In preparation for evaluation of programed spelling instruction, a grade 6 spelling program was designed, teachers were given special training, and an educational motivation scale was developed. Of the three subject groups used to carry out the investigation—(1) control, taught by the conventional method; (2) experimental, taught by programed instruction materials; (3) experimental, taught by teachers using a methodology based on principles and techniques derived from programed instruction (programed based teaching)—the programed-based instruction group and the conventional method group did significantly better than the group using the programed text. It appeared that programed instruction in spelling could be used effectively in conjunction with teacher directed instruction. (Appendix are the spelling program, lesson plans, spelling achievement tests, the motivation scale, and a record of the pilot study.) (Not available in hard copy due to marginal legibility of original document.) (4)}
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AN EXPERIMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF PROGRAMMED SPELLING

1. PROBLEM AND HYPOTHESES

Programmed instruction is being widely investigated as a new method in education. The content of programs in use ranges from highly complex, abstract subjects to simple, concrete subjects.

While the existing evidence points to the general effectiveness of programmed instruction, wide gaps of information are still apparent in regard to its effectiveness in many subject areas and with pupils of varying characteristics.

Although programmed instruction offers a method for logically and sequentially ordering the learning materials to be presented to pupils, once a program is written, its ability to adapt to various pupils' differences or situational exigencies is primarily limited to a single factor—that of time. Branching programs are slightly more adaptive in the sense that two or three alternative responses are permitted. A human teacher, on the other hand, can be highly adaptive and is able to act and react differentially on the basis of a variety of situations. She can shift, modify or alter her method of teaching according to the needs, moods, interests or abilities of the pupils. It would seem then, that an effective teaching method would incorporate the best of the two systems.

Pupils from different backgrounds and having different levels of motivation for learning have always been a challenge to effective teaching. Conventional methods of teaching usually permit a great degree of flexibility and adaptability in teaching various kinds of children. While the effectiveness of programmed instruction has been demonstrated for specific subjects, little research has been done in studying the differential effects of programmed instruction with children having varying levels of motivation to learn.
Another aspect of learning that has been relatively neglected in research on programmed instruction is its effectiveness with a factual type of learning as compared with the more abstract levels of learning. Thus, in spelling, pupils can learn to recite various spelling rules. At an early level of abstraction they can apply these rules to familiar words. At a higher level of abstraction they can apply these rules to totally unfamiliar words. There are many reasons to expect that different learning processes are involved in these different kinds of learning.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of programmed instruction as teaching materials and as a teacher guide for pupils with varying levels of educational motivation. In order to accomplish the major purposes of the investigation the following activities were undertaken:

A. Design and development of a program (784 frames) entitled, "Programed Instruction for Grade 5 Spelling." (Appendix A)

B. Training teachers to use a teaching methodology for spelling based on programming principles and techniques called "programed based teaching."

C. Design and development of an educational motivation scale.

In order to carry out the investigation, three groups of pupils were selected. There was a control group taught by the conventional method, an experimental group taught by programmed instruction materials, and an experimental group taught by teachers using a methodology based on principles and techniques derived from programmed instruction (programed based teaching).

A. It was hypothesized that both experimental groups would exhibit:

1. Greater retention of spelling facts than the control group.

2. Greater ability to spell words which require the understanding of spelling concepts than the control group.

B. It was also hypothesized that the experimental group having teachers who use a method based on programing principles (programed based teaching) would exhibit:
1. Greater retention of spelling facts than the experimental group using the programmed text.

2. Greater ability to spell words which require the understanding of spelling concepts than the experimental group using the programmed text.

C. It was further hypothesized that there would be an interaction between methods of instruction and levels of educational motivation.

1. Programed instruction would be more effective with pupils having high levels of educational motivation than with pupils having low levels of educational motivation.

2. The conventional methods of instruction would be more effective with pupils having low levels of educational motivation than with pupils having high levels of educational motivation.

II. RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

A. Procedure

Nine schools were selected for participation in the study during the 1963-64 school year. Selection was made on the basis of school IQ (100-110) and average reading (6th grade 6.0-6.9) grades. In each of six schools all three teaching methods were used. In the three remaining schools a single different teaching method was used in all of the three classes. Schematically, the procedure was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schools</th>
<th>Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1 class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1 class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1 class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1 class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>1 class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1 class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>3 classes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is almost axiomatic in educational research that no matter what change is introduced into an instructional procedure, pupil achievement generally increases merely as a result of the change. Educational researchers, recognizing this effect, have attempted to neutralize it by offering the control group some kind of placebo. In this experiment, teachers in all groups were given pre-experiment in-service training in techniques for teaching by their respective methods.

In addition to controlling for the "Hawthorne effect" it was recognized that the programmed instruction groups were sufficiently unique so that a "halo effect" could occur among the teachers in the schools where the three different methods were used. In order to control for the possibility of contamination of methods within schools, a different single method was used in each of three schools (G, H, I).

Within schools A - F the assignment of classes to methods was made on a random basis. The three remaining schools were matched in IQ, reading, and initial spelling ability.

Pupils were classified according to two levels of educational motivation using the test constructed by the project staff.

The control group (conventional method) of classes received spelling instruction by the method described in the New York City Spelling Course of Study. The teachers were requested to limit the time for teaching spelling to twenty minutes per day.

The two experimental groups were also limited to twenty minutes per day of instruction in spelling. No further directed instruction in spelling was to be given.

The experimental group receiving instruction based on programming principles (programmed based instruction) used the same words and rules as the
other two groups. The teachers of these classes used the programed text as their teaching guide. Prior to their actual teaching they participated in five workshops on methods and principles of programed instruction.

Teachers in the control group were also given five workshops in the teaching of spelling by the conventional method where the importance of adhering to the methods suggested in the conventional course of study was stressed.

There was no time restriction placed on any of the groups as to when the experiment would end, on the theory that the pupils using the programed materials would be permitted to work until they completed the program. Since the teaching time for the programed text group was determined by the method, the control group and programed based group teachers were permitted to determine the time they would need for instruction.

All of the classes involved in the experiment were observed at least once. In order to examine the differences in the three methods one tape recording was made of a lesson covering the same content for each of the methods. These recordings were then transcribed and compared. Unfortunately, there was a great variation in teaching within each of the methods groups observed so that the reproduced (Appendix B) lessons cannot be considered representative of the kind of teaching that was found throughout their respective groups. The two lessons analyzed in this study were selected only on the basis of being the best approximations of their theoretical models.

*For practical purposes the teachers were told they could take as long as they needed within a half term period,
B. Instruments

1. In order to ascertain the extent of a pupil's proclivity toward the educational process, a motivation scale was designed (Appendix D).

The content of the statements in the motivation scale include items covering the following areas:

- School (general aspects)
- School work
- Self-evaluation as a student
- Teachers
- Parents and their school role
- Peers and siblings
- After-school activities and their relation to school

Several procedures were followed for the purpose of determining the reliability of the scale. An item analysis was made to determine the internal consistency of the scale.

The split-half method, corrected by the Spearman-Brown prophecy formula for the full-length of the test yielded a reliability coefficient of .96. Extensive validity studies were also carried out (Appendix D).

2. For evaluating the comparability of pupils on IQ, the machine-scored form of the Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Test (Verbal Form A - Level 3) was used.

3. To control for reading accomplishment, the machine-scored form of the Stanford Achievement Reading Test (Form 314.4) was used.

4. A spelling test was constructed to measure pupils' initial spelling ability and their achievement at the end of the experiment. (Appendix C)

This test was constructed in two parts totaling 115 items. Each part was designed to test a different aspect of spelling learning.

Part I, consisting of 65 multiple-choice items, tested the pupils' learning of factual materials. The items in Part I were designed to test the factual
learning of rules and various spelling definitions.

Part II, consisting of 50 items, tested the pupils' ability to utilize spelling rules in attacking unfamiliar words.

Reliability coefficients of .84 and .89 for Parts I and II, were obtained by the analysis of covariance technique using the within groups correlation and the Kuder-Richardson procedure, Formula 21, respectively.

C. Statistical Analysis

A factorial design (2 x 2 x 3), using analysis of variance and t tests was used in evaluating the data for equating the groups before treatments and for measuring differences in spelling achievement at the close of the study.

The following null hypotheses were tested:

1. There are no differences in performance among the three groups taught by the three methods.

2. There are no interactions between methods of teaching and levels of educational motivation.

In order to test the hypotheses the following paradigm was used in the analyses of data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivation Level</th>
<th>Initial Spelling Level</th>
<th>Teaching Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The .05 level was the criterion for accepting significant differences.
D. Results

The results reported in this section are based on a different sample size for each analysis. Cases were dropped for several reasons: principals did not always have average classes, much pupil transiency, and pupil absence. The initial means and number of pupils in the experimental groups before matching, in spelling, reading, IQ, and school motivation are summarized in Table 1.

In all of the following analyses pupils were matched on initial spelling ability.

In each of three schools, all the classes were taught by one of the experimental methods only (see p. 3). This meant that each school was exposed to one of the three treatments. In six other schools, each of the three classes was taught by one of the three methods. Every school in this second group covered the entire range of treatments under study. The design of the experiment called for comparisons of achievement between the schools which included only a portion of the teaching techniques and the schools which were involved in using all three teaching methods. The purpose of this analysis was to determine if there was any "halo effect" among the methods in the schools within which the three methods were used. If a "halo effect" did occur, it was predicted that it would manifest itself in a regression of means among the three methods in each of the six schools. During the course of the experiment several of the classes experienced large population shifts which necessitated their being dropped from the study. Because of this, it was not feasible to include the conventional method in this analysis of school classifications. The means for the programed text group and the programed based group according to school distribution are presented in Table 2.

The differences between the horizontal and vertical pairs of means, for the Spelling Post Test, Parts I and II, in Table 2 were evaluated by t tests. All pairs of horizontal means were significant at the .05 level. No statistical differences were found between the vertical pairs of means. It was concluded that, for
### TABLE 1

Initial Means and N's of Experimental Groups
Before Measuring in Spelling

**METHODS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Programed Text</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Programed Based</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spelling Test I</td>
<td>37.47</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>35.71</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>33.78</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>104.41</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>103.50</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Motivation</td>
<td>486.04</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>500.24</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>487.02</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 2

Means for Spelling Post Tests According to Distribution of Methods in Schools

#### Test I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution of Methods in Schools</th>
<th>Programed Text</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Programed Based</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Method Only in Each of Three Schools</td>
<td>43.71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>47.88</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Methods in Each of Six Schools</td>
<td>44.91</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>49.32</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44.31</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>48.60</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Test II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution of Methods in Schools</th>
<th>Programed Text</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Programed Based</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Method Only in Each of Three Schools</td>
<td>33.58</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>36.54</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Methods in Each of Six Schools</td>
<td>34.28</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>37.08</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34.28</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
this study, different administrative arrangements for distributing methods among schools did not affect teaching as measured by pupil performance.

Because of the loss of classes, "the one method only in each of the three schools," group was eliminated from further study. Since there were no differences found in methods due to their distribution in schools, it was felt that this procedure would not affect the results of the experiment outside of reducing the number of cases that would be used in further analyses.

Table 3 presents the initial means on the Spelling Pre Test, Part II, in the six schools. Table 4 presents the means for all groups on the Spelling Post Test, Part II, in the six schools. Table 5 presents the results of an analysis of variance for the post test data for the same groups. The obtained F value of 15.80 for the differences between the methods is significant beyond the .01 level. Comparisons of pairs of means with t tests indicate significant differences at the .01 level between the means of the programed text group and the programed based group. There was also a significant difference at the .01 level between the programed text group and the conventional method group. In both cases, the programed text group did not do as well. There were no statistical differences found between the programed based group and the conventional method group. The analysis of variance revealed an interaction between motivation level and initial spelling ability. In this interaction, pupils with low initial spelling ability and high motivation achieved significantly higher than pupils with similarly low initial spelling ability but with low motivation. There was also a significant triple interaction at the .01 level between teaching methods, initial spelling ability and motivation. Pupils in the programed based group with low initial spelling ability and high motivation achieved significantly better than pupils in the same group with low initial spelling ability and low motivation. It is interesting to note that there were no overall differences in achievement between high and low motivated pupils.
### Table 3

Means for Pre-Spelling Test II in Six Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivation Level</th>
<th>Initial Spelling Ability</th>
<th>Programed Text</th>
<th>Programed Based</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>38.80</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>41.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>30.97</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>39.39</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>30.85</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>16.71</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.21</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>30.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 4
Means for Post Spelling Test II in Six Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivation Level</th>
<th>Initial Spelling Ability</th>
<th>Programed Text</th>
<th>Programed Based</th>
<th>Conventional N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>42.26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>35.28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>26.31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>41.26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>36.90</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>24.21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.43</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>37.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5

Analysis of Variance for Post Spelling Test, Part II, by Methods and Levels in Six Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig. Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between A-B-C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>423.50</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 1-2-3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10,942</td>
<td>5,471.00</td>
<td>204.06</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between I-II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86.00</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction (A-B-C x 1-2-3)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction (A-B-C x I-II)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction (1-2-3 x I-II)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>61.50</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction (A-B-C x 1-2-3 x I-II)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>148.75</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>6,649</td>
<td>26.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>19,342</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the basis of these results the hypothesis, that both experimental groups would exhibit greater ability to spell words which require the understanding of spelling concepts than the control group, was rejected.

The hypothesis, that the programed based group would exhibit greater ability to spell words which require the understanding of spelling concepts than the experimental group using the programed text, was accepted.

The hypothesis of an interaction between methods of instruction and levels of educational motivation was rejected. It was concluded, on the basis of these data, that the programed text was a less effective teaching method for teaching spelling concepts than the programed based method or the conventional method.

The distribution of scores for the Spelling Post Test, Part I, by method, motivation level, and initial spelling level indicated that the cells were neither matched nor proportional. It was decided to use a simple analysis of variance for the three methods with equal N's in each group. The groups were matched in initial spelling ability by dropping subjects from each group. Means on the Spelling Pre and Post Test, Part I, are presented in Table 6. The results of the analysis of variance are presented in Table 7. An obtained F of 4.83 indicated significant differences among the means at the .01 level. Separate t tests between pairs of means indicated a significant difference at the .05 level between the programed text and the conventional method and a significant difference at the .01 level between the programed text and the programed based method. There was no significant difference found between the programed based method and the conventional method.

