This paper presents an examination of the status, aspirations and some of the myths surrounding the college and university campus student press. Chapters one and two concern the mythmakers and the myth of independence. Chapter three covers assorted myths concerning saving money, selling subscriptions, incorporation, publishers, libel, censorship, journalism education, and antagonistic administrations. Chapter four reviews the approach to student publications by Tufts College, a set of guidelines for college student publications, and generalizations that give advice for any university in its student publications program. The fifth chapter lists 100 court cases concerning the student press. (MJM)
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Foreword

This is a progress report. It is still in process.

The author is research chairman and also chairman of an ad hoc committee on the legal status of the campus press for the National Council of College Advisers. The report brings together much of the work underway for more than two years. It was prepared primarily for the national convention in Chicago on November 1 to 3.

However, an additional matter of urgency was created by pleas from a dozen colleges where student publications and their advisers found themselves to be potential victims of fund cut-offs and other actions being considered for imposition by administrators beguiled by the AASCU booklet issued under the auspices of the American Association of Colleges and Universities.

The AASCU booklet is based on bad research and superficial understanding of the student publication world. But it has been widely circulated among administrators who are endangered by the prestige of the book, knowing how invalid it is essentially.

In response to the calls for help and in an effort to offset the AASCU study, NCCPA decided to rush copies of its report to as many colleges as possible as quickly as possible. This means using quick, cheap printing methods. Even so, this NCCPA study is a valid, comprehensive, carefully researched document that has great substance and timeliness. It has been used for many years by persons concerned with the maintenance and improvement of the campus press. Myths surrounding journalism education and the publisher have been dealt with.

NCCPA will spend well over $2,000 on the study and its report. If more money could have been found, the report could be printed in more permanent, attractive form. This means using better printing methods and a more permanent finish. A great deal of information is in this report that will be valuable for years to come.

Many persons have contributed input to the study and report. More examples should be detailed. The ad hoc committee has held six meetings to evaluate data and make recommendations. The report covers all types of institutions of higher education through graduate levels.

Foreword

This is a progress report.
It is still in process.

The author is research chairman and also chairman of an ad hoc committee on the legal status of the campus press for the National Council of College Publications Advisers. The report brings together much of the work that has been underway for more than two years. It was prepared primarily for use at the 1973 national convention in Chicago on November 1 to 3.

However, an additional matter of urgency was created by pleas for help from a dozen colleges where student publications and their advisers had suddenly found themselves to be potential victims of fund cut-offs and other restrictive actions being considered for imposition by administrators beguiled by a recent publication issued under the auspices of the American Association of State Colleges and Universities.

The AASCU booklet is based on bad research and superficial understanding of the student publication world. But it has been widely circulated among college administrators who are endangered by the prestige of the booklet instead of noticing how invalid it is essentially.

In response to the calls for help and in an effort to offset the effects of the AASCU study, NCCPA decided to rush copies of its report to as many persons as possible as quickly as possible. This means using quick, cheap printing.

Even so, this NCCPA study is a valid, comprehensive, carefully considered and reliable document that has great substance and timeliness which can be used for many years by persons concerned with the maintenance of a strong, vibrant campus press and its improvement.

NCCPA will spend well over $2,000 on the study and its report. This money came from dues paid the organization by faculty advisers of student newspapers, yearbooks, and magazines. If more money could have been available the report could be printed in more permanent, attractive form. It should be since its data can be found nowhere else and since it will continue to be of great value.

Many persons have contributed input to the study and report. More needs to be done. For example, the specific laws cited by colleges that supposedly provide a legal basis for their campus publication arrangements are yet to be analyzed. More examples should be detailed.

The ad hoc committee has held six meetings to evaluate data and direct ensuing steps. Because committee members are busy people, attendance has been incomplete. Lack of travel funds have kept some persons from attending any meetings. Work has proceeded between meetings in correspondence and telephone calls.

This report was prepared by the committee chairman. It is to the committee members who are evaluating it for appropriate revision. If readers of this version could also evaluate it critically and forward comments to its author, perhaps a final and perfected report could be greatly useful for us all.

The report covers all types of institutions of higher education—junior colleges through graduate levels.
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Chapter One

Myth-making and myth-makers have surrounded the campus student press throughout the past decade. The myth-makers are mostly educators or professional journalists who happen by the campus press world, take a quick and superficial look, and then write a sonorous tome, tuned somewhat to Jeffersonian accompaniments. Occasionally, they are joined by student journalists or even a few advisers, who love to parade something they call "independence" before the world. The myths they spin have a sophomoric overcast.

The initial reports were largely small items tucked into such publications as Editor and Publisher reporting that some campus newspapers had become "independent" of their host universities.

Publications advisers with considerable knowledge of these campuses, chucked at the misinformation briefly and ignored the little reports. But a plethora of myths and myth-makers changed all of that.

In 1971, the National Council of College Publications Advisers appointed a special ad hoc research committee to evaluate the legal status of the campus press. Much of the data this committee has collected refutes the myths that have been recently stirred around. A look at some of the myths and their perpetrators can outline the dimensions of a possible dilemma university administrators can be waltzed into.

In 1966, the National Council of College Publications Advisers authorized Dr. Dario Politella, its president, to conduct a two-year study of the status of college student publications in America. Politella assembled a commission on the Freedoms and Responsibilities of the College Student Press in America to approach this study. The study used the 15-member commission, a survey of 72 colleges, and correspondence, conversations, and visits to campuses by Politella.

He produced a set of guidelines which became the basis of a fullsone report published by Seminar quarterly as a full-length supplement in December 1969. His guidelines were:

1. A student press that is relevant to its campus makes service its ideal purpose.
3. A responsible student press should reasonably be expected to maintain a level of professional performance and ethics pertinent to its purpose and restricted by its resources.
4. Financial independence is a cornerstone of true freedom and responsibility of the student press.
5. The role of the student press adviser is to help students to transfer their theories to practice.
6. The free student press is free to all who have something worth saying.

In these guidelines, Politella did not recommend that activity money be cut off from student publications, but that such allocations be considered legitimate circulations income. Although Politella writes with charm and verve, his guidelines have never been adopted by NCCPA or any other agency. Unfortunately, some of the myth-makers use distortions of Politella's report as a basis for their attacks on the campus press.

Typical of the tuck-in myth-makers is the tail end of an Editor and Publisher article published March 3, 1973. The article reports that the Stanford Daily has gone independent almost and that its editor was no longer afraid of censorship or fee cut-offs by the student government. Then Editor and Publisher enlarges the myth with:

Student newspapers which have reorganized on an independent basis in recent years include the Daily Californian at Berkeley, the University of Kentucky Kernel, the University of Oregon Daily Emerald, and the Florida State Flambeau.

Campuses where independence is under study include the University of Florida and the University of Arkansas.

College newspapers with a long history of editorial and financial independence include the Cornell Daily Sun, the Daily Dartmouth, the Harvard Crimson, the University of Michigan Daily, and the Yale Daily News. (1)

Actually only two of the 12 college newspapers mentioned in the article can really claim independence. Chapter two of this report will detail the spuriousness of the claims of the other 10.

Six months earlier Fred M. Hechinger was myth-making in the New York Times. He reports that the Stanford Daily was becoming independent rather than, as in the past, a part of the university structure. It was joined independently operated student papers such as The Harvard Crimson, the Cornell Daily Sun, The Yale Daily News, The Daily Californian at Berkeley and several others that are immune from administrative or faculty supervision. (2)
and myth-makers have surrounded the campus student press past decade. The myth-makers are mostly educators or professors who happen by the campus press world, take a quick and super

Chapter One

The Mythmakers

The trend toward independence—including the best ways to go about it—was one of the main discussion topics for officials of large state schools here recently at the annual meeting of the National Association of State Universities and Land Grant Colleges. Independence, most agreed, results in more subdued publications. The stories, pictures, and ads that cause administrators so many headaches usually disappear.

"It doesn't take long for the students to learn what every country editor knows," says Glenwood L. Creech, vice president of the University of Kentucky. "You can't kick the owner of the five-and-dime store in the pants every week and expect him to advertise in your paper."

Creech directed the one-year transition of the University of Kentucky Kernel from a paper partly supported by student funds to one with financial independence. Two years ago the subsidy was reduced to $20,000, and last year it was eliminated. The paper has prospered under the new arrangement.

"When they were getting the student money, the Kernel staff would sell just enough ads to get through the year," says Creech. "Then they'd do as they damn well pleased the rest of the time." It was in the Kernel of bygone days that the "juicy-little-piece" description appeared. "We did a lot of apologizing on that one," says Creech.

"There are some who think the paper was more exciting in those days," says Creech, "but my life has been a hell of a lot more pleasant since we made the change. I knew it was a thorn, but I didn't know how big a thorn it was until they pulled it out." (4)

Student staff members believed the move to what they called ind

Sorenson listed other "independent" papers as the Flambeau of Florida State, the Alligator of the University of Florida, the Daily Emerald of the University of Oregon, the Daily at Stanford, the Traveler of the University of Arkansas, the Spectator of Columbia University, the Crimson of Harvard University, and the Daily of Michigan University. Sorenson almost escapes the myth-maker label by raising several questions and cautions about the advantages of "independence." Actually only one of his nine papers has a strong claim to real independence.

The Kentucky Kernel issue of December 13, 1972, carried a full-page "house ads" to recruit staff members which proclaimed, "We are proud of our independence." The ads boast: "A year ago today, the last University-subsidized issue of The Kentucky Kernel rolled off the presses of the UK Division of Printing.

"When they were getting the student money, the Kernel staff would sell just enough ads to get through the year," says Creech. "Then they'd do as they damn well pleased the rest of the time." It was in the Kernel of bygone days that the "juicy-little-piece" description appeared. "We did a lot of apologizing on that one," says Creech.

"There are some who think the paper was more exciting in those days," says Creech, "but my life has been a hell of a lot more pleasant since we made the change. I knew it was a thorn, but I didn't know how big a thorn it was until they pulled it out." (4)

Student staff members believed the move to what they called independence was done for positive reasons—not as an administrative copout.

Creech apparently is maintaining an interesting track record with the campus press. Editor and Publisher reported in its October 13, 1973, issue that Florida Atlantic University at Boca Raton had a tussle between Creech and the Atlantic Sun.

Sorenson listed other "independent" papers as the Flambeau of Florida State, the Alligator of the University of Florida, the Daily Emerald of the University of Oregon, the Daily at Stanford, the Traveler of the University of Arkansas, the Spectator of Columbia University, the Crimson of Harvard University, and the Daily of Michigan University. Sorenson almost escapes the myth-maker label by raising several questions and cautions about the advantages of "independence." Actually only one of his nine papers has a strong claim to real independence.

The Kentucky Kernel issue of December 13, 1972, carried a full-page "house" to recruit staff members which proclaimed, "We are proud of our independence." The ads boast: "A year ago today, the last University-subsidized issue of The Kentucky Kernel rolled off the presses of the UK Division of Printing.

"And a year after that, we remain the only totally independent university-sanctioned newspaper at a state university in the country." (5)

This boast may make the student staff swell with pride; but it is a myth since the Kentucky Kernel is not really independent.

The Florida Alligator was declared peculiarly "independent" under "peculiar" circumstances that caused a march on the home of the president by the Student Senate which opposed the peculiar arrangement. (6)

The Chronicle of Higher Education managed to turn a relatively well-conceived article written by Teresa L. Ebert into a myth by headlining it "More Papers Cutting Ties with Colleges—but with Some Misgivings." (7) Ms. Ebert mentions eight college newspapers; clearly, she indicates that four are not independent and only four might be. None really are. An editor played a side bar story with th
this study. The study used the 15-member commission, a survey of 72 colleges, and correspondence, conversations, and visits to campuses by Politella. He produced a set of guidelines which became the basis of a full-size report published by Seminar quarterly as a full-length supplement in December 1969. His guidelines were:

1. A student press that is relevant to its campus makes service its ideal purpose.
3. A responsible student press should reasonably be expected to maintain a level of professional performance and ethics pertinent to its purpose and restricted by its resources.
4. Financial independence is a cornerstone of true freedom and responsibility of the student press.
5. The role of the student press adviser is to help students to transfer their theories to practice.
6. The free student press is free to all who have something worth saying.

In these guidelines, Politella did not recommend that activity money be cut off from student publications, but that such allocations be considered legitimate circulations income. Although Politella writes with charm and verve, his guidelines have never been adopted by NCCPA or any other agency. Unfortunately, some of the myth-makers use distortions of Politella’s report as a basis for their attacks on the campus press.

Typical of the suck-in myth-makers is the tail-end of an Editor and Publisher article published March 3, 1973. The article reports that the Stanford Daily has gone independent almost and that its editor was no longer afraid of censorship or fee cut-offs by the student government. Then Editor and Publisher enlarges the myth with:

Student newspapers which have reorganized on an independent basis in recent years include the Daily Californian at Berkeley, the University of Kentucky Kernel, the University of Oregon Daily Emerald, and the Florida State Flambeau.

Campuses where Independence is under study include the University of Florida and the University of Arkansas.

College newspapers with a long history of editorial and financial independence include the Cornell Daily Sun, the Daily Dartmouth, the Harvard Crimson, the University of Michigan Daily, and the Yale Daily News.

Actually only two of the 12 college newspapers mentioned in the article can really claim independence. Chapter two of this report will detail the spuriousness of the claims of the other 10.

Six months earlier Fred M. Hechinger was myth-making in the New York Times. He reports that the Stanford Daily “was becoming independent rather than, as in the past, a part of the university structure. It thus joined independently operated student papers such as The Harvard Crimson, the Cornell Daily Sun, The Yale Daily News, The Daily Californian at Berkeley and several others that are immune from administrative or faculty supervision.” (2)

Although Hechinger’s myth cautions with the hazard of bankruptcy, it is replete with these speculative advantages for supposed independency:

1. The independent paper must earn the confidence and support of its readers and is therefore under constraint to be more professional in its approach.
2. Independence makes efficient operations essential to survival, and also student journalism a better training ground for news media careers.
3. Without the university as a protecting shield, student editors are more aware of the danger of libel.
4. The Independent student paper tends to enjoy greater credibility among students who do not suspect it of being a public relations tool of the administration. (3)

Mr. Hechinger cites no evidence or examples to verify these statements so they must stand only as his opinions.

At a point further back in time Noel Greenwood, a Los Angeles Times reporter, and the headline writer for Quill magazine, built a sweet myth when reporting the independency of the Daily Californian at Berkeley. (3) Greenwood played the illusion of independence, while the headline writer suggested others would follow the lead of that perennial trend-setter, California. Apparently California has not been much of a trend setter according to data in chapter two. The myth flew on the wings of the LA Times-Washington Post News Service to its newspaper subscribers.

Perry Sorensen reported to the National Observer that a roomful of myth-makers met in Washington to talk Independence for the campus press. He suggests that college presidents can escape embarrassment by merely kicking student papers into an “independence” limbo. Considerable glee is reflected in these graphs.

Creech apparently is maintaining an interesting press. Editor and Publisher reported in its October issue that the University of California at Berkeley had a tussle between Here’s what happened:

In their first issue this semester the editor, Dr. Glennwood Creech, who cam-fucky, was stopping dissemination of sex ed was illustrated with a rear view picture of the cover of an abortion brochure.

Dr. Creech denied the accuracy of the st...
polite" la did not recommend that activity money be cut off.

Among myth-makers Is the tail-end of an Editor and Publisher article, March 3, 1973. The article reports that the Stanford Daily has gone to talk independence for the campus press. He suggests that is relevant to its campus makes service its ideal purpose. Student press should reasonably be expected to maintain a level performance and ethics pertinent to its purpose and restrict its independence as a cornerstone of true freedom and responsibility of press.

Student press adviser is to help students to transfer their theories.

it press is free to all who have something worth saying. Although Polite la writes with charm and verve, his guidelines to NCPA or any other agency. Unfortunately, some of use distortions of Polite la's report as a basis for their attacks.

In their next issue the students retorted by printing a New York Times photograph of naked youths at the Woodstock Rock Festival in 1971. "President Creech is wrong," said the Sun editor. "Today's Times carries a photograph which certainly showed more flesh than the Sun picture. So you do find nude pictures in 'more responsible' publications."

Sorenson listed other "independent" papers as the Flmusbeau of Florida State, the Alligator of the University of Florida, the Daily Emerald of the University of Oregon, the Daily at Stanford, the Traveler of the University of Arkansas, the Spectator of Columbia University, the Crimson of Harvard University, and the Daily of Michigan University. Sorenson almost escapes the myth-maker label by raising several questions and cautions about the advantages of "independence." Actual accomplishment in this area is strong claim for real independence.

The Kentucky Kernel issue of December 13, 1972, carried a full-page "house ad" to recruit staff members which proclaimed, "We are proud of our independence." The ad boasted: "A year ago today, the last University-subsidized issue of the Kentucky Kernel rolled off the presses of the UK Division of Printing.

"And a year after that, we remain the only totally independent university-sanctioned newspaper at a state university in the country." (5)

This boast may make the student staff swell with pride, but it is a myth since the Kentucky Kernel is not truly independent.

The Florida Alligator was declared peculiarly, "independent" under "peculiar" circumstances that caused a march on the home of the president by the Student Senate which opposed the peculiar arrangement. (7)

The Chronicle of Higher Education managed to turn a relatively well-conceived article written by Teresa L. Ebert Into a myth by headlining it "More Papers Cutting Ties with Colleges—but with Some Misgivings." (7) Ms. Ebert mentions eight college newspapers; clearly, she indicates that four are not independent and only four might be. None really are. An editor placed a side bar story with the Ebert article. It is based on a survey of the contents of 200 newspapers conducted by Hermin Estrin, an adviser to the New Jersey Collegiate Press Association. The Estrin survey clearly established that college newspapers published articles that covered almost every subject; no restraints could have been in operation for these 200 papers. But Mr. Editor decided that Estrin's data should be interpreted with this headline, "Study Finds Many Officials Growing Weary of Problems Student Newspapers Generate."

These examples of myth-making constitute an indicative sample of articles that have been, are, and probably will be published about the campus press.

Perhaps the most curious analysis of the campus press is a report (8) prepared by Julius Duscha, director of the Washington Journalism Center (9), and by Thomas C. Fischer, former assistant dean of the Georgetown University Law Center.

The report seemingly Is one of great prestige since it was produced through a grant provided by the John and Mary R. Markle foundation to the American Association of State Colleges and Universities.

The AASCU is an organization of 300 regional state colleges and universities located in 47 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. The organization has distributed the report to its membership and elsewhere quite widely.

A national advisory committee on the student press, chaired by Thomas McGrath, president of California State College at Sonoma, worked with Duscha and Fischer in the project. Other members of the committee were Kathy Fraze, editor of the Bowling Green (Ohio) University BG News; Hillier Krieglbaum, professor emeritus of journalism at New York University and a past president of the Association for Education in Journalism (a national organization having very little to do with the campus press in its deliberations); Richard J. Nelson, president of Northern Illinois University; Guy Ryan, assistant managing editor of the San Diego Evening Tribune and a past president of Sigma Delta Chi; James Bond, president of California State University at Sacramento; and Owen R. Houghton, con-
A booklet presenting the report was produced in the spring of 1973. The booklet gets off to a bad start since it simply does not identify the two authors other than giving their names.

Truly the title of the booklet should have been Some Campus Newspapers and Some Unrelated Notions instead of The Campus Press: Freedom and Responsibility.

Confidence in Duscha's research material is somewhat shaken by a page 6 statement reporting that the men who drafted the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States were not thinking about campus newspapers, "for so far as is known only one student newspaper existed at the time in Philadelphia." So it is startling to read on page 9 that "the first campus newspaper was established at Dartmouth College in 1839," about 50 years later.

Duscha's principal conclusion is that "an independent student newspaper is obviously the best answer to the problems of the student press." This also was Duscha's principal thesis since he doggedly sang it unencumbered by cases or data.

At no place in the entire report did Duscha present one particle or shred of evidence or example to support or substantiate such a thesis or conclusion. Fischer attempted to be a courteous co-author with Duscha but he had great difficulty in supporting the Duscha Thesis. Indeed, the legal review and cases he presents in the second half of the booklet contradict Duscha's views very severely.

In discussions about the total booklet, Fischer took a retrospective look at its contents and prepared the following statement for the 1973 national convention of the National Council of College Publications Advisers in Chicago on November 1 to 3. Fischer said:

With regard to the legal status of the campus press as presented in my half of the recent ASCAU publication, The Campus Press: Freedom and Responsibility, I want to make a few points absolutely clear. First, I do not advocate any particular form of student press, "independent" or otherwise. Realistically, not every college would want, or could afford, to separate its student press from institutional support and functions. I have attempted to indicate the form and legal status of several types of student publications without "advocating" any of them.

I do advocate, however, that institutions do, and continue to, support and sponsor student publications. This is due to my feeling that these forms of activity—curricularly and extra-curricularly—constitute a valuable experience for the student and provide a generally useful forum for the college. Secondly, I strongly advocate that whatever publication structure is decided upon by an institution and its students—that decision being strictly local option—that the decision be clearly spelled out and not later twisted or arrogated by either party. Thirdly, I believe that legally the restraint of student publications on the alleged grounds of libel, slander or obscenity are chiefly illusory, since the legal grounds for these accusations are so difficult to meet. The easiest to meet, of course, is obscenity. But the recent University of Missouri case indicates that even these standards are nearly impossible to meet under normal publishing conditions. Lastly, I would like to suggest that the most successful approach to campus press problems—one at least as viable as "independence":—is fair and mature dealing. Because college journals and journalists have been treated as miniatures of the real thing, they have behaved that way. If the matter was approached in a more mature and professional manner by the institution, I believe college journalists would respond in kind.

It thus appears that Fischer has disassociated himself from the conclusions of Duscha's part of the book. Duscha is responsible for the myths created under the auspices of the American Association of State Colleges and Universities.

A reading of the two parts of the booklet clearly depicts total negation of the Duscha Thesis by Fischer's review. And the newspaper examples Duscha calls forth contradict him. And even he contradicts himself.

Contradictions, mis-statements of fact, lack of evidence, and a series of monumental deductive approaches make the book both comical and dangerous. The danger is that college administrators and others might seriously consider following Duscha's advice.

Disaster upon disaster would soon descend on such trusting persons who might be motivated to do something right for their campus press. Be cautious!

Duscha's report disturbs for these reasons:

Duscha states that daily and weekly newspapers should serve a diverse readership, a mass audience rather than an "elite" one (page 7)—whatever that may be. Actually there are fine newspapers serving special audiences; they include religious press, the labor press, the black press, etc. The Christian Science Monitor, the New York Times, and the Wall Street Journal all appear frequently in college publications. But nowhere in this report was there anything called to appeal to a special audience.

Czar by establishing printing shops and newspapers in many latest trend is advocacy reporting, (page 9) Actually, some posed such an approach, but newspapers have generally rejected it. Even Duscha's report that background news became important two centuries late. The Federallist Papers and The Crisis Paper they attempted to explain complex problems.

In attempt to give an overview of the status of the college press, Duscha tells the ASCAU colleges that there is a U.S. Student Press used to be, but it has been defunct for several years now. He me- liate, Press Association which is a small service agency to agent's part of the book. Duscha is about 50 years later.

It is astonishing that anyone discussing the college press would soon descend on such trusting persons who might be true that some people might believe these things can stand merely as rhetoric. He thinks student journalists are crusaders and he reports, have ambiverted attitudes toward the editor's purpose. (pages 11-12) This probably means student readers read the paper. He believes faculty members expel their jobs. He believes the paper is a bulletin board. He below the paper to be a controlled laboratory tool. He thin systematically read the paper to evaluate the university, critics use the paper as raw material for attacks on the university. It may be true that some people might believe these things can stand merely as rhetoric since he nowhere presents data to corroborate these rather sarcastic comments. Indeed it could be written reversing their thrust to propose a much standing attitude wherein each of the groups mentioned above stands a support a free and uninhibited campus press. This some such information later on.

Duscha attempts an analogy in which he proposes that the media could be much more like the commercial press. He believes the rely for almost all of their funds on student activity fees or college or university funds. (page 13) No data is cited anywhere to substantiate this. Studies conducted by NCCPA and ACP show that most college publications rely on several sources of income. Studies conducted by NCCPA and ACP show that most college publications rely on several sources of income. (page 13) No data is cited anywhere to substantiate this. Studies conducted by NCCPA and ACP show that most college publications rely on several sources of income. (page 13) No data is cited anywhere to substantiate this.
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The status of the campus press as presented in my U publication, The Campus Press: Freedom and make a few points absolutely clear. First, I do not ar form of student press, “independent” or not every college would want, or could afford, to is from Institutional support and functions. I have e form and legal status of several types of student dvocating” any of them.

er, that institutions do, and continue to, support and tions. This is due to my feeling that these forms of and extra-curricularly—constitute a valuable ent and provide a generally useful forum for the unly advocate that whatever publication structure institution and its students—that decision being at the decision be clearly spelled out and not later rogated by either party. Thirdly, I believe that student publications on the alleged grounds of libel, a chiefly illusory, since the legal grounds for these cuit to meet. The easiest to meet, of course, is nt University of Missouri case indicates that even early impossible to meet under normal publishing uld like to suggest that the most successful ap- this system of financing student publications most practical.

Duscha believes colleges set up publication boards primarily to oversee the student press. (page 10) Actually the purpose of a publications board should be to help students produce reasonably good publications. If “oversee” means this, Duscha is correct; if “oversee” means “control,” Duscha has painted a distorted picture of university motivations.

When Duscha attempts an explanation of what is expected of the campus press, he permeates his analysis with repressive viewpoints. He believes boards of trustees require that student publications reflect the board members’ views of society and morality. He thinks presidents expect the campus press to speak well of administrators. He thinks student journalists are crusade-oriented. Student readers, he reports, have ambivalent attitudes toward the editor’s concept of the paper’s purpose. (pages 11-12) (This probably means students really don’t give a damn about the paper.) He believes faculty members expect the paper to protect their jobs. He believes the paper is a bulletin board. He believes journalism faculty want the paper to be a controlled laboratory tool. He thinks off-campus persons systematically read the paper to evaluate the university. He believes university critics use the paper as raw material for attacks on the university.

It may be true that some people might believe these things. But Duscha’s report can stand merely as rhetoric since he nowhere presents any substantiating data to corroborate these rather sarcastic comments. Indeed, a longer discussion could be written reversing their thrust to propose a much more positive, under- standing attitude wherein each of the groups mentioned above would enthusiastically support a free and uninhibited campus press. This report will deal with some such information later on.

Duscha attempts an analogy in which he proposes that the campus press should be much more like the commercial press. He believes that campus newspapers rely for almost all of their funds on student activity fees or direct grants from college or university funds. (page 13) No data is cited anywhere in the report to substantiate this. Studies conducted by NCCPA and ACP over many years indicate that most college publications rely on several sources of income. Advertising produces considerable revenue for most college newspapers. (Several gross more

Czar by establishing printing shops and newspapers in many colonial towns.) His latest trend is advocacy reporting. (page 9) Actually, some persons have proposed such an approach, but newspapers have generally rejected this concept. Even Duscha’s report that background news became important in the early 50s is two centuries late, The Federalist Papers and The Crisis Papers were just as true as they attempted to explain complex problems.
Duscha’s part of the book. Duscha is responsible for the myths created under the

Duscha’s thesis by Fischer’s review. And the newspaper examples Duscha calls

It thus appears that Fischer has dissociated himself from the conclusions of Duscha’s part of the book. Duscha is responsible for the myths created under the auspices of the American Association of State Colleges and Universities.

A reading of the two parts of the booklet clearly depicts total negation of the Duscha Thesis by Fischer’s review. The newspaper examples Duscha calls forth contradict him. And even he contradicts himself.

Contradictions, mis-statements of fact, lack of evidence, and a series of monumental deductive approaches make the book both comical and dangerous.

The danger is that college administrators and others might seriously consider following Duscha’s advice.

Disaster upon disaster would soon descend on such trusting persons who might be motivated to do something right for their campus press. Be cautious! Duscha’s report disturbs for these reasons:

Duscha states that daily and weekly newspapers should serve a diverse readership, a mass audience rather than an “elite” one (page 7)—whatever that may be. Actually there are fine newspapers serving special audiences; they include the religious press, the labor press, the black press, etc. The Christian Science Monitor, the New York Times, and the Wall Street Journal all appear frequently on “best newspaper” lists. Each is deliberately edited to appeal to a special audience—and each does so very well. The Chronicle of Higher Education serves an “elite audience.”

Only two traditions of the American press seem dominant to Duscha: serving a diverse audience and crusading. (page 7) Really there are others: promoting or destroying political causes, publishing the news, entertaining readers, commenting on the news, and even making money.

He believes newspapers having partisan views are generally restricted to small circulations, as many newspapers in the 19th century were. (page 7) Actually large newspaper circulations depend upon urban concentrations of population more characteristic of the 20th Century.

The impetus for the objective news report came toward the end of the 19th Century as the result of a maturing sense of professional commitment on the part of American journalists. Duscha contends, however, that newspapers watered down their partisanship to gain large audience appeal by publishing nothing that would disturb anyone. (page 7)

The Associated Press will be somewhat dismayed to learn from Duscha that it originally was a politically-motivated news service agency. (page 7) United Press was established to make money—not political heroes. Indeed, one of the heroic stories of American journalism is the rise of cooperative news gathering based on the idealism of the Coopers, the Gallaghers, and the Howards.

The trend toward objective news reporting did not start early in the 20th Century. (page 7) It began with Daniel DeFoe, the father of journalism. But perhaps Duscha has not read “The Journal of the Plague Years.” And Lincoln Steffens did not begin crusading in the press. He did not say, “Go West, Young Man” or even “Carthage Must Be Destroyed.”

Duscha is worried to discover that newspapers simply reported what was being said on both sides of an issue or controversy, leaving the bewildered reader to make up his own mind. (page 8) Is this bad? Duscha apparently believes so.

Duscha finds trends everywhere. The trend toward a monopoly press began by 1930s. It really began when Benjamin Franklin became a colonial publishing
It may be true that the earliest campus publications depended upon advertising and subscriptions. Some were produced outside the purview of the university; others were not.

