Described in the guidebook are ways to initiate or improve early recognition and intervention (ERI) programs in public schools. Suggested for starting a program to find at risk or handicapped children before they and their teachers experience failure are three phases, which involve finding an idea, enlisting support, and beginning with a pilot screening procedure. The components of an ERI program are presented graphically, and are then treated singly. What screening should accomplish is discussed; and listed are options relating to who should plan the program, when the program should occur, where the program should take place, what the program should involve (such as nutrition-health or vision-hearing screening), and why some screening programs might be more appropriate than others. Recommended for followup is a screening team's feedback of results to regular teachers for program planning or observation of children, to specialists for intermediate evaluation and decision, and to outside support systems, such as physicians or agencies. Support system mechanisms (such as inservice teacher workshops, specialist consultation, or resource rooms) are described, and considered are reasons for and approaches to program evaluation. (MC)
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THE NESEIMC EARLY RECOGNITION AND INTERVENTION (ERI) GROUP was organized in the Spring of 1971 through auspices of NESEIMC.

... has conducted local meetings in various public schools over the past two years.

... has encouraged the development of regional ERI groups.

THE OBJECTIVES OF THE GROUP ARE TO:

1. Provide opportunities for personnel from public schools, state and private agencies and various disciplines to exchange ideas and learn about a variety of existing program models in public school systems.
2. Disseminate descriptions of programs in various school systems.
3. Facilitate communication, stimulate the development of cooperative relationships between school systems, and share the expertise available in many systems.
4. Bridge the gaps between preschool and public school programs and between "regular" and special education.
5. Stimulate recognition and support for innovators within school systems.

GENERAL PHILOSOPHY is that a comprehensive program must contain certain basic components but there are a variety of ways to design each component which vary with the needs, resources and experience of each school system.

and... the program should be developmentally oriented:

For the children - focus on developmental needs rather than deficits.
For the adults - provide opportunities for continuing growth and learning.
For the program - encourage a healthy process of continuing evolution.

THIS GUIDEBOOK HAS BEEN DEVELOPED by the Steering Committee to assist professionals and laymen to initiate or improve programs which attempt to identify and provide extra assistance for young children with special needs in the public schools. Such programs will be mandatory under Chapter 766.

*The division of Special Education has provided support for the group through its regional office personnel.
Children come to school with wide variations in their experience and skills.

During the elementary school years, there is this general result:

- Too many children are in special classes for the retarded, disturbed, learning disabled.
- Need remedial services.
- Have failed a grade.

Early Recognition & Intervention Programs aim to:

- Find children who are at-risk in a school system before they and their teachers experience failure.
- Adapt programs for children and provide support and training for teachers.

So that fewer children will be labeled exceptional and withdrawn from their classrooms.
- Severe emotional reactions and learning problems will be minimized.
- Teachers and parents (fill in your own hopes).
- School administrators.
- Specialists.
How does
A School System start
An EARLY RECOGNITION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAM

The experience of 200 school systems which have begun programs in the last five years indicates at least three initial phases.

The Idea

One or several middle management personnel (L.D. Specialist, principal, psychologist, elementary supervisor, etc.) catch or invent the idea out of their desire to provide better services for children.

Gathering Support

A lot of talk, politics, and meetings are necessary over a period of time to enlist enough interest, personnel and financial resources to prepare for a first step. Every town or district is different. All require energetic/informed leadership. Most are complicated, and a readiness period is necessary. Legislation in Massachusetts will provide impetus and support in the future.

Getting Started

--Everyone starts with a screening procedure. Some short screening locally constructed administered to (may add a parent naire and an interview)

--Although the pattern is different, most schools started by screening the kindergarten grades years....

In order to provide traditional services for children earlier. . . . . . .

IT WORKS!

however.
Does School System StartEarly Recognition and Intervention Program?

200 school systems which have begun programs in the last five years.

Gathering Support

- School Administration
- Parents Groups
- Teachers Groups
- Outside Experts
- Other School Systems

A lot of talk, politics, and meetings are necessary over a period of time to enlist enough interest, personnel and financial resources to prepare for a first step. Every town or district is different. All require energetic/informed leadership. Most are complicated, and a readiness period is necessary. Legislation in Massachusetts will provide impetus and support in the future.

Getting Started

-- Everyone starts with a pilot screening procedure... usually some short screening test or locally constructed battery administered to children (many add a parent questionnaire and an interview).

-- Although the pattern is changing, most school systems started by screening during the kindergarten or first grade years.

In order to provide traditional special services for children earlier... and earlier...

IT WORKS!

however.....
because the younger the children, the more preventive (rather than remedial) things become, and

- labelling handicaps is more difficult if not irrelevant and misleading
- the role of the specialist involves work with teachers as well as with children
- nursery and kindergarten teachers have very different concerns than grade 1-3 teachers.

