ABSTRACT

In the current controversy over accountability in education, educators concerned with the affective aspects of education seem to be in danger of losing the battle of "behavioral objectives" on two fronts: (1) demonstrating that the affective behavior of the teacher does make a difference in educational outcomes, and (2) demonstrating how affective educational outcomes can be satisfactorily evaluated. The National Consortium for Humanizing Education addressed itself to the first of these questions; this document reports on three large N studies of teacher behavior. The first two studies indicate that in describing relationships with affective teacher behavior, it is necessary to move into polynomial models. The third study demonstrates that in predicting student outcomes, factors other than the linear term of the mean of the teacher's behavior add considerably to the predictive power of the model. Additionally, the fact that the teacher's stability of affective behavior is a significant predictor of student outcomes offers some implications which help explain why polynomial models of affective teacher behavior seemed to be more adequate than linear models. Extensive data graphs, tables, and charts are included. (Author/PC)
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In the current controversy over accountability in education, we educators concerned with the affective aspects of education seem to be in danger of losing the battle of "behavioral objectives" on two fronts: (1) demonstrating that the affective behavior of the teacher does make a difference in educational outcomes and (2) demonstrating how affective educational outcomes can be satisfactorily evaluated. The National Consortium for Humanizing Education addressed itself to the first of these questions and today I will report on three large N studies of teacher behavior.

The first two studies indicate that in describing relationships with affective teacher behavior, it is necessary to move into polynomial models. The third study demonstrates that in predicting student outcomes, other factors than the linear term of the mean of the teacher's behavior add considerably to the predictive power of the model. Additionally, the fact that the teacher's stability of affective behavior (her deviations around her own mean) is a significant predictor of student outcomes offers some implications to help explain why polynomial models of affective teacher behavior seem to be more adequate than linear models.
STUDY NUMBER 1

SIXTH DEGREE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIAL MULTILINEAR
REGRESSION ANALYSIS FOR TRENDS ACROSS TIME
AMONG CLASSROOM FUNCTIONING VARIABLES

PURPOSE OF STUDY

This study posed two questions:

1. Will sixth degree orthogonal polynomial multilinear
regression analysis yield significant non-linear
trends across time among Classroom Functioning
Variables (as measured by Flanders' Interaction
Analysis Categories, Cognitive Functioning Catego-
ries, and Process Scales) for Control (No-Training
Condition) groups and/or for Experimental (Training
Condition) groups?

2. Are the trends for the Experimental groups different
from those of the Control groups?

DESIGN

Sample

The sample for this study consisted of all Year 01
teachers who submitted 6 or more tapes, two of which were
the September, 1971 and May, 1972 tapes. Total N for the
study was 234. Table 29 displays the N by grade-level groups
within treatment conditions.

Data Collection

Data for the study was the individual's score for each
tape (month) on each of 10 Flanders' Interaction Analysis
Categories, 10 Cognitive Functioning Categories, and 5 Process
Scales. The data was collected by the regular procedures for
assessment of tape data described in Part I.

ANALYSIS

The levels of the independent variable for this analysis
were the nine months of the school year from September, 1971
to May, 1972 during which tapes were obtained from teachers
### Table 29: Teacher Sample for Trend Analysis by Grade Level Groups Within Treatment Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Level Groups</th>
<th>Number of Teachers</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-12</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
at monthly intervals. The procedure used was a sixth-degree orthogonal polynomial multilinear regression analysis across time (months). Each regression coefficient was computed independently of the others and each was tested for significance at the $p < .05$ level. If more than three coefficients were significant, they were eliminated on the basis of $R^2$ until only the three components achieving the highest $R^2$ remained. The resulting regression equation was used to generate the curve representing the trend of the behavior across time.

This procedure was repeated for each of the 25 study variables for each of the groups within each of the conditions. Since there were 10 groups (Grades 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, all Elementary, grades 7-9, grades 10-12, All Secondary) for each of two conditions (Control and Experimental) for each of 25 variables, a total of 500 regressions were completed.

RESULTS

From Control Group Data

A question of some concern to the researchers was whether time of year might be a confounding factor for the study variables. Thus, the analyses of most interest in this study were those utilizing the data from the control groups. Table 30 displays the significant components of the polynomial expressions of the fitted curves for each analysis in which a significant trend was detected in the control data. Of the 250 analyses conducted with this data, 79 significant trends were detected. Twenty-four of these were in the 50 analyses with the data from School Level groups (All Elementary and All Secondary). When the teachers in the School Level groups were treated separately in grade level groups, the resulting 200 analyses yielded 55 significant trends with 41 occurring among the elementary grades and 14 occurring among the secondary groups.

Of the 79 significant trends, 70 were fitted with polynomial expressions which contained a linear term; 57 had a cubic component, and 32 had a quartic component. The majority of the curves had a decreasing function. (See further discussion below).

Three major forms of fitted curves occurred in this data. They are represented in Figure 4. The most commonly fitted curve was one with both linear and cubic terms. Thirty-nine curves were of this form but 14 of those had an added 5th

---

*Using procedures to compensate for unequal N of observations at time points.*
### Table 30: Significant Components of the Polynomial Expressions for Significant Trends Detected in Control Group Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By School Level</th>
<th>By Grade Level Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Elem.</td>
<td>All Sec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-1</td>
<td>2, 3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-2</td>
<td>1, 3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-3</td>
<td>1, 4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-6</td>
<td>1, 3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-8</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-10</td>
<td>1, 3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>2, 3, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = in same direction as the expected direction of treatment benefits for Experimental Groups.
Figure 4: General Forms of Commonly Occurring Significant Negative Trends in Control Group Data

A. Third Degree Polynomial

B. Second Degree Polynomial

C. Fifth Degree Polynomial
degree component. (When compared with observed data, this quintic component usually occurred when there had been an April or May "recovery" from a decreasing function). Twenty-two trends were expressed with linear and quadratic components and 8 of these included a cubic term. (Again, this cubic term was associated with "recovery" from decreasing function in the observed data). The third repeated form was that of a fifth degree polynomial expression with the linear and quartic terms eliminated as insignificant. This form occurred five times and four of these were in the secondary grade-level groups (7-9 and 10-12).

From Experimental Group Data

Table 31 displays the significant polynomials of the fitted curves for each analysis in which a significant trend was detected in the data from Experimental groups. Of the 250 analyses conducted with this data, 97 significant trends were detected. Twenty-eight of these were in the 50 analyses with the data from School Level groups (All Elementary and All Secondary). When the teachers in the School Level groups were treated separately in grade level groups, the resulting 200 analyses yielded 69 significant trends with 38 occurring among the elementary grades and 31 occurring among the secondary groups.

Of the 97 significant trends, 46 were fitted with polynomial expressions which contained a linear term; 70 had a quadratic component, and 68 had a cubic component. About half of the curves had a decreasing function. (See further discussion below).

The forms of the fitted curves were not as consistent in the Experimental Data as in the Control data. However, of the 38 significant positive trends (in the direction* of anticipated treatment benefits) two general forms of fitted curves occurred commonly. They are represented in Figure 5. The arrows in the figure represent those points in time at which treatment (training of teachers) was applied. The most commonly fitted curve was one with both quadratic and cubic terms. Nineteen curves had this form, but 12 of those were cases in which the quadratic and cubic terms were components of a 5th or 6th degree expression. The second generally occurring form in this data was that of a 5th or 6th degree

*Either an increasing or a decreasing function, depending upon whether high or low levels of the specific behavior were desired treatment benefits. Positive directions are indicated in Table 31 by a +.
Table 31: Significant Components of the Polynomial Expression for Significant Trends Detected in Experimental Group Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>By School Level</th>
<th>By Grade Level Groups</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Elem.</td>
<td>All Sec.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>10-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-2</td>
<td>1,2,3+</td>
<td>1,2,3+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3,5,6+</td>
<td>2,3,5+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,3,5+</td>
<td>2,3,6+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,5,6+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4</td>
<td>1,2,6</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-5</td>
<td>1,2,6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3,4 +</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>2,3,6</td>
<td>2,3,5</td>
<td>1,2,3+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>3,4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-8</td>
<td>2,3,5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>3,5,6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3,5,6+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-10</td>
<td>2,3,5</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,6+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>2,5,6+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>1,2,6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>2,3,5</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>1,2,3+</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,5+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,5,6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>2,3,6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>3,5,6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,3+</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>2,4,6</td>
<td>3,5,6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,5,6+</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1,2,3+</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,5+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,4+</td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1,2,6+</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,5+</td>
<td>2,3,5+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>1,2,5+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,3,5+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,5+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,2,5+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,4,6+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
<td>3,5,6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>1,2,5+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,2,5+</td>
<td>1,2,3+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3,4,5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ = in expected direction of treatment benefit
Figure 5: Two General Forms of Commonly Occurring Significant Positive Trends in Experimental Group Data With Applications of Treatment Indicated

A. Third Degree Polynomial

B. Higher Order Polynomial with a Quadratic Term
polynomial expression which included a significant quadratic component as one of three terms. This form occurred 8 times.

From Comparison of Control versus Experimental Trends

The trends for each dependent variable were compared for each of the grade-level groups. Of the 400 trend analyses carried out with data from grade-level groups, the regression was significant in 124 cases. These cases were so distributed that at least one regression of the pair was significant in 102 of a possible 200 paired comparisons (the comparison of the trend of a behavior variable in grade-level matched experimental vs. control groups).

