Environmental factors which influence reading performance of disabled readers are explored. The author first surveyed related literature and selected the following significant environmental factors: self-esteem, parental attitudes and behavior, and overt classroom behavior of children, ages 7 to 12. The Parent Attitude Research Instrument, Parent Interview Form, Self-Esteem Inventory, Soares and Soares Self-Concept Instruments, and Children's Behavior Questionnaire were then selected to measure each of these factors. The instruments were assessed, and it was found that they could be used within a reading improvement program to add to a diagnostic battery of tests to give evidence on environmental factors in influencing reading. The last part of the report deals with how these instruments could be used in a reading improvement program and how the use would change the present masters of science program in education-reading at Whitewater State University. A bibliography and appendixes of test forms are included. (AW)
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SUMMARY

This study explores environmental factors which influence reading performance of disabled readers. It identifies instruments that can be used to measure significant environmental factors—self-esteem, parental attitudes and overt classroom behavior of children, ages seven to twelve. These instruments were assessed and methods for their use within a University training program designed to train Reading Specialists are discussed.
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to develop techniques for examining the influence of environmental factors on achievement in a reading improvement program and to develop methods for incorporating these techniques into a training program for reading specialists.

Specific Objectives

Specifically, the objectives for this project were:

1. To survey the literature and select environmental factors relevant to success in reading.
2. To select instruments for measurement of these factors.
3. To investigate means to incorporate these techniques into the training program for graduate students in the Master of Science in Education-Reading program at Wisconsin State University-Whitewater.

Need for the Study

Research has shown that factors other than cognitive variables are related to success in remedial instruction. Yet most reading training programs continue to concentrate only on development of cognitive skills. Training for classroom teaching of most teachers has not included instruction in understanding the culturally disadvantaged. This deficit is particularly pertinent for teachers who will be working in Title I reading improvement programs established under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965. Attempts have been made to meet this deficit in NDEA Institutes for reading teachers; however, most of these programs centered their efforts on meeting the needs of the urban "inner core" populations.

The pupils with whom the reading teacher trainees at Wisconsin State University-Whitewater will be working are
from small town rural backgrounds. Generally the pupils who need remedial reading instruction fall into two categories: (1) those from large families who live in the countryside where housing costs are low in comparison to urban areas; and (2) those from Spanish-American families, former migrant workers who have settled in the community.

There is a need to incorporate in the Master's in Reading program at Wisconsin State University-Whitewater an understanding of the problems of these families in relation to reading performance, an improvement in the university students' attitudes toward the children from these types of families, and to develop the skills to work with these pupils and their families. The study focuses on meeting this need by developing a program for training graduate students to work with these families and the children within a reading program.

Review of Related Literature

It has long been known that the home environment affects the development of language proficiency and school achievement but only in recent years have educators systematically investigated this influence. Interest in environmental factors was spurred in the 1960's with the establishment of the Poverty Program. Considerable interest developed in children from disadvantaged families and the social factors which may influence their school achievement (Bloom, Davis & Hess, 1965; Deutsch, 1964).

There are no statistics available as to the amount of reading retardation within the disadvantaged groups over the United States until we receive data from the National Assessment Committee; in the meantime, various estimates have been given. For example, Deutsch (1965) states that by the time disadvantaged children reach junior high school, sixty per cent are retarded one to four years in reading. Deutsch accounts for this retardation by lack of appropriate language stimulation early in life, both in school and at home, making success in reading as well as other school activities progressively more difficult. Another investigator (Barton, 1963) says that children from economically, socially, and educationally disadvantaged families are found to be two or more years retarded in reading by the time they reach the seventh grade.

Recently studies have been made of poverty home environments as a means of understanding the factors which
influence the development of children. "Studies repeatedly show that the home is the single most important influence on the intellectual and emotional development of children, particularly in the preschool years." (Bloom, Davis and Hess, 1965, p. 69)

Rhodes (1967) says an ecological view of a child's reading disability directs attention to environmental conditions that may give rise to or intensify reading difficulties. "The fault lies not only in the child but also in the environment to which he reacts and which responds to his behavior."

Of the studies carried out recently in connection with reading achievement and socio-economic status of the family many point out the relationship between the two. A study of the total third grade population of nine classrooms in three schools (Hill and Giammatteo, 1963) showed a relationship between socio-economic status and reading comprehension and vocabulary.

Lovell and Woolsey (1964) studied reading achievement with reference to socio-economic status as based on occupational classification. They found a higher frequency of reading backwardness in the lower socio-economic group. And Sutton (1964) found that readiness for reading at the kindergarten level was associated with having parents from a relatively high socio-economic level and engaged in the professions.

Spache (1968, p. 243) in referring to cultural and socio-economic forces which influence reading performance says, "No matter what cultural or socioeconomic deprivations are present, they do not impinge equally upon all members of the group...research must now be implemented by efforts to identify the individual differences in motivation and self-identification within the group and to capitalize upon these differences or to modify them toward constructive educational goals."

Studies of the interaction of family relationships and reading achievement, as explored in the work of Barwich and Arbuckle (1962) and of Morrow and Wilson (1961), should eventually add more understanding in this area.
Literature Related to the Selection of Environmental Factors For Study

A survey of the literature reveals that early studies of the influence of environmental factors on learning and school performance concentrated on such variables as the relationship between social class and school performance - (for example, Havighurst and Breese, 1947; Havighurst and Janke, 1944). Differential attitudes of parents, as well as other differences in families, in various social classes were investigated to determine what it is about social-class background that makes for differential school performance (see, for example, Stendler, 1951).

One area in which there has been considerable research is that of the consequences for child development of the differential disciplinary techniques used in different social classes. Hoffman and Hoffman (1964, p. 171) summarize as follows:

"... Generally, the research has shown that middle-class parents provide more warmth and are more likely to use reasoning, isolation, show of disappointment, or guilt-arousing appeals in disciplining the child. They are also likely to be more permissive about demands for attention from the child, sex behavior, aggression to parent, table manners, neatness and orderliness, noise, bedtime rules, and general obedience. Working-class parents are more likely to use ridicule, shouting, or physical punishment in disciplining the child, and to be generally more strictive (Bronfenbrenner, 1958; Kohn, 1963; Kohn and Carroll, 1960; Miller and Swanson, 1960; Sears, Maccoby, and Levin, 1957)."

