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A STUDY OF The AIMS AND NEEDS OF COLleGE VOCATIORAL EDUCATION DROPOUTS

SECTIOR I
INTRODUCTION

## The Probiem

Any graduate of an approved high school in Louisiana may legally enter any institution of higher education under the jurisdiction of the State Board of Education, regardless of academic ability or occupational ojjective. The formal vocational guidance and counselirg experiences of these students vary as widely as their backgrounds and academic achievements. During the registration process rocational. students are often placed into a uniform curriculum traditional for ail those working toward a degree in their particular academic areas, with little or no vocational counseling during or following their imrnediate entrance into a senior college.

Information acquired during freshman orientation classes reveals that many stlidents have vague and unrealistic ideas as to why they are attending coliege or their objective in selecting a particular curriculum. In response to an American Council on Education survey of 1,112 freshmen students during the fall semester of 1971, 34.1 percent of the males and 38 percent of the females checked as a reason for going to college, "because my parents wanted me to go." It

 factors, the attration rate amore the stadents at louisiana Tech hnversity has besr estimated as high as bo percent througnout the undergriduate ranks.

This heary attrition rate represerits lose of valuabie tine: sincere effort and noirey for the student, his parsots, and taxpayers of levisiana. It overburdens university facilities and diverts the teaching facuity's time and energies eway frox those students more likely to succeed in a university program. Unsticcessful stidents add to the number of underemployed and deprive the economy of trained manpower needed to fili existing vacancies in many desirable job こlassifications.

## Related Research and Backgyound Information

Recent stadies conducted at Louisiana Tech Iniversity with a ielatively small segment of the totai student erraila ment, revealed that there was ro significant correlatior $(.43)$ between achievement test scores and success in an academic program. The AOT scores from 12 of 194 students who resigned during the spring quarter of 1971 showed that 34 percent scored 20 to 24 on the tests and 13 percent scored 25 to 36. This indicated thet many of those dropouts were capable students with the intellectual capacity to succeed. With proper guidance and motivation during
secondary school and their college careers, those students could have been prepared for skilled, highly productive careers in higher paying jobs.

## Objectives

This research was designed to locate, interview and determine the present status of former Louisiana Tech University students who failed to complete the requirements for graduation in Vocational Agriculture, Business, and Home Economics Education curricula. It sought to determine why those students found it necessary or desirable to leave school and to secure their opinions as to what actions could have prevented their failure.

The specific objectives of this research were:
(1) To locate former Louisiana Tech University students who failed to complete their college program in vocational education.
(2) To determine their present occupational status and level of educational achievement.
(3) To interview those dropouts in order to secure their opinions as to what actions the university might have taken to prevent their failure.
(4) To accumulate and evaluate personal background information that might influence success or failure in college.
(5) To evaluate the importance of vocational guidance functions in career orientation.
(6) To secure the subjects' opinions on several aspects of vocational and career education of less than college grade.
(7) To develop criteria by which potentiai dropouts might be identified by a "dropout profile" as determined from the data collected.

## Procedures

The data in this research were collected through personal interviews with each subject by professional or trained surveyors. The interview was made in the subject's home, place of business or some convenient place designated by the interviewee. An attempt was made to insure that each subject was interviewed by someone known to him, in order to obtain a more candid response. Former teachers of the respondent, guidance counselors and other professional people were used whenever possible. In cases where sub-. jects were located in distant states, long distance telephone calls were made, or if the subjects were overseas, parents were asked to secure the information. In a few instiances questionnaires were mailed to the respondent.

Personal data were secured from the subjects' high school records and college transcripts from the university records section (Appendix 1). Other pertinent data were
obtained ard recorded on a comprehensive questionnaire designed for this study (Appendix 2 ),

## Poplulation and Sample

The subjects of this research were fujl-tine sudents who interrupted their program in Vocational Agriculture, Home Economics: and Business Education at Louisiara Tech University during the acadenic years 1966-67, 196?-68, 196869, 1969-70 and 1970-71. The five most recert years were selected because it was felt that this would give a more reiiable indication of prevailing conditions that might. influence college success or failure.

One hundred eighty, the total rumber of dropouts from the three curricula involved, were inciuded in the study,

As a basis for comparison 79 persons who graduated successfully during the same five-year period were randomiy selected to participate in the study. It was decided at the outset of the plon for research that a high percertage of the subjects must be contacted in order to establish an acceptable level of confidence for the data collected, One hurdred pe:cent of those meeting the guidelines described above were contacted. Cf those failing to meet the require... ments for graduation in teacher education at Louisiana Tech, 41 represented Agricuilture, 35 Home Economics, and 104 Business Education. Of the seventy-nine who were successful
and chosen for comparison, 15 represented Agriculture, 36 were in Home Economics, and 28 were from Business Education.

It was discovered that the subjects were a highly mobile group, widely cispersed, with numerous changes of address and often difficult to locate. It was necessary to contact many of the subjects while at home briefly on military leave or an infrequent family visit.

## Analysis of Data

Data collected in this study were of two distinct types, necessitating two special forms of treatment. Since many of the reactions to the interview were in the form of opinions: responses were grouped according to frequency of occurrence and reported in tabular form as percentages. Clusters of responses may seem redundant in some tables and would appear to lend themselves to more meaningful reporting by condensing them into fewer categories. However, in order to establish a high level of confidence, it was felt that personel interpretations by the research staff should be held to a minimum to eliminate bias and maximize reliability of the data.

Personal and background data believed to have positive influence on student success or failure were subjected to a more sophisticated treatment.

The basic procedure that dominates this interpretation is that of comparison. The groups compared were: (l) the group that continued in the vocational programs until
graduation and (2) the group that dropped out of the vocational programs. The latter group consists of two subgroups: those who transferred to another degree program (the persisters) and those who did not. In the interpretation process, the persisters were given considerable attention as a group of major interest. However, this group was not compared to the dropout group; since one group is a subgroup of the other. Hence the basic pattern was to compare the graduates with (a) the total dropout group and (b) the sub-group of dropouts called the persisters.

Most statistical procedures center around one of two approaches, a study of differences and a study of relationships. The chief concern in this study lies in the area of differences. If several groups are to be compared, the usual procedure is the chi-square or analysis of variance. However, if only two groups are to be compared, the simple "t" test is the one that is commonly used. In this interpretation, the "t" test and chi-square were the principal techniques used. In the area of relationships, there were some situations in this analysis that were suiter to some specialized procedures. The one that was of primary value was the coefficient of contingency. This is a relatively crude measure, frequently associated with the chi-square procedure. In several situations, both chi-square and coefficient of contingency (C) are shown.

Since the terms "Graduates", "Dropouts" and "Persisters" will be used continuously in this report, the groilps will be identified with the initials $G, D$ and $P$, respectively.

## SECTION IJ.

## Present ation m Data

## Con to Atterd Loineze

The decision to attend or not ,o attend ollege shouid be a subject for strong consideration by parests, stlidente. guidance personnel, school admiristrators and others who advise and counsel prospective coilege stucients. A colle education is expensive, time corsuming, ancin some instanes: a frustrating experience.

Beginning in early childhood and extending through the elementary and secondary school years, this decision become: the subject of extensive family discussion. Although Department of Labor statistics indicate that most growth in employment opportunities was in the area of professional and tecinical careers during the past decade: with a similar pattern for the seventies, many opportinities for satisfactory employment exist in areas demanding less formal. preparation. However, it would appear from data collected in this study that these considerations are not completely dominant in the decision making process, as shown in Tables $I$ and II.

Without separating the persisters from the dropouts in Table I, it is evident that approximately one-third of the dropouts had reached the decision to at-end college before

```
hi boi, * l'i \because, -. percert of t!e graduates
```

had made their decisior prior to inggh schooi.
$\therefore \mathrm{AE}$
Decisior $\cdots$ it iterd Coliege

| Stage of Life |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision Was | Eqopouts |  | Persis ers |  |
| Made | Numpe: Percent Nomber Percen |  |  |  |
| Childhood | L' | 22.22 | 31 | 32.22 |
| Elementary | 1 | 5.56 | 9 | 11.3\% |
| Junior High | 1. | 5.11 | 3 | 3.80 |
| High Schooi | $c$ | 55.00 | 34 | 43,04 |
| After High School | - | +1,11 | 2 | 2.53 |

Considerimg the pers-sters separateiy Tacie I-Al, it is apparent trat this gro:"? is more closely aligred with the graduates up to the after high schoo1" category; where they become more closely assccipted with the dropouts.

> ABIE I A
> Decision $=0$ ATtend CoLlege

| Stage of Life Decision Was Made | Dropout |  | Persisters |  | Gradiatees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbe | Perctat | Numbe | ercent |  | Percer |
| Childhood | 17 | 13. 2 ? | 23 | 40.35 | 31 | 33.24 |
| Elementary | 6 | 4.83 | 4 | 7.02 | 9 | 11.39 |
| Junior Fingh | 8 | 6: - | 3 | 5.26 | 3 | 3.80 |
| High SchooI | 79 | 540.3 | 20 | 35.09 | 34 | 43.04 |
| After High School | 13 | 10.57 | 7 | 12.28 | 2 | 2.53 |

It would seem that the evidence here is inconclusive and that it would be pure speculation to say that an early decision to attend college contributed to an individual's chances for successful completion of a college curriculum. However, an examination of Table II might provide some insight into this statistic.

TABLE II
Decision to Attend College Prompted by

| Decision <br> Prompted by: | Dropouts |  | Cumaduates |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents | 67 | 37.22 | 54 | 68.35 |
| Teacher | 9 | 5.00 | 5 | 6.33 |
| Peers | 9 | 5.00 | 2 | 2.53 |
| Relatives | 8 | 4.45 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Scholarship | 2 | 1.11 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Desire to Advance | 34 | 18.89 | 15 | 18.99 |
| Self Improvement | 51 | 28.33 | 3 | 3.80 |

Sixty-eight percent of the graduates reported that their parents played major roles in their decision to attend college, compared to thirty-seven percent of the dropouts. There was no significant difference in considering the persisters separately, except in the category of "the desire
to advance", which one would expect to be a source of motivation for this group (Table II-A).

TABLE II-A
Decision to Attend College Prompted by

| Decision Prompted by: | Dropout.s |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numb | Percent | Numbe | Percent | Numbe | Percent |
| Parents | 39 | 31.71 | 28 | 49.12 | 54 | 68.35 |
| Teacher | 6 | 4.88 | 3 | 5.27 | 5 | 6.33 |
| Peers | 8 | 6.50 | 1 | 1.75 | 2 | 2.53 |
| Relatives | 8 | 6.50 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Scholarship | 2 | 1.63 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Desire to Advance | 15 | 12.19 | 19 | 33.33 | 15 | 18.99 |
| Self Improvement | 45 | 36.59 | 6 | 10.53 | 3 | 3.80 |

A safe inference here would be that members of a college oriented family have a higher probability for success than those who lack such a background. Typical remarks such as: "It was always expected that I would attend college", or "I accepted the idea in early childhood that my parents planned for me to get a college education" recurred throughout the questionnaire.