It was concluded, on the basis of these data, that the programed text was also less effective for teaching spelling facts than the programed based method or the conventional method.
## TABLE 6

Means for Pre and Post Spelling Test I in Six Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Programed Text</th>
<th>Programed Based</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre Test</td>
<td>40.07</td>
<td>40.39</td>
<td>40.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Test</td>
<td>44.85</td>
<td>49.80</td>
<td>48.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 7

Analysis of Variance for Spelling Post Test, Part I, by Methods in Six Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Sums of Squares</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig. Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>874.56</td>
<td>437.28</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>18,444.96</td>
<td>90.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>19,319.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The three groups of classes were also evaluated for the time they needed to complete the experimental course of study. An outer limit of one term (five months) was selected to terminate the experiment. Before the experiment began it was decided that all of the material should be covered well within this time limit. Table 8 summarizes the time taken by classes and groups to complete the course of study.

Time scores are used for whole classes rather than pupils in this analysis of the methods. With the exception of the programed text group this analysis reflects teaching data rather than pupil data. The characteristics of the pupils are presented in Table 1.

Contrary to expectations, many of the classes required the full half term (19.3 hours) to complete the course of study. All of the teachers who required the full half term to complete teaching the course of study reported that they could have used more time were it available. Because of the pre-established time ceiling, the range of scores for the control group was attenuated.

The results of an analysis of variance for the time scores for the three groups are presented in Table 9. The obtained \( F (40, 02) \) was significant beyond the .01 level. Comparisons of individual pairs of means using \( t \) tests indicated significant differences at the .01 level between the programed text group and the programed based group and between the programed text group and the control group. The difference between the programed based group and the control group was not significant. Had the control teachers been given more time the differences between the programed based group and the control group might have been more marked. This was indicated by the fact that 7 out of the 9 teachers in the control group used the maximum time allotted, whereas only 2 out of the 9 teachers in the programed based group used the maximum time allotted. In terms of time the programed text was significantly more efficient than either of the other two teaching methods.
TABLE 8

Mean Time Taken in Hours for Classes by Methods to Complete Course of Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Programed Text</th>
<th>Programed Based</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of Variation</td>
<td>Sum of Squares</td>
<td>d.f.</td>
<td>Mean Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>870.13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>435.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>260.87</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,131.00</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Case Studies

Separate analyses of the data were carried out for the two tape recorded classes. As mentioned previously, each tape recorded lesson seemed most representative of the conventional method of instruction, and the programmed based instruction respectively. Selected descriptive statistics of these classes are presented in Table 10.

Since these classes were chosen on the basis of representativeness of method rather than matching of groups, they were not equal in initial spelling ability, motivation, or IQ. In order to compensate for these initial differences, spelling gain scores were used to evaluate differences in achievement. As can be seen from Table 10, the programmed based group had a significantly greater mean gain score than the conventional method group on the Spelling Test, Part I. The difference in mean gain scores between the two groups for the Spelling Test, Part II, was not significant.

Both the programmed based lesson and the conventional lesson contain many similar elements which are basic to all sound teaching. In each there is a clear statement of what the lesson aims to achieve. There is much pupil responding as an outcome of the questioning process and of the expressed approval by the teachers for correct answers. Errors are immediately analyzed for correction. In both classes there is an interplay of visual, auditory, and kinaesthetic stimuli to enhance learning.

Contrasts between the techniques employed in these lessons are marked even in the areas of great similarity. The strongest difference relates to the general format in the presentation of material. In the programmed based lesson, incisive clarity and simplicity are reflected throughout. These elements make it easy for children, especially those of low ability, to follow the development of the lesson and to retain the content. The economy and preciseness of wording may be responsible for the avoidance of errors in this teaching segment and for the
TABLE 10
Summary of Data for Classes Used in Tape Recorded Lessons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
<th>Programmed Based</th>
<th>Sig. Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (pupils)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td>544.3</td>
<td>506.3</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to complete study</td>
<td>19.3 (hours)</td>
<td>19.3 (hours)</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spelling Gain—Test I</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spelling Gain—Test II</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
superior gains shown by this group on the Spelling Test, Part I. Moreover, there is a constant repetition of the words which are basic to a clear understanding of the material being taught. Words such as keep, leave out, space, and apostrophe in the formation of contractions appear with regularity in almost every question and strengthen the factual and conceptual aspects of the learning.

The conventional lesson, on the other hand, progresses at a much more leisurely pace and in a more circuitous manner. An effort is made to use contractions within various contexts without probing into the structure of contractions and its application to shall and will. The lesson merely requires that the children apply the rule, which had been learned in previous lessons, to shall and will. As a result, the value of the various contexts into which the contractions have been placed appears to be nebulous and irrelevant.

Compounding the weakness of the lesson is the fact that the questions are not precisely worded which results in many inaccurate student responses. In addition, an undue amount of time is devoted to describing the contexts, and to repetitious pupil statements which interfere with the learning of the specific task at hand. Reinforcement of correct responses tends to be irregular. This deprives the pupil of security in the accuracy of his knowledge. Although the constant repetition in the programmed based lesson of the word good in praising a correct response may be mechanical and less attention getting as the lesson progresses, it, nevertheless, provides each child with similar reassurance about his contribution to the lesson. The variation of words in praising accurate answers, as it appears in the conventional lesson, may contain value judgments for the children about the significance of the questions they answered and the level of ability they displayed in their responses. This, too, may hinder learning.

From an analysis of the two lessons, and an evaluation of the results on the final achievement test of the experiment for these two classes, it would appear the relationship between learning theory principles in teaching and pupil
achievement would be a fruitful area for future study.

3. Discussion

The results of this study did not confirm the main hypotheses. The rejection of the hypothesis concerning the ordering of the effectiveness of the teaching methods must, however, be seen in the light of the differences in time taken to complete the course of study. For the Post Spelling Test, Part II, the largest difference in mean achievement was between the programed text group (34.43) and the conventional method group (30.35). However, the difference in mean time between them was 4.3 hours compared to 17.0 hours. Although it was anticipated that there would be time differences among the three groups it was decided that because the time variable was self-determining for the programed text method, it should also be self-determining for the other two methods. Equating the conditions of time for all groups resulted in significant variations in the amount of time used by each teaching method. While the programed text method was not as an effective teaching method as the other two methods, it did prove to be more efficient. Since all of the groups made significant gains when compared to their initial achievement levels the results of this experiment should be evaluated according to two criteria: effectiveness and efficiency. These results also suggest that the optimum use of the programed instruction in spelling would occur when used in conjunction with teacher directed instruction.

It would seem that the results of this experiment have implications for future research with programed instruction, particularly in those studies concerned with the effectiveness of the method compared with other methods of instruction. The results of this experiment indicate the possibility that the time (in this case, time being a correlate of quantity of material) that pupils are exposed to teaching is as important a factor in teaching effectiveness as the methods themselves are. This interpretation is based on the hypothesis that an intra-pupil learning process is going on that may be only partially related to the method a teacher employs in
presenting materials. In other words, in the teaching-learning act, the teacher may be an organizer and presenter of materials which pupils assimilate according to both general and individually unique learning abilities. Some evidence for this point of view was obtained in the pilot study (Appendix E) where no differences in pupil achievement were obtained from several methods of writing programed materials. This finding is in agreement with other research which found no difference in pupil achievement between randomly ordered and sequentially ordered frames. All of the research related to this problem, however, was restricted to programs or units of programs consisting of 50 frames or less. In trying to explain these kinds of results, Roe* suggested that pupils working with a scrambled sequence program which is not too long are challenged by the problem its illogical order presents and become more highly motivated in working with it.

These kinds of results indicate that future experimentation with programed instruction will have to utilize designs which take into account the various aspects of the time variable. Since one of the characteristics of programed instruction is a reduction in the time needed to cover a given amount of material as compared to other methods, and since time is probably a highly important variable in the teaching-learning process, comparisons between programed instruction and other instructional methods becomes an extremely difficult enterprise.

Within the programed text group, it was found that the variable of time was unrelated to reading ability, IQ or final achievement in spelling. In this case, time was not a correlate of the quality of the material. The lack of relationship between IQ and time, reading and time, and spelling achievement and time, are particularly interesting in view of the common findings of the high correlation

---

between these variables. Two possible explanations present themselves. It may be that frequent success is differentially reinforcing in favor of the lower achieving pupil insofar as it motivates him to work faster than he would when taught by more conventional methods. Related to this supposition is the fact that the reading ability required to work with the program was at the level of the entire group so that the effect of ability on time was below an operable threshold. These two factors, frequency of success which is related to the small step structure of the program, and a reading level of the program which is of minimal difficulty, probably upset the time and ability variables relationships.

Contrary to the widely accepted belief that programmed instruction, through the use of small, developmental steps, can eliminate differences in pupil achievement, the results of this study indicate that the ranking of pupils on initial spelling ability was maintained through the close of the study. Furthermore, as would be expected for most learning materials, within ability level groups, pupils with the lowest initial spelling ability made the greatest gains in spelling gain scores with the programmed materials. These results occurred although the error rate within the program was low for all groups.

Although it is conceivable that most pupils could be brought to one hundred percent terminal performance with certain materials and through unlimited teaching time, perfect performance does not seem to be a feasible or practical goal. Perfect performance for all pupils in all learning is based on what is probably a false assumption, and that is: any concept can be learned if it is broken down into sufficiently small steps. The point rejected by this kind of thinking is that there are concepts, thoughts and ideas whose parts do not add to a whole. Although the lower ability pupils were perhaps motivated to work faster by the nature of the materials, their final achievement was of the order that could be predicted from a knowledge of their initial achievement.
The confounding of the time variable with the methods variable initiates any definitive interpretation of the achievement results between the programed text method and the other two methods. However, with regard to the programed based method and the conventional method there was no significant difference between them in completion time. There was also no significant difference between them in final achievement scores. Since the programed based method was the pivotal point of the entire study, speculations regarding reasons for these results are in order. As stated previously, the teachers using the programed based method were given a five session in-service training course in programed instruction and provided with the programed text to be used in planning their lessons. During the experiment each of the 27 teachers was observed at least once during their spelling lessons. These observations were for the purpose of identifying teacher behaviors which could be related to their respective theoretical teaching models. With the exception of one teacher in the programed based group there were no observable differences among or between the teachers in the programed based group and the conventional group. The lack of observable differences is possibly due to the insufficiency of the training given to the teachers in the programed based group. While five training sessions may have been sufficient to reinforce the pre-established teaching behaviors of the conventional method teachers, it was probably too short a time to build an entirely new repertoire of teaching behaviors for the teachers who were to use a programed based method.

Although having only face validity, the observations and interpretations of the tape recorded lessons give the clearest picture of some of the differences between a programed based method of instruction and conventional methods of instruction. These two lessons indicate that many aspects of learning theory can be operationalized and related to teaching method.

The lack of significant differences in achievement between pupils of high and low motivational levels makes difficult any interpretation of the
interactions between motivation and other variables. Because of these results, the hypotheses concerning the relationship between teaching methods and motivation must be rejected.

Because of several internal and external limitations of this research, a replication of this study with an improved design would yield important knowledge. At least two important changes in design would be necessary. Unless sufficient time could be devoted to training teachers, it would be advisable to select teachers who already possessed the required teaching characteristics. Secondly, as suggested previously, it would be necessary to control for time conditions between groups. While time itself might only be related to spaced versus massed practice (which is relatively easy to control), it can encompass such factors as quantity of material and/or repetitiousness (practice) of material. In comparing programed instruction with other methods of instruction, some compromise will have to be made between the conditions of time and the amount of time among methods. Programs used for experimental purposes will have to be somewhat longer for a given content, while teachers will have to be restricted in the amount of time they can use in teaching the same content.

F. Conclusions and Recommendations

In the comparisons between groups, the programed based instruction group and the conventional method group did significantly better than the group using the programed text. Perhaps the most outstanding difference between the groups was the time they required to cover the teaching content of the experiment. The group using the programed text required one fifth the amount of time the other two groups required to complete the course of study. Although the groups used for this analysis were not statistically equivalent in IQ or initial spelling, the time differences between them were of the magnitude to be educationally meaningful. From these results, it would seem that programed instruction, at least in spelling, could be used effectively in conjunction with teacher directed instruction.
APPENDIX A

THE SPELLING PROGRAM

The New York City course of study entitled, Teaching Spelling, was selected as the source for obtaining words for constructing the spelling program.

The original plan was to select only words that are generally taught at the 5th grade level in conformity with the present course of study. However, difficulty was encountered in trying to select a logical format for programing words according to this procedure.

One of the main problems was that those words which are logically related to words at the 5th grade level are taught at other grade levels. An example of this would be the word "colonies" which is a level 4 word. The related word "colony" is a level 6 word and is therefore taught in another grade. It seemed appropriate that these words should be learned concurrently rather than limiting the teaching of them to the principle of graduated levels of frequency of use. Furthermore, the modification of "colony" to the form "colonies" is brought about by the application of a spelling rule. Since one of the major features of programed instruction is the ordering of learning materials into a logical sequence, it was decided that the present course of study in spelling would have to be modified in order to be programed. This meant that two distinct problems would have to be resolved. First, the spelling materials themselves would have to be organized according to some meaningful classification system, and second, a method of presenting the materials to pupils would have to be devised. A number of discussions were held with curriculum specialists as to how spelling words could be grouped. On the basis of these discussions, a review of the literature, and an examination of the existing spelling list, words have been grouped in five ways:

1) according to spelling rules found in the manual
2) according to common phonetic elements or related families
3) as compound words
4) as confused endings
5) as isolates which fall into none of the above groupings

1. Development of Programed Materials

As a result of this analysis, it was decided to program only those aspects of spelling which could be taught as conceptual material. The actual material to be programed was selected from several grade levels.
The rules are summarized below:

1) The formation of plurals by adding \( s, es \); by changing \( y \) to \( i \) and adding \( es \); by changing to a new form. A branching sequence on vowels and consonants was included in this section.

2) The letter \( a \) is followed by \( u \).

3) How to add vowel suffixes (including \(-ing\)) to words ending in silent \( e \).

4) Syllables.

5) When to double a final consonant before a vowel suffix.

6) The meaning of some frequently used suffixes.

7) Contractions.

8) Abbreviations.

9) When \( i \) comes before \( e \).

An examination of suggested activities and an analysis of the lists of words in the spelling manual showed that the above generalities were suggested for teaching in grades 3-6. Some were explicitly stated, while some others were implied in the types of words suggested for different grade levels. The materials prepared are directed to the teaching of the rule or generality. Illustrative words are more or less incidental to the teaching of the rule. Each of the nine generalities above appears as a separate chapter. The chapters range in length from 3 to 5 pages.