* Grateful acknowledgement is made to Leonard Courtney, Ph.D., who obtained printouts from ERIC at Indiana University on research in reading on Social Influences and Cultural Factors and Attitudes and Opinions. These studies were at least one grade level from one to twelve inclusive from the years 1949 to 1968.
Attempts to account for these social differences have called attention to the higher intelligence and education of middle-class parents, and the fact that they are more exposed to the current expert opinion through their readings on child rearing (Bronfenbrenner, 1958). Kohn (1963) has suggested that the life conditions or the "classes" and the resulting parental values may provide a more basic explanation. Working-class parental values center more on conformity to external proscriptions (as do their occupational roles), while middle-class parental values center more on self-direction. Kohn believes that it is this value orientation which leads middle-class parents to seek out and accept expert opinion congruent with their goals.

Dave (1963) in an attempt to identify environmental variables related to educational achievement concluded that "it is what parents do in the home rather than their status characteristics which are most influential on the achievement of their children." Thurston et al. (1969) found that there was very little difference between disabled and able readers with regard to their experiential backgrounds as related to their cultural environments. Where these researchers did find significant differences was in the area of parental attitudes. The relationship between parental attitudes and behavior and children's performance in school is only now being looked at systematically (for example, Hess and Shipman, 1965; MacDonald, 1963; Van Zandt, 1963), and shows promise of being a fruitful area for further study. On this basis, parental attitudes and behavior were selected for consideration in this project.

In considering child-rearing effects, a remarkably similar set of factors in parent-child relationships has emerged (Longstreth, 1968). Becker (1964) has delineated three relatively independent dimensions; restrictiveness-permissiveness, hostility-warmth, and anxious emotional involvement-calm detachment. Subsequently, three similar factors were delineated (namely, democracy-domination, acceptance-rejection, and indulgence-autonomy), and it was established by Coopersmith (1967) that these dimensions of parental attitudes and behavior were important antecedents of self-esteem.
Self-concept is seen as a major intermediary variable between parental attitudes and behavior and the child's performance in reading and other school tasks. On the one hand, the kinds of parental practices which promote desirable social behaviors seem also to promote a confident self-image in the child (Mussen, Conger and Kagan, 1969; Swift, 1964); and on the other hand, high self-esteem has been found to be positively related to academic accomplishment, and low self-esteem to academic and learning difficulties (Anderson, 1937, Bledsoe, 1964; Brown, Fuller and Richel, 1967; McNeil, 1964; Piers and Harris, 1964; Wattenberg and Clifford, 1964).

Coopersmith (1967) found that children with high self-esteem have confidence in their perceptions and judgments and believe that they can bring their efforts to a favorable resolution. Their favorable self-attitudes lead them to accept their own opinions and place credence and trust in their reactions and conclusions. This permits them to follow their own judgments...and to consider novel ideas...Among the factors that underlie and contribute to these actions are their lack of self-consciousness and their lack of preoccupations with personal problems...[this] permits them to consider and examine external issues.

Children with low self-esteem, on the other hand, lack trust in themselves and are apprehensive about expressing unpopular or unusual ideas. They do not wish to expose themselves...They are likely to live in the shadows of a social group...preferring the solitude of withdrawal above the interchange of participation. Among the factors that contribute to the withdrawal...are their marked self-consciousness and preoccupation with inner problems. This great awareness of themselves distracts them from attending to other persons and issues...

Considering the importance of self-concept as an intermediary variable, it was selected for investigation in this project.
It was also considered important to see whether classroom teachers could identify social behavior of children in such a way that it could be categorized and related both to self-concept and to school achievement and non-achievement.

Finding that factors other than cognitive variables are related to success in remedial instruction (Lytton, 1961, 1967), Lytton (1968) used case study methods to contrast the intellectual functioning, personality and home backgrounds of eight good and eight poor achievers (all boys) in remedial groups, matched for age and IQ. The poor achievers were distinguished by a considerably lower drive level in a reading task; a higher degree of disruptive anxiety; a personal history characterized by delayed speech development in infancy, a large number of physical illnesses, reading difficulties in other members of the family and, to a lesser extent, by a more adverse parent-child relationship. Of particular relevance here is his use of the Bowlby teacher's report from (Bowlby, 1956) for assessing children's behavior in the classroom.

Description of the Summer Reading Practicum at Wisconsin State University-Whitewater

This section of the report will include a description of the Summer Reading Practicum, a phase of the training program for graduate students in the Master of Science in Education-Reading program at Wisconsin State University-Whitewater.

The Practicum is designed to provide an opportunity for closely supervised graduate students to work with remedial pupils. It is the second phase of a sequence of courses in reading which provides the reading background for the Master of Sciences of Education Reading degree at Wisconsin State University-Whitewater. The first phase consists of Developmental Reading, Corrective Reading and Diagnosis of Reading Difficulties. The Practicum concentrates on learning materials, lesson plans, small group and tutorial teaching.

The Practicum operates in conjunction with the public schools in Whitewater. Two elementary schools are involved, and both have a reading specialist working in Title I reading programs, which have been in operation in Whitewater for only one year (the 1968-69 school year). Children in
these Title I programs who were identified as being able to profit by additional summer work were referred to the University reading practicum by the reading teachers. The families of 26 children volunteered to have their children attend the University practicum for four one-hour sessions a week for a period of six weeks during the summer of 1969. These children had had one school year of instruction in special reading classes. The pupils ages were from seven to thirteen.

There were 13 Wisconsin State University-Whitewater graduate students enrolled in the Practicum, and each worked with two pupils. All the graduate students had taught at least three years and some as many as fifteen years.
CHAPTER II
METHODS

Selection of Environmental Factors for Study

Based on the review of literature the following variables emerged as being significant to environmental factors related to reading that are to be investigated—self-esteem, parental attitude and overt pupils behavior within the classroom.

Selection of Instruments for Measurement of Variables

For measurement of parental behavior and attitudes, the Parent Attitude Research Instrument (PARI) (see Appendix A), developed by Bell and Schaefer (1958), was selected for use for the following reasons:

(1) It has been widely used and analyzed (Becker and Krug, 1965; Bell and Schaefer, 1960; MacDonald, 1963; Yater et al.; Zuckerman, 1959; Zuckerman, Norton, and Sprague, 1958; Zuckerman, Ribback, Monashkin and Norton, 1958).

(2) Factor analytic analysis of data resulting from the PARI suggest that underlying dimensions tapped by the PARI may reflect certain fairly consistent parental approaches to child-rearing (Schaefer and Bell, 1958; Yater et al., 1965; Zuckerman, Ribback, Monashkin and Norton, 1958). As mentioned earlier the three factors found to be the major underlying parental attitudinal and behavioral dimensions of the PARI—democracy-domination; (2) acceptance-rejection; and (3) indulgence-autonomy—closely parallel the factors in parent-child relationships that have emerged in other studies of child-rearing effects, using other instruments.

