Volume II of "Discourse, Paragraph, and Sentence Structure in Selected Philippine Languages" begins with an explanation of certain assumptions and postulates regarding sentence structure. A detailed treatment of systems of sentence structure and the parameters of such systems follows. Data in the various indigenous languages are regularly presented to illustrate the various sentence types; but for an understanding of the context of the sentence in discourse, Volume III (AL 002 033) of the report must be consulted. See also AL 002 032. (DO)
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0 Theory of sentence structure

As with discourse and paragraph in Vol. I, so here certain assumptions and postulates regarding sentence structure are prefaced to the summary and interpretative material which follows in this volume.

(1) The grammatical sentence (G-sentence) is subject to certain phonological constraints (i.e. relates as follows to the phonological or P-sentence): (a) A G-sentence cannot be posited if it involves a pattern that regularly or obligatorily breaks into two P-sentences (i.e. has obligatory medial final juncture); but a G-sentence may be posited which optionally structures as one or two P-sentences (i.e. has optional medial final juncture). (b) A putative G-sentence with deleted (or null) conjunction is considered to be one G-sentence if and only if it is also one P-sentence (i.e. is not interrupted by final juncture).

(2) There is a finite number of sentence types in a given language with extensive recursive embedding of one type within another.

(3) The sentence types of a language form a system with stateable parameters. Relator-Axis Sentences are extra-systemic. The Simple Sentence is extra-systemic in some languages but patterns as part of the system of sentences in other languages.

(4) There is a basic distinction of nucleus and periphery within the sentence. Each sentence type has a unique nucleus. The periphery is common to all types.

(5) The periphery divides into inner and outer periphery. The outer periphery normally precedes or brackets both the inner periphery and the nucleus. The inner periphery may precede or follow the sentence nucleus with which it is normally contiguous.

(6) The outer periphery includes such tagmemes as Sentence Conjunction, Exclamation, Vocative, and Echo Question which are expounded by morphemes, words and phrases. Embedded sentences which expound tagmemes other than the Quotation of a Quotation Sentence do not contain an outer periphery.

(7) The inner periphery includes the Sentence Topic and the margin tagmemes. The latter are (with the exception of Time and Cause Margins) expounded exclusively by Relator-Axis Sentences. Margin tagmemes express such functions as: time, extent, circumstances, concession, condition, cause, purpose, and result. The margins may form a system with parameters.

(8) Nuclear sentence tagmemes are of two sorts: (a) Links and Markers (expounded by conjunctions and particles, or complexes of conjunctions and particles); and (b) Bases (expounded by clauses, embedded sentences, and phrases). Various sorts of Bases are distinguished. One sort of base of frequent occurrence is the Formula, i.e. a base of somewhat stereotyped form with heavy lexical restriction. Formulas are used in Quotation Sentences; in Sentences of Inference, Comparison, Benefit, and the like; and in Alternation Sentences in some languages.
(9) Contrasting sets of conjunctions (including unit sets and the nul set) distinguish various Link and Marker tagmemes and as such constitute important criteria for distinguishing the nuclei of distinct sentence types.

(10) While the morphemes and syntagmemes that expound nuclear Link or Marker and Base tagmemes form one sort of criteria for distinguishing sentence types, further criteria are the permutations, deletions, and transformations that operate on the exponents of nuclear tagmemes.

(11) Certain sentence nuclei involve relations assumed to be primitives on the sentence level, i.e. Simple, Coordination, Antithesis, Alternation, and Quotation.

(12) Other sentence nuclei are derived in various ways: (a) by incorporating the exponents of two or more consecutive tagmemes in a paragraph structure into a sentence nucleus; (b) by adding a further Marker which cross-references to a sentence margin and thus brings the Relator-Axis Sentence which expounds the latter into the nucleus; (c) by creating a nucleus consisting of two normally dependent constructions, (i.e. Relator-Axis Sentences, gerundatives, or clauses with dependent tense); (d) by deleting the Relator from a Relator-Axis Sentence (which expounds a margin) and thus creating a new juxtaposed Base which joins the sentence nucleus; (e) by partially merging two Bases that are separated by nul or weak conjunction; and (f) by specializing and expanding a clause structure to become a sentence nucleus.

(13) Whether primitive or derived the systemic sentence nuclei divide according to (a) those characterized by some sort of conjoining, and (b) those with a Formula tagmeme or sentence-level equation. The primitive nuclei among the former are Coordinate, Antithetical, and Alternation Sentences (when the latter has no Formula). The primitive sentences among the latter are: Direct Quotation, and any sort of non-speech Indirect Quotation or other non-derived sentence types with Formulas. Equational Sentences are derived. The Simple Sentence is a further primitive on the sentence level.

(14) The logical relations expressed within the sentence partially overlap with certain relations holding between parts of contiguous G-sentences (these parts often form L-sentences); with certain relations expressed on the clause-level, and with certain relations expressed on the paragraph level. There is no simple mapping of logical relations into grammatical relations at any hierarchical level.

In that points (1) and (14) are not otherwise discussed in this volume, I expound on them briefly here. Common to these two points is a consideration of three sorts of sentences: the Phonological Sentence, the Grammatical Sentence, and the Lexical Sentence. While the grammatical sentence is our preoccupation in this volume, it can not be wholly understood out of context with the other two sorts of sentences.
0.1 Phonological and grammatical sentences

It is assumed here that phonological as well as grammatical hierarchy exists. Such a unit as a phonological sentence may be posited in any language. It has a characteristic sentence-final juncture and other unifying features as well (e.g. intonation contour). This phonological unit is not necessarily in one-to-one correspondence with the grammatical sentence. Nevertheless, the latter is not posited without consideration of the former. Point (1) above, which summarizes the relationship of the phonological to the grammatical sentence, is stated more fully by Lawrence Reid as follows (although his rules are framed primarily for Bontoc they appear to be of general relevance):

"In first attempts at analysis of Grammatical Sentences, considerable confusion was caused through undue reliance on phonological criteria for establishing Grammatical Sentence boundaries. In transcribing texts phonological criteria are normally followed in deciding when to place a comma or a period. In fact a comma is the normal grapheme for a nonfinal sentence intonation, and period is the normal grapheme for final sentence intonation. However, in many instances logical relations which are lexically marked, such as Alternation, Antithesis and Coordination, and which are considered to be indicative of Grammatical Sentence types occur across Phonological Sentence boundaries. There is evidently no one-to-one correspondence between Phonological and Grammatical Sentences. A series of hypotheses have therefore been formed to enable consistent decisions to be made in determining Grammatical Sentence boundaries.

"These rules, then, govern the mapping of Phonological on to Grammatical Sentences in Bontoc. "1. Two Clauses whether phonologically bound or not, form all or part of one Grammatical Sentence if there is a link (e.g. a conjunction) present functioning on the Sentence level. "2. Two Clauses phonologically bound, form all or part of one Grammatical Sentence where no such link is present but one can be plausibly supplied. "3. Two Clauses not phonologically bound (i.e. separated by final sentence intonation), form all or part of two Grammatical Sentences where no link is present, even though a link may be plausibly supplied. "4. Two Clauses whether phonologically bound or not, form all or part of two Grammatical Sentences where a link is present whose function is to link structures higher than the Sentence level."
5. Two Clauses whether phonologically bound or not, form all or part of two Grammatical Sentences when there is no link present and none can be plausibly supplied.

These rules may be formalized as follows:
1. A,/. link B = 1GS + 1/2 PS
2. A, (link) B = 1GS + 1 PS
3. A, (link) B = 2GS + 2 PS
4. A,/. link B = 2GS + 1/2 PS
5. A,/.B = 2GS + 1/2 PS

Key
A B = consecutive Clauses
link = Sentence level link (conjunction or lexical tie)
(link) = higher than Sentence level link (conjunction or lexical tie)
(link) = supplied link
/ = nonfinal phonological junction
. = final phonological junction
= or
GS = Grammatical Sentence
PS = Phonological Sentence
+ = maps onto

0.2 Grammatical sentence and lexical sentence

While the grammatical sentence is particularly subject to phonological constraints, the lexical sentence is particularly subject to grammatical constraints. Thus, if we concern ourselves with the mapping of lexical sentences onto grammatical sentences and then of grammatical sentences onto phonological sentences we probably proceed more surely.

In Vol. I, Part 3, Sec. 2.2.21 and 2.3 attention is given to the relations of grammatical and phonological sentences within PROCEDURAL NARRATIVE DISCOURSE. The correlation of the sorts of sentences in other discourse genre, (noticeably EXPLANATORY and HORTATORY) has not been attempted as yet. My coauthor of that part of Vol. I, Miss Myra Lou Barnard, posits seven types of lexical sentences, each of which she carefully maps onto grammatical structures. One such lexical sentence (Type I) involves paraphrase or amplification. This lexical category constitutes a lexical sentence which may encode grammatically as (a) all or part of one grammatical sentence and the Time Margin of the next grammatical sentence; (b) as a Paraphrase Sentence; (c) as a sequence of two grammatical sentences which expound TEXT and EXPO on the paragraph level.
Consider also another lexical sentence (Type V) which indicates simultaneity. In Dibabawon two simultaneous actions may encode grammatically (a) as the Bases of a Coordinate, Juxtaposed, or Merged Sentence; (b) as Base plus Time Margin; (c) as Indirect Quotation Formula followed by Indirect Quotation ("I saw that he was coming"); (d) as two simple sentences in sequence within a paragraph—where Myra Lou Barnard says that they expound TEXT and EXPO. In some languages simultaneous events may encode as a specific Simultaneous Sentence (cf. Inibaloi and Agta). Consider finally, her lexical category of delineation (lexical sentence Type VI). Here a second element describes or qualifies a first element. Such a lexical sentence may grammatically encode as (a) a Base and a Conditional Margin; (b) two grammatical sentences which expound TEXT-EXPO on the paragraph level; (c) a noun phrase with a descriptive modifier.

In brief, such lexical or logico-linguistic categories as paraphrase, simultaneity, and delineation characterize contrasting lexical sentences that encode in a variety of grammatical structures of which we have indicated: (a) certain sequences of sentences which expound given paragraph level tagmemes; (b) certain nuclear sentence structures; (c) certain sequences of Base and Margin within a given sentence type; (d) sequences of a Base within one sentence and a Time Margin within the following sentence; (e) certain phrase structures. The variety of encoding is such that a consistent view of grammar and lexicon may be obtained only by insisting on a relative autonomy of the two. It is equally certain, however, that an adequate view of language must conflate not only grammatical and lexical structures but phonological structures as well.
1 Nucleus and Periphery

The division into periphery versus nucleus enables us to describe the former once and for all before beginning the description of any particular sentence type with its unique nucleus. The periphery is then assumed to occur with any sentence type subject only to general and stateable constraints.

Thus, such 'outer' peripheral tagmemes as Exclamation and Vocative may occur with any sentence type in English.  

Phooey, Mary, I'm going. (Simple)  
Phooey, Mary, I'm going downtown and Jim can cook his own supper. (Coordinate)  
Phooey, Mary, he deserved better treatment, but that's how he fared. (Antithetical)  
Phooey, Mary, if he comes, then count me out. (Conditional)

Likewise, tagmemes of the 'inner' periphery may also occur indifferently in any sentence type. In the following English examples a Purpose Margin tagmeme occurs in four contrasting sentence types:  

(1) In order to save water he would have gone without bathing entirely if she had been content with a sponge bath. (Contrary-to-Fact Condition)  
(2) In order to save water we'll either have to take sponge baths or stop bathing entirely. (Alternation)  
(3) In order to save water I told them, "Either take sponge baths or stop bathing entirely". (Direct Quotation)  
(4) In order to save water you'll be willing to take sponge baths, won't you? (Echo Question)

On the other hand, contrastive sentence margins (of the inner periphery) may occur on the same sentence nucleus:

(1) When water is scarce some take sponge baths but others stop bathing entirely. (Preposed Time Margin)  
(2) In that water is scarce some take sponge baths but others have stopped bathing entirely. (Preposed Circumstantial Margin)  
(3) Because water is scarce some take sponge baths but others have stopped bathing entirely. (Preposed Causal Margin)

2"The Notion of Sentence", p. 22.
In order to save water some take sponge baths but others have stopped bathing entirely. (Purpose Margin)

Although water is now plentiful, some take sponge baths but others have stopped bathing entirely. (Preposed Concessive Margin)

Inner Periphery tagmemes, except the Sentence Topic are characteristically expounded by strings that consist of a Relator morpheme or morpheme complex and an Axis—which is the balance of the string. The Axis may be structurally: (a) a single clause; (b) a clause plus elements identifiable as belonging to the sentence periphery and (c) a pattern characteristic of a sentence nucleus plus or minus elements of the sentence periphery.

Where the Axis has the structure indicated in (c) we have examples like the English: I don't want to marry her because she is rich but I'm poor where because subordinates not merely one clause but a whole English Antithetical Sentence. Again, Although he piteously begged, "Don't kill me, please", they remorselessly butchered him shows a Direct Quotation Sentence subordinated by means of although. In these examples we see that the Cause Margin and Concessive Margin are expounded by Relator-Axis Sentences whose Relators are expounded by because and although and whose Axes are expounded by the balance of the subordinate string. A Relator-Axis Sentence as such is no more of an anomaly on the sentence level than a prepositional phrase (or relator-axis phrase) is on the phrase level. The axis of a relator-axis phrase is a slot filled by other phrase types. Similarly, the Axis of a Relator-Axis Sentence is a slot filled by other sentence types.

When the Axis has the structure indicated in (b) above, we have examples like the following: I don't want to marry her because although she's rich I'm poor. Here the Axis is a string which consists of a clause I'm poor accompanied by a Concessive Margin expounded by although she's rich. We conclude, therefore, the clause I'm poor constitutes the nucleus of the sentence which the Concessive Margin depends on. The whole subordinated string although she's rich, I'm poor is therefore a subordinated sentence—in this case a Simple Sentence—because it is a string which contains a sentence margin tagmeme.

When the Axis has the structure indicated in (a) above, we have such English examples as the above battery of examples which include When water is scarce..., In that water is scarce..., Because water is scarce... and others. No feature in these subordinate strings compels us to consider them to be sentence structures; we can therefore, if we choose, consider them to be clause structures, specifically Relator Axis Clauses. However, since a Simple Sentence has but one obligatory nuclear nuclear tagmeme,
the Sentence Base, and many optional peripheral tagmemes it is equally correct to refer to these subordinated strings as Relator-Axis Sentences. One advantage in calling them the latter is that we can refer uniformly to the exponents of the sentence margin tagmemes as "Relator-Axis Sentences" rather than the longer "Relator-Axis Clauses and Relator-Axis Sentences". We adopt the briefer way of speaking in this volume and refer regularly to the exponents of sentence margins as "Relator-Axis Sentences". The one exception to this is an occasional instance of exponence wherein there is a structure that clearly is on the clause level and consequently may not be considered to be a Simple Sentence. Thus, in Ilianen Manobo it is clearly necessary to distinguish certain Relator-Axis Clauses from Relator-Axis Sentences. There is a Relator-Axis Clause that is one of the exponents of Time Margin. The Relator of this Relator-Axis Clause is wey 'when' which (a) attracts the enclitic pronoun here but does not so attract the pronoun in other functions (e.g. as a coordinating conjunction on the sentence level); (b) no feature of the sentence periphery or nucleus ever occurs in the construction. Similarly, Concessive Relator-Axis Sentences in Ilianen Manobo may be distinguished from Concessive Relator-Axis Clauses in that minsan and apey 'although' as Sentence level Relators do not attract the pronoun, while as clause-level Relators they do. Furthermore, no feature of the sentence periphery or nucleus occurs in such clause-level constructions. While the criterion (a) is not applicable in all Philippine languages for distinguishing subordinate clauses from subordinate sentences, in Ilianen Manobo the conjunction of criterion (a) with (b) is sufficient to establish this distinction.

Aside, however, from such formally marked structural distinctions whether we regard such a construction as 'when John came home' as a Relator-Axis Clause or a Relator-Axis Sentence is entirely a matter of descriptive convenience. A minimal Simple Sentence is at once a clause, just as a minimal clause may in some languages consist of a single phrase or a minimal phrase of a single word. The theory of hierarchy not only tolerates such structural ambiguity but finds in it one of the fundamental ways (pormanteau exponences) in which adjoining structural levels mesh in the system of a language.

Examples of recursive embedding of sentences within sentence margins are given in all the languages. Recursion is especially frequent in margins that are sentence-final where a certain openness of structure can be accepted without impairing the intelligibility of the sentence. The following six Tausug examples illustrate embedding of sentence structures within Cause Margins (first two examples), within Conditional Margins (examples 3 and 4), within Concessive Margin (example 5), and within Time Margin (example 6).
(1) Nagtabang siya ha kabaw, (Rel:) sabab (Axis:SimS with Cond. M) bang bukun amu' siguru mapatay na in kabaw. 'He helped the carabao, because if it were not for the monkey surely the carabao would have died.'

(2) Bang ha taas bud di' aku tuud makaindan magpanaw, (Rel:) bat (Axis:SimS with Conc. M) minsan manaw pa because "When at the top of the mountain I could hardly recognize the way to walk, because even when walking to the mountain I almost broke my knee.'

(3) Na, (Rel:) bang (Axis:SeqS) kabakan na in yan ampa mu now find already that and then hibugit in kaibanan, (Nuc) balik-balik na kita isab you will-throw others again-again we also maglawag. will-look "Now, if, we will find that already and then you will throw away others, we will just have to look again and again.'

(4) Laung sin kuting, (Rel:) "bang (Axis:CorS) awn kadungugan said cat if there-is heard sakayan iban makakita' tau iban manuk-manuk, (Nuc) di' kaw umusig. canoe and able-to-see men and birds not you bark 'The cat said, "If (we) hear a canoe and see people and birds, you will not bark.'"

(5) (Rel:) minsan (Axis:CorS) siya nabalu iban nasakit, (Nuc) even-though she widow and sick awn alta' sin bana niya kiyabin kaniya. there-are goods of husband her left to-her 'Even though she is a widow and sick, she has goods of her husband left to her.'
(6) (Rel:) Sampay (Axis:CorS) sadja nasubul hi utu' ini iban until just young-man Utu this and nabudjang hi inda', (Nuc) didtu na kami ha bay sin apu' young-lady Inda' there we at house of grandfather namu'. ours

'Just until Utu' was a young man and Inda' was a young lady, were we there at the house of our grandfather.'

1.1 Outer versus inner periphery

The case for division into an 'outer' and 'inner' periphery in Philippine languages is best stated by Richard Elkins for Western Bukidnon Manobo:

"The sentence periphery is further subdivided into an outer periphery followed by an inner periphery. This distinction is based on the fact that the sentence nucleus with the inner periphery may be nested as a sentence Base within the nucleus of another sentence type. The outer periphery is never included in a nesting structure except as quoted speech in a Direct Quotation Sentence."

The outer periphery tagmemes in Western Bukidnon Manobo are Conjunction, Flow Marker (a further sort of conjunctive slot), Exclamation, Vocative, Paragraph or Discourse Topic. Of these tagmemes the Vocative permutes freely to any sentence level or clause level tagmeme juncture except between a Predicate and certain immediately following elements.

Janice Walton similarly divides into an outer and inner periphery in Itneg:

"Outer periphery and inner periphery items differ in function, internal structure, and distribution. Outer peripheral items are words which can be inserted at any point in the sentence even mid clause. Inner peripheral items are phrases, or words, or Relator-Axis Sentences which permute as units within the sentence. They permute within the bounds of certain restrictions. These inner periphery items are sometimes drawn into sentence nuclei as Base of a sentence type. Inner periphery items function as the ground in linkage within the paragraph...This outer periphery influences the sentence only by

3This is a sentence-level tagmeme which encodes the topic of the paragraph or discourse."
superimposing extraneous material onto the sentence nuclei but in no way do outer periphery items alter the structure of any sentence."4

Lawrence Reid is cautious but indicates the possibility of an outer-inner distinction in the peripheries of Bontoc sentences:

"It is probable that peripheral tagmemes can be divided into inner and outer periphery, depending on restriction of occurrence on embedded structures. Thus, Sentence Introducer, and Sentence Conjunction certainly do not occur on any embedded sentence. However, at this stage of analysis insufficient is known of the restrictions inherent in other peripheral tagmemes to feel justified in setting up a distinction between inner and outer periphery."

Jannette Forster also posits a similar division in Dibabawon Manobo but terms what Elkins and Walton call the outer periphery, the 'direct address periphery'. She defines direct address:

"Direct address comprises oral or written communication directed by the speaker or writer to a known audience with which he has some situational contact. Dialogue is the most common form of direct address, but stories related to a seen audience (or written to an imagined audience), as well as letters, and reports that are written at the request of the one receiving the report, have certain features that distinguish them as direct address."

The 'direct address periphery' includes Attention, Exclamation, Affirmation, Vocative, Reported Speech, and Question.