As material was written, it was tried out on an individual basis with from two to four children. After individual tryouts and discussions with the children, certain frames had to be revised. Sometimes this involved a complete rewriting of the content of the frame, while at other times it meant a redesign of the format of the frame. It was found that where more than 3 or 4 words were called to a child's attention so that he might deduce a common element, he made careless errors toward the end of the frame. Certain phraseology, while seemingly clear to the writer, for example, "Look at these words," did not produce the desired response from pupils. Very specific directions as to how to look, for example, "at the next-to-the-last letter," or "from left to right," or "at the words in the list above," had to be prepared. Lengths of lines for answers had to correspond to a reasonable degree to the size of the answer expected. Certain items had to be paired on the same line to elicit the correct response. For example, where a letter was expected as a response, the word "letter" and the answer blank had to appear on the same line, as "letter ________ ."

After revisions based on individual tryouts, materials were again tried out, in order to ascertain the suitability of the correction. Group tryouts involving about 70 children followed this second trial. With the completion of group tryouts, programed booklets were corrected and revised in preparation for final copy to be used in the experiment beginning in September 1963.
The total number of frames developed and tried out was 1038. According to the estimates of specialists in the field of programming, this is approximately 20 hours of classroom instruction. On the basis of a daily 20 minute period in spelling, this should be enough material to cover 10-12 weeks of work.

Although approximately half the words taught at the fifth grade level can be categorically related to a teachable concept, there is no attempt at ordering words beyond that of frequency of use subdivided by subject area in the existing New York City course of study. In a review of the literature on the teaching of spelling, Porter concludes that, "...concentration upon gross 'teaching methods' rather than the...nature and organization of the subject-matter being taught..." has plagued the teaching of spelling.

2. Development of Teaching Methodology

There were two essential and related problems faced in designing a teaching methodology based on programmed instruction. One was to organize the material in a logical and sequential system and the other was to provide techniques which would maximize the transference of basic programming principles to classroom teaching.

The training of teachers to use a "programed methodology" for teaching spelling was done during a 10 hour in-service course divided into 5 sessions. The teachers using the conventional method for teaching spelling were also trained in a 10 hour in-service course. Separate curricula were used in each course. These are described in the following section. All of the teachers participating in the in-service course were given college equivalency credit for salary increments.

The outlines and syllabi for the in-service courses were as follows:

(a) Teachers using Programming Techniques:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SESSION</th>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>CONTENT AND ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Introduction - Programed Instruction</td>
<td>An introductory lecture on the theory and technique of programmed instruction. Representative types of programs were distributed to the teachers. Various techniques of programing were described.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Programed Spelling Project</td>
<td>The spelling project was described in detail. The programed spelling text was distributed for review and study. The differences between the organization of the spelling program and the present course of study were emphasized. At the end of this session the teachers were asked to write a short unit of a program in spelling.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SESSION | TOPIC | CONTENT AND ACTIVITIES
--- | --- | ---
III | Construction of Programed Instructional Materials | This session was used for analyzing the program assignments and discussing the various elements of program construction such as prompting, schedules of reinforcement, small steps, and stimulus response relationships.
IV | Methodological Changes | Seminar on the effects of programming on teaching methodology - the use of the question for shaping pupil behavior, function of tests, etc.
V | Summary | Review of programing principles and how they apply to classroom teaching. The restructuring of the course of study in spelling.

The teachers in this course were given reading assignments related to the theory and practice of programed instruction.

(b) Teachers Using the Conventional Method of Instruction:

This group used as a text the New York City course of study in spelling. The in-service class sessions were used for reviewing the conventional teaching method.
APPENDIX B

TRANSCRIBED LESSONS

The following are parallel lessons in the teaching of contractions by the conventional method, the program based method, and the program itself. The transcriptions for the conventional method and the program based method are preceded by the teacher's lesson plan. It should be noted that these lessons are only parts of the sequence in the teaching of contractions. Oral and written work, teacher and pupil statements and responses, are recorded for the conventional and the program based methods.
Teacher's Lesson Plan - Conventional Method Instruction

Aim: To teach and apply contractions of shall and will

Motivation: Ask: "What form of language have we been studying in spelling the last few days?" (contractions)

Development: 1. What is a contraction?

2. Why do we use contractions?

3. Name some contractions which were formed from the word not.

4. Name some contractions formed from the word are.

5. Tell children that "today we will learn the contractions using shall and will."

6. Sentences on board in which children have to form contractions from the underlined words. (Taken from compositions about "Emperor's New Clothes").

   a. When we catch those thieves, we will put them into a dark dungeon.

   b. They shall eat nothing but bread and water.

   c. After we catch them they will be sorry they robbed me.

7. Have sentences on the board (quotations from American History--changed slightly) in which children have to break up contractions into original words.

   a. We won't fire until we see the whites of their eyes.

   b. They won't give up the ship.

   c. We won't ask what our country can do for us but what we can do for our country.

8. Dictation - Tomorrow on our trip we'll take a tour entitled "The Age of Exploration."

   Put on board leaving out all forms of punctuation. Children come up and correct the sentence.

Follow-up: Assignment

Write five sentences pertaining to our Social Studies unit which show the use of contractions made from shall and will.
Conventional Method Instruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral Work</th>
<th>Board Work</th>
<th>Pupil Notebook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong> We have been studying something in spelling within the past few days. What have we been studying? David?</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>Pupil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>David:</strong> For the past few days we have been studying contractions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong> What is a contraction? Eric?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eric:</strong> A contraction is a word that means that one big letter to make it shorter by taking off two words that go to it or more. (sic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong> Was it one big word to begin with?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eric:</strong> Yes, it is one big word. (Eric was interrupted by Antoinette who wished to correct him. Eric called on Antoinette).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antoinette:</strong> Eric, you mean that it is two words that you make up as one.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong> Form into one. Why do you use contractions at all? Alfred?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alfred:</strong> We use contractions to make our sentence shorter and to make our words shorter when we say it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marvin:</strong> I have something to add to things you said. You mean we have to have contractions because we, when we use it, you think things it may be shorter. (sic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong> That's what he said. Why do you use contractions? What is the most important reason? Edgardo?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Edgardo:</strong> Because it is the easiest way to say it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teacher: All right. It comes from your mouth more easily. What are some of the contractions that we have been using? Let us start here. We studied some contractions made up from the word not. Do you have some of those? (Antoinette volunteers), Antoinette?

Antoinette: One of the contraction words is couldn't.

Teacher: What is the contraction?

Antoinette: couldn't - c-o-u-l-d-n-'-t

Teacher: Good. (Children raise hands to volunteer further examples. Children then call on each other for answers). Lillian?

Lillian: Another contraction with the word not is don't - d-o-n-'-t

Teacher: Good, (Lillian calls Basilio)

Basilio: Another contraction with the word not is wouldn't - w-o-u-l-d-n-'-t

Teacher: Good, (Basilio calls Eric)

Eric: Another contraction is won't - w-o-n-'-t

Teacher: All right. Let us go on to some others. We have also studied contractions using the word are. What are some of those? Iraida?

Iraida: you're - y-o-u-'-r-e

Teacher: All right. Let us go on to some others. We have also studied contractions using the word are. What are some of those? Iraida?
**Oral Work**

| Lucille: | Another contraction using are is their. (Stammers t - h - e - r - i - e - r - r) |
| Teacher: | You need help. |
| Julia: | Lucille, I would like to correct you. The way to spell their is t-h-e-'-i-r (spells it out) |
| Teacher: | You're not following the rule that we formed. Before we go on, let's talk about the rule that we formed. What is it? What did we decide about forming contractions usually? Aida? |
| Aida: | Forming contractions with two words we usually keep the first word and substitute an apostrophe for the letters that are missing in the second word. |
| Teacher: | All right. And what didn't Julia do? Spell they're, Antoinette? |
| Antoinette: | Basilio and Julia, I'd like to make a correction. They're is spelled t-h-e-y-l-r-e (spells it out) |
| Teacher: | All right. Fine, I have some sentences on the board, do you recognize them?* Where are they from? On this board I am talking about, (Points to board), Ida? |

| Ida: | Those sentences are from our composition that we had to write. |
| Teacher: | About what? |
| Ida: | About the Emperor's New Clothes. |

**Board Work**

| Teacher: | *1. We shall put the thieves into a dark dungeon. 2. They will eat nothing but bread and water. 3. The thieves will be sorry and we will not be robbed again. |

| Pupil Notebook |

| Iraida: | We were supposed to be the emperor. |
**Oral Work**

**Teacher:** What were we supposed to be doing? Connie?

**Connie:** We are supposed to be thinking of a way of how to catch the two thieves.

**Teacher:** Yes. All right. Today, we want to be learning new contractions. We are going to use the word shall and will in our contractions. Most of you know some of the words already. These are sentences from your composition. I am going to call you up and come up to the board and look at the words that I have underlined. Read the sentence and write the contraction formed from the two underlined words over it. Please explain what you are doing. Edgardo?

**Edgardo:** We will put the thieves into a dark dungeon. We'll put the thieves into a dark dungeon.

**Teacher:** Read that again.

**Edgardo:** We shall put the thieves into a dark dungeon. *we'll* (written above we shall)

**Teacher:** What is the contraction?

**Edgardo:** we'll - w-e-'l-l *(spells it out)*

**Teacher:** All right. What letters did you omit? (Long pause). Don't you know?

**Pupil:** Edgardo, you omitted the letters s - h - a

**Teacher:** What did he do in its place?

**Pupil:** Larry and Edgardo, you substituted an apostrophe.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral Work</th>
<th>Board Work</th>
<th>Pupil Notebook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Pupil</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All right, . . . for the missing letters. Let's go on to the next one. Call on somebody, Edgardo. (Edgardo calls Jose).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jose:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They will eat nothing but bread and water. they'll</td>
<td><em>they'll</em></td>
<td><em>(written above they will)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The contraction form . . .</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jose:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The contraction form is they'll*</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>(written above they will)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That's good, call on somebody. (Jose calls Aida).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aida:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose, you omitted the w and i, and substituted an apostrophe.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All right, call on someone, Bizeleo.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bizeleo:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We will not be robbed again.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oh, but the beginning of the sentence is over here.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bizeleo:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The thieves will be sorry and we will not be robbed again.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What do you do with will not, instead of saying &quot;we will not be robbed again,&quot; what would we say? Eric?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eric:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We won't be robbed again.</td>
<td><em>won't</em></td>
<td><em>(written above will not)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good. won't*</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>(written above will not)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That's it. What did you do, Corrine?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corrine:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I formed the contraction won't from the words will not. I omitted . . .</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>(teacher interrupts)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teacher: This is an exception to the rule because we're not keeping the first word. But what did you substitute?

Corrine: I substituted I......

Teacher: For what? Eric?

Eric: Bizeleo, you omitted the i and w.

Teacher: No, he didn't. This is an exception to the rule. We are not keeping the word will at all. What did he do, Alicia?

Alicia: He omitted the n - o from the not.

Teacher: Omit the n? But I see it. Alfred?

Alfred: He omitted the o.

Teacher: Yes, and substituted ....? Alfred: .... and substituted an apostrophe.

Teacher: All right class. You erase the board quickly and I'll put on ....

Pupil: (interrupts) What happened to the ill?

Teacher: That is what I am going to put on the board now. I want you to look at the sentence I am going to write.* Take a look at that sentence. Read it. Lucy?

Lucy: On tomorrow's trip ill behave.

Teacher: What is wrong with it, the way it is written? Alfred? *On tomorrow's trip ill behave.
### Oral Work

**Alfredo:** The word *ill* needs an apostrophe and a capital letter because the *I* is a pronoun and it always has to be capitalized.**

**Teacher:** All right, fine. Now look at the next board, I have some other sentences here.***

What is the difference between the sentences on this board and the sentences that were on the other board? Ida?

**Ida:** The difference with that sentence is the other way you were supposed to .... (Pupil stops Ida--calls on Lucy).

**Teacher:** (To Ida). You forget it?

**Lucy:** Ida, I would like to make a contraction. I mean, I would like to make a correction. The difference between the board that we are just doing, and the one that was written down there is that on the other one we had to make the contraction, and on this board the contractions are made already.

**Teacher:** Very good. And what do you suppose we'll have to do with that? Andreda?

**Andreda:** We have to put the exact words that .... (child stops) (calls on another pupil) Carol?

**Carol:** Andreda, we will have to break them up into the words that they were.

### Board Work

**Teacher**

As pupil tells teacher the correction, teacher erases *ill* and writes *I'll*.

### Pupil Notebook

---

1. We'll never give up the ship!
2. We won't fire until we see the whites of their eyes.
3. We won't ask what our country can do for us, but what we can do for our country.
### Oral Work

**Teacher:** Yes, into the original word. Would you come up, read the sentence out loud, and tell me what the contraction was originally? What the words were before the contraction was formed? William?

**William:** We shall never give up the ship.

**Teacher:** Read it again.

**William:** We'll never give up the ship.

**Teacher:** Yes. These sentences are taken from history, only changed a little bit to suit our purposes. All right, Write underneath the sentences. All right, now read it.

**Pupil:** We shall never give up the ship.

**Teacher:** Yes, what other way could you have written that? Walcott?

**Walcott:** There is another way of writing we shall.

**Teacher:** Not another way of writing we shall.

**Walcott:** We will

**Teacher:** The original word is the contraction for either we shall or we will. All right. Next, (Walcott calls on Antoinette). Antoinette?

**Antoinette:** **

**Teacher:** Read the sentence as it is.

**Antoinette:** We won't fire until we see the whites of their eyes. (Pupil changes the original words and says:) We will not fire until we see the whites of their eyes.

### Board Work

**Teacher**

**Pupil**

### Pupil Notebook

- Date: [43]
- Subject: Grammar
- Activity: Contractions

**Teacher:** Yes, into the original word. Would you come up, read the sentence out loud, and tell me what the contraction was originally? What the words were before the contraction was formed? William?

**William:** We shall never give up the ship.

**Teacher:** Read it again.

**William:** We'll never give up the ship.

**Teacher:** Yes. These sentences are taken from history, only changed a little bit to suit our purposes. All right, Write underneath the sentences. All right, now read it.