(3) Coopersmith (1967) has established that the dimensions of parental attitudes and behavior delineated in responses to the PARI are important antecedents of self-esteem.
A Parent Interview Form (see Appendix B), based on one developed by Otto and McMenemy (1956), was used to supplement the PARI in order to secure data from the parents on the child's health and physical development, environmental data, emotional and personality data, educational data, and data on selected parental characteristics.

Self-esteem defined as "a personal judgment of worthiness that is expressed in the attitudes the individual holds toward himself" (Coopersmith, 1967, p. 5) was measured by the Self-Esteem Inventory (SEI) (see Appendix C) an instrument developed by Coopersmith (1959). Coopersmith (1959, p. 87) pointed out when he began a major series of studies on self-esteem as a determinant of behavior; "Although self-esteem is generally assumed to be a major factor in determining behavior, there has been relatively little research directed towards clarifying its significance and dynamics." Coopersmith has gone a considerable way in closing this research hiatus.

Use of the Instruments in the Reading Practicum

In order to determine the feasibility of using these instruments with pupils with reading problems and their parents and to see whether graduate students could be trained to use them as a means of increasing their understanding of family and environmental factors in relation to reading, it was decided to introduce the instruments to the graduate students and to train them in their use, as a part of the Practicum training.

One day a week for the eight-week period of the Practicum is devoted to a seminar where such topics as working with parents and teachers, interview techniques, recent research on reading, initiating and operating a school reading program. It was during this seminar that the graduate students were introduced to the instruments and trained in their use.

Parent Interviews and the Parent Attitude Research Instrument (PARI)

Two seminar periods were given to review interview techniques, familiarize the graduate students with the interview form and the PARI. Parents were contacted and interviewed in their homes by the graduate students during
the fifth and sixth week of the eight-week Practicum. At the end of the interview with the mothers, each mother was asked to fill out the PARI. It took about twenty minutes to complete the PARI; and as the graduate student looked over his notes on the interview form to assure thoroughness, he was present to answer questions the mothers might have had about the PARI.

Soares and Soares Self-Concept Instruments

During the fifth week of the Practicum the graduate students studied the Soares and Soares self-concept and reflected selves instrument. They were instructed to read the items to the pupils to assure comprehension. The instrument is comprised of five parts—self-concept, reflected self-parents, reflected self-classmates, reflected self-teacher, and ideal self-concept. One part was given each day; it took about ten minutes a day to complete each part.

Theory suggests that individual's self-esteem is based on how he thinks significant other persons in his environment view him. Soares and Soares (n.d.) have developed instruments to measure several types of self-perceptions: the self-concept (how the individual believes himself to be at the moment), the ideal concept (how he wishes he were or hopes to become) and various reflected selves (how he believes his parents, teacher, and classmates view him). These instruments were chosen for use in this study (see Appendix D).

Self-Esteem Inventory (SEI)

After working with the Soares and Soares self-concept instrument, a question was raised about the validity of the instrument for use with children of this age. This is a recently-developed instrument which has been reported to have been used with disadvantaged children of the age range of pupils in the Practicum; however, there were some indications that children had problems comprehending some parts of the instrument.

A second measure of self-concept, the Self-Esteem Inventory (SEI), is being administered to these children this fall in order to compare the utility and discriminating power of the two instruments. (see Appendix C).
Children's Behavior Questionnaire (CBQ)

For a teacher assessment of children's classroom behavior, use of the Bowlby teacher report used by Lytton (1968) was considered; however, in personal correspondence with Lytton another instrument was recommended--The Children's Behavior Questionnaire (CBQ) (see Appendix E). The CBQ was developed by Rutter (1967) and is designed to measure children who are designated as showing some disorder and of those children, those who show "neurotic" symptoms and those who are designated as "antisocial". This instrument was designed as a screening device or as a survey instrument. It is short, easy to fill out and has needed validity and reliability that other questionnaires do not have. It is designed for the 7-13 year old child of both sexes.

While the authors preferred the term 'psychiatric disorder' to more general descriptions such as behavioral deviance (Rutter and Graham, 1966), the instrument is used in this study to determine the relationship between overt behavior in the classroom and the incidence of reading problems. Therefore, we are not so concerned with the diagnostic distinction between "neurotic" and "anti-social" as we are with the total scale and those with a score of 9 or more.

As the Children's Behavior Questionnaire is to be filled out by the classroom teacher, it was not administered until October 20-25, 1969, several weeks after the start of the school year. These dates were set six weeks after the beginning of the school term and right before the first marking period since it was felt that this was an optimal time for the teacher to describe the classroom behavior of the pupils. The child was in the classroom long enough so the teacher had an adequate sample of the pupil's behavior and, too, the teacher was consciously evaluating the pupils for the first marking period.
CHAPTER III
FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

Assessment of the Instruments

In this part of the report assessment of the instruments will be discussed. The criteria on which judgments were made regarding the instruments were:

1. Time to complete them was reasonable (ten to twenty minutes).
2. Could children aged 7 to 13 understand them? Could parents understand them?
3. Could graduate students enrolled in a reading program be taught to administer them?
4. Did they produce the kinds of information we were looking for?

Considering the limitations of this study due primarily to the time factor involved, only partial answers could be expected. It is planned that a more intensive, controlled study will be conducted using these instruments to answer the more specific questions, particularly whether the instruments can elicit the kinds of information needed to help teachers understand and work with children with reading problems and their families, in Title I programs.

Soares and Soares Self-Concept and Reflected Selves

1. Time required for administration:

During the summer one part was given each day. Experimentation with administering the five scales at one sitting proved to be more economical in time as it took only 15-20 minutes and the pupils found it easier to respond since they became familiar with the 20 traits which were on each scale.
(2) **Children's Understanding of the instrument:**

Even though the items from this instrument were read to the children by the reading teacher or researcher, some children had trouble understanding the meaning of some terms, such as "I am masculine-I am feminine", one of the 20 pairs of bi-polar traits.

(3) **Administration of instrument by graduate students:**

Graduate students found this instrument difficult to administer because of some of the problems described above. Some of these problems could be corrected by specific labeling of sections of the continuum on the scales and by simplifying some of the terms used.

(4) **Instrument producing the information needed:**

To adapt the Soares and Soares measurement of self-concept and reflected selves to an understanding of the significant people that influence his concept of himself in relation to reading, it would be advisable to add a part on brothers and sisters. The same bi-polar traits would be kept except for changing the sentence to read: "My brother and sister think I am ....".

**Parent Interviews**

(1) **Time required for administration:**

The Case History Record suggested by Otto and McMenemy (1966) took two hours or more for a graduate student to interview the parents. In developing the shorter Parent Interview Form, the object was to retain those features in the Case History which pertained to a better understanding of a reading disability. A second objective was to reduce the interview to about one hour. The Parent Interview Form meets these objectives.
(2) **Parents understanding of the interview questions:**

No problems were encountered with the parents understanding the interview form.