Let's back up and take a close look at

- what resources (components) are possible in an ERI program
- what's involved in using them successfully in your program

...because, while some regular class and parents participate in testing that's what us specialist he that's what us testing (the relate to the
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resources (components) are possible in an ERI program br involved in using them successfully in your program

In starting a new program with screening testing, another set of problems arises...

because, while screening points to at-risk children, it predisposes to ----labelling children (screening and diagnosis are confused)

----avoiding involvement of regular classroom teachers and parents (who don't participate in testing)

----providing indiscriminate specialist help (because that's what usually follows testing (that is hard to relate to the classroom).
So what are

The Components of an ERI PROGRAM

✓ RECOGNITION
✓ FOLLOW-UP + INTERVENTION

Screening or collection of historical data

Parent feedback of screening program involvement in observation and planning

Feedback to education personnel; solvent involvement in observation and planning

Referral for special diagnostic study

Parent involvement in home/school general program components

Modification within or in addition to regular class program

Special medical guidance or educational support services

Parent involvement in home/school general program components

Volunteer

Teacher involvement

Administrative involvement

Community liaison

PROGRAM EVALUATION AND EVOLUTION

SUPPORT
So what are

The Components of an ERI PROGRAM?

FOLLOW-UP + INTERVENTION

- Parent feedback of screening program involvement in observation and planning
- Feedback to education personnel: solvent involvement in observation and planning
- Referral for special diagnostic study
- Parent involvement in home/school general program components
- Modification within or in addition to regular class program
- Special medical guidance or educational support services
- Parent workshops and program development
- Volunteer programs
- Teacher in-service training programs
- Administration involvement in program planning
- Community agency liaison

EVALUATION AND EVOLUTION

SUPPORT SYSTEMS
Recognition of child need involves some type of observation process which surveys all children and indicates those who need follow-up; is not an extensive testing program or a diagnosis of the children and should lead quickly to specific and relevant follow-up action on behalf of the child; not a depositing of unusable data in hopes it may be useful.

Screening should

- be accomplished as efficiently and simply as possible...which usually means going from gross measures on all children to finer (and finer) measures on some children as it becomes relevant;

- be related to specific developmental competencies which children will need to function effectively;

- involve the persons who will be responsible for follow-up action with the child (i.e., principal, classroom teacher, specialists, parents) -- as much as possible.
In planning a RECOGNITION program, THESE ARE THE OPTIONS

WHO DOES IT

- classroom teacher
- psychologist
- guidance specialist
- principal

- nurse or physician
- physical educator
- speech & language clinician
- specialists from community agencies

- L.D. specialist
- all or combination of the above
- trained volunteer/parent

Most school systems start cautiously with more highly-trained personnel than they later find they need, as

---the emphasis shifts to follow-up observation and intervention
---the pressure to label (diagnose) is overcome
---specialist and teacher/parent can communicate better; more teachers and parents learn skills in early recognition

WHEN

- special community surveys (age 0-4)
- 6-12 months prior to school entrance (linked with pre-school intervention)
  - just prior to school entrance
  - during first weeks of school

Screening should be done as early as possible.
• within public school (test corner, series of test stations, classroom observation)
• within existing pre-school programs (nursery, day care, Head Start)
• space in community (churches, community center, health clinic, pre-school program)
• home visit

• health-nutrition, vision-hearing screening
• parent developmental questionnaire, with or without interview
• individual screening or readiness test
• group screening or readiness test
• classroom observation by teacher or specialist
• specialist observation or brief evaluation
• continued monitoring of child's progress academically/socially

The trend is to use combinations of the above to avoid single measure error, while at the same time placing more weight on evolving assessment through analysis of child's response to initial follow-up efforts. Parents must be involved.
A basic screening program should briefly survey the following areas:

- auditory and visual acuity
- physical health and nutrition
- general cognitive development including an overview of speech and language, visual-motor and gross motor skills
- general social development including an overview of social compet and behavioral control.

This screening program need not involve:

- extreme individual testing
- highly trained specialists exclusively
- hours of testing

a. Although it seems self-evident, there is a large difference between screening for alternative purposes:

- to find the severely handicapped and classify children in terms of deficits
- to find at-risk children who may or may not become academic or behavioral probl
- to obtain a range of developmental data on incoming children in order to plan education programs -- not to predict.

b. How about these ancillary purposes?

- to train and involve a wide range of specialists and teachers in considering ti of young children entering school
- to provide a mechanism whereby specialist and teacher can work together in the
- to gather local norms on screening tests
- to demonstrate a need to develop early intervention programs
- to involve parents
- to involve teachers in an ongoing screening process.

Every screening program either facilitates or prevents these purposes by the way it i
Screening program should briefly survey the following areas:

- auditory and visual acuity
- physical health and nutrition
- general cognitive development including an overview of speech and language, visual-motor and gross motor skills
- general social development including an overview of social competence and behavioral control.

Screening program need not involve:

- extreme individual testing
- highly trained specialists exclusively
- hours of testing

It seems self-evident, there is a large difference between screening for these positive purposes:

- indentifying the severely handicapped and classify children in terms of deficits
- indentifying at-risk children who may or may not become academic or behavioral problems
- obtain a range of developmental data on incoming children in order to plan intervention programs -- not to predict.
- but these ancillary purposes?