Of some importance to all groups were the categories of "desire to advance" and "self improvement". There
appears to be iittle evidence of significant influence from formal guidance and counseling services.

## Decision to Attend Louisiana Tech

Closely associated with this phase of the study, was the investigation into why students elected to attend one university in preference to another similar institution (Table III),

## TABLE III

Decision to Attend Louisiana Tech University

| Contributing Factors | Dropouts |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Scholarship | 2 | 1.Il | 0 | 0.00 |
| Strong Curriculum in Choser Field | 45 | 25.00 | 24 | 30.38 |
| Locatior | 64 | 35.56 | 25 | 31.65 |
| Size | 7 | 3.89 | 7 | 8.86 |
| Peers Attending | 26 | 14.44 | 6 | 7.59 |
| Spouse Attending | 3 | 1.67 | 2 | 2.53 |
| Relatives Attending or Were Alumni | 27 | 15.00 | 15 | 18.99 |
| Quarter System | 4 | 2. 22 | 0 | 0.00 |
| No Answer | 2 | 1.11 | 0 | 0.00 |

As one woild expect, the location of the institution played a major role in the decision to enroll at Loussiana Tech Uriversity. Since other institutions of higher education are strategically locat d throughout the state offering similar curricula, one would expect a large perceritage of students to matriculate near their homes.

Also of major importance in the decision making process was a strong curricuilum in the student's area of irterest. This item was of considerable importance to both dropouts and graduates and showed no significant difference when the persisters were considered separately, although they were more closely aligned with the graduates. (See Table III-..A)。 Tradition exerted its expected influence in choice of schools, in that approximately 29 percent of the dropouts indicated that they were influenced in their selection of colleges by friends ard relatives, as compared to 26 percent of the graduates. It is interesting to note here that approximately twice the percentage of dropouts selected their college because their friends were enrolled there as did the graduates and persisters,

It would appear that the recommendations of relatives and alumni are more reliable guides to decision making than the fact that one's friends are in attendance at a particular institution。

TABLE III-A
Decision to Attend Jouisiana Tech University


High School Averages
Extensive research has shown that one of the best predictors regarding college achievement is still the high school average, despite the development of elaborate testing programs designed to serve as bases for such predictions.

Hence, in this study, it is important the the groups ve investigated from the standpoint of high school averages. A11. grades are besed on a 4-point scale; that is, $A=4, B=3$, $O=2, \quad D=1, \quad F=0$.

In a sense, these data represent means of means, since the data on each graduate was his high school average. To study the group, means of such averages were computed. Group sizes were: G, 79; D, 180. .

For group G, mean $=3.17$, with a standard deviation of .59

For group $D$, mean $=2.83$, with a standard deviation of .66
Difference . . . . . 34
The standard error of the difference was .082, so that the critical ratio (Difference/standard error of the difference) was 4.15.

This critical ratio is great enough to justify rejection of the null hypothesis at the . Ol level, that is, a difference of this size would occur by chance only one time in a hundred. In more practical terms, thjs critical ratio indicates that the mean high school average for group $G$ was significantly higher than that for group D.

If we isolate from group $D$ the 57 persisters (group P), we find that the latter group (P) showed a slightly different pattern.

For group G, mean $=3.17$, standard deviation of .59
For group P, mean $=2.90$, standard deviation of .59

If we repeat the procedure, we Iind that this difference of .27 converts into a critical ratio of 2.7. Again, this meəts the test of significance at the . Ol level, the difference favoring group $G$.

TABLE IV
High School Averages

| Grade | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbe | rcent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| A | 27 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 13 |
| A - | 15 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 15 | 19 |
| B + | 15 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| B | 30 | 17 | 10 | 17 | 22 | 28 |
| B- | 22 | 12 | 14 | 24 | 14 | 18 |
| C+ | 24 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| C | 38 | 21 | 15 | 26 | 7 | 9 |
| $C$ - and D | 19 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |

As another approach to comparing high school. averages, the graduates and persisters were combined. (Actual number is shown in parentheses after the percentage.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\prime} s= & 35 \%(20) \text { dropouts, as compared to } 65 \%(37) \\
& \text { graduates and persisters, were } A \text { or } A- \\
& \text { students. } \\
B^{\prime} s= & 37 \%(40) \text { dropouts, as compared to } 63 \%(68) \\
& \text { graduates and persisters, were } B+B, \text { or } \\
& B-\text { students. }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
C's - 60\% (43) dropouts, as compared t.o \(40 \%\) (29)
    graduates and persisters, were C+ or \(C\)
    students.
D:s - 86\% (19) dropouts, as compared to \(14 \%\) (3)
    graduates and persisters, were \(C\) - or \(D\)
    students.
```

Composite Scores on ACT
Two types of test scores were noted in the study of the two basic groupso-ACT and SCAT. For ACT, on』y composite scores were available for analysis. The results are as follows:

Group G, mean $=17.26$, standard deviatior $=4$
Group $D$, mean $=18.1$, standard deviation $=9.9$
Group $P$, mean $=21.02$, standard deviation $=12.0$

It is interesting to note that, although group G had the lowest mean score, it is a much more "homogeneous" group, in that its standard deviation is considerabiy less than that for the other groups.

On the basis of a "t" test, neither the .84 difference between $G$ and $D$ nor the 3.74 difference between $G$ and $P$ met the test for significance at the .05 level.

## SCAT Scores

SCAT scores were available for the two basic groups, $G$ and D. However, only about 5 of group $P$ had such scores, so that statistical analysis of their results was not feasible.

Graph 1

## Comparison of ACT National Percentile Scores
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For groups $G$ and $D$, the SCAT scores were availabie on Verbal, Quartitative and Composite. The results were as follows:

Verbal
Group G. mean $=31.86$, standard deviation $=8.9$
Group $D$, mean $=29.16$, standard deviation $=3.4$ (On the basis of a "t" test, this is not a sigrificant difference).

## Quantituative

Group G, mean $=36.20$, standard deviation $=7.43$
Group D, mean $=33.9$, standard deviation $=8.02$
(This difference falls just short of meeting the test for significance at the . 05 level).

Total
Group $G$, mean $=68.08$, standard deviation $=14.17$
Group $D$, mean $=63.08$, standard deviation $=15.02$
(The difference of 5.00 between the means does not meet the test for significance at the .05 level).

## Pursued College Averages

There are some variables in this comparison that did not occur when we compared groups on the basis of high school averages. While all of group $G$ had compiled col.lege averages on the basis of a substantial number of college courses, this was not necessarily true of group D. It is apparent from common observation, as well as the data

Eenerated in this study, that some of the memeers of Eroup 2 had college careers that were somewhat abereviated. Ho:ever, some comparisons are justified in this case.

For group $G$, mean $=2.643$, standard deriation $=.56$
For group $D$, mean $=2.10$, standard deviation -.90

On the basis of the standard error of the difference for the two groups, this difference ( $\mathbf{~ 5 4 3 \text { ) converts into a }}$ critical ratio of 5.90 . This meets the test for significance at . Ol level, with the balance obviously favoring the group $G$.

If we extract group P--in this case, 56 students--from the parent group (group D), we arrive at a somewhat different result.

For group G, mean $=2.643$, standard deviation $=.56$
For $g^{2} \sup P$, mean $=2.55$, standard deviation $=.63$

This difference of .093 fails to meet the test of significance at the .05 level, as it converts to a critical ratio of .89 . This would indicate that there is a greater degree of homogeneity between groups $G$ and $P$ than between groups $G$ and D. One would logically expect this, in view of the makeup of the groups involved.

For the "t" test comparison, pursued averages were used, for a better measure of success.

```
            IS:Z
```




To assist in comparing college averages, graduates and persisters were combined. (Actual number is shown in parentheses after the percentage.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A's - } 17 \% \text { (1) dropouts, as compared to } 83 \% \text { (5) } \\
& \text { graduates and persisters, were A students. } \\
& \text { B's }-25 \% \text { (i2) dropouts, as compared to } 75 \% \text { (36) } \\
& \text { graduates and persisters, were } B \text { students. } \\
& C: s=32 \% \text { (40) dropouts, as compared to 68\% (85) } \\
& \text { graduates and persisters, were } C \text { students. } \\
& D^{\prime} s-86 \% \text { (65) dropouts, as compared to } 14 \% \text { (11) } \\
& \text { graduates and persisters, were } D \text { students. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Purai-UrDan Eackground

The purpose of this phase of the stidy was to ascertain if there were any identifiable patterrs as to distribution of persons among the groups on the basis of $e$ rural-urban breakdown.

The distributions among groups are shown in Tabie VI.

TABLE VI
Rural-Urban Background


In investigating differences among patterns where we are dealing with categorical data, i.e., data which do not
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Size of High Scrool
Wi"e it is not part of thas stiady to delve into the
merits or demerits of high schools, either large or small.
it is Zogical to make some internal comparisons of the
tasi= groaps in terms of the high school from which they
graduat $\in d$,
Again a chiasquare procedure was used in comparing
groups. Wrer groups $G$ and $D$ were arialyzed, the data yielded
a cini-square value of 14.64 . With 4 degrees of freedom,
this chiwsquare value met the test of significance at the
 clude that tinsse two grcups, $G$ and D, cannoz be assumed to nave the same background as to size of nigh school. Nhite statisticiars do not İke to make sumb statements, this mears that there was a difference between tine two groups in this regard. Generaliy, members of group $\bar{G}$ come from Iarger high schools than group D.

TABIE VII
Size of High School

| Size of School | Group D |  | Group P |  | Group G |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 and Under | 13 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 10 |
| 76-150 | 41 | 23 | 9 | 16 | 3 | 4 |
| 151-350 | 37 | 21 | 9 | 16 | 19 | 2.4 |
| 351-750 | $3 i$ | 19 | 8 | 14 | 19 | 24 |
| More than 750 | 55 | 31 | 25 | 44 | 30 | 38 |

While chi-square does not lend itself to internal interpretations, it may be noted from the previous table that there are some major differences between the two groups. A notable case is that of the 76-150 school. Onl.y $4 \%$ of group $G$ came from such schools, while $23 \%$ of group $D$ came from them.
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## Farticipation in Competitire Events

Though it may appear scmewhat far afield to evaluate student activity in competitive events as a predictor of success in coilege，it is generally felt that participation ir extra－curricular activities is an indication of maturity， generally associated with the better achievers．

Though not entirely conclusive，the data collected in this study indicated that group $D$ included a higher percent．－ age of subjects who did not take part in any competitive activities thar did group $G$（Tabie VIII）．

When group $P$ was extracted from the parent group， there appeared to be a slight change in the degree of participation，favoring the persisters（Table VIII－A）。
こロELE OII

Participañs in Comptivive Events in \#ini Senool

| Number of Competitive Events Engaged in: | Dropouts |  | Craduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tumber | Percent | Number | Fersent |
| None | 60 | 33.32 | 21 | 26.58 |
| One | 39 | 21.67 | 10 | 12.65 |
| Two | 31 | 17.22 | 14 | 17.72 |
| Trree | 26 | 14.44 | 6 | 7.69 |
| Four or More | 24 | 13.33 | 28 | 35.44 |

TABLE VIII-A
Participants in Competitive Events in High School

Number of Dropouts Persisters Graduates
Events Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent

| None | 40 | 32.52 | 20 | 35.09 | 21 | 26.58 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One | 24 | 19.51 | 15 | 26.32 | 10 | 12.66 |
| Two | 20 | 16.26 | 11 | 19.29 | 14 | 17.72 |
| Three | 22 | 17.89 | 4 | 7.02 | 6 | 7.69 |
| Four or <br> More | 17 | 13.82 | 7 | 12.28 | 28 | 35.44 |

## Membership in School Organizations

Membership in high school organizations came under scrutiny as a predictor of college success with an