(3) **Graduate students use of the interview form:**

With a short review on interviewing techniques and training with the giving of the Parent Interview Form, the graduate students had no major troubles.

(4) **Instrument producing information needed:**

The Parent Interview Form seems to be adequate; however, a more definitive statement to this fact will be forthcoming with the completion of the planned study.

### Parent Attitude Research Instrument (PARI)

(1) **Time required to administer:**

One of the useful features about the PARI is that it only takes about twenty minutes to complete.

(2) **Parents understanding of the instrument:**

Most of the parents understood the PARI and those English speaking mothers could ask for an explanation where one is needed. To use the PARI with Spanish-American mothers it would be highly desirable to have a Spanish-American translator present.

(3) **Graduate students use of the PARI:**

With a review of the psychological principles behind the PARI and clear directions on the administration of the PARI the graduate students had no trouble answering the questions parents would have about the PARI.
(4) **Instrument producing the information needed:**

The PARI seems to be producing evidence of attitudes on child rearing practices.

**Self-Esteem Inventory (SEI)**

(1) **Time required to administer:**

Although this instrument has not been used as of yet it is estimated that it will take from fifteen to twenty minutes.

(2) **Children's understanding of the instrument:**

When Coopersmith (1967) designed the instrument he reworded items selected from the Rogers and Dymona (1954) scale for use with children age 8 to 10. The items seem to be of the kind children would understand.

(3) **Administration of the instrument by graduate students:**

No problem is anticipated.

(4) **Instrument producing information needed:**

Coopersmith (1967) finds the test-retest validity to be .88 after a five-week interval and .70 after a three-year interval using fifth grade children. The SEI appears to be less ambiguous than the Soares and Soares.

**Children's Behavior Questionnaire (CBQ)**

(1) **Time required to administer:**

Teachers can complete this twenty-five item check list in fifteen minutes or less.

(2) **Teachers' understanding of the instrument:**

No highly technical terms are used and most teachers can complete the form without trouble.
(3) **Administration of the instrument by graduate students:**

It takes a short time to interpret and explain the scoring system to graduate students in reading. They should have little trouble with the survey instrument.

(4) **Instrument producing information needed:**

Results of the reliability of this instrument came from England and it has .89 test-retest reliability with 7-year-old children at a two month interval. In about ninety per cent of anti-social children and 80 per cent of neurotic children, the questionnaire diagnoses and a clinical diagnoses were in agreement. These children were diagnosed at Maudsley Hospital Children's Department in London. However, a pilot study used to help validate the scoring of the CBQ was conducted in Aberdeen, New York and it also bore out a high validity.

**Summary of Instruments Used**

For the most part the instruments described above could be used within a reading improvement program to add to a diagnostic battery of tests in order to give evidence on environmental factors influencing reading. They seem to adequately meet the criteria set up for their usefulness.
CHAPTER IV

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The purpose of this study was to develop techniques for examining the influence of environmental factors on achievement in reading improvement programs and to develop methods for incorporating these techniques into a training program for reading specialists. This section of the report will discuss how the instruments described can be used in a reading improvement program and how their use will change the present Masters of Science in Education—Reading at Whitewater State University.

By incorporating tests measuring environmental factors that affect reading into diagnostic reading battery that reading teachers use to diagnose reading problems of youngsters, that they will work with during their program, reading teachers should more adequately meet the needs of Title I programs and approach reading difficulties on a broader scope. Besides meeting the cognitive variable, by using these tests it is hoped that teachers become aware of the non-cognitive factors influencing reading and by being consciously aware of them build them into their reading objectives. The relationship between teaching objectives and test results is well known, and teachers, alerted to children with poor self-concepts, can build into their programs lessons in reading designed to help build the self-esteem of children. For example, a child found to have a low self-concept as seen by his classmates could have special reading projects prepared by him in the reading classes to demonstrate in his classroom.

By the same token, by understanding parental attitudes, teachers can best involve parents in their reading programs and begin to reinforce their class objectives at the home front. One such technique that suggested itself by use of the PARI with Spanish-American speaking parents was to have one interested Spanish speaking parent present when the home interview took place.

One of the next steps to follow in the Whitewater University program is to build suggested plans to involve parents and class activities which enhance self-concepts.
Recommendations for Wisconsin State University Whitewater
Master of Science in Education-Reading Program

Included in Appendix F are the specific course requirements for the Master of Science in Education-Reading at Wisconsin State University-Whitewater. As a result of this study, "Environmental Factors That Influence Achievement in a Reading Improvement Program," revisions in the degree requirements are suggested. Two types of revisions are being planned for. First, the immediate revision for the summer of 1970 and second, a more extensive course additions for the degree program.

The immediate revisions for the summer of 1970

It has been demonstrated that the instruments described in this report can be used in a summer Practicum of a reading program to point out the significance of environmental factors influence upon the reading process. In the summer Practicum of 1970 the scoring and theoretical background will be elaborated. To go into environmental factors in much more depth it is planned to involve faculty members from departments outside of education, especially sociology, to discuss rural and urban family problems; social welfare, to discuss family education and the Foreign Language department for educational problems of linguistic minorities: Spanish speaking Americans. Two objectives are hoped to be met by this approach. One, immediate insight into non-cognitive variables and two, demonstration that present day educational problems are best met with a team approach.

Course revisions for the Master of Science in Education-Reading

One of the most significant outcomes of this study was the evidence that experienced teachers enrolled in a Masters degree program who were expected to eventually work with children from educationally disadvantaged backgrounds lacked insight into the problems of these groups. On the other hand, this fact need not be surprising since most of these teachers were trained before 1960 and as Harris (1968, p. 166) points out, "Research on the psychology and education of disadvantaged children dates mainly from 1960; few relevant publications appeared earlier than 1962."