Table 32 displays the results of the comparison. The symbols used are defined in the table; out to summarize, the "+" and "-" symbols denote the direction of the behavior trends while the letters and numbers indicate significance. Specifically, a "+" denotes a favorable comparison; i.e., one in which it can be considered that the Experimental group has moved in the expected direction of treatment benefit as indicated by (1) a significant positive trend of the experimental group paired with either a significant control group trend in the opposite direction or with a non-significant control group trend in the same or opposite direction or (2) a non-significant positive trend of the experimental group paired with a significant control group trend in the opposite direction.

Similarly, a "-" denotes an unfavorable movement of the Experimental group away from the expected direction of treatment benefit as indicated by (1) a significant negative trend of the experimental group paired with either a significant control group trend in the positive direction or with a non-significant control group trend in the same or opposite directions or (2) a non-significant negative trend of the experimental group paired with a significant control group trend in a positive direction.

The letters "ND" indicate that both trends were in the same direction. A "+X" or "-X" standing alone or with the letters "ND" indicates that only the experimental trend was significant. The letter "C" immediately following the directional symbol ("+" or "-") indicates that only the control trend was significant while the number "2" following the directional symbol indicates that both the control and the experimental trends were significant.

The incidence of favorable and unfavorable comparisons are summed by grade-level groups in Table 33. Elementary Teachers have more favorable than unfavorable comparisons (44 to 23) while the Secondary teachers have more unfavorable ones.
Table 32: Summary of 6-Degree Polynomial Multilinear Regression Analysis Across Time -- Direction & Treatment Assignment of Significant Behavior Trends for 200 Paired Analyses of Experimental & Control Grade-Level Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Elementary Schools by Grade</th>
<th>Secondary by Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>+C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>+X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>+C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>+X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-6</td>
<td>-XND</td>
<td>-XND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-8</td>
<td>-2ND</td>
<td>-2ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-9</td>
<td>-C</td>
<td>-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>+X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>+C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>+XND</td>
<td>+XND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>+C</td>
<td>+C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>+C</td>
<td>+X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symbols Used

When only Experimental Trend is Significant:

+X Experimental trend toward, and control away from, expected direction.
-X Experimental trend away from, and control toward, expected direction.
+XND No Difference -- both trends away from desired direction; control non-sig.
-XND No Difference -- both trends away from desired direction; control non-sig.

When both Experimental and Control Trends are Significant:

+2 Experimental trend toward and control away from expected direction.
-2 Experimental trend away from and control toward expected direction.
+2ND No Difference -- both trends significant in expected direction.
-2ND No Difference -- both trends significant away from expected direction.

When only Control Trend is Significant:

+C Experimental non-sig. trend is desired direction with control away from it.
-C Experimental non-sig. trend away from desired direction with control toward expected direction.
+CND No Difference -- both trends in expected direction; control non-sig.
-CND No Difference -- both trends away from expected direction; control non-sig.

-- Neither group significant
Table 33: Summary of Favorable Versus Unfavorable Comparisons of Experimental Group Trends with Control Group Trends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade-Level Groups</th>
<th>No. Favorable* Comparisons</th>
<th>No. Unfavorable Comparisons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Favorable comparison: one in which it can be considered that the Experimental group has moved in the expected direction of treatment benefit.
Closer examination of Table 32 indicates that the Elementary school teachers generally have favorable comparisons on the Interpersonal Process (M, G, SP, R, SI) and Indirect Behavior (F-1, F-2, F-3, F-4) variables. The picture for the Secondary Schools is not as favorable as that of the Elementary Schools but the Senior High School (grades 10-12) does better than the Junior High (grades 7-9).

Although the data exhibits negative movement for the experimental groups, these are usually accompanied by negative movement of the matching control group as well. There is no case in which the experimental group had a significant negative direction accompanied by a significant positive direction for the control group. There are two cases (indicated by "C" in Table 32) in which the experimental group had a non-significant negative movement paired with a significant positive movement of the control group.

DISCUSSION

The "-XND", "-2ND", "+C", and "-CND" symbols registered in Table 32 are reflective of a general tendency which was found in the data. This tendency was most marked in the significant trends for the control group data. (See Table 30).

That is, in general, the behavior trend for the control group was a movement across the year from September to May towards increased amounts of direct teacher behavior (F-5, F-6, F-7, C-1), increased amounts of Silence and Chaos (F-10, C-10), lower levels of student participation (F-8, F-9, C-5, C-6, C-7, and C-8), and less facilitative levels of interpersonal processes. This was not strictly a linear trend and, of course, it varied considerably among grade-levels and variables but, in general, it showed a decreasing function from September through December or January, a partial recovery in January or February, and a second downward trend through May. For some variables there was a second slight recovery in May, ending the downward trend with April. (See A and B of Figure 4).

Thus, for the experimental group to show positive movement, they had to overcome this general downward trend before positive movement could be evidenced. The symbol "+C" in Table 32 indicates cases in which a significant negative trend in the control group is paired with a non-significant positive trend.

21Exceptions are indicated by * in Table 30.
of the experimental group. These cases are interpreted as being instances in which the experimental group succeeded in breaking up the negative trend but not in making significant positive movement. The symbols "-ND", "-2", "-2ND" in Table 32 indicates those cases in which the experimental group reflected the general negative tendency of the data.

The general trend across time for the experimental groups was not as consistent as for the control groups, which also substantiates the concept of the experimental treatment benefits being in a direction opposed to the direction of the normal processes evidenced across the school year. Of the 97 significant trends detected in the experimental data, 38 were in the direction of expected treatment benefits. For the control groups, only 7 of the 79 significant trends were in this direction.

While examining the behavior trends across time, anecdotal evidence was discovered indicating that the measures selected for the study do reflect classroom processes accurately. This was found in the data taken in the week immediately following two racial incidents (a rape and a stabbing) in one of the secondary schools. The data for that time period (Feb., 1972) supplied by the faculty of the school which was involved in the racial incidents exhibited a severe depression in the variables most directly related to facilitative interpersonal processes. Thus, the data for that month for that school showed (1) marked decreases (from the levels attained in both the month preceding and the month following) in amount of praise given, questions asked by pupils, and student ideas accepted, and (2) a sharp increase in criticism and justification of authority and the amount of silence or confusion in the classroom. Decreases were also registered in the levels of the teacher's Meaning, Genuineness, Respect for Students, Success Promotion, and in the degree of Student Involvement in classroom processes.

SUMMARY

In conclusion, the findings from this study were:

1. The data supplied anecdotal validation that the measures selected for the project do reflect classroom process accurately.

2. Significant trends across time were found in the Control group data.
3. These trends were consistent with the interpretation that there is a deterioration across the year from September till May in the levels of facilitative conditions offered students.

4. Significant trends across time were detected in the Experimental Group data.

5. These trends were not as consistent as the trends from the Control data but were compatible with the interpretation that the benefits of the treatment applied (training of teachers) were in directions opposed to the direction of the normal processes evidenced across the school year.

6. Of the 97 significant trends in the Experimental data, 38 were in the direction of expected treatment benefits.

The first implication of this study for the applied research studies was that time of year was a confounding factor for the study variables and must be taken into consideration in the interpretation of research results. Secondly, a comparison of (1) the variables in which positive movement was evidenced and (2) the content of the training sessions for the year indicates a correspondence between the skills in which instruction was explicit and the variables in which movement was exhibited. This comparison led to the hypothesis that for the attainment of desired treatment benefits in additional variables, specific skills development training programs for those behaviors should be applied. To test this hypothesis, the training modules for the second year would have to undergo revision.
STUDY NUMBER 2

RESPONSE SURFACE ANALYSIS*

PURPOSE OF STUDY

This study posed four questions:

1. Can replicable, predictable, and significant relationships be detected among Classroom Functioning Variables as measured by Flanders' Interaction Analysis categories, Cognitive Functioning Categories, and Process Scales?

2. Will these relationships be different at the elementary and secondary levels?

3. Can specific recurring predictors be identified for each of the Classroom Functioning Variables?

4. Can response surfaces generated from the regression equations resulting from backward elimination multilinear regression analysis provide information that will be useful in guiding the design of training programs?

DESIGN

Sample

The sample for this study was all Year 01, Year 02, and Year 03 teachers who submitted 5 or more tapes during the year. Table 38 presents the data base for the study.

*This study is only summarized here as it was presented in detail in F. N. Roebuck and D. N. Aspy, *Response Surface Analysis: Interim Report No. 3*. Monroe, LA: National Consortium for Humanizing Education, Northeast Louisiana University (National Institute of Mental Health Research Grant No. 5 P0 1 MH 19871), 1974. Separate presentation of this study was necessary because it involved 86 pages of illustrations of response surfaces generated from the analyses.
Table 38: Data Base for Response Surface Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Teachers</th>
<th>Yr. 01</th>
<th>Yr. 02</th>
<th>Yr. 03</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Hours of Instruction Coded</th>
<th>Yr. 01</th>
<th>Yr. 02</th>
<th>Yr. 03</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yr. 01</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr. 02</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr. 03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Collection

Study variables were the individual's scores on 10 Flanders' Interaction Analysis Categories, 10 Cognitive Functioning Categories, and 5 Process Scales. The data was collected through the normal procedures for assessment of tape data described in Part I.

