiplier effect. The team agrees to train and support other teachers.

6. DCA - a team from a school district is selected, composed of one teacher,
one administrator, and one auxiliary person (psychologist, etc.). The
team is trained by the IMC or RMC and agrees to train and support teachers.

The interlock group is interested in collecting data regarding the effects
of several variables, which may interact with a type of diffusion strategy.

In addition to selecting one or more diffusion strategies for delivery of the
training, a center would select one or more of the variables, e.g., type of support,
frequency of support, time allowance, pre-training commitment, or other variable
of particular interest to that center.

Chart II represents variables of interest in relation to diffusion strategies.
The centers agree then:

1. disseminate a common training content
2. employ common appraisal methods
3. observe certain time-line requests
4. select training approaches from the foregoing six diffusion strategies
5. select other potentially interacting variables for their own attention, if desired.
6. define common cost categories for cost comparisons

Over a number of training activities then data will be collected related to the coordinate sections of Chart II. Certain cells might not receive attention during fiscal year 1973.

Through this somewhat structural approach, information may be identified which would permit better informed hypotheses.

The interlock agreement imposes the following constraints on participating centers:

1. content is common
2. appraisal methods are common
3. certain milestones are common
4. diffusion strategy is to be selected from those listed on Chart 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diffusion Strategies</th>
<th>Field Training</th>
<th>Center Planning to use these</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One - shot Conference</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>UO KU UNC USC*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self Instruction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>KU UO USC UNC KU UO USC UO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Rider</td>
<td>Expert</td>
<td>UO UNC UO UNC USC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher to Teacher</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>KU KU KU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher/ Administrator</td>
<td>Teacher/ adm.</td>
<td>UO UO UO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination/ Change Agent</td>
<td>Teacher Adm. &amp; Psych.</td>
<td>USC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*contingent on securing local funds
By May 1
Selection of delivery strategies and variable cells on Chart II

By Oct 1
Train IMC/RMC in content and evaluation procedure
Sept. 25-29, 1972 in Salt Lake Area

By Dec 1
Select trainers

By Feb 1
(Prior to training of clients) Pre-Implementation assessment (Self Report)

By Feb 15
Train clients

By Apr 1
Assessment of Implementations (Self report)

By June 1
Assessment of Durability of Implementation (by observers)

By July 1
Complete written report
Meet and share information
Generate hypothesis
APPENDIX C

Number of Materials Checked – Out

By Category and Year
and
By State and Year
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>67-68</th>
<th>68-69</th>
<th>69-70</th>
<th>70-71</th>
<th>71-72</th>
<th>72-73</th>
<th>73-74*</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio Visual</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior Disorders</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Disorders</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Materials</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>267</td>
<td></td>
<td>1610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Special Education</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>174</td>
<td></td>
<td>1160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing Impairments</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inservice</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Disabilities</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>466</td>
<td></td>
<td>1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Retardiation</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>347</td>
<td></td>
<td>2526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically Handicapped</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Research &amp; Psychological Process</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td>601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading/Professional</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Impairment</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Professional</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>3120</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Due to closeout of KUSEIMC services, circulation records were not kept in FY 1973-74. Therefore the totals reflect only the first six years of service.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>67-68</th>
<th>68-69</th>
<th>69-70</th>
<th>70-71</th>
<th>71-72</th>
<th>72-73</th>
<th>73-74</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>781</td>
<td></td>
<td>3426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum Guides</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td>938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handwriting</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Development</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>758</td>
<td></td>
<td>4301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Training</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceptual Development and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>2528</td>
<td>3986</td>
<td>2208</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>295</td>
<td></td>
<td>1057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>293</td>
<td></td>
<td>1912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spelling</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Training</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td>1748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Curriculum</td>
<td>2054</td>
<td>2270</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>6260</td>
<td>9919</td>
<td>5646</td>
<td></td>
<td>28,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL All Materials</td>
<td>3167</td>
<td>3512</td>
<td>4737</td>
<td>8182</td>
<td>13,039</td>
<td>8146</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>67-68</td>
<td>68-69</td>
<td>69-70</td>
<td>70-71</td>
<td>71-72</td>
<td>72-73</td>
<td>73-74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2515</td>
<td>2326</td>
<td>6193</td>
<td>10,502</td>
<td>6277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>488</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>243</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>4316</td>
<td>4677</td>
<td>8201</td>
<td>12,100</td>
<td>8,779</td>
<td>38,837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D