To provide a broader background for understanding basic concepts and attitudes needed for working with
children from educationally disadvantaged backgrounds
several courses are being introduced into the Master of
Science in Education-Reading curriculum at Wisconsin
State University-Whitewater. Among these courses are:

Sociology:

611 American Social Institutions
555 Sociology of the Family
655 The Family in Cultural Perspective

Speech:

531 Communication Theories

Educational Foundations:

543 Education in the Urban Society
550 Introduction to Guidance and Counseling
551 Procedures in Guidance and Counseling
608 Significant Issues in Education

Special Education:

564 Learning and Language Disorders

Foreign Language:

510 Introduction to Descriptive Linguistics

With an individually planned sequence of courses from
the revised curriculum, the graduate student would come to
the Practicum better prepared to handle the instruments
used to assess environmental factors in relation to reading
and to provide the needed leadership expected from Reading
Specialists within a school system.
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APPENDIX A

MOTHERS' QUESTIONNAIRE

DIRECTIONS: Indicate your opinion by drawing a circle around the "A" if you strongly agree, around the "a" if you mildly agree, around the "d" if you mildly disagree, and around the "D" if you strongly disagree. If you have any ideas which you feel should be included jot them down at the end. We would appreciate having them. Others who have given us their ideas say that it is best to work rapidly. Give your first reaction. If you read and re-read the statements, it tends to be confusing and time-consuming. There are no right or wrong answers, so answer according to your own opinion. It is very important to the study that all questions be answered. Many of the statements will seem alike but all are necessary to show slight differences of opinion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AGREE</th>
<th>DISAGREE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Children should be allowed to disagree with their parents if they feel their own ideas are better.</td>
<td>A a d D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. It's best for the child if he never gets started wondering whether his mother's views are right.</td>
<td>A a d D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Parents should adjust to the children some rather than always expecting the children to adjust to the parents.</td>
<td>A a d D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Parents must earn the respect of their children by the way they act.</td>
<td>A a d D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Children would be happier and better behaved if parents would show an interest in their affairs.</td>
<td>A a d D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Some children are just so bad they must be taught to fear adults for their own good.</td>
<td>A a d D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Children will get on any woman's nerves if she has to be with them all day.</td>
<td>A a d D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. One of the worst things about taking care of a home is a woman feels that she can't get out.</td>
<td>A a d D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If you let children talk about their troubles they end up complaining even more.</td>
<td>A a d D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. There is nothing worse for a young mother than being alone while going through her first experience with a baby.</td>
<td>A a d D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11. Most children are toilet trained by 15 months of age.

12. The sooner a child learns to walk the better he's trained.

13. A child will be grateful later for strict training.

14. A mother should make it her business to know everything her children are thinking.

15. A good mother should shelter her child from life's little difficulties.

16. There are so many things a child has to learn in life there is no excuse for him sitting around with time on his hands.

17. Children should be encouraged to tell their parents about it whenever they feel family rules are unreasonable.

18. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a child's eyes.

19. Children are too often asked to do all the compromising and adjustment and that is not fair.

20. As much as is reasonable, a parent should try to treat a child as an equal.

21. Parents who are interested in hearing about their children's parties, dates and fun help them grow up right.

22. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief out of a child before he will behave.

23. Mothers very often feel that they can't stand their children a moment longer.

24. Having to be with children all the time gives a woman the feeling her wings have been clipped.

25. Parents who start a child talking about his worries don't realize that sometimes it's better to just leave well enough alone.

26. It isn't fair that a woman has to bear just about all the burden of raising children by herself.
27. The earlier a child is weaned from it's emotional ties to its parents the better it will handle it's own problems.

28. A child should be weaned away from the bottle or breast as soon as possible.

29. Most young mothers are bothered more by the feeling of being shut up in the home than by anything else.

30. A child should never keep a secret from his parents.

31. A child should be protected from jobs which might be too tiring or hard for him.

32. Children who don't try hard for success will feel that they have missed out on things later on.

33. A child has a right to his own point of view and ought to be allowed to express it.

34. Children should never learn things outside the home which make them doubt their parents' ideas.

35. There is no reason parents should have their own way all the time, any more than that children should have their own way all the time.

36. Children seldom express anything worthwhile; their ideas are usually unimportant.

37. If parents would have fun with their children, the children would be more apt to take their advise.

38. A wise parent will teach a child early just who is boss.

39. It's a rare mother who can be sweet and even-tempered with her children all day.

40. (Omitted)

41. Children pester you with all their little upsets if you aren't careful from the first.

42. A wise woman will do anything to avoid being by herself before and after a new baby.
43. Children's grades in school are a reflection of the intelligence of their parents.  
44. It is more effective to punish a child for not doing well than to reward him for succeeding.  
45. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to be the best adults.  
46. An alert parent should try to learn all her child's thoughts.  
47. Children should be kept away from all hard jobs which might be discouraging.  
48. Parents should teach their children that the way to get ahead is to keep busy and not waste time.  
49. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in making family decisions.  
50. The child should not question the thinking of the parents.  
51. No child should ever set his will against that of his parents.  
52. Children should fear their parents to some degree.  
53. When you do things together, children feel close to you and can talk easier.  
54. Children need some of the natural meanness taken out of them.  
55. Raising children is a nerve-wracking job.  
56. One of the bad things about raising children is that you aren't free enough of the time to do just as you like.  
57. The trouble with giving attention to children's problems is they usually just make up a lot of stories to keep you interested.  
58. Most women need more time than they are given to rest up in the home after going through childbirth.
59. A child never sets high enough standards for himself.

60. When a child does something well we can start setting his sights higher.

62. It is a mother's duty to make sure she knows her child's innermost thought.

63. I liked my child best when I could do everything for him.

64. The sooner a child learns that a wasted minute is lost forever, the better off he will be.

65. When a child is in trouble he ought to know he won't be punished for talking about it with his parents.

66. Parents should be careful lest their children choose wrong friends.

67. A child should always accept the decision of his parents.

68. Children should do nothing without the consent of their parents.

69. Children should have a say in the making of family plans.

70. It is sometimes necessary for the parent to break the child's will.

71. It's natural for a mother to "blow her top" when children are selfish and demanding.

72. A young mother feels "held down" because there are lots of things she wants to do while she is young.

73. Children should not annoy their parents with their unimportant problems.

74. Taking care of a small baby is something that not woman should be expected to do all by herself.
75. Some children don't realize how lucky they are to have parents setting high goals for them. A a d D

76. If a child is pushed into an activity before he is ready, he will learn that much easier. A a d D

77. Unless one judges a child according to strict standards, he will not be industrious. A a d D

78. It is a parent's business to know what a child is up to all the time. A a d D

79. Children are better off if their parents are around to tell them what to do all the time. A a d D

80. A child should be rewarded for trying even if he does not succeed. A a d D
APPENDIX B

PARENT INTERVIEW FORM

(Date of Interview)

I. INFORMATION ABOUT THE CHILD

1. Name: ____________________________

2. Sex: Female____

3. Birthdate: ________________________

4. School child is attending: ____________________________

5. Last grade in school child completed: ____________________________

6. Has your child ever repeated a grade? Yes____ No____

   If yes, which grade(s)?
   Kindergarten _____
   First Grade _____
   Second Grade _____
   Third Grade _____
   Fourth Grade _____
   Fifth Grade _____
   Sixth Grade _____

7. Has your child ever been placed in a special room? Yes____ No____

   (e.g., room for learning disabilities or ungraded)

   If yes, what school year or grade?
   First Grade _____
   Second Grade _____
   Third Grade _____
   Fourth Grade _____
   Fifth Grade _____
   Sixth Grade _____

8. Schools Attended

   a. Did child attend nursery school? Yes____ No____

      If yes, at what age did he enter nursery school? ______ (Age)

   b. Did child attend kindergarten? Yes____ No____

      If yes, at what age did he enter kindergarten? ______ (Age)

   c. Other schools attended:

      Name of School __________________ Location __________________ Grades Attended __________________
      __________________ __________________ __________________
      __________________ __________________ __________________
9. **Child's Health History**
   
a. Was the child premature or was the pregnancy of normal length?
   