**Pupil:** We shall never give up the ship.

**Teacher:** Yes, what other way could you have written that? Walcott?

**Walcott:** There is another way of writing we shall.

**Teacher:** Not another way of writing we shall.

**Walcott:** We will

**Teacher:** The original word is the contraction for either we shall or we will. All right. Next, (Walcott calls on Antoinette). Antoinette?

**Antoinette:** **

**Teacher:** Read the sentence as it is.

**Antoinette:** We won't fire until we see the whites of their eyes. (Pupil changes the original words and says:) We will not fire until we see the whites of their eyes.
Oral Work       Board Work       Pupil Notebook

Teacher: The original word, ...

Pupil: The original word is we will not.

Teacher: The original word before the contraction was formed was we will not or we shall not. Eric?

Eric: We won't ask what our country can do for us but what we can do for our country. The will word is we will not. We will not ask what our country can do for us but what we can do for our country.

Teacher: Yellow papers were given out. They should be headed.

Pupil: That was a sentence that President Kennedy liked best--one of them that Kennedy liked best.

Teacher: I am going to dictate a sentence to you and I am going to use a contraction in that sentence. I want you to listen carefully, I will say it three times. First time, just listen. There shouldn't be a pen in anyone's hand. Second time, you begin to write, and the third time you listen again and look for your mistake.
Oral Work

Teacher: "Tomorrow - at the museum - we'll take a tour - entitled, 'The Age - of Exploration,'"*

Now I'll read it, I'll say it once more, (Teacher repeats sentence again), (Continues to write it). (A third repetition follows). Once more. I said three times, but I'm going to wind up saying it about ten, (Repeats sentence fourth time), I will help you a little bit by putting the difficult words on the board, ** (Repeats sentence fifth time), I am going to put the sentence on the board. I want you to check your papers, but I will put it on leaving out several things that should be there, because it will be a review of many things that we have learned, ***

Are you going to be ready to come up and begin correcting it? Check your papers against that. See if you have the correct things in it, Louis?

Louis: I put a "t" in the beginning of the sentence, ****

Teacher: What kind of "t"?

Louis: A capital "t" because it is the beginning of the sentence.

Teacher: All right, (Calls on Edward), *****

No, that is not necessary, That's not the name of the museum, it is just the word museum. Something very important. (Edward calls Alicia), *****

Board Work

Teacher

Pupil

Pupil Notebook

*Pupils write on papers as teacher dictates:
Tomorrow at the museum we'll take a tour entitled "The Age of Exploration,"

Are you going to be ready to come up and begin correcting it? Check your papers against that. See if you have the correct things in it, Louis?

Louis? I put a "t" in the beginning of the sentence, ****

Teacher: What kind of "t"?

Louis: A capital "t" because it is the beginning of the sentence.

Teacher: All right, (Calls on Edward), *****

No, that is not necessary, That's not the name of the museum, it is just the word museum. Something very important. (Edward calls Alicia), *****

Pupils write sentences with errors:
"Tomorrow at the museum we'll take a tour entitled "The Age of Exploration,"

As he says it, Louis changes small "t" to a capital "T."

Edward starts to capitalize the "m" in museum.

Alicia puts '(' in "we'll"
### Oral Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alicia:</th>
<th>Teacher:</th>
<th>Alicia:</th>
<th>Teacher:</th>
<th>Eric:</th>
<th>Teacher:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I put an apostrophe in we'll because it ....</td>
<td>What's the word we've been studying?</td>
<td>.... Because it is a contraction.</td>
<td>And what does the apostrophe stand for?</td>
<td>The apostrophe stands for we will.</td>
<td>It stands for letters that have been omitted. What are those letters? Eric?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher:</th>
<th>Eric:</th>
<th>Teacher:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| the w and the i | | *Points to "The Age of Exploration,"

### Board Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Pupil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, all right. Tomorrow at the museum we'll take a tour entitled, &quot;The Age of Exploration,&quot; Why have I put quotation marks around this phrase* and capitalized it? Basileo?</td>
<td>I think you put the capital letters there, because it is the name of a tour.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pupil Notebook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Pupil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, the name of a tour, the title of a tour. All right. Over here, I have an assignment for you to do now,** Would you read it, please, Walcott?</td>
<td>**Write 5 sentences pertaining to our Social Studies unit which show the use of contractions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walcott</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Write five sentences pertaining to our Social Studies unit which show the use of contractions.</td>
<td>Good. What does the word &quot;pertaining&quot; mean? Let's make sure that everyone understands. Thea?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thea:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Something to do with Social Studies work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good, All right. Five good sentences about our Social Studies unit. How many of you did not understand? We are going to take five sentences that have to do with our Social Studies units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We'll go to visit a college tomorrow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Show me the use of the contraction in the sentence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupil:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You mean the sentence has to have a contraction?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pupils begin to write sentences).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teacher's Lesson Plan - Programmed Based Instruction

Aim: To write correctly the contractions for I will, he shall, you will, we shall, they will

Procedure:

1. During the past two weeks we have been learning about contractions. A contraction is a short word which is formed from two words and has the same meaning as the two words. When we write a contraction, what do we leave out? What do we leave in the place where the letters were? What mark do we put in this space?

2. Today we are going to learn to write the contractions for a word with will or shall.

3. Look at the contraction I'll. I'll can mean I shall or I will. When I write the contraction I'll, how many letters from will or shall do I keep? Which letters do I keep? Which letters from will do I leave out? from shall? What is the contraction for I will? I shall? Copy the contraction. What do we leave in the place where the letters were left out? What mark do we put in this place?

4. To write the contraction for you will or you shall, which letters from will or shall do we keep? What do we leave in the place where the letters were left out? What mark do we put in this space? Write the contraction for you will, you shall.

5. When we write the contraction for he will or he shall, which letters from will or shall do we keep? What do we leave in the place where the letters were left out? What mark do we put in this space?

he will  I will  you will
he shall  he shall  we shall
they will
### Oral Work

**Teacher:** During the past two weeks we have been learning about contractions. 

**Contraction** is the short word for two or more other words. Contraction has the same meaning as the words from which it comes. In order to write contractions we have to do several things. When we write the contraction, what do we leave out? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Sharon).

**Sharon:** A space and an apostrophe. (This is a wrong answer. Teacher asks question again, stressing the words underlined below).

**Teacher:** What do we leave out, Sharon?

**Sharon:** Letters.

**Teacher:** Good. *In the place where the letters were, what do we leave? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Carol).*

**Carol:** A space.

**Teacher:** Good. *In that space what mark do we put? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Andy).*

**Andy:** An apostrophe.

**Teacher:** Good. *Today we are going to learn to write the contraction of a word with will or shall. Let's look at the contraction I'll. **I'll can mean I will*** or I shall***. When we write the contraction I'll, which letters from will and shall do we keep? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Carol, Carol?*

### Board Work

- Teacher: *Writes the word contraction as she says it.*
- *Writes the word letters on the board.*
- *Writes the word space on the board.*
- *Writes the word apostrophe on the board.*
- **Writes I will and I shall as she says them.**

### Pupil Notebook

- Carol?  

---

**Program Based Instruction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral Work</th>
<th>Board Work</th>
<th>Pupil Notebook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong> During the past two weeks we have been learning about contractions. Contraction is the short word for two or more other words. Contraction has the same meaning as the words from which it comes. In order to write contractions we have to do several things. When we write the contraction, what do we leave out? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Sharon).</td>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong> What do we leave out, Sharon? <strong>Sharon:</strong> Letters. <strong>Teacher:</strong> Good. <em>In the place where the letters were, what do we leave? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Carol).</em> <strong>Carol:</strong> A space. <strong>Teacher:</strong> Good. <em>In that space what mark do we put? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Andy).</em> <strong>Andy:</strong> An apostrophe. <strong>Teacher:</strong> Good. <em>Today we are going to learn to write the contraction of a word with will or shall. Let's look at the contraction I'll. <strong>I'll can mean I will</strong></em> or I shall***. When we write the contraction I'll, which letters from will and shall do we keep? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Carol, Carol?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oral Work

Carol: 1 1

Teacher: Good. Which letters from will* do we leave out? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Annanette). Annanette?

Annanette: wi

Teacher: Good. Which letters from shall* do we leave out? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Charles). Charles?

Charles: sha

Teacher: Who will come up and circle the letters in will and shall that we keep? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Rebecca). Rebecca?*

Teacher: (To Rebecca) Good. Who will come up and cross out the letters in will that we leave out? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Angela). Angela?* (To Angela) Good. Who will cross out the letters in shall that we leave out? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Tony). Tony?** Good.

Teacher: When we leave out the letters wi or sha what do we leave in the place where the letters were? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Denise). Denise?

Denise: A space.

Teacher: Good. What mark do we put in that space, Denise?

Denise: Apostrophe.

Board Work

*Points to the word will on the board.

*Points to the word shall on the board.

Pupil Notebook

*Rebecca circles 1 1 in will and shall already written on the board.

**Tony crosses out wi in will.

*Angela crosses out sha in shall.
### Oral Work

Teacher: Good. What is the contraction for *I will*?

(Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Docia). Docia?

Docia: I'll

Teacher: Good. What is the contraction for *I shall*?

(Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Jackie). Jackie?

Jackie: I'll

Teacher: Good. Open your books and copy the contraction for *I will* or *I shall*. When you have finished check with model on the blackboard. (Pause) After you have checked, put your pens down, (Pause)

Teacher: If we want to write the contraction for *he will* or *he shall*, which letters from *will* and *shall* will we leave out? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Andy). Andy?

Andy: 1 1

Teacher: Good. Which letters from *will* will we leave out? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Thomas). Thomas?

Thomas: w i

Teacher: Good. Which letters from *shall* will we leave out? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Tony).

Tony: s h a

### Board Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Pupil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>1'll ✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(The ✓ mark was added after they checked with the board).

### Pupil Notebook

*Children copy

*Writes

**Writes

***Points

* * *
**Oral Work**

Teacher: Good. What will we leave in the place of where the *w i* and *s h a* were?

(Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Tommy). Tommy?

Tommy: A space.

Teacher: Good. What mark will we put in that space? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Diane). Diane?

Diane: An apostrophe.

Teacher: Good. Write the contraction for *he will* or *he shall*.

(Pause)

Teacher: Angela, would you put it on the blackboard?

Teacher: Good. Check it. Pens down.

Teacher: If we want to write the contraction for *you will* or *you shall*, we have to leave out two letters from the word will.

Teacher: Write the two letters* that you would leave out. (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Fred). Fred?

Fred: Leave out *w i*.

Teacher: Good. Check it. Which three letters would you leave out from the word shall? (Children raise hands to volunteer. Teacher selects Denise). Denise?

Denise: Leave out *sh a*.

**Board Work**

Teacher: 

Pupil: 

Children wrote: he'll

Angela wrote: he'll

Children check with board and

*Teacher wrote two cue lines: 

Children wrote: w i in notebook:

Fred wrote: w i as he said it.

Children check notebooks.
Oral Work | Board Work | Pupil Notebook
---|---|---
**Teacher:** Good. Check it. *Pens down.** Teacher put
Which two letters would you keep from the word will or the word shall? Michael?
**Teacher put two cue lines on board.**

Michael: 1 1 *

**Teacher:** Good. Check it. *In the place where the letters were, you would have to leave some- thing.** Write what you would leave.*** Edna?

Edna: A space.*

**Teacher:** Good, In that space which mark* would you put?** Sharon?

Sharon: Apostrophe.*

**Teacher:** Good, Write the contraction for you will or you shall.* (Pause) Rebecca?** Good, Pens down, (Teacher writes on board). On the black-board I have written five groups of words. Each group has will or shall in it. Write only the contraction for each group of words. (Pause while children write in books), Diane, do the first,

Diane: I'll*

**Teacher:** I'll is the contraction for which two words? Sharon?

Sharon: I will or I shall.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral Work</th>
<th>Board Work</th>
<th>Pupil Notebook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher:</td>
<td>Teacher:</td>
<td>Pupil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Points to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>board.</td>
<td>*Writes on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebecca:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>he'll*</td>
<td>he'll</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He'll is the contraction for which two groups of words? Denise?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denise:</td>
<td>he shall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And another group? Annette?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette:</td>
<td>he will</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good, We will* - Angela?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela:</td>
<td>we'll*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good, We'll is the contraction for we will and it is also the contraction for another group of words, Which group? Carol?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol:</td>
<td>we will</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That's already on the blackboard,* We will and another one? Denise?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denise:</td>
<td>we shall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good, They shall* -- Gocia?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gocia:</td>
<td>they'll*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good, You will* -- Angela?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Points to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral Work

Angela: you'll *

Teacher: Good. When we write the contraction for a word as will or shall, which letters from will or shall do we keep? Leslie?

Leslie: the two l's

Teacher: Good. Which letters from will do we leave out? Jackie?

Jackie: w i

Teacher: Good. Which letters from shall do we leave out? Annette?

Annette: s h a

Teacher: What do we leave in the place where the letters were? Freddie?

Freddie: A space.

Teacher: We leave a space, but what do we put in that space, Freddie?

Freddie: Apostrophe.

Teacher: Good. Close your books. Last child in each row please collect them.
**Prepared Text Lesson**

| 147. | Many times we contract a word with **will** or **shall**. You probably use some contractions with **will** or **shall** every day.
|      | For example:  
|      | a. **I'll** race you to the corner.  
|      | b. **You'll** have to give me back my eraser because I need it now.  
|      | c. If you **'ll** give me your apple, **I'll** give you my cookies.  

(Go to the next frame.)

| 148. | **I'll** means **I will**.  
|      | **I'll** can also mean **I shall**.  
|      | **I'll** means **I** or **I**.  

| 149. | **You'll** means **you will**.  
|      | **You'll** can also mean **you shall**.  
|      | **You'll** means **you** or **you**.  

| 150. | **I'll** means **I will** or **I shall**.  
|      | When you change **I will** and **I shall** to **I'll**, you keep the word **I**.  
|      | You also keep only 2 letters from the words **will** and **shall**.  
|      | The 2 letters that you keep from **will** and **shall** are the letters **ll**.  

| 151. | **You'll** means **you will** or **you shall**.  
|      | When you change **you will** or **you shall** to **you'll**, what are the only 2 letters left from **will** and **shall**?  
|      | **ll** (LL)
152. Whenever we have to contract *will* and *shall* with another word, we keep only the ___ ___ from *will* and *shall*.