ANALYSIS

Response Surface Analysis was carried out for each of the 25 study variables. The procedure used was to designate each of the study variables in turn as the dependent variable with the remaining 24 variables being considered as independent. The computer was then loaded with the linear, quadratic, and cross-product values of the independent variables and backward elimination multilinear regression analysis was carried out. The procedure was continued until only two variables were left in the model. The resulting regression equation was used to generate points with which to plot the response surface. The regression equation with 3 variables was also identified and a 3-variable response surface was generated for each study variable.

The analysis for each study variable was carried out separately for elementary (grade 1-6) and secondary (grade 7-12) teachers as it was anticipated that the relationships would be different at the two levels. Since there were three samples (Year 01, Year 02, and Year 03) at each level which were analyzed separately, a total of 150 analyses were conducted. Each analysis yielded two response surfaces -- a 2-variable surface and a 3-variable surface--for a total of 300 surfaces which were constructed.

RESULTS

From Regression Analysis

A majority of the regressions were significant at $p < .001$; however, they ranged as high as $p < .05$. Acceptable levels of significance were $p < .05$. Only 19 of the 150 regressions failed to achieve this level of significance. Variable F-7 at the Secondary Level was the only variable which was consistently insignificant; it failed to reach the .05 level in all three samples.

Achieved $R^2$ for the three-variable equations ranged from .01 to .99. Some variables were predictable at approximately the same levels of $R^2$ from sample to sample (within a school
level) while other variables showed wide differences in achieved R² among samples. A methodical comparison of the R²'s provided an estimate of the stability of predictability of the study variables from sample to sample. Table 39 summarizes the results of this comparison.

Some study variables consistently predicted the same dependent variables from sample to sample within a school level. These predictors were designated "Recurring Variables." Table 40 presents the Recurring Variables for each dependent variable at each school level.

It is obvious from scanning Table 40 that some variables featured more frequently as predictors of the other study variables. The relative frequency of each of the study variables as a predictor is summarized in Table 41.

From Construction of Response Surfaces

The 300 response surfaces generated from the 150 2-variable and the 150 3-variable regression equations derived from the three samples at each of two school levels were examined for information as to the dynamic relationships among the variables. Each surface presented its own exhibit of the dynamics of the inter-relationships of the study variables; however, two general observations could be made of the surfaces as a set.

First, many of the variables were related to the dependent variable in a curvilinear rather than a linear fashion. All but 11 of the 150 2-variable regression equations contained at least one quadratic or cross-product term, while 146 of the 3-variable equations contained such a term.

Second, the surfaces emphasized the dynamic quality of the inter-relationships of the predictor variables. In several cases, the directionality of the relation between the dependent variable and a predictor variable was completely reversed as the value of a second predictor variable changed.

DISCUSSION

One of the major reasons for conducting this extensive examination of the relationships between Classroom Functioning variables was the need of the researchers to be able to specify the expected direction of treatment benefit for each of the 25 study variables. The National Consortium for Humanizing Education had hypothesized that a humane classroom was characterized by four types of behavior:
Table 39: Stability of Predictability of Study Variables from Sample to Sample within School Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>Elementary Level</th>
<th>Secondary Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With Consistently</td>
<td>F-5 C-2 C-4 C-7</td>
<td>F-5 F-10 C-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ample $R^2$</td>
<td>C-10 M G SP</td>
<td>C-10 M R SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Consistently</td>
<td>F-2</td>
<td>F-7* F-8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low $R^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Characterized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as to Stability Ample</td>
<td>F-4 F-10</td>
<td>F-3 C-4 C-5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>F-6 F-8* F-9</td>
<td>F-6* F-9 C-8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low $R^2$</td>
<td>C-1*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Inconsistently</td>
<td>C-9</td>
<td>F-4 C-7 G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ample $R^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Inconsistently</td>
<td>F-1* F-3* F-7</td>
<td>F-1* F-2* C-1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low $R^2$</td>
<td>C-3* C-5* C-6</td>
<td>C-3* C-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates that at least one of the 3 regressions within the school level for the variable did not reach $p < .05$.

³Ample $R^2$ was defined as $R^2 > .35$ in at least two of the three samples.
### Table 40: Recurring* Predictive Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>Elementary Teachers 2 Samples</th>
<th>Elementary Teachers 3 Samples</th>
<th>Secondary Teachers 2 Samples</th>
<th>Secondary Teachers 3 Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F-1</td>
<td>M, C-7</td>
<td>F-9</td>
<td>M, SI</td>
<td>C-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-2</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>C-2, M</td>
<td>C-7, C-5</td>
<td>SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>C-7, SI</td>
<td>C-4, SI</td>
<td>C-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4</td>
<td>R, C-5, C-7</td>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>SP, R, C-7</td>
<td>C-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-5</td>
<td>R, C-3</td>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>C-5, R</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-6</td>
<td>M, C-1, C-2, SI</td>
<td>C-1, M, C-2</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>F-10, C-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-7</td>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>F-7, R</td>
<td>R, C-2</td>
<td>C-1, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-8</td>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C-2, SP, F-2, F-1</td>
<td>F-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-9</td>
<td>SI, C-2</td>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>C-2, SI</td>
<td>C-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>M, R, C-6</td>
<td>C-8</td>
<td>R, M</td>
<td>F-4, R, C-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F-4, C-7</td>
<td>F-5, C-8</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>F-2</td>
<td>F-5, C-8</td>
<td>F-3, F-4, M</td>
<td>C-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>C-7, F-4, M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>F-4, F-7</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F-3, SI, F-8</td>
<td>C-4, F-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>F-4, F-8, SI</td>
<td>C-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>F-8, SP</td>
<td>C-3, M, F-1, SI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8</td>
<td>M, SI, C-3, C-4</td>
<td>F-7, M</td>
<td>F-3, F-7, C-7</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9</td>
<td>F-1</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>F-6</td>
<td>F-5, SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>C-4, F-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>R, F-2</td>
<td>C-1, C-7</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>F-7, M</td>
<td>F-2</td>
<td>C-1, C-7</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>F-2, C-4, M, C-1</td>
<td>F-7</td>
<td>M, C-1, F-2</td>
<td>C-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>C-7, F-3</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>F-1, C-7</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>F-1, C-7</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G, C-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recurring from sample to sample as predictor for same dependent variable.
Table 41: Summary of Predictive Appearances of Recurring Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictive Variables</th>
<th>No. of Appearances</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Elementary Data</th>
<th>Secondary Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M: Meaning</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7: Student Thinks</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI: Student Involvement</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R: Respect</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-1: Teacher Recalls Facts</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2: Teacher Asks for Facts</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-2: Teacher Praises</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4: Teacher Asks Questions</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-7: Teacher Criticizes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G: Genuineness</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4: Teacher Asks for Thinking</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-1: Teacher Accepts Feelings</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5: Student Recalls Facts</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-5: Teacher Lectures</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-3: Teacher Accepts Ideas</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8: Student Asks for Thinking</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3: Teacher Thinks</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6: Student Asks for Facts</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-8: Student Responds</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP: Success Promotion</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-9: Student Initiates</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-1C: Silence or Confusion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-6: Teacher Gives Directions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9: Non-Cognitive Behavior</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10: Silence and Confusion</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Variable occurred only at random in this data; i.e., it did not recur from sample to sample within a level as predictor for the same dependent variable.
1. Frequent acceptance of student feelings,
2. High amounts of student participation,
3. High levels of student thinking beyond the use of facts, and
4. High degrees of student involvement.

From this hypothesis, the expected direction of treatment benefits was self-evident for variables F-1, F-8, F-9, C-7, and the Process Scales. And from the implications of directionality of these variables, expected direction could be derived for variables F-2, F-3, F-4, C-2, and C-4. However, the implications for F-5, F-6, F-10, C-1, C-3, C-5, C-6, C-9 and C-10 were not so clear. By examining the response surfaces generated in this study, it was possible to specify the expected direction of treatment benefit for all variables.

Examination of the individual response surfaces also provided guidance for focusing training to change specific aspects of teacher or student behavior. For example, examination of the response surfaces for F-9 (Figures 6 & 7) suggested that in order to increase the amount of Student Initiation at the elementary school level, training should focus on helping the teacher to understand the meaning-to-the-student of his classroom experiences and to communicate acceptance of the student's feelings. At the high school level, training to increase Student Initiation should focus on helping the teacher (1) to raise his levels of skill in promoting the student's achievement of individual goals and (2) reduce the amount of time he spends asking students to recall facts. (See Figures 6 and 7).

SUMMARY

In conclusion, the findings from this study are:

1. Replicable, predictable, and significant relationships were detected among variables of teacher and student classroom functioning.
2. These relationships were different at the secondary and elementary school levels.
3. Specific recurring predictors for each of the study variables were identified.
4. Some of the classroom functioning variables co-varied significantly and frequently with a large number of the other study variables, and these
predictors were few enough in number to suggest that efficient programs for changing overall classroom functioning could be developed by focusing training efforts on these few highly predictive variables.

5. The individual response surfaces generated for each study variable provide specific suggestions for focusing training efforts aimed at changing selected aspects of teacher or student behavior.