   Normal-length pregnancy _____
   Premature birth _____
   (If premature: Length of pregnancy) _____
   
b. Was child's delivery normal? Yes _____ No _____
   If no, describe the condition:

   __________________________________________________________

   c. Preschool illnesses of the child:
   
   _______ No illnesses except minor childhood diseases
   _______ Child has had one or two illnesses that kept him out
   of school for a period of more than one week.
   Describe: ________________________________________________
   
   _______ Child has had recurring illnesses leading to frequent
   absences from school
   Describe: ________________________________________________

   d. Has your child ever had any speech problems? Yes _____ No _____
   If yes, please describe:

   _________________________________________________________

   e. Does your child still have speech problems? Yes _____ No _____
   If yes, what is being done about the problem?

   _________________________________________________________

   f. Does your child wear eyeglasses?
   
   _____ No, does not wear eyeglasses
   _____ Yes, he wears eyeglasses regularly
   _____ Eyeglasses have been prescribed for child but he does
   not wear them regularly.

   g. Does your child have normal hearing?
   
   _____ Yes, normal hearing in both ears.
   _____ No, hearing loss in one ear
   _____ No, hearing loss in both ears.
   _____ Don't know whether normal or not.
h. Is your child right-handed or left-handed?

   _____ Right-handed
   _____ Left-handed
   _____ Arbidexterous

   How consistent is he? __________________________

   __________________________

   Has he ever changed handedness? Yes _____ No _____

i. Has the child ever shown evidence of lack of coordination or poor motor development? Yes _____ No _____

   If yes, describe: __________________________________________

   __________________________

j. Family Constellation (Who lives in the home?)

   Father:

   Natural Father _____ Adoptive Father _____ Stepfather _____
   No Father in Home _____

   Mother:

   Natural Mother _____ Adoptive Mother _____ Stepmother _____
   No Mother in Home _____

   Children in the Family - (List all the children in the family, including the child in the study, in order of age.)

   First Name   Sex   Age
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________
   __________________________

   (NOTE: PLACE A STAR (*) BEFORE NAME OF CHILD IN THE STUDY)

k. Does the child have his own bedroom? Yes _____ No _____
1. **Child's Friends**

List the first names and ages of the child's friends with whom he plays regularly. (Do not include relatives).

If the child plays regularly only with siblings, cousins or other relatives, check here: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name of Child's Friend</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does your child have any activities out of the home, such as clubs, Scouts, or church? **Yes**  **No**

If yes, what are they?

Are any languages spoken in the home besides English? **Yes**  **No**

If yes, what?

II. **INFORMATION ABOUT THE PARENTS**

a. **Age:** Father's Age:_____  Mother's Age:_____

b. **Father's Occupation:**

   Name of business, company, organization or employer:_____  

   (If father is presently unemployed, list employer for longest job held during the past year.)

   What kind of business or industry is this?  

   (For example, TV and radio manufacturing, retail shoe store, etc.)

   What kind of work does father do?  

   (For example, civil engineer, stock clerk, machinist, etc.)
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c. Does mother work?
   Yes, full-time ______
   Yes, part-time ______
   No ______

   If Yes:
   Name of business, company, organization or employer: ______
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________

   What kind of business or industry is this? ______
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________

   What kind of work does the mother do? ______
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________
   ________________________________

   (For example, retail store clerk, typist, secretary, teacher, etc.)

d. Father's Education
   Highest grade in school completed by father: ______

e. Mother's Education
   Highest grade in school completed by mother: ______

III. INTERVIEWER: ________________________ (Name) (Following to be completed by interviewer)

   a. Which parent was interviewed?
      ______ Mother
      ______ Father
      ______ Both

   b. Anyone else present at interview? ______________________________________

   c. Location of child's home:
      ______ In the town
      ______ At the edge of the town
      ______ Outside the town (rural area)

   d. Evidence of reading materials in the home:
      ________________________ Many (4 or more)  ________________________ Few (1-3)  ________________________ None
      Books
      Magazines
      Newspapers
APPENDIX C

RESPONDENT'S NO. ____________________________

SELF-ESTEEM INVENTORY (SEI)

Please mark each statement in the following way:
If the statement describes how you usually feel, put a check (√) in the column, "Like Me."
If the statement does not describe how you usually feel, put a check (✓) in the column "Not Like Me."
There are no right or wrong answers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>LIKE ME</th>
<th>NOT LIKE ME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I spend a lot of time daydreaming.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I'm pretty sure of myself.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I often wish I were someone else.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I'm easy to like.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. My parents and I have a lot of fun together.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I never worry about anything.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. I find it very hard to talk in front of the class.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I wish I were younger.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. There are lots of things about myself I'd change if I could.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. I can make up my mind without too much trouble.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. I'm a lot of fun to be with.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. I get upset easily at home.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. I always do the right thing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. I'm proud of my school work.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Someone always has to tell me what to do.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. It takes me a long time to get used to anything new.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. I'm often sorry for the things I do.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. I'm popular with kids my own age.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. My parents usually consider my feelings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. I'm never unhappy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. I'm doing the best work that I can.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LIKE ME</td>
<td>NOT LIKE ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. I give in very easily.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. I can usually take care of myself.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. I'm pretty happy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. I would rather play with children younger than me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. My parents expect too much of me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. I like everyone I know.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. I like to be called on in class.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. I understand myself.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. It's pretty tough to be me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Things are all mixed up in my life.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Kids usually follow my ideas.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. No one pays much attention to me at home.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. I never get scolded.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. I'm not doing as well in school as I'd like to.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. I can make up my mind and stick to it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. I really don't like being a boy/girl.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. I have a low opinion of myself.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. I don't like to be with other people.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. There are many times when I'd like to leave home.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. I'm never shy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. I often feel upset in school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. I often feel ashamed of myself.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. I'm not as nice looking as most people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. If I have something to say, I usually say it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LIKE ME</td>
<td>NOT LIKE ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>Kids pick on me often.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.</td>
<td>My parents understand me.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.</td>
<td>I always tell the truth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.</td>
<td>My teacher makes me feel I'm not good enough.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.</td>
<td>I don't care what happens to me.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.</td>
<td>I'm a failure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.</td>
<td>I get upset easily when I'm scolded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.</td>
<td>Most people are better liked than I am.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.</td>
<td>I usually feel as if my parents are pushing me.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.</td>
<td>I always know what to say to people.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.</td>
<td>I often get discouraged in school.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.</td>
<td>Things usually don't bother me.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>I can't be depended on.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D

SELF CONCEPT

We are all different in the ways we think about ourselves. There is nobody else like you in all the world. What kind of person do you think you are right now? Give a picture of yourself, as you think you are now, by placing a check anywhere on the line between the sentences. Each space tells how well the words agree with how you look at yourself as a person.

