

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 094 316

CS 001 167

AUTHOR Lindamood, Charles; And Others  
TITLE The LAC Test: A New Look at Auditory  
Conceptualization and Literacy Development K-12.  
PUB DATE May 72  
NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the  
International Reading Association (Detroit, May 9-13,  
1972)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE  
DESCRIPTORS \*Decoding (Reading); Intermediate Grades;  
Kindergarten; Literacy Education; \*Phoneme Grapheme  
Correspondence; Phonemes; Primary Grades; \*Reading;  
Secondary Grades; \*Spelling; Syllables  
IDENTIFIERS \*Auditory Conceptualization

## ABSTRACT

The Lindamood Auditory Conceptualization (LAC) Test was constructed with the recognition that the process of decoding involves an integration of the auditory, visual, and motor senses. Requiring the manipulation of colored blocks to indicate conceptualization of test patterns spoken by the examiner, subtest 1 entails coding of identity, number, and sequence of isolated sounds in patterns, while subtest 2 entails coding of phoneme addition, substitution, omission, repetition, and shift within a syllable pattern. Both the LAC Test and reading and spelling subtests of the Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT) were administered at mid-school year to a randomly selected group of 660 students--representing wide background variables--from kindergarten through grade 12. Results showed that stepwise linear regression based on LAC total test as the primary predictor, with subtest components allowed to make residual contribution, yielded correlations with the WRAT at each grade level of .66 to .81, with an average of .73. (Tables and references are included.) (JM)

ED 094316

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-  
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM  
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-  
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS  
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT  
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

THE LAC TEST:  
A NEW LOOK AT AUDITORY CONCEPTUALIZATION  
AND LITERACY DEVELOPMENT K - 12.

by

Charles and Patricia Lindamood  
California State Polytechnic College and  
San Luis Medical Clinic

and

Robert C. Calfee  
Stanford University

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY-  
RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Charles and  
Patricia Lindamood

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING  
UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL IN-  
STITUTE OF EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRO-  
DUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM RE-  
QUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT  
OWNER."

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY-  
RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Robert C. Calfee

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING  
UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL IN-  
STITUTE OF EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRO-  
DUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM RE-  
QUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT  
OWNER."

Research Paper  
International Reading Association Convention  
Detroit, Michigan  
May 9-13, 1972

001 167

THE LAC TEST:  
A NEW LOOK AT AUDITORY CONCEPTUALIZATION  
AND LITERACY DEVELOPMENT K - 12.

Charles and Patricia Lindamood  
California State Polytechnic College and  
San Luis Medical Clinic

and

Robert C. Calfee  
Stanford University

Numerous research studies on auditory discrimination and its relationship to reading performance have been reported over the last forty years. Research approaches have included measures of auditory memory, auditory blending, auditory-visual integration involving visual representation of rhythms or language patterns, auditory discrimination of initial and final consonants in word units, etc. Many research studies have used the Wepman (1960) or similar minimal pairs test activities which require judgment of sameness vs difference.

The contradictory and inconclusive findings of previous research (Russell and Fea, 1963, McNinch, 1971, Orton, 1937, Christine and Christine, 1964, Durrell and Murphy, 1953, Neville and Bucke, 1969, Vernon, 1960, and Wepman, 1960), may be due to the widely diverse and gross nature of the test tasks. Many are unrelated to the syllable units of the reading task, or tend to involve unit identity judgments without indication of where or how patterns compare and contrast.

The judgment of sameness or difference in respect to minimal pairs is an important gross judgment, but is not directly relevant

to the more discrete judgment needed for self-correction during reading (decoding). The process involved in decoding is inter-sensory in nature. Jastak (1965) calls this to attention in his description of reading and writing as a transcoding process and reading disability as an impairment of this process of transcoding. He defines transcoding as "the central processing of auditory input to allow unimpeded visual and motor output and vice versa."

Integration of the auditory, visual, and motor modalities is specifically involved in perceiving the degree of correspondence between a sequential unit of sound and a sequential unit of letters. For example, when an individual looks at a visual pattern and decodes it in error, as when better is called butter, there is called three, twelve is called twenty, or tried is called tired, self-correction can occur as the individual is able to hold and perceive the contrasts between the two patterns. Does the vocal or subvocal pattern correspond to the visual pattern in terms of specific identities and sequence?