153. Whenever we leave out a letter in writing a contraction, we leave one ________ where the letter was left out.

154. To write *will* as a contraction, we leave out *wi* and keep only the ___ ___.

155. To write *shall* as a contraction, we leave out *sha* and keep only the ___ ___.

156. Even though we leave out more than one letter, we still leave only _______ space where the letters were left out.

157. To show that one letter was left out in writing a contraction, we leave one space where the letter was left out.

To show that 2 or more letters are left out in writing a contraction, we still leave only ________ where the letters were left out.

158. Write the contraction for *I will* and *I shall* on the line below.

Leaves one space where you left out the letters.

I 'll
159. Write the contraction for you will and you shall.

Leave one space where you left out the letters.

Leave your space where the space comes in the
lines below.

you'll

160. Besides leaving space for the letters left out
in writing a contraction, we also put an apostrophe
above that space to show that letters were left out.

The space shows that letters were left out.

The _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ also shows that letters
were left out.

apostrophe (or')

161. Write the contraction for I will and I shall.

Leave space where it belongs. Put an apostrophe
where it belongs.

I'll

162. Write the contraction for you will and you shall.

Leave space where it belongs. Put an apostrophe
where it belongs.

you'll

163. Remember, when we write the contraction for any
word and will or shall, we keep only the letters
__ _ from will or shall.

All

164. We leave one space where any 1 _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ are
left out.
165. apostrophe (or')

165. **We** put an ____________ in that space.

166. Write the contractions for the words below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I'll</th>
<th>I'll</th>
<th>you'll</th>
<th>you'll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I'll</td>
<td>I'll</td>
<td>you'll</td>
<td>you'll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I shall</td>
<td>I will</td>
<td>you shall</td>
<td>you will</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I shall</td>
<td>I will</td>
<td>you shall</td>
<td>you will</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question - Booklet Sample

1. A bird is _______.
   1. a book  2. an animal  3. a thing  4. a plant

2. Which word below is spelled correctly? _______
   1. candy  2. kandy  3. canddy  4. candy

3. Which word below is an abbreviation? _______
   1. Sunday  2. Tuesday  3. Wed.  4. Friday

Answer - Sheet Sample

1. _______  2. _______  3. _______  4. _______
   1. _______  2. _______  3. _______  4. _______
   1. _______  2. _______  3. _______  4. _______
   1. _______  2. _______  3. _______  4. _______
   1. _______  2. _______  3. _______  4. _______
1. A word that tells about one person or one place or one thing is called a _______.
   1. possessive word  2. singular word  3. a plural word  4. a guide word

2. A word that means more than one person or more than one place or more than one thing is called a _______.
   1. possessive word  2. singular word  3. a plural word  4. a guide word

3. Many words that mean one person or one place or one thing can be made to mean more than one by adding _______ to them.
   1. -er  2. -y  3. -s  4. -ion

4. In a sentence a word that is used to name a person, a place, or a thing is called _______.
   1. noun  2. person  3. verb  4. suffix

5. Read this sentence to yourself.
   Bob and Jack are brothers.
   The words Bob, Jack, brothers are used as names.
   Another word for names is _______.
   1. brothers  2. verbs  3. nouns  4. persons

6. In question 5, Bob is the name of one person. We say the word Bob is a _______.
   1. singular name  2. pronoun  3. plural name  4. plural noun
7. In question 5, **brothers** is a name word which means *more than one* person.

   We say the word **brothers** is a ________.

   1. family name  
   2. boy's name  
   3. plural name  
   4. singular name

8. In the alphabet, letters that are *not* vowels are called ________.

   1. nouns  
   2. capitals  
   3. diphthongs  
   4. consonants

9. Which group of letters below has *no* vowels in it? ________

   1. lexo  
   2. eiou  
   3. ctsr  
   4. tupm

10. Which group of letters below has *only* vowels in it? ________

    1. auto  
    2. pram  
    3. eiuc  
    4. nzbd

11. Which part of the word **hex** tells you how to make it mean

    *more than one* hex? ________

    1. hex  
    2. ex  
    3. x  
    4. h

12. Which part of the word **fish** tells you how to make it mean

    *more than one* fish? ________

    1. sh  
    2. h  
    3. fi  
    4. fish

13. Which part of the word **scratch** tells you how to make it mean

    *more than one* scratch? ________

    1. sc  
    2. ch  
    3. scratch  
    4. s
14. Which part of the word guess tells you how to make it mean more than one guess? _________

```
1 2 3 4
gu ess guess
```

15. Which part of the word ferry tells you how to make it mean more than one ferry? _________

```
1 2 3 4
fe y ferry ry
```

16. Which part of the word key tells you how to make it mean more than one key? _________

```
1 2 3 4
e y ke key
```

17. Which part of the word child tells you how to make it mean more than one child? _________

```
1 2 3 4
ch ld child d
```

18. Whenever you write a word with the letter g in it, which letter do you always write after the letter g? _________

```
1 2 3 4
r p i u
```

19. Sometimes we add a group of letters to the end of a word. For example: we might add the ending -ing to the word shape to make a new word. The group of letters we add to the end of a word is called a _________.

```
1 2 3 4
prefix appendix suffix index
```
20. If we add a group of letters to the end of a word we change the
meaning and spelling of the word and __________.

1 meaning and spelling of the word 2 meaning and plural of the word
3 numbering and prefix of the word 4 the naming and length of the word

21. The words move, shove, and drive end in the letter e which is one of
5 letters we call __________.

1 suffixes 2 consonants 3 prefixes 4 vowels

22. The word shape ends in an e which we do not hear. If we add the ending
-ing to the word shape, the e at the end of the word is __________.

1 heard 2 kept 3 dropped 4 doubled

23. The ending -ly starts with the letter l which is called a __________.

1 consonant 2 vowel 3 suffix 4 prefix

24. If we add the ending -ly to the word shape, the e at the end of the word
shape is __________.

1 kept 2 dropped 3 doubled 4 heard

25. Say the word be to yourself. The e at the end of be has the sound of __________.

1 silent e 2 long e 3 short e 4 final e

26. When you add -ing to the word be, you get the word __________.

1 ing 2 being 3 bing 4 being
27. We can find out how to say a word correctly by looking at the way it is marked in a book called the ________.

1 dictionary 2 almanac 3 encyclopedia 4 magazine

28. In our language every word must have at least one sound in it called a ________.

1 silent sound 2 consonant sound 3 vowel sound 4 letter sound

29. Depending on how it sounds in a word, the letter y can be called ________. 

1 a singular 2 a plural 3 a vowel 4 prefix

30. The following words have been divided into parts.

beau ti ful beautiful
bi cy cle bicycle

If we divide words into parts as we did beautiful and bicycle, we call each part a ________.

1 group 2 syllable 3 suffix 4 prefix

31. Each part of a word must have a sound in it called a ________.

1 letter sound 2 accented sound 3 consonant sound 4 vowel sound

32. We must hear certain sounds in all words in order to count the number of parts in the words. These sounds are called ________.

1 vowel sounds 2 consonant sounds 3 soft sounds 4 letter sounds
33. The words did, act, red, have one part because they have
    only one consonant sound.  
1 consonant sound  2 short sound  3 vowel sound  4 long sound

34. Look at these 3 words: see, come, look.
    Each of the 3 words has one part or one syllable.
1 consonant sound  2 vowel sound  3 syllable  4 diphthong

35. We do not hear the e at the end of the words: move, shove, drive.
    When we do not hear a letter at the end of a word, we say the letter
    is a silent letter.
1 silent letter  2 unnecessary letter  3 long letter  4 consonant letter

36. In the word every written below, the mark over the first part of the word
tells us how to say the word correctly.
    ev' - er - y
    The mark over the ev' in the word every is called quotation mark.
1 quotation mark  2 apostrophe mark  3 accent mark  4 long mark

37. When we say the name of a vowel, we say the vowel sound that is called
    the name sound.
1 name sound  2 short sound  3 long sound  4 accented sound

38. A letter with a mark over it like this: a has a short sound.
1 short sound  2 long sound  3 quiet sound  4 accented sound
39. A letter with a mark over it like this: \( \hat{y} \) has a ________.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>short sound</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>consonant sound</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>long sound</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>accent sound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

40. In the words below, which o has the right mark over it to show how o sounds when we say the word no? ________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>no</th>
<th>no'</th>
<th>no</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

41. In the words below, which i has the right mark over it to show how i sounds when we say the word it? ________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>it</th>
<th>it'</th>
<th>it</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

42. In the words below, which a has the right mark over it to show how a sounds when we say the word at? ________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>at</th>
<th>at'</th>
<th>at</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

43. In the words below, which e has the right mark over it to show how e sounds when we say the word me? ________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>me</th>
<th>me'</th>
<th>me</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

44. The word reading has how many parts when we say it? ________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>one (1) part</th>
<th>two (2) parts</th>
<th>three (3) parts</th>
<th>four (4) parts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

45. The word sandy has how many parts when we say it? ________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>one (1) part</th>
<th>two (2) parts</th>
<th>three (3) parts</th>
<th>four (4) parts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
46. The word keep has how many parts when we say it? 

1. one (1) part
2. two (2) parts
3. three (3) parts
4. four (4) parts

47. The word some has how many parts when we say it? 

1. one (1) part
2. two (2) parts
3. three (3) parts
4. four (4) parts

48. The word powerful has how many parts when we say it? 

1. one (1) part
2. two (2) parts
3. three (3) parts
4. four (4) parts

49. The words start, park, and base end in letters t, k, and g, which we call 

1. prefixes
2. suffixes
3. consonants
4. vowels

50. The words sit and gob each have one part or one 

1. consonant sound
2. diphthong
3. syllable

51. In the 2 words sit and gob, the letters just before each last letter are i and o. The letters i and o are called 

1. middle letters
2. vowels
3. consonants
4. diphthongs

52. When you add -ing to the word sit, it looks like this: 

1. sitting
2. siteing
3. sitting
4. sitting
53. The word *commit* has 2 parts (*com*mit). When you say the word *commit*, you say the second part with more force or strength. This means that the second part of *commit* is ________.

1. accented  2. lengthened  3. shortened  4. repeated

54. When you add *-ed* to the word *commit*, it looks like this: ________.

1. committed  2. committed  3. committed  4. committed

55. If we add the ending *-ed* to a word, we change the time of the word from present time to ________.

1. sometime  2. part time  3. future time  4. past time

56. When you add *-ing* to an action word, you can get another kind of action word, or you can get a word used like a ________.

1. noun  2. contraction  3. abbreviation  4. diphthong

57. The *-er* at the end of the word *baker* means ________.

1. more  2. a person who  3. past time  4. manner

58. The *-er* at the end of the word *smaller* means ________.

1. more  2. a person who  3. past time  4. less

59. If we add the ending *-ion* to the word *vacate*, we change the meaning of the word a little bit. *Vacate* means to leave or to go away. When we add *-ion* to *vacate*, we get the new word *vacation*. The word *vacation* means ________.

1. vacant manner  2. more vacant  3. one who vacates  4. the act of going away
60. When you say that someone does a job in a slow way or manner, what ending would you add to the word slow? ________

1 2 3 4
-er -ing -ly -ed

61. When we contract something we ________.

1 2 3 4
accent it shorten it lengthen it write it

62. When we write a contraction, we write two words as ________.

1 2 3 4
one word accented words longer words plural words

63. We contract words by leaving out some ________.

1 2 3 4
apostrophes accents plurals letters

64. In a contraction 2 things show that something has been left out.

They are ________.

1 2
a period and a comma a letter and a space
3 4
an apostrophe and a comma an apostrophe and a space

65. Do contractions change the meaning of words? ________

1 2 3 4
yes no sometimes only the nouns

END OF PART 1
SPELLING TEST - PART II (CONCEPTS)

For each of the following words, numbered 1 through 26, write the word on the line next to it to show how it is written when it means more than one.

For example: cat ______ cats

The word cats written on the line next to the word cat shows how the word cat is written to make it mean more than one cat. All of these words below will change their spelling when you make them mean more than one. To some words you will need to add only one letter at the end. For other words you will have to change a few letters in the word. Therefore, on the empty line, write the whole word that means more than one, not just its ending.

Be sure to copy correctly as much of the word as you need from the part that is given. The whole word that means more than one must be spelled in full correctly. If you write just the ending, the whole word will be marked wrong.

Now write each of the words, numbered 1 through 26, so that they mean more than one.

1. medley
2. hydrometer
3. newsboy
4. onyx
5. amenity
6. peach
7. address
8. barbiturate
9. pinch
10. osprey
11. metagalaxy
12. tooth
13. dormouse
14. charwoman
15. scroll
16. contest

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)
Continue to write each of the words, so that they mean more than one.

17. cherry
18. council
19. diorama
20. puppy
21. allergy
22. crayfish
23. lady
24. stepchild
25. policeman
26. key

In questions 27 through 30, the space in each word shows that one letter has been left out. You are to write the missing letter in the space to complete the word.

For example: w a g o ___ (You would write the letter n to complete the word.)

Now you do questions 27 through 30 just like this one.

27. s q ____ a t
28. k u m q ____ a t
29. r a c q ____ e t
30. q ____ i n t u p l e t s

Questions 31 through 46 ask you to write each of the two or more words as a contraction.

For example: he is _______ he's _________

The word he's is written on the line next to the words he is to show how these two words would be written as a contraction. Now you write the contractions for the words in questions 31 through 46 just like this.

31. I am
32. you have
33. here is
34. we t a ___

(Continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Ending</th>
<th>New Word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>cannot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>they are</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>would not</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>will not</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>there are</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>he will</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>you would</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>he had</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>of the clock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>she shall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>they should</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>it is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.</td>
<td>bare</td>
<td>-ed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.</td>
<td>be</td>
<td>-ing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.</td>
<td>stop</td>
<td>-ed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.</td>
<td>pick</td>
<td>-ing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.</td>
<td>delete</td>
<td>-ing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.</td>
<td>pad</td>
<td>-ing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.</td>
<td>smooth</td>
<td>-ing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.</td>
<td>agree</td>
<td>-ing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.</td>
<td>park</td>
<td>-ed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.</td>
<td>complete</td>
<td>-ly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
Continue to write the word with the ending added to it.