EXAMPLE:
I am strong. [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] I am weak.
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] very
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] more
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] more
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] very
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] strong
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] strong
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] weak
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] weak
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] than
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] than
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] than
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] st rong

Look at the words at both ends of the line before you decide where to place your check. Work quickly; mark whatever you feel first, since your first answer is likely to be the best. Just put one check on each line between the sentences. Remember: there are no right or wrong answers — only answers which best show you as a person.

I am happy, most of the time. [ ] [ ] [ ] I am not happy, most of the time.

I don't mind changes. [ ] [ ] [ ] I don't like things to change.

I stick up for my rights. [ ] [ ] [ ] I give up easily.

I think of others. [ ] [ ] [ ] I think only of myself.

I do well in school work. [ ] [ ] [ ] I do poorly in school work.

I am relaxed. [ ] [ ] [ ] I am nervous.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I think before I do anything.</th>
<th>I do things without thinking.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I stand on my own two feet.</td>
<td>I go along with the gang.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am masculine.</td>
<td>I am feminine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can wait for things.</td>
<td>I want things right away.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am sure of myself.</td>
<td>I am not sure of myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I make friends easily.</td>
<td>I do not make friends easily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I like people as they are.</td>
<td>I find fault with people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can take it when people say bad things to me.</td>
<td>I am easily hurt when people say bad things to me.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I trust people.</td>
<td>I don't trust people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am satisfied.</td>
<td>I feel sorry for myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am kind to people.</td>
<td>I hurt people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am not afraid of things.</td>
<td>I am afraid of things.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I like to work with others.</td>
<td>I don't like to work with others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'm somebody.</td>
<td>I'm nobody.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you put a check on each line?

Copyright: Dr. Anthony T. Soares & Dr. Louise M. Soares, 1967
We are all interested in how other people look at us. How do you think other people look at you? Give a picture of how you think the friends in your classroom look at you as a person, by placing a check anywhere on each line between the sentences. Each space tells how well the words agree with how you think your friends in the classroom look at you as a person.

EXAMPLE:
My friends think I am strong.
I am weak.

Look at the word at both ends of the line before you decide where to place your check. Work quickly; mark whatever you feel first, since your first answer is likely to be the best. Put one check on each line between the sentences. Remember: there are no right or wrong answers -- only answers which best show how you think your classroom friends look at you as a person.

My friends think I am a happy person.
My friends think I don't mind changes.
My friends think I stick up for my rights.
My friends look at me as a person who thinks of others.
My friends think I do well in school work.
My friends think I am a relaxed person.
My friends think I am not a happy person.
My friends think I don't like things to change.
My friends think I give up easily.
My friends look at me as a person who thinks only of myself.
My friends think I do poorly in school work.
My friends think I am a nervous person.

(Did you put a check on each line?)
REFLECTED SELF--PARENTS

What kind of person do you feel your mother or father thinks you are? Show how you think they look at you as a person by putting a check any place on each line between the sentences. Each line tells how well the words agree with how you think your mother and father look at you as a person.

EXAMPLE:

My parents think I am strong.  
very: very  
strong: strong  
weak: weak

My parents think I am weak.  
very: very  
strong: strong  
weak: weak

Look at the words at both ends of the line before you decide where to place your check. Work quickly; mark whatever you feel first, since your first answer is likely to be the best. Just put one check on each line between the sentences. Remember: there are no right or wrong answers--only answers which best show how you think your parents look at you as a person.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>My parents think I'm a happy person.</th>
<th>My parents think I'm not a happy person.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>My parents think I don't mind changes.</td>
<td>My parents think I don't like things to change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My parents think I stick up for my rights.</td>
<td>My parents think I give up easily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My parents look at me as a person who thinks of others.</td>
<td>My parents look at me as a person who thinks only of myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My parents think I do well in school work.</td>
<td>My parents think I do poorly in school work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My parents think I'm a relaxed person.</td>
<td>My parents think I'm a nervous person.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My parents look at me as a person who thinks before doing anything.</td>
<td>My parents look at me as a person who does things without thinking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My parents think I stand on my own two feet.</td>
<td>My parents think I go along with the gang.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
My parents think I'm feminine.

My parents think I want things right away.

My parents think I'm not sure of myself.

My parents think I don't make friends easily.

My parents think I find fault with people.

My parents think I'm easily hurt when people say bad things to me.

My parents think I don't trust people.

My parents think I feel sorry for myself.

My parents think I hurt people.

My parents think I'm afraid of things.

My parents think I don't like to work with others.

My parents think I'm nobody.
REFLECTED SELF--TEACHERS

How do you think your teacher looks at you? Give a picture of how you think your teacher looks at you as a person, by placing a check anywhere on each line between the sentences. Each space tells how well the words agree with how you think your teacher looks at you as a person.

EXAMPLE:
My teacher thinks I am strong.
very: more: more: very
strong: strong: weak: weak
than: than:
weak: strong:

Look at the words at both ends of the line before you decide where to place your check. Work quickly; mark whatever you feel first, since your first answer is likely to be the best. Put just one check on each line between the sentences. Remember: there are no right or wrong answers which best show how you think your teacher looks at you as a person.

My teachers think I am a happy person.
My teachers think I don't mind changes.
My teachers think I stick up for my rights.
My teachers look at me as a person who thinks of others.
My teachers think I do well in school work.
My teachers think I'm a relaxed person.
My teachers look at me as a person who thinks before doing anything.