Colleges and universities found that economical and stable financing of a host of activities could be accomplished with a low, universal student activity fee. If such a fee were established, students could have reasonably good student publications; if such a fee were not used, many colleges simply could not have publications. About 75 per cent of the nation's colleges, big or small, public or private, found this system of financing student publications most practical. So it is the standard way of maintaining publications (page 10). Many students have fought valiantly to establish and maintain this plan just as others have opposed it. The plan was never established as a method of controlling the student press: it is generally considered as a sensible, practical, and economical way to provide student publications.

Duscha believes colleges set up publication boards primarily to oversee the student press. (page 10) Actually the purpose of a publications board should be to help students produce reasonably good publications. If "oversee" means this, Duscha is correct; if "oversee" means "control," Duscha has painted a distorted picture of university motivations.

When Duscha attempts a translation of what is expected of the campus press, he contradicts himself with repressive viewpoints. He believes boards of trustees require that student publications reflect the board members' views of society and morality. He thinks student journalists are crusade-oriented. Student readers, he reports, have ambivalent attitudes toward the editor's concept of the paper's purpose. (pages 11-12) (This probably means student readers really don't give a damn about the paper.) He believes faculty members expect the paper to protect their jobs. He believes the paper is a bulletin board. He believes journalism faculty want the paper to be a controlled laboratory tool. He thinks off-campus persons systematically read the paper to evaluate the university. He believes university critics use the paper as raw material for attacks on the university.

It may be true that some people might believe these things. But Duscha's report can stand merely as rhetoric since he nowhere presents any substantiating data to corroborate these rather sarcastic comments. Indeed, a longer discussion could be written reversing their thrust to propose a much more positive, understanding attitude wherein each of the groups mentioned above would enthusiastically support a free and uninhibited campus press. This report will deal with some such information later on.

Duscha attempts an analogy in which he proposes that the campus press should be much more like the commercial press. He believes that campus newspapers rely for almost all of their funds on student activity fees or direct grants from college or university funds. (page 13) No data is cited anywhere in the report to substantiate this. Studies conducted by NCCPA and ACP over many years indicate that most college publications rely on several sources of income. Advertising produces considerable revenue for most college newspapers. (Several gross more than $100,000 annually.) Actually allocations from student activity fees, which are the equivalent of the commercial newspapers' subscriptions, constitute only a part of most campus newspaper income. Several campus newspapers have considerable income from printing as do commercial newspapers. Duscha believes campus newspapers do not compete in the marketplace. He acts as if commercial newspapers do, but fails to point out that the number of cities in the United States with competing commercial newspapers has dwindled to only a small number.

One of the report's big problems comes from saying such things as "Whoever is the publisher of a newspaper will influence the publication, whether the publisher be an institution, a college or university official, a student-faculty publications board or private individual." (page 13) Actually there are many, many ways to operate commercial newspapers. And surely the trend in recent years has been to cut close ties between colleges and newspapers. He offers no data to substantiate this. A thorough and careful study conducted during the last two years by NCCPA indicates that no such trend exists. Data will be presented subsequently. Duscha's description of the typical adviser simply does not fit the actual situation found in colleges throughout the nation. He presents no data to validate his description. This NCCPA report will submit data that will present a quite different picture of college publications advisers.

When Duscha attempts to describe his category two of the campus press, he lapses into unsustantiated deduction. His description of the work of the publication board is not universally or generally followed. He believes boards follow university financing, and contends it is a vague system causing most problems of the campus press. The least vague operation of colleges and universities pertains to handling money. Budgeting, expending funds, allocating funds is done carefully

provided rating services and a magazine.
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many colleges and universities. He offers no data to substantiate this. A thorough and careful study conducted during the last two years by NCCPA indicates that no such trend exists. Data will be presented subsequently. Duscha's description of the typical adviser simply does not fit the actual situation found in colleges throughout the nation. He presents no data to validate his description. This NCCPA report will submit data that will present a quite different picture of college publications advisers.

When Duscha attempts to describe his category two of the campus press, he lapses into unsustantiated deduction. His description of the work of the publication board is not universally or generally followed. He believes boards follow university financing, and contends it is a vague system causing most problems of the campus press. The least vague operation of colleges and universities pertains to handling money. Budgeting, expending funds, allocating funds is done carefully

provided rating services and a magazine.
and in a business-like fashion. No vagueness is involved. Colleges and universities have been able for some time to assure publication enough money to make up the difference between publishing costs and advertising revenue. (Page 15) There is nothing easy about financing student publications. Duscha conveniently advances his thesis by calling student activity fee allocations as lump sum grants instead of as subscriptions. He even manages to designate "bulk subscriptions" as being different and better than student fee allocations. At no point does he present any data substantiating his views nor indicating that student editors live in an atmosphere of control, domination, intimidation, or tyranny when their paper receives an allocation from student funds. He has no data to support his view that the combination of a student publications board-student fee allocation is a hypocritical claim of a free press when really that press is controlled.

He glibly reports on page 16 that "as long as the newspaper is financed in part by university funds or student activity fees which must be paid by all the students, and are collected by the college or university, the institution is responsible for what is in the newspaper, regardless of disclaimers to the contrary. But his colleague Fischer presents an almost complete data indicating that there is no legal basis for such a contention. (Pages 60-109)

Duscha becomes eloquent in saying "a newspaper can be truly free only when it is supported voluntarily by readers and advertisers. It cannot have genuine freedom if it is subsidized either directly from university funds or indirectly through student activity funds. he says. A subsidized student press is by no means the same as a truly free, unsubsidized press; with subsidies, come strings and controls, he warns. (Page 16) But his eloquence is only warning. None of these dire problems come automatically and few exist in most colleges. Duscha has no data to validate his eloquence; data to be presented by the NCCPA study is sufficient to form an informed judgment.

If Duscha's category of indirect subsidy is followed logically, very few commercial papers could claim independence since they do enjoy low, second-class matter mail rates and forced legal advertising Income.

Duscha asks that the campus press immediately becomes totally and genuinely independent. He apparently believes that if no university money is involved that the paper becomes independent; considerable evidence exists that this is not automatically true. He believes that incorporation in some way indicates independence; this is untrue. He believes selling subscriptions generates valuable income, but fails to point out that 90 per cent or more of subscription income may be needed to sell, fulfill, service, account for, and maintain the subscriptions. And he finally believes and seriously proposes that the campus press be licensed by the university, a system in vogue in England and the colonies prior to the American revolution.

Publick Occurrences, the first newspaper attempted in the colonies, did not have a license and was throttled when its first issue appeared. The Boston Newsletter, the second attempt, was licensed and published by authority. It was a dull and flabby offering. (Page 18) The Stamp Act was a licensing plan that led rather directly to the American Revolution, which in turn led to the freedom of the press guarantee which in turn means no licensing of newspapers. More will be said about this licensing proposal later.

In outlining an ideal campus newspaper Duscha does not explain any reasons for his suggestions. After reading pages 18 and 19, the reader could well ask:

Why should the student newspaper reflect the diverse views of students, faculty members, and administrators? Why should the points of view of administrators and students alike be reported? Why should the paper be expected to reflect in depth what is happening on and off campus when it concerns students? How do editors really know when they are fair and honest? How can reporting be responsible? How can professional guidelines make reporters responsible? Why should the paper take editorial stands on campus, community, national, and world issues? Why shouldn't the news columns reflect opinions of the newspaper? What happens if the newspaper refuses to follow any of these ideas? What if the student staff thinks they are foolish pats? Why should student newspapers be asked to do such things when no such pressure or requirement is expected of the commercial press? Obviously, if the campus press is genuinely and totally independent the university has absolutely no business of mentioning any of these matters to the staff. Duscha is out-of-order even bringing up such subjects if he really believes the campus press should be independent. If on the other hand, the university is willing to help students learn about journalism, perhaps some discussion would be appropriate. But any system allowing for such discussion would clearly destroy anything called total independence. It is interesting to note that any college newspaper currently being published in the United States could do everything Duscha suggests a good community paper should do with little difficulty or restraint. And almost all of them can also serve as a journal of wild, undisciplined, unpopular anti-establishment opinions with little fear of censure by students, administrators, boards, or patrons if they know how to do it! For a brief period an unskilled and so-called underground press (which didn't know how to do it) was wonderful. But that fad increasingly has passed and is now filed under other dead infant house organ not involving students.

A serious doubt about the genuineness of the independent campus press must arise. Not censoring the campus press, but it urges the court to threaten a recalcitrant staff with academic discontinuance of student fee allocations. A press council, a highly controversial agency, "to help make certain that the student press is possible journalistic standards and is doing interpreting campus news." (Page 38) Even established intends to be a friendly, low-key, the commercial press. It cannot, nor does it press does anything.

Thomas Fischer utilized the second half of aspects of the campus press. His work culled a handy listing of some of the legal cases task was somewhat confusing to him since the gates virtually every contention that Duscha his "independent" campus press. By law every Duscha for an "independent" press now being published currently being published in the U. doesn't make this clear the fourteenth one.

Here are a series of statements made by followed by Duscha is invalid:

No substantial legal distinction between the of the press-at-large has been discovered in re. (Cases cited bear this out.)

A public institution will not be protected in tions, although it insists that they are house ordence reveals that these publications have no or managed according to the models discussed.

At this writing it is fairly well established th gally the "publisher" of the student publica censor or unduly influence the contents of those. (Page 63)

It does mean, however, that a university can a campus newspaper or other publication wh student expression. (Page 63)

It is clear, however, that the university adminishes the campus newspaper. (Page 64)

"...The Internal Revenue Service stated its opinion on the political and legislative matters... feature of legitimate student newspapers." To jeopardize their tax exempt status by endorsers. (Page 63)

It might be noted here that censorship in all the courts. (Page 68)

It should be perfectly clear from the fore wh sponsor student publications (not "house and not unsponsored student publications whi... be "even-handed" in their treatment, personnel, and keep "hands off" with respect to at least impossible as that censorship is not necessary..." (Page 64) A public institution will not be protected in tions. (Cases cited bear this out.)

A public institution will not be protected in tions, although it insists that they are house ordence reveals that these publications have no or managed according to the models discussed.

At this writing it is fairly well established th gally the "publisher" of the student publica censor or unduly influence the contents of those. (Page 63)

The type of support and supervision supplied so long as it is not applied in a discriminatory vice. (Pages 70-71)

As to the case law concerning a university's...as distinguished from the sparse indeed. No cases have been found. (Page 80)

But, Fischer still urges that the paper contain ability. (Page 80)

He has not found a single instance in which a ly or criminally in the highest state or federal c.
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A serious doubt about the genuineness of the report's commitment to a free and
independent campus press must arise. Not only does the report recommend li-
censing the campus press, but it urges the college to become a major advertiser
to threaten a recalcitrant staff with ad cancellations. The report urges immediate
 discontinuance of student fee allocations. And it is willing to cre-te a campus
ouncil, a highly controversial agency in professional journalism, as an
agency "to help make certain that the student newspaper is adhering to the high-
est possible journalistic standards and is doing a responsible job of reporting and
interpreting campus news." (page 38) Even the national press council recently
established intends to be a friendly, low-key, advisory body to some elements of
the commercial press. It cannot, nor does it intend to try, to make certain that
the press does anything.
Thomas Fischer utilized the second half of the booklet to review laws touching
on aspects of the campus press. His work could be helpful since he has accumula-
ted a handy listing of some of the legal cases involving student publications. His
task was somewhat confusing to him since the weight of his part of the booklet ne-
gates virtually every contention that Duscha presents to extol the desirability of
his "independent" campus press. By law every advantage and right proposed by
Duscha for an "independent" press now belong to each and every campus student
publication currently being published in the United States. If the first amendment
doesn't make this clear the fourteenth one does:
Here are a series of statements made by Fischer that indicate the thesis fol-
lowed by Duscha is invalid:
No substantial legal distinction between the status of the campus press and that
of the press-at-large has been discovered in researching this issue. (pages 55-56)
(Cases cited bear this out.)
A public institution will not be protected in the censorship of its student publi-
ations, although it insists that they are house organs or teaching vehicles. If the evi-
dence reveals that these publications have not been restricted to these functions
or managed according to the models discussed...
At this writing it is fairly well established that a college or university is not le-
gally the "publisher" of the student publications which it sponsors, and may not
censor or unduly influence the contents of those publications, directly or indirect-
ly. (page 63)
It does mean, however, that a university cannot assume censorship power over
a campus newspaper or other publication which is ostensibly a free medium of
student expression. (page 63)
It is clear, however, that the university administration is not legally the "pub-
isher" of the campus newspaper. (page 64)
"...The Internal Revenue Service stated that "(the) expression of editorial
opinion on politics...would...appear to be an accepted feature of legitimate student newspapers." Thus student newspapers would not
jeopardize their tax exempt status by endorsing political candidates. (page 65)
It might be noted here that censorship in any form is equally reprehensible to
the courts. (page 68)
It should be perfectly clear from the foregoing that educational institutions
which sponsor student publications (not "house organs" or "laboratory models,
and not sponsored student publications which are independent of the institu-
tions), must be "even-handed" in their treatment of these publications and their
personnel, and keep "hands off with respect to censorship, direct or indirect,
at least insofar as that censorship is not necessary to avoid legal libel and obscenity
(rare almost to the point of non-existence) and (or) material...substantial de-
scription of the institution. (page 70)
The type of support and supervision supplied by the university is unimportant,
so long as it is not applied in a discriminatory fashion or used as a censorship de-
vice. (pages 70-71)
As to the case law concerning a university's liability for defamation contained
in a student article— as distinguished from the student's liability therefor—it is
spare indeed. No cases have been found. (page 79)
But, Fischer still urges that the paper contain a disclaimer of the university's li-
ability. (page 80)
He has not found a single instance in which a campus newspaper was sued civil-
ly or criminally in the highest state or federal courts. (page 109)
This evaluation of the Duscha-AASCU booklet can be amplified by statements
such as these:
John Behrens of Utica College of Sycamore University maintains extensive ar-
chiives of the student press for the National Council of College Publication Advis-
ers. "Duscha's publication, 'The Campus Press,' is one of a growing number of
lightweight reports hurled into print to provide so-called significant findings,"
he says. "The NCCPA has the only known student publications archives with complete
search facilities on virtually every court case involving the student press and yet

Duscha asks that the campus press immediately becomes totally and genuinely independent. He apparently believes that if no university money is involved that the paper becomes independent; considerable evidence exists that this is not automatically true. He believes that incorporation in some way indicates independence; this is untrue. He believes selling subscriptions generates valuable income, but fails to point out that 90 per cent or more of subscription income may be needed to sell, fulfill, service, account for, and maintain the subscriptions. And he finally believes and seriously proposes that the campus press be licensed by the university, a system in vogue in England and the colonies prior to the American revolution.

Publillic Occurrences, the first newspaper attempted in the colonies, did not have a license and was thrifted when its first issue appeared. The Boston Newsletter, the second attempt, was licensed and published by authority. It was a dull and flabby offering. (page 18) The Stamp Act was a licensing plan that led rather directly to the American Revolution, which in turn led to the freedom of the press guarantee which in turn means no licensing of newspapers. More will be said about this licensing proposal later.

In outlining an ideal campus newspaper Duscha does not explain any reasons for his suggestions. After reading pages 18 and 19, the reader could well ask:

Why should the student newspaper reflect the diverse views of students, faculty members, and administrators? Why should the points of view of administrators and students alike be reported? Why should the paper be expected to reflect in depth what is happening on and off campus when it concerns students? How do editors really know when they are fair and honest? How can reporting be responsible? How can professional guidelines make reporters responsible? Why should the student newspaper reflect the editorial stands on campus, community, national, and world issues? Why should the students' columns reflect opinions of the newspaper? Why should the student newspaper be asked to do such things when no such pressure or requirement is expected of the commercial press? Obviously, if the campus press is genuinely and totally independent the university has absolutely no business of mentioning any of these matters to the staff. Duscha is out-of-order even bringing up such subjects if he really believes the campus press should be independent. If on the other hand, the university is willing to help students learn about journalism, perhaps some discussion would be appropriate. But any system allowing for such discussion would clearly destroy anything called total independence. It is interesting to note that any college newspaper currently being published in the United States could do everything Duscha suggests a good community paper should do with little difficulty or restraint. And almost all of them can also serve as a journal of wild, undisciplined, unpopular, and anti-establishment opinions with little fear of censure by students, administrators, boards, or patrons if they know how to do it! For a brief period an unskilled and so-called underground newspaper (which didn't know how to do it) was worse. But that fad seemingly has passed and is now filed beside other dead publications ventures such as the humor magazine. Deaths of such fads occur because of a lack of steam, not because of administrative action which probably builds steam pressure anyhow.

Duscha is convinced that a strong trend toward campus independence is occurring and is building to a flood for the 1970s. (page 21) He presents no evidence of this but only bases his view on hopes and contentions. He does attempt some case studies. These include the University of California at Berkeley, the Stanford Daily, the Daily Emerald of the University of Oregon, the University of Florida Alligator, the Daily Spectator of the University of North Carolina, and the Daily Republican of Illinois State University. He brings in the names of Purdue University, Illinois University, Kentucky University, Florida State University, Arkansas University, Michigan University, Harvard University, and Yale University as places where additional case studies could be made. Duscha's findings and philosophies are based remotely the actual status of the campus press in the United States. Chapter two of this report goes into great detail to examine the myths of independence to which Duscha has hitched the AACSC.

Duscha is willing to skip over the severe need to have widely distributed communications media on campuses, he is willing to forget that advertising sales and rates are both based on stable circulation and readership. (page 37) He is willing to speculate that totally independent college papers make for more responsible journalism than do newspapers related to the university. He even wants advertisers to pressure financially-weak independent papers to impose restrictions on their content. He calls this maturity; others have called it control. (page 38) He is willing to use student activity money to produce a public institution will not be protected in the sections, although it insists that they are house organs. This section reveals that these publications have not been or managed according to the models discussed... (page 60)

At this writing it is fairly well established that a gaily the "publisher" of the student papers will censor or unduly influence the content of those papers. (page 63)

It does mean, however, that a university cannot have a campus newspaper or other publication which it does not want to be a journal of student expression. (page 63)

It is clear, however, that the university administrator is the, of the campus press. (page 64)

...The Internal Revenue Service stated that the decision had put "a chill on student publications"...in the future would work of legitimate student newspapers. Thus it may very well jeopardize their tax exempt status by endorsing a student newspaper. (page 68)

It might be noted here that censorship in any form is anathema to the courts. (pages 70-71)

As to the case law concerning a university's libel in a student article—as distinguished from the state of the student press for the National Council of College Presses—there has been a flabby offering. (page 18) The Stamp Act was a licensing plan that led rather directly to the American Revolution, which in turn led to the freedom of the press guarantee which in turn means no licensing of newspapers.

This evaluation of the Duscha-AACSC booklet is one such as these:

John Behrens of Utica College of Sycamore University of the student press for the National Council of College Pressers. "Duscha's publication, 'The Campus Press,' lightweight report hurried into print to provide a public with a firsthand account of student publications is a flabby offering. (pages 70-71)

As to the case law concerning a university's liability in a student article—as distinguished from the student press for the National Council of College Presses—there has been a flabby offering. (page 18) The Stamp Act was a licensing plan that led rather directly to the American Revolution, which in turn led to the freedom of the press guarantee which in turn means no licensing of newspapers.

This evaluation of the Duscha-AACSC booklet is one such as these:

John Behrens of Utica College of Sycamore University of the student press for the National Council of College Pressers. "Duscha's publication, 'The Campus Press,' lightweight report hurried into print to provide a public with a firsthand account of student publications is a flabby offering. (pages 70-71)
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claim independence since they do enjoy low, second-class ma-
material or legal advertising income.

the campus press immediately becomes totally and genuinely
independent if no university money is involved that is, if the
school is solely supported by the student activity fee. A
handful of newspapers have operated under financial
arrangements where the newspaper was no longer financed
through the fee. Under this arrangement, the newspaper
is no longer financed through the fee.

A public institution will not be protected in the censorship of its student publi-
cations, although it insists that they are house organs or teaching vehicles. If the
evidence reveals that these publications have not been restricted to these functions or
managed according to the models discussed... (pages 61-62)

At this writing it is fairly well established that a college or university is not legally
the "publisher" of the student publications which it sponsors, and may not
censor or unduly influence the contents of those publications, directly or indirect-
ly. (page 63)

It does mean, however, that a university cannot assume censorship power over
a campus newspaper or other publication which is ostensibly a free medium of
print. (page 58)

It should be perfectly clear from the foregoing that educational institutions
which sponsor student publications (not "house organs" or "laboratory models," and
not unsponsored student publications which are independent of the institu-
tions), must be "even-handed" in their treatment of these publications and their
personnel, and keep "hands off" with respect to censorship, direct or indirect, at
least insofar as that censorship is not necessary to avoid legal libel and obscenity
(rare almost to the point of non-existence) and (or) material...substantial de-
scription of the institution. (page 70)

The type of support and supervision supplied by the university is unimportant,
so long as it is not applied in a discriminatory fashion or used as a censorship de-
vice. (pages 70-71)

As to the case law concerning a university's liability for defamation contained
in a student article—as distinguished from the student's liability therefor—it is
sparsely indeed. No cases have been found. (page 79)

But, Fischer still urges that the paper contain a disclaimer of the university's li-
ability. (page 80)

He has not found a single instance in which a campus newspaper was sued civil-
ly or criminally in the highest state or federal courts. (page 109)

This evaluation of the Duscha-AASCU booklet can be amplified by statements
such as these:

John Behrens of Utica College of Sycamore University maintains extensive ar-
chives of the student press for the National Council of College Publication Advis-
ers. "Duscha's publication, 'The Campus Press,' is one of a growing number of
lightweight reports hurried into print to provide so-called significant findings,"
he says. ...The NCCPA has the only known student press archives with complete
court briefs on virtually every court case involving the student press and yet
three studies have been done with ample funding and not one has used our materi-
al and worse yet, none of the three have touched enough material to make the
findings sufficiently valid in my opinion," Behrens says.

Melvin Mencher, a Columbia University journalism professor, evaluated the
Duscha-AASCU report in these excerpts from a review published in the autumn
issue of the College Press Review. (10)

The courts over the past half-dozen years have consistently ruled in
favor of student freedom. Today, it is clear that: The university is not
legally the "publisher" of the campus newspaper, the newspaper cannot be
censored, student editors cannot be summarily dismissed for their
writings and the courts' rulings of libel and obscenity for the general,
commercial press apply to the college press also.

in short, a college president or regent has no more power over the
campus newspaper published on a state-supported campus than President
Nixon has over the Washington Post.

This could have been seen as an opportunity to strike a new beginning.
Since the university seemingly must be host to an organism it cannot
control, the reasonable expectation would be for a search to a new
relationship that would be mutually enriching while still recognizing the
essential adversary relationship between press and power.

Instead, some universities are reacting to the new freedom by seeking a
divorce. They want to divest themselves of their campus newspapers.

The device they have discovered is financial independence. Under this
arrangement, the newspaper is no longer financed through subsidy or
student activity fee. Newspaper offices are off-campus. When they are
on-campus, the newspaper pays for everything: rent, utilities, maintenance.
A handful of newspapers have operated under financial independence,
most of them in the Ivy League and on large campuses. Several have been
financially independent for many years, and a few have taken this step
within the past few years, usually after friction with campus ad-
ministrations.
Although the recent move toward this type of “independence” has been the consequence of conflict, the language of those advocating “independence” has been couched in Jeffersonian terms intended to obfuscate what is essentially a pragmatic step for the college.

Actually, “independence” is an impossibility for the great bulk of campus newspapers. There is simply not enough local advertising to sustain a quality publication in 90 percent of the college communities.

The sale of subscriptions would add little to income, if not cut advertising revenue since the newspaper could deliver only half to a third the readers it did with free circulation, or circulation paid for by the student activity fee.

Even in large communities, newspapers will find it tough going, as the Daily Californian at the University of California at Berkeley learned after it became independent under pressure from the regents. The Californian discovered it had to run great gobs of advertising to stay in business. “Independence” may give some newspapers the privilege of becoming advertising throwaways.

Against this background, a study of the campus press sponsored by the American Association of State Colleges and Universities might have been expected to strike new ground, to take that step that could lead to an exciting new relationship between university and newspaper.

Here were a prestigious national educational organization, generous financing from the John and Mary R. Markle Foundation, and talented investigators. Unfortunately, the result of this—The Campus Press—sets off on no new voyages of discovery.

Instead, it restates much of what has been said about the campus press. And its proposal for the basic journalist-institution relationship is the “independent” newspaper, a suggestion made in more guarded form some years ago in a study sponsored by the American Council on Education.

This new study consists of two sections, “The Campus Press” by Julius Duscha, and “The Law and the Campus Press,” by Thomas C. Fischer.

Yet Duscha would throw the campus press to the whims and pressures of the marketplace. His prescription for “independence” means reliance on the advertiser, that fellow who wants to appeal to the mass market.

Few student editors conceive of their newspapers as being designed for the “mass audience.” They do distinguish between the commercial press and the college press, a distinction The Campus Press fails to make, in part because of scant research, in part because to do so would invalidate the thesis of the study.

Student journalists consistently describe their kind of journalism as the “journalism of conscience.” This is closer to the journalism Duscha obviously admires than the journalism he advocates for the campus press.

Duscha gives us no information about the influence of advertisers on the campus press, information available through interviewing, polling, or simply reading the literature on the campus press.

Few respectable critics of the press—collegiate or commercial—would use “dollars and cents” as a criterion for assessing a newspaper’s quality. Duscha certainly would not. Sober men, good and true, often lose their perspective when the campus press is discussed.

This dollars and cents approach leads, Duscha, to suggest, that the campus newspaper “is sold rather than distributed freely, because although paid circulation will mean “a considerably smaller circulation...facing the test of the marketplace every day the student editors will usually be forced to turn out a far better paper than is their product relies solely on advertising and is given away daily.”

There is no proof that paid-circulation campus newspapers are any “better” than those distributed free to all on the campus. Circulation usually falls off when a newspaper goes from free to paid, as Duscha says.

But interviews with editors would have shown that many oppose reaching only the third to half the students on the campus that the paid-circulation newspaper goes to. This newspaper is hardly an effective force on the campus, they claim.

The Campus Press uses the word “independent” in a dollars and cents meaning. The independent newspaper is the one that is on its own financially. The most commonly accepted definition of independence in describing newspapers refers to its news and editorial policy.

There are many subsidized campus newspapers that are truly independent in their news and commentary, and there are many “independent” commercial newspapers whose heart, circumscribed by partisan and (or) financial interest, obviously knows.

Since the evidence shows that few campus newspapers play a role in the marketplace—which Duscha recognizes to be a half-way state between financial independence and the purpose of this drive to “independence”?

The ostensible reason, the one given in the study, is to remove the institution from responsibility for the student newspaper. But it can do that already by pointing to various state that the university is not legally the publisher, over campus newspapers. Moreover, Duscha recoils “license” these “independent” newspapers, a technique designed to quiet rancorous legislators and regents.

The actual reason for the movement toward independence, examination of the case studies Duscha presents, is “independence” merely a device to rid the college of dependence, critical voice.

The second section of The Campus Press is the most interesting. It is an extensive examination of court cases that demonstrate conclusively, again, that the restrictions to which too many advisers, admiring members of governing bodies, and others would ignore or design.

The Campus Press serves no useful purpose because it has not been said, frequently. Worse, it misses an argument beyond the present.

Perhaps it is time to recognize that the campus press is the commercial press, that it is part of an education that its very differences should be cherished and cultivated. What is the commercial press profits from the explorations of the campus press.

There are many questions that must be explored. Is the press tossed into the marketplace, to drift aimlessly reduce its readers to the same malaise that afflicts the commercial press.

Some of these questions that The Campus Press raises:

What is the nature of subsidy in the educational institutions? If students and the educators are subsidized, why not the campus newspaper?

Does subsidy compromise freedom?

Is advertising pressure less pernicious than university fee funding?

Should the student press be encouraged to remain independent?

Thus, Mencher has decimated The Campus Press. It is disconcerting to read an endorsement of the Duscha executive director, of AASCU, Dr. Allan W. Oster. He made letter that “the publication presents a balanced perspective, the development of operational guidelines and facilitate the role of the student press in America.”

(114) Educational ure Oster orders can be "misled" by superficial studies and the promise of succease from small or large problems.

Most appalling of all is that the report unhesitatingly lunes and universities together in one simplistic description for everywhere to set up independent, non-funded student presses.

Such advice would destroy campus student publications. If there is any doubt about this, a study of what has happened yearbooks in the last five years would be most convincing.

The impact of the myth-makers, of course, is not clear at press approach has been simplistic, didactic, and based upon superficial analysis covering only a limited number of examples.

In other words, the myth-makers really do not know what to say. How wide of reality they are to advance a theory of an press, is discussed in detail in chapter two.
move toward this type of "independence" has been conflict, the language of those advocating "independence" is couched in Jeffersonian terms intended to obviate a pragmatic step for the college. "Independence" is an impossibility for the great bulk of university presses. Newspapers will find it tough going, as the University of California at Berkeley learned after it was forced to pay for its circulation. The Editors would have shown that many oppose "independence," and there are many "independent" newspapers whose heart, soul, and mind are circumscribed by partisan and (or) financial interests, which Duscha obviously knows.

Since the evidence shows that few campus newspapers can survive the free play of the marketplace—which Duscha recognizes by suggesting a half-way state between financial independence and dependence—what is the purpose of this drive to "independence"? The ostensible reason, the one given in the study, is that "independence" removes the institution from responsibility for the student newspaper. The college can always point out that the publication is on its own.

But it can do that already by pointing to various federal decisions that state that the university is not legally the publisher, that it has no control over campus newspapers. Moreover, Duscha recommends that universities "license" these "independent" newspapers, which seems hardly a technique designed to quiet rancorous legislators or short-tempered regents.

The actual reason for the movement toward independence, as a full examination of the case studies Duscha presents, is that in many cases "independence" merely is a device to rid the campus of a truly independent, critical voice.

The second section of The Campus Press is the more useful one of the two. It is an extensive examination of court cases involving the student press that demonstrates conclusively, again, that the student press is free of the restraints that too many advisers, administrators, presidents, members of governing bodies, and others would place on it, through ignorance or design.

