This paper presents a review of research in which correlations between short term visual memory and reading achievement have been found. Included is a table summarizing the major research which made use of commercially available tests of short term visual memory suitable for use with children 8-years of age and older. The researcher, tests used, subject characteristics, and results are given for each experiment. Research relating to the development of a motor-free, multiple-choice visual memory scale, which eliminates the developmental biases of the commercial tests and is, therefore, suitable for 5-, and 6-year-olds, is described in greater detail. Several experiments are described which sought to remediate visual memory problems through visual mnemonic devices and visual memory training. It is concluded that a great deal more research in these areas is necessary. (ED)
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One area of memory function that has been of particular interest to psychology has been visual memory. One reason for the interest has been the attempt to correlate short-term visual memory with such behavioral characteristics as reading problems, learning disabilities and some aspects of central nervous system impairment. It has been hypothesized that visual memory may be an important factor in many areas of the learning process since for academic subjects, such as reading, the child must be able to recall information that has been visually presented.

Table 1 briefly reviews general work in the area of short-term visual memory for designs as related to reading achievement.

Table 1

Summary table of some research using commercially available tests of short-term visual memory for form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Researcher</th>
<th>Test Used</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walters (1961)</td>
<td>MFD</td>
<td>35, 7.5 to 8.5 year old children</td>
<td>Significant correlation between MFD and reading retardation but not IQ and MFD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyle (1968)</td>
<td>MFD</td>
<td>54 good and 54 poor readers of average IQ between ages 6.5 to 12.5</td>
<td>Found that the two groups differed significantly on MFD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Table I (Cont'd)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Researcher</th>
<th>Test Used</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benenson (1972)</td>
<td>MFD</td>
<td>192 first grad/children</td>
<td>Reported a relationship between MFD scores and early reading achievement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine and Fuller (1972)</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>14, 10 year old disabled readers</td>
<td>The Ss did more poorly than did the normative group but no difference was found for 9-, 11-, 12-, and 13-, year-olds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden and Steiner (1969)</td>
<td>ITPA – VSM</td>
<td>2 groups of 20 children matched on MA, CA, and IQ</td>
<td>No differences between good and poor readers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barron (1971)</td>
<td>ITPA – VSM</td>
<td></td>
<td>Both these studies suggested that poor readers were deficient in both auditory and visual memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merlin (1971)</td>
<td>ITPA – VSM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The implications of tests of short-term visual memory for school and clinical psychologists seem obvious. By being able to describe a child, with his various strengths and weaknesses, we may more fully be capable of understanding how that child functions in relation to other children and to his environment. It seems especially important to attempt to detect impairments in visual memory at as early an age as possible, perhaps at the pre-kindergarten level but certainly when he first enters school.

While they may be useful, most of the above-mentioned tests of visual memory have...
a significant weakness in that they are suitable only for children 8 yr. of age or older. The reason is that both scales depend upon the paper-and-pencil reproductions of rather complex stimuli which in turn depends upon the child's developmental level, a developmental level largely determined by maturation. As long as the paper-and-pencil output component exists, tests such as the Memory-for-Designs and Benton scale will be limited in their use. For these reasons a new test of immediate visual memory which largely eliminates the output difficulties previously discussed (visual motor integration and fine motor paper and pencil execution) was developed.

Carroll (1972) reported the development of a motor-free, multiple-choice visual memory scale which largely eliminates the previous mentioned difficulties. This test was designed to be used with 5 and 6-yr. old children; children who are developmentally unable to reproduce the rather complex designs found on existing reproduction tests of short-term visual memory. The test, composed of 35 stimulus cards and 35 multiple-choice retention places (four choices per card), was administered to 198 5- and 6-yr. old children (Group 1) attending kindergarten and first grade in a primarily white, middle-class suburban school district in central New Jersey. The Ss were shown a stimulus design for 5 sec.; the stimulus design was then removed, and S was shown a card with several designs on it (including the one he had just seen) and he was asked to indicate the correct design. This procedure was followed for all 35 cards and the number of errors was recorded. The test, like the prior ones, can be considered a test of memory for visual form.