4 Outer peripheral tagmemes in Itneg are: Exclamation, Vocative, Affirmative, Hesitation (a sort of space filler), Connector, and Attention. In Janice Walton's examples there occur instances of Exclamation, Vocative and Hesitation occurring in other than the linear order (from sentence initial) just given. Presumably, Affirmation (owen 'yes') can also interlard at various points within the sentence nucleus. Exponents of Connector, (ket 'and', di kad 'and', and ngem 'but') when occurring in sentence medial probably expound Markers in the sentence types or intervene as ligature between margin and nucleus. It is doubtful, therefore, if the Connector tagmemes "can be interjected at any point within the sentence". Nevertheless, other criteria for the outer periphery seem applicable to the whole set of tagmemes, e.g. typical occurrence in a block near sentence initial (even though most of them can permute elsewhere).
It seems probable that the distinction of outer and inner periphery may be of universal relevance in Philippine languages. In fact a division very similar to this is made in all the data papers that underlie this study. All without exception posit a set of margin tagmemes all of which may be manifested by Relator-Axis Sentences and many of which are exploited in paragraph linkage. Certain of them also are absorbed into the sentence nuclei of derived sentence types. The distinction between sentence margins (as part of the overall periphery) and the other peripheral tagmemes corresponds rather closely to that between the inner and outer periphery. The main points of uncertainty are: (1) the Sentence Topic tagmeme which patterns possibly with the inner periphery in some languages but with the outer periphery in others; (2) the function of certain ligature-like tagmemes that occur within the block of sentence margin tagmemes and seem to relate the margin to the nucleus.

In the inner periphery of the Western Bukidnon Manobo sentence, Richard Elkins posits the Sentence Topic and five Margin tagmemes (Temporal, Conditional, Concessive, Purpose, and Cause). For Itneg, Janice Walton also includes in the inner periphery the Sentence Topic (which she entitles the Sentence Topic Margin) as well as the other tagmemes which are more regularly referred to as "margins": Time, Cause, Conditional, Purpose, Hortatory, and Concessive. In both these languages the group of tagmemes constituting the inner periphery are (1) clustered together preceding and following the nucleus; and (2) consist of Sentence Topic plus Margins—all of which have important functions in intra-paragraph linkage.

In most languages on which this study is based the Sentence Topic occurs in the vicinity of the margin tagmemes. Indeed, in a few cases the Sentence Topic occurs in a linear order bracketed by sentence margin tagmemes. Thus, in Bontoc, Lawrence Reid locates the Sentence Topic after Concessive and Temporal Margins but before the Circumstantial and Conditional Margins. In Botolan Sambal, Harriet Minot locates the Sentence Topic just before the sentence nucleus where it follows the Causative, Concessive, Conditional, and Temporal Margins. Similarly, in Ata Manobo the Sentence Topic precedes the Nucleus and follows a string of sentence margin tagmemes. More typically, however, the Sentence Topic occurs after a string of tagmemes such as Connector, Exclamation, and Vocative, and immediately precedes the sentence margin tagmemes. This is the situation not only in Western Bukidnon Manobo and Itneg but also in Inibaloi, Agta, Atta Negrito, Balangao, Koronadal Bilaan, Binukid, Kalagan, Kalinga, Ilianan Manobo, Mansaka, Tagabili, Aborian Tagbanwa, and Tausug.
In a few languages, however, the Sentence Topic has been located in a linear position bracketed by tagmemes that are typically those found in the outer periphery. Thus, in Batak, Rosemary Rodda locates the Sentence Topic after Progression (a slot filled with the conjunction ta 'then'), Attention, and Exclamation, and preceding Vocative and Response. Rodda assigns all these tagmemes to "Periphery_1" and the sentence margins to "Periphery_2". For Sangir, Kenneth Maryott locates the Sentence Topic between the Vocative and a tagmeme he calls Commiseration (e.g. pirua 'poor thing' or kasiang 'what a pity'); all these tagmemes precede the sentence margins. Probably the most irregular linear ordering is that posited for Siocon Subanon by William Hall: Introduction, Time Margin_1, Time Margin_2, Exclamation, Sentence Topic, then a block of margins followed by the Vocative, nucleus, and four more margins. Less irregular is the order posited by Edward Ruch for Kalamian Tagbanwa, where the Sentence Topic follows a sentence-initial block of tagmemes (Response, Orientor, Exclamation, Attention) but is separated from the prenuclear sentence margins by Echo Question_1 (indi 'isn't it?'), while Echo Question_2 (aa 'O.K?') follows the nucleus and precedes three post-nuclear sentence margins. Here the Echo Questions are the intrusive elements that occur within the block which consists of Sentence Topic and sentence margins.

Indeed, the formal and functional distinction of the sentence margins is such that they undoubtedly constitute a special grouping—even if irregularities of linear ordering make it a bit awkward to speak of an 'outer' versus an 'inner' periphery. Furthermore, the Sentence Topic in many ways resembles the margin tagmemes and in most languages forms with them a continuous linear block. As will be seen below, however, the sentence margins in some languages form a self-contained system in reference to which the Sentence Topic is extrasystemic (although in a few languages this tagmeme patterns as a part of such a system).

1.2 The outer periphery

I have already indicated somewhat the nature of the tagmemes that constitute the outer periphery. It remains to indicate more fully their range and variety. Outer periphery tagmemes are variously labelled in the data papers that underlie this study. The variety of labelling does not necessarily reflect structural distinctions. Thus, while a general conjunction tagmeme may be variously labelled (Sentence) Conjunction, (Sentence) Introductor, or Orientation, nevertheless its function is to bind the sentence into the flow of the paragraph and discourse. In some of the analyses, however, several contrasting Conjunction tagmemes with specialized functions are posited; here distinct labelling is structurally significant.
1.2.1 Initial Conjunction tagmemes

Especially frequent is a division into two Conjunction tagmemes. Thus, in Western Bukidnon Manobo, Conjunction is distinguished from Flow-Marker. The former is expounded by ne 'general conjunction'; asal and iyan, both meaning 'but'; su 'because'; and umba 'therefore'. The function of this tagmeme is "to loosely tie a sentence to the previous sentence or paragraph." The Flow-Marker tagmeme is expounded by fossilized clauses or clause fragments, i.e., hengkey re is 'so then' or 'so then what' (in NARRATIVE DISCOURSE); ikezuwa zin daan, 'secondly' (in EXPLANATORY or HORTATORY DISCOURSE); and iyan is 'proposal' (most frequently in DIALOGUE PARAGRAPHS). The Flow-Marker tagmeme ties a sentence more specifically into its context than does the Conjunction tagmeme.

For Agta, Roy Mayfield distinguishes a sentence-initial Introducer from Sequence. The former is expounded only by a5 and the latter only by sangaw 'then'. The functional contrast between these two Agta tagmemes seems not dissimilar from that just described for Western Bukidnon Manobo.

Gordon Svelmoe posits for Mansaka two sentence-initial tagmemes: Orientation and Intensifier. The former is a general Conjunction tagmeme with exponents meaning such things as 'link', 'then', 'that-is-why', 'however', and 'for example'. The latter is filled by particle complexes that mean such things as 'even so' and 'sure enough'.

Stewart Hussey for Aborlan Tagbanwa posits initial Conjunction and Implication tagmemes with the latter expounded by elements meaning 'surely', 'perhaps', and 'surprisingly'.

Edward Ruch posits for Kalamian Tagbanwa initial Resumption and Sentence Orientex tagmemes. The former is highly specific in function: "The Resumption tagmeme is expounded by taa and indicates the resumption of the speaker's thought following a pause." It appears to me on inspection of Ruch's data that taa does not necessarily follow a pause but may simply redirect attention back to something already mentioned earlier. Sentence Orientex tagmeme is expounded by six items all meaning roughly 'then' (five are phonologically related: simanyan, timanyan, sinumanyan, tinumanyan, numanyan) and the Spanish loan dispueís 'besides', 'what's more'. Here, as elsewhere, Ruch is sensitive to paragraph-level distribution of these elements. Thus, in exemplifying the function of these two tagmemes he gives portions of Kalamian Tagbanwa paragraphs to substantiate his statement that dispueís functions "as a link between STEPS in

---

5Mayfield also includes exclamations as exponents of this same tagmeme—even though exclamations, unlike a 'and' cannot co-occur with exponents of Sequence. (cf 1.2.2).
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a PROCEDURAL PARAGRAPH" "between TEXT and EXPO...or between RESULT and REASON in an EXPLANATORY PARAGRAPH." It seems to me to be probable that the other particles meaning 'then' (which expound Sentence Orienters) introduce successive BUILD-UP tagmemes in NARRATIVE PARAGRAPHS (Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 1.1.1). Ruch also posits a further PROGRESSION tagmeme (expounded by dayun and dirisyu; both also meaning 'then') which appears from the examples to be a ligature (see below) between Time Margin and nucleus rather than a reference to action in the preceding sentence.

In some languages more than two Conjunction tagmemes are posited. For Binukid (Manobo), Ursula Post posits Interjection (like Ruch's Resumption tagmeme), Connector, Progression, Conjunctive, and Result (with Exclamation intervening before the latter). Interjection is expounded by a and "indicates resumption of the thread of discourse". The Connector is expounded by ba 'but' and daw 'and' which "link the sentence to the preceding sentence or paragraph". The Progression tagmeme, expounded by aman(ta), bul-ug(ta) and dayun(ha), (all meaning 'then') "indicates a progression of activity from that of the previous sentence or paragraph". The Conjunctive tagmeme is really a hesitation form and a sentence-internal ligature that is not relevant here. After the intervening Exclamation tagmeme may occur Result expounded by di pa(sa/su/hu) 'so', 'and so'. Post states that "a sentence with a Result tagmeme is an exponent of the TERMINUS tagmeme of a NARRATIVE PARAGRAPH". I would surmise that her Progression tagmeme occurs on sentences that expound successive BUILD-UP tagmemes in the same paragraph type.

There is also a variety of sentence-initial conjunctive elements in Ilianen Manobo, Several of these were described in Vol. I, Part II, Sec. 1.9.3.

1.2.2 Exclamation and Attention tagmemes

Another sort of outer peripheral tagmeme that is found in all the languages is the Exclamation tagmeme. Only in one language, Agta, is such a tagmeme not posited and even here Roy Mayfield's data paper clearly indicates that such a tagmeme could be posited. Thus, while an Introducer tagmeme is set up some exponents of which are exclamatory, Mayfield explicitly tells us that such exclamatory exponents of Introducer cannot co-occur with the Sequence ('then') tagmeme. It seems probable, therefore, that the Introducer tagmeme can be split into Introducer and Exclamation tagmemes.
Exclamation typically occurs near the beginning of the sentence with possible permutations to other positions. In some languages (Aborlan Tagbanwa, Inibaloi, Atta Negrito, Botolan Sambal, Itneg, Tagabili, Tausug, Sangir) it is posited as the first element of the sentence. In most, it apparently is preceded by other items such as one or more conjunctive slots. In Siocon Subanon, William Hall gives it a pre-nuclear position following two Time Margin tagmemes and preceding the Sentence Topic. In Balangao, Joanne Shetler allocates both Exclamation and Vocative (the latter also is customarily pre-nuclear but permutes freely to other positions in most languages) to a sentence-final position—where they are still outer in respect to the margin tagmemes.

In several languages an Attention tagmeme is posited as well as Exclamation. Thus in Batak, Rosemary Rodda sets up Attention expounded by uy 'hey'. She remarks that this particle is used to attract attention and is "often used to avoid the use of a proper name". Exclamation tagmeme is expounded by such particles as ayka, abubu, ayaw, aykape', and aha—all roughly translated 'Oh!'. Two further elements are listed: iay 'truly' and Mangaluk (the name of a spirit used as an exclamation). The Attention tagmeme is given a position preceding Exclamation in Batak.

Attention tagmeme, as posited in Kalamian Tagbanwa by Edward Ruch, also precedes the Exclamation. Attention is expounded by uay, egay, ua, all meaning 'look at this' and eey and heey both of which mean 'hey'. The complex belek eey "is used to draw attention to a foregoing statement...which is socially unacceptable." Ruch presents 12 exponents of Exclamation tagmeme with indication that others remain to be catalogued. The twelve exponents so far catalogued are carefully distinguished, defined, and illustrated. One exponent ay 'strong emotion' obligatorily permutes to sentence-final. The other exponents remain as posited in their relative order from sentence initial.

Because Ruch's treatment of Exclamation is exemplary I quote here his presentation of four exponents of this tagmeme.

(a) "Tag approximates the English 'Come on, cut with it' or 'See, I told you so'."

"Examples:

1. Tag, bakes, ianing mu ra, exclamation monkey say you now

'Come on, monkey, say it.'
2. **Tag**, _telengan mu yang kaluku ni bukaya._

'exclamation look-at you foolishness of crocodile'

'See, I told you so, look at the foolishness of Crocodile.'

(b) "**Ala** could be glossed as 'Get a move on!' or 'Go ahead, do it'. It often occurs with **sigi** following, which generally means the same as **ala**, but is more urgent.'

"Examples:

1. **Maning ngaw tung ni Urning**, "**Ala**, beltay."

   'I said to Urning, "Come on, paddle."

2. **Ala sigi suntukun mu ra. Yuuy bala.**

   'Go ahead and box him. I’ll be responsible.'

(c) "**Abee**, is an expression of wonderment, awe, or nostalgia."

"Examples:

1. **Abee**, anday maita mu, pulus teeb.

   'Wow, all you could see was ocean!

2. **Nandawal-dawal.** **Abee**, durug lapad ang banwa.

   'He took a look around. Wow, it was a very spacious place.'

(d) "The stem **tapus** 'finish' may expound the **Exclamation tagmeme**, expressing hurt feelings.'

"Examples:

1. **Tapus** nungaynang timpranu nagtuang ngaw ka yang lata.

   'You don't appreciate the fact that a little while ago in the early morning, I also carried the can (of water).'
2. "Tapus" ang maganing "Indi" matakung magbeles."
   says negative know reciprocate
   'He says, "Oh the ungratefulness! He doesn't know how to reciprocate!"'

Contrasting with the richness and variety of the preceding is Binukid (Manob) where Ursula Post reports an Exclamation tagmeme expounded only by es'oh!' and an Attention tagmeme expounded only by huz 'hey'. Again, the two tagmemes are assumed to occur in contiguous linear slots.

In Itneg, as analyzed by Janice Walton, Exclamation is initial in the outer periphery while Attention and the following Vocative tagmeme occur just preceding the inner periphery. While there are five exponents of Exclamation there are but two exponents (oy and huz, 'hey') of Attention.

Sangir, as analyzed by Kenneth Maryott posits both an Exclamation and Commiseration tagmeme. Exclamation has several exponents meaning such things as 'well (then!)', 'Oh', and 'Wow'. It includes e 'hey' as exponent of Exclamation rather than of a separate Attention tagmeme. Exclamation is initial in the sentence, while Commiseration immediately precedes the sentence margins. Commiseration has two exponents, pirua 'poor thing!' and kasiang 'what a pity!'

1.2.3 Response and Affirmation tagmemes

Response or Affirmation tagmeme is posited in a number of languages. In Batak, Rosemary Rodda posits a Response tagmeme with two variants. The <itin> variant is affirmative and includes such items as itin 'of course', ee 'yes', pwidi 'right' (Spanish loan puede) and ay unuy 'very well'. The <awatay> variant is negative and includes such exponents as awatay 'of course (not)', adi 'no' and igta 'I'm not'. For Sangir, Kenneth Maryott reports a Response tagmeme with a positive variant, a negative variant and an item meaning 'Thank you'. Two of the elements function much like functional morphemes that form Echo Questions. Positive exponents are: ore 'yes', ia 'yes' (honorific), ho 'o.k.', kahengang 'true', kai 'it is so?' and kawe 'isn't it so?' Negative exponents are: tala 'no' and baline 'not so'. Similarly, in Tausug there are, according to Seymour and Lois Ashley, two positive and two negative exponents of Response: huun 'yes', makajari 'it can be', di 'no', and bukun 'not'.
Affirmation tagmemes express only a positive response; in languages where such a tagmeme is found, a negative response is presumably expressed somewhere on a lower level (clause, or phrase). In Binukid (Manobo) (Ursula Post) this tagmeme is expounded only by the particle hee 'yes', in Ilianen Manobo (Jean Shand) by two particles, wey 'hesitant yes' and uya 'yes' (which may also occur in sequence); in Kalagan (Donald Murray by three particles, ee/e, a-a, and uhuh, all of which mean 'yes'; in Itneg (Janice Walton) by one particle, owin 'yes' and in Dibabawon Manobo (Jannette Forster) "by o-o/ho-o 'yes' with optional intensifying particles, + man/kay + iyan". In most cases (excepting Kalagan) the Affirmation tagmeme is contiguous to the Vocative.

1.2.4 The Vocative tagmeme

While the linear position of the Vocative has been described above, nothing has been said as to its exponents.

In the various languages the exponents of Vocative that are frequently mentioned are (a) proper names, (b) kinship terms used in direct address; (c) mutual relation terms like 'friend', 'companion'; (d) classificatory terms such as 'child', 'young man'; (e) second person pronouns (usually of the "emphatic" set). A few special exponents and restrictions are mentioned in the following paragraphs.

Lee Ballard believes that in Inibaloi "True Vocatives are not common; only three occur in the material". This scarcity of Vocative tagmemes in Inibaloi is partly due to the fact that many apparent examples of Vocative are analyzed as Sentence Topic. Ballard has given special care and attention to the analysis of the latter tagmeme and in the light of this analysis feels that such a string as si-kamu manong alejo 'you, brother Alejo' is to be regarded as Sentence Topic not as a Vocative. His three examples of Vocative do not include a proper name used in direct address. In the description of two other languages as well, viz. Sangir and Aborlan Tagbanwa, no mention is made of proper names as exponents of Vocative while for Kalagan, Don Murray comments that the use of a name in the Vocative is "uncommon".

For Ilianen Manobo, Jean Shand distinguishes "dialogue" from "calling style". In the latter, as well as in direct address between husband and wife, there is an unusual use of third person pronouns:

"In calling style the Vocative tagmeme is expounded by a third person relator-axis phrase which even though it is third person is actually a form of direct address."
The Vocative tagmeme in direct address between husband and wife is expounded by a third person noun phrase, i.e. iney rin 'his/her mother' [meaning 'wife'] is the direct address of a husband to his wife. Similarly, amey rin 'his/her father' [meaning 'husband'] is used by a wife to her husband.

For Western Bukidnon Manobo, Richard Elkins posits a vocative phrase as exponent of Vocative tagmeme. This phrase "consists of an optional attention tagmeme plus a head tagmeme". "The attention tagmeme", Elkins continues, "is expounded by the particle he or an emphatic case second person pronoun. The head tagmeme is expounded by a proper name or a kinship term used in direct address". As we have previously observed, the sentence-level Attention tagmeme posited in several languages typically precedes or follows Vocative. If this is regularly the case, then there is no good reason why the two tagmemes should not be collapsed into one on the sentence level and the distinction made on the phrase level—precisely as Elkins has done for Manobo.6

In Mansaka and Kalagan a particle kay is used to introduce exponents of Vocative. In Mansaka (Gordon Svelmoe) this untranslatable particle is optional before a proper or common noun in direct address, as in lagi or kay lagi 'friend'. In Kalagan (Donald Murray) the particle is apparently obligatory. In that proper names are uncommon in direct address the morpheme kay finds most frequent use in special address forms here listed in tabular form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Addresssee</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Man</th>
<th>Woman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Man</td>
<td>kaylau</td>
<td>kaylau (if wife)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>kayto' (informal; to man or boy)</td>
<td>kaydë (other women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td></td>
<td>kayto'</td>
<td>kaydë</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any speaker to old man: kay bakleg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any speaker to old woman: kay buyag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kalagan terms of address

6Even in Batak, where several tagmemes intervene between Attention and Vocative in the bidimensional array of the sentence periphery, Rodda makes a special note that "Attention precedes Vocative". Attention can, however, occur by itself with avoidance of a proper name.
There is of course no restriction on the number of Vocatives in a given sentence when proper names expound this tagmeme in a language. Strings of such proper names may occur as multiple actualizations of the tagmeme.

1.2.5 Ligature and Hesitation tagmemes

For some languages there is mentioned a further pre-nuclear tagmeme which fulfills one or both of the following functions: (1) ligature between certain preposed sentence margins and the nucleus; or (2) hesitation form (space-filler) that can occur at any sentence-level tagmeme juncture.

In Itneg the particle -a is more a hesitation form than a ligature. Janice Walton describes it: "Hesitation refers to a previous statement or provides a pause for change of speaker or for continuation of statement by the same speaker." By contrast, Rosemary Rodda does not include the hesitation particle in Batak in the linear lineup of tagmemes but says rather "This paper deals with well-formed sentences but there are many instances of incomplete or malformed constructions. The Hesitation tagmeme aw often marks such a construction as in:

Nagawat si kabadu kay Diun aw, Diu,...
"Kabadu said to June, (I mean) Jo..."

Magtaratarabut gwa kami it manga Murus aw ayaw
"We will chase the Moros--(I mean) we won't (chase the Moros)."