57. near -ed
58. cooperate -ion
59. regret -ed
60. dissent -ing
61. repel -ing
62. direct -ed
63. leisure -ly
64. break -ing
65. repeat -ed
66. bare -ing
67. even -ing
68. leaven -ed
69. sit -ing
70. display -ing
THE EVALUATION OF PROGRAMMED SPELLING

APPENDICES

Part Two
APPENDIX D

DEVELOPMENT OF THE SCHOOL MOTIVATION SCALE

1. Development of the Scale

The School Motivation Scale has been designed to measure the extent of a student's involvement in the educative process. It is based upon the assumption that motivation, as a major variable in learning behavior, acts as a positive or negative catalyst between the pupil and the presentation of learning materials.

In its present form, the instrument consists of 134 statements each of which contains five options. The pupil checks the option which reflects the degree of his emotional contiguity to the aspect of the learning construct contained in the sentence. Option values are scaled from one to five. The total score for a student is obtained by the method of summated ratings in the manner of the Likert-type scale. To preclude the possibility of response sets, 39 per cent of the items contain a negative affect toward school. As a result, these necessitate a reversal of option values.

The content of the statements is based upon experience derived from the counseling of students, upon a series of individual interviews with fifth grade pupils, and upon the related literature. Areas comprising the educational environment which the children are required to respond to and which form the categories for the statements are:

- School (general aspects)
- School work
- Self-evaluation as a student
- Teachers
- Parents and their school role
- Peers and siblings
- After-school activities and their relation to school

Items which were originally composed within the categories have been randomized in the motivation scale.

In order to bring the instrument within the capacity of fifth grade pupils of diverse abilities, statements were structured for simplicity of vocabulary, and for clarity and conciseness of meaning. Instructions were developed which were easy to follow and which provided a sufficient number of examples. A system of coding was devised to identify the testees. By way of correcting the initial draft, the scale was administered to small groups of fourth and fifth grade pupils. Testing sessions were followed by a series of individual and group interviews to elicit the student evaluations which served as the base upon which changes were effected.
The revised form of the scale was administered to 11 fifth grade classes in four public elementary schools in New York City. This procedure provided a sample of 341 pupils.

IQ scores for these children were obtained from the school records and range from 72 to 152. A perusal of Table 1 indicates that the pupil population approximates a normal group in intelligence. The fact that the girls have a slightly higher mean IQ than the boys may be attributable to their greater adeptness in the language skills which comprise a considerable portion of standardized intelligence tests.

TABLE 1

School Motivation Scales Sample Population:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intelligence Quotients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another salient characteristic which relates to the pupils is that of social class. On the last page of the test booklet, each child detailed his father's and mother's employment. The father's work, equated with the occupational groupings of the 1960 census, served as a means of establishing the socio-economic status of the family. In cases where the father's vocation had been omitted or was difficult to interpret, the mother's work was substituted. Thus, 22 mothers were included in the formulation of the socio-economic categories of the population sample. Because family employment was totally lacking or was wholly incomprehensible in 41 booklets, the socio-economic levels pertain to 300 cases. Table 2 shows that the gamut of the city professional and industrial complex has been tapped.
TABLE 2
School Motivation Scale Sample Population:
Distribution of Parent Occupations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupational Groups</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Per Cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional and Technical</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>08.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers, Officials and Proprietors</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>07.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craftsmen and Foremen (Skilled)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operatives (Semi-skilled)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service workers</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>08.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborers</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>05.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omitted</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>341</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several steps were taken in order to create an atmosphere which would be conducive to a candid expression of personal feelings by the pupils. For one, the motivation scale was administered by the designer of the instrument who was not previously known to the children. Moreover, the booklets did not require pupils to identify themselves by name. Third, reassurance was given that school personnel would not review the papers. And finally, teachers were quietly requested to refrain from circulating in the room, thereby neutralizing any deleterious effect their presence might have in the immediate testing situation. The testing session occupied approximately one hour of each student's time.
2. **Analysis of the Scale**

Statistical evaluation of the instrument was undertaken to establish the reliability and validity of the scale.

(a) **Item Validation and Reliability**

After papers had been decoded, a numerical value from 1 (a negative affect) to 5 (a positive affect) was attached to each checked option. These ratings were then totaled in each booklet to represent the score for the pupil. The higher the score, the greater the involvement with school; the lower the score, the greater the alienation from school. Scores ranged from 211 to 620.

An item analysis was made to assess the instrument's effectiveness in discriminating between the varying motivations in the pupil population. This indicated that 121 of the items were significant at the .01 level, and 4 items were significant at the .05 level. An inability to differentiate can be attributed to 9 of the items. From the foregoing, it seems apparent that the instrument evidences ample sensitivity in distinguishing the diverse motivations of school children.

Two procedures were followed in measuring the reliability of the scale. The split-half method, corrected by the Spearman-Brown prophecy formula for the full-length of the test yielded an r of .963 which indicates that the scale has strong internal consistency. A ten-week retest was given to half the group in order to evaluate the scale's stability. The booklets are still in the process of being marked.

(b) **Validity**

Validation of the instrument has been centered in logical and in empirical techniques. The methods and content employed in devising the scale, and the psychological constructs upon which these are based are an expression of its logical soundness.

Empirical validity involved two criterion groups which had been selected on the basis of behavior patterns which are patently descriptive of positive or negative motivation toward school.

The permanent record of each child was studied. Personality listings were converted into numerical values according to the following formula:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Positive behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Maturing behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Varied behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Regressive behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor behavior</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teacher descriptions of pupils and parent-teacher conferences were noted. Where there was evidence of a Confidential File for a child, the guidance counselor was consulted for a substantiation and clarification of the information it contained. The health record was also evaluated with particular emphasis upon teacher-nurse conferences, medical examinations, and observations of nervous symptoms.

A student became a member of a criterion group if the entire record exhibited a manifest and consistent polarity. In all, 60 students were assigned to the Positive Criterion Group, and 42 students were designated for the Negative Criterion Group.

Specific standards for selecting the Positive Criterion Group were these:

1. A rating of 5 or 4 and no more than one rating of 3 on personality items.
2. A rating of Excellent or Good in Social Behavior and Work Study Habits (new permanent record), or a rating of Satisfactory in Social Living (old permanent record).
3. An absence of any negative comments on the permanent record and health record.
4. A statement pertaining to outstanding ability or to school participation, when it appeared on the record, made identification easier.

Selections of the Negative Criterion Group followed two alternate methods. If there was a Confidential File for a pupil, this fact superseded all other considerations, and the child was automatically placed in the negative group. If, however, there was no Confidential File, then a combination of these factors was employed to identify the child:

1. Numerous ratings of 1, 2, and 3 on personality items.
2. A rating of Fair or Unsatisfactory in Social Behavior and Work Study Habits (new permanent record), or a rating of Needs Improvement in Social Living (old permanent record).
3. Notations on the health record regarding nail biting, nervousness, emotional disturbances, or excessive use of the lavatory.
4. Descriptions on the permanent record citing unsatisfactory behavior.

It was predicted that pupils reflecting a positive affect toward school would obtain motivation scores above the median. Those displaying a negative affect toward school were expected to achieve scores below the median score. As can be seen in Table 3, of the 60 students in the Positive Criterion Group, 38 (i.e., 63 per cent) were correctly identified. In the Negative Criterion Group, 32 of the 42 (i.e., 76 per cent) were successfully designated. The procedure for the tetrachoric r extracted a correlation of .61.
TABLE 3

Distribution of Motivation Scores of Criterion Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scores on School Motivation Scale</th>
<th>Pupil Positive Affect</th>
<th>Pupil Negative Affect</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Above Md</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below Md</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is conceivable that the correlation might have been even higher, had teachers been more perceptive in noting the symptoms of the quiet, conforming child who harbors feelings of antagonism toward school. Instead, teachers often reward this facade of docility with fine ratings. A number of these pupils may have been incorrectly placed in the Positive Criterion Group because of this, and thereby provided a somewhat inaccurate criterion for the motivation scale to match. Nevertheless, it is believed that the scale has substantial empirical validity.

(c) Educational Motivation and Intelligence

In order to perceive any evidence of dynamic interfunctioning of the variables, a correlation was made between the IQ scores and the motivation scores. This produced a Pearson r of -.038. One would surmise from this result, that intelligence and motivation, both potent factors in school success, belong to separately active constellations in the self structure. The nature of their functioning in a specific learning situation such as that provided by programmed instruction will be determined in the Experimental Study, thus contributing further elucidation in this area.

From Table 4 it can be seen that there is a marked contrast between the motivation levels of boys and girls in the learning experience. The difference between the means of the two groups is statistically significant beyond the .01 level.
TABLE 4
School Motivation Scale Scores by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Md</th>
<th>S. D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>466.33</td>
<td>472.80</td>
<td>71.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>503.90</td>
<td>519.25</td>
<td>68.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>487.83</td>
<td>495.76</td>
<td>71.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the number of disruptive pupils and eventual dropouts is conspicuously greater among boys than girls, the evidence presented here is iterative of the fact that boys, at a rather early age, experience greater dissatisfaction with school. It implies, too, that the present school environment may be more congruent with the psychological drives and needs of girls than with those of boys in this age group.

3. **Discussion of the Scale**

It has been the purpose in this section of the Report to present in detail the fundamental principles and procedures which guided the development of the School Motivation Scale and to delineate a statistical analysis of the results. The over-all evaluation of the data has led to the conclusion that this instrument meets the reliability and validity requirements for sound testing and experimentation.

It should be added, however, that although this motivation scale will be used in conjunction with an experiment in spelling, its content and purpose are in no way related to specific subject matter. It is a self-contained psychological instrument applicable to a myriad of school situations in which a clarification of the deeper dynamics of a child's behavior may have great pertinence. In potential usefulness and value, therefore, it goes well beyond the confines of this Experimental Study.
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(This scale was developed for experimental purposes and cannot be used in schools without norms.)
THIS IS NOT A TEST

It is just a way of helping us find out how boys and girls like yourselves feel about many things.

THERE ARE NO RIGHT OR WRONG ANSWERS...... only answers which show how you really feel about these things. All people are different from each other, and for this reason their answers about many things will be different.

Everyone has a right to his own feelings and ideas.

NO ONE IN THIS SCHOOL WILL READ THESE PAPERS.

To be helpful to us and to yourself, answers should be as exact as possible.

---
DIRECTIONS

On the next pages are some statements. At the top of each page you will find a list of answers:

1. never
2. once in a while
3. half the time
4. most of the time
5. all the time

Read each sentence carefully. Then, on the answer sheet, next to the number of the sentence, darken the space under the number which shows how you feel.

EXAMPLES:

1) I like to help my mother. ..............

The student has filled in the space under number 1 which stands for never. This means that he (or she) never likes to help mother. For each of you the answer will depend on how you yourself feel.

2) Television programs are boring. ........

The student has filled in the space under number 4 which stands for most of the time. This means that he (or she) feels that television programs are not interesting.

In the next example, fill in the space under the number which stands for the word or words which best describe your feelings,

3) It is fun to play jokes on friends. ........

THERE IS NO TIME LIMIT. FILL IN ONLY ONE ANSWER FOR EACH SENTENCE. YOUR ANSWERS SHOULD SHOW YOUR REAL FEELINGS.

Remember: There are no right or wrong answers.

Turn the page and begin.
1. I ask my parents to buy good books for me to read for school.
2. I like school more than other children do.
3. If I had the chance, I would help my teacher after school.
4. It pleases me to get my report card.
5. Studying at home is fun.
6. I take books from the library to read for school.
7. I feel that my teacher is my friend when I have to give an answer.
8. It is good to try hard in school.
9. School rules are fine for me.
10. When something worries me, I try to talk it over with my teacher.
11. It is fun when the whole family watches a television program I can use for school.
12. It pleases me to talk about what I learn in school with my parents.
13. I would rather do extra work for school than watch television.
14. I think that school is nice.
15. Teachers know just how much work children can do.
1. never
2. once in a while
3. half the time
4. most of the time
5. all the time

16. My friends and I say nice things about school.

17. I would rather do work for school than be with my friends.

18. It is fun to find out my marks on tests.

19. I ask my parents to take me to visit places which will help me in my school work.

20. Children who are smart in school work are fun to be with outside of school.

21. During the summer vacation I feel sorry I am not in school.

22. My teacher is nice to me.

23. I look for television programs which will help me in my school work.

24. People who want to be a success in life must have a lot of school.

25. My teacher understands me.

26. It is helpful when parents check the homework.

27. I like to show my good marks to everybody.

28. My teacher helps me.

29. It makes me happy to tell the class about visits I make to different places.

30. I pay close attention to the lessons the teacher gives.
31. A teacher's work is hard.

32. It is right for teachers to tell parents everything their children do in school.

33. It pleases me to do a lot of homework.

34. I feel good in the morning when I think about going to school.

35. There are times when I think I would like to be a teacher when I grow up.

36. I am kind of sorry when the school day is over.

37. I like to tell the class about the books I read.

38. I like to have my parents visit school and talk to my teachers.

39. Away from school, children should do things that are the same as school work.

40. It is fun to bring things to class to make the room look nice.

41. Going to school makes boys and girls smart.

42. The books we have in school are interesting.

43. I find it easy to like school.

44. It is more important to do homework after school than to rest.

45. During a lesson, I think only about the work we are doing.
1. never
2. once in a while
3. half the time
4. most of the time
5. all the time

46. Teachers are smarter than other people.
47. It makes me feel good to think about my school work.
48. I like to show my report card to my parents.
49. I would rather take a test I know I will fail than stay away from school.
50. School is very important to me.
51. I am glad when my parents can help me with my homework.
52. I like to tell my teacher about all the nice things that happen to me.
53. I wish I could go to summer school like the older boys and girls in high school.
54. I like my teacher.
55. At home, I like to talk about the things that happen in school.
56. Trying to do what they want me to do in school is easy.
57. I love to have my parents come to school.
58. I like school just the way it is.
59. I try to answer in class whenever I can, even if the answer may be wrong.
60. Homework is more important than having a good time with friends.
1. never
2. once in a while
3. half the time
4. most of the time
5. all the time

61. Time goes fast in school.
62. I feel sure that I will finish high school.
63. I like to bring things to class for the teacher to show everybody.
64. I am glad to have my parents tell other people about my school work.
65. To be called to the front of the room to show my good work to the class, would make me happy.
66. School is a friendly place.
67. Teachers know more than just school work.
68. It is good to watch television programs that teachers say are important.
69. It makes me happy to have my parents compare my marks with the marks of other children.
70. My teacher is interesting.
71. Our school gives us chances to show how smart we are.
72. I like the things we study in class.
73. It is wrong for parents to give presents for good report cards.
74. I am pleased with all the things we do in school.
75. It is nice to be a teacher's pet.
I enjoy being in the library.

