ABSTRACT

The United States Training and Employment Service General Aptitude Test Battery (GATB), first published in 1947, has been included in a continuing program of research to validate the tests against success in many different occupations. The GATB consists of 12 tests which measure nine aptitudes: General Learning Ability; Verbal Aptitude; Numerical Aptitude; Spatial Aptitude; Form Perception; Clerical Perception; Motor Coordination; Finger Dexterity; and Manual Dexterity. The aptitude scores are standard scores with 100 as the average for the general working population, and a standard deviation of 20. Occupational norms are established in terms of minimum qualifying scores for each of the significant aptitude measures which, when combined, predict job performance. Cutting scores are set only for those aptitudes which aid in predicting the performance of the job duties of the experimental sample. The GATB norms described are appropriate only for jobs with content similar to that shown in the job description presented in this report. A description of the validation sample and a personnel evaluation form are also included. (AG)
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The United States Training and Employment Service General Aptitude Test Battery (GATB) was first published in 1947. Since that time the GATB has been included in a continuing program of research to validate the tests against success in many different occupations. Because of its extensive research base the GATB has come to be recognized as the best validated multiple aptitude test battery in existence for use in vocational guidance.

The GATB consists of 12 tests which measure 9 aptitudes: General Learning Ability, Verbal Aptitude, Numerical Aptitude, Spatial Aptitude, Form Perception, Clerical Perception, Motor Coordination, Finger Dexterity, and Manual Dexterity. The aptitude scores are standard scores with 100 as the average for the general working population, with a standard deviation of 20.

Occupational norms are established in terms of minimum qualifying scores for each of the significant aptitude measures which, in combination, predict job performance. For any given occupation, cutting scores are set only for those aptitudes which contribute to the prediction of performance of the job duties of the experimental sample. It is important to recognize that another job might have the same job title but the job content might not be similar. The GATB norms described in this report are appropriate for use only for jobs with content similar to that shown in the job description included in this report.
DEVELOPMENT OF USTES APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

For
Yarn Service Trainee (synthetic fibers) 929.887-050

This report describes the research undertaken for the purpose of developing General Aptitude Test Battery (GATB) norms for the occupation of Yarn Service Trainee (synthetic fibers) 929.887-050. The following norms were established:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GATB Aptitudes</th>
<th>Minimum Acceptable GATB Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F - Finger Dexterity</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M - Manual Dexterity</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESEARCH SUMMARY

Sample:
56 males employed as Yarn Service Trainees (also known as Material Handlers) at Monsanto Corporation in Pensacola, Florida. The sample was composed of 51 Negroes 5 non-minority group members.

Criterion:
Supervisory ratings

Design:
Longitudinal (tests were administered at the beginning of training and criterion data collected at the end of training).

Minimum aptitude requirements were determined on the basis of job analysis, and statistical analyses of aptitude mean scores, standard deviations, aptitude-criterion correlations, and selective efficiencies.

Predictive Validity:
Phi-Coefficient = .37 (P/2 < .005)
Effectiveness of Norms:

Only 68% of the non-test-selected workers used for this study were good workers; if the workers had been test-selected with the above norms, 80% would have been good workers. 32% of the non-test-selected workers used for this study were poor workers; if the workers had been test-selected with the above norms, only 20% would have been poor workers. The effectiveness of the norms is shown graphically in Table:

TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of Norms</th>
<th>Without Tests</th>
<th>With Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good Trainees</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor Trainees</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

Size:
N = 56

Occupational Status:
Employed Workers

Work Setting:
Workers were employed at Monsanto Corporation, Pensacola, Florida in various routine laboring tasks. The 56 workers in the sample were selected to be trained as Yarn Service Trainees, which is an entry level career ladder position.

Selection Requirements:

Age: No requirement stated.
Education: At least an eighth grade education.
Previous Experience: None required.
Tests: None used.

Principal Activities:
The job duties for each worker are comparable to those shown in the job description in the Appendix.
Minimum Experience:

All workers had one year of experience on the job.

TABLE 2

Means, Standard Deviations (SD), Ranges,
and Pearson Product-Moment Correlations
with the Criterion (r) for Age and Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>19-50</td>
<td>-.274*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9-13</td>
<td>.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at the .05 level.

EXPERIMENTAL TEST BATTERY

All twelve tests of the GATB, B-1002B, were administered to the validation sample during the period August 1968 through January 1969.

CRITERION

The criterion consisted of supervisory ratings on each individual at the completion of training. The criterion was obtained August 1969 through January 1970.

Rating Scale:

An adaptation of USTES Form SP-21 "Descriptive Rating Scale" was used (see Appendix). The scale consists of ten items covering different aspects of training performance with five alternatives for each item.

Reliability:

No measure of criterion reliability was obtained since only one rating was obtained.

Criterion Score Distribution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible Range:</th>
<th>10-50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual Range:</td>
<td>17-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean:</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation:</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Criterion Dichotomy:

The criterion distribution was dichotomized into high and low groups by placing 32% of the sample in the low criterion group to correspond with the percentage of workers considered marginal or unsatisfactory. Workers in the high criterion group were designated as "good workers" and those in the low criterion group as "poor workers." The critical criterion score is 26.