6. Two of the 4 most frequently recurring predictors (and 3 of the top 10) were variables which had been postulated by Rogers as being positively related to effective learning environments.

7. Most of the frequently recurring predictors were related to the kinds of behavior classified by Flanders' as "Indirect."

8. The kinds of behavior hypothesized by the National Consortium for Humanizing Education as characterizing a humane classroom were also the kinds of behavior which were frequently recurring predictors of the other study variables.

9. The curvilinear relationships detected were strong enough and constant enough to suggest that educational researchers need to emphasize the building and testing of at least quadratic models.

The most important implication of this study for the NCHE applied studies was the specification of the expected direction of treatment benefits for each of the 25 classroom functioning variables. However, the other findings from this study have important implications for applied research in education in general. Also, the methodology (Response Surface Analysis) seems a promising one for researchers in education and other social sciences.
Figure 6: Response Surface for F-9: STUDENT INITIATES at the Elementary School Level

\[ F-9 = 6.59066 - 47.9076F1F1 + 15.013F1M \]

\[ R^2 = 0.172 \quad s_e = 5.945 \quad F = 16.559 \]
Figure 7: Response Surface for F-9: STUDENT INITIATES at the Secondary School Level

\[ F-9 = -5.07259 - 0.153C2SP + 0.334SPSP \]

\[ R^2 = 0.189 \]

\[ s_E = 9.408 \]

\[ F = 11.11 \]
STUDY NUMBER 3

THE CLASSROOM FUNCTIONING VARIABLES AS PREDICTORS OF CHANGE ON STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES OF ABSENTEEISM, SELF-CONCEPT, AND ACHIEVEMENT

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

This study posed two questions:

1. When Student Outcome Measures of change have been adjusted for pre-test standing, will multi-linear regression analysis detect significant relationships between the adjusted change measures and the Classroom Functioning variables?

2. Which Classroom Functioning variables are the better predictors of the adjusted change measures?

DESIGN

Sample

The Teacher sample for this study included all Year 01 experimental and control classroom teachers who submitted four or more tapes during the year. "Special teachers" (art, music, speech therapy, etc.) were not included and the study was restricted to Math and English Teachers at the Secondary level. The student sample was formed of all students who (1) had been taught by "included" teachers and (2) had taken pre- and post-tests of student outcome measures. Table 56 displays student and teacher N by grade level.

Data Collection

Data for the independent variables in the study were the individual's scores on all submitted audio tape recordings of classroom instruction on each of the 10 Flanders' Interaction Analysis Categories, 10 Cognitive Functioning Categories, and 5 Process Scales. The data was collected by the regular procedures for assessment of Tape data described in Part I.

The dependent variables were pre- and post-test measures on Metropolitan Achievement Tests (for students in grades 1-6),
### Table 56: Distribution of Sample for Study 14 by Grade Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Levels</th>
<th>Teacher N</th>
<th>Student N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 7-12         | Math      | 16        | 1,365*    |
|              | English   | 18        | 2,113     |

| Total        | 156       | 5,003     |

*These students contained within English N.
California Achievement Tests (for students in grades 7-12), How I See Myself Tests (students in grades 3-12), and total days absent for the year (for all students). The pre-tests were administered in November, 1971 and the post-tests in April, 1972 by the students' regular classroom teachers and scored by the test publishers.

**ANALYSIS**

Sixty-four multi-linear regression analyses were carried out. The dependent variables were adjusted change* scores on student tests. Table 59 lists the dependent variables. Separate analyses were carried out for grade levels, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7-12. Grades 7-12 were run as one level because of organizational factors of the schools; i.e., all students changed classes thus limiting the number of teachers in a particular subject matter to two or three per grade.

Independent variables were means and standard deviations of measures of selected Classroom Functioning Variables. The teacher's Grand Mean** for the year was used as a measure of average level of functioning. The standard deviation of the teacher's scores around his own mean was used as an estimate of stability of functioning. In all there were 28 Independent Variables, as follows:

- $X$ and $\hat{j}$ of $F-1$: Accepts Student Feelings
- $X$ and $\hat{j}$ of $F-2$: Use of Praise and Encouragement
- $X$ and $\hat{j}$ of $F-3$: Accepts Student Ideas
- $X$ and $F-6$: Give Directions or Commands
- $X$ and $\hat{j}$ of $F-7$: Use of Criticism and Justification of Authority
- $X$ of $F-9$: Student Initiated Response
- $X$ of $C-1$: Teacher Recalls Facts

*Adjusted change was post-test minus pre-test to yield raw change which was then adjusted for pre-test standing. This was done for all variables except (1) first grade tests where absolute post-test scores were used as no pre-test data was available and (2) absence where total days absent was the dependent variable.

**Grand Mean = average over all tapes submitted.
In carrying out the regressions, the measures of each teacher's functioning were regressed against the Mean Change on the dependent variable for the students taught by that teacher. Therefore, degrees of freedom for each analysis were based on N of classrooms (teachers) rather than N of students. Since the N of classrooms was in all cases smaller than the number of independent variables to be considered, the computer was programmed to halt computation when the degrees of freedom for regression were equal to residual degrees of freedom minus one.

RESULTS

Prediction of Change

The R-squares displayed in Table 57 represent the amount of remaining variance predicted by Classroom Functioning variables after the variance due to Pre-test Standing had been removed. In other words, once you have accounted for change related to where the student was on entering, then these R-squares tell you how good teacher behavior was as a predictor of change. All regressions for which R-square is reported were significant at p < .05.
Table 57: Results of Multilinear Regression Analysis: Variation ($R^2$) in Adjusted* Mean Gain Predicted by Teacher Behavior Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Grade Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher School Acceptance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Appearance</td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal Adequacy</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Adequacy</td>
<td>.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Days Absence</td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Vocabulary</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Comprehension</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word Analysis</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Usage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Mechanics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spelling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math Computation</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math Concepts</td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math Problem-Solving</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X = No data at this level on this variable  
NS = Regression not Significant at acceptable level (p < .05)

*Adjusted for Pre-test standing except 1st grade data uses absolute post-test standing (no pre-test available) and Total Days Absence uses raw totals.

**Numbers Represent $R^2$
Classroom Functioning as a predictor of change varied with the dependent variable and with the grade level. However, there were several patterns in the data presented in Table 57.

First, teacher functioning was a good predictor of absolute standing at the end of the year for first grade students, in all areas except word analysis skills.

Second, except for the third and fourth grades, teacher functioning was a good predictor of the total days absent for her students. The relationship was an inverse one for all predictors except F-6 and F-7. The same trend was observed in third and fourth grades but it did not reach significance in the third grade regression and was not a high predictor at the fourth grade level.

Third, in general, teacher functioning was not as good a predictor of student gain in the fourth grade as it was for the other levels of students.

Fourth, above the fourth grade, teacher functioning was a better predictor of gain in skills than of gain in concepts. For example, in grades 7-12, the R-square for Language Mechanics was .59 but was only .39 for Language Usage. For Math Computation, the MCR square was .86 but, for Math Concepts, it was .78. The same situation was observed at grade 5. Reading Vocabulary was .75 and Comprehension was .42; Math Computation was .64, Math Problem-Solving was .72, and Math Concepts was .15. At the fourth grade level, prediction of change in Math followed the same pattern but not in reading. Below the fourth grade level, only the prediction of post-test standing for first grade follows the pattern, with Reading Vocabulary registering an R-square of .68 and first grade Reading Comprehension registering .43.

Identification of Predictors

The regression equations were examined to determine the relative predictive power of the independent variables. The dependent variables were grouped in three categories: (1) Absence, (2) Self-Concept Measures, and (3) Achievement Measures. Then the regression equations for each category were examined and the number of times a variable appeared as a predictor of change in regression equations at each level for each category was counted. This was divided by the number of equations for the category to get the percent of equations for the category in which the variable appeared as a predictor of gain. This operation was repeated for each category and for the total of all categories. The results are displayed in Table 58.
Table 58: Percent of Equations for Category in which Variable Appears* as a Significant Predictor of Gain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>Category &amp; Level Predicted</th>
<th>1-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>Self-Concept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EL.</td>
<td>SEC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-1</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-2</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>200%+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-3</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-7</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meaning</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genuineness</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respect</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Involvement</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N of Equations 5 1 18 5 26 5 49 11 60

EL: Elementary (1-6)
SEC: Secondary (7-12)
*Variable is counted as appearing if either $\bar{x}$ or $\bar{\theta}$ appeared as predictor in regression.
+Variable appeared as both $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{\theta}$ in the regression.
Single Predictors

The most highly predictive single variables were F-1, F-2, F-3, F-7, F-9, C-3, C-8, M and SI. Cognitive 1 (Teacher Recalls Facts) was a predictor only for elementary Achievement and was negatively correlated with achievement. Cognitive 2 (Teacher Asks for Facts) was predictive for elementary students but not for secondary students. Of the Student Behavior variables, the most highly predictive were F-9 (Student Initiates) and Cognitive 8.

Predictive Clusters

To simplify this rather complex picture of the relative predictive power of the variables, the independent variables were grouped into four clusters according to the kind of behavior being measured. Then for each category of dependent variables, the predictive appearances of the independent variables were summed over each cluster and divided by the total number of predictive appearances of all variables for the category. This provided a picture of the relative power of the different clusters of Classroom Functioning Variables in predicting change. The results are displayed in Table 59.