The homework we get is important.

It must be nice to talk about school with a brother or sister.

I know that fire drills are important, but I hate them because they stop the lesson.

I am proud of my school.

Parents should love a slow child more than a smart child.

I enjoy putting up my hand to give an answer during a lesson.

Teachers are my friends.

The library has the kind of books I like to read.

It makes me happy to please my parents with my school marks.

I would rather stay in school than go on trips with the class.

I want the boys and girls in my class to be my friends.

It is wrong for me to be afraid of school.

It is nice when the teacher pins up the good papers on the wall for everybody to see.

When the day is over, I like to think about school.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>once in a while</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>half the time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>most of the time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>all the time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

91. Teachers pick the right books for us to use in school.

92. If the teacher calls on somebody else when I have my hand up, I gladly try again.

93. Winning school contests is important to me.

94. Children should help teachers.

95. Children should wear their best clothes to school.

96. Preparing something for school is more important than going to a movie.

97. I think the school day should be longer.

98. I like to show my homework to my parents.

99. I find it easy to learn in class.

100. The things we learn in school will be useful when we grow up.

101. I would rather stay in class and work than go to watch an assembly program.

102. I hate to be out of the room on a pass because I miss part of the lesson.

103. Children should study in a special place set aside for that at home.

104. I do extra book reports to raise my marks.

105. What we learn in school is more important than what we learn outside of school.
106. School makes me feel smart.

107. Parents should worry if their child is poor in school work.

108. Every boy and girl should try to get to school on time.

109. It is very important to me to show the teacher how smart I am.

110. I would rather do extra work for school than go to the movies.

111. To listen to World Series baseball games in class is a pain.

112. I am smarter in school than outside of school.

113. It is good when brothers and sisters try to see who can do the best work in school.

114. My teacher likes me.

115. When boys and girls make fun of a child for trying hard, he should work hard anyway.

116. When I have to be away from school, I miss it.

117. I try to show my test papers to my parents.

118. I have the feeling that school is made for me.

119. The marks on a report card mean a lot.

120. My teacher likes me very much.
121. I try to help my teacher.

122. School is more interesting to me than anything I do outside of school.

123. I am pleased when I am one of the few boys and girls in class who know the answers.

124. I like to study hard for tests.

125. I am happy in school.

126. Boys and girls should give up watching television programs when they have to study for tests.

127. I try to get very high marks in my school work.

128. Children who are bad in school should be taken out of class.

129. School makes me feel good.

130. Class work helps me feel important.

131. I do my homework with great care.

132. Lunch is the worst time of the school day.

133. I learn better in this school than I would in another school.

134. At home I do extra work for school besides my homework.

Sit quietly and wait until the booklets and answer sheets are collected.
APPENDIX E

THE PILOT STUDY

The basic purpose of the pilot study was to test several hypotheses concerning alternate methods of program construction. Since these various programing methods are in turn related to basic theoretical assumptions underlying the learning process, the results of this study have implications beyond specific techniques of program construction.

The available research pertaining to programed instruction variables are pointedly contradictory. Coulson and Silberman found no significant differences in learning between a multiple choice format of programing versus a constructed response format. Fry, on the other hand, concludes as a result of his research, "...constructed training responses result in more learning than do multiple choice responses if the criterion of learning is recall."1

The validity of the principle of active participation of the learner through overt responding in programed instruction is also questionable. A study by Holland comparing learning from programs requiring students to write their answers with programs which were read as complete material resulted in fewer errors on a criterion test for the pupils using the constructed response programs. Studies by Silverman and Alter, Goldbeck, Campbell, and Llwellyn, and Evans, Glaser, and Homme, found no significant differences between overt and non-responding

---


programs. In a study of the relative effects of logical sequencing versus random sequencing of program frames Gavurin and Donahue found the logically sequenced program to be superior in both the fewer number of trials to criterion and the fewer errors made with program items. They did not obtain any significant differences in measures of retention. A similar study by Roe involving sequential and randomly ordered frames, resulted in no significant difference in effectiveness for the two types of programming.

In a later study, however, Roe found that the randomly sequenced group performed significantly worse on learning time, errors made during learning, and on post-learning test scores.

1. **Hypotheses**

This pilot study sought to determine whether there are any differences in pupil achievement as a result of using different program formats.

It was hypothesized that the greater the number of programming principles adhered to in the construction of a program, the greater pupil achievement would be as measured by tests of immediate and delayed retention.

The specific hypotheses that were tested are:

a) The linear constructed, overt, response program which provides immediate reinforcement is more effective than linear programs which use a multiple choice response format, a linear no-response program, a scrambled constructed response program and a text book presentation.

b) The linear program which uses a multiple choice response format is more effective than a linear no-response program, a scrambled constructed response program and a text book presentation.

c) The linear no-response program and a scrambled constructed response program is more effective than a text book presentation.

d) The scrambled constructed response program will be more effective than a text book presentation.

Pupil achievement is considered as consisting of factual and conceptual learning. Each of the aforementioned hypotheses are tested for factual and conceptual learning and for immediate and delayed retention.

---


2. Description of Pilot Study School

The school selected for the pilot study consisted of 52 classes having a total population of approximately 1650 pupils. The distribution of classes by grade in the school is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Second</th>
<th>Third</th>
<th>Fourth</th>
<th>Fifth</th>
<th>Sixth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean class size for the school is 32.8 pupils.

Reading grades and I.Q. scores for the third and sixth grades for the school were obtained from the results of the city-wide testing program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>I.Q.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Reading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3/62</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>6th</td>
<td>10/61</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>4/62</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6th</td>
<td>11/61</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reading tests used in the third and sixth grades were the Metropolitan Achievement Tests, elementary and intermediate forms.

The I.Q. tests used in the third and sixth grades were the Otis Alpha and The Otis Beta.

Ethnically, the school is predominantly white. Thirteen per cent of the pupils are Negro and 5 per cent are Puerto Rican. Only 1 per cent of the school population is non-English speaking.

3. Description of Pupils

Eight fifth grade classes from the school were selected for participation in the pilot study. The total number of pupils selected was 248. Mean class size was 31 pupils. The range was 24 to 38 pupils per class. Within each class, the five forms of the program were randomly assigned to pupils. Each experimental condition had approximately 49 pupils (49.6). The comparability of the experimental groups was evaluated using I.Q. and reading ability test scores from school records. The spelling section of the Metropolitan Achievement Test was administered as a pretest to further test for the comparability of groups on initial spelling ability.

Five fifteen-minute work periods were allotted for the pupils to complete the various forms of the program.

The teachers were requested not to teach spelling during the length of the experiment which lasted for 5 days.
4. Instruments Used

The spelling section (Test 3) of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests, Intermediate Battery was used as a pretest for establishing the spelling equivalency for all of the experimental groups. This sub-test consists of 55 recognition type items.

The post test (criterion test) was constructed by the project staff. It is a 50 item constructed response type test based on the content of the spelling program. Since the objective of the spelling program is the teaching of specific concepts and rules, the test items were designed to evaluate the application of these concepts and rules in generalized spelling situations. An item analysis of the test was performed. Three types of spelling scores were used as criterion measures. Those items which required the learning of concepts (spelling rules) were incorporated into a 35 item subscale score named, "Concepts." Those items which involved rote memorization were incorporated into a 15 item subscale score named, "Facts." A total spelling score was obtained by combining the two subscale scores.

5. Description of Pilot Study Programs

In order to test the hypotheses concerning alternate methods of programing five forms of a program covering the same content were developed.

Form 1 - Linear Constructed Response. This program follows the traditional linear model of utilizing constructed responses with immediate feedback of the correct response. The items are sequentially ordered and progress by small steps. The program includes one branching sequence to insure knowledge of certain prerequisite information.

Form 2 - Multiple Choice Response - This program is identical in construction to that of Form 1, with one exception. In responding to each of the frames the pupil must choose the correct response from four provided choices. In addition to seeing the correct answer he also sees three incorrect answers.

Form 3 - Scrambled Constructed Response - Same as Form 1, except that within each unit the frames have been randomly sequenced.

Form 4 - Linear No-Response - Same as Form 1, except the responses called for in Form 1 are provided in each frame.

Form 5 - Textbook - During the writing of the four forms of the program the project team agreed that a fifth form was in order; that of presenting the content of the program in conventional book form. It was decided that this form could provide a benchmark against which to evaluate the other forms of the program; particularly if it was found that there were no significant differences among them. Although the original four forms vary in construction, they all contain some basic features of programmed instruction. The fifth form's claim to a relationship with programmed instruction is no greater than that of any well written textbook.
A summary of the significant characteristics of the five forms of the program can be seen in Table 1.

6. Statistical Procedures

For statistical analysis the following null hypotheses were tested:

a) There are no significant differences between the Immediate Retention Test scores of children randomly assigned to the five treatment groups in factual and conceptual learning.

b) There are no significant differences between the Delayed Retention Test scores of children randomly assigned to the five treatment groups in factual and conceptual learning.

c) There are no significant differences between time scores of children randomly assigned to the five treatment groups.

The Pilot Study design anticipated an equal number of observations in each cell but loss of observations occurred for essentially random reasons unrelated to experimental variables. A simple analysis of variance for unequal sample size was used for the analysis of data. The effect of the treatments upon the variances was tested by Hartley's test for homogeneity of variance. The components of variation were used for individual comparisons of treatment means.

7. Results of the Pilot Study

The results of this study as indicated in Table 2 for both immediate and delayed retention as measured by the criterion total test score, indicate there are no significant differences between the five different forms of programed instruction in spelling.

When the content of the criterion test was analyzed into factual and conceptual scores separately, significant differences between the methods were apparent. Significant differences between the methods for fact scores were found for both immediate and delayed retention. There were, however, no significant differences between the methods on conceptual scores.

When the various methods were evaluated according to time needed to complete the programs, a significant difference was found. The analysis of variance results for the experimental hypotheses can be found in Table 3.

The statistical data for the following individual comparisons of means can be found in Tables 4, 5, and 6.

For immediate retention of facts the linear constructed response program, the multiple choice response program, and the scrambled constructed response program were all significantly more effective than the text form of the program and the linear no-response program.

Although not significant, the ordering of the effectiveness of the five forms of the program for the learning of facts on immediate retention did occur in the direction predicted, with the exception of the scrambled constructed response form.
### TABLE 1

**Summary of the Structural Characteristics of the Spelling Programs for Teaching Plurals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prompting</th>
<th>Constructed Response</th>
<th>Multiple Choice Response</th>
<th>No-Response</th>
<th>Scrambled Constructed Response</th>
<th>Text Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active pupil participation</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate feedback</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequentially ordered items</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlocking of items</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small steps</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall type items</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition type items</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of frames</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 2

Summary Tables for Analysis of Variance for Matching Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Degrees of Freedom</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intelligence Quotients</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>302.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Error</td>
<td>858.3</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1161.0</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Pre-Test Spelling Scores**|                |                    |             |     |
| Treatments                | 497.6          | 4                  | 124.4       |     |
| Experimental Error        | 32,426.1       | 173                | 187.4       | .7  |
| **Total**                 | 32,923.7       | 177                |             |     |

| **Reading Level**         |                |                    |             |     |
| Treatments                | 14.6           | 4                  | 3.6         | 1.5 |
| Experimental Error        | 632.9          | 173                | 2.5         |     |
| **Total**                 | 647.5          | 177                |             |     |

* $F_{.99}(4,173) = 3.41$
* $F_{.95}(4,173) = 2.42$
### Table 3

Analysis of Variance Summary Tables for Experimental Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Degrees of Freedom</th>
<th>Mean Squares</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate Retention</td>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>894.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>223.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Scores</td>
<td>Experimental Error</td>
<td>28,983.4</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>167.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>29,878.3</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate Retention</td>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>322.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>3.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fact Scores</td>
<td>Experimental Error</td>
<td>3,666.8</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,989.3</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate Retention</td>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>151.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept Scores</td>
<td>Experimental Error</td>
<td>14,276.2</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,427.5</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Retention</td>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>1,197.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>299.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Scores</td>
<td>Experimental Error</td>
<td>24,798.5</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>25,995.9</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Retention</td>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>213.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>2.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fact Scores</td>
<td>Experimental Error</td>
<td>3,170.4</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,383.5</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Retention</td>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>403.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept Scores</td>
<td>Experimental Error</td>
<td>11,900.8</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,304.7</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>29,801.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7,450.3</td>
<td>44.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental Error</td>
<td>28,842.9</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>58,644.1</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at .05 level.
### TABLE 4

**Components of Variation for Experimental Means**

**Immediate Retention - Fact Scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Format</th>
<th>Number of Subjects</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Level of Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constructed Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>With:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrambled</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Choice</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple Choice With:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrambled</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scrambled With:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Answer Supplied With:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

"From the table, we can see that the mean scores for Immediate Retention - Fact Scores vary depending on the program format. The highest mean score is 10.2, observed in the Constructed Response condition, and the lowest is 7.1, observed in the Text condition.

The level of significance for these differences indicates that the observed differences between conditions are statistically significant at the .01 level for Constructed Response, and at the .05 level for Multiple Choice and Scrambled conditions. This suggests that the program format significantly affects the retention of fact scores, with Constructed Response showing the best performance.

These results are consistent with previous studies that have shown the effectiveness of constructed response tasks in enhancing memory retention. Further research could investigate the underlying mechanisms that contribute to these findings, such as the engagement of different cognitive processes during the construction of responses."
Table 5

Components of Variation for Experimental Means

Delayed Retention - Fact Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Format</th>
<th>Number of Subjects</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Level of Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Constructed Response With:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrambled</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Choice</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple Choice With:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrambled</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scrambled With:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Answer Supplied With:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 6

Components of Variation for Experimental Means

Time to Complete Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Format</th>
<th>Number of Subjects</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Level of Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructed Response With:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrambled</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Choice</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Choice With:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrambled</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrambled With:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>117.7</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied With:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Supplied With:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For delayed retention of facts the constructed response program, the multiple choice program, and the scrambled constructed response program were all significantly more effective than the no-response program.

When the experimental groups were compared by time taken to complete the program, the text form of the program took significantly less time to complete than all of the other forms of the program. The no-response program also took significantly less time to complete than the constructed response program, the multiple choice program, and the scrambled constructed response program. The means for the experimental groups on the criterion tests are presented in Table 7.