A significant difference in error scores for 5- and 6-yr.-olds in Group 1 was noted, with the 6-yr.-olds making fewer errors than the 5 yr.-olds. The WRAT reading test was administered to a random subsample of 60 first graders pupils in Group 1 during the sixth month
of school. Pearson product-moment correlation between test errors and the WRAT was 
-.25 (df =59, p < .05). The correlation between the VMS and the Harper-Row Reading Readiness

test was -.39 (df = 90, p < .05) for the kindergarten Ss. Later ;in the same school year, an
alphabet recognition test was given to the kindergarten Ss and the correlation was -.40. There
was no significant relationship with chronological age, intelligence, and sex and the visual
memory scores for either group.

The recognition memory test was also administered to groups of 13 non-neurologically
impaired retardates (Group 2) and 19 neurologically impaired retardates (Group 3). There
was a significant difference in the performance of the two groups, Group 3 having significantly
more errors (M=12.1) than Group 2 (M=6.9)

Several more recent studies using a Revised (25 item) VMS investigated the following:

1) word recognition in kindergarten, first, and second grade children

2) reading comprehension in second grade children

3) a comparison of visual and auditory short-term memory in five-six and seven
year olds.

The subjects were kindergarten, first, and second grade pupils (N=20 for each group)
randomly selected by grade level from a population of 60 pupils at each of the 3 grade levels.
A revised version of the VMS, with the non-discriminating items removed was used. The
reading subtests of the PIAT were used as was Information and Digit Span on the WISC. The
following tables summarize the various findings.
Table 2

Correlations between VMS errors and Reading Recognition raw scores on the PIAT by grade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.63*</td>
<td>&lt; .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>&lt; .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.65**</td>
<td>&lt; .05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*with the effects of IQ, as measured by the WISC Information sub-test partialed out, r = -0.54, p < .05

**for these Ss the correlation between Comprehension and the VMS was -0.70.
Table 3  
Correlations between VMS errors and WISC Information subtest raw scores by grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>&lt; .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>&gt; .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>&gt; .05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4

Correlations between VMS errors and WISC Digit Span subtest raw scores by grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>&lt; .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>&gt; .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>&gt; .05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results suggest that the motor-free VMS can supply the School Psychologist with important data that bears a direct relationship to academic achievement.

Some researchers have theorized that early school experiences may have a profound effect on future learning. Bateman (1968), looking specifically at short-term memory, has noted that children having severe and persistent reading problems show impairment in auditory and visual memory as measured by the Illinois Test of Psycholinguistic Abilities (ITPA). She hypothesized that children having poor visual memory will experience difficulty in school if they are taught largely by a visual method and may begin to develop a set of negative expectations and become demoralized.

Getman and Kane (1964) have suggested methods of improving short-term memory for visual form which can be readily used in the regular classroom with children as young as five. Michael, King, and Moorehead (1969) have described a visual memory program utilizing visual mnemonic devices designed to enhance the development of visual memory for words. While the system apparently has been used in several educational settings, validation studies have not been reported. Haltom (1970) has proposed various informal tests that the classroom teacher can easily use to assess visual memory and remediate visual memory difficulties. Again, however, the suggestions appear to be "arm-chair" in nature, not supported by research data.

A recent study does provide data which suggest that visual memory problems may be remediated. Rusalem (1973) has reported that children in classrooms for the learning disabled may profit from instruction in visual memory training. Utilizing 32 experimental and
32 control Ss (with no difference in age, IQ or sex), she found that training in auditory and visual sequential memory, attention and positive achievement motivation led to significant improvement on the visual and auditory sequential memory subtest of the ITPA (F=36.36, df=1/63, p<.01 and F=52.47, df=1/63, p<.01 respectively) and the spelling subtest of the Peabody Individual Achievement Test (F=13.24, df=1/63, p<.01).

While there have been many references in the literature suggesting that visual memory impairments, if detected, could be remediated, it appears that most of the educational suggestions are tentative in that they have not been subjected to validation. Research on memory, the correlates of good and poor memory function and the remediation of memory deficit, is required.
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