Not too dissimilar in function may be the Binukid (Manobo) particle na described by Ursula Post as follows: "The Conjunctive tagmeme na separates distinct units of a sentence and is accompanied by a slight pause in narration." The Binukid particle na, while clearly a space-filler, doesn't seem to imply that an error has been made as does the Batak particle aw above. Note the following examples:

Na dun taena ha aldaw na sa iling kanay na aggamahaw kay...
at that day the like us ate-leftovers...

'Uh, at that time, uh, those like us, uh, ate leftovers...'

Distinct from such Hesitation tagmemes (even when occurring quite regularly at certain sentence tagmeme junctures) are ligature tagmemes which systematically connect a margin to the nucleus. Thus, Joanne Shetler posits a Sentence Conjunction 2 in Balangao. This Conjunction can intervene between a Sentence Introducer (which Shetler makes part of the margin system), a Time Margin, a Circumstance Margin or a Possibility Margin and the nucleus of the sentence. That this element is no mere Hesitation form is seen also in its peculiar structure an...at with the pronoun subject of the nuclear clause bracketed by the conjunctive element.
Mag-ay no nalpas di, andat manobao ya manmunada
should they finish that conjunction-(they) smoke and chew

'Should they finish that, then they smoke and chew.'

In Batak, the ligature tagmeme (called Link by Rosemary Rodda) occurs following a Conditional Margin or Time Margin expounded by a pagka- gerundative and preceding the nucleus. While the Batak Link tagmeme is thus more restricted in use than the corresponding Balangao tagmeme, nevertheless, Batak Link expounded by ay is quite distinct from the Hesitation tagmeme expounded by aw.

Similarly in Agta, Roy Mayfield posits a Conjunction tagmeme that occurs between a Sentence Topic or a sentence margin tagmeme and the nucleus.

In Western Bukidnon Manobo while Richard Elkins posits an initial Conjunction tagmeme with various exponents, he does not set up a Ligature tagmeme as such in the interior of the sentence but states rather a rule "The conjunction ne ['and'] may optionally occur preceding and/or following ST [Sentence Topic] and/or preceding the sentence nucleus."

1.2.6 Emphasis tagmemes

Another type of pre-nuclear element of somewhat uncertain status is the Emphasis tagmeme posited for Botolan Sambal by Harriet Minot. Her discussion of this tagmeme is brief. In the examples given, Emphasis (expounded by ay) intervenes between Concessive Margin, Conditional Margin, Temporal Margin or Sentence Topic and the sentence nucleus. It appears, therefore, to be essentially a ligature tagmeme of the sort found in other languages.

Emphasis tagmeme as posited in Bontoc by Lawrence Reid is, however, a sentence-final element. It is expounded by two particles ya and kayya. In the examples given by Reid it co-occurs with a command given in the sentence Base: e.g. akas na ya. 'Come here (emph)!'

Still a third sort of element is called Emphasis tagmeme by Edward Ruch in Kalamian Tagbanwa. This element is pre-nuclear, but "its position varies with regard to other peripheral tagmemes. It may be preposed to a margin, a Sentence Topic or a Vocative tagmeme," Ruch probably should add..."or to the nucleus itself". The exponent qay of Emphasis tagmeme apparently emphasizes what it precedes. If it precedes the whole nucleus, it emphasizes the nucleus as in the Kalamian Tagbanwa equivalent of 'So, qay you'll be my child forever' and 'If you'll allow yourselves to be adjudicated by me, (qay) I'll be grateful to you.' It may,
however, emphasize tagmemes of the periphery, as in the Kalamian Tagbanwa equivalent of the following where it emphasizes the Reason Margin: 'So, the man, (gay) on account of the fact that he really was one who was merciful to a person who experiences difficulties, he proceeded, they say, to drag the crocodile out to the water's edge.'

1.2.7 Marker of Echo Question and Disclaimer of Responsibility

The outer periphery includes in some languages Markers of Echo Question and Disclaimer of Responsibility, both of which are typically at or near sentence final.

The Echo or Tag Question is found in many of the languages. With the sole exception of Sangir, the Echo Question anticipates an Affirmative or favorable answer. It occurs either sentence-final (Tagabili, Dibabewon Manobo, Kalagan, Atta Negrito) or interlarded after any sentence-level or clause-level tagmeme, which is then the focal point of the question (Bontoc, Balangao). In Kalamian Tagbanwa, (Edward Ruch) there are two Echo Question tagmemes, one of which (Echo Question 1 expounded by indi 'isn't it?') occurs early in the pre-nuclear linear lineup and the other of which (Echo Question 2 expounded by aa 'O.K.?') occurs postposed to the nucleus. Both indi and aa occur with rising intonation; the former, which occurs early in the sentence is followed by "a slight pause". With his customary care, Ruch points out the distribution of sentences marked with Echo Questions in NARRATIVE and DIALOGUE PARAGRAPHS. Thus, while Echo Question 1 occurs on sentences in both NARRATIVE and DIALOGUE PARAGRAPHS (chiefly in the former), Echo Question 2 occurs exclusively on sentences in DIALOGUE PARAGRAPHS--and in fact in sentences which expound a SPEECH 1 (PROPOSAL). A further point of interest is that while indi as exponent of Echo Question 1 does not attract the enclitic pronoun, indi as exponent of negative tagmeme on lower levels (phrase and clause) does attract the pronoun.

7In Sangir, Kenneth Maryott sets up the Echo Question as a constituent tagmeme of the Simple Sentence. This in itself involves a problem: the sentence margin tagmemes may occur post-nuclear but presumably may not follow the Echo Question, which is sentence final--yet no restriction on the occurrence or permutation of margin tagmemes is mentioned in the Simple Sentence. It may be that the Echo Question should be posited as a postnuclear peripheral here as in the other languages. At any rate, it has one exponent tala 'isn't that so?' which anticipates a negative response. Other exponents anticipate affirmative responses or are neutral. A negative response may also be elicited by the use of an appropriate intonation contour.
A particle which tags what the speaker says as from another source and thereby removes him from bearing the responsibility for the assertion is found in almost all the languages. Usually this particle is analyzed as part of the structure of Quotation Sentences (2.6). In some cases, however, the use of the particle is so general, even in recounting a story, that a Disclaimer of Responsibility tagmeme is posited in the outer (postposed) periphery of the sentence. Such a tagmeme has been posited only in Tagabili, Kalagan, and Dibabawon Manobo. In the latter (from Jannette Forster), it is expounded by kun 'it is said', embeds in specified places in the interior of the sentence, and "does not regularly occur in the same sentence with a quotation formula". In Tagabili (Nancy Hewison) Disclaimer of Responsibility is also expounded by kun and occurs prefinal in the sentence where it is followed only by Echo Question expounded by kōō 'isn't it?'; Tey metlasik kun 'It is very fast, it-is-said.' This tagmeme may, however, permute to within the nucleus: Dyen kun funen 'John, it is said, is the owner.' The Kalagan (Donald Murray) Reported Speech tagmeme expounded by kun is apparently identical in function and distribution with the Tagabili tagmeme just described.

1.3 The inner periphery

In all the languages sentence margin tagmemes, expounded by Relator-Axis Sentence are posited as a special grouping of tagmemes within the sentence periphery. In a few languages other elements than those usually called "margins" are included in this grouping. Thus, Richard Elkins groups the Sentence Topic along with the margin tagmemes in an inner periphery. Janice Walton likewise groups the Sentence Topic with the margins and in fact labels the former Sentence Topic Margin. Joanne Shetler includes in the system of margins which she posits not only the Sentence Topic but also the Sentence Introducer—on the grounds that the latter (a conjunction expounded by two elements meaning 'that (and)' and 'and then') is not dissimilar in function to the Time Margin in linking sentences together within the paragraph.

The Relator-Axis Sentences that expound sentence margin tagmemes, like embedded sentences in general (except for those that expound Quotation tagmemes of Direct Quotation Sentences) do not contain tagmemes of the outer periphery. In Western Bukidnon Manobo, Elkins posits a further restriction, viz. any margins that occur within a sentence which expounds Axis must be postposed margins. As is evident in the Tausug examples given at the end of 1.0 this restriction does not hold for Tausug. Precisely which languages share this restriction with Western Bukidnon Manobo has not been investigated.
1.3.1 The Sentence Topic

In published analyses of Philippine languages what is here described as the Sentence Topic is handled as a clause-level feature, either as an Emphasis tagmeme within the clause (by permutation of some tagmeme to the fore of the Predicate) or as an emphasis transformation of the clause. We handle this feature here as properly belonging to the sentence-level in that (a) it groups with elements of the sentence periphery rather than of the sentence nucleus (where it could more easily be considered to be part of a component clause within the nucleus); (b) it has in most languages a non-final terminal juncture which sets it off phonologically from the rest of the sentence; and (c) the clause, which usually has a cross-reference pro-word referring to the Sentence Topic, is a complete unit without it.

Detailed presentations of the Sentence Topic tagmeme are available for Western Bukidnon Manobo (Richard Elkins), Bontoc (Lawrence Reid), Inibaloi (Lee Ballard), Kalinga (Richard Gieser), and Kalamian Tagbanwa (Edward Ruch).

Elkins distinguishes the Paragraph (or Discourse) Topic tagmeme from the Sentence Topic tagmeme. The former is the last linear tagmeme of the outer periphery of the sentence, the latter is the first tagmeme of the inner periphery. Furthermore, the Paragraph Topic is expounded by an emphatic case noun phrase, while the Sentence Topic is expounded by a topic case or emphatic case noun phrase (with some formal overlap between the two). Finally, "The Paragraph Topic is not reiterated or referred to in the sentence where it occurs but, rather later in the paragraph or discourse in which it occurs", while the Sentence Topic "is reiterated in the nucleus of the sentence by a pronoun which must agree with the Sentence Topic as to person and number, or by a verb if the Sentence Topic represents a process." Both tagmemes are illustrated in the following sentence:

---

For Bontoc, Lawrence Reid posits two varieties of Sentence Topic both of which may occur in the same sentence: (a) Sentence Topic which correlates with the situational role of Time or Site; and (b) Sentence Topic which correlates with other situational roles such as "Actor, Goal, Instrument, Beneficiary, and Possessor." Both varieties of Sentence Topic are expounded by noun phrases preceded by case-marking particles. The case-marking particle is of the <as> class when the first variety occurs, and of the <nan> class when the second variety occurs. While some homophones occur in the two sets of case-markers they are quite distinct as sets:

<as> set:
- as 'future time, class 1 site,'
- ad 'past time, class 2 site,'
- (as) nan 'non-specific time or site,'
- (as) san 'known time or site,'

<nan> set:
- nan 'common noun,'
- san 'known common noun,'
- si 'singular proper noun,'
- da 'plural proper noun,'

Reid further states that the two varieties of Sentence Topic may occur in sequence in the same sentence, as in the following example (where the pronoun datako which partially expounds the
second ST is presumably of a pronoun series which is equivalent to a case-marking particle of the <nan> set plus a fused pronoun stem):

As wakas datako ay omaatol wasdin mangidakal si patangna... 'Tomorrow, we the men's group, each of us will take out his carrying bar...'

The formal difference in exponence of these two varieties of Sentence Topic, along with the difference in situational roles to which they refer, along with the distinct linear slots into which they fall when both co-occur, might well suggest here two Sentence Topic tagmemes rather than one with two subvarieties. When there is cross-reference to a clause-level or phrase-level tagmeme (in the sentence-nucleus) which correlates with the situation roles of Actor or Possessor a cross-reference pronoun is required in the nucleus; otherwise such cross-reference is optional. This means of course, that cross-reference is optional in the case of the first Sentence Topic tagmeme and obligatory or optional in the case of the second.

Ballard posits two Sentence Topic tagmemes in Inibaloi but on a different basis than that just suggested for Bontoc. His Sentence Topic 2 does not have a cross-referent pronoun following it in the sentence and, although expounded by phrases similar to those that expound Sentence Topic 1 it is also expounded by certain formulaic phrases like '(it is) my wish', and '(by) his grace'. Sentence Topic 1 is much more involved in that there is cross-reference between it and some pronoun or pro-word in the nucleus. Ballard gives the following paradigm to indicate how any one of several noun phrases (Subject, Object, Time, Location) in the clause can become Sentence Topic 1. In this paradigm, note the cross-reference particles in the sentence nucleus: sha 'they'. in the first example; sotan 'those' in the second; nodtan 'there' in the third; and nontan 'then' in the fourth.

"Thus in the verbal clause that follows there are four noun phrases that may become ST 1: nonta bosol 'the headhunters', i kait sha 'their companions', shi Abatan 'at Abatan' and no bayag 'long ago':

Inaspol nonta bosol i kait sha shi Abatan met the headhunters companion their at Abatan

no bayag.

long ago

'The headhunters met their companions at Abatan long ago.'
"The following paradigm illustrates these noun phrases as ST 1:

Sota bosol inaspol sha i kait sha
the headhunters met they companions theirs

shi Abatan no bayag.
at Abatan long ago

'The headhunters, they met their companions at Abatan long ago.'

Sota kait sha, inaspol nonta bosol sotan
the companions their met the headhunters those

shi Abatan no bayag.
at Abatan long ago

'Their companions, the headhunters met them at Abatan long ago.'

Shi Abatan, inaspol nonta bosol i kait sha
at Abatan met the headhunters companions their

nodtan no bayag.
there long ago

'At Abatan, the headhunters met their companions there long ago.'

No bayag, inaspol nonta bosol i kait sha
long ago met the headhunters companions their

shi Abatan nontan.
at Abatan then

'Long ago, the headhunters met their companions at Abatan then.'

Ballard proceeds to give further examples of Sentence Topic in non-verbal clauses. Here the presence or absence of a cross-referent pronoun in the sentence nucleus depends on whether the Topic or Predicate of the clause becomes Sentence Topic.

For Kalinga, Richard Gieser's description of Sentence Topic is necessarily detailed in that various sorts of case markers occur with phrases and clauses in that function while cross-reference with a pronoun is similarly varied. One function of Sentence Topic in Kalinga is to provide what Gieser terms a
"Recourse" peripheral: "In other words it introduces the resource to which one resorted in the face of some development."

Thus, one Kalinga sentence illustrating the function of Sentence Topic is translated..."what Amboy the American did, he just pointed his machine gun at the Japanese and..."

Another example: "What that one did, he wrote a letter to his children and his wife to confide in them". Apparently, there is here no cross-reference word to the same dramatis persona in the nucleus of the sentence. Another function of the Sentence Topic, which Gieser describes as "Sentence Theme" is not so very different. One Kalinga example is translated:

'What we do for an qinum [ceremony], they make a tallagan [structure]'. Other examples of "Sentence Theme" are less similar, however, to the "Recourse" peripheral function of Sentence Topic: '(As for) your house, none of your belongings were burned' and 'An emergency, when (it) happens, all the people are needed'. Here again, there is no cross-reference particle in the sentence nucleus. All these examples of Sentence Topic in Kalinga are similar to the Sentence Topic 2 posited by Ballard for Inibaloi (likewise with no cross-referent pronoun in the nucleus). Other uses of Sentence Topic are more similar to Ballard's Sentence Topic 1 and include: Preposed Topic (in reference to the clause in the nucleus, i.e. Topic-as-actor or Topic-as-object shifted to the fore of the verb and functioning as Sentence Topic; there is a cross-referent pronoun in the nucleus for ST=Topic-as-actor, but no cross-referent pronoun for ST=Topic-as-object); Preposed Non-Topic Actor with an Objective Clause (with no cross-reference pronoun in the clause within the sentence nucleus); Preposed possessor of substantive, e.g. 'The people, before, their lives were difficult' (here again there is a cross-reference pronoun); and preposed Referent of clause (with cross-reference pronoun). Possible instances of preposed Location and Time as Sentence Topic are also presented and discussed.

Of further interest regarding the Sentence Topic is the possible occurrence of certain particles (other than case markers) in the phrases serving as exponents. Thus for Koronadal Bilaan, Norman Abrams reports preposed nē/balũ 'as for... The latter particle balũ also occurs as a Relator 'although' in Relator-Axis Sentences that expound Concessive Margin. Similarly, in Balangao (Joanne Shetler) uлay, or taẽn (although employed as Relators in Concessive Margins) occur meaning 'even', as in 'Even John, he came...'. In Ata Manobo (Patricia Hartung), when the Sentence Topic refers to a person, it may be a phrase including agad 'also' or atag 'on the other hand' 'John also, he came...' or 'John on the other hand, he came...'. In Ilíanen Manobo (Jean Shand), the phrase expounding Sentence Topic may include: misа 'even', embiya 'if', and mekeatag te 'relative to'.
The Sentence Topic is characteristically pre-nuclear. This linear slot, preceding the Predicate of the main clause in sentence Base has long been recognized as the characteristic position in which elements may be put for emphasis. Nevertheless, Janice Walton, posits that for Itneg the Sentence Topic may occur post-nuclear: "...in text it [the Sentence Topic] has occurred in post sentence nucleus and informant reaction does not seem to consider this an 'after thought' on the part of the speaker nor ungrammatical". She gives an example: napan da manganop, da Soto kan ni Pedro, 'went they to hunt, they Soto and Pedro.'

1.3.2 Time Margins

The importance of Time Margin tagmemes in linkage of sentence to sentence and paragraph to paragraph has been described in Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 1.1--with description in 1.1.1 of the grammatical form of the Time Margins. I here note instances in which more than one Time Margin tagmeme is posited in a given language--or in which systemic variants of one such tagmeme suggest that more than one might well be presented.

1.3.2.1 With three Time Margins

Rosemary Rodda posits three Time Margins in Batak. Time Margin 1 is expounded by gerundatives and time phrases. Gerundatives are clauses whose predicate is prefixed with pag- or pagka- (with the latter more frequent in PROCEDURAL DISCOURSE; see Vol. I, Part 2, 2.1). Such gerundatives mean such things as 'when he cast in (pag-) the line', 'After you have eaten (pagka-) there at the table.' Time phrases are expressions such as 'last night' or 'tomorrow morning'. The functional load of Time Margin 1 is heavy in relation to paragraph and discourse structure. Time Margin 2 is specifically concurrent and is expounded by Relator-Axis Sentences whose Relator is desang 'at the time when'. Time Margin 3 is subsequent and is expounded by Relator-Axis Sentences whose Relator is ba'gu 'before', e.g. 'you put the rattan over the branch before/then (ba'gu) you climb up'. Time Margins 2 and 3 probably are little used in paragraph and discourse linkage. Thus, while Time Margin 1, which figures prominently in such linkage, invariably precedes the nucleus, Time Margin 2 may permute to post-nuclear, while Time Margin 3 occurs only post-nuclear. Since post-nuclear margins do not regularly figure in linkage within NARRATIVE and PROCEDURAL DISCOURSE, the linear ordering of the three Time Margins is a reflex of their function on higher levels.

Similarly, three Time Margins (called Temporal Margins) are posited by Gordon Svelmoe in Mansaka. Time Margin 1 has two sorts of exponents: (1) Relator-Axis Sentences with such Relators as dungan 'since, from a prior time', kaba 'while', kada 'each time', sasangan 'while', sokod 'as soon as', and durug 'during'; (2) Active clauses without any preposed Relator
(such as are found in Relator-Axis Sentences)—and often with the auxiliary verb 'continue', e.g., 'thus (while) he continued walking around...'. In Svelmoe's examples, the former sort of exponents sometimes permute to sentence final; the latter possibly do not so permute. Furthermore, the latter look more like the usual sort of narrative link found in paragraph structures while the former do not. Both Time Margin 2 and Time Margin 3 are expounded by: (a) Gerundative Clauses with Predicates marked with pag-/pagka- (called by Svelmoe "non-topic dependent clauses"); and (2) "Independent Stative Clauses with Predicate in actualized mode <yama-> prefixes." Svelmoe adds "The second exponent may be transformed to the first..." Although both Time Margins have the same grammatical structures as exponents these differ in that Time Margin 2 has clauses whose Predicates may be built on any verb stem while Time Margin 3 has clauses whose Predicates are built on a restricted class of temporal verb stems such as allow 'to dawn', ambong 'to become afternoon', and gabi 'to grow dark'. Furthermore, "exponents of TM 2 pick up an antecedent action of a previous sentence and repeat it as Ground of the action of the following sentences; while exponents of TM 3 mark consecutive time horizons within a narrative."

It is clear, therefore, that Time Margins 2 and 3 differ in respect to lexical constituency (verb stems) and paragraph-level function—although their exponents are identical as grammatical structures. The relation of Time Margin 1 to Time Margin 2 is less clear. Possibly the second exponent of Time Margin 1 (the Active Clause) should be considered to expound Time Margin 2—since this sort of exponent seems clearly to function in narrative linkage while the other sort of exponent does not clearly have this function. It is also significant that Time Margins 2 and 3 do not permute to post-nuclear while at least the first sort of exponent of Time Margin 1 (the Relator-Axis Sentence) does so permute. If it should prove that the second sort of exponent of Time Margin 1 does not permute, it might better be considered to expound Time Margin 2.