List of Associate Centers
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS----ASSOCIATE CENTERS

John Ballard  
Special Education  
Bureau of Indian Affairs  
820 South Main  
Aberdeen, South Dakota  57401
Mr. Jerome Thompson
Mandaree School
Mandaree, North Dakota 58757

Ms. Margaret Boeuer
White Shield School
Roseglen, North Dakota 58775

Kenneth Bauman, Director
Turtle Mountain Community School
Blecourt, North Dakota 58316

Leroy Chief, Superintendent
Wahpeton Indian School
Wahpeton, North Dakota 58075

Mrs. Mardelle Reiersgaard
Twin Buttes School
Halliday, North Dakota 59636

Mr. John Kamma
Ft. Totten Community School
Ft. Totten, North Dakota 58538

Ms. Vicki Enderman
Standing Rock Community School
Ft. Yates, North Dakota 58538
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Henry Applegarth</td>
<td>Sanderson Day School</td>
<td>Sanderson</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Ana Fire Thunder</td>
<td>Oglala Elementary Community Sch.</td>
<td>Pine Ridge</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Bernadine Bracken</td>
<td>Oglala Secondary School</td>
<td>Pine Ridge</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Elijah Whirlwind Horse</td>
<td>Wanblee Day School</td>
<td>Wanblee</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Twila Vaughn</td>
<td>Little Wound Day School</td>
<td>Kyle</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Patricia Robinson</td>
<td>Loneman Day School</td>
<td>Oglala</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Barbara Carson</td>
<td>Oglala Middle School</td>
<td>Pine Ridge</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Astraid Johnson</td>
<td>Porcupine Day School</td>
<td>Porcupine</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ronald Riggs</td>
<td>Allen Day School</td>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Adele Little Dog</td>
<td>Little Egle Day School</td>
<td>Little Eagle</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Guy L. Osborne</td>
<td>Lower Brule Day School</td>
<td>Lower Brule</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Marvin Waldner</td>
<td>Ft. Thompson Community School</td>
<td>Ft. Thompson</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Mary Whitsitt</td>
<td>Pierre Indian School</td>
<td>Pierre</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Joh Brown</td>
<td>Flandreau Indian School</td>
<td>Flandreau</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irvin Garrison</td>
<td>Education Program Administration</td>
<td>Cheyenne-Eagle Butte</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Education Director</td>
<td>Pine Ridge Agency</td>
<td>Pine Ridge</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>57770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
John Haack, Director  
Area IX IMC 2604 W. Locust St.  
Davenport, Iowa 52804

Pat Kelly  
Area X IMC 4401 6th St. S.W.  
Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406

Dr. Marvin Davis  
Area XI Title II ESEA Library Services 112-116 11th St.  
Des Moines, Iowa 50309

Mr. David L. Little, Director  
Area XII Educational Resource Center  
Box 42  
Sergeant Bluffs, Iowa 51054

Mrs. Lois Schmidt, Librarian  
Area XII Educational Resource Center  
Box 42  
Sergeant Bluffs, Iowa 51054

Mr. Gene Sanders  
Area XIII Educational Service Center  
Rt. 1 Council Bluffs, Iowa 51501

Bill Horner  
Area XIV Southwest Iowa Learning Resources Center  
2010 Broadway  
Red Oak, Iowa 51566

W. Leon Maxson, Director  
Area XV Media Center  
Bldg. 18 - Ottumwa Industrial Airport  
Ottumwa, Iowa 52501

George I. Burrow, Director  
Area XVI Media Center  
1200 East Washington St.  
Mt. Pleasant, Iowa 52641

Mrs. Eileen Devine, Director  
Area I Media Center  
326 Washington Street  
Decorah, Iowa 52101

Mr. M. C. Martin, Director  
Area II Educational Media Center  
2111 South Federal  
Mason City, Iowa 50401

Denna Hill, Media Specialist  
Area III Materials Center  
110 1/2 Broadway - Palo Alto County Annex -- Emmetsbury, Iowa 50536

Mrs. Nadine Mulhern, Librarian  
Educational Resource Center  
Old Post Office Bldg. 922 4th Ave.  
Sheldon, Iowa 51502