The importance of identity and sequence can be seen in this respect; spoken language is normally the first medium of communication. After some years of experience with oral language, individuals are expected to learn a symbol system so they can relate to a written representation of the oral language. However, if an individual cannot discriminate one speech sound from another and perceive their occurrence and sequence in syllables and words, the written representation of these units has no tie to reality.

Reading and spelling contrasts such as it, pit, sit, and its then become a matter of memorized whole unit associations rather than an internalizing of the logical relationship between the phonemic identities and sequences of spoken patterns and the visual identities and sequences of written patterns.

### HYPOTHESIS

It was hypothesized that specific and detailed coding tasks requiring conceptualization of phonemic identities and sequences would reveal significant correlations between auditory conceptualization and reading/spelling performance at all grade levels, K-12.

### PROCEDURES

#### Subjects

At mid-school year a test population of 660 students was randomly selected from the Monterey Peninsula Unified District. (California). It was representative of the wide variation within the district in socio-economic, ethnic, and linguistic variables. Design factors were grade (K-12), sex (male or female), and academic achievement (upper half or lower half by teacher rating.) There were 60 students at each grade K-6, and 40 at each grade 7-12.

#### Materials and procedures

The Lindamood Auditory Conceptualization Test (Lindamood and Lindamood, 1971) and Reading and Spelling subtests of the Wide Range Achievement Test were individually administered. The LAC

Test requires manipulation of colored blocks to indicate conceptualization of test patterns spoken by the Examiner. Subtest I requires coding of identity, number, and sequence of isolated sounds in patterns. Subtest II requires coding of phoneme addition, substitution, omission, repetition, and shift within a syllable pattern.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Stepwise linear regression based on LAC total test as the primary predictor and subtest components allowed to make residual contribution yielded correlations with WRAT Reading and Spelling at each grade level of .66 to .81, with an average of .73, supporting the original hypothesis. (Table 1).

There were no significant sex differences. Virtually all students could conceptualize sequences of isolated sounds by grade 5. Although conceptualization of isolated sounds is apparently a prerequisite to syllable conceptualization, it is no predictor of function with syllables. Syllable sequences were more difficult for all groups, but large differences were found between academically upper half and lower half through grade 12.

The bulk of predictable reading/spelling variance was accounted for by the LAC Test total score, but significant residuals were added by the isolated sounds subtest through grade 3, and the syllable subtest from grade 2 on. The predictive validity of the

syllable subtest is particularly called to attention. This precise syllable coding task taps a perceptual function which reading programs assume to be generally present for individuals. Examination of the distribution of LAC Test scores calls this assumption into question. The data reveal a marked bimodal trend from grade 2 through 12. This indicates that for a significant number of students maturational factors and present educational practices do not facilitate this critical conceptualization of syllables. (Table 2).

#### EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

1. Kindergarten or first grade readiness testing has tended to show that knowledge of letter names, sounds, and symbols is correlated with success in first grade reading. (Stanchfield, 1971) This would seem to parallel one of the findings of this study - that conceptualization of isolated sounds contributes a significant residual to prediction of reading/spelling variance through grade 3. However, another finding of this study indicates that readiness testing emphasis on isolated letter names, sounds, and symbols may be misleading in terms of continued success with reading unless further testing is done in respect to syllable conceptualization. Conceptualization of isolated sounds is no predictor of syllable conceptualization, and from grades 2 through 12 the conceptualization of syllables is a significant variable in relation to reading/spelling performance. "The Electric Company's" definition of its target audience is: children in the second, third, and fourth grades who are beginning to experience reading difficulty.

(Underlining ours.) The existence of "The Electric Company's" target audience may be due to this previously unassessed factor of syllable conceptualization. It would appear that the concept of "readiness testing" and "readiness programs" should be extended to include this factor.

2. The presence of a certain degree of auditory conceptualization appears highly predictive of grade level or above performance in reading and spelling. Therefore, the importance of direct attention to this factor at all grade levels can not be minimized.

The data suggest that school districts would be well advised not only to plan for developmental work in auditory conceptualization on a preventive basis in kindergarten and the primary grades, but also to continue to test and teach for this function in succeeding grades until auditory perceptual competency for complex syllable unit contrasts can be demonstrated by each student.

In the authors' experience, auditory conceptualization can be developed regardless of how long dysfunction has been present.

(Lindamood & Lindamood, 1969)



TABLE 1.