In Sangir, Kenneth Maryott likewise posits three Time Margins which he labels "prior", "concurrent", and "subsequent". All are expounded by Relator-Axis Sentences with contrasting sets of conjunctions. Time Margin 2 (concurrent) may also be expounded by a phrase such as 'on Friday'. Maryott does not describe the function of these in narrative linkage, but presumably the Time Margin 3 has no such function.

---

9 See, nevertheless, Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 1.1.1 where there is a puzzling Mansaka example of a postposed Relator-Axis Sentence with durug apparently serving as narrative link...
1.3.2.2 With (apparently) one or two Time Margins

William Hall distinguishes two Time Margin tagmemes in Siocon Subanon: Time Margin 1 which is "expounded by a time phrase or a time clause which indicates consecutive time horizons", and Time Margin 2 which is expounded by Relator-Axis Sentences and "indicates narrative linkage between the sentences of a paragraph". The former is expounded by phrases that mean such things as 'then', and 'after that' (compare conjunction slots in outer periphery) and by Time Clauses which mean such things as 'became day', i.e. 'the next day'. Hall's examples of Time Margin 2 also include instances of verbs prefixed with pag-/pak- and translated 'having done so-and-so'. This is evidently the Gerundative or non-topic construction described in other languages. Time Margin 2 may occur twice in the same sentence: "Two R-AS 1 [Relator-Axis Sentence 1 expounds Time Margin 2] may occur juxtaposed provided that the first one is R-AS 1 with Relator sintak 'while' and the second one is R-AS 1 with Relator sakali 'when', waman kobon 'when', moka 'when', etc." It seems probable that we have here two Time Margins—one concurrent and the other prior—as in the following example: Sintak non mokpiipikiil, sakali dow mikotingag, og gobi 'while he was thinking, when he looked up, it was night.' If so, then there are three Time Margins in Siocon Subanon: Time Margin 1 (consecutive time horizons), Time Margin 2 (concurrent), and Time Margin 3 (prior). It is interesting that while Batak, Mansaka, Sangir, and (probably) Siocon Subanon all have three Time Margin tagmemes, no two languages distinguish the three tagmemes from each other in quite the same way. Nevertheless, the varying functions and functional loads of the three Time Margins in respect to paragraph and discourse structure are presumably relevant to the distinguishing of the three tagmemes in all four languages.

It seems probable to me that in other languages where but one Time Margin is posited more careful study might well lead to the positing of two or three such margins. The diversity of exponents of such single Time Margins, the diversity of function on the paragraph and discourse level (null function; marking of consecutive time horizons; linkage in NARRATIVE and PROCEDURAL DISCOURSE), and the possible occurrence of more than one such element in the same sentence—along with a preference in linear ordering of exponents—make it probable that more than one tagmeme is involved. Thus Lawrence Reid writes regarding Bontoc, "Temporal Margin is the most complex of all peripheral tagmemes, because of the large number of possible structures which can expound it, and because of its role in paragraph and discourse linkage...A sequence of two Temporal Margins frequently occurs in sentences, but at the present stage of analysis it is uncertain whether such a sequence is a sequence of two distinct TM's or a repetition of the same tagmeme. The latter analysis has been tentatively chosen."
1.3.2.3 Less typical Time Margins

Actually, some of the analyses underlying this report posit in addition to Time Margins one or more further tagmemes of distinct label but which are also temporal in import. Thus, in Kalagan, Donald Murray posits not only a Time Margin which immediately precedes the nucleus and is expounded by clauses whose verbs are prefixed with pag-, but also a more removed pre-nuclear Incident Margin (Relator-Axis Sentences whose Relators mean 'when'); and a post-nuclear Simultaneous Margin whose Relators mean 'while'). In spite, therefore, of divergent labelling Murray posits three distinct Time Margins in Kalagan.

In Tausug, Seymour and Lois Ashley posit two tagmemes that are in the "chronological" column in the system of Tausug sentence margins: Time Margin and Limit Margin. The latter is expounded by Relator-Axis Sentence, whose Relator is sampay 'until'. Both tagmemes are characteristically pre-nuclear.

Rosemary Rodda posits for Batak not only the three Time Margin tagmemes described above but also an Extent Margin tagmeme which is not dissimilar to the Tausug Limit Margin just mentioned--except that the Batak Relator is the Spanish loan word asta 'until'. The Extent Margin often occurs several times in the same sentence and is characteristically sentence-final but may permute to pre-nuclear. The three Time Margins already described for Batak occur in a continuous pre-nuclear block but Time Margin 2 and Time Margin 3 may permute to post-nuclear. While the Extent Margin deals with temporal relations as surely as do the Time Margins, Rodda (with some reason) allocates it to a different place in the system of sentence margins which she posits.

Stewart Hussey in Aborlan Tagbanwa posits not only a Time Margin but a Sequence Margin. While the former has various exponents including clauses with verbs prefixed with pag(ka)-, the latter is expounded by Relator-Axis Sentences whose Relators are yabasay 'when' and ubus 'finished'. Adjacent sentences or adjacent Bases within a sentence often consist of such a Sequence Margin plus sentence nucleus, with repetition of the same Relator in both sentences or Bases. Thus: yabasay lumampud, patay; yabasay lumampud, patay. 'As soon as (one) climbs down, (he) is killed; as soon as (one) climbs down, he is killed.' And: ubus makaluta, lumutu; ubus makaluta, lumutu 'After she finishes cooking, she cooks (some more); after she finishes cooking, she cooks (some more).'

This further sort of Time Margin 2

10Note how repetitive devices of this sort become specialized sentence pattern in the Tausug Repetitive Sentence, e.g. ubus sumawa, ubus di', 'Finish shine' 'Finish not (shine)'; i.e. 'It alternately shines and then doesn't shine'. Other sentence patterns in Tausug repeat other such particles. (2.3.2.3).
(Sequence) is quite distinct in function from the Time Margin which bears the weight of the functional load in linkage in NARRATIVE and PROCEDURAL DISCOURSE.

1.3.2.4 **Time Margin versus Base of a Sequence Sentence**

For Bontoc, Lawrence Reid gives special attention to one of the most interesting problems relating to the Time Margin, viz. the disambiguation of a Sequence Sentence (events in chronological sequence) composed of two Independent Clauses joined by a sequence conjunction 'and then' from a string consisting of a Time Margin expounded by Independent Clause, and with a sequence conjunction 'and then' intervening between margin and nucleus.

Reid begins with an example Inomdanda esadat mangan arrived-they then-they eat, which may be translated either as a Sequence Sentence 'They arrived and then they ate' or as Time Margin plus nucleus, 'Having arrived, then they ate'. Reid continues "These structures can be disambiguated by comparing the way the lexical sentence structure maps onto the grammatical sentence structure...A lexical sentence may be co-terminus with a grammatical sentence, or it may span the boundary between grammatical sentences." (cf. Vol I, Part 3, Sec. 2.3). Reid then proceeds to the following extended example:

Kinmaanda ay omeay si abongda esadat omadan. 'Departed-they link go to house-their then-they arrive'.

Inomdanda esadat mangan. 'Arrived-they then-they eat'.

Here a Sequence Sentence 'They departed to go to their house, then they arrived' precedes the string of ambiguous structure. But there is a lexical association (repetition) between omadan 'arrive' and inomdanda 'arrived-they' which constitutes an L-sentence. Therefore, inomdanda esadat mangan is considered to have the structure Time Margin plus nucleus and is accordingly translated 'Having arrived, then they ate'.

In the same manner Time Margin may be disambiguated from the first member of a Sequence Sentence in any Philippine language where the two structures are ambiguous, i.e. where a Time Margin tagmeme is expounded by an Independent Clause, e.g. Balangao, Inibaloi, and most Manobo dialects.

In some Manobo dialects, however, there is a special tense form, variously called "involuntary mode", "irrealis" or "dependent tense" which optionally marks clauses in the Time Margin. In Binukid (Manobo) there is a contrast between Sequence Sentence and Time Margin plus nucleus as follows:
1.3.3 Teleological Margins

1.3.3.1 Cause Margins

Of the various sorts of margins here considered, Cause and Purpose are the most common and have significance for linkage in EXPOSITORY and HORTATORY DISCOURSES.

A Cause Margin is expounded by a Relator-Axis Sentence or a relator-axis phrase whose relator is some conjunction or conjunctive complex that means 'because'. There may be simply one Relator of the Relator-Axis (Causal) Sentence, as in Mansaka: kay 'because'; and Tagabili abay se 'because'. In Batak, a set of three Relators occurs: ay and kumu (Spanish loan como) 'because', and ian ay 'the reason being...'. In Tausug there likewise occur three Relators, sabab, bat, and ampa all of which mean 'because'. The second particle, bat occurs with the meaning 'in order to' in Purpose Margins but attracts the enclitic pronoun in that function whereas it does not attract the pronoun while functioning as a Relator in Cause Margins. The third Relator ampa also occurs as a Link in Sequence Sentences, where it means 'and then' and attracts the pronoun; it does not attract the pronoun when meaning 'because'. In Sangir there is a set of five conjunctions which function as Relators in Cause Margins. All of these conjunctions vary slightly in meaning relative to each other. Thus Kenneth Maryott translates them as meaning: (1) 'because', (2) 'because, through, owing to', (3) 'because of, for the sake of', (4) 'through the fault of, as a consequence of', and (5) 'for, because'.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause 1</th>
<th>Clause 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent (tense)</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Dependent (tense)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Either string (a) or (b) indicates Time Margin plus sentence nucleus (and figures presumably in narrative linkage). String (c), however, is specifically a Sequence Sentence which contains in addition a link daw 'and then' which does not occur between Time Margin and nucleus.
As indicated, Cause Margin may be expounded not only by an embedded sentence but by a phrase. Thus, in Botolan Sambal: 'I'm very ashamed before you because I did not reply soon'. Here the Cause Margin is expounded by a Relator-Axis Sentence, banâ ta agkatawo tampol natobay. In another example, however, 'because no-I-you soon replied' 'They are killing each other because of food', the Cause Margin is expounded by banâ ha pamamangan. Harriet Minot, in 'because-of food' Botolan Sambal, assigns the Cause Margin a pre-nuclear position but on the other hand states that it may permute to post-nuclear. She also states that Cause Margin and Concessive Margin are mutually exclusive in the same sentence.

In Inibaloi, Lee Ballard posits two Cause Margins: "The Cause Margin tagnemes contrast in their manifesting conjunctions... CauM 1 occurs only pre-nucleus, and CauM 2 occurs post-nucleus and pre-nucleus when preceded by another peripheral tagmeme". Thus, the following Relators figure in Cause Margin 1: saan ta, kapota, shapot shi, and madatep, 'because', and Cause Margins thus introduced may not permute to post-nuclear. On the other hand the Relators tep and ta 'because' figure in Cause Margin 2. Ballard gives examples of Cause Margin 2 with tep 'because' occurring both pre-nuclear and post-nuclear. All his examples of Cause Margin 2 with ta 'because' are, however, post-nuclear. At any rate, whatever the distributional restrictions, there is no discernible meaning different in Cause Margin 1 versus Cause Margin 2.

1.3.3.2 Reason Margins

In some languages a Reason Margin tagmeme is posited. In some instances this is simply a Cause Margin under different name. Thus in Kalamian Tagbanwa, a Reason Margin occurs in post-nuclear position and employs three Relators all of which mean 'because' (including the Spanish loanword purki). Similarly in Agta, Reason Margin is simply an alternative label for Cause Margin; but one Relator te 'because' is employed. In Siocon Subanon, William Hall posits in post-nuclear position both a Cause Margin and a Reason Margin. The former has pò 'because' as Relator; the latter has as Relators sabab non/sog 'the reason being' and kabal non/nong 'by reason'. Perhaps these are but alternative exponents of the Cause Margin. On the other hand, the so-called Reason Margin tagmeme can also be expounded by a noun phrase (while the Cause Margin is not so expounded): kabal nok kodasig non 'by reason of his strength'. Furthermore, the Cause Margin with pò as Relator has a complexity in its exponence (embedded sentences often containing their own

11In Tausug a suggested Reason Margin might better be absorbed into the framework of the Reason Sentence.
Cause Margin with pô) that is not found in any of Hall's examples of Reason Margin.

1.3.3.3 Circumstantial Margins

Often somewhat mildly causative in force is the Circumstantial Margin posited in Sangir, Siocon Subanon, Tausug, Balangao, Bontoc, Ilianen Manobo, Ata Manobo, Agta, Bilaan, Maranao, and Kalamian Tagbanwa. The various Relators involved in Circumstantial Margins in these languages are usually translated as 'since' except the following: Siocon Subanon (two markers) 'as long as' and 'since'; Tausug 'since/when'; Kalamian Tagbanwa 'since/in that'; Koronadal Bilaan 'since/due to'; and Maranao 'while it is true that...'. Thus, the Circumstantial Margin in Tausug overlaps semantically with the Time Margin and in Koronadal Bilaan with the Cause Margin; while in Maranao it appears to be mildly concessive. In most cases, however, the Circumstantial Margin sketches the situation out of which a given course of action arises. The following Dibabawon Manobo examples (Jannette Forster) are typical (Relator: mano 'since'): 'Since it was far it took me a long time to get there.' 'Since you have just recently returned to our place, why are you in such a hurry to return home?' 'Since your child's behavior is good, friend, we will have the wedding right away.' The Circumstantial Margin is given a pre-nuclear position by all who posit such a tagmeme—although permutation to post-nuclear is allowed in some of the languages.

1.3.3.4 Purpose Margins

Purpose Margin tagmeme is posited in all the languages except Ilianen Manobo (Jean Shand). In the latter, the Cause Margin serves to express not only cause but intention and result as well. Thus, while su 'because' is the Relator with a Cause Margin in Ilianen Manobo, nevertheless with the correct tense-mode of the verb it can serve to express purpose as well: Lu'lu' ke en su emu ka mekekaan en 'Rinse your hands because you will eat,' i.e. 'Rinse your hands so that you can eat.' Although in no other language do Cause Margin and Purpose Margin structurally collapse as in Ilianen Manobo, it is not uncommon to find that the conjunction meaning 'because' figures in a longer conjunctive complex which means 'so that' or 'in order to'. Thus in Agta, te means 'because', while petta(am) or te petta(am) means 'so that'; in Koronadal Bilaan du means 'because' while du + fye/manâl fye/fâra [Spanish para] means 'so that' (as do also manâl, mani fye, and du by itself like su in Ilianen Manobo); in Itneg while ta may express either cause or purpose other members of the two sets of
Relators are distinct, i.e. tay, tayya, ata, atay, and gapo ta mean 'because' while san, sannon, mean 'so that'; in Mansaka kay means 'because' while antak and daw mean 'so that' and 'lest (not)', but kay antak and kay daw also mark Purpose Margin; in Ata Manobo su means 'because' and oyow means 'so that' as does also su oyow; in Dibabawon Manobo su and kay mean 'because' (Forster identifies the latter as a Visayan loanword); and agun, awos, and pada (Spanish loan para) mean 'so that' but any of the latter can prepose su as well; in Botolan Sambal there is overlap only of an optional element: bana (ta) 'because' and (ta) emen 'so that'; in Tausug where apparently bat, means both 'because' and 'so that' this Relator attracts the enclitic pronoun only when meaning the latter while other specific Relators further distinguish the two sentence margins. In some cases, however, the Relators or sets of Relators used in the two margins are wholly dissimilar (Kalinga, Binukid Manobo, Sangir, Siocon Subanon, Tagabili, and Kalamian Tagbanwa).

In Batak the Purpose Margin also expresses result when the Relator ay _pa_ is used: 'Then clip it there so that (ay pa) it will be strong.' '...you have not returned, therefore (ay pa) we are lonesome for you.' When the Relator para (Spanish loan) is used only the former meaning is expressed. 'Return here quickly to our place so that (para) you can visit the house.' Perhaps a Result Margin can be distinguished with the Relator ay _pa_, while Purpose Margin proper has the Relator ay _pa_ or para.

Purpose Margin is allocated to a post-nuclear position (with possible permutation to pre-nuclear in some languages) in every language except in Sangir where Kenneth Maryott assigns the whole margin system to pre-nucleus with unrestricted permutation to post-nuclear.

1.3.3.5 Result Margins

In four languages, Siocon Subanon, Sangir, Tagabili, and Bontoc, Result Margin is posited in addition to Purpose Margin. In Siocon Subanon (William Hall) the Result Margin is expounded by a Relator-Axis Sentence whose Relator is sogaga 'therefore': 'Now he couldn't finish eating the pig, therefore (sogaga) he left it behind.' In Sangir (Kenneth Maryott) there is a similar Relator haklu 'with the result that': 'It turned out that I raised my hands and as a result (haklu) the rifle was lowered after being aimed at me.' In Tagabili (Nancy Howison), there are two Relators, baling 'therefore', with the result that', and botong 'to the extent that': 'They give all they need to others with the result that (baling) they forget to think about their own needs.' 'How deep it is,
to-the-extent-that-I (botonge) am submerged.' In Bontoc, the Relator is isonga which is glossed as 'that is why, so' by Lawrence Reid but which seems to express result ('therefore, with the result that') quite like the Relators just exemplified in the other three languages: 'The soldiers helped the Americans and so (isonga) all the Japanese were killed'. Result Margin is post-nuclear in the languages where it occurs.

1.3.3.6 Chance/Anticipatory/Hortatory Margins

One other sort of sentence margin, related to the above, is found in a few languages where it is variously labelled: Chance Margin in Kalamian Tagbanwa and and Tagabili; Anticipatory Margin in Agta; and Hortatory Margin in Itneg. To some degree the difference in labelling reflects differences in the exponence and functions of these sentence margins which have been posited in the various languages. Nevertheless, all have the common element of being some sort of specialized or attenuated purpose or cause with a certain overtone of uncertainty or insecurity.

12 There is a possibility, however, that these Tagabili structures should be analyzed differently. In several cases Howison translates baling as a verb meaning 'become': Okom yem suk yó baling yem nga funem. But the knife that becomes the child owner— it i.e. 'But as for that knife, the child becomes the owner of it.' In other cases baling is used as verb expounding Predicate in Base 2 of a merged sentence. (One such type is posited in Tagabili as Simultaneous Sentence which corresponds to the Merged Sentence in the closely-related Koronadal Bilaan): Ne tuda le huil du sla baling ikongen and throw they after him spoon become tail-his i.e. 'and they threw the spoon after him (it) became his tail'. It seems possible, therefore, that baling does not expound a Relator even in the example given above but that the whole sentence may be some other structure.

13 A margin which is labelled Chance Margin in Balangao by Joanne Shetler but which is really something quite different is described under 1.3.4.3.
In Kalamian Tagbanwa (Edward Ruch), the Chance Margin "expresses a mildly hopeful wish with regard to the action or situation in the nucleus". The hoped for eventuality is appealed to as a motivation—and is thus akin to the Cause Margin. The Relator itself is a rather complex element in Kalamian Tagbanwa in that it consists of baski/basi + pa + ra ilem + ang (ligature). Thus: 'Let's hunt pigs at Matung if perchance (basi pang) there are pigs there now'. And: 'Let's try to ask for prefab schoolhouse if perchance (baski pang) we will be given one'. Although Ruch translates the Relator complex in both instances as 'if perchance' it seems to me that 'because...may/might' would not be an inappropriate translation and would highlight the fact that the Chance Margin is more akin to the Teleological Margins than to the Implicational Margins (see below 1.3.3).

In Tagabili and Itneg, the Chance (or Hortatory) Margin expresses a sort of warning, so that 'lest' is often a not inappropriate translation of its Relator. In Tagabili the Relator is kô 'lest' which also is used as an adverb meaning 'perhaps'. The nucleus of a sentence which contains a Chance Margin either makes some sort of negative statement or suggestion or at least regards some contemplated activity with anxiety. The Chance Margin gives the reason for the prohibition or anxiety, and is thus seen to be akin to the Cause Margin. Thus, in Tagabili: 'But I don't want to borrow from them, lest (kô) their work would be slowed down'. Again: 'Make the boundary clear, lest (kô) they go over it again.' And finally: 'I was afraid of a horse when I was small, lest (kô) he might bite (me).'

The Relator of the Itneg Hortatory Margin is di la ket ta 'lest, so that...not'. The nucleus of a sentence which contains this margin usually expresses an activity (often the verbal activity of advising or teaching) which is directed toward avoidance of some undesirable outcome which is stated in the margin. It seems, therefore, that the Itneg Hortatory Margin is more akin to the Purpose than to the Cause Margin. Thus: 'He turned around running lest (di la ket ta) there be something to fall on him'. More typical is a sentence nucleus in which there is a verbal activity: 'And I give this parable for you to discern lest (di la ket ta) you brothers have no unity.' Again: 'That's why I'm advising you all this lest (di la ket ta) you act like the others...'