APTITUDES CONSIDERED FOR INCLUSION IN THE NORMS

Aptitudes were selected for tryout on the basis of a qualitative analysis of job duties involved and a statistical analysis of test and criterion data. Aptitudes K and M were considered because the qualitative analysis indicated that they were important. Both had relatively high mean scores. Aptitude Q was considered because it had a relatively high mean score and a relatively low standard deviation. A relatively high mean score or a low standard deviation may indicate some sample preselection.

TABLE 3

Qualitative Analysis
Based on the job analysis, the aptitudes listed appear to be important to the job performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aptitudes</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K - Motor Coordination</td>
<td>Necessary in order to work rapidly to change parts, remove threadline wraps, and assist in creeling and doffing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F - Finger Dexterity</td>
<td>Required to use small tools for making adjustments to drawing machine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M - Manual Dexterity</td>
<td>Needed for loading and unloading boxes and cartons.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 4
Means, Standard Deviations (SD), Ranges and Pearson Product-Moment Correlations with the Criterion (r) for the aptitudes of the GATB. N=56.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aptitude</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G - General Learning Ability</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>52-108</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V - Verbal Aptitude</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>63-102</td>
<td>.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N - Numerical Aptitude</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>38-121</td>
<td>.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S - Spatial Aptitude</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>51-120</td>
<td>-.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P - Form Perception</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>43-126</td>
<td>.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q - Clerical Perception</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>71-109</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K - Motor Coordination</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>49-126</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F - Finger Dexterity</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>46-124</td>
<td>.295*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M - Manual Dexterity</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>50-136</td>
<td>.066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at the .05 level

TABLE 5
Summary of Qualitative and Quantitative Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Evidence</th>
<th>Aptitudes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job Analysis Data: Important</td>
<td>G V N S P Q K F M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important</td>
<td>X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrrelevant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatively High Mean Score</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatively Low Standard Deviation</td>
<td>X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant Correlation with the Criterion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptitudes to be Considered for Trial Norms</td>
<td>Q K F M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DERIVATION AND VALIDITY OF NORMS

Final norms were derived on the basis of a comparison of the degree to which trial norms consisting of various combinations of aptitudes Q, K, F and M at trial cutting scores were able to differentiate between the 68% of the sample considered good workers and the 32% considered poor workers. Trial cutting scores at the five point interval nearest to one standard deviation below the mean for each aptitude are tried because this will eliminate about one-third of the sample with three-aptitude norms. For two-aptitude norms, minimum cutting scores slightly higher than one standard deviation below the mean will eliminate about one-third of the sample; for four-aptitude trial norms, cutting scores of slightly lower
than one standard deviation below the mean will eliminate about one-third of the sample. The phi coefficient was used as a basis for comparing trial norms. Norms of F-65 and M-80 provided optimum differentiation for the occupation of Yarn Service Trainee (synthetic fibers) 929.887-050. The validity of the norms is shown in Table 6 and is indicated by a phi coefficient of .37 (statistically significant at the .005 level).

TABLE 6
Predictive Validity of Test Norms
F-65 and M-80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nonqualifying Test Scores</th>
<th>Qualifying Test Scores</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good Trainees</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor Trainees</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phi coefficient = .37
Chi square ($X^2$) = 7.6
Significance level = $P/2 < .005$

DETERMINATION OF OCCUPATIONAL APTITUDE PATTERN

The data for this study did not meet the requirements for incorporating this occupation into any of the 62 OAP's included in the 1970 edition of Section II of the Manual for the General Aptitude Test Battery. The data for this sample will be considered for future groupings of occupations in the development of new occupational aptitude patterns.
DESCRIPTIVE RATING SCALE
(For Aptitude Test Development Studies)

Score

RATING SCALE FOR

D. O. T. Title and Code

Directions: Please read Form SP-20, "Suggestions to Raters", and then fill in the items listed below. In making your ratings, only one box should be checked for each question.

Name of Worker (print)

(Last) (First)

Sex: Male Female

Company Job Title:

How often do you see this worker in a work situation?
1. ______ See him all the time.
2. ______ See him at work several times a day.
3. ______ Seldom see him in a work situation.

How long have you worked with him?
1. ______ Under one month.
2. ______ At least one month but less than two.
3. ______ At least two months but less than three.
4. ______ At least three months but less than six.
5. ______ Six months or over.
A. What is his degree of manual dexterity?

1. ___ Unsatisfactory -- awkward -- handles himself slowly -- not able to keep up.
2. ___ Performs satisfactorily but below levels expected of average worker in this operation.
3. ___ Performs satisfactorily most of the time.
4. ___ Well above average -- handles himself well -- fast and accurate.
5. ___ Outstanding -- handles himself extremely well with noticeable ease and economy of motion.