The teacher's Cognitive Behaviors had relatively little predictive power except for Self-Concept at the secondary level. The Teacher's Specific Affective Behaviors and the Process Scales appeared more frequently as predictors than either Student Behavior or Teacher's Cognitive Behaviors. However, Student Behavior measures were frequent predictors of gain in Achievement. The percentage of predictive appearances of Student Behavior in Achievement regressions was almost the same for the Elementary and the Secondary levels although comparing the two levels reveals discrepant percentages for Student Behavior in Absence and Self-Concept Regressions.

Average Level vs. Stability of Functioning as Predictor

Tables 58 and 59 dealt with total appearances of a variable regardless of whether it appeared as a Mean (average level of teacher's functioning on that variable) or as a Standard Deviation (stability of teacher's functioning on that variable). Tables 60 and 61 deal with the predictive appearances of the two kinds of measures for the variables.

In Table 60, the process used to produce Table 3 was repeated, except that for Table 60 only the appearances of standard deviations of the variables were counted for each cluster and divided by the total number of all (X and 0) appearances. This provided a picture of the percentage of
Table 59: Percent of Total Predictive Appearances in Category by Variable Clusters for School Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Cluster</th>
<th>Level and Category Predicted</th>
<th>EL.</th>
<th>SEC.</th>
<th>EL.</th>
<th>SEC.</th>
<th>EL.</th>
<th>SEC.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Groupings of Independent Variables)</td>
<td>ABSENCE SELF-CONCEPT ACHIEVEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Affective Behaviors</td>
<td>31% 42% 44% 26% 36% 44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Cognitive Behaviors</td>
<td>25% -0- 15% 28% 11% 11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Scales</td>
<td>25% 29% 19% 28% 21% 12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Behaviors</td>
<td>7% 29% 20% 16% 32% 33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specific Affective Behaviors:
F-1: Accepts Feelings
F-2: Uses Praise
F-3: Accepts &/or Uses
F-6: Gives Instructions
F-7: Justifies Authority

Teacher Cognitive Behaviors:
C-1: Teacher Recalls Facts
C-2: Teacher Asks for Facts
C-3: Teacher Thinks
C-4: Teacher Asks for Thinking

Process Scales:
Meaning, Genuineness, Respect for Student, Success Promotion, Student Involvement

Student Behaviors:
F-9: Student Initiates
C-5: Student Recalls Facts
C-6: Student Asks for Facts
C-7: Student Thinks
C-8: Student Asks for Thinking

EL: Elementary (1-6)
SEC: Secondary (7-12)
Table 60: Percent of Predictive Appearances in Category (by Variable Cluster per Level) that is Accounted for by Stability of Teacher Functioning Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Cluster (Groupings of Independent Variables)</th>
<th>Level and Category Predicted</th>
<th>ABSENCE</th>
<th>SELF-CONCEPT</th>
<th>ACHIEVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EL.</td>
<td>SEC.</td>
<td>EL.</td>
<td>SEC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Affective Behaviors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Cognitive Behaviors</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Scales</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Behaviors</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specific Affective Behaviors:
- F-1: Accepts Feelings
- F-2: Uses Praise
- F-3: Accepts &/or Uses Student Ideas
- F-6: Gives Instructions
- F-7: Justifies Authority

Teacher Cognitive Behaviors:
- C-1: Teacher Recalls Facts
- C-2: Teacher Asks for Facts
- C-3: Teacher Thinks
- C-4: Teacher Asks for Thinking

Process Scales:
- Meaning, Genuineness, Respect for Student, Success Promotion, Student Involvement

Student Behaviors:
- F-9: Student Initiates
- C-5: Student Recalls Facts
- C-6: Student Asks for Facts
- C-7: Student Thinks
- C-8: Student Asks for Thinking

*No Stability Measures of Student Behavior Variables were included in the Analysis.
Table 61: Proportion of Predictive Appearances in Category by Variable Cluster Per Level that is Accounted for by Stability of Teacher Functioning Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Cluster (Groupings of Independent Variables)</th>
<th>Level and Category Predicted</th>
<th>Level and Category Predicted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ABSENCE</td>
<td>SELF-CONCEPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EL.</td>
<td>SEC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Affective Behaviors</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Cognitive Behaviors</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Scales</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specific Affective Behaviors:
- F-1: Accepts Feelings
- F-2: Uses Praise
- F-3: Accepts &/or Uses Student Ideas
- F-6: Gives Instructions
- F-7: Justifies Authority

Teacher Cognitive Behaviors:
- C-1: Teacher Recalls Facts
- C-2: Teacher Asks for Facts
- C-3: Teacher Thinks
- C-4: Teacher Asks for Thinking

Process Scales:
- Meaning, Genuineness, Respect for Student, Success Promotion, Student Involvement

EL: Elementary (1-6)
SEC: Secondary (7-12)
Predictive Appearances in Category that is accounted for by the stability of teacher functioning.

In Table 61, the relative predictive power of the two kinds of measures for the independent variables (average level of functioning and stability of functioning) is emphasized. It displays the ratio (proportion) of appearances of the stability measures to the total appearances of the variables. By examining this table, it was apparent that the stability of the teacher's functioning in the Process Levels was more important to secondary school students than to elementary students except in predicting self-concept changes. However, the stability of the Specific Affective Behaviors was more important than average level of functioning in predicting the Absence of elementary students. Compare this with the proportion for prediction of Absence by stability of Process levels. With a proportion of .16, it is evident that it is the average level of Interpersonal Process functioning that is more important for the elementary student.

DISCUSSION

General Relationships

In all but four of the 64 multi-linear regression analyses conducted in this study, the Classroom Functioning Variables were related to the student outcome measures at levels of significance less than .05. R-squares achieved in the significant regressions ranged from .14 to .88.

The relationships reported in this study are somewhat stronger than those reported for similar studies by previous investigators. Factors related to the added strength of the relationships reported here include the following:

1. The independent variables were measures of the actual processes occurring in the learning situation rather than presage characteristics of the teacher, the students, the curriculum, or the learning context.

2. The independent variables were generated from repeated measures of the processes occurring in the learning situation, thus providing (1) an average level of functioning for the year and (2) an estimate of stability of functioning for the year.

3. The dependent variables were not change for individual students but were mean change for all the students taught by the teacher.
4. The R-squares reported are for a component of the total variance; i.e., the variance remaining after the variance due to pre-test standing has been adjusted for.

Although the specific relationships between the Classroom Functioning Variables and the Change measures varied considerably from grade to grade and from test to test, the data presented here seems to indicate that the teacher's level of functioning is an important contributor to student change as it accounted for one-quarter to nine-tenths of the variance for all but eight of the relationships tested. (See Table 57). The generally low R-squares for the fourth grade (in comparison to the other grades) are interesting and it is hypothesized that these may be related to the "fourth grade slump" in creativity and achievement reported by other researchers.

Specific Patterns

Of particular interest to the researchers were the relationships between the Classroom Functioning variables and student absenteeism. As indicated in Table 59, the Specific Affective Behaviors and the Process Scales were the most frequent predictors of absence at both the elementary and the secondary levels with Student Behavior variables having an equal importance at the secondary level. Examination of the regression equations indicate that student absenteeism increases when process levels, number of questions asked by the teacher, praise, acceptance of student ideas, and acceptance of student feelings are lowered or when criticism is high. Similarly, there is an inverse relationship between absenteeism and student initiation and students asking for facts. Put simply, this means that when the teacher is functioning at high levels of acceptance and responsiveness to students, they miss fewer days of school during the year.

The second interesting pattern of relationships displayed in Table 57 is the systematically higher R-squares for skills tests compared to measures of more abstract kinds of learning for grades 1, 4, 5, and 7-12. In terms of this data, it would seem that, at the upper grade levels, the teacher has a more direct effect on students' attainment of specific skills than on their attainment of concepts or more abstract processes. That this pattern is also reflected in grade 1 reading but not in grades 2 and 3 may be an effect of the nature of first grade reading instruction.

The researchers had hypothesized that the Classroom Functioning variables would have stronger relationships with the Self-Concept Factors than with achievement tests. However, this hypothesis had to be rejected as the average
of the R-square for all Self-Concept regressions was .55 while the average for the Achievement regressions was .62. In effect, the Classroom Functioning variables were equally effective predictors for change on both the Self-Concept Factors and the Achievement tests.

Relative Predictive Power of Variable Clusters

Table 59 presents the relative predictive power of the different kinds of Classroom Functioning variables. For elementary students (grades 1-6) the Specific Affective Behaviors are most important, followed by Student Behaviors and Process Scales with the Teacher's Cognitive Behaviors contributing less to the variation of both Self-Concept and Achievement measures. Of the Student Behaviors, the most predictive were F-9 (Student Initiates) and C-8 (Student Asks for Thinking) indicating the importance of student participation and student direction setting in learning. Examination of the regression equations indicate that the relationships are in similar directions to those for Absenteeism; i.e., positive gain is positively related to the Classroom Functioning variables except F-6, F-7, and C-1. When F-7 and C-1 appear as predictors, they are usually negatively correlated. F-6 is positively correlated with elementary student gain and negatively correlated with secondary student gain.