The Campus Press serves no useful purpose because it says nothing that has not been said, frequently. Worse, it misses an opportunity to move beyond the present.

Perhaps it is time to recognize that the campus press is different from the commercial press, that it is part of an educational scheme of things, that its very differences should be cherished and cultivated, and that even the commercial press profits from the explorations and experiments of the campus press.

There are many questions that must be explored before the campus press is tossed into the marketplace, to drift aimlessly and eventually to reduce its readers to the same malaise that afflicts readers of the commercial press.

Some of these questions that The Campus Press might have explored are:

What is the nature of subsidy in the educational institution? If physics and sociology instructors, if students and the educational institution itself are subsidized, why not the campus newspaper?

Does subsidy compromise freedom?

Is advertising pressure less perilous than university or student activity fee funding?

Should the student press be encouraged to remain an alternative-press?

Thus, Mencher has declaimed The Campus Press.

It is disconcerting to read an endorsement of the Duscha-AASCU report by the executive director of AASCU. Dr. Allan W. Oster. He proclaims in a promotional letter that "the publication presents a balanced perspective which will assist in the development of operational guidelines and facilitate better understanding of the role of the student press in America." (11) Educational leaders with the stature Oster commands can be misled by superficial studies containing fine phrases and the promise of succor from small or large problems.

Most appalling of all is that the report unhesitatingly lumps all the 2,800 colleges and universities together in one simplistic description and tells administrators everywhere to set up independent, non-funded student publications.

Such advice would destroy campus student publications in all but a few institutions. If there is any doubt about this, a study of what has been happening to student yearbooks in the last five years would be most convincing.

Impact of the myth-makers, of course, is not clear at present. Essentially, their approach has been simplistic, didactic, and based upon superficial and trivial investigation covering only a limited number of examples.

In other words, the myth-makers really do not know what they are talking about.

How wide of reality they are to advance a theory of an independent college press, is discussed in detail in chapter two.
This new study consists of two sections, "The Campus Press" by Julius Duscha, and "The Law and the Campus Press" by Thomas C. Fischer.

Yet Duscha would throw the campus press to the whims and pressures of the marketplace. His prescription for "independence" means reliance on the advertiser; that fellow who wants to appeal to the mass market.

Few student editors conceive of their newspapers as being designed for the "mass audience." They do distinguish between the commercial press and the college press, a distinction The Campus Press fails to make, in part because of scant research, in part because to do so would invalidate the thesis of the study.

Student journalists consistently describe their kind of journalism as the "journalism of conscience." This is closer to the journalism Duscha obviously admires than the journalism he advocates for the campus press.

Duscha gives us no information about the influence of advertisers on the campus press, information available through interviewing, polling, or simply reading the literature on the campus press.

Few respectable critics of the press—collegiate or commercial—would use "dollars and cents" as a criterion for assessing a newspaper's quality.

Duscha certainly would not. Sober men, good and true, often lose their perspective when the campus press is discussed.

This dollars and cents approach leads Duscha to suggest that the campus newspaper be sold rather than distributed freely, because although paid circulation will mean "a considerably smaller circulation,...facing the test of the marketplace every day the student editors will usually be forced to turn out a far better paper than if their product relies solely on advertising and is given away daily."

There is no proof that paid-circulation campus newspapers are any "better" than those distributed free to all on the campus. Circulation usually falls off when a newspaper goes from free to paid, as Duscha says.

But interviews with editors would have shown that many oppose reaching only the third to half the students on the campus that the paid-circulation newspaper goes to. This newspaper is hardly an effective force on the campus, they claim.

The Campus Press uses the word "independent" in a dollars and cents meaning. The independent newspaper is the one that is on its own financially. The most commonly accepted definition of independence in describing newspapers refers to its news and editorial policy.

There are many subsidized campus newspapers that are truly independent in their news and commentary, and there are many "in-
But, a suggestion made in more guarded form some years ago by the American Council on Education, is of two sections, "The Campus Press" by Julius and "The Campus Press" by Thomas C. Fischer. Now the campus press faces the whims and pressures of the "independence" means reliance on the commercial press has not been said, frequently. Worse, it misses an opportunity to move beyond the present.

Perhaps it is time to recognize that the campus press is different from the commercial press, that it is part of an educational scheme of things, that its very differences should be cherished and cultivated, and that even the commercial press profits from the explorations and experimentations of the campus press.

There are many questions that must be explored before the campus press is tossed into the marketplace, to drift aimlessly and eventually to reduce its readers to the same malaise that afflicts readers of the commercial press.

Some of these questions that The Campus Press might have explored are:

What is the nature of subsidy in the educational institution? If physics and sociology instructors, if students and the educational institution itself are subsidized, why not the campus newspaper?

Does subsidy compromise freedom?

Is advertising pressure less pernicious than university or student activity fee funding?

Should the student press be encouraged to remain an alternative press?

Thus, Mencner has declaimed. The Campus Press is an endorsement of the Duclsha-AASCU report by the Executive Director of AASCU, Dr. Allan M. Oster, in a promotional letter that "the publication presents a balanced perspective which will assist in the development of operational guidelines and facilitate better understanding of the role of the student press in America." Educational leaders with the stature of Oster commands can be misled by superficial studies containing fine phrases and the promise of succor from small or large problems.

Impact of the myth-makers, of course, is not clear at present. Essentially, their approach has been simplistic, didactic, and based upon superficial and trivial investigations covering only a limited number of examples.

In other words, the myth-makers really do not know what they are talking about.

How wide of reality they are to advance a theory of an independent college press, is discussed in detail in chapter two.
Chapter Two — The Myth Of Independence

Independence, like pregnancy, is one of the single-value terms in the language. Logically and actually, a person cannot be almost or even a little bit “independent” or “pregnant.” Not many college students consider pregnancy as a desired state of affairs. But “independence” seems an intriguing and delightful prospect to students as well as to all others. Perhaps independence can be discussed in varying terms in different fields. As for the campus press, independence to be real would have to insist:

1. The publication must be incorporated, but not as a non-profit, educational corporation.
2. The publication cannot receive student fee funds.
3. The publication cannot receive college or university subsidy, directly or indirectly.
4. The publication cannot use campus facilities or space.
5. The publication cannot enter into any publishing agreements with the university.
6. The publication cannot have a university adviser.
7. The university cannot pay debts or delay bankruptcy of the publication.
8. The university cannot supply technical assistance or advice.
9. The university cannot participate in the selection or dismissal of staff members—nor can it take disciplinary action against staff members.
10. The publication cannot have any relationship to any instructional program.
11. No university or college staff person can be on the board of directors of the publication.
12. There can be no stipulations of any kind in the incorporation charter which in any way relates the publication to the university or college.
13. Membership on the staff of the publication cannot be limited to or specify student status.
14. Readership cannot be confined primarily to students.
15. The name of the publication cannot contain the name of the college or university.
16. The publication cannot be accorded preferential distribution or sales arrangements by the university.
17. There must be no relationship between the publication and the student government.
18. Content of the publication cannot be confined to or dominated by university-related material.
19. No effort, overt or covert, can ever be made by any university person or agency to affect the content of the publication.
20. The university can in no way participate in any legal proceedings involving the publication.
21. The newspaper cannot qualify for a second-class educational mailing permit.

If a student newspaper, yearbook, magazine, or other publication can accurately report that it meets all of these stipulations, it probably can claim to be independent. Could a campus publication meet them? It is possible, but hardly any publication even tries. Perhaps the list seems far too demanding, but if “independence” means “independence” it is inescapable. If “independence” does not mean what the list specifies, then “independence” becomes a myth.

And such an “independence” myth is the stuff of the myth-makers and the uninformed.

In the NCCPA study of the legal status of the student press, a careful evaluation of the reported-repeated-suggested-thinking about incorporate and so-called “independent” press is made. Each campus newspaper and yearbook that had any claim of any kind of independence was checked to determine if that independence was real or only figurative.

Here is the report on 41 colleges wherein there seemed to be possibilities of “independence.” The list includes all publications for which data was available indicating incorporation, all publications mentioned as being or considering independence in articles by the myth-makers or others, and all publications claiming “independence” are included.

Anchorage Community College of the University of Alaska—Sometimes a member of the journalism faculty serves as an adviser for the student newspaper which is owned, operated, and controlled by the students. The student editor serves as “publisher.” The college does not have a yearbook.

University of Arkansas—Reportedly has set up a plan similar to the University of Maryland system. (12) The paper receives a $20,000 allocation plus free housing and is under supervision of a board of publications made up of students and faculty members. (12)
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The commission pointed out that an Autumn professional journalists seminar held for student editors and that skilled newspaper experts be brought in to discuss reporting, editing and other journalistic techniques.

An important hedges the commission suggested was that each of the nine campuses produce a newsletter or use other means to circulate official statements. Thus the cost of the total plan could conceivably be greater to each campus than had been the case earlier.

At Berkeley, one of the members of the board of directors of the corporation publishing the newspaper was a professor of journalism at the University.

The license granted to the Daily Californian has several specific provisions. (16) These include:

1. The University will not grant a similar license or its privileges to other newspapers or students.
2. The Daily publication is to be directed primarily but not exclusively to the students and employees of the Berkeley campus.
3. The Daily Californian will publish advertisements submitted to it by the University and will charge the University rates no higher than those charged other advertisers.
4. The Daily Californian can never own its name; the University retains all proprietary rights of that name.
5. The Daily Californian releases the University for all damages of any kind incurred by the paper.
6. The Daily Californian must purchase adequate libel liability insurance which must cover not only the student members of its staff but also the Regents of the University of California.
7. The Daily Californian must publish as part of its masthead the following information—
   "This publication is not an official publication of the University of California, but is published by an independent corporation using the name of the publication as The Daily Californian pursuant to a license granted by the Regents of the University of California.
8. The University will pay the paper $20,000 during each academic nine-month year for 2,500 subscriptions.
9. The Daily Californian will publish at least 120 issues each academic year (43 each quarter of 1971-72).
10. Changes of these provisions must be approved by the University of California.

These ten provisions indicate that the contract weakens considerably the strength of the supposed independence of the Daily Californian. The Articles of Incorporation further weaken that independence.

For example, the license for use of the name enhances the distribution possibilities of the newspaper on the campus. A question must arise then as to what would happen if the paper were to inform the Regents that its contract and re-contract with an alternate newspaper. This threat is clearly possible and could have a severe inhibiting influence on student editors dependent upon a favorable distribution system.

When the Articles of Incorporation (17) specify that three of the five members of the board of directors must be registered students at the University of California Berkeley campus, the paper does become tied to the University. Further, 75 per cent of the staff members also must be University of California registered students.

The Daily Californian managed fairly well during its first year of incorporation. Indeed, its circulation in 1973 of 31,000 is higher than the enrollment of the Berkeley campus. Comments by Christine E. Welcher, reported by Mel Mencher in an article appearing in the March 1973 issue of Quill raises questions about the success of the venture. She says, "The only merit I see is the freedom we have over our editorial page. Those who have actually benefited from our move off campus are the Regents of the University of California. Independence was never designed for the public. We have no editorial board, no student publication board, no editor, and no advisor. As for the campus press, independence is a myth."
publication.
12. There can be no stipulations of any kind in the incorporation charter which
in any way relates the publication to the university or college.
13. Membership on the staff of the publication cannot be limited to or specify
student status.
14. Readership cannot be confined primarily to students.
15. The name of the publication cannot contain the name of the college or uni-

16. The publication cannot be accorded preferential distribution or sales ar-
rangements by the university.
17. There must be no relationship between the publication and the student gov-
ernment.
18. Content of the publication cannot be confined to or dominated by university-
related material.
19. No effort, overt or covert, can ever be made by any university person or
agency to affect the content of the publication.
20. The university can in no way participate in any legal proceedings involving
the publication.
21. The newspaper cannot qualify for a second-class educational mailing per-
mit.

If a student newspaper, yearbook, magazine, or other publication can accurately
report that it meets all of these stipulations, it probably can claim to be inde-
pendent. Could a campus publication meet them? It is possible, but hardly any
publication ever tries: Perhaps the list seems far too demanding; but if "independ-
ence" means "independence" it is inescapable. If "independence" does not
mean what the list specifies, then "independence" becomes a myth.

And such an "independence" myth is the stuff of the myth-makers and the unin-
formed.

In the NCCPA study of the legal status of the student press, a careful evaluation of
the reported-repeated-suggested-thinking about incorporated and so-called
"independent" press is made. Each campus newspaper and yearbook that had
any claim of any kind of independence was checked to determine if that indepen-
dence was real or only figurative.

Here is the report on 61 colleges wherein there seemed to be possibilities of "in-
dependence." The list includes all publications for which data was available indi-
cating incorporation, all publications mentioned as being or considering indepen-
dence in articles by the myth-makers or others, and all publications claiming "in-
dependence" are included.

Anchorage Community College of the University of Alaska—Sometimes a
member of the journalism faculty serves as an adviser for the student newspaper
which is owned, operated, and controlled by the students. The student editor
serves as "publisher." The college does not have a yearbook.

University of Arkansas—reportedly has set up a plan similar to the Universi-
ity of Maryland system. (12) The paper receives a $20,000 allocation plus free
housing and is under supervision of a board of publications made up of students
and faculty members. (13)

Boston College—Although incorporated and proud of its independence, the student newspaper at Boston College is clearly not independent of student government or of the University generally. A member of the journalism faculty serves as an adviser. A re-structuring of the corporate structure recently was undertak-
en to make the newspaper more "responsive" to students and was "approved" by
members of the board of directors of the existing corporation, (14) the president
and vice president and members of the congress of the undergraduate govern-
ment of Boston College, the director of public relations, and the director of stu-
dent activities. Opinions were to be sought from the president of the college and
the student body generally. The yearbook is not incorporated and a faculty mem-
ber serves as adviser.

Boston University—There are two incorporated, independent newspapers in
operation at Boston University. The yearbook is not incorporated. Advisers are
members of the staff of the Office of Student Activities. They were formerly with
the School of Public Communications.

University of California—Student newspapers published on each of the nine
campuses of the University are the responsibility of the chancellor on each of the
campuses according to Leo Geier, assistant vice president. The newspaper of the
Berkeley campus is published by an independent, incorporated student coopera-
tive which is licensed to use the name Daily Californian by the Board of Regents
of the University. The student yearbook, however, is not incorporated and is pub-
lished by the Associated Students and is not considered to be independent of the
University. The Daily Californian has a ten-year contract with the University
which allows for the use of the name and also pays a yearly sum of $20,000
($200,000 for the ten years) for 2,500 staff subscriptions for University staff
members. The plan evolved after a special four-member commission made up of dis-
tinguished American journalists studied the newspaper's situation on the Univer-
sity's nine campuses. This commission reported that no ideal "solution" for the
needs of the campus press could be proposed. (15) The commission believed
newspapers should have adequate advising from non-students. It
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5. The Daily Californian releases the University for all damages of any kind in-
curred by the Daily Californian.
6. The Daily Californian must purchase adequate liability insurance which must
cover not only the student members of its staff but also the Regents of the
University of California.
7. The Daily Californian must publish as part of its masthead the following in-
formation—

“This publication is not an official publication of the University of
California, but is published by an independent corporation using the name
of the publication as the Daily Californian pursuant to a license granted
by the Regents of the University of California.”
8. The University will pay the paper $20,000 during each academic nine-month
year for 2,500 subscriptions.
9. The Daily Californian will publish at least 120 issues each academic year
(43 each quarter of 1971-72).
10. Changes of these provisions must be approved by the University of Cali-

These ten provisions indicate that the contract weakens considerably the
strength of the supposed independence of the Daily Californian. The Articles of In-
corporation further weaken that independence.

For example, the license for use of the name enhances the distribution possibili-
ties of the newspaper on the campus. A question must arise then as to what would
happen if the paper were to infurilate the University. The University could cancel
its contract and re-contract with an alternate newspaper. This threat is clearly
possible and could have a severe inhibiting influence on student editors dependent
upon a favorable distribution system.

When the Articles of Incorporation (17) specify that three of the five members
of the board of directors must be registered students at the University of Califor-
 interview with the paper. The paper does become tied to the University. Further, 75
percent of the staff members also must be University of California registered stu-
dents.

The Daily Californian managed fairly well during its first year of incorporation.
Indeed, its circulation in 1973 of 31,000 is higher than the enrollment of the Berke-
ley campus. Comments by Christine E. Weicher, reported by Mel Mencher in an
article appearing in the March 1973 issue of Quill raises questions about the suc-
cess of the venture. She says, “The only merit I see is the freedom we have over
our editorial page. Those who have actually benefited from our move off campus
are the Regents of the University of California. Independence was never designed
to help the newspaper, but rather the Berkeley administrations and the Regents
are now safe from possible libel suits and embarrassment.” To keep the paper
solvent, the paper has become “nothing more than a shopper two or three days of
the week,” she indicates.

Here are reactions to the Berkeley situation from another campus of the Uni-
versity of California as reported by Mencher:

“Independence was suggested to the staff of the Daily Nexus on the Santa Bar-
bara campus of the University of California after a conflict with the president, who
had commandeered the newspaper to publish a retraction that the staff felt
was unnecessary. Having watched the sad decline of the Daily Cal at Berkeley af-
after it was shoved off the campus by the University, we decided to remain very
wary of University motivations,” editor Mike Gordan said. (18) In 1973 a commit-
ttee is actively considering “independence” for the Nexus. Its formation was in-
spired by the Dusch-AASCU report.

The Daily Bruin of the Los Angeles campus of the University of California
(UCLA) is not incorporated and does receive student fee funds. Since it has been
spicy in content, it is frequently condemned and reputed to be independent. Ac-
demic officials have spent the last several years ignoring the journalism depart-
ment and instructional program which had at one time demonstrated consider-
able strength and potential.

The paper circulates 22,000 (19) copies for UCLA’s 28,000 students. (20)

Carroll College, Waukesha, Wis.—Students of Carroll College publish a stu-
dent newspaper which has no faculty adviser and is considered independent of the
college. However, this evaluation was submitted by a college official serving as
chairman of the communications committee.

Colorado College, Colorado Springs, Colo.—An independent corporation pub-
lishes the student newspaper and the student yearbook at Colorado College. These
publications have complete freedom throughout the history of the college. Its
board of directors includes two faculty members and the paper receives student
fee funds from the college.

Colorado University, Boulder, Colo.—The Colorado Daily is an incorporated
newspaper with a daily circulation of 13,000 copies for the University’s enrollment
of 21,171. The student yearbook, however, is published by the Associated Students
and is not considered to be independent. The Articles of Incorporation specify that
six members of the board of directors must be students and the seventh member
must be a faculty member of Colorado University. (21) In 1968, the Daily ceased
receiving student fees. It does have rent-free space provided because the paper is
The corporation has eight members who constitute a board. These are faculty members appointed by the Chancellor who of them to be chairman of the board and President of the College are to be students selected in a manner to be present. The board has general supervision of the newspaper, yearbook, and establishes rules and regulations for their conduct. The company makes financial reports that are required by the University. The student body is incorporated as an independent of the College.

University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa—The student body is incorporated and publishes 15,000 copies of the daily student newspaper, whose publisher is on the editorial board. The official Student Affairs Activity and is not incorporated nor independent of the College.

University of Colorado Denver Center, Denver, Colo.—The Spectator is the daily newspaper which is financed by University funds. It is ideologically independent of the University, but it is not incorporated.

Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y.—The Cornell Daily Sun is incorporated and considers itself independent of the University. The University printer, auditor, and counsel serve as advisers to the staff.

Dartmouth University, New Haven, Conn.—Students publish a daily newspaper with a circulation of 3,500 for 7,972 students. It is incorporated and its board of directors includes faculty members, students, and alumni.

University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kan.—The University is incorporated and publishes the daily newspaper 'The Jayhawker' with a circulation of 10,000 for 9,217 students. The Jayhawker yearbook board is also incorporated. It is supported in part by student government fees. Two faculty members serve as advisers to the staff.

Fort Hchen College, St. Louis, Mo., reports that it has an independent newspaper which is not incorporated. The newspaper is financed by student fees.

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Fla.—The University president decided he could no longer serve as 'Publisher' of the Student. He celebrated his escape by taking away a $92,000 annual student activity fee allocation. But the Spectator is having great difficulty raising enough funds to sustain its $200,000 annual operations. It started in 1974 with a $40,000 deficit. The University decided to help out with free space and facilities and a $22,000 annual purchase of advertising. This buys a full page four days a week for an official University bulletin page. The copy is furnished by administrative officials.

University of Florida, Gainesville, Fla.—A worried University president recently was instrumental in setting up the Florida Alligator as an independent newspaper. The paper had been bothersome to the University from a content standpoint for some time. The state attorney general has ruled that university presidents could neither censor newspapers nor be held liable for damaging statements in them. The Alligator is priced at 90 cents for 18,317 students. The newspaper is financed by student fees. The new President sought to control the paper's content. When he discovered he could not do so legally he solved his problem by imposing something called independence.

Students at the University voted 8 to 1 to keep allocating funds to the paper. The imposed independence involves rental of $217,000 worth of printing equipment used by the paper and the use of University space and facilities.

Under the Florida plan, the assistant manager of the Campus Shop and Bookstore serves as general manager and on the Board of Directors. The advertising manager is on the Board as is a professional journalist. The other four board members of the President's commission to design his plan became board of directors for the new corporation. The President did not plan the paper for the University Senate. Members of the Student Senate condemned the President's plan for the University Senate. Members of the Student Senate condemned the President's
The corporation has eight members who constitute a board of directors. Four of these are faculty members appointed by the Chancellor who also designates one of them to be chairman of the board and President of the Company. The remaining four are to be students selected in a manner to be presented by the Chancellor. The board has general supervision of the newspaper, yearbook, and student radio station and establishes rules and regulations for their control. The President of the company makes financial reports that are required by the University.

Ithaca College, Ithaca, N.Y.—The Ithacan Publishing Company is a separate corporation independent of the College and is the publisher of the student newspaper, whose publisher is on the editorial board. The student yearbook is an official Student Affairs Activity and is not incorporated nor is it considered to be independent of the College.

Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa—The Iowa State Daily Publication Board is incorporated and publishes 15,000 copies of the daily newspaper for the University’s 19,790 students. The yearbook is published by its publication board which is also incorporated.

Members of the journalism faculty serve as advisers for the newspaper which is supported in part by student government fees. Two faculty members serve as advisers to the yearbook.

University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa—Student Publications, Inc., publishes the newspaper and yearbook at the University. A University official (Mr. Frank F. Hash) serves as publisher. These publications have operated independently from the editorial standpoint but are financially dependent on the University. The 1972 yearbook ended the life of that publication; a part-time instructor had served as its adviser. Graduate students are assigned supervising jobs at the paper which is housed in University space. The School of Journalism at the University has experienced recent years of turmoil because of a reorganized curriculum which eventually lost Journalism accreditation for Iowa. The relationship between the paper and the journalism program wavered between no association to strong association, the latter of which seems to have prevailed as the journalism program has launched a re-structuring of its total operation to become eligible for accreditation.

University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kan.—The University Daily Kansas Board is incorporated and publishes the daily newspaper circulating 19,000 copies for 21,232 students. The Jayhawker yearbook board is also incorporated. Neither the newspaper nor the yearbook is considered independent of the University. Members of the journalism faculty serve as advisers for the newspaper staff.

University of Kentucky, Lexington, Ky.—The Kernel Corporation was incorporated a year ago to publish the daily newspaper with a circulation of 11,000 for the 20,455 students. The yearbook is published by a board of student publications and is not considered to be independent of the University. For a period of time both the newspaper and the yearbook were troublesome performers; a disillusioned administration was on the verge of dropping them both. It hesitated since the half million dollars contributed to the University by the newspaper from its profits established at least a sentimental tie. The University hired a new publisher for these publications. This energetic official and the remarkable young man who served as editor managed to incorporate the newspaper, which eased away from an annual $40,000 student fee allocation to complete dependence on advertising income. A full-time professional advertising director was employed to assure adequate advertising income.

A series of articles has proclaimed and boasted about the independent status of the Kentucky Kernel during the last two years. That independence is not complete nor pure by any stretch of imagination.

First, the publisher who is general manager and editorial staff adviser is a university official whose salary is paid for from University funds. She has university duties other than those associated with the newspaper; consequently, she works long, hard hours. Seven of the 13 members of the initial board of directors were college officials. (32) The articles of incorporation require that assets shall be transferred to the University to provide journalism scholarships if the newspaper is ever dissolved. The newspaper is located in the journalism building; journalism students can earn limited academic credit by working on its staff, and journalism faculty members are available to that staff for pleasant, informal advice.

The paper had improved markedly during the last year or so; neither could it pay a $16,000 telephone bill (which would have been about half that amount). The paper lost $16,000 in its telephone expense. Meanwhile, it has managed to find financial disasters everywhere; (from the University to buy typesetting equipment which would have been about half that amount). The paper is in the red; neither could it pay a $16,000 telephone bill (which would have been about half that amount). The paper has improved markedly during the last year or so; neither could it pay a $16,000 telephone bill (which would have been about half that amount). The paper is in the red; neither could it pay a $16,000 telephone bill (which would have been about half that amount).

Second, its advertising income is not sufficient to cover its costs; the newspaper could be in the black. Marks: Mike Wines, its editor, and the publisher, Mrs. Nancy Green. It recently managed to find financial disasters every-where; (from the University to buy typesetting equipment which would have been about half that amount). The paper is in the red; neither could it pay a $16,000 telephone bill (which would have been about half that amount).

Third, the University funds. It is ideologically Independent editor didn’t even know the name of the single faculty advisor. Chris Wood, a more recent and perception of financial independence as providing no ben-efit to students.

Fourth, students can earn academic credit by working on the staff, and journal-ism faculty members are available to that staff for pleasant, informal advice.

Fifth, the University printer, auditor, and on the Board of Directors. The advertising director was employed to assure adequate advertising income.
Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y.—The Cornell Daily Sun is incorporated and considers itself independent of the University. The University printer, auditor, and counsel serve as advisers to the staff.

Dartmouth University, New Haven, Conn.—Students publish a daily newspaper with a circulation of 3,500 for 3,792 students. It is incorporated and its board of directors includes faculty members, students, and alumni.

Denver University, Denver, Colo.—The Denver Clarion is a daily with a circulation of 9,000 for 9,119 students. The paper has rent-free housing and an $18,000-a-year allocation from the University which exercises no controls on its content. The Clarion has enjoyed this status and system for many years.

The Clarion has enjoyed this status and system for many years. A visitor to the campus in 1962 found that the student editor didn't even know the name of the single journalism professor on the faculty. Chris Wood, a more recent and perceptive editor, dismissed a suggestion of financial independence as providing no benefits that the paper does not now have.

Fort Bonne College, St. Louis, Mo., reports that it has an independent newspaper which is not incorporated. Sometimes faculty members serve as advisers to the staff.

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Fla.—The University president decided he could no longer serve as "Publisher" of the Florida Alligator. He celebrated his escape by taking away a $20,000 annual student activity fee allocation. But the Alligator is escaping having raised enough funds to sustain its $200,000 annual operations. It started 1973-74 with a $40,000 deficit. The University decided to help out with free space and facilities and a $22,000 annual purchase of advertising, thus buying a full four-page-a-day for an official University bulletin page. The copy is furnished by administrative officials.

University of Florida, Gainsville, Fla.—A worried University president recently was instrumental in setting up the Florida Alligator as an "independent" paper. (26) The paper had been bothersome to the University from a content standpoint and a financial standpoint for some time. The state attorney general has ruled that university presidents could neither censor newspapers nor be held liable for damaging statements in them. The Alligator has a circulation of 15,000 for 18,317 students. The president had had continuous confrontations with faculty, students, and student journalists. One fired an editor in 1966. In 1969 the American Association of University Professors described the University as repressive. The new president sought to control the paper's content. When he discovered he could not do so legally, he solved his problem by imposing something called independence. (27)

Students at the University voted 8 to 1 to keep allocating funds to the paper. The imposed independence involves rental of $217,000 worth of printing equipment used by the paper and the use of University space and facilities.

Under the Florida plan, the assistant manager of the Campus Shop and Bookstore serves as general manager and on the Board of Directors. The advertising manager is on the Board as a professional journalist. The other four board members are the President's commission to design his plan became board of directors for the new corporation. The President did not submit the plan to the University Senate. Members of the student Senate condemned the President's move, marched on his home the night he announced the plan, to deliver a resolution of condemnation since his action "completely ignored the wishes of the student body." (28)

The Florida Alligator case is far from settled. Its financial future is indeed precarious. The president who was the nemesis of the paper is now the University official—whose salary is paid for from University funds. So duties other than those associated with the newspaper can be long, hard hours. Seven of the 13 members of the Florida Alligator college officials. (32) The articles of incorporation require that transferred to the University to provide journalistic scholarships is ever dissolved. The newspaper is located in the journalism building and printing plant on campus; perhaps a pleasant and more intelligent situation for them or...no co-conspirators is an independent newspaper.

LaGrange College, LaGrange, Ga.—The students at LaGrange publish a newspaper and a yearbook, each of whom editors are consuls and faculty advisers are provided. The publications are considered independent of the faculty advisers are provided. Neither publication is incorporated.

Los Angeles College of Optometry, Los Angeles, Calif.—The student editors of the newspaper and the yearbook are publishers which are considered independent of the College which provides facilities. The publications are not incorporated.

University of Maine at Portland-Gorham, Portland, Maine—The student newspaper and yearbook at this branch campus serve publications considered independent of the University press. The publications are not incorporated.

University of Maryland, College Park, Md.—Maryland Media is granted funds from student fees by the Student Association. Two University faculty members are on the board of charter provisions. It uses rent-free space and is available to that staff for pleasant work. The paper improved markedly during the last year or so due to the skill of Mike Wines, its editor, and the publisher, Mrs. Nancy G., who exposed an athletic tutoring scandal which had been festering for some time.

The success of the Kernel Press, Inc., is still not assured since it cannot be cited finally yet. So far it has become incorporated, editorially, purchased typesetting equipment, and stabilized its ads to compensate for the withdrawal of student fees. Although the paper has been adversely criticized for each of these achievements none of them or no co-conspirators is an independent newspaper.

