
The history of efforts to establish standards for junior college libraries is outlined. The 1960 "Standards for Junior College Libraries" and the 1972 "Guidelines for Two Year College Learning Resources Programs" are broken down into 8 main subject areas and compared item by item. The 1972 guidelines are shown to be more general, avoiding quantitative measures. Changes are noted in the stated goals of the library and in the head librarian's control of the budget. (PF)
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INTRODUCTION

In 1960, there were 453,617 students enrolled in community colleges for degree credit, whereas, there were 1,484,000 in degree programs in 1970 (Genung, 4:40). This increase in enrollment was one of the main reasons for the dramatic change in community colleges. As an integral part of two-year colleges, the library shifted during this period too. This paper traces the evolution of the community college library by examining and comparing the 1960 "Standards for Junior College Libraries" (1) and the 1972 "Guidelines for Two-Year College Learning Resources Programs" (5).

HISTORY AND COMPARISON

Efforts to establish standards for junior college libraries originated in 1930 (Tanis, 18:93). However, it took thirty years before the first definite document appeared ("The Preparation ..., 15:199). It was prepared by the Committee on Standards of the Association of College and Research Libraries and was approved by the American Library Association in January 1960. In contrast, the 1972 Guidelines were prepared by an ad hoc subcommittee of the Association of College and Research Libraries Committee on Standards with representation from the American Association of Junior Colleges. The final document was not approved by the board of ACRL until AAJC and the Association for Educational Communications and Technology approved it too (Genung, 4:54).
The methods of development and approval affected the content of the two documents. The effect is shown in their titles (i.e. "Standards for Junior College Libraries" (1) and "Guidelines for Two-Year College Learning Resources Programs" (5)). First, the word 'standard' as a criterion or absolute was replaced by the word 'guidelines' as a suggestion or description. Jordan (12) was one of the first of many persons who suggested the use of 'guidelines'. Second, the word 'library' was not included in the 1972 Guidelines. Instead, a new term 'learning resources' was used to designate the expanded function of the library.

The purpose of the 1960 Standards was to "provide a guide for the evaluation of libraries in American two-year colleges." (ALA ACRL Committee on Standards. 1:260) Many administrators, librarians, and other educators interpreted them as minimum standards which caused a good deal of criticism (Wallace, 20:224; Tanis, 17:230-231). On the other hand, the primary purpose of the Guidelines was to "give direction to the two-year colleges desiring to develop comprehensive Learning Resources Programs." ("Guidelines ...; 5:306).

The divisions of the two documents were fairly similar. Both documents addressed themselves to budgets, facilities, staff, organization, objectives, functions, collections, and services. The following column arrangement was chosen to increase the proximity of comparable important thoughts from each document.
### 1960 Standards

#### I. Functions of the Library or Learning Resource Program

1. provide resources needed to meet the curriculum
2. bring strong intellectual stimulation to faculty and students
3. bring American heritage to students
4. instill an enthusiasm for great books
5. provide vocational and occupational materials for students and faculty

#### II. Organization and Administration

1. head librarian reports to president
2. head librarian is notified by budget officer when a change is made
3. head librarian has department head status

#### III. Budget

1. 5% of total educational and general budget
2. allocated by head librarian

#### IV. Staff

1. minimum of 2 professional librarians for any college below 500 FTE students
2. professional librarians have faculty status, including rank and titles
3. students can be used for a variety of tasks

### 1972 Guidelines

#### I. Functions of the Library or Learning Resource Program

1. provide resources needed to meet instructional, institutional, and individual needs of students and faculty
2. provide leadership and assistance in developing instructional systems
3. encourage innovation, learning, and community service
4. cooperate with other libraries

#### II. Organization and Administration

1. head librarian reports to head of instructional program
2. head librarian prepares budget and has main control over it
3. head librarian has same status as others with institution-wide responsibilities
4. staff participates in management

#### III. Budget

1. amount determined according to programs
2. allocated by head librarian

#### IV. Staff

1. adequate professional and supportive staff for the student body
2. professional librarians have status, benefits, and obligations
3. students can be used for supportive work
V. Building

1. provide an agreeable work and study environment
2. require a minimum of staff supervision
3. provide seating facilities for 25% of the total enrollment

VI. Collection

1. provide a carefully selected collection of at least 20,000 volumes for any college below 1000 FTE
2. provide a well balanced periodical and newspaper list
3. maintain a strong reference collection
4. maintain a collection of high quality audio-visual materials

VII. Service

1. maintain statistical records to evaluate quality of service
2. work with students and faculty to improve the services

VIII. Interagency Cooperation

1. provide study and work areas in agreement with institutional and instructional objectives
2. provide for a wide variety of learning situations
3. provide space for full usage of special equipment

1. provide materials (i.e. written, recorded, and other materials) on the basis of institutional and instructional objectives
2. maintain materials that reflect the student body
3. maintain collections of pamphlets, government documents, newspapers, and reference material

1. provide services that users have a right to expect such as meeting demonstrated instructional needs and providing advice on instructional development
2. satisfy requests for use of materials and equipment

1. establish cooperative arrangements for sharing of resources
2. enter into cooperative projects available

The 1960 Standards was not a thoughtless document. The American Library Association, by publishing the unilateral Standards, was admonished by AACJA and AECT but, at least, these
Standards brought more attention to the learning centers. A few years after the Standards were published, one of the originators admitted that the Standards were "designed to fight this spirit of neglect or outright hostility." (Hirsch, 7:193) While the library was being debated, Tanis (16,18), Hirsch (8, 9), and Wheeler (21) were defending the Standards and demonstrating how they could be used constructively.

The 1972 Guidelines have not received as much post-publication publicity. In fact, only two articles (Gunseiman, 6; Bock, 3) have appeared in education and library periodicals that comment about and use the Guidelines. In the opinion of the writer, there are three reasons for the apparent apathy. First, the tone of the Guidelines is insipid and appears as the watered-down version of the efforts of three influence-seeking organizations. Second, any use of the Guidelines is dependent on the existence of clear instructional and institutional objectives. Third, community college libraries are not in as dire straits as in 1960. Part of the credit for the better situation must go to the 1960 Standards.

**SUMMARY**

The 1972 Guidelines did not emphasize quantitative measures as the 1960 Standards did. The Guidelines did not describe the Learning Resources Center as a place where patrons go to be inspired or cultured but where patrons were connected to a variety of learning systems. The point of view of the Guidelines was from the community colleges' attempt to assist its users.
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