The data presented in Table 61 as to the proportion of predictive appearances of the variables which were contributed by the stability of functioning factors indicates that both stability (the teacher offers relatively the same levels of functioning; i.e., has a small standard deviation around his own mean) and average level of functioning were of equal importance for about half of the relationships. However, the stability of the Specific Affective Behaviors were more frequent predictors of elementary Absenteeism than the average level of functioning while for the secondary students the stability factors were the only Interpersonal Process factors which predicted either Absenteeism or Achievement. Stability factors did not account for a large proportion of the appearances of Teacher Cognitive Behaviors as predictors of change in student outcomes.

SUMMARY

In conclusion, the findings from this study were:

1. The Classroom Functioning variables are good predictors of student change when raw change is adjusted for pre-test standing.
2. When the teacher is functioning at high levels of acceptance and responsiveness to students, students miss fewer days of school during the year.

3. At the upper grade levels, the teacher has a more direct effect on students' attainment of specific skills than on attainment of concepts or more abstract processes.

4. The Classroom Functioning variables were equally effective predictors for change on both Self-Concept factors and Achievement tests.

5. Both the stability of the teacher's functioning and the average level of functioning on Specific Affective Behaviors and Process Scales are important predictors of change but the relative importance of the two kinds of measures of teacher functioning vary with the kind of gain predicted and the grade level of the student.

6. The stability of the teacher's functioning in the Cognitive Behaviors was not an important predictor of change, but average level of functioning was.

7. Student gain (positive change) was positively related to the Classroom Functioning variables except F-7 and C-1 which were inversely related while F-6 was positively related for elementary students and inversely related for secondary students.

The conclusion of the researchers from this study was that higher functioning teachers produce more gain in student measures of Self-Concept and Achievement and their students are absent fewer days.
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Federal agency with responsibility for mental health research, training, and services. Contact:
Division of Scientific and Technical Information
Julius Segal, Ph.D., Director
National Clearinghouse for Mental Health Information
Carrie Lee Rothgeb, Acting Chief
(Write for publications lists and information relating to programs.)

National Institute on Drug Abuse
Dr. Robert DuPont, Director
11400 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852
Federal agency with responsibility for activities in areas of drug abuse research, prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation. Contact:
Division of Resource Development
Stuart Nightingale, M.D., Director
Drug Abuse Prevention Branch
Dr. John Olsen, Acting Chief
National Clearinghouse for Drug Abuse Information
Jean McMillen, Program Manager
(Write for publications lists and information relating to programs.)

National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism
Dr. Morris E. Chafetz, Director
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852
Federal agency with responsibility for research, treatment, rehabilitation, and information dissemination on alcohol abuse and alcoholism. Contact:
National Clearinghouse for Alcohol Information
Terry Bellicha, Acting Assistant Director
9119 Gaither Drive
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760
(Write for publications lists and information relating to programs)
The American City Corporation
Urban Life Center
American City Building
Columbia, Md. 21043
Newsletter, New and Renewing Communities, $25 a year.
Contact: Jean Straub

Futuremics, Inc.
P. O. Box 48
Annapolis, Md. 21404
Monthly newsletter, Footnotes to the Future, $10 a year. Futuremics, Inc. is a consulting firm and association of professionals committed to helping individuals, groups and organizations meet and solve problems which have a direct bearing on the future.

Human Relations Task Force
Synod of the Chesapeake
320 Hillen Road
Baltimore, Maryland 21204

OHIO

Board of Interdenominational Training in Ohio
412 Sycamore St.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Community Service, Inc.
Box 243
Yellow Springs, Ohio 45387
Founded by Arthur Morgan to study small communities as an alternative to city culture, CSI has pioneered in developing patterns of intentional community. Under Griscom Morgan’s direction, CSI is an information center and counselor to small communities. Membership is $10 per year, which includes newsletter, and quarterly, Community Comments. Write for membership information and literature list.

New Schools Exchange Newsletter
St. Paris, Ohio
Bill Harwood, Editor, $10 a year.
Formerly located in Santa Barbara, NSE has moved to an Ohio farm, where it will continue to work with the Center for Experimental Education, Antioch College.

The Ohio State University Libraries
Office of Educational Services
Columbus, Ohio
Published Alternatives in Print, a national directory of alternatives organizations and publishers, arranged geographically and by subject. Compiled by the American Library Association, Social Responsibilities Round Table Task Force on Alternative Books in Print.

Task Force on Mobilizing Community Program Resources for Intergroup Resources
Akron Public Library
55 S. Main St.
Akron, Ohio 44302
Contact: Laurel Fisher
Values and Teaching: Working with Values in the Classroom, by Louis E. Raths, Merrill Harmin and Sidney B. Simon. $3.95. (A theory of values and a classroom methodology.)

New Priorities in the Curriculum, by Louise Bereman, $6. (Framework for developing process-curriculum.)

Pfauvin/Standard
38 West Fifth St.
Dayton, Ohio 45402

Published the Search for Values program, developed by The Center for Learning, Inc., Villa Maria, Pa.


The Mother Earth News
Box 38
Madison, Ohio 44057

Bi-monthly magazine with a wide variety of "how-to" articles relating to alternative vocations.

Pennsylvania

Pennsylvania

Group Life Institute
Central Pennsylvania Synod
Lutheran Church of America
Room 208
900 South Arlington Ave.
Harrisburg, Pa. 17109

Media & Methods
134 N. 13th St.
Philadelphia, Pa. 19107

Research for Better Schools, Inc.
1700 Market St., Suite 1700
Philadelphia, Pa. 19103

One of the regional educational laboratories with the primary objective "to create and demonstrate a rich array of tested alternatives to existing educational practices."

Publications include An Annotated Bibliography on Administering for Change, by Louis M. Maguire, Sanford Temkin, and C. Peter Cummings. Brochure available.

Team: Training Ecumenically to Advance Mission
1211 Chestnut St.
Room 906
Philadelphia, Pa. 19107

Affective Education Development Project
Room 325
Philadelphia Board of Education
21st and Parkway
Philadelphia, Pa. 19103

Norman Newberg and Terry Borton, Directors. Has been developing curriculum and providing in-service training for "process education"; the theory and application of this curriculum are explored in Borton's Reach, Touch and Teach. (McGraw-Hill.)

The Architects Workshop
Philadelphia Chapter

American Institute of Architects
2012 Walnut St.

A Primer for Community Design Centers, published as part of a demonstration Federal grant project to study CDC's, emphasizes that the professional planners should be on tap, and not on top, that they should work with the community to achieve goals the community wants to achieve, rather than operating in a vacuum.

Committee for the Future
130 Spruce St., Suite 17B
Philadelphia, Pa. 19106

A non-profit organization "dedicated to bringing the options for a positive future into the public arena for decision and action." Uses the SYNCON process for synergistic convergence. Jerry Glenn, SYNCON Coordinator.

Group for Environmental Education, Inc. (GEEI)
1214 Arch St.
Philadelphia, Pa. 19107


Nonprofit corporation engaged in innovative curriculum, materials, and program development in learning areas related to man's interaction with his physical surroundings.


Our Man-Made Environment: Book Seven, $4.95
Yellow Pages of Learning Resources, $1.95
The Nature of Recreation, $4.95
Man-Made Philadelphia, $3.95
The Process of Choice, $10.00

Virginia

Communities, Inc.
P. O. Drawer 426
Louisa, Virginia 23093

Community Publication Cooperative formed by several collectives involved in publishing hopes to provide a service to as wide a readership as possible, in both urban and rural communities, with the objective to "provide many alternatives to many people."

Midwest Office: Communitas, Box 223
Yellow Springs, Ohio 45387

Western Office: Commune North, The Alternatives Foundation
P. O. Drawer A.
San Fransisco, Calif. 94131

In addition to back issues of several merged publications, the Co-op publishes Community Market Catalog, $1. Subscription to Communities magazine is $6 a year (seven issues).

Hollins College
Dept. of Psychology
Hollins College, Va.

Contact: Paul Woods, Editor of Source Book on the Teaching of Psychology, to be published summer, 1973 by Scholars Press, Ltd., P. O. Box 7281. Includes 40 course outlines and teaching bibliographies in 14 traditional areas of psychology, plus materials on behavior modification, community mental health, and other recently developing fields.
Loose-leaf format to permit annual supplements and revisions. Based on Course Outlines Project of the APA's Division on the Teaching of Psychology.

Journal of Applied Behavioral Science
1815 North Fort Myer Drive
Arlington, Va. 22209
Published by the NTL Institute for Applied Behavioral Science

NTL Institute for Applied Behavioral Science
1815 North Fort Myer Drive
Arlington, Virginia 22209

NTL Institute was organized in 1947 to apply what behavioral scientists have learned in the last half century about man, organizations, and social systems to the problems of individuals, families, schools, businesses, service organizations, churches, industry and Government. It does so by educating men and women to recognize and develop their potentials in response to the dazzling array of alternatives in life styles, careers, and patterns of interaction available to them. An NTL founding principle is to serve as a focal agency in developing the laboratory method of learning group dynamics. It is now organized into five centers: Development of Individual Potential, Professional Development, System Development, Black Affairs, and Macro System Change, all located in the Washington, D.C. area.