```
category, whan Enciudes tiose niv \becauseere aこここ%e in Io. ar
more diabs. At that point group G wove a coumandare ieso.
```

TABIE IY

Active Members in High School Ureanizations

| Number of High School Orgariza－ tions Active in： | Dropouts |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| None | 18 | 10.00 | 5 | 6.41 |
| One | 15 | 8.33 | 4 | 5.13 |
| Two | 37 | 20.55 | 8 | 10.26 |
| Three | 40 | 22.22 | 12 | 15.38 |
| Four or More | 70 | 38.83 | 49 | 62.82 |

When group $P$ was considered apart from group D（Table IX－A），the same general pattern was observed，except that the persisters did not exhibit the high ievel of increase shown by group G．Group P appeared more ciosely aligned with Group D than with group C．

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{ZELE} \mathrm{XX}-\mathrm{A} \\
\text { Active Nembers in High School Organiaations }
\end{gathered}
$$

| Number of <br> High School <br> OrEani- <br> zations | $\frac{\text { Dropouts }}{\text { Number Percent }}$ | $\frac{\text { Persisters }}{\text { Number Percent }}$ |  | Craduates |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None |  |  |  |  |

Leadership Activities in High School Organizations
A student:s willingness to accept positions of leadership in school organizations is generally accepted as an indication of his acceptance of commensurate responsibility. With this thought in mind, the subjects were asked to list. the number of offices they had held in youth organizations during their high school careers. This aspect of the high school experience was subjected to a more thorough analysis. The following degrees of participation were noted:

81 percent of the dropouts held club cifices.
84 percent of the persisters held club offices.
82 percent of the graduates held club offices.

A further analysis was based upon the number of club offices held during the high school years. Three categories were used. These wer':

|  | D | $\underline{P}$ | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somewhat active |  |  |  |
| 2 or fewer offices held | 87 | 40 | 2.9 |
| Quite active |  |  |  |
| 3, 4 or 5 offices held | 49 | 12 | 29 |
| Very active | 10 | 5 | 7 |

A chi-square procedure was used in this situation, with the following results:

Group $G$ versus group $D$, chi square of 4.60 with 2 degrees of freedom. This did not meet the test of significance at the . 05 level.

Group $G$ versus group $P$, chi-square of 8.7 . This meets the test of significance at the .05 level. However, the pattern of dispersion makes it difficult to generalize on this point. The $P$ group dominates in the "somewhat active" category, while the $G$ group dominates in the "quite active" category。

## Source of Financing High School Education

Since most high school students live at home ard are supported by their parents, financing education does rot presert the problem a family encounters when one or more members enroli in an institution of higher education where costs become a matter of major consideration for many.

An examination of Table $X$ does not reveal any sigrificant difference between groups $G$ and $P$, although the graduates were somewhat more active in money making projects at home,

TABLE X
Employment Status in High School

| Categories | Dropouts |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Sometimes Worked Away from Home | 29 | 16.11 | 10 | 12.66 |
| School Cooperative Program | 2 | 1.11 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Money Making Project at Home | 7 | 3.89 | 5 | 6.33 |
| Regular Job Away from Home | 5 | 3.33 | 2 | 2.53 |
| No Specific Reply | 1 | . 56 | 2 | 2.53 |
| Total Employed | 45 | 25.00 | 19 | 24.05 |
| Did Not Work | 135 | 75.00 | 60 | 75.95 |

When we separate group $P$ from group $D(T a b l e X-A)$, the differences remain negligible.
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## Employment Status in College

Working one's way through college has for many years been viewed as an approved and popular means of financing the education of the young, however, during intervals of economic affluence, this method of finance has assumed less significance.

An analysis of data presented in Table XI indicates that most college students who worked, did so intermittently or worked part-time for mey for "extras" such as hobbies and amusement.

TABLE XI
Employment Status in College

| Categories | Dropouts |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Regular Work--Full <br> Time College Support | 16 | 8.89 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Work Study Program | 18 | 10.00 | 9 | 11.39 |
| Part-Time Work Supplemented College Support | 32 | 17.78 | 15 | 18.99 |
| Intermittent Work for Extras | 26 | 14.44 | 30 | 37.97 |
| Did Not Work | 88 | 48.89 | 25 | 31.65 |

There were no significant changes when group P was extracted from the parent group and considered separately.

The work-study programs for needy students showed approximately the same degree of participation for groups $D$ and $P$.

Sources of Financing College Education
Another classification scheme used was based upon source of financial aid for education at the college level. The results are reflected in Table XII.

Table XII
Source of Financing Education

| Source | Group D |  | Group P |  | Group G |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Parents | 45 | 36 | 31 | 55 | 35 | 44 |
| Parents and Self | 28 | 23 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 19 |
| Selif | 13 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Vocational Rehabili~ tation | 12 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| GI Bill | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Scholarship | 9 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| Grants and Commercial Loans | 7 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 13 |
| NDEA Loan and Work | 9 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 11 |

When we analyze group $G$ versus group $D$ in a chi-square procedure, we arrive at a chi-square value of 15.64 , with 7 degrees of freedom. This meets the test of significance at the .05 level but not at tr:e more rigorous level of .01 .

When we extract group $P$ from its parent group and compare it with group $G$, we arrive at a chi-square value of 17.21. This also meets the test for significance at the .05 level. Indeed, this is an unusual case in that the computed value is greater when we compare groups $G$ and $P$ than when we compare groups $G$ and D. However, the difference is too slight to justify any broad conclusions.

Two items draw attention to themselves in this category. One is that no one in group $G$ was responsible for his complete support while in college and the three cases in group $P$ reported that they had independent incomes from investments and did not work. Also, it appeared that group G displayed more confidence in their ability to complete their education, in that they participated more freely in using commercial loans which would be repaid from future earnings.

## Occupation of Fathers

Any occupational classification scheme is somewhat arbitrary in nature. However, it was felt that information about such occupations would be helpful in comparing our basic groups.

Table XIII represerts classifications and the distribution of each group relative to these classifications.

A chi-square procedure was used with groups G and D as basic patterns. This yielded a chi-square of 38.23. With 10 degrees of freedom, this value is in excess of that required to meet the test of significance at the . Ol level. It should be repeated that the chi-square procedure does not pinpoint the location of the specific differences; rather it tests the entire array of differences. The corresponding coefficient of contingency is .36. Generally, group G fathers held "higher" classified occupations than did group D fathers.

A corresponding analysis of groups $G$ and $P$ yields a chi-square of 15.72 . With 10 degrees of freedom, this value falls short of the test of significance at the .05 level. There seems to be a difference when we compare groups $G$ and $D$, but when we compare groups $G$ and $P$, no such conclusion can be reached.

Possibly of more importance than the occupational classification of his father to a student's potential success in school, is the family relationship within the home. It is apparent that children have more freedom of movement, spend less time at home, and have fewer opportunities for close family relationships than any previous
generation of Americars. They have fewer famiry reeporai. bilities and in mary instarces bave jittle or no krowieders of the details of the father:s ocrupation or the world of work. They appear to lack tre merital disciplire necessary for the transition from righ school to college wath uts atterdant probiems and responsibilities. This hypothesis receives some measure of siapport from the data cortained in Tacle XIII. The ocoupations of techacians, eraftsmen. and farmers are usiuaiiy characterized by regular working hours, more time at home, and lend tremselves more riaturally to the involvement of orildren in the family bissness. These occupational areas favor groups $G$ ard $P$ over $D$.

## Occupation of Mother

It was felt that the occupational classification of the mother would have more bearing on the student's success or failure in coliege than the father's occupation. However: this was not supported by evidence (Tabie XiV)。

Although there is no general pattern of significart. differences betweer groups $D$ and $G$, when we extract group P from its parent group for consideration, it appears more closely aligned with group $G$ than group $D$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { TABLE XIV } \\
\text { Occupational Classification of Nother }
\end{gathered}
$$

4) 

Educational Achzevemert of Mother
Though inconclusive, this section of the stidy prodused some interesting contrasts, as shown in mabie XV. More than tweive percent of the mothers in group D reported "some college", while sixteen percerit indicated they had earned a baccalaureate degree or higher. This might be construed as a 14 percert dropout rate among tre mothers of drupoits: as compared to 7 percent of the group $G$ mothers.

TABLE XV
Education of Mother

| Education | Dropouts |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Some School (1-7) | 7 | 3.89 | 4 | $5: 06$ |
| Some High School (8-11) | 22 | 12.22 | 8 | 20.13 |
| High School Graduate | 72 | 40.00 | 25 | 31.65 |
| Special Schooi | 26 | 14.44 | 38 | 22.79 |
| Some College | 22 | 12.22 | 4 | 5.06 |
| Junior College | 3 | 1.68 | 2 | 2.53 |
| Baccalaureate | 22 | 12.22 | 14 | 17,72 |
| Baccalaureate Plus | 6 | 3.33 | 4 | 5.06 |

Almost 23 percent of the group $G$ mothers reported special school attendarce as compared to 14 pius percent of the group D mothers. This might indicate a recognition by
this group of the importance of some form of occupational training beyond high school.

It would be difficuit to defend any broad conclusions based on these data.

Father's Educational Achievement
As with the mother's educational achievements, it is difficult to note any significant data correlating the father's educational level with his child's success in col-. lege (Table XVI). However, it is interesting to note that in the area of "special schools" group G did excel over group $D$.