Correlations between LAC Test scores  
and WRAT combined Reading and Spelling scores  
K-12 (N = 660)

|    |      |
|----|------|
| K  | +.75 |
| 1  | +.68 |
| 2  | +.75 |
| 3  | +.66 |
| 4  | +.68 |
| 5  | +.80 |
| 6  | +.66 |
| 7  | +.75 |
| 8  | +.81 |
| 9  | +.76 |
| 10 | +.78 |
| 11 | +.70 |
| 12 | +.69 |

TABLE 2

Number of students in each LAC Total Test score range having below vs at or above grade level performance in reading and spelling

| LAC<br>Total Test<br>Scores | Grade levels              |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                             | 1<br>at or<br>below above | 2<br>at or<br>below above | 3<br>at or<br>below above | 4<br>at or<br>below above | 5<br>at or<br>below above | 6<br>at or<br>below above |
| 91 - 100                    | ++++<br>++                | ++++<br>--                | ++++<br>--                | ++++<br>++                | ++++<br>++                | ++++<br>++                |
| 81 - 90                     | +<br>++++<br>+            | ++++<br>-                 | ++++<br>-                 | ++++<br>++                | ++++<br>+                 | ++++<br>+                 |
| 71 - 80                     | +++                       | +++<br>--                 | +++<br>++                 | ++<br>-                   | +++<br>--                 | +++<br>--                 |
| 61 - 70                     | +<br>--                   | ++<br>-                   | +++<br>--                 | +++<br>--                 | ++<br>--                  | +<br>--                   |
| 51 - 60                     | +<br>--                   | +++<br>--                 | +++<br>--                 | ++<br>--                  | ++<br>--                  | ++<br>--                  |
| 41 - 50                     | +++<br>+++<br>+           | +++<br>+++<br>+           | +++<br>+++<br>+           | +++<br>+++<br>+           | +++<br>+++<br>+           | +++<br>+++<br>+           |
| 31 - 40                     | +++<br>+++<br>+++         | +++<br>+++<br>+           | +++<br>+++<br>+           | +++<br>+++<br>+           | +++<br>+++<br>+           | +++<br>+++<br>+           |
| 21 - 30                     | +++<br>---                | +++<br>---                | +++<br>---                | +++<br>---                | +++<br>---                | +++<br>---                |
| 11 - 20                     | +++<br>---                | +++<br>---                | +++<br>---                | +++<br>---                | +++<br>---                | +++<br>---                |
| 0 - 10                      | ---                       | ---                       | ---                       | ---                       | ---                       | ---                       |

Specific figures for grades 7 - 12 (N = 240) have not been included, but percentages had stabilized from sixth grade on and correlations were essentially the same.

## References

- Christine, D., and Christine, C., "The relationship of auditory discrimination to articulatory defects and reading retardation," Elementary School Journal, 1964, 65, 97-100.
- Durrell, Donald D., and Murphy, Helen A., "The auditory discrimination factor in reading readiness and reading disability," Education, 1953, 73, 556-561.
- Jastak, J.F., Manual. Wide Range Achievement Test. Wilmington, Del., C.L. Story Co., 1936. Rev. 1965.
- Lindamood, Charles H., and Lindamood, Patricia C., The A.D.D. program/1, auditory discrimination in depth. Boston: Teaching Resources Corporation, 1969.
- Lindamood, Charles H., and Lindamood, Patricia C., Lindamood auditory conceptualization (LAC) test. Boston: Teaching Resources Corporation, 1971.
- McMinch, George, Auditory perceptual factors and measured first-grade reading achievement. Reading Research Quarterly, 1971, 6, 472-492.
- Neville, D., and Bucke, Barbara, The effect of meaning on the measurement of the ability to auditorially discriminate sounds contained in words. In J.A. Figurel (Ed.) Reading and realism. Proceedings of the International Reading Association, 1969, 13 (1), 650-54 (IV-7).
- Orton, S.T., M.D., Reading, writing, and speech problems in children. New York, N.Y., W. W. Norton & Company, Inc., 1937.
- Russell, David H., and Fea, Henry R., "Research on teaching reading," in F. L. Gage (ed.), Handbook of research on teaching. Chicago, Ill., Rand McNally, 1963.
- Stanchfield, Jo., The development of pre-reading skills in an experimental kindergarten program. Elementary School Journal, May 1971, 438-447.
- Vernon, H.D., Backwardness in reading. Cambridge, England, Cambridge University Press, 1960.
- Wells, J., "Auditory discrimination, speech, and reading," Elementary School Journal, 1960, 60, 325-333.