There are several limiting factors to this experiment. The criterion test and an alternate form of it were not available at the beginning of the experiment so that the pre-program proficiency level of the pupils on the content of the program could not be established. In addition, the program itself consisted of only 129 frames.

While the results of this pilot study cannot be generalized to other learning situations, they do have heuristic value. These results and those of other researchers indicate that significant teaching/learning variables have as yet not been identified either singularly or in significant interactions. The variables explored in this study should be further studied in factorial designs where they can be tested in various combinations.

In addition to the experimental results, some interesting insights occurred during the initial writing of the program. Before the programs were used as part of the pilot study they were tried out on a comparable group of students. A pre-test and post-test was administered. A comparison of pre-test and post-test results indicated that while pupils improved in spelling, little learning took place in relation to understanding the rules. In attempting to understand these results, it was hypothesized that the source of error lay in the program. An analysis of the content of the program revealed that a "set" had been established on the part of the programer whereby all critical information was programed for correct spelling. The result of this was that words, like singular, plural, and noun, whose meanings were essential to the understanding of the rules, were programed for spelling rather than for meaning.

The insight gained from this pilot study was that even when great care is exercised in the preparation of learning materials, without a continual source of objective evaluation it becomes impossible to know whether the desired outcomes are being attained. Unless controls accompany curriculum production, curriculum writers may never become aware that they may be missing their instructional objectives. In the aforementioned example, the psychological phenomenon of "set" acted to mislead a carefully designed and executed program. As a result, the first draft of the program was completely rewritten in order to be related to the teaching objectives.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Constructed Response</th>
<th>Multiple Choice</th>
<th>No Response</th>
<th>Scrambled</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate Retention - Total Scores</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate Retention - Fact Scores</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate Retention - Concept Scores</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Retention - Total Scores</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Retention - Fact Scores</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Retention - Concept Scores</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Scores</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One of the unique features of programed instruction was revealed by converting the program into expository writing. Differences in concept organization and presentation between the two methods became readily apparent. In a program, each frame is related to those before and following. This is accomplished by having specific items (stimulus or response) in the frames dovetail. The dovetailing content is usually repetitious and serves two purposes:

a) It reduces the need for students to refer back in the program for significant information, and,

b) It establishes a continuity between the frames which in other ways are discrete units.

The differences between a programed instruction presentation and a textbook presentation can be seen from the following schematic:

Where the rectangles represent frames, the divisions within the rectangles represent the content of the frames (in terms of elements), the solid arrow lines represent the elements carried from one frame to another and constitute the interlocking feature of the program.

As a consequence of this difference between programs and conventional textbooks the extensive repetition was dropped in preparing the fifth form, since it became apparent that a program was not a textbook with minor modifications.
Criterion Spelling Test Used In Pilot Study

The Post Test is an untimed (completion time is generally 15 to 30 minutes) fifty item instrument. It purports to measure the spelling facts and spelling rules learned from the programed booklets. Fifteen of the items test learning of facts, and thirty-five of the items test for the ability to apply learned concepts (spelling rules) to unfamiliar words (words not taught by the programed booklet and words beyond grade level).

Test items answered correctly are totaled for a maximum score of 50. In addition, each item is scored to yield subtest scores for facts (15 points maximum) and concepts (35 points maximum). The items related to concept testing consist of familiar and unfamiliar words.
1. Read the sentence below to yourself. Under the sentence are 3 questions about words in the sentence. Answer these questions.

Sentence: Bob and Jack are brothers.

a) Bob, Jack, brothers are words used as names. Another word for names is __________.

b) Because Bob is the name of one person, we say that Bob is a __________ name.

c) Because brothers tells about two persons, we say that brothers is a __________ name.

d) We can therefore say as a rule that any word that names two or more things is a __________ ____________.

2. a) Look at this sentence: The boy and girl worked at the desk by the window.
Boy, girl, desk, window are _______________ names because they tell about _______________ person, place, and thing.

3. Look at this sentence and answer the questions below it.

Sentence: The fifth grade classes shared the music books.

a) Classes and books are called names or _______________.

b) Classes and books are _______________ names.

4. Most names that tell about one person, one place, or one thing can be made to mean more than one by adding __________ to them.

5. What would you add to box, dish, patch, guess to make them mean more than one? Add __________. 
6. Something about the words box, dish, patch, guess told you what to add to them to make them mean more than one. Put a circle around the part or parts of each word listed below that told you how to make it mean more than one.

   box   dish   patch   guess

7. Make these words mean more than one. Write your answer in the space next to the word.

   berry ______________ city ______________
   key ______________ bay ______________

8. Put a circle around the part or parts of each word listed below that told you how to make it mean more than one.

   berry   baby   city   bay

9. Make these words mean more than one. Write your answer in the space next to the word.

   woman ______________ child ______________

10. Name the vowels. ____________________________________________________________

11. The letters of the alphabet that are not vowels are called the ______________.

12. Circle the letters that are not vowels in this word: c a l e n d a r

13. Make these words mean more than one.

   cowboy ______________ melody ______________
   puppy ______________ pinch ______________
   address ______________ fox ______________
   medley ______________ osprey ______________
   barbiturate ______________ phalanx ______________
   allergy ______________ canary ______________
   county ______________ baloney ______________
   crayfish ______________ metagalaxy ______________
   mouse ______________ diorama ______________
   body ______________ assembly ______________
   policeman ______________ parley ______________
   valley ______________ amenity ______________
   onyx ______________ ideology ______________
   th ______________ subway ______________
Samples of Pilot Study Programs
Linear Construction Response

**WORDS TO BE PRONOUNCED WITH THE CHILDREN:** Single; singular; plural; noun; Syllables

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **One** | (1) Some words tell about one thing, like: boy; girl; house; dog.  
When a word means one thing, we say that it is a **singular** word.  
A singular word means _ _ _ thing. |
| **Singular** | (2) Then a word talks about one person, one place, or one thing, like: boy, house, book, we say that it is a **singular** word.  
A _ _ _ _ _ _ word tells us about one person, one place, or one thing. |
| **Singular** | (3) A **syllable** is a part of a word in which we hear or say a vowel sound.  
The word singular has 3 vowel sounds in it when we say it. Therefore, we say that singular has 3 syllables.  
Write the 3 syllables in the word singular in the space below.  
_ _ _ / _ _ / _ _ |
| **Singular** | (4) Singular words tell about one person, one place, or one thing.  
John tells the name of one person.  
Home tells the name of one place.  
Book tells the name of one thing.  
Because they tell the name of one person, place, or thing, these words are _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ words. |
(5) Look at the underlined words in this sentence:

As he sat near the window in school, the boy saw his book lying in the yard.

Window is the name of one thing.
School is the name of one place.
Boy is the name of one person.
Book is the name of one thing.
Yard is the name of one place.

Window, school, boy, book, yard are each used as singular names in the sentence because each one tells about one _ _ _ _ , _ _ _ _ , or _ _ _ _ .

Multiple Choice Response

WORDS TO BE PRONOUNCED WITH THE CHILDREN: Single; singular; plural; noun; syllables

(1) Some words tell about one thing, like: boy; girl; house; dog.

When a word means one thing, we say that it is a singular word.

A singular word means

- a. many things
- b. two things
- c. one thing
- d. no thing

(2) When a word talks about one person, one place, or one thing, like: boy, house, book, we say that it is a singular word.

A word that tells us about one person, one place, or one thing is called a

- a. clear word
- b. singular word
- c. spelling word
- d. new word
### Singular Words

A syllable is a part of a word in which we hear or say a vowel sound.

The word singular has 3 vowel sounds in it when we say it. Therefore, we say that singular has 3 syllables.

The three syllables in the word singular are:

- a. sin/gu/lar
- b. sing/u/lar
- c. s/ing/ular
- d. sin/g/ular

### Singular Words

Singular words tell about one person, one place, or one thing.

John tells the name of one person.

Home tells the name of one place.

Book tells the name of one thing.

Because they tell the name of one person, place, or thing, these words are

- a. new words
- b. plural words
- c. spelling words
- d. singular words

### Underlined Words

As he sat near the window in school, the boy saw his book lying in the yard.

Window is the name of one thing.

School is the name of one place (or one building).

Boy is the name of one person.

Book is the name of one thing.

Yard is the name of one place.

Window, school, boy, book, yard are each used as singular names in the sentence because each one tells about a

- a. person, book, or tree
- b. name, boy, John
- c. person, place, or thing
- d. person, animal, thing
(6) Singular comes from the word *single*. *Single* means *one*.

Words that have to do with one person, one place, or one thing are:

a. singular words
b. new words
c. plural words
d. spelling words

(1) Some words tell about one thing, like: boy, girl, house, dog.

When a word means one thing, we say that it is a singular word.

A singular word means *one* thing.

(2) When a word talks about one person, one place, or one thing, like: boy, house, book, we say that it is a singular word.

A *singular* word tells us about one person, one place, or one thing.

(3) A syllable is a part of a word in which we hear or say a vowel sound.

The word *singular* has 3 vowel sounds in it when we say it. Therefore, we say that *singular* has 3 syllables.

Write the 3 syllables in the word *singular* in the spaces below.

\[s\ i n/g\ u/l a\ r\]
(4) Singular words tell about one person, one place, or one thing.

John tells the name of one person.
Home tells the name of one place.
Book tells the name of one thing.

Because they tell the name of one person, place, or thing, these words are singular words.

(5) Look at the underlined words in this sentence.

As he sat near the window in school, the boy saw his book lying in the yard.

Window is the name of one thing.
School is the name of one place.
Boy is the name of one person.
Book is the name of one thing.
Yard is the name of one place.

Window, school, boy, book, yard are each used as singular names in the sentence because each one tells about one person, one place, or one thing.

(6) Singular comes from the word single.
Single means one.

Singular words are words that have to do with one person, one place, one thing.

(7) A word that is used to name a person, a place, or a thing is called a noun.

A word that is used to name one person, one place, or one thing is called a singular noun.

(8) A word used as a name in a sentence is called a noun.

Noun is another word for singular.

(9) The name of one person in this sentence has a line under it.

Bobby threw the ball.
**Scrambled Constructed Response**

- Scrambled Constructed Response

  *ODS TO BE PRONOUNCED IN THIS CHILDREN: single; singular; plural; noun; syllables*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>singular noun</th>
<th>plural noun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>girl</td>
<td>girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>home</td>
<td>homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>book</td>
<td>books</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) When a noun means more than one person, place, or thing, it is a plural noun.

*Boys, beaches, doors* mean more than one person, place, or thing.

*Boys, beaches, doors* are ____ nouns.

(2) Compare these words by looking from the singular form to the plural form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>girl</td>
<td>girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>home</td>
<td>homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>book</td>
<td>books</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To make girl, home, and book plural, we add the letter ___ to the singular form.

(3) The singular noun plus ___ makes a plural noun.

(4) To make most singular nouns mean more than one, we add the letter ___ to the singular form.

*We may say this is another way: to make most singular nouns plural, add an ___ to the singular form.*

(5) The boy caught a fish.

*Boy* is a singular noun because it tells about one person.

*Fish* is a singular noun because it names one thing.

(6) What is the same about these words?

*Mary, house, cooky, boy*

They are singular nouns or names.
(Scrambled Constructed Response - Cont'd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bead</td>
<td>number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bean</td>
<td>oat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boot</td>
<td>pilgrim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boy</td>
<td>play</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>card</td>
<td>pound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crop</td>
<td>question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fall</td>
<td>root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>folk</td>
<td>rose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>friend</td>
<td>shoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>germ</td>
<td>ski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glove</td>
<td>sock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grape</td>
<td>stamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lesson</td>
<td>tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lot</td>
<td>toy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>member</td>
<td>twin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>movie</td>
<td>yard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(7) Singular words tell about one person, one place, or one thing.

John tells the name of one person.
Home tells the name of one place.
Book tells the name of one thing.

Because they tell the name of one person, place, or thing, these words are _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ words.

(8) These singular nouns all become plural by adding -s to them. Write the plural of each word next to the word.
1. **SINGULAR WORDS**

Some words tell about one thing, like: boy, girl, house, dog. When a word tells about one thing, we say that it is a singular word. A singular word means one thing. A singular word can tell about one person: boy; about one place: house; about one thing: book.

A syllable is a part of a word in which we hear or say a vowel sound. The word "singular" has 3 vowel sounds in it when we say it. Therefore, we say that "singular" has 3 syllables. The 3 syllables in the word "singular" are sin - gu - lar.

Singular words tell about one person, one place, or one thing. "John" tells the name of one person. "Home" tells the name of one place. "Book" tells the name of one thing. Because they tell the name of one person, place, or thing, these words are singular words.

Look at the underlined words in this sentence. "As he sat near the window in school, the boy saw his book lying in the yard." Window is the name of one thing. School is the name of one place. Boy is the name of one person. Book is the name of one thing. Yard is the name of one place. Window, school, boy, book, yard are each used as singular names in the sentence because each one tells about one person, place, or thing.

"Singular" comes from the word "single." "Single" means one. Singular words are words that have to do with one person, one place, one thing.

2. **SINGULAR NOUNS**

A word that is used in a sentence to name a person, place, or a thing is called a noun. A word that is used to name one person, one place, or one thing is called a singular noun. "Noun" is another word for name. In this sentence: "Bobby threw the ball," Bobby is the name of one person. Bobby is a singular noun.

In the sentence: "The boy found the book," boy is the noun that names one person.

In the sentence: "The boy caught a fish," boy is a singular noun because it tells about one person. Fish is also a singular noun because it names one thing.

Look at this sentence. "The school is a big building." School is a singular noun because it names one place. Building is also a singular noun because it names one place or thing.

Read this sentence: "Father cut the grass in the yard." Father, yard, grass are singular nouns because they name one person, one place, and one thing.
Any word that is used to name one person, place, or thing is called a singular noun. *Mary, house, cookie, boy* each have something the same about them. They are singular nouns or names.

3. **PLURAL NOUNS (adding *s*)**

Sometimes we want a noun to name more than one person, place, or thing. When a noun names more than one person, one place, or one thing, we say that it is a plural noun. The word "plural" means more than one. The word "plural" has 2 syllables (parts): plu - ral.

To make most singular nouns mean more than one, we add the letter *s* to the singular form. We may say this in another way: to make most singular nouns plural, add an *s* to the singular form.

Compare these words by looking from the singular form to the plural form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>Plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>girl</td>
<td>girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>home</td>
<td>homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>book</td>
<td>books</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>