TABLE XVI
Education of Father

| Education | Dropouts |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbe | Percent | Numbe | Percent |
| Some School (1-7) | 21 | 11.67 | 6 | 7.59 |
| Some High School (8-11) | 31 | 17.22 | 11 | 13.92 |
| Graduated--High School | 46 | 25.56 | 19 | 24.05 |
| Special School | 21 | 11.67 | 12 | 15.19 |
| Some College | 28 | 15.55 | 13 | 16.46 |
| Graduated--College | 26 | 14.44 | 10 | 12.66 |
| Baccalaureate Plus | 6 | 3.89 | 8 | 10.13 |

This was also evidert in Table XV, Education of Motner. Ir. this study speciai schools refer to vocationalmtechnical schools and other puillic and private vocational schoois of less than college grade.

When group $P$ was considered apart from group D (Table XVI-A), they were more closely aligned with goup $G_{0}$ with the higher educational levels of parents cleariy fa;oring the students who completed their college programs.

TABLE XVI-A
Education of Father

| Education | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some School (1-7) | 19 | 15.45 | 2 | 3.51 | 6 | 7.59 |
| Some High Schooi (8-11) | 29 | 23.58 | 2 | 3.51 | 11 | 13.92 |
| Graduated High School | 31 | 25.20 | 15 | 26.32 | 19 | 2.405 |
| Special School | 10 | 8.13 | 11 | 19.29 | 12 | 15.19 |
| Some College | 16 | 13.01 | 12 | 21.05 | 13 | 16.46 |
| Baccalaureate Degree | 14 | 11.38 | 12 | 21.05 | 10 | 12.66 |
| Baccalaureate Flus | 3 | 3.25 | 3 | 5.27 | 8 | 10.13 |

## Curricular Interruptions

Of' the 180 persons considered dropouts at the beginning of the study, fifty-eight were reclassified as "persisters", in that they continued their education at another institution or in another surriculum at Louisiana Tech University. One was reported deceased while a full-time student.

## Resignations

Forty of this number resigned from Louisiana Tech and continued their education as a full-time student at other institutions. A variety of reasons were given for the change, most of which had no bearing on the quality of offerings at Louisiana Tech.

Friends attending another university 7
Married and moved with spouse to a job 6
Moved with family to another community 6
Were not happy at Louisiana Tech 3
Illness 3
Iarger school with broader curriculum 2
Out-of-state fees too high 2
Commuting too far each day 2
Job requirements too severe I
Wanted to attend a smaller school l
Wanted to attend school away from home l
Change of interest l
Suspension 1
Could not trarsier erougr aredits
Tired of school
Program was canceied
Deceased

## Change of Curricuium

Eighteen of the persisters mereiy changed their curricula and continued their education as fullutime studerts at Louisiana Tech.

Almost one-half of this group indicated that they decided not to teach after their exposure to student teach.. ing. Reasons given were as foliows:

Decided they did not want to be a teacher 9
More job opportunities $\in$ lsewhere 3
Desired a shorter program (2-year certifisate) 2
Not happy in first choice 2
Unable to meet requirements of curriculum 2

These data indicate that a majority of the changes by persisters were made for convenience; sake rather than dissatisfaction with the curricular offerings or the uniVersity environment.

There is, however, a strong impiication for more guidance and counseling services for those who changed their curriculum and continued in school at Louisiana Tech. Ali of the cases indicate a lack of information concerning the
requirements of the curriculum or the requirements of the profession for which they were preparing.

Mari*al problems ard divorce within the family were not a factor in the success or failure of the subjects considered in this study (Tables XVII, XVII-A, XVIII and XVIII-A).

Only one student reported that it was necessary to resign to help support younger children following parents' divorce.

TABLE XVII
Parents Residing Together When Entered Tech

| Responses | Dropouts |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 162 | 90.00 | 70 | 88.61 |
| No | 8 | 4.44 | 4 | 5.06 |
| Deceased | 10 | 5.56 | 5 | 6.33 |

TABLE XVII--A
Parents Residing Together When Entered Tech

| Responses | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbe | Percent | Numbe | Percent | Numb | ercent |
| Yes | 107 | 87.81 | 55 | 94.74 | 70 | 88.61 |
| No | 7 | 5.69 | 1 | 1.75 | 4 | 5.06 |
| Deceased | 7 | 6.50 | 2 | 3.51 | 5 | 6.33 |

## TABLE XVIII

Parents fesiding Together When Dropped Out


## Sibling Status

Another aspect of the study was concerned with comparisons of sibiing status among groups $D, P$ and $G$, This took two directions: (1) the number of siblings in the family
and (2) the position or rank of subjects among their siblings.

## Number of Siblines

Table XIX indicates the number of siblings by groups. Again, a chi-square procedure was used to test the hypothesis that there were no discernible patterns among the three groups (D, P and G). As a matter of practicality, those subjects with seven or more siblings were combined and treated as a single group.

> TABLE XIX
> Size of Family

| Number of Siblings | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduaces |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Fercent |
| Only Child | 13 | 45.00 | 10 | 34.00 | 6 | 21.00 |
| Two | 24 | 35.00 | 17 | 25.00 | 26 | 39.00 |
| Three | 29 | 42.00 | 12 | 18.00 | 27 | 40.00 |
| Four | 21 | 60.00 | 6 | 17.00 | 8 | 23.00 |
| Five | 12 | 52.00 | 4 | 17.00 | 7 | 30.00 |
| Six | 5 | 33.00 | 7 | 47.00 | 3 | 20.00 |
| Seven | 1 | 25.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 1 | 25.00 |
| Eight | 3 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Nine | 3 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Thirteen | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Fourteen | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

A chi-square of 22.12 with 12 degreer of freedow. resulted from this analysis. This value metts the test of significance at the .05 level of confiderce. Fence, we can be confident that Groups $D, P$ and $G$ do sot follow identica: patterns as to number of siblings. While the differences are not notably apparert, it is worthy of attention that the average number of siblings in each group was: group D, 3.6, group P, 3.1; and group G, 2.96. Hence, we car state with some confidence the dropouts, as a group, were associated with larger families than the graduates, as a grolip.

## Rank in Family

The groups were also compared in terms of the stiudent's rank in family (Table XX). These ranged from first through twelfth. However, for purposes of treatment in a chiwsquare procedure, ranks of 6 and higher were combined and treated as a single group.

Again, a chi-square procedure was used to test the hypothesis that groups D, P and G followed essentially the same patterns of dispersion according to rank in family. This procedure yielded a chi-square of 42.79, with l2 degrees of freedom. . This value met the test of significance at the .Ol level of confidence. Hence, we may confidently say that there are patterns of differences among the three groups.

While interns comparisons have to be used cautiously in chi-square, two areas are worthy of note: (l) only six
members of group D were theme compared with an expected
 were firstborn, compared $\%$. $A$ pure chance number of 21.

Ta湜E XX<br>Rank Er Family

| Rank in Family | $\frac{\text { Dropouts* }}{\text { Number Percent. }}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Persisters }}{\text { Number Percent }}$ |  | Graduates** Number Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Only Child | 13 | 45.00 | 10 | 34.00 | 6 | 2i. 00 |
| Ist Born | 6 | 10.00 | 20 | 33.00 | 34 | 57.00 |
| 2nd Born | 31 | 60.00 | 20 | 20.00 | 20 | 20.00 |
| 3 rd Born | 12 | 46.00 | 5 | 19.00 | 9 | 35.00 |
| 4 th Born | 9 | 60.00 | 3 | 20.00 | 3 | 20.00 |
| 5 th Born | 3 | 50.00 |  |  | 3 | 50.00 |
| $6 t h$ Born | 3 | 50.00 |  |  | 3 | 50.00 |
| 7 th Born | 2 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Sth Born | 2 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 9th Born | 1 | 100,00 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 th Born | 1 | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |

* 9 subjects did not reply
** $\quad$ l subject did not reply

In general, on the basis of these analyses, one can reach the fairly broad conclusion that there are differences,
however diffuse, among groups $D, P$, and $G$ in terms of sibling status. The graduates as a group were associate more than the dropouts with smaller famılies and with a rank of firstborn.

## Curriculum Change

When asked, "Did you change your curriculum while at Tech?", 64 dropouts answered in the affirmative, against 19 persisters and 28 graduates (Table XXI). Wren probed for reasons for the change, a wide variety of responses were given, which were categorized into ten ciosely related areas for convenience in reporting. (Tables $X X I \sim A, B$ and $C$ ).

TABLE XXI
Did You Change Your Curriculum While at Tech?

| Responses | $\frac{\text { Dropouts }}{\text { Number Percent }}$ | $\frac{\text { Persisters }}{\text { Number Percent }}$ | $\frac{\text { Graduates }}{\text { Number }} 1$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 64 | 52.46 | 18 | 31.03 | 28 |

An analysis of the data in Table XXI-A revealed that many of the reasons given reflected inadequate career counseling and lack of or loss of motivatior. By combining the reasons listed into areas of similarity, we find that
approximately 55 percent of the dropout problems were the results of a lack of awareness of the requirements of the curriculum or of the career for which they were preparing.

TABLE XXI-A
Summary of Dropouts Who Changed Curriculum

| Reasons | 5 | 7.81 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Could Not Meet Requirements | 14 | 21.87 |
| Did Not Want to Teach | 5 | 7.81 |
| Wanted to Teach | 5 | 7.81 |
| Sarcasm of Advisor | 2 | 3.13 |
| Friends' Influence | 5 | 7.81 |
| Broaden Educational Scope | 2 | 3.13 |
| Would Not be Good at Profession | 9 | 14.06 |
| More Job Opportunities | 15 | 23.44 |
| Lack of Interest | 2 | 3.13 |
| Advisor's Advice |  |  |

Table XXI-B revealed supporting evidence among the persisters, 58 percent of whom decided that they were involved in a discipline that did not fulfill their expectations for a satisfactory career.

TABLE XXI-B
Summary of Persisters Who Changed Curriculum

| Reasons | 2 | 10.53 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Desired 2-Year Certificate | 2 | 47.36 |
| Decided Not to Teach | 9 | 26.32 |
| More Job Opportunities | 5 | 5.26 |
| Deceased While Full-Time Student |  |  |
| Could Not Meet Requirements of |  |  |
| First Choice |  |  |

The vocational graduates provided additional evidence of misinformation concerning career choices. Approximately 80 percent of the graduates who transferred into vocational programs reported dissatisfaction with their first career choice (Table XXI-C).

TABLE XXI-C
Summary of Graduates who Changed Curriculum

| Reason | 3 | 10.71 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lack of Interest | 2 | 7.14 |
| Department Newly Created |  |  |
| More Job Opportunities |  |  |
| Could Not Meet Requirements <br> of First Choice | 22 | 78.58 |