B. Safety Performance.

1. ___ Performance below minimum standards -- will take a chance -- is injury prone.
2. ___ Performance is up to minimum standards -- has a tendency to be careless -- unaware of fellow employees' safety.
3. ___ Performance is above minimum standards -- has a satisfactory knowledge and application of safety procedures.
5. ___ Performance is on an outstanding level -- requires little or no follow-up -- personal dress and tool handling is exceptionally safe.

C. How much work can he get done? (Worker's ability to make sufficient use of his time.)

1. ___ Capable of very low work output.
2. ___ Capable of low work output.
3. ___ Capable of fair work output.
4. ___ Capable of high work output.
5. ___ Capable of very high work output.

D. Quality of Work.

1. ___ Below area standards -- has excessive number of off-standards -- is inconsistent in quality checks.
2. ___ Meets minimum area standards -- requires excessive supervision and follow-up -- makes frequent quality errors.
3. ___ Above minimum area standards -- is satisfactory in accuracy of work.
4. ____ Well above area standards -- seldom makes a mistake -- good, accurate worker.

5. ____ Quality performance is outstanding -- work is accurate and complete.

E. Initiative and Leadership.

1. ____ Always waits to be told what to do and still needs some help in getting started.

2. ____ Relies on others -- must be told what to do -- seldom helps fellow workers.

3. ____ Will act voluntarily in matters involving deviation of routine -- usually sets a good example for fellow workers.

4. ____ Will act voluntarily in most matters -- frequently influences good performance from fellow workers.

5. ____ Displays a great deal of zeal for his job -- alert at all times -- regarded as a good leader by the work group.

F. How much does he know about his job? (Worker's understanding of the principles, equipment, materials and methods that have to do directly or indirectly with his work.)

1. ____ Has very limited job knowledge -- does not know enough to do his job adequately.

2. ____ Has little knowledge -- knows enough to "get by."

3. ____ Has moderate amount of knowledge -- knows enough to do fair work.

4. ____ Has broad knowledge -- knows enough to do good work.

5. ____ Has complete knowledge -- knows his job thoroughly.

G. How large a variety of job duties can he perform efficiently? (Worker's ability to handle several operations in his work.)

1. ____ Cannot perform different operations adequately.

2. ____ Can perform several different operations with reasonable efficiency.

3. ____ Can perform a limited number of different operations efficiently.

4. ____ Can perform many different operations efficiently.

5. ____ Can perform an unusually large variety of different operations efficiently.

H. How resourceful is he when something different comes up or something out of the ordinary occurs? (Worker's ability to apply what he already knows to a new situation.)

1. ____ Almost never able to figure out what to do and needs help on nearly every minor problem.
2. _____ Often has difficulty handling new situations. Needs help on all but minor problems.

3. _____ Sometimes knows what to do; sometimes doesn't. Can deal with problems that are not too complex.

4. _____ Is usually able to handle new situations. Needs help on only complex problems.

5. _____ Practically always figures out what to do himself.

I. How much aptitude or facility does he have for this kind of work? (Worker's adeptness or knack for performing a job easily and well.)

1. _____ Has great difficulty doing his job -- not at all suited for this type of work.

2. _____ Usually has some difficulty doing his job -- not too well suited to this kind of work.

3. _____ Does his job without too much difficulty -- fairly well suited to this type of work.

4. _____ Usually does his job without difficulty -- well suited to this kind of work.

5. _____ Does his job with great ease -- exceptionally well suited for this kind of work.

J. Considering all the factors already rated, and only these factors, how acceptable is his work? (Worker's "all-around ability" to do his job.)

1. _____ Would be better off without him -- performance usually not acceptable.

2. _____ Of limited value to the organization -- performance somewhat inferior.

3. _____ A fairly proficient worker -- performance generally acceptable.

4. _____ A valuable worker -- performance is usually superior.

5. _____ An unusually competent worker -- performance almost always top notch.
FACT SHEET

Job Title:
Yarn Service Trainee (synthetic fibers) 929.887

Job Summary:
Loads, unloads, and conveys materials and performs certain minor maintenance under specific instructions.

Work Performed:
Maintains continuous supply of bobbin yarn at packing area, checks and ascertains all identification information for correctness, and pushes cart to packing station. Unpacks yarn for backwinding. Loads and unloads tote boxes, cartons, conveyors, and cars. Aids machine operators by removing large threadline wraps using Calrod unit. Makes simple adjustments or repairs to drawing machines, such as, replacing drive tapes, removing drive roll tapes, removing tubes stuck on spindles, and removing spindle assemblies. Cleans undercarriage of machine using brushes, rags and solvents. Collects and disposes of trash from work and rest areas. Assembles and weighs bagged fiberstock.

Effectiveness of Norms:
Only 68% of the nontest-selected workers used for this study were good workers; if the workers had been test-selected with the S-454R norms, 78% would have been good workers. Thirty-two percent of the nontest-selected workers used in this study were poor workers; if the workers had been test-selected with the S-454R norms, only 22% would have been poor workers.

Applicability of S-454R Norms:
The aptitude test battery is applicable to jobs which include a majority of the duties described above.