Mr. Robert Dunlap, Director  
Instructional Materials Center  
First Avenue, North  
Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501

Mrs. Mary Travillian, Director  
Area VI Resource Center  
9 Westwood Drive  
Marshalltown, Iowa 50158

Mrs. Beverly Trost, Director  
Area VII Media Center  
314 E 14th Street  
Cedar Falls, Iowa 50613

Mrs. Jacqueling Hand, Director  
Area VIII IMC Conlin Bldg.  
1473 Central Dubuque, Iowa 52001

Ms. Lois Harker, Librarian  
Area IX Instructional Materials Center  
2604 W. Locust Street  
Davenport, Iowa 52804
KANSAS ASSOCIATE CENTERS

Margaret Brohl, Director
George E. Nettles School
South Elm
Pittsburg, Kansas 66762

Robert Scott, Director
Flint Hills IMC
North Women's Dorm Room 36B
Kansas State Teacher's College
Emporia, Kansas 66801

Marcine Campbell, Director
Diagnostic Educational Media Center
4558 North Hydraulic
Wichita, Kansas 67219

Jean Pike, Director
South Central Kansas IMC
301 South Jackson
Pratt, Kansas 67124

Mrs. Jo Wilson
Central KS. Coop. Diagnostic Center
Building 270
Airport Center-
Salina, Kansas

Darlene Bruner, Director
Butler Co., School Board Council
IMC 616 West Central Box 590
El Dorado, Kansas 67042

Joyce Beery, Director
Northwest Kansas Mobile SEIMC
135 West 6th
Colby, Kansas 67701

Keith Riemer, Coordinator
1000 2nd Ave. P.O. Box 460
Dodge City, Kansas 67801

Beulah Frank
Brookwood Elementary
103rd and Wenonga
Shawnee Mission, Kansas

Elizabeth Kline, IMC Director
Box 370
Junction City, Kansas 66441

Shirley Linn, IMC Director
Department of Special Services
1725 Arnold
Topeka, Kansas

Mr. Dolph Welch
CSSC
Pittsburg Street
Olathe, Kansas
MISSOURI ASSOCIATE CENTERS

Judy McElmurry, IMC Director
Department of Special Education
University of Missouri at Columbia
515 South 6th Street
Columbia, Missouri 65201

Mrs. Dorothy Priester, PMC Director
Springfield Regional Diagnostic Ctr.
1515 E. Pythian
Springfield, Missouri 65802

Lynn Hitchings, PMC Director
Diagnostic Center
805 Clinic Road
Hannibal, Missouri 63401

Mrs. Jeanne Prentice, PMC Director
Diagnostic Center
105 Fairgrounds Road
Rolla, Missouri 65401

James Adair
TENCO Regional Materials Center
East Commercial
Lebanon, Missouri 65536

Warren Boecken, Coordinator
St. Charles County Coop.
Special Education Project
O'Fallon, Missouri
NEBRASKA ASSOCIATE CENTERS

Robert Pfeiffer, Media Consultant
Educational Service Unit 4
P.O. Box 49
Auburn, Nebraska 68305

Kenneth Gardner
Educational Service Unit 4
P.O. Box 49
Auburn, Nebraska 68305

R. N. Nelson
Service Unit 8, 1
306 East 3rd
Neligh, Nebraska 68756

Dan Mook, IMC Director
Educational Service Unit 5
618 1/2 Court Street
Beatrice, Nebraska 68310

Mrs. Rita King, Director
Educational Service Units 12, 13, 14
Chadron State College
Chadron, Nebraska 69337

Gary Tunnison, Director
Service Unit 6
Box 10
Milford, Nebraska 68405

Dr. Allan W. Hansen, Adm
E.S.U. #11
Box 485
Holdrege, Nebraska 68949
SOUTH DAKOTA ASSOCIATE CENTERS

Mr. John Osborne, Director
Pine Ridge Agency
Pine Ridge, South Dakota  57770

Jim Minor
Northeast Learning Center
Lincoln Hall - Box 853
Aberdeen, South Dakota  57401

Gary West
Southeast Learning Center
University of South Dakota
Vermillion, South Dakota  57401

Larry Magliocca, Supervisor
Western Learning Center
Black Hills State College
Spearfish, South Dakota  57783