- train and involve a wide range of specialists and teachers in considering the skills young children entering school
- provide a mechanism whereby specialist and teacher can work together in the classroom
- gather local norms on screening tests
- demonstrate a need to develop early intervention programs
- involve parents
- involve teachers in an ongoing screening process.

Screening program either facilitates or prevents these purposes by the way it is set up.
So, now you have screening data. How do you use it to follow-up children's needs?

Results of Screening

Feedback to specialists

Core Evaluation

Decisions

- Further evaluation outside of school system (e.g., medical)
- Second evaluation at request of parents

Primary intervention in regular classroom with support for classroom teacher

Intermediate Evaluation

In depth evaluation in one area of functioning (e.g., speech) by appropriate specialist or educational diagnostic-prescriptive evaluation by qualified resource teacher

Decisions

- Intervention by single specialist (e.g., speech and language clinician)
- Resource room or other partially integrated placement
- Resource room program

Developmental Information Summary

A more detailed summary on a few children or format for Systematic Observations goes to classroom teacher--to be followed by further or intermediate evaluation outside of school system or if review of observation results indicates need.

Resource substantially separate placement (special or transition class or private school)

Data sits and gathers dust and nothing is done.
screening data. How do you use it to

Intermediate Evaluation

A more detailed summary on a few children to be followed up and reviewed. If need, a formal diagnostic-prescriptive evaluation by appropriate specialist.

In depth evaluation in one area of functioning (e.g., speech) by appropriate specialist.

Educational diagnostic-prescriptive evaluation by qualified resource teacher.

Or special assistance by single specialist (e.g., speech and language) by resource teacher.

Resource teacher assists regular classroom teacher to implement prescription in the classroom.

Resource room program for partially integrated placement in regular classroom or transitory placement in resource room.

Resource room goes to regular classroom with support for classroom teacher.

Resource room or other placement.

Developmental Information Summary on majority of children goes to regular classroom teachers for purpose of program planning.

Do you use it to children’s needs?
What make the intervention for children work?

1. Special Class Teacher
   and

2. Resource Room Teacher—have specified roles which need support of those persons and programs above BUT

3. The Regular Classroom Teacher will need considerably more of this support initially to play the new role which will be required by law.

SOME SUPPORT MECHANISMS THE ERI NETWORK SCHOOL SYSTEMS ARE EXPLORING ARE:

1. IN-SERVICE WORKSHOPS FOR REGULAR CLASSROOM TEACHER

   These vary from a few outside lecturers to an intensive two-hour per week training course over one to two years which involves the teacher (and principal) in a step-by-step classroom modification plan.

2. SPECIALIST CONSULTATION IN REGULAR CLASSROOM

   Specialists from various disciplines are experimenting with spending part of their time working with children within the regular classroom and supporting regular classroom teachers by suggesting and/or demonstrating use of teaching materials and management techniques.

3. RESOURCE ROOMS

   These settings provide children with specialized instruction on a regularly scheduled part-time basis. Support for regular classroom teachers should also be provided.
4. RESOURCE TEACHERS

These specialists carry out informal and/or formal diagnostic-prescriptive evaluations of children and their learning environments. A major focus of the resource teacher's efforts is the provision of ongoing consultation, support, and in-service training for regular classroom teachers.

5. EXTRA HELP FOR THE CLASSROOM TEACHER

With training and supervision, parents and volunteers can:

--assist substantially with the initial screening process
--take small groups of children within class for special stimulation or training at teacher's discretion
--serve as individual tutors
--make materials, carry out classroom observations
--explain the program outside the school

6. INTENSIFIED COLLABORATION WITH GENERAL EDUCATORS

the normalizing of special education  

partnership of both in jointly meeting the needs of a wider range of children in the regular classroom

the individualizing of general education

7. MUCH GREATER & EARLIER INVOLVEMENT OF PARENTS, such as

--group and/or individual education for parents of preschoolers on how to assist developmentally
--autonomous and semi-autonomous parent-to-parent groups using behavior mod or developmental approaches to child management at home
--parents as helpers to classroom teacher (see above) and as community lobbying force.
In a developing program, the process of development itself should be "evaluated", i.e.,

- numbers of children screened and teachers and parents involved
- log of modifications and progress in individual childrens' programs, or in-service training programs, etc.
- log of increases in support of administrators, parents and teachers
- log of changes in objectives and method from one year to the next

in order to obtain information for decisions relative to improvement of program quality and continuing refinement of educational programs for individual children.

When you have a specifiable program which can be replicated, it is then legitimate to test its effectiveness by pre-post or control group evaluation

- pre-post with your screening test (or some relevant measure)
- pre-post measures of teacher or parent skill or attitude
- comparing experimental vs. control groups with follow-up achievement/adjustment measures

in order to obtain summative data on program effectiveness.

Remember: Evaluation should serve primarily to help you to develop the kind of program that best meets the needs of your local system and those of individual children.