The purpose of the study was to provide an ecological-demographic analysis of a suburban elementary school attendance area by examining the sociocultural elements within the spatially delimited boundaries. The area, though beyond the limits of the incorporated city, was part of the urban school district which transcended the political boundaries of the city to include its non-incorporated suburban areas. The framework of the study was built upon the four fundamental elements of human ecology: population, technology, environment, and organization. Residents were surveyed to determine age, mobility, and career stability. Local business men, land developers, and county planning officers were interviewed; land use patterns within the area were analyzed. The study established that there was no definable neighborhood: the attendance area was an artificial construct used to delimit the elementary school population. The research methodology provided information from which school administrators and district policy makers could estimate growth patterns, financial support for educational programs within the area, and the effect that these factors could have upon school programs. (MB)
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Within educational boundaries, it would appear that American educators have few systematic methods with which to analyze environmental and population changes that have either direct or indirect influences upon public education. The objective of this study was to provide an ecological-demographic means of analysis for a suburban elementary school attendance area by systematically organizing socio-cultural elements within the spatially delimited context of that area. The study essentially employed the precepts of human ecology as a means of examining an environment. It was not primarily concerned with the school itself, but rather, through the functional framework of human ecology, worked toward formulating an heuristic methodological structure. The problem was to assume that the elementary school under study was an organism functioning within the prescribed environment of its attendance area. As discrete components of that environment, socio-cultural phenomena had to be isolated and analyzed in order to understand
their nature and levels of interaction as functional units with the organism of the school. The elementary attendance area in this study, although beyond the limits of the incorporated city, was part of the urban school district which transcended the political boundaries of the city to include its non-incorporated suburban areas.

The framework for the study was built upon the four fundamental elements of human ecology -- population, technology, environment and organization. Population demographically treated the inhabitants of a spatially delimited area while technology related the population aggregate to its sustenance-producing activities, i.e., occupation. Environment provided a longitudinal dimension, from past to present, for studying land uses and settlement patterns as they related to population and technology. This component was particularly necessary for determining sampling procedures related to the administration of an interview schedule because of the populations related to the different eras of settlement. Organization portrayed the interrelationships of population, technology and environment and was the critical factor in predicting ecological-demographic changes within the attendance area, specifically those relating socio-economic groups with residential areas.
A map was employed in the study to visually present and organize primary and secondary data within the area. Mapping provided a graphic means for systematically organizing and relating cultural data into comprehensive spatial patterns. When such patterns had been established, the interactions of cultural elements were more easily seen and understood.

Primary sources of data included a sustained field study which was conducted in order to identify land use patterns and sub-ecological units within the attendance area. Resulting from the initial phase of the field study, a ten percent stratified sampling of the residential sub-units was conducted. This involved a total of 127 household interviews. The interview schedule consisted of fifty-two questions--fixed alternative, open-ended and attitudinal scales. Secondary data sources consisted of school district records, city directories and information from county planning offices and county records. In the private sector, secondary data were gathered from land developers, contractors, realtors, retail store owners and/or operators and the owners and/or managers of residential rental properties. These secondary data sources were used to validate and cross-check the data gathered from the field study.
The results of the study indicated that, in form and function, this suburban area was becoming consolidated with the adjacent urban complex, although it was not politically incorporated into the city. Morphologically, the single-family dwelling, which was the overwhelming source of elementary and pre-school age children, had reached the limits of its growth. The area was becoming increasingly commercialized with the expansion of apartment complexes and commercial interests. Demographically, it was established that the majority of the sampled population migrated from non-local, rural-agrarian areas and were of lower middle class background. Within this dominant socio-economic group, there were two major age groups. The first and older group (41-55) tended to feel a permanent identification with the area and would probably change a career before changing spatial location. They had put their children through the public schools and no longer felt compelled to financially support the schools through annual school bond elections. The younger group (30 and under) appears to have taken the public schools for granted and they have had the shortest length of residency in the area coupled with the highest perceived spatial mobility -- being career-bound rather than
place-bound. They also had the greatest number of elementary
and pre-school age children and were the least likely to be
registered voters or to have provided support for the public
schools through voting or through involvement in school programs.

The study established that, in a traditional sense, there
was no definable neighborhood within the confines of this atten-
dance area — a neighborhood being defined as a functionally
integrative unit of a socio-cultural nature. In reality, this
attendance area was only an artificial construct used to delimit
an elementary school population. The study also indicated that
educating for local needs and desires was unrealistic in that
the population of the attendance area was nationally mobile
rather than locally stable.