```
Many of the remainirg casee ir Eroups \(\mathcal{E}\), Erd : Eave
```

"a lack $2 f$ inverest" as a reason for cirriculum shanse. It
could be argued that this loss of motivation in many
instances could be attributed to dissatisfaction with the
first career choice. Eviderce presented in these tables
provides strong support for improved career stidance and counseling services at all educational levels.

## Resignations

In response to the question, "Did you resign from Louisiana Tech while enrolled in a Vocational Education program?", 146 respondents answered "Yes" (Table XXII): Forty of these were persisters who continued their education in another curriculum or at another institution (Table XXII-A).

TABLE XXII
Subjects Who Resigned While in Vocational Education

| Responses | Dropouts |  | $\frac{\text { Persisters }}{\text { Number Percent }}$ |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 106 | 86.89 | 40 | 68.97 | 0 | 00.00 |
| No | 16 | 13.11 | 18 | 31.03 | 79 | 100.00 |

The variety of responses seemed to have little central tendency other than general dissatisfaction with college life and conditions over which they had little or no control (Tables XXII-A, $A_{1}, A_{2}$ ).

```
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TABLE XXII-A
Dropouts Who Resigned While in Vocational Education

| Reasons | Dropouts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent |
| Io Be Married | 28 | 26.42 |
| Join Military Service | 11 | 10.37 |
| Tired of Studying and School | 10 | 9.43 |
| Financial | 9 | 8.49 |
| Moved with Family | 7 | 6.60 |
| dob Offer | 6 | 5.66 |
| Pregnancy | 6 | 5.66 |
| (Not Doing Weil) Disappointed | 5 | 4.72 |
| Completed 2 -Year Secretarial | 4 | 3.77 |
| Not Suited for College | 3 | 2.83 |
| Prolonged Iliness | 3 | 2.83 |
| Didn't Like College | 3 | 2.83 |
| Boyfriend in Another College | 3 | 2.83 |
| Father Disabled--Had to Work | 2 | 1. 89 |
| Commuting Too Far | 2 | 1.89 |
| Suspended | 2 | 1.89 |
| Refused Reason | 2 | 1.89 |

```
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Table XXII-A3 briefly summarizes the graduates' achievements beyond minimum degree requirements.

TABLE XXII-A ${ }_{3}$
Summary of Graduates' Achievements, By Sex

|  | Graduates <br> Male |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Achievements |  |

Sixteen dropouts resigned from Tech aiter chene"ine" curriculums and reported a variety of reasons tinaz :reemed to have little relationship wi=h the quality of the procrams in which they were enrolled.

The overall impression of thess data is one of a lack of motivation and dissatisfaction with career choices.

## Reflections on Resignations

When queried regarding their satisfaction with the decision to terminate their education, 63 percent of those who did not ask for transcripts indicated that their decision was sound (Table XXIII).

TABLE XXIII
Summary of Dropouts Reflections on Resignations

| Summary of Responses |  | S |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Num | Percent |
| Financial | 23 | 18.85 |  |  |
| Job Opportunities | 114 | 11.49 |  |  |
| Tack of Motivation | 12 | 9.84 |  |  |
| Lack of Guidance | 12 | 9.84 |  |  |
| Married | 11 | 9.02 |  |  |
| Vague Career Objectives | 5 | 4.09 |  |  |
| Plans to Complete Degree Requirements: |  |  |  |  |
| Necessary for Carcer Advancement |  |  | 35 | 28.69 |
| For Self Satisfaction |  |  | 10 | 8.18 |

Thinty-sever percent reported dissaiisfaction uith theis decision to terminate and indicated that they would retarn to complete degree requirements when circumstances permitted. Table XXIII shows the results of these analyses.

Comparison of Subject's Awareness of and Approval of Career Education Concepts

It was not surprising to discover that a majority of vocationally oriented students were aware of the basic concepts of career education. As might be expected, the graduates and those who stayed in school longest were better informed in regards to career education than those who dropped out early in the period selected for study. (Table XXIV).

TABLE XXIV
Comparison of the Subject's Awareness of the Trend Toward Career Education


Subjects who reported no knowledge of the program were asked to read the short statement accompanying Table XXIV-A.

Following a brief dionamo: of the concept, they were asked to give their opinon as to $1: 5$ waide ir a secondary sobon program. Here again, it was no surprise that persons with a vocational backgrown gave overwhelming erdorsemert for career orientation a"tivitues at all leveis of the edi.. cationai system,

The graduates reported a shisht y more positire artı. tude toward the concepts of areer education.

TABLE XXIV•OA
Career Education Wonld be Bereficiai to Stiodents (*Read to Subject beforf Question Was Asked)

| Resporises | Dropogts |  | Nomber |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ïes | 160 | 88.89 | 78 | 98.73 |
| No | - | 3.89 | C | 0.00 |
| No Opinion | $4 \%$ | 28 | 200: | 1.27 |
| Probakly | 9 | 5.00 | 0 | 0.00 |

*The Fundamertal comet of career education is that all education experiences, curimum, instrwition, and oureeiing should be geared to prefaration for ecoromio urdeperderice and an appreciation for tife dignity of work.

Beginning in eariy fiildiood and mi. ail grades as he moves through schooi, the hind inoreases his famiriarity with the world of work and acquires krowiedge necessary to obtain meaningful emp-oyment lipon learirg sorool.
*: Deceased while stial fuiz-time studert - i
*क: Deceased soon after grsduatior:

Effectiveress of Coliege Recruiting
With the proinferation of four-year institutions of higher education in the state, recruiting activities have assumed positions of increasing importance in college administration.

Of the 258 subjects of this research 50 percent reported thet they had latterded one or more recruiting meetings, either on their high school campus or by invitation, on the college campus (Table XXV).

TABLE XXV
Did You Attend a Coliege Recruiting Program - on Campus or in Your High School?

| Responses | Dropouts |  | Fersisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 63 | 66.32 | 30 | 52.63 | 40 | 50.63 |
| No | 32 | 33.68 | 27 | 47.37 | 39 | 49.37 |

Twentyothree percent of the dropouts indicated that recruiting activities had affected their choice of college as compared to 12 percent of the successful graduates. The persisters occupied a middle position but were more ciosely aligned with the dropouts. This would seem to indicate a lack of positive commitment to a career choice among group $D$ and $P$ respondents. (See Table $X X V-A_{0}$ )

TABLE XXV-A
Did This Affect Your Choice of College?

| Responses | Dropouts |  | Persisters <br> Number Percen |  | Graduates. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numb | Percent |  |  | Numb | Percent |
| Y:s | 22 | 23.66 | 6 | 20.00 | 5 | 12.50 |
| No | 70 | 75.27 | 24 | 80.00 | 30 | 75.00 |
| Possibly | 1 | 1.07 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 12.50 |

This trend is also evident in those who reported that recruiting activities affected their choice of curricilum. (See Table XXV-B). However, the degree of difference is not as evident as in Table XXV-A.

TABLE XXV-B
Did This Affect Your Choice of Curriculum?

Dropouts Persisters Graduates Responses Number Percent Number Percent Number Peront

|  | 15 | 16.13 | 2 | 6.67 | 4 | 10.81 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 76 | 81.72 | 28 | 93.33 | 31 | 84.78 |
| Possibly | 2 | 2.15 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 5.41 |

This, and other evidence reported in Table I, indicates that early commitment to a college and career choice is a reliatle predictor of success in college.

When questioned regarding the effectiveness of college recruiting, $d l l$ groups reported favorably in support of recruiting activities with groups $P$ and $G$ expressing siightly more confidence (Table XXV-C).

TABLE XXV-C
Do You Feel That College Recruiting Activities are Effective?

Dropouts $\quad$ Persisters Graduates Responses Number Percent $\overline{\text { Number Percent }} \overline{\text { Number Percent }}$

| Yes | 63 | 51.64 | 38 | 65.52. | 50 | 63.29 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 19 | 15.57 | 12 | 20.69 | 19 | 24.05 |
| Possibly | 6 | 4.92 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| No Answer | 34 | 27.87 | 8 | 13.79 | 10 | 12.66 |

The respondents favored visiting the college campus rather than college personnel coming to their schools, as shown in Table XXV-D. These observations appear valid and should be of interest to those assisting youth with problems associated with higher education and career orientation.

A number of students remarked that they would have liked to visit more than one department while on the campus. TABLE XXV-D
Why You Feel College Recruiting
Activities Are Effective TABLE XXV-D
Why You Feel College Recruiting
Activities Are Effective TABLE XXV-D
Why You Feel College Recruiting
Activities Are Effective

| Reasons | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Familiarizing Potential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student With College |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environment | 48 | 47.53 | 19 | 50.00 | 16 | 32.00 |
| Broader Knowledge of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curricular Choices | 13 | 12.87 | 1 | 2.63 | 13 | 26.00 |
| Aids in Decision Making | 26 | 25.74 | 13 | 34.21 | 9 | 18.00 |
| Liaison Between Counselor and Parents | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 8.00 |
| Impresses Potential <br> Students | 4 | 3.96 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 6.00 |
| Stimulates Interest in College | 2 | 1.98 | 1 | 2.63 | 2 | 4.00 |
| No Response | 8 | 7.92 | 4 | 10.53 | 3 | 6.00 |

Twenty-nine of the 51 graduates who did not attend a recruiting activity leported that they felt it would have been helpful to them.

## Vocational Counseing

There have been numerous indications throughout this study of a lack of and a need for counseling at the col.legiate level. A significant number of students matriculate at Louisiana Tech with only vague ideas of their educational and career objectives. Fourteen percent of the dropouts and 20 percent of the graduates listed as their objective "to get a degree" or some nebulous aspiration too vague to classify (Table XXVI)。

TABLE XXVI
Career Objective at Time of Coliege Entry

| Objectives | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Iraduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Teaching | 77 | 62.60 | 48 | 84.21 | 57 | 73.08 |
| Home Economist | 3 | 2.44 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.28 |
| Marketing | 2 | 1.63 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 3.85 |
| Secretary | 22 | 17.89 | 2 | 3.51 | 1 | 1.28 |
| Obtain Degree | 6 | 4.88 | 2 | 3.51 | 4 | 5.13 |
| Social Benefits | 2 | 1.63 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.28 |
| Vague | 11 | 8.94 | 5 | 8.77 | 11 | 14.10 |

These students are in obvious need of counseling services. Thirty-one percent of the dropouts and 24 percent of the persisters sought counseling at Louisiana Tech ("ableXXVII).

TABLE XXVII
Did You Seek Counseling in College?

| Responses | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 56 | 31.00 | 14. | 24.56 | 24 | 30.38 |
| No | 67 | 69.00 | 43 | 75.44 | 51 | 64.56 |
| No Answer | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 5.06 |

Of this number, 86 percent of the dropouts and 50 percent of the persisters reported that they had benefited from the counseling process (Table XXVII-A).

TARLE XXVII-A
Do You Feel That Counseling Was Helpful?

| Responses | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Yes | 48 | 86.00 | 7 | 50.00 | 18 | 75.00 |
| No | 6 | 10.00 | 4 | 28.57 | 5 | 20.83 |
| No Answer | 2 | 4.00 | 3 | 21.43 | 1 | 4.17 |

Indications are that, even though counseling at the college level is desirable and necessary, this service should begin earlier in the educational system. students should enter college with clearly defined areas of interest and definite career objectives for the greatest chance to succeed. They recognize the dangers of uncertainty in career choices and reflect this feeling in Table XXVII-B.

TABLE XXVII-B
Recommended Education Level for Counseling

| Responses | Dropouts |  | $\frac{\text { Persisters }}{\text { Number Percent }}$ |  | $\frac{G r}{\text { Numb }}$ | $\frac{\text { uates }}{\text { Percent }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary | 3 | 3.00 | 2 | 4.35 | 1 | 1.56 |
| .Junior High | 21 | 21.00 | 5 | 10.87 | 4 | 6.25 |
| High School | 65 | 63.00 | 31 | 67.39 | 51 | 79.69 |
| College | 7 | 7.00 | 2 | 4.35 | 8 | 12.50 |
| All Levels | 6 | 6.00 | 6 | 13.04 | 0 | 0.00 |

An overwhelming majority of all groups recommended counseling prior to college entry. High school is clearly indicated as the time students want and need counseling services the most. The response was uniform among all groups.

## Aptitude Testing

Aptitude testing in high school was not considered of major importance by any of the groups reporting.

As might be expected, groups 7 and $P$ reported more favorable attitudes than group G (Table XXVIII).

TABLE XXVIII
Attitude Toward Aptitude Testing in High School

| Responses | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfavorable | 59 | 47.97 | 37 | 64.91 | 52 | 65.82 |
| Favorable | 59 | 47.97 | 20 | 35.09 | 25 | 31.65 |
| No Answer | 5 | 4.06 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 2.53 |

An examination of the comments accompanying these rew ports indicates that the test would be of more value if properly evaluated with the student. Of those reporting, 6.33 percent remarked that they were not informed of the test results.

Aptitude tests, properly evaluated with the student by qualified persons, should be of considerable importance in counseling activities.

## Study Habits

When questioned concerning the usefulness of a program in college for developing study habits, none of the groups were particularly receptive. However, $\ddot{O} 0.28$ percent of.the dropouts did report that such a prcoram might be useful as
compared to 38.6 percent of the persisters and 50 percent of the graduates (Table XXIX).

TABLE XXIX
Opinions Concerning Usefulness of a Program
For Developing Study Habits

Dropouts Persisters Graduates
Responses $\overline{\text { Number Percent }} \overline{\text { Number Percent }} \overline{\text { Number Percent }}$

| Yes | 90 | 50.28 | 22 | 38.60 | 39 | 50.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 32 | 49.72 | 35 | 61.40 | 39 | 50.00 |

Many respondents felt that studying was a personal "thing" and that each student should develop and adopt a system that worked for him.

## Knowledge of Job and

Curriculum Requirements
Of considerable surprise in this study was the fact
that approximately one-fourth of the subjects had little or no knowledge of the job requirements for their chosen field.

The graduates reported significantly less informed than the dropouts and persisters; $43.59,19.35$ and 21.05 percent, respectively (Table XXX).

TABLE XXX
Were You Aware of the Job Requirements of Your Chosen Profession?

| Responses | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percer.t |
| Yes | 88 | 72.13 | 45 | 78.95 | 44 | 56.4.- |
| No | 28 | 22.95 | 12 | 21.05 | 21 | 26.92 |
| Vaguely | 6 | 4.92 | 0 | 0.00 | 13 | 16.67 |

This seems to support the thesis reported earlier in the study (Table II) that students from college oriented families tend to excel in completing their education successfilly. when compared to other groups.

Equally surprising were the number of respondents in each group who were not aware of the requirements of their curriculums when they enrolied in college (Table XXXi).

TABLE XXXI
Were You Aware of the Requiremenis of Your Chosen Curricuilum?

| Responses | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percert |
| Yes | 97 | 79.51 | 48 | 84.21 | 67 | 85.90 |
| No | 23 | 18.85 | 9 | 15.79 | 9 | 11.54 |
| Vagueiy | 2 | 1.64 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 2.56 |

In this category the graduates report more favorably than the dropouts and persisters but even here, approximately 14 percent of the graduates admit having little or no knowledge of the requirements of their curriculum.

There appear to be strong implications here for intenaive guidance and counseling services in the secondary schools. However, it must be remembered that group G, generally had entered college earlier than the dropouts, many of whom had earned only a few hours late in the period under examination. A considerable number of the graduates remarked that when they completed high school there was not as much emphasis on guidance and "career days" as developed in later years. This appears valid, in that persons who graduated during the first year under study could have been four or more years older than the freshmen who dropped out the first semester of the same year. (See college averages, page 21.)

## Marital Status of Subjects

As was expected, marital problems exerted strong influence on students' success or lack of success in college. Sixty-seven percent of the combined total of groups D and $P$ reported that marriage, pregnancy, birth of children, or divorce contributed in a significant manner to their decision to withdraw (Table XXXII).

TABLE XXXII
Do You Feel That Marriage Influenced Your Decisior to Withdraw from Tech and/or Vocational Education:


Even though group $G$ were statistically more involved in marriage and its subsequent problems than group $D$, they managed to arrive at satisfactory solutions and continue ir. school (Table XXXII-A).

TABLE XXXII-A
Marital Status of Subjects

| Married: | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbe | Percent | Number | Percent | Numb | Percent |
| Before College | 16 | 13.11 | 5 | 8.77 | 8 | 10.13 |
| While Attending | 29 | 23.77 | 5 | 8.77 | 2.1 | 26.58 |
| After Leaving | 45 | $36.89$ | 13 | 22.81 | 31 | 39.24 |
| Divorced While Attending | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.27 |
| Widowed | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.75 | 0 | 0.00 |

Although a large majority of the chjildren involved were born after the parents resigned from school, many pregnancies occurred during their time of enrollment, necessitating the prospective parents' withdrawil (Table XXXIII).

TABLE XXXIII
Parental Status of Subjects

| Children | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbe | Percent |  | rcent | Numb | Percent |
| Before College | 3 | 2.46 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 5.06 |
| While Attending | 7 | 5.74 | 1 | 1.75 | 3 | 3.80 |
| After <br> Leaving | 42 | 34.43 | 3 | 5.26 | $\cdots 12$ | 15.19 |

It has been determined in this study that attrition is heaviest among members of large families. It might be assumed that the parents of the dropouts were not able to provide the additional finances required to care for a family and to remain in school.

## Grade Point Average and Ability

In response to the question, "Did your grade point average reflect your college ability?" a considerable number of all groups answered "No". (Table XXXIV).


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { TAELE MXXIV } \\
\text { Does Your Grade Poínt Averaze Reflect } \\
\text { Your College Ability? }
\end{gathered}
$$

| Responses | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 29 | 23.77 | 27 | 46.55 | 28 | 35.44 |
| No | 89 | 72.95 | 29 | 50.00 | 49 | 62.03 |
| No Answer | 4 | 3.28 | 2 | 3.45 | 2 | 2.53 |

Of those replying in the affirmative, the dropouts were more reluctant than the persisters and graduates to admit that they had performed as well as they could have.

This did not come as a surprise, since few people care to admit that they did their best and failed as a consequence.

The reasons for failure, given in the order of their
frequency, were:
Lack of interest
Did not study
Did not try
Tired of school
Discrepancies in grading procedure
Became nervous taking examináions
Not college material

A casual examination of the four most frequently mentioned reasons for failure leaves the impression that all the items could be attributed to a lack of motivation on the part of the student.

There was a feeling among the research team throughout the study that there was an attitude of almost complete indifference evident among those who failed to complete their college programs. Some seemed to verge on a feeiing of hostility toward highor education in general. Similar, though less pronounced, attitudes were evident among members of groups $G$ and $P$, even though their college careers had apparently been successful and free from major problems.

The researchers reported apparent tendencies among the dropouts to "downgrade" themselves and their abilities and accept their present statusinlife as normal for themselves.

## SECTI: III

GAEEEE ALTEMATIUES

Data accumulated in this study indicate that up to
40 percent of college vocational eduration students do not. accomplish their initial objectuve; that of obtaining a degree in vocational teacher education. Other data confirm that these dropouts are at a considerable disadvantage when they enter the job market with few skills to offer. Evidence has been. presented that suggests that potential dropouts can be identified through definitive counseling activities conducted by trained personnel through all levels of the educational process, particliiarly secondary school and the freshman year in college.

Dropouts are handicapped persons. Their primary need is to acquire the necessary competencies, through specially designed courses, to succeed in the world of work. They recognized the problem and suggested a partial solution. Approximavely one-third of the dropouts reported that they were, or would have been, interested in vocational-technical careers of less than college grade had they been readily available (Table XXXV).