Maryland Media is granted funds from student fees by the Student Association. Two University faculty members are on the board charter provisions. It uses rent-free space and is available to that staff for pleasant work. The paper improved markedly during the last year or so due to the skill of Mike Wines, its editor, and the publisher, Mrs. Nancy G., who exposed an athletic tutoring scandal which had been festering for some time.

The success of the Kernel Press, Inc., is still not assured since it cannot be cited finally yet. So far it has become incorporated, editorially, purchased typesetting equipment, and stabilized its ads to compensate for the withdrawal of student fees. Although the paper has been adversely criticized for each of these achievements none of them or no co-conspirators is an independent newspaper.
The Daily Illini is an incorporated daily of the University's 19,370 students. Both it and the dependent editorially from the University. Mr. Rich- lisher for the two publications. The Charter provi- company indicates these publications are not inde- purposes of the company; these words clearly the University operation and the Chancellor of the University of Illinois, work to publish and distribute student publica-
prior approval since it is independent of the University as far as content is concerned.

Article six of the Academic Freedom Report for Students at Michigan State University defines student publications as publications in which students have been involved in writing, publishing, and distributing and includes publications of student living units, governing groups, student organizations, and other student groups. Students are assured maximum freedom of expression and ideas in such publications. Administrative units also may authorize funds for and assume sponsorship of publications germane to the administrative unit. The Wolverine yearbook and other such publications are designated as University Publications. Full freedom of content and editorial policy is guaranteed to all such publications subject only to the advice and counsel of the administrator or administrative unit. Circulation and subscription sales are rigidly regulated. (35)

33. Michigan University, Ann Arbor, Mich.—The Michigan Daily produces 10,000 copies for the University's 36,507 students. It and the yearbook are published by a Board for Student Publications which includes faculty members in its membership.

In the Code of Ethics (36) statement of the Daily, which every staff member is admonished to read and understand, the "inducement" of the paper becomes quite unclear. The preamble indicates "As a newspaper published by the University of Michigan it is incumbent upon the Daily always to live at heart the Interests of the University, and to refrain from any such activity as may compromise the University in the eyes of the Legislature. The code for the Michigan Daily prescribes:

1. The editorial page shall not reflect one point of view to the exclusion of all others.
2. Editorialists badly written, in poor taste, or based on faulty thinking shall be denied publication.
3. Racial or religious bias cannot be in editorials.
4. Political discussion shall be confined specifically to issues and shall never embrace personal attacks on political figures.
5. The Daily will not take sides in elections to the Board of Regents.
6. There shall be no discussion of state appropriations to the University without previous editorial consultation with members of the Board In Control of Student Publications.
7. Members of the staff are to seek advice and assistance from faculty members throughout the campus.
8. All interviews with faculty members shall be checked with the interviewee either personally or by phone before they are published.
9. Faculty and University lectures shall whenever possible be checked with the head of the department sponsoring the particular lecture.
10. Sex crimes shall not be discussed in news or editorial columns.
11. Violent crimes, except of immediate local interest, shall not be discussed.
12. Suicides of alumni shall not be reported, except if they occur in the immediate vicinity, in which case they shall be dealt with extreme care.
13. Crimes involving students or faculty shall not be reported without first notifying the proper University authorities.
14. News items of a pornographic nature shall have no place in the Daily.

In a practical sense, student staff members generally ignore these prohibitions written in 1940 because they are journalistically ludicrous; consequently, the Board is frequently in session to look into matters.

Michael Raddock, vice president for university relations, reports that the public doesn't really consider the Daily as being independent of the University. "I've got plenty of letters to prove it," he says. (37)

Despite the above data, the Michigan Daily is frequently pointed to as an example of an "independent" newspaper.

The newspaper has been strong financially. Its building was paid for from annual purchases and its bulk subscriptions have to be contracted with the company. (41)

University of Oregon, Eugene, Ore.—The Oregonian, published 10,000 copies of a daily newspaper directed includes three faculty members appointed by the student body president and three faculty members appointed by the students of Oregon University. Each year the student government for bulk subscriptions of the newspaper have to be contracted with the company. (41)

Princeton University, Princeton, N.J.—The Daily Princetonian, published 2,900 copies of a daily newspaper directed by the student's board of directors. The newspaper has no off-campus and the UNIVERSITY BUYS SUBSCRIPTION. It does not have to obtain a student organization of the corporation at the University. The daily Princetonian is frequently cited as an example of a free press for students. (42)

Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa. Paper, but it obviously is very dependent on annuity, a board dominated by representatives of student participation. (40)

Queens College of the City University of New York is published by Student Press, Inc. A second new newspaper is being considered as a club chartered by the college. Both are considered independent by faculty members and administrative directors.

Saint Leo College, Saint Leo, Fla.—The student paper, the Saint Leo Knight, is an independent newspaper. This view grew out of the fact that the college is chartered by the state and has no University. The college is supported by tuition and other funds. (41)

Salisbury State College, Salisbury, Md.—The process of incorporation is complicated. University of South Dakota, Vermillion, S. D. Yearbook is published by an incorporated student association. Student members include two students, association president and approved by the student body. (38)

Student publications can be assigned to the Board of Directors, the newspaper and yearbook are published by the student association. (38)
A journalism faculty member is an ex-officio member of the board. He also serves as adviser for the newspaper and yearbook. 

Student publications can be assigned to the board's jurisdiction by the student government. Press runs have diminished to about 2,500 copies.

The yearbook is published by an incorporated Student Publications Board. The by-laws of the Student Publications Company, Inc., had to be approved by the Student Senate.

The College, Ann Arbor, Mich.—The Michigan Daily produces the University's 36,507 students. It and the yearbook are published by an incorporated Student Publications Board. The by-laws of the Student Publications Company, Inc., had to be approved by the Student Senate.

The Phoenix newspaper is published by Student Press, Inc. A second newspaper, Newsbeaf, is published by the same company. (41)
4. Political discussion shall be confined specifically to issues and shall never embrace personal attacks on political figures.

5. The Dally will not take sides in elections to the Board of Regents.

6. There shall be no discussion of state appropriations to the University without previous editorial consultation with members of the Board in Control of Student Publications.

7. Members of the staff are to seek advice and assistance from faculty members throughout the campus.

8. All interviews with faculty members shall be checked with the interviewee either personally or by phone before they are published.

9. Reports of University lectures shall whenever possible, be checked with the head of the department sponsoring the particular lecture.

10. Sex crimes shall not be discussed in news or editorial columns.

11. Violent crimes, except of immediate local interest, shall not be discussed.

12. Suicides of alumni shall not be reported, except if they occur in the immediate vicinity, in which case they shall be dealt with among extreme care.

13. Crimes involving students or faculty shall not be reported without first notifying the proper University authorities.

14. News items of a pornographic nature shall have no place in the Dally.

In a practical sense, student staff members generally ignore these prohibitions written in 1940 because they are journalistically ludicrous; consequently, the Board is frequently in session to look into matters.

Michael Raddock, v-vice-president for university relations, reports that the public doesn't really consider the Daily as being independent of the University. “I’ve got plenty of letters to prove it,” he says. (37)

Despite the above data, the Michigan Dally is frequently pointed to as an example of an “independent” newspaper.

The newspaper has been strong financially. Its building was paid for from yearbook and newspaper profits and it pays for utilities. Even so it frequently has a sizable profit each year.

New York University, New York City, N.Y.—New York University is a private university of 42,000 students in 16 schools and colleges. The Washington Square Center has three publications which fall in the newspaper category: Washington Square Journal, which is the sports, news, and features paper with a circulation of 20,000. Cold Duck is a weekly “new journalism” paper which deals with the arts, long political columns, humor and which also uses New York Magazine syndicate service features (circulation 10,000). Commentator is the School of Law weekly, which deals with in-school matters and the social action programs of the law school.

The administration of the University considers the editorial boards of the newspapers to be the agency publishing them. The papers use phrases like “published by the students of N.Y.U.”; for would be a more accurate word than “by.”

North Carolina Central College—The Campus Echo had its university funds cut off. It became defunct, but a recent court decision restored its funds. (38)

Northland College, Ashland, Wis.—All campus communications media are under the total control of the Northland College Communications Commission, Inc. These include the student newspapers, the yearbook, a literary magazine, and a radio station. This Incorporation is considered strong enough to make the publications “absolutely, legally, and actually Independent of the College.” But members of the faculty serve as advisers on an informal basis. A description of the Commission raises questions about “independence.”

The Communications Commission (39) is composed of nine members. Three are students selected by the Student Association. Four student editors belong. One faculty member and one administrator serve. If there is a dispute on the Commission, a student Supreme Court settles it. The Communications Commission has an independent budget and the College serves as collection agency.

There is no way the College or the Student Association can censor any campus media, and the Communications Commission is prohibited from doing so by its by-laws.

The Commission appoints the four editors. Each editor appoints a staff which must be made up of students and the Commission must confirm these appointments.

Among the reasons the Commission can use to dismiss an editor are these:

1. publication of slanderous, libelous, or defamatory statements, or the broadcast thereof, as defined by the Northland College Criminal Code, though no prosecution under the criminal code need occur.

2. the publication or broadcasting of demonstrably false or misleading statements designed to cause panic or damage to persons or property

The by-laws of the Commission clearly indicate that Northland College really does not comprehend the nature of libel.

Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill.—The Students Publishing Company, Inc., publishes the yearbook and the daily newspaper which circulates 11,000 copies for 15,000 students. A chemistry department faculty member serves as an of the Board of Student Publications which also is the board of directors corporation and includes three faculty members and one alumnus all ap-
The yearbook is a chartered student organization and is not considered independent. As a matter of fact, an administrative official approved yearbook content prior to publication. The newspaper has no official tie, yet it is provided office space and the UNIVERSITY BUYS SUBSCRIPTIONS FOR FREE DISTRIBUTION. It does not have to obtain a student organization charter to function. Students and faculty are members of the corporation's board. The corporation structure is currently under revision.

Princeton University, Princeton, N.J.—The Daily Princetonian Publishing Company, Inc., publishes 2,900 copies of a daily newspaper for the University's 5,241 students. The yearbook is sponsored by the junior and senior classes. The Princeton tradition of a free press for students dates back to the 18th Century. A faculty member is on the board of directors.

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Ind.—The Exponent publishes 6,000 copies in a University with 26,199 students. Occasionally, it is cited as an example of an independent newspaper. This view grew out of a clash with the administration which was brought to a halt by those officials. The Exponent which has a strong tradition has deteriorated badly during the last several years. It borrowed money to buy an offset press from the University Foundation. It is located in the Student Union building. Its fortunes are at low ebb now. Unable to make payments on the press it is trying to find a buyer for the press who would be willing to print the paper. Press runs have diminished to about 2,500 copies.

Queens College of the City University of New York—The Phoenix newspaper is published by Student Press, Inc. A second newspaper, Newsbeat, is published as a club chartered by the college. Both are considered independent of the University. However, faculty members and administrators are members of the board of directors.

Saint Leo College, Saint Leo, Fla.—The student newspaper is considered to be independent of the college "to a great extent as is the yearbook."

Saint Mary's College, Notre Dame, Ind.—The student newspaper operates independently of the college but the yearbook has advisers, one of whom approves content prior to publication.

Salisbury State College, Salisbury, Md.—The student newspaper is in the process of incorporation.

University of South Dakota, Vermillion, S.D.—The campus newspaper and yearbook are published by an incorporated Student Publications Board. The by-laws of this board indicate that the principal office of the publications shall be the University. The board members include two students appointed by the student association president and approved by the student senate; two students elected by all students, a student appointed by the head of the journalism division, and one appointed by the public relations office. The latter two are non-voting members. A journalism faculty member is an ex-officio member of the board. He also serves as adviser for the newspaper and yearbook.

Student publications can be assigned to the board's jurisdiction by the student association president and by university officials who are sponsors of such publications. The board appoints and dismisses and establishes salaries. It is financially and legally responsible for editorial content and financial transactions.

San Francisco State College, San Francisco, Calif.—Although the newspaper is incorporated it is not considered independent of the College. The Associated Students publish the paper. The Journalism Department also publishes a newspaper as part of their laboratory classes.

Stanford University, Palo Alto, Calif.—The Stanford Daily has received considerable notice recently as the new independent newspaper. Its corporation is operated by a nine-member board, five of whom are students. Student fee funds and University bulk subscriptions are being discontinued over a three-year period. The paper pays for alternate income possibilities. Success of the plan cannot be evaluated until 1976. The University will have to provide housing at a nominal rent charge since it has a building constructed from funds specifically provided for such a building.

State University of New York at Stonybrook, N.Y.—The newspaper and yearbook are considered independent. The newspaper is published by the Students Association which is not incorporated. A visiting lecturer provides advice in an unofficial capacity and as a favor to the staff.

Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Tex.—The Board of Student Publications is currently publishing the student newspaper. A Student's Publishing Company is seeking incorporation. At present the director of student publications works with each staff. He is an associate professor of journalism.

University of Tennessee—The Daily Beacon of the Knoxville campus tried "independence" for a while but was not done with in debt that the University had to reflect in student activity and pay off its debts. The student publications at the Chattanooga campus are not considered independent and have faculty members as advisers.

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tenn.—All student publications at Vandy, bilt University are part of Vanderbilt Student Communications, Inc. and are considered independent of the University in a legal sense.
Vassar College, Poughkeepsie, N.Y.—The newspaper and yearbook at Vassar College are both considered to be independent of the College. This plan is not a new one at Vassar since the publications have always been independent. They are published by the staff and are not incorporated.

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va.—The Board of Visitors of the University of Virginia is considered the publisher of the newspaper—an incorporated daily with 10,000 circulation for 15,000 students. The yearbook is also incorporated and appears to be more independent of the University than the newspaper is.

Washington University, St. Louis, Mo.—Campus Publications, Inc., publishes the newspaper and is being petitioned to re-establish a yearbook. Both are considered independent of the University which nonetheless provides for a publications adviser from the student affairs area. An associate professor of accounting is president of the corporation whose board includes four faculty members appointed by the Faculty Senate Council, two administrators appointed by the chancellor from student affairs and from the University Publications Office, two students appointed by the Student Union, and two students from publications staffs. (44)

Western Illinois University, Macomb, Ill.—The Student Government Association contracts with a private, incorporated publisher to produce a newspaper whose staff is hired by that publisher who uses students and non-students as paid employees. The Student Government Association publishes a yearbook whose staff is advised about content prior to publication by a director of publications. The newspaper system is an attempt to sidestep an Illinois legal provision which declares each Illinois state college or university is publisher of student publications which are organized with institutional sponsorship, supported in whole or part, with state funds originating from student activity fees. Each institution is directed to promulgate policies aimed at sustaining publications of high quality.

Institutional leadership is responsible for student publications in accord with the objectives of the institutions. The Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities endorses the principle of freedom of expression and recognizes the obligations, legal and ethical, common to all publications of high quality. The truth and judgment implicit in responsible communications are expected to be observed in assessing whether material for students publications satisfies such obligations. (45)

The Western Illinois system appears to be in violation of the rules established by the Board of Governors.

William Patterson College, Wayne, N.J.—The student newspaper and yearbook of William Patterson are both considered independent of the college. The newspaper is incorporated. Both publications are funded by the student government association.

Roger Williams College, Bristol, R.I.—The newspaper is published by Student Publications, Inc., and the yearbook is published by student government. A faculty adviser approves yearbook content prior to publication and it is not considered independent of the college as the newspaper is. The board of directors is made up of students and the paper receives student fee money.

University of Wisconsin at Green Bay—The newspaper is considered independent of the University. It is published by students and is not incorporated. It is supported by student fee funds. The newspaper is covered by the 1965 statement of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin which encourages and supports freedom of expression in student publications.

University of Wisconsin at Madison, Wis.—The Daily Cardinal publishes 8,500 copies for the University's 34,000 students. There is also a semi-weekly paper. Both the newspapers and yearbook are incorporated. Although considered independent of the University, both must be controlled by students. The Board of Regents encourages and supports freedom of expression in student publications. The Board believes that no Regent, legislator, or other person should be able to prescribe what shall be orthodox and therefore acceptable for publication and what shall be unorthodox and therefore interdicted. (46)

Sophomores elect two and juniors elect one member of the board of the Wisconsin Badger yearbook corporation. Each board member stays in office until the end of his senior year. Three faculty members appointed by the President serve as advisory members of the Board. (47)

Five members of the board of the Cardinal daily newspaper must be students, elected by students in campus-wide voting. Three faculty members are appointed by the President of the University. (48)

The Student Life and Interests Committee of the University of Wisconsin has the same jurisdiction over the Daily Cardinal Corporation which it has over other student organizations except that it has no voice in matters of editorial or business policy or in the selection of retention of the personnel (except as to faculty) of the Daily Cardinal. (49)

Yale University, New Haven, Conn.—The Yale Daily News circulates 4,000 copies among 8,900 students. It is cited often as an independent newspaper. Its yearbook, The Yale Book, is a joint publication of the students, staff members and alumni who served as staff have student publications that could measure up to the 21 criteria an "independent" publication. The two are the Harvard Daily News. Each of these newspapers have an all-alumnus staff that do qualify under the 21 criteria use the names of their universities. Perhaps others might do not support such claims at present.

This datum is overwhelming since the NCCPA study publications in 899 colleges and universities.

In fact and preference, America's institutions of higher rushed, trended, or limped to anything which could be dependent college press.

At this point it well may be appropriate to ask if being University and its students is a desirable state. An answer, campus press should emulate the commercial press and be.

Such a view would suggest again that an analysis would not the publications should be independent from.

Daily newspapers are organized as commercial enter States. It's better business to own more than one newspaper chain of newspapers could be the best business of all newspapers published in the United States in 1973, most are chain or group. (50) One hundred sixty-six newspaper chain of newspapers. Twenty-four large chains own 506 dailies, with one 562 dailies. Only 693 dailies are "independently" owned and are also frequently owned by chains. Perhaps some would dependence is not too important.

The Booth Newspaper Group, for example, is a small speaking. But its annual report for 1972 indicates a total more than $73,000,000 for its eight dailies.

Booth's commitment to its shareholders is to increase investment in the company over the long term. Achievement requires an equal commitment to building a professional viable rooted in the pursuit of excellence, in which people at all levels fulfill potential, according to the 1972 annual report. This is grand language. John S. Knight wrote a strong ownership for his group. He indicated that the Knight group provides sensible business practices for newspapers whose freewill is not within the chain. (51)

But W. J. Valentine, publisher of the Antelope Valley California, took the group idea to task by telling Editor as that “you can't be a free enterpriser, and be a group operated. It's a contradiction in terms, he said.

If group ownership can arouse a debate on the "indepen dential press, perhaps an additional discussion would political affiliations of several newspapers. The N. W. Ayer calls records these official allegiances.

In the United States at least 251 daily newspapers have be independently Republican or actually part of the Republican committee's committees are higher at 281 designation newspapers are automatically aligned politically. The Republican papers signed up, while the Democrats can list 123 these figures which reveal a third of America's commercial political view could trigger questioning of the strength political relationship.

Newspapers would, of course, point out this classification designed to obtain approval to publish legal advertisements be tagged as a thinly disguised plan to provide indirect through state regulations.

On the federal level, newspapers enjoy a form of indirect use the names of their universities. Perhaps others might, corporate reality or small government regulations for campus press could be also considered free even though arrangements with its university. But the college press is no college.

Certainly, incorporation does not mean "independence really doesn't provide the environment of freedom which
have student publications that could measure up to the 21 criteria of what constitutes an "independent" publication. The two are the Harvard Crimson and the Yale Daily News. Each of these newspapers have an all-alumni board of directors in their corporations, but they do qualify under the 21 criteria except that they do use the names of their universities. Perhaps others might in the future, but data does not support such claims at present.

This datum is overwhelming since the NCCPA study encompassed 1,452 publications in 899 colleges and universities.

In fact and preference, America's institutions of higher education have not rushed, trended, or limped to anything which could be considered an "independent" college press.

At this point it well may be appropriate to ask if being "independent" of the University and its students is a desirable state. An answer would be that the campus press should emulate the commercial press and be "independent."

Such a view would suggest again that an analysis would need some idea of what the publications should be independent from.

Daily newspapers are organized as commercial enterprises in the United States. It's better business to own more than one newspaper; indeed, an entire chain of newspapers could be the best business of all. Of the 1,761 daily newspapers published in the United States in 1973, most are part of a newspaper chain or group. (50) One hundred sixty-six newspaper chains own 1,068 daily newspapers. Twenty-four large chains own 506 dailies, while 142 smaller chains own 552 dailies. Only 693 dailies are "independently" owned. Weekly newspapers are also frequently owned by chains. Perhaps some would say this form of "independence" is not too important.

The Booth Newspaper Group, for example, is a small chain, comparatively speaking. But its annual report for 1972 indicates a total operating revenue of more than $73,000,000 for its eight dailies.

Booth's commitment to its shareholders is to increase the value of their investment in the company over the long term. Achievement of this goal in turn requires an equal commitment to building a professional working environment, rooted in the pursuit of excellence, in which people at all levels can grow to their fullest potential, according to the 1972 annual report.

This is grand language. John S. Knight wrote a strong defense of group ownership for his group. He indicated that the Knight group is a necessity to provide sensible business practices for newspapers whose editors operate very freely within the chain. (51)

But W. J. Valentine, publisher of the Antelope Valley Ledger-Gazette in California, took the group idea to task by telling Editor and Publisher readers that "you can be a free enterpriser, and be a group operator, or a member of a group." (52) It's a contradiction in terms, he said.

If group ownership can arouse a debate on the "independence" of the commercial press, perhaps an additional discussion would come by the stated political affiliations of several newspapers. The N. W. Ayer Directory of Periodicals records these official allegiances.

In the United States at least 251 daily newspapers have declared themselves to be independently Republican or actually part of the Republican structure. Democratic commitments are higher at 281 designations. Thus, 532 daily newspapers are automatically aligned politically. The Republicans have 1,170 weekly papers signed up, while the Democrats can list 1,275 weeklies. Certainly these figures which reveal a third of America's commercial press is committed to a political view could trigger questioning of the strength of the independence of some commercial newspapers.

Newspapers would, of course, point out this classification is a mechanical one designed to obtain approval to publish legal advertisements. Such approval could be tagged as a thinly disguised plan to provide indirect subsidy to newspapers through state regulations.

On the federal level, newspapers enjoy a form of indirect subsidy in the form of very low second class mailing rates. Almost all commercial daily and weekly papers have a second class mailing permit. To get one, they must apply and agree to provide the U.S. Post Office with a publisher-owner's statement and circulation figures. Then newspapers publish specified data in each issue. Newspapers would indicate these requirements are not really content-control devices, but merely mechanical and fiscal provisions. (53)

Many colleges and universities do not impose provisions as specific or demanding on their student publications.

If the commercial press can be considered free despite entanglements with corporate reality or small government regulations for fiscal purposes, the campus press could be also considered free even though it too has fiscal arrangements with its university. But the college press is not "independent" from its college.

Certainly, incorporation does not mean -"independence. And "independence" as applied to newspaper which student publications...
Institutional leadership is responsible for student publications in accord with the objectives of the institutions. The Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities endorses the principle of freedom of expression and recognizes the obligations, legal and ethical, common to all publications of high quality. The truth and judgment implicit in responsible communications are expected to be observed in assessing whether material for students publications satisfies such obligations. (45)

The Western Illinois system appears to be in violation of the rules established by the Board of Governors.

William Patterson College, Wayne, N.J.—The student newspaper and yearbook of William Patterson are both considered independent of the college. The newspaper is incorporated. Both publications are funded by the student government association.

Roger Williams College, Bristol, R.I.—The newspaper is published by Student Publications, Inc., and the yearbook is published by student government. A faculty adviser approves yearbook content prior to publication and it is not considered independent of the college as the newspaper is. The board of directors is made up of students and the paper receives student fee money.

University of Wisconsin at Green Bay—The newspaper is considered independent of the University. It is published by students and is not incorporated. It is supported by student fee funds. The newspaper is covered by the 1965 statement of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin which encourages and supports freedom of expression in student publications. The Western Illinois system appears to be in violation of the rules established by the Board of Governors.

University of Wisconsin at Madison, Wisc.—The Daily Cardinal publish 8,500 copies for the University’s 34,000 students. There is also a semi-weekly paper. Both the newspapers and yearbook are incorporated. Although considered independent of the University, both must be controlled by students. The Board of Regents endorses the principle of freedom of expression in student publications. The Board believes that no Regent, legislator, or other person should be able to prescribe what shall be orthodox and therefore acceptable for publication and what shall be unorthodox and therefore interdicted. (46)

Sophomores elect two and juniors elect one member of the board of the Wisconsin Badger yearbook corporation. Each board member stays in office until the end of his senior year. Three faculty members appointed by the President serve as advisory members of the Board. (47)

Five members of the board of the Cardinal daily newspaper must be students, elected by students in campus-wide voting. Three faculty members are appointed by the President of the University. (48)

The Student Life and Interests Committee of the University of Wisconsin has the same jurisdiction over the Daily Cardinal Corporation which it has over other student organizations except that it has no voice in matters of editorial or business policy or in the selection or retention of the personnel (except as to eligibility) of the Daily Cardinal. (49)

Yale University, New Haven, Conn.—The Yale Daily News circulates 4,000 copies among 8,900 students. It is cited often as an independent newspaper. Its board of directors includes student staff members and alumni who served as staff members while students.

A careful reading of the data from each of the colleges, indicates that only two more than 1,000 in student fee funds. The newspaper is supported by student fee funds. The newspaper is covered by the 1965 statement of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin which encourages and supports freedom of expression in student publications. The Board of Regents encourages and supports freedom of expression in student publications. The Board believes that no Regent, legislator, or other person should be able to prescribe what shall be orthodox and therefore acceptable for publication and what shall be unorthodox and therefore interdicted. (46)

Sophomores elect two and juniors elect one member of the board of the Wisconsin Badger yearbook corporation. Each board member stays in office until the end of his senior year. Three faculty members appointed by the President serve as advisory members of the Board. (47)

Five members of the board of the Cardinal daily newspaper must be students, elected by students in campus-wide voting. Three faculty members are appointed by the President of the University. (48)

The Student Life and Interests Committee of the University of Wisconsin has the same jurisdiction over the Daily Cardinal Corporation which it has over other student organizations except that it has no voice in matters of editorial or business policy or in the selection or retention of the personnel (except as to eligibility) of the Daily Cardinal. (49)

Yale University, New Haven, Conn.—The Yale Daily News circulates 4,000 copies among 8,900 students. It is cited often as an independent newspaper. Its board of directors includes student staff members and alumni who served as staff members while students.

A careful reading of the data from each of the colleges, indicates that only two more than 1,000 in student fee funds. The newspaper is supported by student fee funds. The newspaper is covered by the 1965 statement of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin which encourages and supports freedom of expression in student publications. The Board of Regents encourages and supports freedom of expression in student publications. The Board believes that no Regent, legislator, or other person should be able to prescribe what shall be orthodox and therefore acceptable for publication and what shall be unorthodox and therefore interdicted. (46)

Sophomores elect two and juniors elect one member of the board of the Wisconsin Badger yearbook corporation. Each board member stays in office until the end of his senior year. Three faculty members appointed by the President serve as advisory members of the Board. (47)

Five members of the board of the Cardinal daily newspaper must be students, elected by students in campus-wide voting. Three faculty members are appointed by the President of the University. (48)

The Student Life and Interests Committee of the University of Wisconsin has the same jurisdiction over the Daily Cardinal Corporation which it has over other student organizations except that it has no voice in matters of editorial or business policy or in the selection or retention of the personnel (except as to eligibility) of the Daily Cardinal. (49)

Yale University, New Haven, Conn.—The Yale Daily News circulates 4,000 copies among 8,900 students. It is cited often as an independent newspaper. Its board of directors includes student staff members and alumni who served as staff members while students.

A careful reading of the data from each of the colleges, indicates that only two
Booth's commitment to its shareholders is to increase the value of their investment in the company over the long term. Achievement of this goal in turn requires an equal commitment to building a professional working environment, rooted in the pursuit of excellence, in which people at all levels can grow to their fullest potential, according to the 1972 annual report.

This is grand language. John S. Knight wrote a strong defense of group ownership for his group. He indicated that the Knight group is a necessity to provide sensible business practices for newspapers whose editors operate very freely within the chain. (51)

But W. J. Valentine, publisher of the Antelope Valley Ledger-Gazette in California, took the group idea to task by telling Editor and Publisher readers that "you can't be a free enterpriser, and be a group operator, or a member of a group." (52) It's a contradiction in terms, he said.

If group ownership can arouse a debate on the "independence" of the commercial press, perhaps an additional discussion would come by the stated political affiliations of several newspapers. The N. W. Ayer Directory of Periodicals records these official allegiances.

In the United States at least 251 daily newspapers have declared themselves to be independently Republican or actually part of the Republican structure. Democrat commitments are higher at 281 designations. Thus, 532 daily newspapers are automatically aligned politically. The Republicans have 1,170 weekly papers signed up, while the Democrats claim 1,272 weekly papers. This is grand language. John S. Knight wrote a strong defense of group ownership for his group. He indicated that the Knight group is a necessity to provide sensible business practices for newspapers whose editors operate very freely within the chain. (51)

The Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities encourages the freedom of expression and recognizes the common to all publications of high quality. The responsible communications are expected to be material for student publications satisfies such
designed to obtain approval to publish legal advertisements. Such approval could be tagged as a thinly disguised plan to provide indirect subsidy to newspapers through state regulations.

On the federal level, newspapers enjoy a form of indirect subsidy in the form of very low second class mailing rates. Almost all commercial daily and weekly papers have a second class mailing permit. To get one, they must apply and agree to provide the U.S. Post Office with a publisher-owner's statement and circulation figures. The paper must publish specified data in each issue. Newspapers would indicate these requirements are not really content-control devices, but merely mechanical and fiscal provisions. (53)

Many colleges and universities do not impose provisions as specific or demanding on their student publications.

If the commercial press can be considered free despite entanglements with corporate reality or small government regulations for fiscal purposes, the campus press could be also considered free even though it too has fiscal entanglements with its university. But the college press is not "independent" from its college.