In Agta, there is an Anticipatory Margin certain examples of which resemble the Kalamian Tagbanwa Chance Margin, and others the Tagabili Chance Margin. In the following examples, as in Kalamian Tagbanwa, the Anticipatory Margin of Agta expresses a "mildly hopeful wish" which is appended as a motivation or reason: 'Let's go hunting, brother-in-law, in case (talo am) we can get something' (presumably this could also be translated
because we might get something'). In the following example, the Anticipatory Margin of Agta is more similar to the Tagabili Chance Margin in that the nucleus of the sentence expresses anxiety the reason for which is given in the margin: '...I'm going to see my mother in case (talo am) she is sick.' In both examples, however, the Anticipatory Margin of Agta is seen to be akin to the Cause Margin in that we are able to translate the former as 'because...may/might'.

These quasi-causal, quasi-purpose margins in Kalamian Tagbanwa, Tagabili, Itneg, and Agta all are postposed to the nucleus, where Cause Margin and Purpose Margin are also typically found.

1.3.4 The Implicational Margins

1.3.4.1 Implicational Margin versus Implicational Sentence

It remains to describe a type of margin which is not posited at all in some of the data papers underlying this study but which undoubtedly could be posited in all of them, viz. Conditional and Concessive Margins. The problem is this: Granted the existence of a sentence such as 'If he got there yesterday he made good time', what is the status of the 'if' clause (or 'if' Relator-Axis Sentence since the string following 'if' need not be restricted to a clause)? Does 'if he got there yesterday' expound a further Sentence Margin tagmeme (somewhat parallel to Temporal Margin and Circumstantial Margin) or is the whole string a nuclear sentence pattern with the constituent tagmemes Protasis and Apodosis (parallel to other sentence patterns)?

Some data papers in the first workshop adopted the second analysis to the exclusion of the first. As a result, no Conditional or Concessive Margins are posited in Binukid (Manobo), Atta Negrito, Agta, or Tagabili. In Koronadal Bilaan, while a Concessive Margin is posited, no Conditional Margin is posited. In all other languages Conditional and Concessive Margins have been posited—in some to the exclusion of any sort of Conditional or Concessive Sentence types; in others, not to the exclusion of one or more sentences of these types.

Where both Implicational Margins and Implicational Sentences are posited in the same language, either (a) a specialized sentence pattern is found with Markers functionally similar to but not identical with the Relators of an Implicational Margin; or (b) the Relator-Axis Sentence which expounds an Implicational
Margin has been brought into the nucleus in some regular way. It is not the place here however, to discuss Implicational Sentences of either the sort subsumed under (a) or (b) above; these matters are presented in 2.4.5.

In weighing the conflicting parallelisms and analytical pressures in favor of analysis as Implicational Margin versus analysis as Implicational Sentence types, it is well to note that the various sorts of implicational strings differ in their degree of internal cohesiveness and cross-reference. A sentence margin should involve a minimum of restriction on the nucleus to which it is added. On the other hand the parts of the sentence nucleus should have a certain mutual dependence, cross-reference, and cohesiveness. It seems plausible that a simple condition (not contrary to fact, and not specifically cross-referenced to in the sentence nucleus) should be considered to be a sentence margin plus nucleus. On the other hand, it seems equally plausible that Implicational Sentences should be posited in other circumstances--unless the type of cross-reference and restriction found between an Implicational Margin and the sentence nucleus seem to be in no significant respect different from those found between any margin and nucleus.

1.3.4.2 Conditional Margin versus Time Margin

Another structural ambiguity in many Philippine languages turns on the fact that in Relator-Axis Sentences in some languages there is a Relator which is apparently ambiguous as to 'when' versus 'if' and in some cases means 'whenever'. Are Relator-Axis Sentences with such a Relator exponents of Temporal Margin or of Conditional Margin? This ambiguity can usually be resolved by (a) attention to the total sets of Relators involved in Time Margin versus Conditional Margin; (b) the possibility of varying the Conditional Margin plus nucleus to produce culturally viable alternatives while no such alternatives are implied with a Time Margin; and (c) the regular use of Time Margins in sentences that expound paragraph level BUILD-UP and STEP tagmemes (in NARRATIVE and PROCEDURAL PARAGRAPHS) versus the use of Conditional Margins in sentences that expound ALTERNATIVE STEPS (in PROCEDURAL PARAGRAPHS) or which expound tagmemes in EXPLANATORY and HORTATORY PARAGRAPHS (e.g. REASON and WARNING tagmemes).

Thus in Dibabawon Manobo (Jannette Forster), while ko 'when/if' is a Relator in both Time and Circumstantial Margins, in the former function it belongs to a set of Relators which include five other items (there are still other exponents of Time Margin which do not involve Relators viz. noun phrases and and gerundative phrases); and in the latter function not only ko but the Spanish loan basta 'if' also occurs. In Tagabili
(Nancy Howison) there are **Time Margins** expounded by Relator-Axis Sentences with definite time expressions and with the Relator expounded by tikóng or timbów 'when'; there are also **Time Margins** expounded by expressions which are indefinite and with the Relator expounded by some five or six items including ke/sok/kesok 'when'. **Conditional Margin** (actually handled as a sentence pattern by Howison) is expounded by Relator-Axis Sentences whose sole relator is ke 'if'. In Western Bukidnon Manobo there is a two-fold ambiguity: ke 'when/if' and emun 'when/if'. But there is also hein 'when' and basta 'if' which do not display this ambiguity plus other distinctive exponents of **Time Margin** that are not Relator-Axis Sentences. In Ilianen Manobo, embiya likewise means 'when/if', but again there are other exponents of **Time Margin** and other Relators of Relator-Axis Sentences that expound **Time Margin**. Furthermore, as a Relator in exponents of **Conditional Margin**, embiya may be replaced by ke 'relator', or compounded with it as ke embiya.

As stated above, with the **Conditional Margin**, while it is possible to vary the margin-nucleus combination to produce culturally viable alternatives, this is not possible with the **Time Margin**. Howison uses this criterion in Tagabili to disambiguate ke 'when/if'. Thus, she gives two Tagabili examples: (a) ke bong, hebi le kom, 'If it is big, they make you buy it' and (b) Ali bong hni le ke meyehen. 'They ask a big bride price, when there is a marriage.' Howison comments regarding the first example: "There is a definite condition to be fulfilled (it must be a large amount), or else they just give it without price." Regarding the second example she comments: "It is a foregone conclusion that a Tagabili girl will marry; there is no alternative in mind in the example given, and it would not make sense to say 'If there is not a marriage, they don't ask a big bride price'." Howison therefore interprets ke in the first sentence as conditional, and in the second as temporal.

Not dissimilar is William Hall's disambiguation of bug 'when/if' and bila 'when/if' in Siocon Subanon: "In the RAS 3 [exponent of **Conditional Margin**]...bug and bila 'if' represent a state or event which in the speaker's view is tentative and is one of two or more eventualities. This contrasts with bug and bila 'when' which in the speaker's view represents a state or event that is expected or inevitable."

This criterion seems to have been employed implicitly in several languages of Northern Luzon where a nu (or no) 'when/if' Relator is found in many languages. Thus, in Atta Negrito, a **Time Margin** is posited with two exponents, the gerundative and
Relator-Axis Sentence with nu 'when' as Relator, while in Conditional Sentences nu occurs meaning 'if'. In all the examples of the latter (which probably should be interpreted as Conditional Margin plus nucleus), there are clear alternatives. In Itneg, there is a variety of Relators which occur in Time Margin. But, again no occurs both meaning 'when' in such margins and meaning 'if' in Conditional Margins. As Janice Walton expresses it, "Only when a true condition can be translated is no considered to mean 'if'." The situation in Balangao is almost identical with that found in Atta Negrito; again no 'when/if' is apparently disambiguated on the basis of the possibility of offering alternatives when a true condition is present. For Kalinga, Richard Gieser hesitates to posit a Conditional Margin (versus Time Margin) because of the ambiguity of the no particle—although the situation in Kalinga is not materially different from that found in Atta Negrito, or Balangao, and similar to that in Itneg.

In all the above, distribution of sentences containing no 'when' versus no 'if' is a further criterion. In the light of the study of paragraph structure in Volume I, Part 2, it is clear that Time Margins function in linking the successive BUILD-UP tagmemes within NARRATIVE PARAGRAPHS and the STEP tagmemes within PROCEDURAL PARAGRAPHS, while Conditional Margins function in the exponents of ALTERNATIVE STEP tagmeme within PROCEDURAL PARAGRAPHS and REASON and WARNING tagmemes in EXPLANATORY and HORTATORY PARAGRAPHS. Application of this distributional criterion in disambiguating no 'when/if' in Botolan Sambal is quite clear (see Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 2.5).

1.3.4.3 Languages with more than one Conditional Margin

For Inibaloi, Lee Ballard posits two Conditional Margins. His analysis is further divergent from those just indicated in that he considers all instances of no 'when/if' to be consignable to the Conditional Margin 1. Ballard comments: "There appears to be no contrast between a condition fulfilled or sure to take place but irregular or uncertain as to time (translated by the English 'when/whenever') and a condition about which there is some doubt (translated by English 'if'). Both are regarded as conditions or circumstances under which the events of the sentence take place." Ballard goes on to posit as two variants of Conditional Margin 1 the general Conditional Margin and the Contrary to Fact Conditional Margin (with the latter marked with additional "subjunctive, frustrative" particles). The fact that Contrary to Fact Conditional Margins are all assignable to Conditional Margin 1 and not to Conditional Margin 2 is of relevance to a possible semantic distinction between the two, as seen in the next paragraph.
I quote at length from Ballard in regard to his positing of two Conditional Margins in Inihaloi:

"The two Conditional Margin tagmemes also manifest contrasting conjunctions: no, into no, and kamo ni in CondM 1 and nem in CondM 2. Positionally, CondM 1 tends to occur pre-nucleus (95% of occurrences), and CondM 2 tends to occur post-nucleus (75% of occurrences). In almost all of the hundreds of examples in text material, CondM 1 and CondM 2 appear identical lexically, meaning 'if...', 'when...', 'whenever...'. However, overlap in meaning is not complete. CondM 2 indicates in some contexts a condition that is more sure to take place or more specific as to time reference. Thus in the dialogue (from text material) that follows, the second speaker changes from CondM 2 to CondM 1:

Speaker #1: Si Handro i binidin ko ey Pangamag ka ni ordered I make you
iidogdan to nem man-ikdog.
nest her when lays-eggs
'Handro was the one I ordered: 'Make a
nest (for the hen) when she begins to
lay eggs.'"

Speaker #2: Talaka'n iiamagkan to no aman-ikdog.
probably make-for he if laying-eggs
'Probably he will make one for her if she
is laying.'

"As an experiment, the following minimal pair was given
to an informant to distinguish:
Asan nak bayshi nem wara'y pidaken ko.
then I pay-for when is get-money I
'I will pay for it when I get some money.'
Asan nak bayshi no wara'y pidaken ko.
then I pay-for when is get-money I
'I will pay for it when I get some money.'

"The informant reacted that in the latter case the
store owner would not extend credit! In the former case,
he might, depending on the man."

There are a few languages in which the Relator(s) of the
Conditional Margin are unique and do not occur with exponents
of the Time Margins (unless otherwise specified, the Relators
mean 'if'): Mansaka aw, Agta Negrito am, Maranao o(ba) 'if',
asar 'provided that, as long as', Tausug bang.

In Batak, Rosemary Rodda posits a Provisional Margin expounded
by a Relator-Axis Sentence whose Relator is basta 'as long as',
provided that'. While the Conditional Margin (with Relator: in
'if') occurs characteristically pre-nuclear and may permute to
post-nuclear, the Provisional Margin is post-nuclear. In other languages the Spanish loanword basta (often meaning simply 'if') is considered to be simply a further exponent of the Relator in the Relator-Axis Sentences that expound Conditional Margin (cf. Dibabawon Manobo, Western Bukidnon Manobo, and Aborigan Tagbanwa.)

In Balangao, Joanne Shetler posits not only a Conditional Margin but Possibility and Chance Margins. The Possibility Margin has a Relator mag-ay ta/no 'should, when'. She observes: "This margin occurs in a pre-nuclear position and is most frequent in PROCEDURE texts." The Conditional Margin, by contrast has simply the no 'if' Relator and is pre-nuclear permuting to post-nuclear. Shetler's examples are of the following sort: 'Should (mag-ay ta) there be a bus...don't walk'. 'Should (mag-ay ta) you say you'll make a clearing, you must make your clearing in the month of March.' Sometimes the Possibility Margin resembles a Time Margin: 'Should (mag-ay ta) it be at daybreak...'.

The Balangao Chance Margin is not distributionally distinct from Conditional Margin in that both are pre-nuclear permuting to post-nuclear. Furthermore, Chance Margin and Conditional Margin do not co-occur with the same sentence nucleus. Chance Margin has a Relator no an an 'were it/if only...would'. Probably it figures most in HORTATORY PARAGRAPHS. Thus: 'We won't get ancient before we marry, if you would but (no an an) finish school.' The possibility should be explored that the Balangao Chance Margin is a variant of Conditional Margin rather than a separate tagmeme.

1.3.4.4 Concessive Margins

Concessive Margins are similar in meaning to Conditional Margins. The latter represent, however, a contrary fact or extremity in spite of which the action represented in the sentence nucleus is carried out, and are therefore often translated as 'although...', or 'even if...'. When the Relator of a Concessive Margin is followed by an interrogative or indefinite proword the meaning is on the order of 'whosoever, whatever, wheresoever, whenever, whosoever', or 'no matter who/what/where/when'.

For Inibaloi, Lee Ballard posits two variants of the Concessive Margin: "The Concessive Margin is expounded by Relator-Axis Sentence 4 and Relator-Axis Sentence 8. The former indicates a matter represented as of no great consequence or importance relative to the event(s) of the nucleus. The latter indicates an adverse circumstance in spite of which the event(s) of the nucleus take place."
The first subtype, expounded by the structure that Ballard terms Relator-Axis Sentence 4 has Relator expounded by angken 'even though', 'no matter that'. Thus: 'Even though (angken) you yourselves have children, those who brought you into the world still give you advice if you do wrong.' Again: 'Even though it is late, I say "Thank you" for sending me the news.' Ballard footnotes that angken may occur by itself as an introductory particle as in 'No matter (angken) my house here is the place for him to eat'. Alternatively, others have regarded such a 'no matter' particle as simply a further exponent of Concessive Margin (cf. Gieser below for Kalinga). Other examples occur with angken as Relator followed by an Axis whose first word is an interrogative: 'No matter (angken) what (ngaran) his social standing is, we will eat with him at the same table.'

The second Inibaloi subtype of Concessive Margin is expounded by Relator-Axis Sentence 8, whose Relator is pa-jan or ampoet/agpoet 'even though'. 'Even though (pa-jan) they gossiped about him, he was persistent in going to church.' 'Even though (ampaet) I walked [after him] hard and called out, he wouldn't stop.'

Richard Gieser also posits two subtypes of Concessive Margins in Kalinga, a "no matter" subtype and an "even though" subtype. In both subtypes the Relator is the same [qulay(no) 'even (if)'], but in the first subtype, an interrogative or demonstrative ('this', 'that', 'that somewhat removed') follows in the string that expounds the Axis, while in the second subtype other structures expound the Axis. Furthermore, in the second subtype, the Relator qulay may appear absolutely (i.e. without any following Axis), in which case it means 'Nevertheless...'. Thus, for subtype 1: 'No one can get the moon, no matter (qulay) who (sinu) [he is}'. And: 'No matter (qulay) what (sinu-t) a person was doing, when he heard what they shouted he stopped and...'. Again: '...no matter (qulay no) for that (siyadi), we are fine, for the body has been paid for and the killer is imprisoned.' In subtype 2: 'And even though (qulay no) they know that he has been sick a long time, when he is about to die they have a posipus [ceremony] for him again as the last occasion for him.' For qulay used absolutely: 'Nevertheless (qulay), as usual I'll look for a substitute for her.'

These examples from Inibaloi and Kalinga represent quite well the range and variety of exponence of Concessive Margin in the various Philippine languages. In a few cases the concessive Relator is regularly followed by the conditional Relator. This has been already exemplified above in Kalinga where qulay 'even', 'although' is often followed by no 'if'. In most analyses the whole complex 'even if' is simply considered to
be the particle phrase that expounds Relator in Concessive Margins. An alternative analysis (followed by Joanne Shetler in Balangao and Lawrence Reid in Bontoc) is to consider that a Conditional Relator-Axis Sentence may expound Axis of a Concessive Relator-Axis Sentence. The alternative analyses simply reflect differences in immediate constituent division: (a) 'Even if he goes, I'm not going' versus (b) 'Even if he goes, I'm not going.'

The Relator of Concessive Margin is found optionally before Sentence Topic in some languages; such examples may be confused with Concessive Margins. Thus, in Bilaan balu 'although' is the Relator of the Concessive Margin and also occurs before Sentence Topic with the meaning 'as for...'. In Balangao either ulay or taen, Relators of the Concessive Margin may occur before Sentence Topic with the meaning 'even' (as in 'Even John, he couldn't make it'). In Ata Manobo agad 'although' means 'even' or 'also' when occurring with the Sentence Topic.

In Itneg, the morpheme olay 'even' is even more general in distribution. I quote from Janice Walton:

"Olay is a word meaning 'even'. This word occurs (1) as the Relator of the RAS Conc. and (2) in conjunction with any margin to add a concessive meaning to that margin. The forming of a complex of relators is a common occurrence in Itneg...However, in cases where the Relators cluster together to become a single Relator before a single axis, the lexical meaning of the Relators merge into one meaning. Olay, on the contrary, when clustered with another Relator always retains its lexical meaning of 'even' while the Relator to which it is joined likewise retains its meaning. If a Concessive Margin is posited whenever the word olay occurs then we face making STM, TM, CaM, CondM, etc. become ConcM solely because olay is present."

Granted this non-specific distribution of the Itneg olay morpheme, it follows that olay 'even' plus no 'if' does not become the Relator of a Concessive Margin but is instead simply a reinforced Relator of a Conditional Margin. Note the following: 'When I was sent again, even (olay) if I only got fire, he'd give me twenty centavos (reinforced Conditional Margin). Cf. also olay before a Time Margin: 'When day, even (olay) when daybreak, he left.'

Concessive Margin is usually preposed to the nucleus but may permute to post-nuclear. Of the various exponents of the concessive Relator, maski (Spanish loan mas que) is not uncommon (Mansaka, Tagbanwa, and Botolan Sambal).
1.3.5 Systems of sentence margin

The sentence margin tagmemes plus or minus Sentence Topic form systems with parameters in some of the languages.

1.3.5.1 Systems of nine margins

Our best examples of well-formed systems of sentence margins are from Sangir, Siocon Subanon, and Batak. In each of these cases the data suggest three by three systems. In fact, the systems of margins in Sangir and Siocon Subanon differ in no essential feature. Other systems are suggested for other languages, but there are difficulties which indicate that some such systems are tentative and need further study.

Kenneth Maryott's system of sentence margins in Sangir was the first system posited. I reproduce, with some adaptation, his diagram of the system. In this system one dimension of the system is the order of the event/state represented in the margin to that of the event/state represented in the sentence nucleus. Thus, there are three Time Margins which Maryott terms Prior Time Margin (T,Mp) as in 'After he did that...'; Concurrent Time Margin (T,Mc) as in 'While he did that...'; and Subsequent Time Margin (T,Ms) as in 'When he had not yet done that...' or 'Before he did that...'. Maryott then groups Condition Margin and Cause Margin along with Prior Time Margin in the prior column as either logically or temporally prior. He also groups Purpose Margin and Result Margin along with Subsequent Time Margin as logically or temporally subsequent. Concessive Margin and Circumstantial Margin are grouped with Concurrent Time Margin in the central column in that they encode circumstances, whether adverse (Concessive Margin) or somewhat neutral or even favorable (Circumstantial Margin) regarded as the contemporary setting of the nucleus.

The vertical dimension of the Sangir sentence margin system represents the relation between the margin and the nucleus. The relation may be strictly temporal—as in the top row; strictly logical—as in the bottom row; or somewhat intermediate (logical-temporal, but at all events somewhat hypothetical)—as in the middle row.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORDERED RELATION</th>
<th>PRIOR</th>
<th>CONCURRENT</th>
<th>SUBSEQUENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEMPORAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmp:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stative Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(past)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(consummated)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS; R: &lt;'after'&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmc:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stative Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(non-past imperfect)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS; R: &lt;'while'&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tms:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stative Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(past negative)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(projected)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS; R: &lt;'until'&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOGICO-TEMPORAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cond M:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS; R: &lt;'if'&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS; R: &lt;'although'&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOGICAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause M:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS; R: &lt;'because'&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cir M:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS; R: &lt;'since'&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res M:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS; R: &lt;'with the result that'&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RAS = Relator-Axis Sentence  
R = Relator

SANGIR SENTENCE MARGIN SYSTEM

DIAGRAM 1
One important feature of Maryott's treatment of sentence margins I have omitted from the chart. He treats sentence introductory conjunctions as exponents of sentence margin tagmemes. In Vol. I, Part I, Sec. 1.1.1 I suggested that particles meaning such things as 'and then' function as pro-margins in that they may occur in place of a Time Margin. Although I did not proceed to make such conjunctions actual exponents of Time Margins, this is precisely what Maryott has done. Thus he posits that tangu 'then' can expound any Sangir Time Margin while diadi 'therefore' can expound any margin tagmeme of the bottom row. He does not suggest conjunctions as exponents of the middle row of sentence margins but possibly such could be found on further investigation. Thus, Richard Gieser (Kalinga) posits qulay 'although' occurring by itself as an exponent of Concessive Margin wherein it could probably be translated 'nevertheless'.