```
    Woula vou Have Considered Yocational Trairiñ
    of Less Than College Level:
```

| Before <br> Entering <br> Tech? | $\frac{\text { Dropouts }}{\text { Number Percent }}$ | $\frac{\text { Persisters }}{\text { Number Percent }}$ |  | Graduates <br> Number Percent |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 34 | 27.87 | 13 | 22.81 | 6 | 7.69 |
| No | 88 | 72.13 | 37 | 64.91 | 72 | 92.31 |
| No Answer | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 12.28 | 0 | 0.00 |

After
Leaving
Dropouts
Persisters
Graduates
Tech?
Number Percent
Number Percent Number Percent

| Yes | 34 | 27.87 | 4 | 7.02 | 9 | 11.54 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 88 | 72.13 | 45 | 78.95 | 69 | 88.46 |
| No Answer | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 14.03 | 0 | 0.00 |


| Now? | Dropouts |  | Persisters |  | Graduates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent, | Number | Percent | Number | Percont |
| Yes | 31 | 25.41 | 3 | 5.26 | 14 | 17.95 |
| No | 91. | 74.59 | 54 | 94.74 | 64 | 82.05 |

The dropouts reporied ion calaries (Table Mavi), limited opporturities for advarcemeñ, ir: tabilicy sí employment and Eeneral dissatigfaここion aith the tape af work engaged ir as major sources of irritation. Eiftythree percent of the dropouts and 32 percent of the persisters agreed that vocational~technical trairire of less than a degree program would have been a financial asset to them in their presert jcbs.

TABLE XXXVI

## Wage Scale of Subjects

Scale $\quad$ Dropouts

| Under $\$ 5,000$ | 37 | 31.62 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 5,999 \end{gathered}$ | 16 | 13.68 | 5 | 33.33 | 16 | 26.67 |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 6,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 6,999 \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 12.82 | 4 | 26.67 | 17 | 28.33 |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 7,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 7,999 \end{gathered}$ | 14 | 11.97 | 2 | 13.33 | 20 | 33.33 |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 8,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 9,999 \end{gathered}$ | 26 | 22.22 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 10.00 |
| \$10,000 to 415,000 | 9 | 7.69 | 4 | 26.67 | 1 | 1.67 |