Certainly, incorporation does not mean "independence. And "independence" really doesn't provide the environment of freedom which student publications actually seek and should have. This report will discuss in detail, what that environment really should be in a later chapter.
Chapter Three

Myth Of Saving Money

Money is tight on the campus. Budgets are being squeezed. Appropriations are down. Donors are fleeing. Enrollments are dwindling. Inflation is cruel. An anti-intellectualism is selling the belief that one or two years of technical or vocational post-high school study is the ultimate for most young people in contemporary society. Bruises are healing well from the days of violent campus demonstrations but the memory still is a frightening specter. And the underground press, with its romantically incorrect name, simply has run out of steam for the time being.

College administrators and board members look at shrinking funds, piled in smaller budget piles. They take a bit from here and there to bolster the crises and urgencies every month brings.

They remember the attacks made on the campus standard press and begin to attach credulity to that attack. Some believe students really don't want a campus newspaper, or yearbook, or magazine. This is an astonishing conclusion, especially to be made by a college president who makes speeches about the need for communications on the campus, in the nation, and around the world.

But greedy eyes say—take some or all of the money away from the student publications which aren't very important, or very popular, or always carried an implied threat anyhow. That money can be used better elsewhere.

When a Julius Duscha comes along with a thesis that says a student newspaper that receives student fee money can never be as Independent as one cut off from the university, without a dime, the fee raiders hurrah and produce rationale with grand phrases to excuse their depletion.

Perhaps this section of this report should weep for the student publication thus impoverished but it really is concerned about the college which believes it is going to save or make money by dumping the student publications.

Things just don't work out that way.

Here is what is more apt to happen.

Thomas Fischer caught the point. He tells us, "In these days of increasing student press independence it may prove advisable or necessary for an institution to establish its own house organ to communicate accurately and punctually information concerning its news, schedules, policies, etc." (54) Such a house organ costs money to produce. Printing it wouldn't be cheaper than printing the student newspaper unless fewer issues with fewer pages and less communications functions were produced. And the professional staff members doing the work would command higher salaries than the student staff could receive. Fischer solves the money problem neatly by saying, "Student fees monies can be provided." (55)

There have been such publications as the Columbia University Newsletter, the Harvard University Gazette, the Stanford Observer, and others. Students in many cases simply ignore these promotional house organs. Communication only happens when a reader reads, not because an administrator likes.

Another problem arises when the student newspaper has its funds taken away and is pushed from the university. In some 300 institutions, there exists a journalism instructional program. Journalism students report, write, edit, publish, and catch hell for whatever they did wrong from a vocal and perceptive audience of peers called students and faculty. The campus press provides a lively laboratory for these students. Some colleges organize this experience very formally; some are quite loosely arranged. In some colleges, pressure from student factions have forced a splitting away from the journalism faculty by the student newspapers. In others, the journalism faculty has fled the campus press either from fear of involvement or to find pleasant avenues of academe to travel. But something happens. The journalism faculty discovers they need a new laboratory newspaper or publication so in comes the request for funds to sustain such a laboratory. This costs money!

An additional problem can arise. The supposed independent student newspaper simply cannot manage financially. For example, take the case of the Spectator of Columbia University. Student fee allocations ended. But the University loaned the paper $25,000 for cold type equipment. When the paper couldn't repay the loan the University authorized an additional $20,000 grant but found the paper owed $16,470 for telephone bills. So the phone bill, the grant, the debt makes a blockbuster sum the staffing of the newspaper and in a protection clause forbids racial discrimination.

The case was remanded to the district court because the University's present practice in advertising neverthelese, that the permanent with the appropriate remedy. (56)

This finding of the appellate court contradicts the idea that somehow there is no legal, philosophical, or practical way to provide for freedom of expression and effect going and stable manner. This is educational.

The Myth Of Selling

Frequent theme of advocates of no student fee monies pictures an analogy wherein the student newspaper should earn its circulation by selling surf press does.

Of course, there are no commercial yearbooks (buy so no analogy can be drawn for that type publication). A yearbook staff must contract for a variety of the book is to be produced. The amount of money be many thousands of dollars. If the needs fund come from yearbook sales primarily, the staff is enough to establish a budget. One lazy student, a livery from the printer and other catastrophes can then? Individually sold yearbooks should sell for high price forced by the small number printed, more copies, it can pro-rate charges across this high quality and process-color is not great. It's books at $12 each in any college. The cost of produc follow this table.

Examples Of Yearbooks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of copies</th>
<th>Number of pages</th>
<th>Total of all costs</th>
<th>Cost per book</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>$ 21,000</td>
<td>$21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>$ 39,000</td>
<td>$19.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>$ 52,000</td>
<td>$17.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>$ 65,000</td>
<td>$16.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>$ 76,000</td>
<td>$15.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>$ 85,000</td>
<td>$14.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>$ 92,000</td>
<td>$13.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>$ 97,000</td>
<td>$12.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
<td>$11.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>$107,000</td>
<td>$10.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>$112,000</td>
<td>$10.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>$117,000</td>
<td>$ 9.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A college or university with 5,000 students could 352-page yearbook if $6 were allocated from each per semester, or $2 per quarter. Smaller colleges
Chapter Three

Several Assorted Myths

Myth Of Saving Money
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And the professional staff members doing the work would

This finding of the appellate court contradicts totally the Duscha thesis. Ac-
uality there is no legal, philosophical, or practical reason not to allocate student
eties to the campus press. Failure to do so leads to financial problems for
both the university and the publication. Use of student fee money for a well-
written, well-edited, reasonable and intelligent campus newspaper is a positive
way to provide for freedom of expression and effective communications in an on-
go and stable manner. This is educational achievement.

The Myth Of Selling Subscriptions

Frequent theme of advocates of no student fee money for the student publ-
ications pictures an analogy wherein the student newspaper (or yearbook or maga-

Of course, there are no commercial yearbooks published for a community to

A yearbook staff must contract for a variety of services about one year before
the book is to be produced. The amount of money involved in these contracts can
be many thousands of dollars. If the funds needed to meet these commitments
come from yearbook sales primarily, the staff is gambling on a sales level high
enough to establish a budget. An easy student, a decline in enrollment, a late de-

Frequent theme of advocates of no student fee money for the student publica-
tions pictures an analogy wherein the student newspaper (or yearbook or maga-

The Myth Of Saving Money

the staffing of the newspaper and in accepting advertising. The equal
protection clause forbids racial discrimination in extracurricular ac-
tivities of a state-supported institution, the court said, and freedom of the
press furnishes no shield for discrimination in advertising.

The case was remanded to the district court so the university president
could amend his pleadings to apply for relief against discriminatory
practices in staffing and advertising. The appeals court indicated,
nevertheless, that the permanent withdrawal of funds was not an ap-
propriate remedy. (56)

This finding of the appellate court contradicts totally the Duscha thesis. Ac-
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more apt to happen.
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The supposed independent student newspaper
the university without a dime, the fee raiders hurray and produce rationale with grand phrases to excuse their depletion.

Perhaps this section of this report should weep for the student publication thus impoverished but it really is concerned about the college which believes it is going to save or make money by dumping the student publications.

Things just don't work out that way!

Here is what is more apt to happen.

Thomas Fischer caught the point. He tells us, "In these days of increasing student press independence it may prove advisable or necessary for an institution to establish its own house organ to communicate accurately and punctually information concerning its news, schedules, policies, etc." (54) Such a house organ costs money to produce. Printing it wouldn't be cheaper than printing the student newspaper unless fewer issues with fewer pages and less communications functions were produced. And the professional staff members doing the work would command higher salaries than the student staff could receive. Fischer solves the money problem neatly by saying, "Student fees monies can be provided." (55)

There have been such publications as the Columbia University Newsletter, the Harvard University Gazette, the Stanford Observer, and others. Students in many cases simply ignore these promotional house organs. Communication only happens when a reader reads, not because an administrator likes.

Harvard University Gazette, the Stanford Observer, and others. Students in many cases simply ignore these promotional house organs. Communication only happens when a reader reads, not because an administrator likes.

Another problem arises when the student newspaper has its funds taken away and is pushed from the university. In some 300 institutions, there exists a journalistic instructional program. Journalism students report, write, edit, publish, and catch hell for whatever they do wrong from a vocal and perceptive audience of peers called students and faculty. The campus press provides a lively laboratory for these students. Some colleges organize this experience very formally; some are quite loosely arranged. In some colleges, pressure from student factions have forced a splitting away from the journalism faculty by the student newspapers. In others, the journalism faculty has fled the campus press either from fear of involvement or to find pleasanter avenues of academic travel. But something happens. The journalism faculty discovers they need a new laboratory newspaper or publication so in come the requests for funds to sustain such a learning laboratory. This costs money!

An additional problem can arise. The supposed independent student newspaper simply cannot manage financially. For example, take the case of the Spectator of Columbia University. Student fee allocations ended. But the University loaned the paper $25,000 for cold type equipment. When the paper could not repay the loan the University authorized an additional $20,000 grant but found the paper owed $16,470 for telephone bills. So the phone bill, the grant, the debt makes a blockbuster headache for everyone. An independent paper does not necessarily relieve the University of money problems.

North Carolina presents an interesting case. Four students sued the University at Chapel Hill challenging the use of mandatory student fees to support the student newspaper, the Daily Tarheel. This suit followed an episode at North Carolina Central College. The university was mandated to withhold funds from the paper by a state court because some students had objected to its contents. The Campus Echo, cut off from student fee funds simply could not operate. So the student body of the College had no paper.

When the case of the Campus Echo came to the U.S. Court of Appeals, here is what happened.

The president of a state university, who withdrew financial support to the campus newspaper because of its editorial policy, abridged the freedom of the press in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments, the federal appellate court in Richmond ruled.

Student body fees had been used to support publication of the official campus newspaper. However, the editorial comments advocated racial segregation and objectified frequently to the school's policy of admitting an increasing number of white students. The federal district court in North Carolina ruled there was no violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments by cutting off financial support but also ruled that the school should permit the publication to continue to be published and distributed on the campus.

On appeal, the appellate court said that censorship of student publications at state-supported institutions cannot be imposed "by suspending the editors, suppressing circulation, requiring imprimatur of controversial articles, excising repugnant material, withholding financial support, or asserting any other form of censorial oversight based on the institution's power of the purse." The appeals court did note that students, like others, are forbidden to advocate in such a way as to incite or produce imminent lawless action. "The record contains no proof that the editorial policy of the paper incited harassment, violence, or interference with white students and faculty. At the most, the editorial comments advocated racial segregation contrary to the Fourteenth Amendment and the Civil Rights Act of 1964," the court added. Also, there was no indication that the paper refused to allow the expression of contrary views.

Another issue in the case was whether there was racial discrimination in hiring or firing. The trial court held that there was no such discrimination. And the appellate court, perhaps correctly, believed that the state of North Carolina had no interest in pursuing such an affirmative action program.

Examples Of Yearbook B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of copies</th>
<th>Number of pages</th>
<th>Total of all costs</th>
<th>Cost per book</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>$21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>$39,000</td>
<td>$19.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>$52,000</td>
<td>$17.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$16.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>$76,000</td>
<td>$15.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$14.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>$92,000</td>
<td>$13.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>$97,000</td>
<td>$12.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
<td>$11.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>$107,000</td>
<td>$10.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>$112,000</td>
<td>$10.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>$117,000</td>
<td>$9.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A college or university with 5,000 students could not produce a 352-page yearbook if $6 were allocated from each student fee (about $7,000 per semester, or $2 per quarter). Smaller colleges with fewer students would have to reduce the size and special effects and expand size and effects with no budget reduction, and once a student would have to pay $12 for his yearbook purchase, or twice as much as in the fee allocation system.

If the yearbook is considered a luxury or plaything and students are not obligated to buy it, fees will not be allocated, and whatever yearbook editors could expand size and effects with no budget reduction, each student would have to pay $12 for his yearbook purchase, or twice as much as in the fee allocation system.

If the yearbook is considered a luxury or plaything and students are not obligated to buy it, fees will not be allocated, and whatever yearbook editors could expand size and effects with no budget reduction, each student would have to pay $12 for his yearbook purchase, or twice as much as in the fee allocation system.

If the yearbook is considered a luxury or plaything and students are not obligated to buy it, fees will not be allocated, and whatever yearbook editors could expand size and effects with no budget reduction, each student would have to pay $12 for his yearbook purchase, or twice as much as in the fee allocation system.

If the yearbook is considered a luxury or plaything and students are not obligated to buy it, fees will not be allocated, and whatever yearbook editors could expand size and effects with no budget reduction, each student would have to pay $12 for his yearbook purchase, or twice as much as in the fee allocation system.

If the yearbook is considered a luxury or plaything and students are not obligated to buy it, fees will not be allocated, and whatever yearbook editors could expand size and effects with no budget reduction, each student would have to pay $12 for his yearbook purchase, or twice as much as in the fee allocation system.
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satisfied with the judgment of the court. Although the appeal was not granted, the editors continued to publish and distribute the newspaper.

A yearbook staff must contrive for a variety of services about one year before the book is to be produced. The amount of money involved in these contracts can be many thousands of dollars. If the funds needed to meet these commitments come from yearbook sales primarily, the staff is gambling on a sales level high enough to establish a budget. One lazy student, a decline in enrollment, a late delivery from the printer and other catastrophes can ruin that budget. Who pays then? Individually sold yearbooks should sell for from $10 to $15 each. This is a high price forced by the small number printed. If the yearbook prints 5,000 or more copies, it can pro-rate charges across this number so the cost per book with high quality and process-color is not great. It's very difficult to sell 5,000 yearbooks at $12 each in any college. The cost of producing yearbooks of quality would follow this table.

Examples Of Yearbook Budgets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of copies</th>
<th>Number of pages</th>
<th>Total of all costs</th>
<th>Cost per book</th>
<th>Selling price per book</th>
<th>Other Income per book</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>$14</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>$39,000</td>
<td>$13</td>
<td>$6.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>$52,000</td>
<td>$11</td>
<td>$5.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$5.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$4.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>$92,000</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$3.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>$97,000</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$3.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$3.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>$107,000</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$4.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>$112,000</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$4.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>$117,000</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$3.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A college or university with 5,000 students could manage readily to produce a 352-page yearbook if $6 were allocated from each student's fees per year (or $3 per semester, or $2 per quarter). Smaller colleges would have to allocate more money from fees or reduce the size and special effects in their books. Larger colleges could expand size and effects with no budget strain. If fees were not allocated, each student would have to pay $12 for his yearbook, because of reduced circulation or twice as much in the fee distribution system.

If the yearbook is considered a luxury or plaything by the university no doubt fees will not be allocated, and whatever yearbook exists will be too skimpy and live precariously in semibankruptcy.

If a college understands that a yearbook can be a thrilling pictorial record of a year in the life of its students and its history, it can comprehend the cohesive communicative value of such a publication. If communications, or better communications, is important to the college, it deserves and needs a well-edited student yearbook.

Selling subscriptions for college newspapers is an even worse plan. Most commercial newspapers do sell subscriptions (some do not). Daily newspapers charge readers 50 to 75 cents per week. After they pay the costs of producing the newspaper, the distribution trucks, the office staff, the record keepers, etc., the net income from circulation dwindles to very little. Newspapers seldom receive as much as 25 per cent of their income from circulation.

For example, the Booth newspaper group in Michigan operates eight daily newspapers. These had an operating revenue of $73,027,974 in 1972. Of this amount only $16,157,040, or about 22 per cent came from circulation income.

As for the college press, here are two case studies.

Paper A is located in a large university with 40,000 students. It manages to sell about 8,000 subscriptions annually. Student subscriptions are 40 cents per week or $6.60 per month or $12.00 per student per year. (Summer terms are extra.)

Paper B is located in a middle-sized university with 15,000 students. It distributes 14,000 copies daily on campus distribution racks. It receives a student fee allocation of about $2 per semester or $4 per year per student. Thus the daily paper in college B cost each student $8.00 less than the students in college A.
Both papers are well-written and edited. The level of communication value of each paper is excellent. Unfortunately, paper A, no matter how hard it tries, cannot raise the level of circulation. That level has remained at the same percentage for nearly 40 years. Paper B actually serves its campus much better.

This isn't the real tragedy for paper A. Each year it sells about $100,000 to $110,000 worth of subscriptions. But to sell, account, service, deliver, and police these subscriptions, paper A has to spend about $100,000. This leaves a balance of less than $10,000 to produce the paper itself.

Paper B receives about $90,000 annually from student fees. It spends less than $10,000 to distribute its paper. Thus it nets more than $80,000 annually to produce and improve the paper.

These are actual case studies. Similar data would develop from other comparisons. As a result of the small return from subscription income some papers rely on advertising income only and distribute papers on distribution racks for everyone anyhow. This increased circulation justifies higher ad rates and improves the communicative function of the paper. Such a plan can work only in situations where a large community of retailers need the campus paper to reach a large student enrollment, and if a full-time advertising staff is employed. In middle-sized and small colleges, the advertising-only income plan would not be sufficient.

If student fee allocations are not available, many colleges would have skimpy papers with small circulations. Ambitious staffs turn to sensational content to stir student enrollment, and if a full-time advertising staff is employed. In middle-sized and small colleges, the advertising-only income plan would not be sufficient.

Commercial newspapers do not experience great fluctuations in circulation. The strength of their circulation depends upon home-delivered copies in the city and its immediate retail trading area. This is the circulation advertisers want. A careful check is made of this quality circulation by the Audit Bureau of Circulations, a national agency. Scare headlines or sensational stories have little or no effect. Circulation is the result of several factors. One of these is the highly skilled circulation director. (Campus papers usually do not have such people available.) In all but a few cities, the commercial papers is the only newspaper available to the community. Housewives shop the ads constantly and buy the paper for them. People develop the newspaper habit which means they subscribe automatically. Most commercial newspapers reach 80 to 95 per cent of all possible subscribers in their communities.

College newspapers cannot develop that percentage because their clientele is far more mobile than that of a typical town. Average longevity of a college student is about two years. It's hard for him to really settle into many habits during that time.

Many college students are housed in dormitories, fraternity houses, or sorority houses. An amazing variety of restrictive rules about selling subscriptions and delivering papers exist in the 2,800 colleges of the land. No such a maze was ever faced by a commercial newspaper in its community.

It is grossly unwise to expect a campus newspaper to do with a handful of students what it takes a commercial newspaper to do with a complement of full-time circulation executives, bookkeepers, managers, supervisors, drivers, and newsboys and newsstand dealers to manage. There simply isn't enough time or money available on the campus to do it that way.

The Myth Of Incorporation

Several of the myth-makers apparently are convinced that there is a trend toward incorporation among university newspapers. They ascribe a magic to incorporation that assures independence, gritty financing through learning to live with the market-place, and sure escape for college administrators.

Truthfully incorporation in and of itself doesn't mean any of these.

The NCCPA study found 13 colleges in which both the newspaper and the yearbook were incorporated. In 26 colleges the newspaper only was incorporated and in two colleges only the yearbook was incorporated. A total of 54 publications were incorporated in 41 colleges. This is less than four per cent of the campus press in the nation. Twenty-two newspapers and 13 yearbooks which had never bothered with incorporation yet claimed total independence. No information could be found to refute that claim. Several points should be made about the incorporated publications. More than 40 of them had been incorporated for several years. The fact that a dozen or so might have incorporated during the last four or five years does not constitute a "trend."

Not all publications which have considered incorporation have decided to become incorporated. The generally accepted interpretation of the functions of student publications discovered, increases when said publications are forced off from the influence of other students and (or) administration.

(7) Many publications (as borne out partially in the cases of Central University Campus Echo and the Columbia University quickly encounter financial difficulty and, in some instances, separation from the institution.

Publications at the University of Texas at Austin were, years, but when the corporation expired in 1971 the University publications as auxiliary enterprises. (38)

Efforts to force independence and incorporation for the DuBarbana campus of the University of California was rejected by the University the idea lay until the autumn of 1973. It was formed to look into the possibility. The committee was the Dusch report.

Representatives of Weber College in Ogden, Utah, asked General several questions about student publications. Davant attorney general, said in a letter in Jan. 21, 1972:

Can Weber College and its associated students' from libel actions by removing the signpost from camp with publishers of an off-campus paper to replace the

We understand that some colleges and universities accomplish this result by incorporating the newspaper with the newly incorporated paper to pay a return for a designated number of newspapers. We are not convinced that such an arrangement could be advantageous. Weber College is a public institution it would accomplish such a result.

First, if mandatory student's fees are used to pay may well be that a court would pierce the incorp college and its officers responsible for libel regardless immunization from suit.

Second, the school would probably be unable to corporated paper any supplies or equipment or office space, since to do so would violate provisions funds for private purposes.

Third, the college would likely have to allow only private firms interested in such a contract arrangement granting exclusive distribution rights to "that" newspaper.

The problems encountered with such an arrangement greater for public institutions than for private scho that the College would be able to completely escape libel by such arrangements.

Corporations are legally established according to speci state laws. Corporations must file articles of incorporation, a series of provisions can be included. If any part of the university relationship or connection, the student newspaper is considered independent even though it is incorporated. The precise university relationship, fund allocations, and corporation thus could mean dependence or independence in one case, in another case wherein incorporation has produced, a clearly making the newspaper part of the university. In on publications be considered "independent."

The strength of the independence provided by incorpor type of incorporation accorded those of student publicatio making business corporations and there are non-prop latters is a simple structure and easy to set up and maintain. Charitable and educational agencies can qualify as non-prof mercial newspapers cannot. If student publications are cational nature and structure of their university they can o the campus publications are non-profit corporations, which ship to the university entitles them to special treatment. it qualifies as a non-profit corporation it does so because of university, not because of independence from it.
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Myth Of The Publisher

Perhaps no more confusion is found in the field of student publications than in
the word “publisher.”
College newspapers cannot develop that percentage because their clientele is far more mobile than that of a typical town. Average longevity of a college student is about two years. It's hard for him to really settle into many habits during that time.

Many college students are housed in dormitories, fraternity houses, or sorority houses. An amazing variety of restrictive rules about selling subscriptions and delivering papers exist in the 2,800 colleges of the land. No such maze was ever faced by a commercial newspaper in its community.

It is grossly unwise to expect a campus newspaper to do with a handful of students what it takes a commercial newspaper to do with a complement of full-time circulation executives, bookkeepers, managers, supervisors, drivers, and news-boys and newsstand dealers to manage. There simply isn't enough time or money available on the campus to do it that way.

The Myth Of Incorporation

Several of the myth-makers apparently are convinced that there is a trend toward incorporation among university newspapers. They ascribe a magic to incorporation that assures independence, gritty financing through learning to live with the marketplace, and sure escape for college administrators.

Truthfully incorporation in and of itself doesn't mean any of these.

The NCCPA study found 13 colleges in which both the newspaper and the yearbook were incorporated. In 26 colleges the newspaper only was incorporated and in two colleges only the yearbook was incorporated. A total of 54 publications were incorporated in 41 colleges. This is less than four per cent of the campus press in the nation. Twenty-two newspapers and 13 yearbooks which had never bothered with incorporation yet claimed total independence. No information could be found to refute that claim. Several points should be made about the incorporated publications. More than 40 of them had been incorporated for several years. The fact that a dozen or so might have incorporated during the last four or five years does not constitute a "trend."

Not all publications which have considered incorporation have decided to become incorporated. The generally accepted interpretations of the functions of student publications are as: (1) vehicles for disseminating campus information and creative material, and editorializing; (2) mechanisms through which students learn as an academic experience.

These interpretations by the North Dakota Board of Higher Education were adopted as proposed by the Committee on Student Publications of the North Dakota State School of Sciences in 1970. The Committee was asked to study the feasibility of separating campus publications from institutional responsibility.

Alternatives to school subsidization would, of course, include possible incorporation of the publication. While incorporation was not mentioned specifically in the report of the Committee, the entire concept of complete autonomy from university influence was rejected.

The reasons for rejecting separation from the school publications included the following:

1. The Institution would suffer by the loss of a valuable learning tool: production of the publications themselves.

2. Loss of all the educational advantage over other students through contact between and with libraries, which would further minimize the educational advantage of publications.

3. Institutions would still be placed in the public mind with the "underground" or independent publications; thus publication would not be a primary consideration for the action-to eliminate embarrassing identification with the school.

4. Public pressure on the school to discipline or dismiss members of publication staffs would not necessarily result from establishment of "independent" publications.

5. The question looms as to possible abuse of public authority in compelling all students (through fee subscriptions) to finance publications they may not choose to support. Assuming the avoidance of legal restrictions in obtaining "independence," would not actual student body influence become still another step removed?

"Irresponsibility" of student publications, the Committee claims to have in accomplishing such a result.

First, if mandatory students' fees are used to pay the paper, it may well be that a court would pierce the incorporation of the college and its officers responsible for libel regardless of the immunity from suit.

Second, the school would probably be unable to control the incorporated paper any supplies or equipment or office space. This would be illegal under laws governing nonprofit corporations.

Third, the college would have the opportunity to allow competitive publishing by other private firms interested in such a contract; granting exclusive distribution rights to "its" newly incorporated publication.

The problems encountered with such an arrangement are greater for public institutions than for private schools, that the College would be able to completely escape its libel by such arrangements.

Corporations are legally established according to specific state laws. Corporations must file articles of incorporation. They often receive benefits of a state, such as tax breaks on issues, special treatment and other benefits. In many states, a corporation is given control over its business affairs.

In the publisher is not a corporation, it is a nonprofit corporation that is not subject to state laws. This means that the publisher is not subject to state taxes or other restrictions.

The strength of the independence provided by incorporation type of incorporation accorded almost all student publications. Student publications are not subject to state or local laws.

"Irresponsibility" of student publications, the Committee claims to have in accomplishing such a result.

First, if mandatory students' fees are used to pay the paper, it may well be that a court would pierce the incorporation of the college and its officers responsible for libel regardless of the immunity from suit.

Second, the school would probably be unable to control the incorporated paper any supplies or equipment or office space. This would be illegal under laws governing nonprofit corporations.

Third, the college would likely have the opportunity to allow competitive publishing by other private firms interested in such a contract; granting exclusive distribution rights to "its" newly incorporated publication.

The problems encountered with such an arrangement are greater for public institutions than for private schools, that the College would be able to completely escape its libel by such arrangements.

Myth Of The Publisher

Perhaps no more confusion is found in the field of student publications than in the word "publisher."

In a survey of 254 colleges collected by the NCCPA study, this confusion was found. Of the 254 colleges, 162 indicated the college or university was considered the publisher of the paper; 71 said a publications board or committee; 66 said the board of trustees; 51 of the officials confused publishing with printing; 44 of the colleges did not have campus papers; 41 were published by a corporation; 38 did not answer; 33 said students published the paper; 29 named the student staff of the newspaper; 26 listed the journalism department or a journalism class; 25 designated the president (or chancellor); 20 said no one; 13 named the student personnel area; 9 listed public relations officers; 4 colleges reported this was in doubt on their campuses; 4 colleges avoided the question by reporting they were closed; 2 institutions said a university-wide senate was publisher of the college; 1 college mentioned each of these agencies: the English department, the journalism department, the law library, the student affairs council, the college community council, the campus affairs council, and the student publications council.

When the colleges were asked to cite a state law establishing the publisher, only 33 reported there was such a law, 162 said there was no such law, and another 92 did not answer.

Six colleges based their system on opinions of state attorneys; 74 said the university charter established the authority. The 6 colleges authorized the plan while 19 relied on nonprofit corporations.
publications; thus, separation would not eliminate a possible abuse of public authority in compelling all the school to discipline or dismiss members of the student body. Influence becomes still another step in identifying in the public mind with the "university advantage of publications.

Incorporation was not mentioned specifically in any of the reports on Student Publications of the North Dakota State University, nor was it mentioned in any of the articles in the state. Most commercial newspapers reach to publications only were incorporated and managed. There simply isn't enough time or money that way.

Myth Of The Publisher

Perhaps no more confusion is found in the field of student publications than in the word "publisher." In data collected by the NCCPA study, this confusion appears in answers provided by college officials when they were asked what agency is considered to be the publisher of the campus newspaper. Here is what the officials said:

254 indicated the college or university was considered the publishing agency.
162 named student government.
71 said a publications board or committee.
66 said the board of trustees.
51 of the officials confused publishing with printing.
44 of the colleges did not have campus papers.
41 were published by a corporation.
38 did not answer.
33 said students published the paper.
29 named the student staff of the newspaper.
26 listed the journalism department or a journalism class.
25 designated the president (or chancellor).
20 said no one.
13 named the student personnel area.
9 listed public relations officers.
4 colleges reported this was in doubt on their campuses.
3 colleges avoided the question by reporting they were closing down.
2 institutions said a university-wide senate was publisher.
1 college mentioned each of these agencies: the English department, the state of Wisconsin, a college-community council, a campus affairs commission, the office of student publications.
And 1 respondent said he simply didn't understand the question.

They were asked to cite a state law establishing the legality of the publishing agency, only 53 reported there was such a law, they believed. But 498 said there was no such law, and another 93 did not answer.

Six colleges based their system on opinions of state attorney offices and nine based their system on community colleges or local laws, and five used student self-government or self-regulation. Eight colleges based their system on state law and four on the state attorney general.

When the colleges were asked to name the state or local law or regulations, they noted the following:

- 145 mentioned the state law.
- 107 mentioned local laws.
- 72 mentioned state regulations.
- 44 mentioned local regulations.
- 32 mentioned student self-government or self-regulation.
- 31 mentioned state attorney general.
- 20 mentioned other state laws.
- 14 mentioned other local laws.
- 11 mentioned other regulations.
- 8 mentioned other self-government or self-regulation.
- 6 mentioned other state attorney general.

When the colleges were asked to name the state or local law or regulations, they noted the following:

The school to discipline or dismiss members of the student body. Influence becomes still another step in the legal process of student publications, the Committee claims to have face serious problems in accomplishing such a result.

First, if mandatory students' fees are used to pay the contract price, it may well be that a court would pierce the incorporation veil and hold the college and its officers responsible for libel regardless of such attempts at immunization from suit.

Second, the school would probably be unable to give the newly incorporated paper any supplies or equipment or possibly any rent free office space, since to do so would violate prohibitions against use of public funds for private purposes.