This brings up the very interesting possibility that in any language with an extensive system of sentence-initial conjunctions, each conjunction could be considered to be an exponent of one or more sentence margins and that a conjunction slot not be set up in the sentence periphery to accommodate these conjunctions. It also gives some credence to Joanne Shetler's making the Sentence Introducer tagmeme part of the inner periphery for Balangao.

Kenneth Maryott follows up his presentation of the Relator-Axis Sentences that expound the various margins with a paradigmatic presentation of the nine margins. I reproduce below this very illustrative and useful array:

"The following nine elicited sentences constitute a paradigm in which each sub-type of the RAS is displayed in a minimally contrastive environment. Per-mutation of margin to final position in each sentence is alleged by informant to be 'same' in meaning and 'common' in usage."

1) The Temporal Margin Prior Sub-Type
Bou iâ kuiang, mëhalê.
[TMP] after I called [N] work
'After I am called, I work.'

2) The Temporal Margin Concurrent Sub-Type
Tentalang iâ kuiang, mëhalê.
[TMC] while I called [N] work
'While I am called, I work.'

3) The Temporal Margin Subsequent Sub-Type
Sarang iâ kuiang, mëhalê.
[TMc] until I called [N] work
'Until I am called, I work.'
4) The Condition Sub-Type

Kerêu iâ kuiang, méhalê.
[Cnd] if I called [N] work
'If I am called, I work.'

5) The Concession Sub-Type

Maning iâ kuiang, méhalê.
[Cnc] even-tho I called [N] work
'Even though I am called, I work.'

6) The Purpose Sub-type

Tadeâu iâ kuiang, méhalê.
[Pur] to I called [N] work
'In order to be called, I work.'

7) The Cause Sub-Type

Batüü iâ kuiang, méhalê.
[Cau] because I called [N] work
'Because I am called, I work.'

8) The Circumstance Sub-Type

Kere iâ kuiang, méhalê.
[Cir] since I called [N] work
'Since I am called, I work.'

9) The Result Sub-Type

Haklu iâ kuiang, méhalê.
[Res] result I called [N] work
'With the result that I am called (for further work), I work.'

The inventory of sentence margins as posited by William Hall in Siocon Subanon do not constitute a well-formed system. Nevertheless, as we have already indicated, the Siocon Subanon data possibly indicate three Time Margins rather than simply two. We have also suggested that possibly the Reason Margin tagmeme should not be considered to be distinct from the Cause Margin tagmeme. With these two modifications--positing a further Time Margin and collapsing the Reason with the Cause Margin--the Siocon Subanon sentence margin system becomes identical with that suggested by Kenneth Maryott for Sangir, i.e. it now has a top row consisting of three Time Margins; a mid row consisting of Conditional, Concessive, and Purpose Margins; and a bottom row--consisting of Cause, Circumstantial, and Result Margins.

Rosemary Rodda likewise arranges the nine sentence margins that she posits for Batak into a three by three system. Like the Sangir and Siocon Subanon systems, the Batak system has a top row consisting of three Time Margins, and a mid row consisting of Conditional, Concessive, and Purpose Margins. The bottom row, however, has only its first cell (in the prior
column) identical with that in the two systems already examined. Thus, the bottom row has: **Cause, Provisional, and Extent Margins.** The latter, in turn, seems definitely to refer to temporal relations.

By a couple of changes already suggested above, it would be possible to change the Batak sentence margin system into one all but identical with the two systems already presented. First of all, the **Extent Margin** might possibly be combined with **Time Margin 3.** The former is expounded by a **Relator-Axis Sentence** or relator-axis phrase with *asta* 'until'; the latter by a **Relator-Axis Sentence** with *ba'gu* 'before'. Both customarily occur in post-nuclear—although the *asta* construction can permute to pre-nuclear. Lexically, the two **Relators** both refer to an action or state subsequent to that of the nucleus. With *ba'gu* the action represented in the nucleus is simply prior to that of the margin; with *asta*, the action or state of the nucleus continues or persists until that represented in the margin begins. But lexical distinction of this magnitude may certainly occur within the same margin; both the 'before' and 'until' meanings are grouped under **Subsequent Temporal Margin** in Sangir.

If the suggestion above were adopted and the **Extent Margin** were collapsed with **Time Margin 3** in Batak, then this would leave vacant the lower right hand cell of the system. A further change already suggested, that of splitting the **Purpose Margin** into **Purpose** and **Result Margins** would give us the latter tagmeme which could then be moved into the vacant cell. This would then give us a system of margins identical in all cells with the systems posited in Sangir and Siocon Subanon except for the center cell of the bottom row. Here, while Sangir and Siocon Subanon have a **Circumstantial Margin**, Batak has a **Possibility Margin**. The Batak **Possibility Margin** seems, however, to fit the parameters of its system as well as the **Circumstantial Margin** in the other two languages.

1.3.5.2 Less extensive systems

Other attempts at building systems of sentence margins involve less extensive systems than the three systems just shown. Most of these further systems also involve one or more problems that give the impression that further study is needed. One common problem is that possibly not enough distinct **Time Margins** are posited. The sheer diversity of exponents when but one **Time Margin** is posited make it plausible that such is the case. **Needless to say**, it is difficult to arrive at a system of sentence margin tagmemes if there is doubt as to the type and number of margins that constitute the system. Thus, in Itneg there are seven sentence margin tagmemes posited by Janice Walton. The **Sentence Topic** is included in this count.
Without subtracting the *Sentence Topic* from the inner periphery--where it certainly belongs--we may still decide that it is not part of the system of sentence margins. The other six sentence margin tagmemes can then be arranged into the following system:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SETTING</th>
<th>IMPLICATION</th>
<th>TELEOLOGICAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>ConcM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPO-THETICAL</td>
<td>HortM</td>
<td>CondM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ITNEG SENTENCE MARGIN SYSTEM**

**DIAGRAM 2**

While the above is not implausible as a system, if it were to prove that Itneg has several *Time Margin* tagmemes rather than just one, a wholly new system would result.

If as suggested by Reid's own comments, we posit not just one but two *Time Margins* in Bontoc then such a system as the following may be indicated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHRONOLOGICAL</th>
<th>IMPLICATIONAL</th>
<th>TELEOLOGICAL</th>
<th>QUASI-TELEOLOGICAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>TM&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>ConcM</td>
<td>CauM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPO-THETICAL</td>
<td>TM&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CondM</td>
<td>PurM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BONTOC SENTENCE MARGIN SYSTEM**

**DIAGRAM 3**

Joanne Shetler suggests the following system of "margins"--in which she includes the *Sentence Introducer* (introductory conjunctions) and the *Sentence Topic*:
Shetler's grouping into columns is plausible. Certainly both the Sentence Introducer and the Time Margin serve in a special way to orient a sentence to the surrounding context. Conditional and Chance Margins likewise belong together (and may indeed prove even to be the same tagmeme). The Possibility Margin is not functionally dissimilar from the Circumstantial Margin. A possible reason for putting Sentence Topic and Concessive Margin in the same column is that the Concessive Relator ulay/taen 'although, even' may also optionally precede Sentence Topic. Purpose and Cause Margin share common teleological significance. Shetler does not give horizontal parameters. I suggest Actual versus Hypothetical for the top and bottom rows with Purpose Margin being reassigned to the bottom row and Cause Margin to the top row within the column where they are now found. While this fits the latter four columns it does not seem to be relevant to the first column. Again, the biggest potential difficulty in the proposed scheme for Balangao sentence margins is the possibility that more than one Time Margin should be posited.

For Tausug, a neat two by four system of margins was posited by Seymour and Lois Ashley. It has seemed to me, however, that the Reason Margin tagmeme is not validly posited, hence we have the following defective system:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
<th>LOGICAL</th>
<th>CHRONOLOGICAL</th>
<th>TELEOLOGICAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CirM</td>
<td></td>
<td>CauM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPO-THETICAL</td>
<td>ConcM</td>
<td>CondM</td>
<td>LimitM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BALANGAO SENTENCE MARGIN SYSTEM

DIAGRAM 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIENTATION</th>
<th>CONDITIONAL</th>
<th>RESTRICTIVE</th>
<th>SETTING</th>
<th>REASON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sentence Introducer</td>
<td>CondM</td>
<td>CirM</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>PurM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>ChanceM</td>
<td>PossM</td>
<td>ConcM</td>
<td>CauM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TAUSUG SENTENCE MARGIN SYSTEM

DIAGRAM 5
As we have already explained, the Limit Margin is a further Time Margin. Possibly the hole in the system can be filled on further study. Thus, maybe either ConcM or CirM needs to be shifted to the second column and the Sentence Topic put into the first column.

Inibaloi, as analyzed by Lee Ballard has two Sentence Topic tagmemes, two Conditional Margins and two Cause Margins. Possibly with further study (and with positing of more than one Time Margin?) a system with two rows and several columns can be worked out.

In Mansaka (Gordon Svelmoe) and Kalagan (Donald Murray) three Time Margins are posited along with Conditional, Concessive, and Purpose Margins. These tagmemes correspond to the top two rows in the sort of system found in Sangir, Siocon Subanon, and Batak. But in both Mansaka and Kalagan only one further sentence margin, the Cause Margin is posited. Possibly these seven tagmemes should be combined with the Sentence Topic in some sort of two by four system.

Enough has been done in working out systems of sentence margins to indicate that such a task is worthwhile. Among other things, attention to the system of sentence margins which can be posited in a given language provides a further check on the validity of the number and type of sentence margin tagmemes that are posited. Furthermore, provided that the various analyses are consistent and based on the same criteria, systems of sentence margins lend themselves well to the typological comparison of languages—as do also the systems of sentence nuclei which are described in Section 3.
2 Nuclear structures

In presenting the structures which characterize sentence nuclei in Philippine languages, I discuss (1) the Simple Sentence; (2) Coordination, Antithesis, and Alternation; (3) sentences derived from paragraph structures; (4) sentences whose derivation involves incorporating an exponent of a sentence margin into the nucleus; (5) Merged Sentences; (6) Quotation Sentences; and (7) sentence types that are elaborations of Equational Clauses.

2.1 The Simple Sentence

The raison d'être of the Simple Sentence is: (1) Clause complexes occur which are composed of more or less "independent" clauses; these complexes clearly outrun clause structures (which are strings of such units as Subject, Object, Predicate, and various adjunctive tagmemes). (2) With these clause complexes, which we term sentence nuclei, occur the peripheral features already described in detail above. (3) With these same peripheral features also occur sentence nuclei which contain not a clause complex of the sort indicated in (1) but either (a) just one clause or (b) a clause containing one or more subordinate clauses. (4) Furthermore, certain peripheral tagmemes—especially tagmemes of the outer periphery—sometimes occur in elliptical sentences that have no nucleus. To cover situation (3) some such unit as the Simple Sentence is required. Once posited such a sentence type can also cover situation (4), if we assume that there may occur contextually-dependent variants of the Simple Sentence with deletion of the sentence nucleus.

The Simple Sentence, even without deletion of the nucleus can be very brief as in the Agta anu ãmuk 'How should I know?'; the Itneg iso natayen 'Then he died', and the Siocon Subanon midoksu na 'It is finished'. With ellipsis of the sentence nucleus, we get such examples as (Siocon Subanon): saka 'but...?' (with only Sentence Introducer tagmem remaining; this occurs in dialogue as a request for information); òò 'yes'. (with only Exclamation [or Response tagmeme? REL] remaining; this occurs in dialogue as an answer to an inquiry). Other Simple Sentences consist of nuclei whose clause structures have suffered deletion. This is especially frequent in answers to questions, as in the Siocon Subanon: dibabà 'downriver'.

It does not follow, however, that a Simple Sentence is necessarily "simple" (in the sense of structurally uncomplicated)
or even brief. Note the following partially analyzed Inibaloi example (from Lee Ballard):

\[
\text{CondM}_1: \text{No maypangkep ni kibot ni ngaran to, ST}_1: \text{sota if concerning stealing of whatever the }
\]

amemongbong ni baka, nowang, kabajo, ono ngaran to'n animal, rustling of cow carabao horse or whatever animal

\[
\text{ST}_2: \text{say panrisolta metlaeng ni dalakay ja mengowis nitan outcome just-the-same of old men judge of that }
\]

CondM$_1$: no inomas to la ma kinibot to'n nowang ono baka, if disposed he that stole he carabao or cow

N: bayshan to ni pilak. pay-for he with money

'If [the case] is concerning theft, the one who rustles a cow, carabao, horse, or whatever animal, the verdict of the old men judging the case, if he has disposed of the carabao or cow he stole, he will pay for it with money.'

In this sentence there is a recursive layering not well indicated by the interspersed partial analysis. The first Conditional Margin 1 (CondM$_1$) 'if the case is concerning theft' is followed by a nucleus which includes the balance of the entire sentence. But this nucleus (really = Sentence Base tagmeme) is itself a Simple Sentence with its own periphery. This embedded sentence has preposed Sentence Topic 1 (ST$_1$) 'as for the one who rustles a cow, carabao, or whatever animal'; a preposed Sentence Topic 2 (ST$_2$) 'as for the verdict of the old men judging the case'; a preposed Conditional Margin 1 (CondM$_1$) 'if he has disposed of the carabao or cow he stole'; and its own nucleus (Sentence Base tagmeme) 'he will pay for it with money'.

Notice also the enclosed tree diagrams which embody analyses of two Batak Simple Sentences of median complexity: Diagram 6 illustrates a Simple Sentence of rather intricate structure in that five sentence margin tagmemes (Concessive, Conditional, preposed Purpose, and two postposed Purpose) occur within it; furthermore the last Purpose Margin embeds a Simple Sentence that contains a postposed Conditional Margin. Diagram 7 illustrates a Cause Margin expounded by a Relator-Axis Sentence whose Axis is expounded by a Simple Sentence which contains two preposed Conditional Margins and a postposed Cause Margin.
'Even though you have a radio, if there is important news for us, so that we will know the news, you get Audrey to write a letter which she will send to us so that we will know of the happenings in your place so that we will not have worried minds if there is a letter that gets here to our place.'

BATAK SIMPLE SENTENCE WITH FIVE SENTENCE MARGINS

DIAGRAM 6
You make it soupy because if not soupy if its own juice then we will die because the wine is very strong.

BATAK SIMPLE SENTENCE WITH EXTENSIVE RECURSION

IN THE CAUSE MARGIN

DIAGRAM 7
2.2 Coordinate, Antithetical and Alternative Sentences

2.2.1 The Coordinate Sentence

This sentence is a loose grammatical combination of clauses or embedded sentences with the following characteristics: (a) an open-ended structure, i.e. any number of Bases may be coordinated; and (b) lack of chronological orientation, i.e. whether the actions represented are successive or simultaneous, or whether chronology is irrelevant to the items conjoined, at any rate time is not focal. Ultimately the justification for coordinating two items is some connection that is plausible in the light of the linguistic and cultural context. Thus, one would have to know something of the surrounding story to understand why the following are conjoined in Aborlan Tagbanwa: "But here is my bottle of oil, put it there in your pocket and here is my stone you swallow it."

Or the following Coordinate Sentence in Balangao: 'We cook and the fire won't blacken the pots.' To understand why the following are conjoined in an Ilianen Manobo sentence it would help to know a bit of the local culture: 'When the two chickens are set down one rice is sprinkled and one egg is tossed up.'

The coordinating conjunction varies greatly from language to language. Even in the Manobo dialects while way or way 'and' is found in Western Bukidnon Manobo, Ilianen Manobo, and Ata Manobo, aw 'and' occurs in Dibabawon Manobo, and dat 'and' in Binukid (Manobo). But aw 'and' also occurs in Kalagan and Mansaka. On other parts of Mindanao and on Luzon very different coordinators are found. Bontoc, Balangao, and Kalinga all have a coordinator ya (varying to yag in Balangao).

2.2.1.1 Subtypes and types

For Tagabili, Nancy Howison posits two coordinators: ne 'and' and dalang se 'also'. While several examples of three coordinated Bases occur with ne 'and', examples with the conjunction dalang se 'also' appear to be more specialized structures possibly with but two Bases. Nancy Howison states "When coordinate Link is expounded by dalang se 'also', Head 2 is expounded by a sentence with an initial Sentence Topic." She gives an example:

Lemwöt kun namak tum ibun blayen yem logi, dalang se leave her betel-nut that girl give she that boy also yem logi oguhen tum ibun tum kun namak.

that boy hand he that girl that his betel-nut 'The girl's betel-nut, she gives to the boy, also the boy, he hands his betel-nut to the girl.' Furthermore, often the rest
of the sentence which expounds the second Base is deleted leaving only the Sentence Topic, as in 'I don't want to go on further, also Alun [doesn't want to go on further]'.

In Mansaka, Gordon Svelmoe separates into two sentence types constructions that are similar to the Tagabili sub-types which are described above. He sets up an order of Listing sentences that includes Coordinate Expansion and Coordinate Extension Sentences. The first type is what we have here termed the Coordinate Sentence. The second type has a more specialized and restricted structure which turns on the occurrence in sentence medial of asta 'including'/ 'and-also' or (na) asta pa 'also yet'. The clause-level tagmemes in the clause which precedes asta and the clause-level tagmemes in the clause which follows are arranged chiastically as Goal1 <asta> Goal2, Action2. Examples of this sentence type follow. Na yang baaw, kay boyag, padaraan da kanaan yang the lunch wife let-carry now him the baaw, asta yang inmun sang kaparasko arag da dyara. lunch and-also the one bottle also now carried 'Wife, the lunch, let him carry the lunch including one bottle of wine which (he is) also to carry now.' Iwas mayo yang pasagan na sila, asta yang manga atay slice you the roasted meat and-also the plural liver oboa mayo iwaa, all you slice

'Slice the roast including the liver, slice it too.' Pasamansami am o pispisa yang bobol nang thoroughly the monkey pulled-out the feathers the tollarabon na asta pa yang manga kosoy yabos naan. (name of bird) including even the plural pin-feathers finished 'The monkey pulled out all of the feathers of the tollarabon including even the pin feathers which he completely removed.'

In Kalamian Tagbanwa one searches in vain for a Coordinate Sentence. Instead, a variety of specialized sentence patterns occur (note especially the Paraphrase and Parallel Sentences). What Edward Ruch terms a Coordinate Sentence is really more similar to the Tagabili dalang se subtype of the Coordinate Sentence. It is often, but not necessarily, chiastic in structure (cf. Mansaka above). The medial particle asta 'including', maski 'even', and pati 'including' are not assigned to sentence-level slots but are handled as a necessary feature of the string which expounds the non-initial sentence Base. Two examples follow:

Yaway pamlek yang mga kasangkapan asta kaldiru agiplek you throw plural tools including kettle throw mung minatay yang barutu a, you dead you canoe you 'You're the one who throws away implements including the kettle you throw it away, you dead canoe you.'
In Batak no Coordinate Sentence type with a medial coordinating conjunction is found. While there is an asta 'until' construction, Rosemary Rodda analyzes this as expounding an Extent Margin tagmeme. One subtype of a Juxtaposed Sentence type is termed Coordinate by Rodda.

In Sangir, Kenneth Maryott does not label any of his eight sentence types "coordinate". He does, however, posit a Serial Sentence which he describes as follows:

"The Serial Sentence type (Ser S) is characterized by a kind of union in which the major sentence elements weakly supplement each other. In the Ser S, a string-initial Head tagmeme is supplemented by the serial or list-like addition of one or more other Head tagmemes. An optional Series Marker tagmeme, which follows each Base tagmeme except the last, is expounded by connectives of the class <dingangu> [meaning such things as 'with' or 'and']. The optional Numerator tagmemes which precede each Head tagmeme, are expounded by ordinal numbers each of which corresponds to the sentence order of its respective function point."

The novel feature in the above analysis is the one described last. Note, however, that while items, such as 'first', 'secondly', and 'thirdly' may precede each sentence Base, this is not a chronological ordering—which has the specific contrasting structure of the Sangir Sequential Sentence—but a mere numbering of items in a list. Examples without such numbering of items are apparently more plentiful than those with it.

I sie kikaēng dingangu māginung.
'He is eating and drinking.'