NTL Learning Resources Corporation
2817-N Dorr Ave.
Fairfax, Va. 22030
Produces books, exercises and other materials in the applied behavioral sciences. List available.

Community Leader Training Associates, Inc.
511 Monte Vista Drive, S.W.
Blacksburg, Va. 24060
Donald R. Fessler, Director
Logical Problem-Solving and Group Effectiveness training for community leaders.

Simulation Sharing Service
E x 1176
Richmond, Va. 23209
George McFarland, Editor. Newsletter, $5 a year.
(of value to those interested in simulation games in religious education.)

WISCONSIN

Alternative Sources of Energy
Route #1, Box 36B
Minong, Wisconsin 54859
Contact: Don Marier
Newsletter, Alternative Sources of Energy, bi-monthly, $2 year. Issue No. 9, Feb. 1973 is a bibliography.

Dane County Drug Abuse Training Center
31 Henry St.
Madison, Wisconsin 53703
Dave Joranson, Program Director
Educators Progress Service, Inc.
Randolph, Wisconsin 53956
Educator's Guide to Free Films, an 800 page list-

ing, updated annually, of free loan films from various sources. Similar volumes cover curriculum materials, tapes, transcriptions, and filmstrips, $11.75.

National Center for Innovative Higher Education
University of Wisconsin
Green Bay, Wisconsin
Information-sharing among experimental colleges and programs across country.

The Student Association for the Study of Hallucinogens, Inc. (STASH)
638 Pleasant St.
Beloit, Wisconsin 53511
Founded and solely controlled by students, STASH disseminates unbiased and valid information about psychoactive drugs. Supporting membership is $5 and entitles member to newsletter STASH CAPSULES, bi-monthly. Sustaining membership is $125. Includes subscription to Grassroots information service, in binder format, updated by monthly supplements, a Directory of Drug Information and Treatment Organizations, STASH Capsules, a monthly Drug Education Report, and subscription to the Journal of Psychedelic Drugs. Membership includes access to the resources of the STASH library through computerized, custom bibliographic searches and hard copy documentation service.

Wisconsin Coalition for Educational Reform
216 N. Hamilton St.
Madison, Wisconsin 53703
In cooperation with Freedom Through Equality, Inc., Milwaukee, and the Wisconsin Student Union, prepared and distributed:
Wisconsin Student Rights Handbook
A Handbook for Parents
A Student Book—how-to's on high school organizing.

Institute for Research on Poverty
The University of Wisconsin
Social Science Building
1180 Observatory Drive
Madison, Wisconsin 53706
The Federal Government's main organization doing basic research on the problem of poverty, its nature and its possible causes and cures. Through a multi-disciplinary approach analysis is carried beyond formulation and testing of fundamental generalizations to development of relevant policy alternatives.


CONNECTICUT

Croft Educational Services
100 Garfield Ave.
New London, Conn. 06320
Educational journals for school administrators and teachers, and professional books for educators. Federal Aid Service, newsletter.

Education Ventures, Inc.
209 Court St.
Middletown, Conn.
Materials and games to teach achievement motivation.
The Greater Hartford Process, Inc.
100 Constitution Plaza
Hartford, Conn. 06103
Olcott D. Smith, Chairman, Board of Directors
Marion E. Morra, Director of Communications
The New England Training Institute
125 Sherman St.
Hartford, Conn. 06105
Number Nine: A Crisis-Growth Center for Young People
266 State St.
New Haven, Conn. 06511
Ted Clark and Dennis and Yvonne Jaffe.
The Teachers' Center at Greenwich
1177 King Street
Greenwich, Conn. 06650
Director: Celia Houghton
Director of Advisory Service: Jenny Andrea
Publication: "The Center," and Open Education Workshops.
ALABAMA
The Association for Creative Change
107 South 20th St.
Birmingham, Ala. 35233
Formerly the Association of Religion and Applied Behavioral Science
Contact: The Rev. William A. Yon
The Southern Poverty Law Center
Washington Building
Montgomery, Ala. 36101
Julian Bond, President
ARIZONA
Educational Innovators Press, Inc.
5315 E. Broadway
Tucson, Arizona 85711
Developing and Writing Behavioral Objectives, A Handbook Designed to Increase the Communication of Laymen and Educators, $1.95.
Booklets for developing evaluative skills, $1 each: A Scheme and Structure for Evaluation; Evaluation Design; Coding and Writing Test Items; A Case Study; Proposal Guidelines; Performance Objectives; Needs Assessment.
Futures Conditional
Box 1531
Wickenburg, Arizona 85358
A Participation Trendletter to Create a More Humanistic Future, Co-founder and Editor: Robert Theobald; Associate Editor, J. M. Scott; Participation Editor: Beverly Bodiroga. Subscription $24 a year.
Futures Conditional hopes to facilitate and stimulate two kinds of active participation among its readers by (a) linking individuals and groups who are already involved in creating ideas, projects or programs and (b) collecting or creating new syn-
getic interaction materials. The May, 1973 issue contains a chart outlining specifics of how to participate.
Tempe Elementary School District
No. 3
ESEA Title III, "Preventing Drug Abuse"
P. O. Box 27708
Tempe, Arizona 85282
Dr. Ethel C. Anderson, ESEA Title III Project Coordinator
This school district studied in detail the extent of drug abuse in its elementary and junior high schools, and found that the decision to try drugs generally occurs between 9 and 12 years of age. The Tempe programs, like the Coronado program which influenced its development, combines a cognitive approach with the affective domain, centering around the concepts of values and decision-making in situations involving choices between more-or-less risky behaviors. Information and materials are available about the program.
COLORADO
Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies
P. O. Box 219
Aspen, Colorado 81611
R. O. Anderson, Chairman
J. E. Slater, President
Publications: Aspen Institute Quarterly (free) 1973 Program and Brochure available upon request. Occasional papers on: the Aspen Executive Program; the Communications and Society Program; Environment and the Quality of Life; Science, Technology and Humanism. One of the summer programs in 1973 is "Education, Work, and the Quality of Life," under director of Dr. James O'Toole.
Education Commission of the States
822 Lincoln Tower Building
1860 Lincoln St.
Denver, Colorado 80203
Magazine, Compact annual subscription $6. (six issues.) [The June, 1970 issue included a State-by-State summary of drug abuse programs in an issue devoted to "Drugs in the Schools."]
Educational Change, Inc.
Box 2450
Boulder, Colorado 80302
Publishes Change Magazine, monthly, $6 student subscription; $12 professionals, and $15 other.
George W. Bonham, Editor-in-Chief.
ERIC Clearinghouse for Social Studies/Social Science Education
855 Broadway
Boulder, Colorado 80302
Newsletters: Keeping Up, and Looking At free upon request.
The Social Science Education Consortium (SSEC)
855 Broadway
Boulder, Colorado 80302
The primary mission of SSEC is the delivery of
innovation in social science education to the classroom and to bring together social scientists and teachers to improve teaching and curriculum development for the elementary and secondary schools.

Annual subscription to SSEC Social Studies Curriculum Materials Data Bank, $12. Binder format, two supplements a year.

Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education
P. O. Drawer "P"
Boulder, Colorado 80302

Robert H. Kroepsch, Executive Director
A public agency through which the 13 western States cooperate to increase educational opportunities for westerners, to expand the supply of specialized manpower in the West, to help universities and colleges improve both programs and management, and to provide public information about the needs of higher education.

Systems Approach to Program Evaluation in Mental Health, a collection of papers developed by the Program Evaluation Task Force of the Western Conference on the Uses of Mental Health Data. Project sponsored by WICHE and NIMH.

FLORIDA
The Center of Man
P. O. Box 14126
University Station
Gainesville, Fla. 32601

Contact: Barbara Downing
Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services
Florida Abuse Program
Tallahassee, Florida
Leisure Studies Program
University of South Florida
Tampa, Fla. 33620
A clearinghouse for information on leisure studies.

GEORGIA
National Organization of Human Services (NOHS)
2800 Camp Creek Parkway (Q4)
Atlanta, Ga. 30337

Membership requirements: employment in positions working to solve psychological, sociological and behavioral problems of individuals and groups—may be student, or graduate of an approved educational program preparing human service workers.

West Georgia College
Psychology Dept.
Carrolton, Ga. 30117

Mike Arons, Chairman
"Southern outpost of humanism. Our primary emphasis is on the student's personal development... the less predictable he is, the more successful we are."

INDIANA
Educational Alternatives Project
Indiana University
Bloomington, Indiana
Contact: Nick Pappadakis

New Life Environmental Design Institute
Box 648
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49005
Contact: Richard Tilmann, Sharon Tilmann, or Chuck Biddleman
Assists individuals and organizations, public and private, official and counter-culture in designing projects directed toward effective social change and alternative life styles. Newsletter, Alternatives Network Bulletin Board, and a distribution service, at cost, for information about worthwhile community groups. NLEDI evolved a campaign, "Operation Involvement," sponsored by the Community Relations Department of the City of Kalamazoo.

North American Student Cooperative Organization (NASCO)
2546 Student Activities Bldg.
Ann Arbor, Mich. 48104
Community Market Catalog, $1, order from Box 426.
Louisiana, Virginia
Newsletter, resource bank of manuals, films and bibliographic material on all aspects of cooperative development and operation. Conferences on co-op education and management training.