Third, the college would likely have to allow competitive bidding for any private items interested in such a contract arrangement rather than granting exclusive distribution rights to "its" newly incorporated paper.

The problems encountered with such an arrangement are obviously greater for public institutions than for private schools, and we have doubts that the college would be able to completely escape its responsibilities for libel by such arrangements.

Corporations are legally established according to specific steps outlined by state laws. Corporations must file articles of Incorporation. Within these articles, a series of provisions can be included. If any part of the articles stipulates an university relationship or connection, the student newspaper could not be considered independent even though it is incorporated. The articles can specify precise university relationship, fund allocations, and everything else. Incorporation thus could mean dependence or independence. Chapter II outlines case after case wherein incorporation has produced a publishing procedure clearly making the newspaper part of the university. In only two cases could the publications be considered "independent."

The strength of the independence provided by incorporation can be found in the type of incorporation accorded almost all student publications. There are regular, profit-making business corporations and there are non-profit corporations. The latter is a simple structure and easy to set up and maintain as a corporation. Charitable and educational agencies can qualify as non-profit corporations. Commercial newspapers cannot. If student publications are clearly related to the educational nature and structure of their university they can qualify. Virtually all of the campus publications are non-profit corporations, which means their relationship to the university entitles them to special treatment. If a student publication qualifies as a non-profit corporation it does so because of its relationship to the university, not because of independence from it.

Myth Of The Publisher
More curious answers were reported when the college officials were asked to name an individual who served as the publisher for the campus newspaper. The answers: in 578 colleges and universities no one was publisher; 95 colleges failed to answer. Thirty-five indicated a journalism teacher or adviser was the publisher; an equal 35 decided the student editor was publisher. Thirty-four picked the college president; 12 named various college officials; and seven thought one of their public relations officers was publisher. Other persons mentioned included the student government president, an editorial board, or the student government.

Yearbooks didn't fare much differently than newspapers. The publishing agency cited most often (193 times) was the college. Student government was next at 128. As for the rest:

- 57 colleges confused publishing with printing.
- 54 named a publications committee.
- 38 said the board of trustees.
- 34 said no one.
- 31 named the student staff.
- 18 listed the student personnel area.
- 18 said students.
- 14 said a corporation.
- 11 listed the journalism department or classes.
- 11 named the college president.
- 8 said a public relations officer.
- 5 believed the yearbook editor was the publisher.
- 4 said the senior class.
- Also mentioned was the upper classes, New Jersey, and the business department.

As for naming an individual serving as the publisher, 562 colleges reported none, while 24 said there was a legal basis. No information was available from 15 colleges.

As for naming an individual serving as the publisher, 562 colleges reported none. Mentioned most often as publisher was the editor of the yearbook (24 times), the yearbook adviser (19 times), and the president (17 times). Also mentioned were a vice president, the student personnel area, and the graphic arts head. Two colleges confused printing with publishing.

If incorporation and (or) independence were helpful in organizing student publications, the universities having such a plan should be knowledgeable about publishers. But here are answers from such colleges:

- 41 newspapers indicated the publishing agency was a corporation.
- 10 newspapers said students were the publishers.
- 3 newspapers named a publications board or committee.
- 2 said no one.
- 2 provided no information.
- Also mentioned were the university, the president, and a private company.

14 yearbooks said a corporation.

- 4 yearbooks said the student government.
- 3 yearbooks named the student staff.
- 3 yearbooks said no one.
- 3 yearbooks indicated students.
- 2 yearbooks said the editor.

Also mentioned were the upper classes, a publications committee, and New Jersey.

Thirty incorporated independent newspapers and 19 such yearbooks reported no laws existed authorizing their status. Nineteen newspapers believed the non-profit status of their states provided authority and one newspaper indicated a state law applied. Seven colleges reported state laws covering yearbooks, and 10 colleges said board of trustees' regulations covered newspapers. Seven colleges provided no answer for their newspapers and two had no answer for yearbooks.

The clarity with which incorporated-independent publications operate becomes somewhat clouded when 39 colleges report that no one serves as the publisher of the newspaper and 26 colleges say no one serves as publisher of the yearbook. One yearbook publisher was said to be the editor and one was the adviser. Six editors and six college officials were named as publishers of newspapers while an editorial board and the student government were mentioned. No information was available from eight colleges.

The word publisher is a generally misunderstood term by many people. Although a college could be considered a publishing agency it could not properly be called a publisher. A publisher is an individual who performs management functions for the owners of a publishing company or agency. Colleges generally have not designated anyone to perform these functions. If no one has such a function, whether that person be a student or a college official, it would not be surprising that befuddlement often accompanies the operation of student publications. In any case, the so-called independent-incorporated

asks the journalist if he has a legal justification for his publication. Legal justifications include truth, qualified privilege, and the like. There is no federal libel law; instead, each of the 50 states has its own law against the superior law of the constitution. A state Supreme Court decision is difficult to generalize but compared to the lower courts, including the Supreme Court, have had to make decisions against the superior law of the constitution. A state Supreme Court decision is difficult to generalize but compared to the lower courts, including the Supreme Court, have had to make decisions against the superior law of the constitution.
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asks the journalist if he has a legal justification for having printed the material. Legal justifications include truth, qualified privilege, or comment and criticism. There is no federal libel law; instead, each of the 50 states have statutory law, and each state is apt to be different from all the others in some way. Federal appellate courts, including the Supreme Court, have had to measure these varying state laws against the superior law of the constitution. A series of court decisions has now made it very difficult for anyone to sue a newspaper successfully for libel. The total effect of libel laws and court decisions are heavily weighted to protect the press more so than the appellant. College newspapers have no less advantage than do commercial newspapers in libel matters.

Frederic C. Conrado, an associate professor of journalism at the University of Southern California, told readers of Quill magazine that the law of libel has been all but repealed by Supreme Court decisions. (59) New York Times v. Sullivan, indi-
dicates that the appellant would have to prove actual malice and reckless disre-
gard of truth and falsehood. Under this decision, newspapers actually can know-

ingly publish false material concerning public officials and persons.

This theoretical discussion of libel and the campus press may be reassuring yet not convincing. In practice, hasn't there been a long series of costly libel suits that college journalists and administrators have had to fight?

Barry L. Standley, a student in the law school of Northern Kentucky State College and a journalist, spent several months chasing down the incident of libel in college and university student publications. Here is what he found: (60)

At Iowa State University, in 1970, the paper was sued by Campus Alliance, Inc., an agency selling discount plans to students from local businesses. The Iowa district court (Story County) upheld the newspapers defense of fair comment.

At Northwestern University, in 1966, the paper carried an ad written by one pizza parlor proprietor who attacked a competing pizza parlor. Pizza parlor sued the paper for the libelous ad and won. The paper was assessed $1,900 damages, not an astronomical amount.

At Pennsylvania State University the paper settled out of court for $7,500 with a retiring professor who contended he had been libeled.

At Colorado State University, in 1970, the paper settled out-of-court for $500 for a cartoon.

Out-of-court settlements were made at Wichita State University in the 1960s and at the University of Colorado in 1969.

At the University of Maine, in 1964, the paper was sued for libel but the suit died since the plaintiff did not persist.

At Indiana University in 1968, the paper was sued by campus policemen but the court dismissed the case before it came to trial.

At Syracuse University, the paper was sued for $938,000 in 1972 but a state supreme court justice dismissed the suit as having no basis.

At Youngstown University, a jeweler's suit was brought against the university, but the local court relieved the university of liability and the U.S. Supreme Court eventually upheld this ruling.

An assistant professor of English sued the paper of one of the California State Colleges in 1964. The outcome of the case has not been discovered.

The newspaper at Washington State University settled out-of-court in the 1950s for $5,000.

The University of Arkansas yearbook settled out-of-court for $500 at one time.

In 1968 the newspaper at Pacific University was sued by the health center director over an editorial but dropped his suit when the paper published a retraction.

The Indiana Daily Student was sued twice in 1972 for libel, but both suits were dismissed on the defendant's motions for summary judgments before trials were ever conducted.

At Vanderbilt University, the lower and appellate courts dismissed a libel suit, pointing out that newspapers' fair and accurate reports of another suit enjoyed qualified privilege.

The University of Arizona newspaper in 1965 defended itself successfully in a libel action on the fair comment basis.

Standley's study indicates that only 19 cases involving the filing of libel cases have touched college publications during the last 30 years. In only one case did a court render a verdict against a student publication. The matter found libelous was not written or inspired by a student at all but by an advertiser. In six of the cases the university and the newspaper settled out of court, twice for only $500 (which is less than court costs of winning a verdict). Thus, in 12 cases the newspaper was neither convicted of publishing libel or required to pay any damages in or out of court.

Compared to the commercial press, the college press has a very superior record.

This does not mean, of course, that either are libel free. Perhaps professors and
The Myth Of Libel

In almost every discussion of the campus press, the term libel usually surfaces very early. When it does, voices thin to fearful whispers as if libel is a devil incarnate to the printed word. For many years libel has been used sincerely or falsely as a reason for the student press not to publish many, many things. The articles by the myth-makers, the subject of board of publications meetings, the conviction of college administrators all present a view of libel thus:

"Remarks that are critical of and unfair to people surely are uncalled for and considered forms of libel. If they are published, the resources of the university can be depleted by resulting lawsuits, which surely will end in five or six figure damages. If the university or college is not thus impoverished, surely the board, the president and other administrative officials will personally have to pay.

Does libel work this way? Not at all.

Libel, of course, is visual defamation. Articles, which hold persons up to public hatred, ridicule, or scorn and have the effect of destroying professional reputations, can be said to be libelous. Libel as it is printed by newspapers is a civil matter—not a criminal one. This means that no agency exists which exercises surveillance over the contents of newspapers to determine if someone should be prosecuted for reputation destroying. The only way a court can consider a libel action occurs when a person who believes he has been illegally abused seeks to recover money damages to compensate for that abuse.

Not all things that can be embarrassing or critical could be actionable in a libel case, however. In tort procedure, the court must first determine that the alleged libel was published. Second, the court determines that the material pertained to a person entering the suit. Third, the court determines whether the material is falsely libelous. But no damages are assessed at this point. Instead the court renders a verdict against a student publication. The outcome of the case has not been disclosed.

For 65,000.

The University of Arizona newspaper in 1965 defended libel action on the fair comment basis.

The Myth Of Censorship

Censorship of the campus press could only be accomplished by the student staff who knew what they were doing.
the paper for the libelous ad and won. The paper was assessed $1,700 damages for a non-existent and astronomical amount.

At Pennsylvania State University the paper settled out of court for $7,500 with a retiring professor who contended he had been libeled.

At Colorado State University, in 1970, the paper settled out-of-court for $500 for a cartoon.

Out-of-court settlements were made at Wichita State University in the 1960s and at the University of Colorado in 1969.

At the University of Maine, in 1964, the paper was sued for libel but the suit died since the plaintiff did not persist.

At Indiana University in 1968, the paper was sued by campus policemen but the court dismissed the case before it came to trial.

At Syracuse University, the paper was sued for $938,000 in 1972 but a state supreme court justice dismissed the suit as having no basis.

At Youngstown University, a jeweler's suit was brought against the university, but the local court relieved the university of liability and the U.S. Supreme Court eventually upheld this ruling.

An assistant professor of English sued the paper of one of the California State Colleges in 1964. The outcome of the case has not been discovered.

The newspaper at Washington State University settled out-of-court in the 1950s for $5,000.

The University of Arkansas yearbook settled out-of-court for $500 at one time.

In 1968 the newspaper at Pacific University was sued by the health center director over an editorial but dropped his suit when the paper published a retraction.

The Indiana Daily Student was sued twice in 1972 for libel, but both suits were dismissed on the defendant's motions for summary judgments before trials were even conducted.

At Vanderbilt University, the lower and appellate courts dismissed a libel suit, pointing out that newspapers' fair and accurate reports of another suit enjoyed qualified privilege.

The University of Arizona newspaper in 1965 defended itself successfully in a libel action on the fair comment basis.

Stanley's study indicates that only 19 cases involving the filing of libel cases have reached college publications during the last 30 years. In only one case did a court render a verdict against a student publication. The matter found libelous was not written or initiated by a student at all but by an advertiser. In six of the cases the university and the newspaper settled out of court, twice for only $500 (which is less than court costs of winning a verdict). Thus, in 12 cases the newspaper was neither convicted of publishing libel or required to pay any damages in or out of court.

Compared to the commercial press, the college press has a very superior record.

This does not mean, of course, that either are libel free. Perhaps professors and students are really such good friends of the campus press that they wouldn't consider filing libel suits. Stanley indicates that 66 advisers reported their publications had been threatened by a suit: but nothing happened. Probably the irritated persons got cold feet or found from legal counsel that the published matter was in no way actionable. Most of these advisers felt the threats weren't serious.

Retractions were frequently published; at least 98 advisers reported student papers had provided retractions. Of these, 68 said the student journalists took the initiative to correct errors while only 30 advisers said the retractions were printed because of libel suit possibility.

In addition to the classic defenses against libel, educational institutions have two other possible avenues to escape liability. One is the doctrine of charitable immunity which could protect private (and non-profit) colleges from liability. If libel suits were contemplated against private colleges in the 19 states where charitable immunity was recognized, courts would readily refuse to sustain the suit. The doctrine of governmental immunity based on the Eleventh Amendment extends to public colleges. At least 11 states have terminated governmental immunity, however. Another legal doctrine is agency law. If a person acts outside the scope of his employment, his supervisors, advisers, etc., may not be held liable.

The Myth Of Censorship

Censorship of the campus press could only be accomplished if someone other than the student staff were in a position to approve copy prior to publication. The NCCPA study attempted a determination of how widespread such a practice might be. In light of the contentions of the myth-makers that it surely was universal.
Reports submitted by college officials indicated that no one approved student newspaper copy prior to publication on 498 campuses. In 318 colleges prior approval plans did exist. In other words in 61 per cent of the colleges no prior approval or censorship was possible or practiced.

An examination of the 39 per cent where prior approval was practiced bears some explanation. In 273 colleges the adviser of the newspaper gave prior approval; in 43 cases the editors did so. Advisers generally checked copy for libel, good taste, or legal matters but did not forbid publication of ideas or viewpoints critical of the university. In 42 cases the prior approval system was very weak.

The campus yearbook staff did not have to seek prior approval in 351 colleges, but did have to in 264 colleges. Thus no prior approval was involved in 57 per cent of the colleges. This lower percentage exists because public colleges apparently split evenly on whether yearbooks should or should not be required to obtain approval of content before publication. In 243 of the colleges the adviser of the yearbook does the prior approval while the yearbook staff has to obtain approval from other persons in seven cases. Once again the level of approval is not necessarily severe; indeed, in 13 colleges it is very weak.

Courts at every level simply do not tolerate actions taken against the campus press as discipline or censorship by college officials. A heavy dossier of cases is building up to indicate censorship is illegal and non-permissible. Here is a review of several recent cases.

1. Antonelli v. Hammond, 308 F. Supp. 1329 (D. Mass. 1970)—School president instructed"review board" to pass on all material to be printed in college paper. Court ruled such action constituted "prior censorship" and was an "unconstitutional exercise of state power."

2. Dickey v. Alabama State Board of Education, 273 F. Supp. 613 (M.D. Ala. 1967)—Student refused admittance into school after being accused of "insubordination" because of protesting scat polo president's rule forbidding editorials critical of state legislators or governor. Court overruled school officials on grounds that they could not "Infringe on students' rights of free expression where (such) do not significantly interfere with the requirement of appropriate school discipline."

3. Lee v. Board of Regents, 306 F. Supp. 1097 (W.D. Wis. 1969)—Staff of student newspaper, acting on policy originated by faculty-student publications committee, would not print "editorial advertising," e.g., concerning university employees union. Court ordered staff to accept such advertisements on grounds that student paper is important forum for dissemination of news and expression of opinion" and it is violative of First Amendment rights for paper not to be open to "anyone who is willing to pay to have his views published therein."

4. Panarella v. Birenbaum, 1190 E. 327 NYS 2d 755. (New York Court of Appeals 1971)—A ruling by an appeals court in New York that regulations prohibiting attacks on religion from being published in campus newspapers at state-supported institutions of higher education are unconstitutional, was affirmed by the New York Court of Appeals, the highest court in the state.

In two separate opinions, which were consolidated on appeal, lower courts had directed two colleges to prevent attacks on religion from appearing in campus publications after some students had complained. The appeals court had found these regulations to be unconstitutional restrictions on freedom of expression in violation of the First Amendment.

In affirming this decision, the New York Court of Appeals said that, in sponsoring a student newspaper, the colleges did not intend to advance or inhibit religion. They merely provided a forum for exchange of ideas, the court continued. "There is no showing that the articles attacking religion were systematic or continuing, or that articles and letters presenting counterattacks were excluded."

In fact, the court concluded, "Use of state monies to support the newspaper does no more to establish a religion or inhibit it than use of state monies to build auditoriums, to provide police protection for speakers, or to subsidize distribution of literature, including religious proselytizing, through the mails. The action of college officials may not be interpreted as an attempt to establish a 'secular religion'; they simply have not spared religion, any more than love of country, from the attack of individuals expressing their own contentions."

5. Jeyner v. Whitting, 72-1630 (North Carolina 1972)—On appeal, the appellate court said that censorship of student publications at state-supported institutions cannot be imposed "by suspending the editors, suppressing circulation, requiring imprimatur of controversial articles, excising repugnant material, withdrawing financial support, or asserting any other form of censorial oversight based on the institution's power of the purse."

6. Thoren v. Jenkins, 72-1791 (North Carolina 1972)—Disciplinary action taken against two state university students, one who wrote a letter to the school paper containing a four-letter vulgarity referring to the university president, and the other whose articles were held illegal by the federal appellate court in Richmond.

The editor-in-chief of the Hilbert College (Horn) newspaper was cleared Tuesday of charges of "breach against him for running a Planned Parenthood advertisement.

Dan Hickling, a freshman at the two year co-ed school, was found not guilty of charges leveled by Sister Mary Edwina Bogel.

Three weeks ago Hilbert's Fourth Estate ran a two-page anti-abortion ad. She said it was an "attack." Hickling the ad was an "attack on birth control and could not appear in a paper financed by state money."

"You have the right to say anything you want to in a paper, but you have the obligation to the students and the community," said Sister Mary Edwina.

"This is a breach of trust on the part of the editor's improper use of the college newspaper. He has Catholic philosophy and morals and disregarded
officials indicated that no one approved student publication on 496 campuses. In 318 colleges prior approval was practiced on 1630 (North Carolina 1972) On 61 per cent of the colleges no prior approval was practiced. In 22 per cent where prior approval was practiced bear-... 
les the advisor of the newspaper gave prior approval did so. Advisers generally checked copy for libel, but did not forbid publication of ideas or viewpoints.

42 cases the prior approval system was very weak.

I did not have to seek prior approval in 351 colleges. Thus, no prior approval was involved in 57 per cent of the colleges. A heavy dossier of cases isship is illegal and non-permissible. Here is a review of

308 F. Supp. 1329 (D. Mass. 1970)—School president pass on all material to be printed in college paper constituted "prior censorship" and was an "unlawful power."

State Board of Education, 273 F. Supp. 613 (M.D. Ala. entry into school after being accused of "in-locating school president's rule forbidding editorials or governor. Court overruled school officials on infringe on students' rights of free expression where interfere with the requirement of appropriate school

306 F. Supp. 1097 (W.D. Wis. 1969)—Staff of student origin by faculty-student publications com- editorial advertising," e.g., concerning university ered staff to accept such advertisements on grounds ant forum "for dissemination of news and expression of First Amendment rights for paper not to be open pay to have his views published therein."

1,190 E. 327 NYS 2d 755. (New York Court of Appeals as court in New York that regulations prohibiting being published in campus newspapers at state-her education are unconstitutional, was affirmed by, the highest court in the state.

which were consolidated on appeal, lower courts had sent attacks on religion from appearing in campus papers had complained. The appeals court had found institutional restrictions on freedom of expression in order.

the New York Court of Appeals said that; in epony, the colleges need to advance or inhibit a forum for exchange of ideas. The court con- that the articles attacking religion were systematic lies and letters presenting counterattacks were ex- ed, "Use of state monies to support the newspaper religion or inhibit it, than use of state monies to build ce protection for speakers, or to subsidize distribution ligious proselytizing, through the mails. The action of le interpreted as an attempt to establish a 'secular' or 'secular' student publications considered "prior censorship" and was an "un-ate power."

1630 (North Carolina 1972)—On appeal, the appellate of student publications at state-supported institutions including the editors, suppressing circulation, requiring tal articles, excising repugnant material, withdrawing any other form of censornal oversight based on the purpose.

North Carolina 1973)—Disciplinary action taken one, who wrote a letter to the school paper referring to the university president, and the 
dalism, and even murders on the campus.

5. Evaluation of faculty effectiveness by students.


7. Student editors spoke out against the war in Vietnam and deplored its con- tinuance.

8. Revision of the curriculum to include timely and relevant causes.

9. Campus newspapers championed students' rights and the rights of others.

10. Some papers presented an entire supplement called "The Arts." However, most papers had a single page devoted to the arts. Students wrote reviews and reactions to the latest records, provocative motion pictures, operas, ballets, books, concerts, television, and radio attractions, and even belly dancing.

11. In their columns, many papers offered counseling services, which Included personal, vocational, alcoholic, medical, drug, academic, sexual, draft, and term paper counseling.

12. In their papers, students advanced their ideas concerning ecology and discussed noise and water pollution, recycling, food facts, food fraud, herbicides, and soil and beach erosion.

13. Women's liberation.

14. During this year the use of obscenities in campus newspapers declined. Most upset by their use was the faculty, not the students. Many editors admitted that the obscenities have lost their shock value. Others claimed that the use of obscenities in the college press indicated immaturity of the writer.

15. In addition to these popular concerns of the collegiate press, college newspapers wrote about more effective teaching, tenure of professors, salaries of staff and professors, parking problems, pass-fail grades, the "new religion," and students' participation in curriculum planning and in-college governance.

Most of the information above pertains to public colleges. This does not mean that private colleges can censor with no restraint. Freedom of the press is a right guaranteed to individuals. The key individual in college press matters is the editor. Not many cases have worked through the court yet, however. But judgment should prevail. Officials at Brigham Young University have concluded that student editors have essentially the same rights as do editors in public colleges. Many private colleges are proud of their campus traditions of free inquiry and discussion. They proclaim in university policies their endorsement of a free press.

It is good advice to a college administrator not to take action against the student press even though the press has been obnoxious or severe in its contents. The danger is not only in court action, but also in on-campus relations. Here is a plaintive report concerning the Fourth Estate of Hilbert College in 1971:

The editor-in-chief of the Hilbert College (Hamburg, N.Y.) student newspaper was cleared Tuesday of charges of "breach of trust" brought against him for running a Planned Parenthood advertisement.

Dan Hickling, a freshman at the two-year co-educational college, was found not guilty of charges leveled by Hilbert President Sister Mary Edwina Bogel.

Three weeks ago Hilbert's Fourth Estate ran a large back page ad which read, in part: "Get to know how the two of you don't have to become the three of you. Or the four of you. Or... Planned Parenthood. Children by Choice. Not chance." The ad, a public service announcement by the National Advertising Council, quoted statistics saying: "More than half of all pregnancies each year are accidental."

A week ago Sister Mary Edwina called Mr. Hickling into her office and told him he faced expulsion from school if he did not print. In the newspaper, an apology for running the ad. He refused. Sister Mary Edwina told Mr. Hickling the ad was an "attack on the Catholic Church."

She said it was a direct contradiction to the Pope's Encyclical against birth control and could not use a paper bearing the college's name.

Mr. Hickling defended running the ad on the grounds that his primary obligation was to the students and not the church. He told Sister Mary Edwina: "I have done nothing wrong."

Following the meeting Sister Mary Edwina filed a written charge which led to Tuesday's hearing. She charged that the Planned Parenthood ad "is unacceptable to Catholic tenets and undermines the authentic values of the faith."

She added that "when interrogated whether he (Mr. Hickling) was aware that this advertisement was contrary to the teaching of the Church, he replied that he was...but he had an obligation as an editor-in-chief to inform the public."

"This is a breach of trust on the part of the editor-in-chief. This was an improper use of the college newspaper. He has shown no respect for
3. Lee v. Board of Regents, 306 F. Supp. 1097 (W.D. Wis. 1969)—Staff of student newspaper, acting on policy originated by faculty-student publications committee, would not print "editorial advertising," e.g., concerning university employees union. Court ordered staff to accept such advertisements on grounds that student paper is important forum "for dissemination of news and expression of opinion" and it is violative of First Amendment rights for paper not to be open to "anyone who is willing to pay to have his views published therein."

4. Panarella v. Birenbaum, 1190 E. 327 NYS 2d 755. (New York Court of Appeals 1971)—A ruling by an appeals court in New York that regulations prohibiting attacks on religion from being published in campus newspapers at state-supported institutions of higher education are unconstitutional, was affirmed by the New York Court of Appeals, the highest court in the state.

In two separate opinions, which were consolidated on appeal, lower courts had directed two colleges to prevent attacks on religion from appearing in campus publications after some students had complained. The appeals court had found these regulations to be unconstitutional restrictions on freedom of expression in violation of the First Amendment.

In affirming this decision, the New York Court of Appeals said that, in sponsoring a student newspaper, the colleges did not intend to advance or inhibit religion. They merely provided a forum for exchange of ideas, the court continued. "There is no showing that the articles attacking religion were systematic or continuing, or that articles and letters presenting counterattacks were excluded."

In fact, the court concluded, "Use of state monies to support the newspaper does no more to establish a religion or inhibit it, than use of state monies to build auditoriums, to provide police protection for speakers, or to subsidize distribution of literature, including religious proselytizing, through the mails. The action of college officials may not be interpreted as an attempt to establish a 'secular religion'; they simply have not spared religion, any more than love of country, from the attack of individuals expressing their own contentions."

5. Joyner v. Whitting, 72-1530 (North Carolina 1972)—On appeal, the appellate court said that censorship of student publications at state-supported institutions cannot be imposed "by suspending the editors, suppressing circulation, requiring imprimatur of controversial articles, excising repugnant material, withdrawing financial support, or asserting any other form of censorial oversight based on the institution's power of the purse."

6. Thoren v. Jenkins, 72-1061 (North Carolina 1972)—Disciplinary action taken against two state university students, one who wrote a letter to the school paper containing a four-letter vulgarity referring to the university president, and the editor-in-chief of the campus paper was ruled illegal by the federal appellate court in Richmond.

Affirming the decision of the district court, the appeals court found a violation of the First Amendment, quoting from the Supreme Court's ruling in Papish v. Board of Curators. The appeals court said that the state university may not shut off the mere dissemination of ideas, no matter how offensive to good taste, solely in the name of "conventions of decency."

Hermin Estrin, a past president of NCCPA and active in advising college publications at Newark College of Engineering in New Jersey, spent several months reading issues of 200 college newspapers published in 1972. He concluded at the end of his study that "the collegiate press in the 70s is producing a fortuitous, candid approach to the real problems, concerns, and interests of its readers—the student body. College editors—responsible, sophisticated, knowledgeable, provocative, and at times, irreverent and daring—offer their readers an informative, stimulating, timely press."

The commercial press would appreciate such an accolade. Estrin found a wide range of concerns published in the papers. The college press, like the commercial press, was able to tackle anything.

If censorship was widely practiced on the campus press, student newspapers could not have written about this list of subjects Estrin read about over and over:

1. Commitment to service activities designed to improve the community and help needy persons of the off-campus community.
2. Sex is treated casually, frankly, and relevantly with articles about centers for human sexuality, sex surveys, birth control, abortion, homosexuality, marriage, family planning, venereal disease, cohabitation, and rape.
3. In the advertisement section, students included such ads as abortion information and assistance, male contraceptives, alcoholics anonymous, narcotic addicts rehabilitation, precana conferences, GROPE (Gay Rights of People Everywhere), Tampon tampons, term papers researched and professionally written, d. wine, beer, draft counseling, pregnancy counseling, among others.

The college press is definitely concerned about the thefts, assaults, van-
The editor-in-chief of the Hilbert College (Hamburg, N.Y.) student newspaper was cleared Tuesday of charges of "breach of trust" brought against him for running a Planned Parenthood advertisement.

Dan Hickling, a freshman at the two-year co-educational college, was found not guilty of charges leveled by Hilbert President Sister Mary Edwina Bogel.

Three weeks ago Hilbert's Fourth Estate ran a large back-page ad which read, in part: "Get to know the two of you don't have to become the three of you. Or the four of you. Or... Planned Parenthood: Children by Choice. Not chance." The ad was public service announcement by the National Advertising Council, quoted statistics saying: "More than half of all pregnancies each year are accidental."

A week ago Sister Mary Edwina called Mr. Hickling into her office and told him he faced expulsion from school if he did not print, in the newspaper, an apology for running the ad. He refused. Sister Mary Edwina told Mr. Hickling the ad was an "attack on the Catholic Church." She said it was a direct contradiction to the Pope's Encyclical against birth control and could not appear in a paper bearing the college's name.

Mr. Hickling defended running the ad on the grounds that the students and not the church had the obligation to the students and the church. He told Sister Mary Edwina: "I have done nothing wrong."

Following the meeting Sister Mary Edwina filed a written charge which led to Tuesday's hearing. She charged that the Planned Parenthood ad "is unacceptable to Catholic tenets and undermines the authentic values of the faith."

She added that "when interrogated whether he (Mr. Hickling) was aware that this advertisement was contrary to the teaching of the Church, he replied that he was... but he had an obligation as an editor-in-chief to inform the public."

"This is a breach of trust on the part of the editor-in-chief. This was an improper use of the college newspaper. He has shown no respect for Catholic philosophy and morals, and disregarded the aims of the college. He has failed in his responsibility to God and fellow students and the founders of this college."

"The college reserves the right to prohibit attacks on the Catholic faith. Every individual on this campus must respect the right of religious freedom and that no attacks against the Catholic faith openly or by innuendo will be tolerated."