```
    Programs Iisted as areas of major inverest, arranged
according to popularity :tere:
    Secretarial
    Data Processing
    Agricultural Technology
    Welding
    Auto body repair
    Construction Technology
    Personnel Management
    Electricity
    Nursing
    Cosmetology
Accounting
Vocational..Technical Programs
    on College Campuses
    Considerable interest has been shown by college
administrators in recent years regarding the desirability
of developing vocational-technical programs of less than
baccalaureate degree level on oollege campuses. Legislative
bodies; faced with rising costs and waiting lists at the
area vocational-technical schools, are also considering this
possibility.
```

The subjects of this research were asked to express their opinion as to the desirability of associate degree programs on the college campus (Table XXXVII).

TASLE MOTI

```
Summary of upinion of Tocautonal-Iechnical
    Training on College campus
```



Dropouts
Responses Number Percent Numi or Percent Number Percent

| Yes | 80 | 65.57 | 30 | 51.73 | 60 | 75.95 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nc | 23 | 18.85 | 19 | 32.76 | 16 | 20.25 |
| No Answer | 6 | 4.92 | 1 | 1.72 | 2 | 2.53 |
| Not Sure | 13 | 10.66 | 8 | 13.79 | 1 | 1.27 |

The responses were overwhelmingly in favor of such a plan. They listed as advantages such things as better facijities, more competent teacher, "more prestige" and the opportunity to be with friends.

These data present a strong endorsement for vocationaltechnical programs from a group who have had recent post-secondary educational experiences.


## Sumary

This research corcerred itself primarily with seven
specific objectives:
(1) To ocate former Louisiana Tech University students who failed to complete their college program in vocat.onal education.
(2) To interview the dropouts in crder to secure their opinions as to what actions the university might have taken to prevent their failure.
(3) To determine their present occupational status and level of educational achievement.
(4) To accumilate and evaluate personal background information that might influence success or failure in college.
(5) To evaluate the importance 0 vocational guidance functions in career orientation.
(6) To secure the subjects' opinion on several aspects of vocational and career education of less than college grade.
(7) To develop criteria by which potential drojouts might be identified by a "dropout profile" as determined from data collected.

The subjects of this: areh were fuil-tion südents who interrupted their proeram in Jocãional inerioulzure, Home Economics, ard Eusiness Educauion at Louisiana Tech University during the academic years 1966-6́7, 1967-68, 1968-63, 1969-70 and 1970-71.

One hundred and eighty, the total number of dropouts from the three curricula involved, were included in the study.

As a basis for comparison, 79 persons who graduated successfully during the same five-year period were randomly selected to participate in the sampling. One hundred percent $\circ \hat{f}$ those meeting the specifications above were contacted. Forty-one of the dropouts represent Agriculture, 35 Home Economics, anci 104 Business Education. Of the 79 who successfully completed their programs, 15 represented Agriculture, 36 Home Economics, and 28 Business Education.

The basic procedure used in the interpretation of data is that of comparison. The groups being compared are: (1) the group that continued in vocational programs until graduat:in and (2) the group that dropped out of the vocational programs. The latter group consisted of two sub-groups: those that transferred to another degree program or to another institution (the persisters), and those who did not. In the interpretation process, the persisters have been given considerable attention as a group of major
interest, औonever, this Eroup has ros Eeen uompareci to ine

 Eraduates witr (三) the total dropoit Eroip and (a) vie ant Eroup of dropouts called the persisters. In some cases eaci: Eroup will be showr as a simple percentace of the total.

In areas where a more careful analysie tinan simple percentage difierences was desirable, the "t" test and chisquare were the principal techniques used. In the area of relationships: there were some situations in this analysjs that were suited to some specialized procedures. The one that was of primary value was the coefficient of contingerey. This is a relatively crude measure, frequently associated with the chi-square procedure. In several situations, both shi-square and coefficient of cormingency (C) are shown. Since the terms "Graduates", "Dropout " and "Persisters" were used continuously in this study, the groups were identified with the initials $G, D$ and $P$.

## Locating the Subjects

It was d $d$ scovered that the subjects were a highly mobile group, widely dispersed, with numerous changes of address and difficult to locate. It was necessary to contact many of the subjects while at their ferents' home on brief military leave or an irfrequent family visit. It was necessary in numerous instances to employ some person





Intervinine the bubiests
The data in this research were collected through
persone- iñerviews with each subject by professional ow
traired surveyors. The interview was rade in the subject'i
home, place $\cap f$ business or other convenient place derinated by the interviewee. Former teachers of the respondent, guidance counselors, members of the research staff and other professional people were used whenever possible. In cases where s!dbjects were located in distant states, long distance telephone calls were made, or if subjects were overseas, parents were asked to secure the information.

Personal data were secured from the subjects' high school records anu college transcripts. Other pertinent data were obtained by interview and recorded on a comprehensive questionnaire designed for this study.

## Subjects' Present Occupational and Educational Status

Of the 180 persons considered dropruts at the beginning of the stuciy, fifty-seven were reclassified as "persisters", in that they continued their education at another institution




```
institutions. A variety of reasors :Gere gryer こ=r ine
change, rost of mich vere for corvenierce sate and had
little bearing on tife quanity of offerirgs at icuisiara jesin.
More than one-half of these indicated a lack of information
concerning the requirements of their zurricilum or of ineir
career choice as reasors for the change.
```

The 122 who resigned from Louisiana Tech and did not continue in school elsewhere gave a number of reasons that had little to do with academic considerations at Tech. Marriage, pregnancy, financial and military obligations accounted for 51 percent of these casualities. Fifteen percent gave reasons amounting to lack of motivation and disinterest. Sixty-three percent of the dropouts indicated at the time of the interview that their decision to resign from college was sound, indicating that they had rio alternative. Thirty-seven percent reported dissatisfaction with their decision to terminate and indicated that they would returr to complete degree requirements when cir bances permitted.

The dropouts reported low salaries, limited opportunities for advancement, instability of employment and




```
than $5,000 per year livin rore of the graduate: revoreire
in this category. Eignt vercent of the dropouts reported
a salary of 27,000-$7,909 as compared to 33 percent of the
graduates. Five percent of the dropouts reported earnires
of $l0,000 to $15,000 with only one graduate reportine a
similar income.
```

Personal Data Influencing Success or Failure in College
High school records and college transcripts were obtained for each subject of this research. Additional information of a personal nature was secured during the interview in an attempt to gain insight into those characteristics which might influence success or failure in college.

A summary of the findings between graduates and dropouts and between graduates and persisters is presented in Tables XXXVIII and XXXIX. A study of Table XXXVIII will reveal that there was a significant difference between graduates and dropouts on six characteristics: high school averaga, college average, size of high school, occupational classification of father, source of financing education and composite ACT score. There was no significant difference

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\because M n E r y$ of $\because$ indinza Eetween sraduates End Drosout |  |
| Craracteristios | サこのdinEs |
| \＃igh School Arerase | ＂t＂test significant at．Ol level |
| College Average | ＂t＂test significant at ．O1 ievei |
| Rural－Urban Background | Cni－square indicated no significant difference between groups |
| Size of High School | Chi－square indicated a significant difference at ．Ol level |
| Occupational Classi－ fication of Fathe：s | Chı‥square indicated a significant difference at ．Ol level |
| Source of Financing Education | Chi～square significant at .05 level of confidence |
| Club Offices held in High School | Chi－square indicated no siEnificant difference among groups |
| Composite Scr：es on ACT | ＂t＂test not significant |
| SCAT：Verrbal | ＂t＂test not significant |
| Quantitative | ＂t＂test not significant |
| Total | ＂t＂test not significant |

Findings between graduates and persisters are presented in Table XXXIX．A study of this table will reveal a sig－ nificant difference between these two groups on only three
factors: high school average, source of financing education, and club offices held in high school. These results show clearly that there are greater differences between graduates and dropouts than between graduates and persisters.

TABLE XXXIX
Summary of Findings Between Graduates and Persisters

| Characteristics | Findings |
| :---: | :---: |
| High School Average | "t" test significant at .Ol level |
| College Average | "t" test not significant |
| Rural-Urban Background | Chi-square indicated no significant difference between groups |
| Size of High School | Chi-square indicated no significant differerice among groups |
| Occupational Classification of Fathers | Chi-square indicated no significant difference among groups |
| Source of Financing Education | Chi*square significant at . 05 level of confidence |
| Club Offices held in High School | Chi-square significant at . 05 level |
| Composite Scores on ACT | "t" test not significant |

The results also reveal characteristics that seem to be related to success in vocational curricula at Louisiana Tech University. These characteristics are: high school average,
college average, size of high school, occupation of father, and source of financing.

While not entirely conclusive, several other interest-
ing facts were revealed:
The "only child" occupies a perilous position academically. Forty-five percent of this group failed to complete their curriculum.

The oldest child in the family enjoys a high degree of success in college. Only ten percent of this group failed to complete their programs.

An early decision to attend college favors graduation. Fifty percent of the graduates had made the decision prior to Junior High School.

Representatives of large families (6-12 children) exhibit high rates of attrition.

Marriage and its related problems exert strong influence on college success. Sixtyseven percent of the combined total of groups $D$ and $P$ reported these as contributing to their decision to withdraw.

A strong need and desire for career guidance and counseling was noted throughout the study. Although only one-fourth of the dropouts sought counseling services, their responses to the questionnaire indicated a definite need for guidance in career orientation.

A clear majority of groups D, P and G agreed that college recruiting activities were desirable and effective. They were of the opinion that such activities would be more acceptable if conducted on the college campuses.

All groups gave overwhelming endorsement for the concepts of Career Education.

A substantial majority of all groups favored vocational-technical programs of less than baccalaureate level on the college campuses.

## Conclusions and Recommendations

A substantial number of students had made the decision
to attend college prior to high school ertry.

Local school systems should investigate the possibilities of developing programs of career education in grades $K$ through 12.

More than one-half of the dropouts, 58 percent of the persisters and 80 percent of the graduates who transferred into vocational education curricula, reported dissatisfaction with their first career choice.

Local school boards should make every effort to increase the scope and effectiveness of guidance and counseling services at all levels of primary and secondary education.

One hundred forty-six subjects reported that they resigned from college while enrolled in vocational education programs, citing reasons indicating loss of motivation and misconceptions concerning the requirements of their curricuia and career choices.

University administrators should explore a variety of methods of expanding and individualizing counseling services for students, especially during the freshman year.

An impressive majority of successful college students list their parents as the motivating force for their decision to attend college.

Parents of potential college students should insist that the local schools provide complete career orientation and counseling services for all students.

High School averages are reliable predictors of success in college.

Parents, high school principaIs, counselors, and college advisors should assist these students in making realistic curricula and career choices.

ACT scores are not reliable predictors of success in
college for gifted students.

Parents and school personnel at all educational levelr should make special efforts to motivate, challange and assist superior students in planning careers beyond high school.

Marriage and its subsequent responsibilities contribute significantly to the rate of attrition.

Students should consider delaying marriage until graduation unless satisfactory financial and living adjustments can be arranged.

A substantial number of students endorse the establishment of vocational-technical programs of less than baccalaureate degree level on college campuses.

Governing boards and university administrators should investigrte the feasibility of developing associate degree programs within the framework of higher education systems.

The data accumulated in this study revealed that many students enter college because it is "expected of them". They lack positive motivation and have no clear objectives to orient their post-secordary occupational Ereparation.

Guicance personnel in secondary schools should make special efforts to identify these students and assist them in developing career choices that are best suited to their interests, needs and abilities.

College students are subjected to much of the stress and frustrations of adults who are occupied with problems of excelling in their respective businesses or professions. Success in the world of work is a nebulous, elusive goal, demanding much in the way of initiative, innovation, imagination and sincere effort. The rewards are commensurate with the applications of these qualities by aggressive achievers. Success and achieveriont with their subsequent satisfaction are challenges for greater effort and become justification for higher achievement. This is not always true of the college student's experiences.

Public institutions of higher education must by necessity conduct programs characterized by a certain degree of homogeneity. It follows that these programs often do not challenge the superior student to his best effort or reward him with a high sense of accomplishment and satisfaction.

While not challenging the superior student to achieve to his capacity, programs may be beyond the grasp of the marginal student. The pace, geared to the homogeneous miridle group, is often too fast for complete assimilation by the less gifted student. The resuits are disappointment, frustration, failure and eventual withdrawal from school with its attendant trauma.

Data compiled in this study lend credence to this assumption. The successful graduates had the lowest mean ACT Score of the three groups, but were a much more homogeneous body, with a standard deviation of only 4.

Students are complex creatures. Variables are numerous and often defy classification. An attempt to develop a student dropout profile is a statistical ossessment of a group of individuals at one particular point in time. Outside influences exert varying pressures at different times under different conditions. Reactions to outer forces at one moment in time are not constant over an extended period. Hence, any firm statement describing the characteristics of a dropout would be subject to challenge.

Profile of a Dropout
Based upon the relatively small population sample represented in this study, it would appear that students whose educational achievements deviate significantly from the population norm are poor academic risks.

Students from Iaree families vivi mareinal financial resources are less likely to succeed in a college progrew than those from swaller famılies uith adequate resources.

Individuals Whth vasue or unrealistic career objectives are likely victims of attrition.

An "only child" has a high propensity for failure in a college proEram.

Marriage and its subsequent problems reduce a student's probability for success in college.

The absence of positive motivation for achievement contributes to failure in academic programs.

Graduates of smaller high schools are greater academic risks statistically than those from larger high schools.

Assuming these observations to be valid, a student exhibiting one or more of the above characteristics could be idertified as dropout prone and possibly in need of individual guidance and counseling services.

## APPENDIX I

## DATE FFOM HIGH SCHOOL AND COLIEGE RECORDS



Parent or Guardian $\qquad$
Parent's Occupation $\qquad$
Parent's Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Telephone No. $\qquad$

High School From Which Graduated $\qquad$
Date $\qquad$
Size of High School
Population of Municipality


ACT Score SCAT Score $\qquad$
Date of First Entrance at Tech $\qquad$
Date Graduated __ Date Withdrew $\qquad$
Pursued Average __ Earned Average $\qquad$
Total Hours Pursued $\qquad$
Total Hours Earned $\qquad$