Outside the Net
P. O. Box 184
Lansing, Mich. 48901
Subscription, $2 a year. Alternative education emphasis.

MINNESOTA
National Hotline and Switchboard Exchange, Inc.
The Exchange
311 Cedar Ave. South
Minneapolis, Minn. 55404

Winston Press, Inc.
25 Groveland Terrace
Minneapolis, Minn. 55403
Published Clarifying Values through Subject Matter: Applications for the Classroom, by Merrill Harmin, Howard Kirschenbaum, and Sidney B. Simon.

Education Exploration Center
3104 16th Ave. South
Minneapolis, Minn. 55407
Established the Minnesota Consortium for Multi-Alternative Education to organize and share resources. Newsletter.

Ecology Placement Service
1711 Lincoln Ave.
St. Paul, Minn. 55105
Monthly Bulletin of opportunities for work in such fields as air pollution control, agricultural research, education research, marine sciences and engineering.

MONTANA
Gallatin Council on Health and Drugs
Box 1375
Bozeman, Montana 59715
Contact: Marie E. Harland, Associate Director
Crisis center, workshops, in-service training for teachers. Youth Employment Service, Volunteer Aide program for teenagers, self-awareness camp for 5th and 6th graders, Arts and Crafts program, and rap sessions.

NEW JERSEY
“Get Your Head Together”
12 High Street
Glen Ridge, N. J.
Contact: Bryan or Judy Orr
A youth development facility offering free medical, legal, and psychiatric services.

National Youth Development Center
411 Hackensack Ave.
Hackensack, N. J. 07601
Contact Fred Ward for information at above address.

NORTH CAROLINA
Smith Richardson Foundation
Center for Creative Leadership
5000 Laurinda Dr.
P. O. Box P-1
Greensboro, N. C. 27402
John Red, Jr., President. The Center has launched a program of continuing fellowships and special short-term internships. Fellows get salary and support for a year. Fellowships are for scholars; the internships are aimed at persons from business and industry. Idea is to get a mix of individuals involved in management development, leadership training and assessment.

Technical Assistance Development System
The University of North Carolina
A Div. of the Frank Porter Graham Child Development Center
625 W. Cameron Ave.
Chapel Hill, N. C. 27514
Replication Guidelines. (The outcome of a conference to discuss First Chance Projects.) Tadscript #1, 1972.

Program Planning and Evaluation, by Jarres Gallagher, Richard Surles, and Andrew Hayes.
OREGON
Office of Federal Relations
Extension Hall Annex
University Campus
Corvallis, Oregon
*Developing Skills in Proposal Writing*, by Mary Hall, $10.

TENNESSEE
Committee of Southern Churchmen, Inc.
P. O. Box 12044
Nashville, Tenn. 37212
*Kutullagete (Be Reconciled)*, Journal of the Committee of Southern Churchmen. James Y. Holloway, Editor; Will D. Campbell, Director. Quarterly, $2 to $5 contribution includes Journal.

TEXAS
Consultant/Trainers Southwest
3709 Locke Lane
Houston, Texas 77027
Contact: Ms. Mary Beth Peters
Graduate Program in Mental Health Information
University of Texas at Austin
Austin, Texas 78712
Contact: Barry M. Cohen
Graduate students in mental health information at the University of Texas at Austin are producing the first rational publication for people involved in communication efforts in the field of mental health and mental retardation. The magazine will cover all facets of communication, ranging from advice on low-budget operation to information on innovative programs. Ideas, advice, inquiries, or contributions for publication should be sent to the above address.

UTAH
Olympus Publishing Co.
937 East Ninth St.
Salt Lake City, Utah, 84105
Career education, pre-school home-based learning environment.

VERMONT
Stephen Greene Press
Box 1000
Brattleboro, Vt.
Published *The Home Health Handbook: A Preliminary Guide to Self-Help and Rural Medicine*, edited by Stu Copans and David Osgood. Third edition, $3.95. (The other two were mimeographed and given away.)
From Preface: "We know the Handbook will never be finished, complete, or sufficient, and we need people's criticisms and comments to help it keep evolving. Please write to us c/o the Stephen Greene Press and tell us what you like, what you disagree with, what seems wrong to you from our experience, and what you think we should include in the next printing."

WASHINGTON
Northwest Passage
1000 Harris St.
Bellingham, Wash. 98225

Fortnightly journal of ecology, politics, the arts, and good healthy living, $6 a year, $125 for a lifetime.

Provincial Leadership Training Committee
1551 10th Ave., East
Seattle, Wash., 98109
Contact: Mrs. Lynn Young
The Re-Evaluation Counseling Communities
International Reference Committee
719 Second Ave. North
Seattle, Wash. 98109
Harvey Jackins, Director
*Present Time*, newsletter for The Re-Evaluation Counseling Communities, published by Rational Island Publishers, P. O. Box 2081, Seattle, 98111. "If a distress pattern attacks you (and nothing else ever does), help is always close at hand. This help is the human being inside the distress pattern, the pattern's first victim and your natural ally against it. Reached in the ways you know or can learn, the human being will emerge to your support and the two of you will celebrate a cooperative human triumph over distress and unreason."—Harvey Jackins.

Jail and Prison Rehabilitation Project
P. O. Box 5313, K. St. Sta.
Tacoma, Wash. 98405
Newsletter: *Prison Reform Views*, George Zantua, Editor-in-Chief; Ron Hanna, Director. Membership $5 a year; $3 students, $10 patron.

CANADA
Abraxas
812 10th Ave. S. W.
Calgary, Alberta
Canada T2R OT2
Susan Lewis, Project Director
A drug project designed to investigate an alternative lifeskills approach to drug abuse prevention.

Challenge for Change/Société Nouvelle
National Film Board
P. O. Box 6100
Montreal 101, Quebec
Canada
An experimental program established by the Canadian Government as a participation between the National Film Board and certain Federal Government departments. It was designed to improve communications, create greater understanding, promote new ideas and provoke social change. Newsletter, *Access*. Editor, Elizabeth Prinn; Associate Editor, Dorothy Todd Henaut.

Human Behavior Research Group, Ltd.
108 Hazelton Ave.
Toronto
Ontario, Canada M5R 2E5
Program "Opportunities for Youth," in its third year, with expanded budget, has been judged successful on several counts, mainly pragmatic. Its significance as an experiment in "culture design for an unknown culture," has not been broadly perceived, according to W. R. Clement, Director, Policy Research Unit.
Perhaps one Third Century resolution we Americans might consider making is foregoing our tendency to turn all pursuits, and words, into fads. Unless we are careful, "alternatives" might become the Fad Word of 1973 before the real meaning of the experience has permeated the social body. Fortunately we have such creative spirits as Kurt Vonnegut among us to afford new words for social relationships and the human quandary.

In Cat's Cradle, he introduced us to a number of terms that come as near as any to capturing the mood of the modern searcher for sense in a seemingly mad world. The following insights are from "The Books of Bokonon," with exegesis by Vonnegut.

"If you find your life tangled up with somebody else's life for no logical reasons," writes Bokonon, "that person may be a member of your karass. Man created the checkerboard; God created the karass." By that he means that a karass ignores national, institutional, occupational, familial, and class boundaries.

A duprass is a karass built for two.

A granfalloon is a false karass, of a seeming team that is meaningless in terms of the way God gets things done.

A wampeter is the pivot of a karass. No karass is without a wampeter. Anything can be a wampeter: a tree, a rock, an animal, an idea, a book, melody, the Holy Grail. . . . At any given time a karass has two wampeters—one waxing in importance, one waning.

A wrang-wrang, according to Bokonon, is a person who steers people away from a line of speculation by reducing that line, with the example of the wrang-wrang's own life, to an absurdity.

Bokonon also says: "Pay no attention to Caesar. Caesar doesn't have the slightest idea what's really going on."

Although volumes issued under the aegis of Federal Agency do not ordinarily afford the intimacy of an author's preface and epilogue, this represents an effort to change a few entrenched habits.

So, in addition to the formal credits and acknowledgements that follow, I would also like to give credit where credit is also due: to my duprass and karass which include Lura S. Jackson who has been the wampeter of the Alternative Pursuits karass; individual members of Alternatives teams who have kept in touch and continue to share their enthusiasms and doldrums. A few new members entered this karass and kept faith with the project, protecting me from the granfallos and wrang-wrangs: Rowan Wakefield of the Aspen Institute; Tom Pike, Calvary Episcopal Church, New York City; Donald Barnes and Virginia Newton, Institute for Educational Development; Floyd Flom, Economic Development Council of New York City; Sharon Hewlett, Manpower Career Development Agency; Jane Garmey, John Jay College of Criminal Justice; Tom Reade, Brooklyn Community College; Josh Reynolds, Stress Transformation Center, New York City. An assortment of teachers, volunteers and students in several New York City High Schools, have shared their excitement and frustrations in the process of introducing new programs in their schools. I was also fortunate to have a
critical Australian with an eye for detail and clarity who helped immeasurably with the chores, Tina Smith, on leave from a family mental health clinic in Sydney, as wife of a Commonwealth Fellow.

—Louisa Messolonghites
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