My teachers think I am not a happy person.
My teachers think I don't like things to change.
My teachers think I give up easily.
My teachers look at me as a person who thinks of myself.
My teachers think I do poorly in school work.
My teachers think I'm a nervous person.
My teachers look at me as a person who does things without thinking.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>My teachers think</th>
<th>My teachers think 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I stand on my own two feet.</td>
<td>I go along with the gang.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I am masculine.</td>
<td>My teachers think I am feminine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I can wait for things.</td>
<td>My teachers think I want things right away.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I am sure of myself.</td>
<td>My teachers think I'm not sure of myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I make friends easily.</td>
<td>My teachers think I don't make friends easily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I like people as they are.</td>
<td>My teachers think I find fault with people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I can take it when people say bad things to me.</td>
<td>My teachers think I'm easily hurt when people say bad things to me.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I trust people.</td>
<td>My teachers think I don't trust people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I am satisfied.</td>
<td>My teachers think I feel sorry for myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I'm kind to people.</td>
<td>My teachers think I hurt people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I'm not afraid of things.</td>
<td>My teachers think I am afraid of things.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I like to work with others.</td>
<td>My teachers think I don't like to work with others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My teachers think I'm somebody.</td>
<td>My teachers think I'm nobody.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Did you put a check on each line?)
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NUMBER:  

IDEAL CONCEPT

What kind of person would you like to be if you could change? Give a picture of the kind of person you wish you could be by placing a check anywhere on the line between the sentences. Each space tells how well you think the show what kind of person you would like to be.

EXAMPLE:

I wish I were strong. : I wish I were very; more: more: very weak.
strong: strong; weak: weak.
: than: than:
: weak; strong:

Look at the sentences at both ends of the line before you decide where to place your check. Work quickly; mark whatever you feel first, since your first answer is likely to be the best. Put just one check on each line between the sentences. Remember: there are no right or wrong answers—only answers which best show what kind of person you would like to be.

I would like to be a happy person. : I would not want to be a happy person.

I would like to be a person who doesn't mind changes. : I would like to be a person who doesn't like to change things.

I wish I could stick up for my rights. : I wish I could give up easily.

I wish I could think of others. : I wish I could think only of myself.

I wish I could do well in school work. : I wish I could do poorly in school work.

I wish I were relaxed. : I wish I were nervous.

I wish I could think before doing anything. : I wish I could do things without thinking.

I wish I could stand on my own two feet. : I wish I could go along with the gang.
I would like to be a person who is masculine.
I wish I could wait for things.
I wish I made friends easily.
I wish I could like people as they are.
I wish I could take it when people say bad things to me.
I would like to trust people.
I wish I could be satisfied.
I would like to be kind to people.
I wish I weren't afraid of so many things.
I wish I could work with others.
I wish I were somebody.

I would like to be a person who is feminine.
I wish I could have things right away.
I don't wish I could make friends easily.
I wish I could find fault with people.
I would rather be hurt when people say bad things to me.
I wouldn't want to trust people.
I wish I could feel sorry for myself.
I would like to hurt people.
I wish I were afraid of more things.
I don't wish I could work with others.
I wish I were a nobody.

( Did you put a check on each line? )
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APPENDIX E
A CHILDREN'S BEHAVIOR QUESTIONNAIRE
APPENDIX
CHILD SCALe B
To Be Completed By Teachers

Below are a series of descriptions of behavior often shown by children. After each statement are three columns: "Doesn't Apply", "Applies Somewhat", and "Certainly Applies". If the child definitely shows the behavior described by the statement place a cross on the line under "Certainly Applies." If the child shows the behavior described by the statement but to a lesser degree or less often place a cross on the line under "Applies Somewhat". If, as far as you are aware, the child does not show the behavior place a cross on the line under "Doesn't Apply."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATEMENT</th>
<th>DOESN'T APPLY</th>
<th>APPLY SOMewhat</th>
<th>CERTAINLY APPLIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Very restless, Often running about jumping up and down. Hardly ever still........</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Truants from school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Squirmy, fidgety child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Often destroys own or other's belongings ..........</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Frequently fights with other children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Not much liked by other children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Often worried, worries about many things</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Tends to do things on his own-rather solitary.....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Irritable. Is quick to &quot;fly off the handle&quot; ......</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Often appears miserable, unhappy, tearful or distressed....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Has twitches, mannerisms or tics of the face or body ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Frequently sucks thumb of fingers...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Frequently bites nails or fingers...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Tends to be absent from school for trivial reason...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Is often disobedient...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Has poor concentration or short attention span...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Tends to be fearful or afraid of new things or new situations...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Fussy or over-particular child...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Often tells lies...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Has stolen things on one or more occasions .....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Has wet or soiled self at School this year....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Often complains of pains or aches...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Has had tears on arrival at school or has refused to come into the building this year....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Has other speech difficulty ....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Bullies other Children...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Are there any other problems of behavior?

Signature: Mr/Mrs/Miss

How well do you know this child? Very Well ______ Moderately Well ______ Not Very Well ______

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR HELP
APPENDIX F

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN EDUCATION -- READING

The specific requirements are as follows. It should be clear that specific courses of study are worked out by the student in consultation with his adviser.

1. **Seminar and Introduction to Research** (4 credits). Problems and issues in education are defined and research literature is critically examined in the following courses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ed. 640</td>
<td>Introduction to Research</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed. 701</td>
<td>Seminar: Problems in Teaching</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Educational Foundations** (3-6 credits). Students may select from the following courses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ed. 604</td>
<td>Sociological Foundations of Education</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed. 702</td>
<td>Philosophical Foundations of Education</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed. 703</td>
<td>Psychological Foundations of Education</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Related Courses** (6-9 credits). Students may select from the following courses:

| Course Number | Title                                                          | Credits |
|---------------|                                                               |---------|
| Ed. 555       | Teaching Emotionally Disturbed Children                      | 3       |
| Ed. 556       | Mental Retardation                                            | 3       |
| Ed. 557       | Education of the Mentally Retarded                           | 1-2     |
| Ed. 600       | Individual Studies                                           | 3       |
| Ed. 607       | Seminar in Child Psychology                                  | 3       |
| Ed. 608       | Theory and Problems of Adolescent Development                | 3       |
| Ed. 655       | Construction and Use of Classroom Tests                      | 3       |
| Ed. 721       | The Exceptional Child                                        | 3       |
| Ed. 723       | Foundations of Educational Measurement                       | 3       |
| Ed. 724       | Individual Intelligence Testing                              | 3       |
| Ed. 741       | Principles of Appraisal & Evaluation in Education            | 3       |
| Ed. 762       | Curriculum in the Elementary School                          | 3       |

4. **Reading** (12-16 credits).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ed. 664</td>
<td>Developmental Reading</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed. 666</td>
<td>Corrective Reading</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed. 667</td>
<td>Diagnosis of Reading Difficulties</td>
<td>2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed. 668</td>
<td>Practicum: Reading Improvement Program*</td>
<td>5-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Prerequisite: Ed. 664, 666 and 667.