This type of research methodology is responsive to the
following kinds of questions that may be asked by a school
principal or by district policy makers.

1. What is the direction of new growth and development
within the attendance area/school district and how
will it affect school programs?

2. What socio-economic groups reside within the atten-
dance area/school district? What is the spatial
mobility of these groups? What is the nature of
their support for the public schools?

3. Can school programs be made responsive to ecological
and demographic data?
4. What are possible pupil projections for the school/school district?

5. How can school principals/district policy makers elicit public support for the public schools and school programs?

This form of analysis (human ecology) is particularly sensitive to ecological-demographic structure and alterations and, coupled with field observations and an interview schedule, can provide a systematic means of analyzing current change and predicting future changes occurring within educational environments -- attendance areas and school districts. As such, human ecology may be viewed for potential use in educational planning.
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### Elementary School Attendance Area

#### Zone | Property Type | Dwelling Cost | Representative Occupation | Number & Percentage of Population
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
1 | Single family homes | $29,730 | Professional & Managerial | 6 (11.7%) |
2 | Single family homes | $11,990 | Craftsmen & Laborers | 5 (12.3%) |
3A | Single family homes | $15,560 | Salesmen & Craftsmen | 25 (49.0%) |
3B | Single family homes | $15,970 | Managerial & Salesmen | 5 (9.9%) |
4A | Single family homes | $16,140 | Salesman & Operators | 3 (5.1%) |
4B | Single family homes | $12,370 | Salesman | 3 (5.9%) |
4C | Single family homes | $11,210 | Foremen & Farmers | 6 (11.7%) |
5A | Small shopping center | | | |
5B | Large shopping center | | | |
5C | Large shopping center | | | |
6A | Undeveloped commercial property | | | |
6B | | | | |
6C | | | | |
7A | 48 units/rental ages. | $130-$140 per month | Salesmen | 3 (5.9%) |
7B | 78 units/rental ages. | $110-$130 per month | Foremen & Students | 3 (5.9%) |
7C | 44 units/rental ages. | $110-$130 per month | Foremen & Students | 3 (5.9%) |
8 | Single family homes | Potential commercial & apartments | | |
9 | City sewage processing plant | | | |

---

*Elementary school pupils from 10% sample of attendance area.*

---

**Diagram:**
- **Scale:** 0 - 400' 800'
- **Legend:**
  - Z: Single family homes
  - A: Single family homes
  - 4C: Single family homes
  - 4B: Single family homes
  - 4A: Single family homes
  - 5A: Small shopping center
  - 5B: Large shopping center
  - 5C: Large shopping center
  - 6A: Undeveloped commercial property
  - 6B: Small family homes
  - 6C: Single family homes
  - 7A: 48 units/rental ages.
  - 7B: 78 units/rental ages.
  - 7C: 44 units/rental ages.
  - 8: Single family homes
  - 9: City sewage processing plant

---

*Note: The map is a detailed outline of the elementary school attendance area with various zones and property types indicated.*
Figure 1. --Population Pyramid.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of Origin</th>
<th>Length of Residency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total ...</td>
<td>2 1 5 12 17 32 17 27 47 32 45 237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>1 1 2 2 2 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Oregon</td>
<td>1 3 2 9 6 9 12 10 10 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific</td>
<td>1 1 3 2 1 6 5 11 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. States</td>
<td>1 1 1 3 1 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>1 1 7 11 10 4 13 20 7 9 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>1 1 1 3 1 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>1 1 3 4 7 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Figure 3

**BIRTHPLACE BY REGION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of Birth</th>
<th>No. of Children</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>301</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Oregon</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain States</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Region</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 4**

**EDUCATIONAL STABILITY OF OFFSPRING BY AGE AND MOBILITY OF PARENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Level</th>
<th>Parental Age Categories</th>
<th>10 Yrs.</th>
<th>5 Yrs.</th>
<th>1 Yr.</th>
<th>0 Yrs.</th>
<th>10 Yrs.</th>
<th>5 Yrs.</th>
<th>1 Yr.</th>
<th>0 Yrs.</th>
<th>10 Yrs.</th>
<th>5 Yrs.</th>
<th>1 Yr.</th>
<th>0 Yrs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>Under 30</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 - 40</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41 - 55</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56 &amp; Over</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-S</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total       | Under 30                | 3.65    | 4.32   | 13.30 | 3.99  | 5.97    | 2.98   | 4.65  | 5.65  | 11.64   | 2.33   | 3.99  | .33   |