Sahēlo ini, iə humotong mēngonsa, karuane mēdeə kaiu, katēlune mēmēli boghasē.
'Today, I will first, do the laundry; second, get firewood; and third, buy rice.'
2.2.1.2 The Coordinate Sentence in Inibaloi

Lee Ballard posits in Inibaloi a Coordinate Sentence on a somewhat different basis from those already described. While in other languages coordination is regarded as a loose connection of sentence Bases--involving actions which may actually be simultaneous, or in sequence, or elements to which chronological sequence is irrelevant--Ballard attempts to set up his sentence types so as to make them conform as much as possible to real world distinctions. Thus, he sets up a Simultaneous Sentence with an obligatory medial link jey; events encoded in this sentence type either occur in roughly the same time span or one event takes place within the span of the other. Ballard also posits an Amplification Sentence in which medial link jet or Ø occurs; in this sentence type the second Base gives additional information so that jet could be translated 'and furthermore'. For the Coordinate and Sequential Sentences Ballard posits in each type (a) a set of conjunctions which expound medial link; (b) a dominating or diagnostic conjunction which may replace any other and thus identify the sentence type as Coordinate or Sequential. The set of conjunctions which expound the Coordinate Link is: tan 'and', jet, jey, and Ø; the first is the diagnostic conjunction. For comparison, the set of conjunctions that expound Sequential Link is: asan 'and then', tan 'and', jet, jey, and Ø. Thus tan, jet, and jey are assumed to function in both sentence types. As we have seen jet and jey also occur in Amplification and Simultaneous Sentences respectively.

It is possible that such an analysis is unrealistic in that it attempts to deprive the speaker of his option of conceptualizing the same situation in alternative ways. Thus, granted the presence of Coordinate, Sequential, Simultaneous, and Amplification Sentences is it not likely that the speaker has a choice among several sentence types as conceptual frameworks even when referring to the same real world events? Thus, two events actually simultaneous (which would call for the Inibaloi conjunction jey were it to be encoded as a Simultaneous Sentence) might conceivably be encoded as a Coordinate Sentence (without emphasizing the simultaneity) or as an Amplification Sentence (which simply tacks the second matter on to the first). Similarly, need two events actually in chronological sequence be necessarily encoded as a Sequential Sentence? Could the speaker not choose to simply coordinate them (and use tan) or to even ignore the sequence and present them as events occurring in roughly the same time span (and thus use jey): or to represent the second event as an added bit of information (and thus use jet)? If so, then the sorting out of situations so that a preferred conjunction is indicated for each is simply an attempt
Ballard's analysis is sufficiently distinctive that I follow with an extended quote from his paper and with more than the usual number of examples. All the examples quoted will be with the coordinator tan:

"1. There are two sub-types of the Coordinate Sentence: Coordinate Sentence 1, in which tan expounds Link, and Coordinate Sentence 2, in which a conjunction other than tan, or Ø, expounds Link.

2. All Coordinate Sentences 2 can be transformed to Coordinate Sentences 1. The possibility of such a transform is necessary for inclusion as a Coordinate Sentence.

3. If tan expounds Link, there is often parallelism.

4. If jey expounds Link, the Bases are limited to descriptives."

Jet amengenas jeshom tan amantoned jeshom.
and weeding some and planting some

'And some were weeding, and some were planting.'

Jet sota pingkan ja panganan sha, balitok, tan balitok i damisaan.
and the plate eat-on they gold and gold table

'And the plates they ate on were gold, and the table was gold.'

Enshi kalsara da'd ma Balaban nontan da tan shakel i shanom.
no road at Balaban then and much water

'There was no road at Balaban long ago, and the river was high.'

No ngaw i apko, masinekit ira tan ag ira onbknang.
if bad gall-bladder sickly they and not they become-rich

'If the gall bladder is poorly positioned, they will be sickly and they won't get rich.'

Note, however, the following Sequential Sentences (according to Ballard) which also contain tan. The nagging question remains: Regardless of the fact that the real world events are actually in chronological sequence, can not the use of tan indicate that the speaker has simply chosen to conjoin them in a Coordinate Sentence?

Say maptens, on-akad kayo nin, tan no kabasan, daw kayo di.
good go-home you first and tomorrow go you here

'What is good, you all go home for now, and tomorrow, come back here.'
Intorok sha ja shaka iono, tan shaka itayaw mowan strung them they necklace and they dance-with again

shi bindian.
in a dance

'They strung (the coins) into necklaces and they danced with them in the bindian dance.'

2.2.2 The Antithetical Sentence

The Antithetical Sentence consists of two opposed bases, a Thesis and an Antithesis linked by an Adversative particle or particle complex. A set of several particles may expound Adversative in some languages. While in some cases the choice of one adversative particle versus another does not correlate with distributional restrictions governing the exponents of Thesis and Antithesis, in other cases the choice of particle does correlate with such distributional restrictions. In the latter, subtypes must be posited within the Antithetical Sentence types—or even distinct types.

The opposed bases of an Antithetical Sentence may turn on the use of a negative in one Base but not in the other; on a pair of antonyms ('sweet, sour'; 'hot, cold'; 'black, white'); on a pair of pseudo-antonyms (e.g. 'man, woman'); or on a more diffuse, culturally appropriate opposition. Thus in one subtype in Siocon Subanon (with bigdià non 'but') no antonyms seem to occur but rather more diffuse oppositions such as: 'The news was that this daughter of the sultan was good looking but that she didn't have a mother'. 'He gave all his wealth but didn't help transport it'. 'That one fellow was newly married but he did not get his food (make a decent living).' 'It was round like a ball but it was gold.'

In Tagabili, Nancy Howison mentions a subtype of Antithetical Sentence which resembles some of the Thought Quotation or Mistaken Thought Quotation Sentences mentioned under 2.6.6. 'We (mistakenly) thought that it wasn't far but it was a long way downhill.' This subtype of Antithetical Sentence here and in other languages apparently embeds a variety of Quotational Sentence in its Thesis.

Donald Murray reports for Kalagan that while Antithetical Sentences usually contain the Adversative manang 'but', they contain na 'but' when there is a balancing of adjectives (true or ad hoc antonyms) in the Thesis and Antithesis as in 'Beautiful is the basket which you have made for me, Mam; but (na) big enough is this one for me.'
More important, however is the role of negative-positive contrasts in setting up subtypes and/or types of Antithetical Sentences. I discuss here both subtypes and types determined by this feature. Some inconsistency of analysis in positing subtypes versus full types occurs from language to language as well as some structural differences which justify in certain cases the alternative analyses.

2.2.2.1 Types based on occurrence of negatives

In Agta, Roy Mayfield distinguishes from the Antithetical Sentence an Inversion Sentence. The latter, a more specialized structure than the former, contains only a negative clause in its Thesis and a positive clause in its Antithesis; has te 'because', 'instead', 'rather' as exponent of Adversative (rather than ammi 'but' in the Antithetical); and has the same subject referents in both Thesis and Antithesis. Furthermore, the Inversion Sentence does not have Bases expounded by sentences (as does the Antithetical) but only by clauses. Here there can be little doubt that we deal with separate sentence types. Note the following examples:

**Agta Antithetical Sentence**

Enna kami pinagkafe ammi ya kafe en awan haman
go-he we (exclusive) coffee but coffee (the) none (emphasis)
ta asukah na.
sugar it

'He came and served us coffee but eh coffee, it didn't have any sugar!'

Sangaw umange kid ta wah ammi en na linikbagān na atawa
later went they creek but go he muddied spouse

na dama da en.
father they (the)

'Later they went to the creek but their mother had gone and muddied it up.'

**Agta Inversion Sentence**

Bakkan ta baka yana te anwang.
not cow that because carabao

'That's not a cow but a carabao.'

Avena masi na abbing en te matolay.
not-he die child (the) because alive

'The child won't die but live.'
This distinction just exemplified in Agta is found in several other languages: (1) In Balangao, Adversative is expounded by ngém 'but' in the Antithetical Sentence and by te 'because' in the Inversion Sentence; in the latter the te is optional and there are restrictions on tense sequence. Examples follow:

Baën pokkawan hen andi luwang te ngétégan.

'That carabao isn't white, it's black.'

Adida homepot, andag mantongaw hen yadi way ag-agaw.

'They don't go to the fields; they stay home that day.'

(2) In Aborlan Tagbanwa, Adversative is expounded regularly by taka 'but' in the Antithetical Sentence but by ka 'because' in the Inversion Sentence, as in:

Engga mag-ampang ka mapagneg.

'He does not speak but listens.'

(3) In Kalamian Tagbanwa, Adversative is expounded by piru 'but' in the Antithetical Sentence but by a set of four particle complexes (kung + indi/belan; ang + indi/belag) meaning 'if not' 'instead/rather' in the Polar Sentence. A further feature of the latter is that the Thesis may be expounded not only by a negative but also by an interrogative clause. These varying examples of Thesis (called by Edward Ruch Negative Pole in opposition to the Positive Pole) are seen in the following.

Ay wa mamangan nita taa tung tanek ang

Neg P: Why eat we(inclusive)here on ground Adv:if

Indi tumakwal lita tung balay.

not Pos P: climb we(inclusive)to house

'Why should we eat here on the ground? rather let's go up into the house.'

Indi ita magelat ang ita pakdulan yang gubirnu para tung pangabui ta and Indi ita magprusigir.

Neg P: negative we wait we be-given gov't concerning livelihood our Adv:rather Pos P: we be-diligent

'Let's not wait for the government to give us our livelihood, but, on the contrary, we'll be the ones to be diligent.'
(4) In Itneg, while ngem, nem, and anem expound the obligatory Adversative in the Antithetical Sentence, the first two particles also expound the optional Adversative in the Inversion Sentence. While in the Antithetical Sentence positive-positive, positive-negative, and negative-positive values may characterize Thesis and Antithesis respectively, the structure of the Inversion Sentence, although also negative-positive is more specialized. Its Thesis (termed Negative Base by Janice Walton) must be expounded by a clause whose predicate is non-verbal and contains the negative word beken 'not so'. Furthermore, the structures of the Thesis and Antithesis (Negative and Positive Bases) are sufficiently distinct that the two may permute without ambiguity. Note the following two examples.

_Bekena nangitit, polaw._
\begin{verbatim}
not black white
\end{verbatim}

'It's not black, it's white.'

_Anem nalidayen, bekena nalagsaken._
\begin{verbatim}
but sad not happy
\end{verbatim}

'But she was sad, she was not happy.'

(5) In Kalagan, while the usual exponent of Adversative is (na) manang 'but', in the Antithetical Negative Sentence, no Adversative is found. In the latter there is a sentence-initial negative beke' 'it's not true that...'/'no' as in the following:

_Bekte', si Ulian adti bitay adun, niadtu i kan Gili._
\begin{verbatim}
not true Ulian at uphill now went to Gili's
\end{verbatim}

'No, Ulian isn't up the hill now [at his house], but he went to Gili's.'

The resemblance of this structure to the Itneg Inversion Sentence is rather marked.

Gordon Svelmoe, in Mansaka, sets up an Antithetical Negative Contrast Sentence which has a more specialized structure than the Antithetical Sentence in that the former has: (a) no medial Adversative; and (b) a positive Thesis (Positive Statement) and a negative Antithesis (Negative Statement). As in most antithetical structures with a negative Antithesis, so in Mansaka, there is considerable deletion in the exponent of negative Antithesis. The Mansaka sentence type here especially invites deletion in that it is of very specialized structure; the negative Antithesis is a negative reduplication of the Thesis. Note the following examples:
There are those who can speak Visayan; there are those who can't.

Sometimes he will sleep in his father's house, sometimes he will not.

While such a sentence type is not posited in the closely related Kalagan, Donald Murray does describe what appears to be a similar structure as a subtype of the Antithetical Sentence. In this subtype, no Adversative need occur and a negative clause expounds Antithesis. Apparently, however, the negative clause need not be a negative reduplication of the Thesis. Nevertheless, the possibility might be explored that a further sentence type is indicated.

2.2.2.2 Subtypes based on negatives and other features

In several languages subtypes of the Antithetical Sentence are posited on the basis of occurrence of negatives. In describing these subtypes, I mention also any other features that are considered relevant to subtypes of the Antithetical Sentence.

Both in Botolan Sambal and in Western Bukidnon Manobo there occur clearly distinct subtypes of the Antithetical Sentence. The subtype described in each language corresponds to the structure of the Inversion Sentence (whatever its label) described above for Agta and other languages. In Botolan Sambal, Adversative in the first subtype of Antithetical Sentence is expounded by piro or bale' ta 'but'; the exponents of Thesis and Antithesis are varied in structure with varying placements of the negative. In the second subtype, the Adversative is expounded by no alwa 'but rather', while Thesis is negative and Antithesis is positive. In Western Bukidnon Manobo similar subtypes are posited: (a) with iyan 'but', piru 'but' and se'al 'however' as exponents of Adversative and little restriction on the structures expounding Thesis and Antithesis; (b) with kekeñ̃e 'but rather' as exponent of Adversative, a negative Thesis, and a positive Antithesis. A comparison of these subtypes with the contrasting antithetical sentence types posited in Agta and other languages argues
that the same analytical criteria have not been applied in establishing sentence types in these languages.14

In Siocon Subanon, William Hall posits four subtypes of the Antithetical Sentence: (1) Adversative expounded by tibua 'but' and with no restriction on the occurrence of negatives (with even an apparent example of the rare pattern negative-negative); (2) With Adversative expounded by saka 'but'; and with positive-positive, negative-positive, and positive-negative sequence; (3) With Adversative expounded by (1)dual 'but', a negative Thesis and a positive Antithesis; the (1)dual often combines with the preceding negative along with considerable deletion within the negative Thesis. (4) With Adversative expounded by bigdil non 'but', with frequent occurrence of a negative in the Antithesis and with a somewhat oblique sort of opposition (see the beginning of 2.2.1). In evaluating this grouping of the four subtypes as one sentence type, I note that (a) the cleavage between the first two is not very marked. At best the sequence negative-negative is rare and suspect (see the end of this section); yet aside from its occurrence with tibua 'but' and not with saka 'but' little distinction is found between the two subtypes except the differing exponents of Adversative. (b) Subtype (3) is the most distinct of the four; furthermore it resembles the Inversion Sentence (or its equivalent) posited in several languages. Subtype (4) has interesting semantic properties in that a direct Antithesis (by means of negating in one Base an element of the other Base; or by means of antonyms) does not apparently occur. In brief, if any of these Siocon Subanon subtypes are to be elevated to the status of full sentence types probably only (3) need be thus separated from the others. One example each of the four subtypes follows:

14A strict application of my more-than-one-structural-difference requirement for separating syntagmemes would result in making all these subtypes separate sentence types. Resort to consideration of external distribution would probably not turn up any good reason for joining these syntagmemes as subtypes of the same sentence type in that probably the occurrence of one syntagmeme versus the other is not conditioned by their occurrence in distinct paragraph level slots. Probably considerations of the symmetry of the resulting system have influenced the differing analyses in Botolan Sambal and Western Bukidnon Manobo versus Agta and other languages.
Subtype (1) Len binianan non tibua mogdolagan ilan da.
another way his but neck-and-neck they marker

'His was another path but they were neck-and-neck (just the same).

Subtype (2) Gondow gondow ompanow saka ondi obutan.
day day walk but not catch (pigs)

'Everyday (he) went but (he) didn't catch any pigs.'

Subtype (3) Dà lual da ini ok paloy nilan.
no other marker here rice their

'There's nothing else here, just their rice.'

Subtype (4) Sunan ko dun binuni nika bigdià non potiang o na.
know I It hid you but never mind I now

'I know it that you hid (it) but I'll never mind now.'

In Inibaloi, Lee Ballard considers that an Antithetical Sentence may have Adversative expounded by either nem (ag) 'but' or jey 'but'; or may have no Adversative. He states, however, "In order for a sentence with jey or ă as exponent of Link [=Adversative] to be Antithetical, a transform to nem as an exponent must be the preferred transform." He posits three subtypes (1) With Adversative expounded by nem, jey, or ă; with an affirmative Thesis, and with an explicit or implicit negation in the Antithesis. (2) With Adversative expounded by nem (ag) or jey; with a negative Thesis and with an affirmative Antithesis; and (3) With the same exponents of Adversative but "the Thesis is expounded by a negative Existential Clause, and the Antithesis, by a clause that is elided, leaving only the exception to the Thesis; the meaning is 'none...except...'" If these subtypes were to be reconsidered as possible sentence types, it appears that (1) would be the most likely candidate for full sentence status, while (2) and (3) might remain combined as subtypes. Two examples of each of these subtypes follow.

Subtype (1) Kinedked ko'y bokdew ko nem akak atey.
cut I throat my but not-I die

'I cut my throat, but I didn't die.'

Iyay: adoto i ineypoy; aneng iya sapag.
here cooked the rice not-yet the meat

'Here, the rice is cooked (but) the meat is not done yet.'
Subtype (2) Ag to sinongbatan ni tingey, nem inkapo (to) not he answered the frog but began (he)

et i nga-nga.
instead laugh

'The frog didn't answer him but instead he began to laugh.'

Ag to kono ininat sotan na animal, jey songpit kono'y not he hearsay lead those animals but whistle hearsay
timawal son si-kara.
summoned them

'He didn't lead those animals with ropes, but (his) whistle was what called them.'

Subtype (3) Enshi ma'y an on-esel kono jey si Fianza da.
none go to speak hearsay but Fianza

'No one spoke up except Fianza.'

Satan--kasto--aliwa'n mekowan ey dagbo, aliwa'n mekowan ey that expense not say wages not say
molta, nem ag pangaasi sha ira nonta too...
fine but pity their them the people

'as for the expenses, it shouldn't be called wages, it shouldn't be called a fine, but simply [an expression of] their pity on those people...'

Ballard also footnotes that some lexical antitheses are encoded as nucleus plus Cause Margin as in:

Akak ineñat tep kowan ko ey mandikat ali tan.
not-I receive because say I bring-difficulty here that

'I didn't take it because (but rather) I said "That will only bring difficulty to us".'

It is evident here that a structure involving 'because' and quite parallel to the Inversion Sentence in Agta, Balangao, Aborlan Tagbanwa, is here analyzed as a nucleus-margin string. Furthermore, if we are to judge by the two examples Ballard gives of this string, there is a negative-positive sequence in the two parts of the sentence which bracket tep 'because'--just as in the Inversion Sentence. It is possible, therefore, that such a sentence type should also be posited in Inibaloi. On the other hand, the fact that both Ballard's subtypes (2) and (3) express negative-positive sequences may indicate an implicit contrast between these nuclear patterns and lexical antithesis encoded as nucleus plus Cause Margin.
One thing curiously lacking in the extensive data that Ballard presents is an Antithetical Sentence with positive-positive sequence; he feels that all apparent instances of such sequences contain an ellipsis of the negative element, as in the following: 'They released them, but the Palatang people they tied them all up (=didn't release them).' Possibly Ballard has here formulated a semantic feature of all Antithetical Sentences, viz. there is an implicit or explicit negative in either the Thesis or the Antithesis of all such sentences. Therefore, such an assumed negative-negative sequence as is seen in the following Siocon Subanon example needs to be examined carefully: "I don't know," he said, (they say), "how much he will pay, but it won't indeed be given". Here, there is really a blend of two antitheses, viz. (a) 'I don't know...but [I do know that]...; and (b) 'he'll have to pay [something]; it won't be given'. It seems possible that any such apparent negative-negative sequences are similarly complex. At any rate, we would scarcely expect to find sequences of negative-negative in Antithetical Sentences in which the two negatives negate corresponding elements.15

In Balangao, there is a subtype of the Antithetical Sentence in which not ngém 'but' occurs (as described above) but rather ya/yag 'and'. This is the same particle that expounds Link in the Coordinate Sentence. It might therefore, be argued that putative Antithetical Sentences with ya/yag 'and' are simply lexical antitheses encoded as Coordinate Sentences. This is probably, however, incorrect in that the posited ya/yag variant of the Antithetical Sentence is restricted to a positive Thesis and a negative Antithesis as in:

Wadan putwilas yag maid tapolyu.

is beans and none cabbages

'There is beans but no cabbages.'

Accepting such sentences as valid Antithetical Sentences means that Balangao sentences with (overtly) positive-positive, negative-positive, and positive-negative sequence in Thesis and Antithesis, are encoded as follows (with recapitulation of information about the Balangao Inversion Sentence):

15Cf. also the English example: 'It isn't cold but it isn't hot either.' Here, although both clauses contain 'not', one contains 'cold' and the other 'hot'. In that 'cold' is lexically equivalent to 'not hot' we have a covert negative present in the Thesis but not present in the Antithesis. Thus:

'It isn't cold, but it isn't hot either.'
Joanne Shetler states that the negative-positive sequence may also encode with ngém but her only example of this involves a concessive clause ("although they won't obey") as putative exponent of Thesis. If only such exponents as this expound a negative Thesis with ngém then some other analysis might well be considered other than one which makes such sentences examples of Antithetical Sentences.

2.2.2.3 Further types

Lee Ballard also posits a Surprise Sentence for Inibaloi which contains a medial strong Adversative expounded by ngaran pi '(but) what do you know'. He calls Base 1 Intent and Base 2 Surprise. Thus, 'His intent was to dig where (the workers) were digging; what do you know his digging tool struck a pine knot'. 'I went to light my torch, but what do you know, it was different; it was a botatew spirit.'