A seven-member panel—four students, two faculty members, and Sister Mary Edwina's top assistant—heard Mr. Hickling and Sister Mary Edwina discuss the charges for two hours Tuesday. The hearing was closed; however, Mr. Hickling was entitled to the aid of counsel and was represented by Steve Lipman, Student Affairs Editor of the Reporter.

The unanimous 7-0 decision was: "...Mr. Hickling shall not be expelled from school, "...He shall not be removed as editor-in-chief, "...a list of guidelines pertaining to the relationship between the editor-in-chief and the newspaper's adviser shall be drawn up."

It was suggested that the adviser's role be limited to determining libel, not editorial comment.

Censorship or prior approval of copy for the campus press is not the standard procedure practiced in American colleges and universities, public or private. Perhaps the incidence is higher than it should be; much of the purpose of the myth-makers and ofSadads such as this one is to advise college administrators to recognize the desirability of a free and uncensored campus press. The myth-makers seek short cuts to such a goal. The NCCPA suggests endorsement and understanding of the function of a free campus press.
Success story of the decade of the 1970s in higher education could very well be that of journalism education. If enrollment increases could be considered one criterion of success, certainly journalism is booming.

The 41,691 students reported to Paul V. Peterson for the 1971-72 academic year for his annual survey compiled for the Association for Education in Journalism, was the highest number ever recorded.

Unfortunately Peterson's report covers only 166 schools and departments of journalism. The issue of Journalistic Education, containing his report and the 1973 Yearbook of Editor and Publisher magazine together list more than 200 colleges offering a major in journalism. (62) These two publications do not list many other school offering majors: actually nearly 300 colleges provide such extensive programs. Hundreds more offer more courses to equal a journalism minor and hundreds more offer from one to a half dozen courses.

The American Council for Education in Journalism thus had accredited between 20 and 25 per cent of the 300 colleges in one or more areas of journalism education. The Association for Education in Journalism has a membership of from 1,200 to 1,500 college journalism teachers, or from 20 to 25 per cent of the persons who actually teach journalism in colleges or universities.

The National Council of College Publications Advisers hasn't been able yet to sign up as many as a thousand college student publications advisers. Each of these schools would be far more effective if editors or advisers would join, or if more colleges sought accreditation for their programs, although some educators oppose accreditation on philosophical grounds.

The survey of campus student publications sponsored by the NCCPA ad-hoc committee on the legal status of the campus press received information from 899 colleges covering 1,422 student publications.

Darío Rutillella, editor of the Student Press in America, a directory, mailed 2,600 directory information cards to 2,600 colleges and universities. He received 2,014 responses. His directory can report from his replies that the 2,538 college newspapers outnumber commercial daily papers in the United States. Yearbooks, buffeted by cost problems, now number 1,519. He found 919 campus magazines. (63)

The Higher Education Directory for 1972-73 published by the National Center for Educational Statistics (p. XXXII) lists 2,686 colleges and universities in the nation; 970 of these were junior colleges.

The above data indicates great diversity in higher education, in journalism education, and in student publications.

Perhaps the first myth related to journalism education is the one of oversimplification. Journalism is a broad term encompassing all aspects of print media and most aspects of electronic media as well as the theoretical and philosophical bases of such media and their impact on society. This makes for a complex education package.

Association for Education in Journalism members sort themselves into such categories as advertising, graphics, history, international communications, magazines, mass communications and society, minorities and communications, newspapers, photojournalism, public relations, radio-TV, secondary education, and theory and methodology.

The American Council for Education in Journalism currently is accrediting programs in the areas of news-editorial, advertising, radio-television-film, public relations, magazine, technical journalism, agriculture and home economics, journalism, community journalism, photojournalism, and publishing.

Neither of these lists exhaust the fields of journalism. Political journalism, urban journalism, science writing, religious journalism, environmental journalism are other exotic areas enjoying a vogue. There could be more.

Journalism education is somewhat more expensive than some disciplines because writing and editing classes should be small (15 students) and because laboratories replete with printing and electronic equipment are necessary. Publishing or broadcasting is frequently involved.

A few colleges have decided that journalism is such a high level professional and academic area that it should be offered only in graduate levels. A few colleges restrict undergraduate journalism study to the junior and senior years only. Most four-year colleges allow freshmen to take some course work and stretch the journalism curriculum over four years. Several junior colleges have extensive offerings.

Several vigorous debates over which is best and who should do what in journalism education keep this complex field in turmoil.

One debate covers the relationship of the journalism education program to campus student publications. Out of this debate comes several myths. They include:

1. College journalism teachers cannot be good advisers.
2. College yearbooks are being replaced by other forms of student publications.
3. The college newspaper is becoming a \"letters-to-the-editor\" package.
4. Even 16 of the so-called independent-incorporated student publications are a vile lot, full of weeds. Thus a strong and continuous battle is conducted by student publications and administrators. Since the student presses were once campus journalists, it may be true that this dismal picture is the mythical press on college campuses. But, by and large, it is false.

The myth-makers would be astounded to find how few student publications are monopolized by a militant force to destroy student publications. Their astonishment would cover that college presidents and board members do not support freedom of the press for campus publications.

Here are examples of college presidents who yet the myth-makers.

The Myth Of Antagonistic Journalism

Basic to the thesis found in the tales of the myths is that campus student publications are a vile lot, full of weeds. Thus a strong and continuous battle is conducted by student publications and administrators. The myth-makers would be astounded to find how few student publications are monopolized by a militant force to destroy student publications. Their astonishment would cover that college presidents and board members do not support freedom of the press for campus publications.

Here are examples of college presidents who yet the myth-makers.

The Myth Of Antagonistic Journalism
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Even 16 of the so-called independent-incorporated papers use journalism ad-
visers. Eighty-five of the colleges recruited advisers from other faculty mem-
ers, public relations persons or administrators, or even from non-college per-
sons. The 13 public relations people were used primarily because they were the
only persons on the campus who knew anything about putting out a newspaper.

College yearbooks were advised by journalism faculty members in 257-colleges.
In 164 colleges other faculty or administrative persons served as advisers.

It is obvious that the typical campus student publication does have a faculty
advisor, and that adviser is probably a journalism teacher. Colleges where this is
not true are distinctly atypical and non-standard situations.

Representative of the usual attitude toward student publications and jour-
nalism education relationship is a statement made Oct. 4, 1973, by Dr. Alan C.
Rankin, president of Indiana State University. In outlining the strengths of the
university to provide a strong journalism major for professionals, Dr. Rankin
listed the daily campus student newspaper.

“A student daily can provide an invaluable experience for the student,
especially if it can be used as a teaching newspaper and the classroom work
integrated with work on the paper,” he said.

The Myth Of Antagonistic Administrators

Basic to the thesis found in the tales of the myth-makers are these postulates:
campus student publications are a vile lot, full of obscenities, and disgusting
to many. College and university trustees, presidents, and other administrators are
universally arrayed as a militant force to destroy or control such obnoxious
weeds. Thus a strong and continuous battle is constantly joined between nasty
student publications and administrators. Since the power on campus is always in
the hands of the administrators, wielded in open, brutal attacks or by insidious
wiles, the student press lives in constant frustration and misery.

It may be true that this dismal picture is the mood and fact on a handful of
campuses. But, by and large, it is totally false.

The myth-makers would be astounded to find how high the quality Is of most
-campus student publications. Their astonishment would be even greater to dis-
cover that college presidents and board members in general are cordial to and
supportive of freedom of the press for campus publications. Many of these people
were once campus journalists.

Here are examples of college presidents who even fought for the student press.
The first two are distinguished ones. The two presidents received the Alexander
Mucklejohn Award of the American Association of University Professors, the
highest citation of that organization in the field of academic freedom.

This is the story of J. W. Mauker, president of the University of Northern Iowa.

In October 1967, a young English instructor, Mr. Edward Hoffmans,
published an article in the Northern Iowa, the campus newspaper,
criticizing the draft and the United States policy in Vietnam. The position
he espoused will be familiar to those who have spent even a brief time on
any American college campus in recent years: American participation in
the Vietnam War is profoundly immoral and should be opposed and
resisted by all persons who think of themselves as moral agents.
Specifically, the draft should be resisted as an instrument of the utter
immorality of the war—by destroying draft cards, by disrupting induction
centers, by refusing to serve in the armed forces, and by avoiding the
draft. This statement, particularly its defense of mass civil disobedience
toward the draft law, evoked outcries of protest and demands for Hoff-
mans' ouster from a variety of sources.

The student editor, yielding to public criticism, had announced a new
policy against publishing material which advocated illegal acts. President
Mauker saw the need for administration leadership and support for a free
student press. Perceiving the dangers of censorship in the implementation
of so sweeping a prohibition he called attention to the obligation of a
university, not only to refrain from suppressing, but to further intellectual
exchange:

"It is not enough merely to tolerate provocative ideas—the University is
obligated actively to encourage the free exchange of ideas. To this end we
have defined a policy for the University newspaper which provides a 'free
and open forum' through its letters-to-the-editor section—the only
prohibitions being against libel, obscenity or extreme vulgarity. It is
essential in my judgment that the forum be kept open."

Acting on this philosophy he met with the student editor and the Board of
Control of Student Publications. As a result the policy was altered to make
the standard for nonpublication not that illegal acts were advocated, but
Dario Politella, editor of the Student Press in America, a directory, mailed 2,600 directory information cards to 2,600 colleges and universities. He received 2,014 responses. His directory can report from his replies that the 2,538 college newspapers outnumber commercial daily papers in the United States. Yearbooks, buffeted by cost problems, now number 1,519. He found 919 campus magazines. (62)

The Higher Education Directory for 1972-73 published by the National Center for Educational Statistics (p. XXI) lists 2,686 colleges and universities in the nation: 970 of these were junior colleges.

The above data indicates great diversity in higher education, in journalism education, and in student publications.

Perhaps the first myth related to journalism education is the one of over-simplification.

Journalism is a broad term encompassing all aspects of print media and most aspects of electronic media as well as the theoretical and philosophical bases of such media and their impact on society. This makes for a complex education package.

Association for Education in Journalism members sort themselves into such categories as advertising, graphics, history, international communications, magazines, mass communications and society, minorities and communications, newspapers, photojournalism, public relations, Radio-TV, secondary education, and theory and methodology.

The American Council for Education in Journalism currently is accrediting programs in the areas of news-editorial, advertising, radio-television-film, public relations, magazine, technical journalism, agriculture and home economics journalism, community journalism, photojournalism, and publishing.

Neither of these lists exhaust the fields of journalism. Political journalism, urban journalism, science writing, religious journalism, environmental journalism are other exotic areas enjoying a vogue. There could be more.

Journalism education is somewhat more expensive than other disciplines because writing and editing classes should be small (15 students) and because laboratories replete with printing and electronic equipment are necessary. Publishing or broadcasting is frequently involved.

A few colleges have decided that journalism is such a high level professional and academic area that it should be offered only in graduate levels. A few colleges restrict undergraduate journalism study to the junior and senior years only. Most four-year colleges allow freshmen to take some course work and stretch the journalism curriculum over four years. Several junior colleges have extensive offerings.

Several vigorous debates over which is best and who should do what in journalism education keep this complex field in turmoil.

One debate covers the relationship of the journalism education program to campus student publications. Out of this debate comes several myths. They include:

1. College journalism teachers cannot be good advisers because they are too directive because of their pedagogical notions or because they are under the control of the administration.

2. Mock-up publications or infrequently published publications produced in carefully and formally-controlled laboratory situations are better learning experiences than the rowdy informal learning connected with student publications.

3. Student publications connected to journalism programs cause only embarrassment and problems for journalism teachers who are held responsible for poor writing, poor spelling, and everything else.

These are astonishing notions.

No person should be more aware of the reason for and the desirability of a free campus press than a journalism teacher.

Journalism teachers need to be made of strong timbre to withstand the harassment of colleagues and superiors. They are generally tempered to such timbre in the campus crucible.

Journalism is concerned at the moment communication occurs. Its efforts are leading to that moment. Journalism deals with the complete act of communication. If no one sees, reads, or hears the product of journalism, the learning experience is rather sterile. Of course, not all courses or sequences of journalism would automatically be involved in publishing. Internships in commercial newspapers are widely used in journalism schools to provide the rowdy learning there.

But are there actual journalism learning values in campus student publications?

The American Society of Journalism School Administrators (an organization of colleges and universities with extensive and sophisticated journalism programs) queried members in 1972 about the relationship between journalism and student publications. (64) Only 13 had exclusive laboratory papers while 29 did not. Thirty-five had a relationship to student newspapers and only four reported they did not.

The NCCPA study asked colleges if journalism faculty members served as advisers for student newspapers. In at least 455 colleges the answer was yes.

In October 1967, a young English instructor published an article in the Northern Opinion criticizing the draft and the United States policies. He espoused will be familiar to those who have any American college campus in recent years.

The Vietnam War is profoundly immoral.

The Higher Education Directory for 1972 reports that 13 published by the National Center for Educational Statistics (p. XXI) lists 2,686 colleges and universities in the nation: 970 of these were junior colleges.

The above data indicates great diversity in higher education, in journalism education, and in student publications.

Perhaps the first myth related to journalism education is the one of over-simplification.

Journalism is a broad term encompassing all aspects of print media and most aspects of electronic media as well as the theoretical and philosophical bases of such media and their impact on society. This makes for a complex education package.

Association for Education in Journalism members sort themselves into such categories as advertising, graphics, history, international communications, magazines, mass communications and society, minorities and communications, newspapers, photojournalism, public relations, Radio-TV, secondary education, and theory and methodology.

The American Council for Education in Journalism currently is accrediting programs in the areas of news-editorial, advertising, radio-television-film, public relations, magazine, technical journalism, agriculture and home economics journalism, community journalism, photojournalism, and publishing.

Neither of these lists exhaust the fields of journalism. Political journalism, urban journalism, science writing, religious journalism, environmental journalism are other exotic areas enjoying a vogue. There could be more.

Journalism education is somewhat more expensive than other disciplines because writing and editing classes should be small (15 students) and because laboratories replete with printing and electronic equipment are necessary. Publishing or broadcasting is frequently involved.

A few colleges have decided that journalism is such a high level professional and academic area that it should be offered only in graduate levels. A few colleges restrict undergraduate journalism study to the junior and senior years only. Most four-year colleges allow freshmen to take some course work and stretch the journalism curriculum over four years. Several junior colleges have extensive offerings.

Several vigorous debates over which is best and who should do what in journalism education keep this complex field in turmoil.

One debate covers the relationship of the journalism education program to campus student publications. Out of this debate comes several myths. They include:

1. College journalism teachers cannot be good advisers because they are too directive because of their pedagogical notions or because they are under the control of the administration.

2. Mock-up publications or infrequently published publications produced in carefully and formally-controlled laboratory situations are better learning experiences than the rowdy informal learning connected with student publications.

3. Student publications connected to journalism programs cause only embarrassment and problems for journalism teachers who are held responsible for poor writing, poor spelling, and everything else.

These are astonishing notions.

No person should be more aware of the reason for and the desirability of a free campus press than a journalism teacher.

Journalism teachers need to be made of strong timbre to withstand the harassment of colleagues and superiors. They are generally tempered to such timbre in the campus crucible.

Journalism is concerned at the moment communication occurs. Its efforts are leading to that moment. Journalism deals with the complete act of communication. If no one sees, reads, or hears the product of journalism, the learning experience is rather sterile. Of course, not all courses or sequences of journalism would automatically be involved in publishing. Internships in commercial newspapers are widely used in journalism schools to provide the rowdy learning there.

But are there actual journalism learning values in campus student publications?

The American Society of Journalism School Administrators (an organization of colleges and universities with extensive and sophisticated journalism programs) queried members in 1972 about the relationship between journalism and student publications. (64) Only 13 had exclusive laboratory papers while 29 did not. Thirty-five had a relationship to student newspapers and only four reported they did not.

The NCCPA study asked colleges if journalism faculty members served as advisers for student newspapers. In at least 455 colleges the answer was yes.
In October 1967, a young English instructor, Mr. Edward Hoffmans, published an article in the Northern Iowa campus newspaper, criticizing the draft and the United States policy in Vietnam. The position he espoused will be familiar to those who have spent even a brief time on any American college campus in recent years: American participation in the Vietnam War is profoundly immoral and should be opposed and resisted by all persons who think of themselves as moral agents. Specifically, the draft should be resisted as an instrument of the utter immorality of the war—by destroying draft cards, by disrupting induction centers, by forcing to serve in the armed forces, by avoiding the draft. This statement, particularly its defense of mass civil disobedience toward the draft law, evoked outrages of protest and demands for Hoffmans' ouster from a variety of sources.

The student editor, yielding to public criticism, had announced a new policy against publishing material which advocated illegal acts. President Maucker saw the need for administration leadership and support for a free student press. Perceiving the dangers of censorship in the implementation of so sweeping a prohibition he called attention to the obligation of a university, not only to refrain from suppressing, but to further intellectual exchange:

"It is not enough merely to tolerate provocative ideas—the University is obligated actively to encourage the free exchange of ideas. To this end we have defined a policy for the University newspaper which provides a 'free and open forum' through its letters-to-the-editor section—the only prohibitions being against libel, obscenity or extreme vulgarity. It is essential in my judgment that the forum be kept open."

Acting on this philosophy he met with the student editor and the Board of Control of Student Publications. As a result the policy was altered to make the standard for nonpublication not that illegal acts were advocated, but that the material would "subject the editor or others responsible for the paper to civil or criminal liability." This kind of administrative involvement with student publications—to shore up student commitment to a free forum policy against pressure for self-censorship from the outside—we could do with more of on American campuses. (65)

George W. Starcher, president of the University of North Dakota, also rose to the defense of the student press:

Late in 1967, the student editor of the Dakota Student published an editorial sharply critical of the University for refusing to publish a gift to support journalism schools and public administrators. Since the power on campus is always in the hands of the administrators, who wield in open, brutal attacks or by insidious wiles, the student press lives in constant frustration and misery.

It may be true that this dismal picture is the mood and fact on a handful of campuses. But, by and large, it is totally false.

The myth-makers would be astounded to find how high the quality is of most campus student publications. Their astonishment would be even greater to discover that college presidents and board members in general are cordial to and supportive of freedom of the press for campus publications. Many of these people were once campus journalists.

Here are examples of college presidents who even fought for the student press. The first two are distinguished ones. The two presidents received the Alexander Mecklejohn Award of the American Association of University Professors, the highest citation of that organization in the field of academic freedom.

This is the story of J. W. Maucker, president of the University of Northern Iowa.
need for college and university executives with power and the nerve to lower the boom summarily on any student, or faculty member, violating the moral standards on which this nation built its strength, honor and greatness.”

President Starcher once again resisted these pressures and, in the process, sought to achieve a better public understanding of the meaning and significance of academic freedom and of the relation between a university and its students. In an address to the North Dakota Farmers Union he observed:

“Some of you have read a certain college newspaper edited by a 24-year-old youth whose success is not yet great enough to warrant the humility that accompanies greatness, and whose taste and style most people believe did, once or twice, lapse from what is becoming the academic community, and your reaction, even though you only know what you have read or heard in the news, has been negative, even though everyone has heard, maybe even used, the word at one time or another. No one publicly concedes, or even mildly approves, such exercise of freedom. Some people bearing some form of public responsibility urge firing the editor. Very few see the much more important problem of our society—I mean the unresolved questions about decency, taste, style in communication and morals—public and private. All of our courts have sustained a standard of freedom of language that indeed makes such an incident appear like making a mountain out of a molehill. Only a few calmly ask, ‘Are we willing to surrender our own, and everybody’s right to say, or print, all such words (which we shall put on a censored list) in order to get rid of one young man whose immaturity, lack of propriety, or desire to flaunt his freedom to ignore the facts of semantics or context, irritate us?’...

‘Let me say only that America means the right of any individual to start a new religion if he feels like it, the right to participate in the free market of ideas with no facts barred, and the right to be wrong, yes, up to a certain limit and within certain bounds even the right to be offensive. Every one of us casts his vote for a particular kind of movie when he buys a ticket, for obscenity when he buys an obscene book or magazine and for freedom when he supports the methods of getting leadership that freedom permits.”

On the junior college level, the president of Rockland Community College defended the right of The Outlook, a student publication, to freedom of the press. The Outlook published a poem sprinkled with obscenities. Immediately attempts were to “set up guidelines” as a control of the publication. But the president opposed such efforts to censor the paper. (67)

Board of Trustees and Regents regularly approve and adopt as official university policy, statements developed on campus through the university’s governance system.

The Regents of the University of Wisconsin adopted this policy, which has subsequently been the policy of all the Wisconsin colleges and universities or branches under its jurisdiction:

...The Regents of the University of Wisconsin respectfully but firmly adhere to the Board’s long established policy of encouraging and supporting freedom of expression in the publication of the Daily Cardinal as well as in all other academic and extracurricular functions of this University. Guided by the spirit of freedom of inquiry and expression which pervades each facet of the life of this institution, the Daily Cardinal has earned a national reputation as a student newspaper controlled and operated by the students through their duly elected representatives. It would be destructive of the essence of the Daily Cardinal if any authority, whether a Regent, a Legislator, or other, could prescribe what shall be orthodox and therefore acceptable for publication and what shall be unorthodox and therefore interdicted. (68)

As a result of campus disruptions of several years ago many colleges and universities have adopted statements or policies generally called a students’ bill of rights. These statements emphasize due process in handling student problems. Almost all of them contain assurances of a free campus press.

The genesis of these bills of rights comes primarily from recommendations made by a committee of the American Association of University Professors. Although some colleges have re-written the AAUP ideas into a more manageable plan of control, hundreds of other colleges, public and private alike, now follow as university policy the endorsement of a free press on campus.

Here are typical statements now in effect:

As constituents of the University community, student editors shall be free to express their views on issues of University policy and on matters of general interest to the student body, as stated in the 1968 Student Bill of Rights. (Appendix A)

Truthfully, the student press on most campuses enjoy standing, support, and freedom.
JOINT STATEMENT ON RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS OF STUDENTS
(Adopted by Wichita State University, May 1968)

Section 4. Freedom of Student Communications.

Clause 1. The student press and radio shall be free of censorship and advance approval of copy.

Clause 2. Editors and managers of student communications shall be protected from arbitrary suspension and removal because of student, faculty, administrative, or public disapproval of editorial policy or content. Only for proper and stated causes shall editors and managers be subject to removal. The agency responsible for the appointment of editors and managers shall be the agency responsible for their removal, such action subject to the Dean of Students' ratification and, on appeal, decision of the Student-Faculty Court.

Clause 3. All University published and financed student communications shall explicitly state on the editorial page or in broadcast that the opinions that are expressed by them are not necessarily those of the college, university, or student body.

Clause 4. For the expression of contrary views, equal time and space should be allowed to those wishing to express their views. (69)

Section 16. A student, group, or organization may publish and distribute written material on campus without prior approval of the content of the material but the time, place, and manner of distribution may be limited by such reasonable written regulations as are necessary for the operation of the university.

Section 17. The student press shall be free of censorship. The editors and managers shall not be arbitrarily suspended because of student, faculty, administration, alumni, or community disapproval of editorial policy or content. Similar freedom is assured oral statements of views on university-controlled and student-run radio or television stations. This editorial freedom entails a corollary obligation under the canons of responsible journalism and applicable regulations of the Federal Communications Commission.

Section 18. All university-published or -financed student communications shall explicitly state on the editorial page or in broadcast that the opinions expressed are not necessarily those of the university or its student body. (70)

Freedom of expression is of even greater importance to the academic community than it is to the society at large. "The right to know" and "the right to criticize," cornerstones of a free press in a free society, are also foundation stones of a free academy. The printed word may properly be thought of as the lifeblood of learning, and faculty and student publications will therefore occupy a central place in the academic community. The student press can help to establish and maintain an atmosphere of free and responsible discussion and intellectual exploration on the campus. It can be a means of bringing student concerns to the attention of the faculty and the institutional authorities and of formulating student opinion on various issues on the campus and in the world at large. To those ends, the Publications Board is constituted by the President, acting on behalf of the academic community, to serve as publisher of student publications and to exercise the powers and responsibilities of the publisher on behalf of the Institution. (71)

A. The student press should be free of censorship and advance approval of copy, and its editors and managers should be free to develop their own editorial policies and news coverage.

B. Editors and managers should subscribe to canons of responsible journalism. At the same time, they should be protected from arbitrary suspension and removal because of student, faculty, administrative, or public disapproval of editorial policy or content. Only for proper and stated causes should editors and managers be subject to removal and then by orderly and prescribed procedures.

C. There is established the Student Publications Policy Committee ("Student") modifies "Publications"). The Student Publications Policy Committee is a standing committee of the Student Affairs Council. It acts in all matters pertaining to those publications written primarily by students and financed primarily by University-sanctioned student fees. It has no jurisdiction over official University, administrative, or departmental publications no matter how authored. (72)
On the junior college level, the president of Rockland Community College defended the right of The Outlook, a student publication, to freedom of the press. The Outlook published a poem sprinkled with obscenities. Immediately attempts were to "set up guidelines" as a control of the publication. But the president opposed such efforts to censor the paper. (67)

Board of Trustees and Regents regularly approve and adopt as official university policy, statements developed on campus through the university's governance system.

The Regents of the University of Wisconsin adopted this policy, which has subsequently been the policy of all the Wisconsin colleges and universities or branches under its jurisdiction:

...The Regents of the University of Wisconsin respectfully but firmly adhere to the Board's long established policy of encouraging and supporting freedom of expression in the publication of the Daily Cardinal as well as in all other academic and extracurricular functions of this University. Guided by the spirit of freedom of inquiry and discussion which pervades each facet of the life of this institution, the Daily Cardinal has earned a national reputation as a student newspaper controlled and operated by the students through their duly elected representatives. It would be destructive of the essence of the Daily Cardinal if any authority, whether a Regent, a Legislator, or other, could prescribe what shall be orthodox and therefore acceptable for publication and what shall be unorthodox and therefore interdicted. (68)

As a result of campus disruptions of several years ago many colleges and universities have adopted statements or policies generally called a students' bill of rights. These statements emphasize due process in handling student problems. Almost all of them contain assurances of a free campus press.

The adoption of these bills of rights comes primarily from recommendations made by a committee of the American Association of University Professors. Although some colleges have re-written the AAUP ideas into a rational plan of control, hundreds of other colleges, public and private alike, now follow as university policy the endorsement of a free press on campus.

Here are typical statements now in effect:

As constituents of the University community, student editors shall be free to express their views on issues of University policy and on matters of general interest to the student body, as stated in the 1968 Student Bill of Rights. (Appendix A)

Appendix A

Truthfully, the student press on most campuses enjoy standing, support, and freedom.
On estimate indicates there were 2,538 campus student newspapers, 1,519 yearbooks, 919 magazines, and 792 other student publications being regularly produced in the 2,700 institutions of higher education in operation in 1972-73. The student publications were as diverse in appearance, content, and function as were the many institutions.

College administrators, trustees, faculty members, and students in these institutions are constantly searching for possible ways to maintain and improve every facet of campus life and activity. (73) This search goes on because no one has yet claimed perfection for any campus or procedure.

The recommendations made in the AASCU booklet on the campus press by its author Julius Duscha that immediate, unfunded independence is the best way to manage campus student publications is grandiose. But is is only possible or desirable in fantasy as far as American institutions are concerned.

Independence is essentially a meaningless word and concept when applied to the campus student press. In the comprehensive survey conducted by NCCPA 548 colleges (68 per cent) simply did not consider the campus newspaper to be independent of the college (251 or 32 per cent did). The yearbook was not considered independent in 469 colleges (74 per cent) while 158 (or 26 per cent) of the colleges considered the book to be "Independent." An examination of data supplied by the colleges listing 409 publications considered independent indicates that only in two universities (Harvard and Yale) could publications be really characterized as being really independent in terms of criteria listed in chapter two. Thus, the independent college student press simply does not exist in the United States in any significant degree. Little can be gained by talking as if it did or could or should.

Instead, much more intelligent understanding and planning can evolve from a sensible and reasonable discussion based on data reflecting accurately the status of the campus student press.

For example 206 boards of trustees of colleges and universities have adopted official statements relative to freedom of the student press as it pertains to students of the institutions and to student publications. More than 600 college boards have not done so according to the NCCPA study. Although some student journalists fear that their boards would pass repressive statements, the general posture of statements adopted by boards has been substantially to endorse and provide for freedom of the campus press.

Freedom of the campus press does not mean independence from the university. Freedom of the campus press can be best characterized as a situation in which students can publish without having to obtain prior approval from university officials. Data presented earlier in this report indicates that the standard practice in American colleges is to provide for this situation for campus student publications.

Fiscal and financial arrangements, whether incorporated or not, have no direct bearing on the freedom of the campus press in America philosophically, practically, or legally.

John Ciardi, writing in the Sept. 11, 1965, issue of the Saturday Review believed a sensible approach to student publications could be in the Tufts College plan. He believed this plan should be "compulsory reading for all college and university administrators who have serious intent as educators." (74) Here is its official wording.

"It is the duty of editors and advisers to keep student publications at a level that brings credit to the University. This responsibility lies initially with the board of editors of each publication, which considers all the material submitted and whose approval is requisite for publication. The board of editors will wish to consult closely with its adviser reasonably in advance of printers' deadlines, and whenever any doubt remains with respect to suitability for publication the board of editors will discuss the doubtful matter with an advisory committee.

A University literary publication exists to provide an experimental ground for the efforts of writers who have yet to achieve the balance of technique and experience. Such writers, moreover, are likely to be moved by powerful emotions. In the history of all literatures the madmen have shared at least equal honors with the calmly reasoned.

It sometimes happens that the disproportions of student writing, as they spring onto the page from the unmanaged or half-managed compulsions of the writer, offend the more literal and less venturesome attitudes of the community. It can follow then that the "Image" of the University will suffer in the eyes of the community; particularly so since this difference between the language-intoxicated young seeker and the more stable community around him is one that can be readily distorted to sens

Clardi and Gibbs both are asking for a sensible campus student press. Others asking the same are the committee appointed by NCCPA to study the legal status of these institutions are receiving:

1. The function of the college student newspaper and agreed on by the students, faculty, and the college community.
2. The function of the college student newspaper as freedom of expression. is parallel with the freedom of the campus newspaper, i.e., both serve to inform, educate.
3. The student newspaper should not be a publication of the college or university.
4. Students attending state colleges and universities should receive freedom of expression.
5. Private colleges and universities should have constitutional independence in that they have publications; however, this private corporately is challenged because of the vast amounts of funds these institutions are receiving.
6. Student newspaper editorial policies based on educational goals of the college or university.
7. A publications board, composed of administrators, offers the best method for providing the college student newspaper activity.
8. Student newspaper editorial freedom of responsibility for presenting news and opinion completely.
9. A professionally competent adviser for the college student newspaper is praiseworthy.
10. The college student newspaper is a valuable and educational tool for the college community.