```
GUESNION..N:EE
```

Hane of 3ubjeet $\qquad$ Sex $\qquad$
Name of Respordent $\qquad$ Reletion $\qquad$
Address of Respondent $\qquad$

1. Did you participate in any competitive events in hich school? (If "yes") EOW many? $\qquad$
2. In how many school organizations were you an active member? $\qquad$
3. Were you an officer in any studert organization in high schcoi? (If "yes") How mary? $\qquad$
4. Were you employed during the school year while you were in high school? $\qquad$ Ir college? $\qquad$
5. At what stage in life did you decide to atterd college?
$\qquad$

6. What prompted you to make this decision try to determine if he was pressured by parents, counselors, friends, etc.) $\qquad$
7. Why did you decide to atterd Louisiana Tech? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
8. How was your college education firanced? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
9. Did you attend a college recruiting program on campus or in your high sehool? $\qquad$
A. (If "yes", ask) Did this program affect your choice of college? $\qquad$
B. (If "yes", ask) Did this program affect your choice of curriculum? $\qquad$
10. Do you feel that college recruiting activities are effective? Yes Why? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
l1. Do you feel that aptitude testing in high school would have helped direct your career choice? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
11. Do you feel that vocational counseling would have been helpful during your educational career? $\qquad$ A. (If "yes") At what stage(s): a) elementary; b) junior high; c) high school; d) college?
12. Did you seek courseing in cilifee: A. (If "YES") Do you feez It そas Meipfil foym? ___
13. What were yoidr career objectuts unon entering a rocauzonal training area et Fects $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
14. Were you aware of the requirements of your chosen currieulan?
15. Were you aware of the job requirements of your shosen profession wher you entered college?
16. Did you change your currichium while at. Tech? $\qquad$ A. (If "yes") Reasons for change


$\qquad$
17. Did you resign from Tcch whale in Vocational Education? A. (If "yes") Reasons for resignation $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
B. (If "no") Did you resign from Tech after changing your curriculum? (Probe for reasons) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
18. Do you row think thes decision was mise? $\qquad$ Thy? $\qquad$
19. Would you have fourd a program for developing study habits helpful?
20. Have jou graduated from a college? $\qquad$
A. (If "yes") What college? $\qquad$
B. (If graduated: What contributed to your suocess?
21. Are you currently enrolled in Tech? $\qquad$
(If subject has not graduated and has left Teck, ask)
Do you now attend another college?
Yes $\qquad$ (If "Ges", ass 22 A , oniy)
No (If "no", ask $22 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ )
A. In Vocational Education? Yes ___ No $\qquad$
Bn What circumstances made you decide to stop your
formal education? $\qquad$
C. Would you select the same curriculum upon re-entry?
___ (If "no") Which curriculum would you select?

Why?
D. What is your present employment? $\qquad$
.at type of position do yc pian to be holding in ten years, or so? $\qquad$
24. Would you have considered vocational training of less than college level before entering Tech? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ After leaving Tech? Yes___ No___ Now? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
25. What type of nonwdegree vocational program would you have considered if any had been available to you? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
26. (If subject has not graduated from college, ask) Do you feel that graduation from college or a vocational training program of less than college level would be a financial asset to you? .__(If "yes") In what way?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
27. Do you feel that vocational and technical training of less than a degree program would be more acceptable if offered on a college campus? (Probe) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
28. Do you feel your grade-point average indicates your college ability?

29. Are you aware of the trend toward career education?*
(Read to subject, then ask No. 30)

* The fundamental concept of career education is that all educational experiences, curriculum, instruction, and counseling should be geared to preparation for economic independence and an appreciation for the dignity of work.

Beginning in early childhood and in all grades as he moves through sihool, the child increases his familiarity with the world of work and acquires knowledge necessary to obtain meaningful employment upon leaving school.
30. Do you feel this type of education would be beneficial to students? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
31. Number of siblings in family $\qquad$ - Your rank $\qquad$
32. What was the last grade of school your mother finished, including special courses like art or secretarial and so on? $\qquad$
33. What was the last grade of school your father finished, including special courses like radio repair or welding and so on? $\qquad$
34. Were your parents residing together when you entered Tech? (If "yes", ask 35; if "no", go to 36).
35. Were your parents residing together witen yo: withdrew from Vocationai Educatior?

Yes_(Omit 36) No ..................... (Ask 36)
36. Did this affect your withdrawai from Tech? $\qquad$
37. What was your mother's total anrual income when you: entered Tech? $\qquad$
A. What was your father's total annual income when you entered Tech? $\qquad$
38. What was your father's occupation at the time yo". ertered Tech? $\qquad$
39. What was your mother's ocoupation at the time you entered Tech? $\qquad$ -_-_-

Circle one
40. Are you sing"e, married, widowed or divorced?
A. If Singie, ask 42 。

B, Married: a) before entering Tech $\qquad$
b) while at Tech $\qquad$
c) after leaving Tech $\qquad$
Do you feel that marriage influenced your decision to withdraw from Tech and/or from Vocational Education?
C. Divorced: a) before entering Tech $\qquad$
b) while at Tech $\qquad$
c) after leaving Tech $\qquad$
Do you feel that this affected your withdrawal from Tech and/or from Vocational Education? $\qquad$
D. Widowed: a) before entering Tech $\qquad$
b) while at Tech $\qquad$
c) after leaving Tech $\qquad$
Do you feel that this affected your withdrawal from Tech and/or from Vocational Education? $\qquad$
41.

Did you have any children:
a) before entering Tech
b) while at Tech $\qquad$
c) after leaving Tech $\qquad$
Do you feel that parenthood affected your withdrawal from Tech and/or Vocational Education? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
42. What has been your approximate yearly income since leaving Tech? $\qquad$

Date Interviewed
Signature of Interviewer

FOREWORD
For Interviewer

This questionnaire has been designed to meet the needs of our objectives with the fewest possible questions. Therefore, it is imperative that all of the questions that apply in the case of each interviewee be answered as completely as possible.

Also, certain of these queries are key questions to our objectives. Therefore, close attention should be paid to their answers, and the fullest, most accurate answer should be sought. Please take note of the instructions for those questions but do not overlook the ones not mentioned here; for all of the questions are important and should be answered if they apply to the individual.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR INTERVIENER

| Name of |  | Use this line only if you interviewed parent or guardian. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question | $1:$ | All events, both sport and academic, should be included, baseball, judging teams, etc. |
| Question | 4 | Try to determine why job was helpfui; interest in work, financial needs; etc. |
| Question | 8 | Attempt to obtain specific arswer (what type loan, what type grant, full; partial help, etc.). |
| Question | 9-b: | "b" seeks to determine if the recruit.. ing program affected the student's choice of curriculum. |
| Question | 11: | Probe for more than a yes or no answer. Find oit if he had any aptitude tests and feelings about these. |
| Question | 15: | This refers to chosen curriculum of Vocational Education. That is, Agrin cultural Education, Business Education or Home Economics Teacher Education. |
| Question | $16:$ | This refers to their professional choice when first entered a vocational training program。 |
| Question | 17: | Probe for possibility of more than one change. Indicate all. Probe for reason changed, especially where Vocational Education is involved. either to or from. |
| Question | 19: | Refers to decision to withdraw or resign from Vocational Education. |
| Question | 21-b: | Probe for all reasons including college life, possible curriculum change, etc. |
| Question | 25: | Probe for possible interests now. |

Question 29 \& 30: Read explanation cfear er Education only after receiving answer to question number 29. 29 seeks to determine awareness of trend and not the understanding of the trold. (Ti : Ser:
al1 11 and professions; n:only Vocational). Read the explanation before asking 30. Be sure it is as clear as possible.