Clardi and Gibbs both are asking for a sensible campus student press. Others asking the same are the committee appointed by NCCPA to study the legal status of these institutions are receiving:

Dr. Robert Trager, Department of Journalism and a researcher of laws affecting the high school press.
Mr. Paul Conrad, executive director of the Association of College University.
Mr. Glen A. W. Kleine, student publications adviser at the University of Washington in Seattle.
Mr. Paul Fisher and Mr. Dwight Sargent of the Free Press.
Mr. Loyd Edmonds, general manager of student publications at the University of Texas at Austin.
Mr. John C. Searles, director of the Student Press Association of college.
Mr. Jules Wainwright, publisher of the University of Wisconsin.
Chapter Four

Let's Be Sensible

Organizations concerned with college student rights and responsibilities have studied the question of these freedoms and responsibilities as they relate to student publications. Some of these organizations have generated official positions that state their views on the subject of college students and the student newspaper. The “Joint Statement on Rights and Freedoms of Students,” endorsed by 10 educational organizations, devotes an entire section to student publications. In addition, the American Civil Liberties Union, the Journalism Association of Junior Colleges, the National Council of College Publications Advisers, the Commission on Freedoms and Responsibilities of the College Student Press, and the United States Student Press Association have adopted organizational positions with regard to student rights and responsibilities in college student newspaper activities. The American Bar Association Commission on Campus Government and Student Dissent and the California Commission on Campus Newspapers have conducted extensive studies related to freedom of expression in student newspapers, and each has adopted an official position with regard to its respective findings. The guidelines she proposes are these:

1. The function of the college student newspaper should be clearly defined and agreed on by the students, faculty, and administrators within the college community.
2. The function of the college student newspaper, as it relates to student freedom of expression, is parallel with the function of the commercial newspaper, i.e., both serve to inform, educate, and entertain their readers.
3. The student newspaper should not be considered as an official publication of the college or university.
4. Students attending state colleges and universities do not forfeit their constitutional rights of freedom of expression.
5. Private colleges and universities traditionally have maintained constitutional independence in that they have been free to censor student publications; however, this private corporate status may now be challenged because of the vast amounts of federal and state funding that these institutions are receiving.
6. Student newspaper editorial policies that promote the lifelong educational goals of the college or university are viewed as desirable by the courts.
7. A publications board, composed of students, faculty, and administrators, offers the best method for providing guidance and leadership for the college student newspaper activity.
8. Student newspaper editorial freedom of expression requires student responsibility for presenting news and opinion accurately, fairly, and completely.
9. A professionally competent adviser for the student newspaper staff is desirable for both students and the college administration.
10. The college student newspaper is primarily a medium of communication for students; other opportunities made possible for students who participate in newspaper activities, such as formal course instruction in writing and technical skills, are secondary.

Ciardi and Gibbs both are asking for a sensible, realistic approach to the campus student press. Others asking the same are members of an ad hoc committee appointed by NCCPA to study the legal status of the campus press. Its members include:

Dr. Robert Trager, Department of Journalism of Southern Illinois University
Mr. Paul Conrad, executive director of the Allied Daily Newspapers of Washington in Seattle
Mr. Glen A. W. Klein, student publications adviser at Eastern Kentucky University
Dr. Paul Fisher and Mr. Dwight Sargent of the Freedom of Information Center at the University of Missouri
Mr. Loyd Edmonds, general manager of student publications at the University of Texas at Austin
Mr. John C. Behrens, director of the Student Press Archives of NCCPA at Utica College of Syracuse University
Mr. Jules Walker, a Marion, Indiana, attorney
Mr. Stephen Hook, publications adviser at Ball State University
Miss Mary Ann Yodells, journalism law teacher at the School of Journalism...
significant degree. Little can be gained by talking as if it did or could or should. Instead, much more intelligent understanding and planning can evolve from a sensible and reasonable discussion based on data reflecting accurately the status of the campus student press.

For example 206 boards of trustees of colleges and universities have adopted official statements relative to freedom of the student press as it pertains to students of the institutions and to student publications. More than 600 college boards have not done so according to the NCCPA study. Although some student journalists fear that their boards would pass repressive statements, the general posture of statements adopted by boards has been substantially to endorse and provide for freedom of the campus press.

Freedom of the campus press does not mean independence from the university. Freedom of the campus press can be best characterized as a situation in which students can publish without having to obtain prior approval from university officials. Data presented earlier in this report indicates that the standard practice in American colleges is to provide for this situation for campus student publications.

Fiscal and financial arrangements, whether incorporated or not, have no direct bearing on the freedom of the campus press in America philosophically, practically, or legally.

John Ciardi, writing in the Sept. 11, 1965, issue of the Saturday Review believed a sensible approach to student publications could be in the Tufts College plan. He believed this plan should be "compulsory reading for all college and university administrators who have serious intent as educators." (74) Here is his official wording.

"It is the duty of editors and advisers to keep student publications at a level that brings credit to the University. This responsibility lies initially with the board of editors of each publication, which considers all the material submitted and whose approval is requisite for publication. The board of editors will wish to consult closely with its adviser reasonably in advance of printers' deadlines, and whenever any doubt remains with respect to suitability for publication the board of editors will discuss the doubtful matter with an advisory committee.

A University literary publication exists to provide an experimental ground for the efforts of writers who have yet to achieve the balance of technique and experience. Such writers, moreover, are likely to be moved by powerful emotions. In the history of all literatures the madmen have at least equal honors with the calmly reasoned.

It sometimes happens that the disproportions of student writing, as they spring onto the page from the unmanaged or half-managed compulsions of the writer, offend the more liberal and less venturesome attitudes of the community. It can follow then that the "image" of the University will suffer in the eyes of the community; particularly so since this difference between the language-intoxicated young seeker and the more stable community around him is one that can be readily distorted to sensationalism by rumor and journalism.

The University is a stable and central member of that community. But in its dedication to ideas and to their pursuit for their own sake it must also stand in loco parentis to the young madman who has plunged into the wild sea of language and experience in the hope of floundering toward some vision of life. Unless we are prepared to defend him at those times when his compulsion toward the honesty of his vision; no matter how mismanaged, brings him into conflict with the more sedate views of the community, we cannot wish him well in his seeking, nor can we fulfill our purposes as a University.

The University, therefore, will not act as a censor. The right to publish student and other writings is vested in the principal editor of each of the three student publications and three faculty advisers whose decision is subject to no revision by the University. These editors and advisers have been chosen in good faith and we cannot fail to believe that they will act in good faith. It is the University policy, moreover, that in case of a tie vote the final decision shall rest with the student editor.

The University is aware that a decision so reached may not be the decision the faculty and the administration would have reached. It is even conceivable that a decision so reached may be embarrassing to the University. Freedom, however, must include not only the freedom to choose, but the freedom to make honest mistakes when personal conviction is at stake. The University believes that its enduring function is better served by freedom than by censorship.

Ciardi, of course, is known as a person of letters, but Ms. Annette Gibbs is not. Instead she is associate dean of students at the University of Virginia in Charlottesville. She proposed a set of guidelines for college student publications. She reports:
of editors and advisers to keep student publications at a credit to the University. This responsibility lies initially of editors of each publication, which considers all the and whose approval is requisite for publication. The will wish to consult closely with its adviser reasonably in editors’ deadlines, and whenever any doubt remains with the help for publication the board of editors will discuss the with an advisory committee.

This publication exists to provide an experimental efforts of writers who have yet to achieve the balance of ability. Such writers, moreover, are likely to be moved along. In the history of all literatures the madmen have dual honors with the calmly reasoned.

Fifth, the campus press in America philosophically, practice by the board of trustees and additional policy guidelines for the publications. However, the press does not mean independence from the university. A press can be best characterized as a situation in which without having to obtain prior approval from university boards. The general adopted by boards has been substantially to endorse and promote the campus press.

This report represents a portion of the committee’s work.

Dr. Robert Trager, Department of Journalism of Southern Illinois University and a researcher of laws affecting the high school press.

Institutes, offers the best method for providing guidance and leadership for the college student newspaper activity.

Student newspaper editorials policies that promote the lawful educational goals of the college or university are viewed as desirable by the courts.

4. Students attending state colleges and universities do not forfeit their constitutional rights of freedom of expression.

5. Private colleges and universities traditionally have maintained constitutional independence in that they have been free to censor student publications; however, this private corporate status may now be challenged because of the vast amounts of federal and state funding that these institutions are receiving.

6. Editorial freedom of expression requires student responsibility for presenting news and opinion accurately, fairly, and completely.

7. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

8. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

9. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

10. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

11. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

12. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

13. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

14. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

15. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

16. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

17. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

18. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

19. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

20. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

21. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

22. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

23. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

24. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

25. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

26. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

27. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

28. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

29. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

30. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

31. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

32. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

33. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

34. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

35. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

36. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

37. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

38. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

39. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

40. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

41. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

42. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

43. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

44. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

45. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

46. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

47. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

48. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

49. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.

50. The student newspaper editorial freedom of expression.
This board would thus be a policy agency and not a supervising or administrative board.

Sixth, each student publication staff should have a qualified adviser, preferably a member of the journalism faculty.

Seventh, adequate instruction in journalism skills and concepts should be made available to student staff members. Preferably this should be accomplished through an academic journalism program whenever possible.

Eighth, a student publication could be organized as a student club, or as an administrative unit, or as an auxiliary enterprise, or as a non-profit corporation. If the corporate arrangement is utilized the articles of incorporation should indicate clearly the relationship of the publication to the university. Generally, student publications should be related structurally to the journalism instructional program.

Ninth, a stable and adequate plan for financing each student publication should be devised. The most efficient and economical plan is an allocation from student fees. Most student publications should utilize other sources, particularly advertising. Whimsical antagonisms of student government cannot be allowed to manipulate fee allocation levels to the publications. The fee allocation should be high enough to provide copies of newspapers, yearbooks, or magazines to all students wanting them without additional charge. Publications should be entitled to sell subscriptions to non-students; however, subscription sales to students is far too inefficient a plan to provide sufficient financial stability for student publications.

Student fee allocations should be at a level sufficient to cover from one-third to one-half of the budget of the newspaper, one-half to two-thirds of the budget of the yearbook, and two-thirds to all of the budget of a magazine. Thus the newspaper or yearbook or magazine would need additional revenue sources from such areas as advertising, printing and photographic services, space charges, or others.

Tenth, student publications generally should not be associated structurally with student government.

Eleventh, careful study of each college and each of its student publications would be necessary before reliable advice of structuring the student publications program could be recommended.

Twelfth, each student publications adviser should be an active member of the National Council of College Publications Advisers.

Thirteenth, whenever financially feasible, adequate electronic typesetting and layout equipment should be available on campus for each publication to use. This may mean full-time technical, bookkeeping, and clerical persons would need to be employed by large student publications. An on-campus offset printing press is also desirable for some student publications.

Fourteenth, the faculty adviser should also be designated publication insofar as he is logically the person best able to manage functions normally accomplished by an editor. He can be the rallying person providing for the total quality of the publication. In no case would his service extend to editing; nor would he be allowed to approve content prior to publication.

Fifteenth, college presidents should not tremble on the thought of student publications. The student publications might publish offensive material, sophisticated beyond shock or stampede. Instead the college president should consider his public relations and responsibility to serve his campus by law. The student press is free: philosophically the only free student press as being desirable; and the content of the student publications should represent the position, preference, or performance of the direction of its students generally.

Sixteenth, the student publication should be asked to carry issues indicating it speaks only for the student members, other students or the university.

Seventeenth, the student publications should be encouraged to consider opinions of non-staff students for publication.

Eighteenth, adequate housing and facilities should be available to student publications.

Nineteenth, student publishing efforts other than the newspaper, yearbook, magazine are free to proceed without administrative interference. Few efforts for so-called underground publications to develop; no efforts should be made to stop them.

Twentieth, if enough students take enough journalism courses with qualified journalism teachers, students will produce well-written and well-edited student publications. If ideal combinations of the above are not possible at a particular institution, these 20 precepts give valid advice for any university in its student publications program. They will not eliminate all problems, but they will create a better atmosphere for sensible operations. They will help make student publications read, well-written and well-edited student publications. Perhaps no message can be learned or taught in America in this century.
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First, the faculty adviser should also be designated as "publisher" of the
publication insofar as he is logically the person best able to conduct the fiscal
management functions normally accomplished by an individual serving as pub-
lisher. He can be the rallying person providing for the continuity and traditions of
each publication. In no case would his service extend to editorial or content con-
trol; nor would he be allowed to approve content prior to publication.

Second, college presidents should not tremble on the threshold of fear that the
student publications might publish offensive material. College students are
sophisticated beyond shock or stampede. Instead the college president should
seize his public relations initiative and responsibility by telling the simple truth:
by law the student press is free; philosophically the university has endorsed a
free student press as being desirable; and the content of the publications does not
represent the position, preference, or performance of the university or its staff or
of its students generally.

Sixteenth, the student publication should be asked to carry a statement in each
issue indicating it speaks only for the student members of its staff and not for
other students, or the university.

Seventeenth, the student publications should be encouraged to accept diverse
opinions from non-staff students for publication.

Eighteenth, adequate housing and facilities should be available to each student
publication on campus.

Nineteenth, student publishing efforts other than the newspaper, yearbook, and
magazine, should be free to proceed without administrative interferences. If the
newspaper-yearbook-magazine are able to function well there will be surprisingly
few efforts for so-called underground publications to develop. If they do, however,
no efforts should be made to stop them.

Twentieth, if enough students take enough journalism classes taught by enough
qualified journalism teachers, students will produce well-written and well-edited
publications. If ideal combinations of the above are not available compensation
for them should be made through informal training efforts.

These 20 precepts give valid advice for any university in its student publications
program. They will not eliminate all problems, but they will establish an atm-
osphere for sensible operations. They will help make possible lively, widely-
read, well-written and well-edited student publications which will fill effective-
ly the communications vacuum found on many campuses.

And more importantly they ask the university community to recognize, en-
dorse, and live with a vigorous free press. Perhaps no more important or needed
lesson can be learned or taught in America in this century.
9. The Washington Journalism Center is located at 2401 Virginia Avenue N.W. in Washington, D.C. It has been in operation since 1966. It provides five-month fellowships for professional journalists who have had at least two years experience and for young blacks interested in careers in journalism. It also arranges conferences on major issues in the news for journalists. Its staff consists of a director and an associate director. The director is Julius Duscha who also was supervisor of the Markle project on the campus press.
19. Circulation figures cited for daily college newspapers in this review are taken from the 1973 yearbook of Editor and Publisher.
23. Sorenson, Perry D., "One Way to Get Rid of Racy College Papers."
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CASE 1. Boston College refuses to continue publication of The Heights, the campus newspaper.

CASE 2. Clarion College Clarion Call editor's right to criticize jail conditions questioned in court hearing.


CASE 5. The United States District Court rules the Fitchburg State College President cannot dictate or censor the student newspaper by withholding funds.

CASE 6. Oregon State University Barometer reasserts its freedom in dispute with Student Senate.

CASE 7. Eastern Michigan's Second Coming (underground paper) fights administration efforts to ban the publication.

CASE 8. Flint Community Junior College newspaper, College Clarion, goes to court to defend right of student press freedom.


CASE 10. Indiana University Daily Student establishes policy guidelines.

CASE 11. Ithaca College Ithacan files incorporation papers.

CASE 12. John Behrens, Curator, Student Press in America Archives at Utica College under the direction of Prof. John Behrens.

Persons interested in the details of these cases may write to:

Prof. John Behrens
Curator
Student Press in America Archives
Utica College
Utica, New York 13502
A charge of 10 cents per photostatic page is made.

CASE 18. St. Bonaventure editor argues against the publications board.

CASE 19. Thirteen student newsmen suspended from the Ohio State Daily Lantern.

CASE 20. Obscenity and student press.

CASE 21. Utica College underground editors battle over campus distribution rights.

CASE 22. Wayne State newspaper, the South End, suspended.

CASE 23. Board of student publications challenges University of Kentucky 1970 yearbook staff regarding theme of annual.


CASE 25. Four students at the University of North Carolina file suit to stop mandatory student fees for the Daily Tar Heel.

CASE 26. Ohio University student charged with criminal libel.

CASE 27. Purdue University Exponent editor ousted, reinstated after newspaper publishes four-letter words.

CASE 28. Purdue University student newspaper, Exponent, incorporated to become Purdue Student Publishing Foundation with complete legal and financial authority for the publication.

CASE 29. Syllabus.

CASE 30. Syllabus.

CASE 31. Colorado Board of Regents severs university ties with Colorado Daily.

CASE 32. Daily Californian seeks independence from University of California.

CASE 33. St. John's president warns editor that any libel suit against student newspaper will cause paper to be removed from campus.

CASE 34. Alumnus files libel suit against Syracuse University, The Daily Orange, three editors and others.

CASE 35. Clemson University's student newspaper, The Tiger, warned about printing obscenities.

CASE 36. History of Iowa State Publications Board since 1924.

CASE 37. Miami (Ohio) University's Middletown campus newspaper suspended due to alleged obscenity charge.

CASE 38. State of Mississippi College Board rescinds original order to appoint staff censors in eight Mississippi state institutions and passes "canons of journalism" resolution.

CASE 39. Penn State Daily Collegian's editor and reporter were suspended for "unethical practices."

CASE 40. The School Law Newsletter compiles special edition on legal aspects of student activism.

CASE 41. Part of University of South Florida's Oracle staff resigns after cartoon was censored.

CASE 42. Waynesburg (Pa.) College student paper, Yellow Jacket, suspends publication.

CASE 43. Two staff members of University of Kentucky's Kentucky Kernel accused of violating UK student code and state law by

CASE 49. Freedom of Information Center Index Digest, School of Journalism, University of Missouri at Columbia.

CASE 50. Syllabus.

CASE 51. Colorado Board of Regents severs university ties with Colorado Daily.

CASE 52. Daily Californian seeks independence from University of California.

CASE 53. St. John's president warns editor that any libel suit against student newspaper will cause paper to be removed from campus.

CASE 54. Policies, guidelines on abortion advertising.

CASE 55. Texas Regents sue Texas Student Publications, Inc., to obtain $600,000 worth of equipment and control of student daily.

CASE 56. Ocean County CC Viking News charges weekly with "Irresponsible Journalism."


CASE 58. Florida State University student newspaper ordered closed by chancellor and publications board.

CASE 59. University of Tennessee at Chattanooga's University Echo student newspaper denied access to specific public documents.

CASE 60. Eastern New Mexico newspaper denied access to specific public documents.

CASE 61. Reorganization of Syracuse University student newspapers produce New Daily Orange.
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Student Press in America Archives
Utica College
Utica, New York 13502

CASE 18. St. Bonaventure editor argues against the publications board.

CASE 19. Thirteen student newsmen suspended from the Ohio State Daily Lantern.

CASE 20. Obscenity and student press.

CASE 21. Utica College underground editors battle over campus distribution rights.

CASE 22. Wayne State newspaper, the South Envy, suspended.

CASE 23. Board of student publications challenges University of Kentucky 1970 yearbook staff regarding theme of annual.


CASE 25. Four students at the University of North Carolina file suit to stop mandatory student fees for the Dally Tar Heel.

CASE 26. Ohio University student charged with criminal libel.

CASE 27. Purdue University Exponent editor ousted, reinstated after newspaper publishes four-letter words.

CASE 28. Purdue University student newspaper, Exponent, incorporated to become Purdue Student Publishing Foundation with complete legal and financial authority for the publication.

CASE 29. Freedom of Information Center Index Digest, School of Journalism, University of Missouri at Columbia.

CASE 30. Syllabus.

CASE 31. Colorado Board of Regents severs university ties with Colorado Daily.

CASE 32. Daily Californian seeks independence from University of California.

CASE 33. St. John's president warns editor that any libel suit against student newspaper will cause paper to be removed from campus.

CASE 34. Alumnus files libel suit against Syracuse University, The Daily Orange, three editors and others.

CASE 35. Clemson University's student newspaper, The Tiger, warned about printing obscenities.

CASE 36. History of Iowa State Publications Board since 1924.

CASE 37. Miami (Ohio) University's Middletown campus newspaper suspended due to alleged obscenity charge.

CASE 38. State of Mississippi College Board rescinds original order to appoint staff censors in eight Mississippi state institutions and passes "canons of journalism" resolution.

CASE 39. Penn State Daily Collegian's editor and reporter were suspended for "unethical practices."

CASE 40. The School Law Newsletter compiles special edition on legal aspects of student activism.

CASE 41. Part of University of South Florida's Oracle staff resigns after cartoon was censored.

CASE 42. Waynesburg (Pa.) College student paper, Yellow Jacket, suspends publication.

CASE 43. Two staff members of University of Kentucky's Kentucky Kernel accused of violating UK student code and state law by printing commonly used ob-

reverses decision in free speech case at Staten Island Community College and Richmond College of CCNY.

CASE 70. Underground writer granted congressional privileges.

CASE 71. Georgetown student reporter refused press pass to cover protest.

CASE 72. Daily Californian defies the University of California Administration on dismissal of editor.


CASE 74. University of Florida editor arrested for publishing abortion referral list.

CASE 75. Libel suit against Youngstown State University, Ohio, dismissed by Supreme Court; Editor lone defendant.

CASE 76. South Carolina Gamecock reporter refuses to reveal story source.

CASE 77. University of Florida President attempts to gain control of the Alligator, the campus newspaper.

CASE 78. University of Oklahoma Daily protests non-admission to a budget meeting.

CASE 79. North Carolina Attorney General rules withholding funds is no violation of rights.

CASE 80. State freedom of information act.

CASE 81. Constitution protects student journalists, too.

CASE 82. Eastern Kentucky University Eastern Progress charged with inadequate news coverage.

CASE 83. University of Oregon's Daily Emerald operates independent of the university.

CASE 84. Daily Kent Stater

CASE 5. The United States District Court rules the Fitchburg State College President cannot dictate or censor the student newspaper by withholding funds.

CASE 6. Oregon State University Barometer reasserts its freedom in dispute with Student Senate.

CASE 7. Eastern Michigan's Second Coming (underground paper) fights administration efforts to ban the publication.

CASE 8. Flint Community Junior College newspaper, College Clamor, goes to court to defend right of student press freedom.


CASE 10. Indiana University Daily Student establishes policy guidelines.

CASE 11. Ithaca College Ithacan files incorporation papers.

CASE 12. The legal rights and responsibilities of college student publications.


CASE 14. Our Choking Times, black student paper at Ohio State, is officially recognized and established by student publications board.

CASE 15. RIT Reporter staff charged with desecrating the American flag.

CASE 16. Rockland Community College president defends RCC student newspaper's right to press freedom.

CASE 17. Seminar, a quarterly review for newspapermen, offers guidelines for student press. December

CASE 18. Miami (Ohio) University's Middletown campus newspaper suspended due to alleged obscenity charge.

CASE 19. State of Mississippi college Board rescinds original order to appoint staff censors in eight Mississippi state institutions and passes "canons of journalism" resolution.

CASE 20. Penn State Daily Collegian's editor and reporter were suspended for "unethical practices."

CASE 21. Utica College underground editors battle over campus distribution rights.

CASE 22. Wayne State newspaper, the South End, suspended.

CASE 23. Board of student publications challenges University of Kentucky 1970 yearbook staff regarding theme of annual.


CASE 25. Four students at the University of North Carolina file suit to stop mandatory student fees for the Daily Tar Heel.

CASE 26. Ohio University student charged with criminal libel.

CASE 27. Purdue University Exponent editor ousted, reinstated after newspaper publishes four-letter words.

CASE 28. Purdue University student newspaper, Exponent, incorporated to become Purdue Student Publishing Foundation with complete legal and financial authority for the publication.

CASE 29. Editorial board of Ocean County's Viking News denies censorship charges.

CASE 30. Objectives and roles of journalism as a means of communication is discussed by Beta Phi Gamma, an honorary journalism organization, at Ocean County College.

CASE 31. Board of Student Publications, Inc., at Iowa vetoes newly appointed editor and staff of the Daily Iowan due to a "lack of mutual trust" between proposed staff and SPI Board.

CASE 32. Editors of the University of Akron's student newspaper, Buchtelite, charge censorship.

CASE 33. Akron Buchtelite censorship case raises question of relationship between

CASE 34. History of Iowa State Publications Board since 1924.


CASE 36. Texas Regents sue Texas Student Publications, Inc., to obtain $600,000 worth of equipment and control of student daily.


CASE 38. New Jersey College student paper, Atlantic City's Ocean County, publishes four-letter words.

CASE 39. Part of University of South Florida's Oracle staff resigns after cartoon was censored.

CASE 40. The School Law Newsletter compiles special edition on legal aspects of student activism.

CASE 41. Two staff members of University of Kentucky's Kentucky Kernel accused of violating UK student code and state law by printing commonly used obscenity word.

CASE 42. Two staff members of University of Kentucky's Kentucky Kernel accused of violating UK student code and state law by printing commonly used obscenity word.

CASE 43. Two staff members of University of Kentucky's Kentucky Kernel accused of violating UK student code and state law by printing commonly used obscenity word.

CASE 44. "12 Legal Ways to Right Student Wrongs" by Peter Sandman.

CASE 45. Syracuse Board of Publications and Daily Orange editors debate selection of newspaper's editorial staff.

CASE 46. District Court censures adviser and reinstates editor of Southern Colorado State's Arrow.

CASE 47. Concordia College suspends student newspaper and dismisses editor because of publication of abortion advertisement.


CASE 49. University of Tennessee at Chattanooga's University Echo student newspaper ordered closed by chancellor and publications board.

CASE 50. Eastern New Mexico paper denied access to specific public documents.

CASE 51. Reorganization of Syracuse University student newspapers produce New Daily Orange.

CASE 52. Iowa State Daily Publications Board incorporates.

CASE 53. Iowa Daily sued; $150,000 libel judgment asked.

CASE 54. Four-letter word halts Hunter College Newspaper.

CASE 55. Pornographic contest text autonomy for student publications.

CASE 56. Wisconsin Board of Regents disciplines newspaper for publishing obscenities.

CASE 57. Penn State underground paper banned from campus for printing obscenities.

CASE 58. Purdue University students attempt to sell underground paper thwarted by dean of men, university police.

CASE 59. Appellate Court
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CASE 42. "12 Legal Ways to Right Student Wrongs" by Peter Sandman.

CASE 43. Syracuse Board of Publications and Daily Orange editors debate selection of newspaper's editorial staff.

CASE 44. District Court censures adviser and reinstates editor of Southern Colorado State's Arrow.

CASE 45. Concordia College suspends student newspaper and dismisses editor because of publication of abortion ad.

CASE 46. University of Tennessee Daily Beacon examines censorship on campus in banning of film "Ulysses" from campus theatre.

CASE 47. History of Iowa State Publications Board since 1924.

CASE 48. Texas Regents sue Texas Student Publications, Inc. to obtain $600,000 worth of equipment and control of student daily.

CASE 49. Policies, guidelines on abortion advertising.

CASE 50. Ocean County CC Viking News charged weekly with "irresponsible journalism."

CASE 51. Constitution protects student journalists, too.

CASE 52. Eastern Kentucky University Eastern Progress charged with inadequate news coverage.


CASE 54. University of Tennessee at Chattanooga's University Echo student newspaper ordered closed by chancellor and publications board.

CASE 55. Eastern New Mexico paper denied access to specific public documents.

CASE 56. Reorganization of Syracuse University student newspapers produce New Daily Orange.

CASE 57. Iowa State Daily Publications Board incorporates.

CASE 58. Iowa State Daily sued; $150,000 libel judgment asked.

CASE 59. Four-letter word halts Hunter College Newspaper.

CASE 60. Pornographic contest text autonomy for student publications.

CASE 61. Wisconsin Board of Regents disciplines newspaper for publishing obscenities.

CASE 62. Penn State underground paper banned from campus for printing obscenities.

CASE 63. Purdue University students attempt to sell underground paper thwarted by dean of men, university police.

CASE 64. Appellate Court Idaho editor arrested for publishing abortion referral list.

CASE 65. Libel suit against Youngstown State University, Ohio, dismissed by Supreme Court; Editor lone defendant.

CASE 66. South Carolina Gamecock reporter refuses to reveal story source.

CASE 67. University of Florida President attempts to control the Alligator, the campus newspaper.

CASE 68. University of Oklahoma Daily protests non-admission to a budget meeting.

CASE 69. North Carolina Attorney General rules withholding funds is no violation of rights.

CASE 70. State freedom of information acts.

CASE 71. Ohio University student challenged with criminal libel.

CASE 72. Eastern Kentucky University Eastern Progress challenged with inadequate news coverage.

CASE 73. University of Oregon's Daily Emerald operates independently of the university.

CASE 74. Daily Kent State jeopardized by political endorsement.

CASE 75. Villanovan financial aid withdrawn by president.

CASE 76. Columbia University agrees to help The Spectator.


CASE 78. Sanford University staff resigns: freedom issue.

CASE 79. Maryland committee supervises publications.

CASE 80. Student press commission encourages freedom.

CASE 81. Ocean County College editor is dismissed for publishing obscenities.
CASE 92. Stanford Daily becomes independent campus paper.

CASE 93. Rider College officials order student newspaper to discontinue term paper ads.

CASE 94. Ohio representative proposes end of funding for campus newspapers.

CASE 95. Ohio University Post editor's suit to disclose housing code files rejected.

CASE 96. SIU Adviser's memo on letters, editorials criticized by newspaper staff.

CASE 97. Kansas censors editor after publication of editorial.

CASE 98. Colorado State photographer arrested on Wounded Knee assignment.
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CASE 100. Independent campus press in the state of Florida.
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