In Balangao and Bontoc a Diverse Sentence is posited. In both languages the medial particle is distinctive; en 'but' in Balangao Diverse Sentence (versus ngém 'but' and ya(yag) 'and' in the Antithetical); en in Bontoc (versus ngém in the Antithetical). As Lawrence Reid explains it:

"The Diverse Sentence presents two statements in opposition, one apparent, one real, linked by en. The Base containing the apparent statement is expounded by either an Equational Clause, in which the first terminal is manifested by one of a small class of words meaning 'likeness'; kaneg, kaman, etc. or by a Thought Sentence which usually expresses a mistaken thought or opinion."

Reid follows with a detailed set of cooccurrence rules applicable to this sentence type.

Kaneg baken ipogaw en ipogaw met.
like not person link person sure

'It was not like a person, but it really was.'
Kanak mo sik-a en bakenka. thought-I link you link not-you

'I thought it was you but it wasn't.'

In Aborlan Tagbanwa, Stewart Hussey posits a Contrary to Expectation Sentence that appears to be possibly a further development of Antithetical Sentence. It preposes the particles imbis nga 'instead of' to the first Base of the sentence; reduces the second Base to a negative word and incorporates a Cause Margin (See Sec. 2.4.4) into the nucleus.

Imbis nga akuy makapisi, ega ka napisi nat instead ligature I able get negative because got beken. other

'Instead of my being able to get it (I could)n't because another got it.'

Imbis nga maka-uli aku ega ka simyarut. instead ligature able return I negative because sick

'Instead of my being able to return home, (I could)n't because I was sick.'

Imbis nga mabayadan mu i kasala-an mu ega ka instead ligature pay fine you sin your negative because mialagyu ka. ran you

'Instead of you paying your fine, (you did)n't because you ran away.'

2.2.3 The Alternation Sentence

Alternation Sentence likewise opposes two ideas but offers them as choices. Often the lexically opposed items by reason of the law of the excluded middle do not permit more than two bases. This is true when (a) the second alternative is a negation of the first; (b) when the alternatives are antonyms; or (c) when cultural, situational, or linguistic context treats the alternatives as being the only two possibilities. On the other hand the alternation need not be disjunctive (i.e. the 'or' may be an 'and/or'); nor need it exclude further terms—in which case more than two bases, encoding more than two alternatives may occur as well.
The minimal Alternation Sentence contains three parts which have been variously labelled but which we here term: Alternative 1, Pivot, and Alternative 2. The two Alternative tagmemes are the Bases of the sentence. The interposed Pivot is expounded by a word which means 'or'. With proper lexical conditions, viz, with lexical items that do not exclude a third or further terms, the Alternation Sentence may be expanded by addition of further Pivots and further Bases.

The particle expounding Pivot varies from language to language. On northern Luzon, where Agta and Botolan Sambal use the Spanish loan o 'or', several other languages have ono (Balangao, Inibalo1), onu (Atta Negrito) or onno 'or'. In Itneg, Balangao, and Bontoc a form wemno/winno 'or' is found as is also a further word paymo 'or' grading off into 'moreover/however'. On Mindanao, Sulu, and Palawan there is even greater variety of 'or' words: (1) In Tausug atawa; in Iliianen Manobo etawa; and in Siocon Subanon atavaka and bung. (2) In Dibabawon Manobo dow, and in Binukid (Manobo) and Mansaka daw. (3) In Mansaka aw (between nouns with rest of clause deleted) and liwan 'exchange' (elsewhere). (4) In Sangir ara. (5) In Maranao o di na. Other languages employ a conditional particle 'if' or juxtaposed conditional Relator-Axis Sentences Western Bukidnon Manobo, Ata Manobo, Tagabili, Aborlan Tagbanwa, Kalamian Tagbanwa, and Batak. In Western Bukidnon Manobo woy 'and' and in Ata Manobo woy 'and' occur sometimes between 'if' Relator-Axis Sentences with much the meaning of 'or'. In Kalamian Tagbanwa the Spanish loan u similarly occurs. In Batak an Alternation Sentence need have no Pivot expressed.

In many of the languages Alternation Sentences are rare. Thus, Jannette Forster reports for Dibabawon Manobo that she has found only two examples of Alternation Sentences in 100,000 words of text material. Harriet Minot likewise reports that this sentence type is so rare in Botolan Sambal that most of her examples are elicited or from translated materials. Seymour and Lous Ashley write: "This sentence type is of infrequent occurrence as evidenced by the fact that the Tausug Concordance lists only ten instances of the alternative marker. By way of contrast the concordance lists three hundred instances of the coordinate marker." While these remarks are indicative that the Alternation Sentence is probably not a frequently occurring sentence type in any language, nevertheless enough instances of it occur in most languages to establish well its structure and function.

16 It is possible that these forms are from the Spanish loan o no 'or not' (with a misappropriation of the 'or' plus negative as exponent of Pivot). On the other hand, the form wemno 'or' which occurs in several adjacent languages may be a cognate which indicates that ono is an inherited item. Both forms occur in Balangao where possibly a loan has come to compete with an inherited item.
2.2.3.1 Disjunctive Alternation Sentences

The following examples of minimal Alternation Sentences are clearly limited to two Bases by the law of the excluded middle. In all these sentences except the last, in that the second Base is negative, most of the second Base is deleted leaving only the negative.

(Aga) Ittā āgta ta iten o awān.
there is Agtas there or not

'Are there Agtas there or not?'

(Inibaloi) Jet mengidik ka di ono ayshi?
and have-thresh you here or not

'And will you thresh the rice here or not?'

(Bontoc) Ay omalida winno adi?
question come-they or not

'Are they coming or not?'

(Tausug) Magtuntut sila atawa di'.
lodge-complaint they or not

'Will they lodge a complaint or not?'

(Kalinga) No mamingsan on labi mangala-da si lima onno
if one-time night get-they five or
dowa-m pulu onno odumna ad naid pon alan-da.
two unit-of-ten or sometimes none get-they

'In one night they would get five or twenty or sometimes they got nothing.'

Notice, in the above, that all but the last example are questions and show the typical radical deletion of the second Base. The last example is a statement with a negative second Base that has not undergone deletion. On the other hand all the examples that Gieser gives of Kalinga Alternation Sentences involve undeleted structures in both Bases; this may be a peculiarity of Kalinga.

In the following examples although the second clause does not negate the first, antonyms are employed to form an exclusive alternative:
Will he be a long time coming again or will he come again soon?'

Piit, mapan ka di Patok wennon mabati ka dito?
Piit, go you to Patok or stay you here

'Piit, are you going to Patok or are you going to stay here?'

Sabi' maka kat ta'eb alawid?
close also to sea far

'Is it near the sea [or] far away?'

Mlahil gambet talu Tabali ku demen mlimah?
easy study language Tagabili or hard

'Is it easy to learn Tagabili or hard?'

The above examples turn on the antonyms 'long time' versus 'soon'; 'go' versus 'stay'; 'near' versus 'far' and 'easy' versus 'hard'. In most examples the second Base has suffered radical deletion with only the antonym remaining. In the second example, however, where the opposition is not simply between 'go' and 'stay' but 'go to Patok' versus 'stay here', the second Base has not suffered deletion.

Examples follow in which the discourse and its situational context posit only two alternatives (assuming an excluded middle) but where antonyms as such are not employed:

Adi pay as maigbotan nan step paymo
negative moreover future thatched the roof or

insisim.

future galvanized-iron-covered

'Then the roof will be thatched, or it will be covered with galvanized iron.'

Edlevundus ki en etawa ed-eles ki pa'.
proceed we now or hide we yet

'Will we proceed now or will we hide yet?'

Abaken na dat anak tayo, wennon ditay ket sit
defeat she those children ours or we and the

inmangpatay.
go-to-kill
"She'll defeat those children of ours or we'll go to kill her."

Notice that in the preceding examples, deletion does not occur in that the semantic opposition does not turn on a pair of opposed words but on lexical oppositions which are expressed in whole clauses.

Non-minimal but disjunctive Alternation Sentences also occur. Thus, in the following Inibaloi example three disjunctive courses of action are indicated.

No meminted o j a sepnakan sha bebikan sha sota baley if three-times feast-for they run-away-from they the house
ono a-tanen sha, ono idako sha sotan na baley jet mandeka or move they or sell they that house and make
ira mowan ni sakey ja bado, they again one new

'If they celebrate sepnok three times (for a house), they run away from the house, or they move it, or they sell the house and build another one.'

2.2.3.2 Nondisjunctive Alternation Sentences

There are further examples in which the 'or' is probably not meant to be disjunctive. In the following examples I give only English translations:

(Balangao) '[That's a good marriage because] it doesn't indicate something like sickness of the children when they have children or [it means] they will have a good family life.'

(Tausug, Alternation Sentence embedded in Conditional Margin)

'If he had said that he wanted Mother or also Mother had said that she wanted to marry [I would not be angry].

Note the following Inibaloi example where three non-disjunctive alternatives are given: 'When they see a person who is ill-behaved, they don't give him advice, or they scold him with harsh words, or they (even) hate such an arrogant person.'

In no Philippine language is there any regular grammatical way to indicate disjunctive from non-exclusive alternatives. In Inibaloi in particular Lee Ballard cites in a footnote examples like the following, saying "Not all sentences with ono expounding link are alternative. Especially in oratory, it is common to paraphrase, linking synonyms with ono." Translations of his two examples follow: 'Therefore all the relatives of the dead one, they are making contributions ono they are offering, ono they are giving at the house of the dead one...'
that is one thing that will help our standard of living, so that things will get better, one (people) will get rich, one our standard of living will improve here, that is one impor-
tant thing.' Are these examples, in spite of their lexical
divergence to be called Alternation Sentences? In answering
this question affirmatively we note the progression in Inibaloi
Alternation Sentences from (a) disjunctive alternatives to
(b) non-disjunctive alternatives, to (c) paraphrase via synonym.
Paraphrase usually is encoded as a Paraphrase Sentence; its
encoding as an Alternation Sentence in oratory is an example
of a certain skewing between lexical and grammatical structures.

2.2.3.3 Further Alternation Sentences

An example has been given above of an
Alternation Sentence embedded in a Conditional Margin in
Tausug. In that example, 'or' occurred medially and the
initial 'if' was not repeated. Repetition of the 'if' may,
however, occur when the Alternation Sentence is embedded in a
Conditional Margin. Thus, there is a Sangir example: 'If we
have punished a person or if we have reported a person...
In Aborlan Tagbanwa, where no Pivot tagmeme occurs but each
base is preceded by 'if', an independent Alternation Sentence
and one embedded in a Conditional Margin have identical struc-
tures: 'If my husband questions you if whatever he does, you
say "I don't know anything about it".' = 'If my husband ques-
tions you or if he does anything whatsoever, you just say...
'If (when) near to the house he sharpens a small stick if he
wraps up in a leaf some human feces' = 'Either when near to
the house he sharpens a small stick or he wraps up in a leaf
some human feces.'

Alternation Sentences with an optional preposed formula-
like element are posited specifically in Siocon Subanon and
in Batak. Data from a few other languages also indicate the
occurrence of this element. The preposed Formula is a question
involving a verb or noun which is then understood but unstated
with the following partially deleted Bases separated by 'or'.
(Koronadal Bilaan) Dét i knaye-am, do gatù denem ku ge gatù?
what wish-your I go or you go

'What do you want, for me to go or for you to go?'

(Ata Manobo) Nokoy gustu nu, untud ki to adiplanu, ko
what desire your ride we an airplane if

ug-untud ki to buus?
ride we a bus

'What do you want? shall we ride an airplane or a bus?'
There are some languages (Mansaka, Kalamian Tagbanwa) where alternation is expressed only in an Indirect Question, and other languages (Western Bukidnon Manobo, Binukid (Manobo), Tagabili) where an Indirect Quotation Formula is so common in such sentences that it is set up as a nuclear although optional tagmeme. In either case, the Alternation Sentence and the Indirect Question coalesce. Such structures are described under Indirect Question (Sec. 2.6.4).

2.3 Sentence types that are derived from paragraph structures

While the sentence types previously described (along with some Quotation Sentences, Sec. 2.6) are considered to be primitives on the sentence level, I here describe some further types in which what would otherwise be sentence sequences within the nuclei of the various paragraph types are encoded as single sentences. This is not merely a phonological vagary of the speaker nor is it merely "surface" structure (in a deprecatory sense). It reflects rather the option of the speaker to group and combine items as part of his conceptualizing activity. By grouping some items within the same sentence and stringing others out in separate sentences the speaker is able to associate some items more intimately than others, highlight, suppress, shade, and emphasize to the limit of his stylistic capacity.

The following presentation assumes that the reader is familiar with the structure of the nuclei of various paragraph types as described in Vol. I Part 2. Exponents of almost any two (and sometimes more) consecutive tagmemes of the paragraph nucleus may be alternatively encoded as a single sentence. Some of the sentences derived in this fashion have, however, special restrictions which make possible transformation of some consecutive sentences into one sentence but do not permit this in the case of other sequences.

17I am indebted to Edward Ruch for this insight. Under Paraphrase Sentence in his paper he says, "A paraphrase sentence expresses the same thing in two ways and is the sentence level counterpart of a TEXT-EXPO sequence on the paragraph level." Under this sentence type and others Ruch specifically footnotes examples of paragraph structures which are parallel to sentence structures.
2.3.1 Sequence Sentences

In most languages a Sequence Sentence is posited. What could be encoded as two or more sentences expounding successive BUILD-UP tagmemes within a NARRATIVE PARAGRAPH or successive STEP tagmemes within a PROCEDURAL PARAGRAPH can alternatively be encoded as one Sequence Sentence. Furthermore, recapitulatory links via Time Margins may even on occasion be present in the embedded sentences which expound non-initial Bases. Such sentences conform to the same internal chronological requirement as do the nuclei of NARRATIVE and PROCEDURAL PARAGRAPHS. Embedded sentences or clauses which expound Bases of the Sequence Sentence cannot permute—since the linear ordering corresponds to the chronological order. Just as such Sentence Introducers as 'and then' are common in the sentences of the nuclei of NARRATIVE and PROCEDURAL PARAGRAPHS, so some sort of overt Sequence Marker typically occurs between the Antecedent and Consequent bases of a Sequence Sentence.

2.3.1.1 Sequence Sentences in various languages

In the following two Sangir examples the first example is narrative and the second procedural; the Sequence Marker is kū 'next', 'subsequently', 'then':

I sie nēbuā kū dimaleng.
he arose next went

'We got up and left.'

Tangu, ipaname mamēmī pising pahēpā magaghurang,
then curing-agent peel skin-of mangrove mature

kū iuguēng su belekē pakalawò akene.
next cook in can make-much water-its

'Then, as for the curing agent, one peels bark from a mature mangrove, then this bark is cooked in a can with much water.'

In Binukid (Manabo), the Sequence Marker is daw 'and then'; the predicate in the clause which expounds Consequent Base is in the irrealis or dependent tense. Again, the first example is narrative and the second is procedural.

Nangkalima a hu bulan daw a human ułi.
five I the month and I particle go-home

'I was there for five months and then I returned home.'
Unahen ku sa panilhig daw a human mag-iskrab.
first I the sweep and I particle skate

'I'll sweep and then skate [on a half coconut shell to polish the wood floor].

Both the following examples from Western Bukidnon Manobo are narrative; the Sequence Marker is ne(human) 'and then':

Mid-umpak en ne mibaluy en he kesili.
jumped (he) completive and turn-into completive ligature eel

'He jumped in and turned into an eel.'

Nekepira sikandan diye te zalan ne human dan nekeuma.
how-many-ed they on the trail and then they arrived

'They were a number of days on the way and then they arrived.'

In the following Western Bukidnon Manobo example, the Antecedent Base is expounded by a Coordinate Sentence (with medial wey 'and'), while the two following Consequent Bases (each preceded with ne 'and') are expounded by clauses:

Nekegezam heini is selazeng te meinit wey nekeahâ te hapuy ne
felt this deer the heat and saw the fire and
midleguwat ne nedeawâ en.
struggled and got-free completive

'The deer felt the heat and saw the flames, then he struggled and then he got free.'

Finally, still another Western Bukidnon Manobo example illustrates a Time Margin within the Simple Sentence which expounds Consequent Base. This Time Margin refers anaphorically to the Antecedent Base in exactly the same way that a Time Margin in a subsequent sentence refers to a preceding sentence when both sentences expound successive BUILD-UP tagmemes of a NARRATIVE PARAGRAPH. Nevertheless, this particular example of Sequence Sentence is unified not simply by its medial asuence Marker but also by the sentence initial Exclamation and Sentence Topic. There is a Sentence Ligature tagmeme that occurs between the Sentence Topic and the main nucleus, and also between the Time Margin and nucleus of the embedded sentence. Ligature is expounded by ne 'and' while the Sequence Marker is ne(human)--with the more characteristic and specific morpheme human omitted in this example.
Ah, this lizard, he made a container, then when he finished, he left for home.

In Agta, the Sequence Marker is kapye, which is obligatory. It may be preceded by a ligature element Ꙫ or by te 'because'. The latter, while a Relator introducing the Cause Margin also occurs in the Inversion Sentence as 'but'; it has distributions in which the meaning of causality is partially suppressed. Both of the following examples seem to be procedural:

Magadade ka ay mantu te kapye hapa maggitaha. sing you emphasis then link: because then-I also play-guitar

'Alright, you sing, because afterward I'm going to play the guitar.'

Magkali ak bit te kapyek sangaw magpatayuk ta dig I briefly link: because then-I later cause-erect

ahigi in.
post (the)

'I'm digging a hole because then I'll have the house-post erected.'

A potential ambiguity in Bontoc and Balangao between Temporal Margin-ligature-nucleus versus a Sequence Sentence of Antecedent-Sequence Marker-Consequent structure has been discussed above at the end of Sec. 1.3.2.3. The following Balangao examples are narrative and procedural respectively. The Sequence Marker is a particle complex consisting of an optional ya/yag 'and' and an obligatory an...at 'then' which brackets the pronoun of the clause which expounds Consequent.

Inissani hen toloy luwang ya anat babuy amin. ate-we the three carabao and pig all

'We ate three carabao and then [we ate] pigs, too.'

Waday man-ammanyu anyuwat hamham-en way omahawa. is do-you then-you think link marry

'Do some work and then think about getting married.'
The following Bontoc example exemplifies the potential length of the Sequence Sentence. Here ten Bases occur in sequence; numbered items 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 are Sequence Markers. In that the Sequence Marker is optional it does not occur between Bases 1 (#3) and 2 (#4). Base 9 (#19) is expounded by a Paraphrase Sentence. Base 10 (#21) is considered to be expounded by a back-looping exponent, viz. an EXPLANATORY PARAGRAPH.

1Kedeng pan 2ay 3matey pan tet-ewa, 4pay-ena nan bollayaw only 1link die truly put-he the shooting-star

5ay 6esada pan 7awniyen nan sig-idna-8et 9pomanaltakow link-they delay the sig-id-his plant-we-
10et 11manonedtakow12et 13maalat nan onas14et, link transplant-we-link got the sugarcane-link
15min-anitakow16et 17lomamag ad nan in-ani 18esada harvest-we-link gone-up to the harvesters link-they
19aibadoy nan pelsat an Ongyodbay clinakowanaay take-about the one-fourthto Ongyod-link bought-he-attributive

nowang20et 21alaen Dayakdakay aman da Ikolan carabao-link get Dayakdak-attributive father plural Ikolan

asnan min-on-onolay botog. bSiya di nan alandas of-the in-heat-attributive pig it that the got-they-for

nan sig-idna. the sig-id-his

1After that 3he surely died, and 4put a shooting star in the sky, 6then 7they delayed his sig-id sacrifice, 9we planted the rice 10and 11transplanted it, 13had the sugarcane harvest 14and 15the rice harvest 16then 17the harvesters came up to Boyoy 18then 19they took a quarter to Ongyod, bthat is of cthe carabao that he bought, 20then 21aDayakdak, the father of Ikolan and the others, got a pig in heat. bThat is what they got for his sig-id sacrifice.'

In Atta Negrito, Ruth Lusted posits two Sequence Sentences: one with a medial Sequence Marker 4'and' and the other without such an element (and without marked phonological juncture). If this be the only feature separating the two they could be considered to constitute one type with an optional 4'and'. A possible further difference is that while apparently the type without the Sequence Marker does not require that actors be the same in the Bases, the type with the Sequence Marker requires that the actors
be the same. It may also be that the former type permits more than two Bases while the latter does not. At any rate both types (or sub-types) can probably be both narrative or procedural. Atta Negrito examples follow: the first two examples do not have the Sequence Marker, while the latter two have it.

Nabilagán yu nuáng na natay. 
overheated the carabao his died-it

"His carabao got overheated; it died."

Pfgia lâ mappadde ei Kuân ta kakon inipatapâtâ na mà ta how-quickly making Juan the box nailed-up he as-well

napiá en na inilappák ta bebay. 
well went he threw-over in sea

"How quickly Juan made a box: he nailed it up tight as well; he went and threw it into the sea."

"Ek ku tâmma papatâyan yu Isnâ nga nuáng ku, â nu melâkû go I think kill the five link carabao my and when sell-I

sangaw yan â aru nga kuârtu," (kun na kanu) by-and-by that themMuch link money said he reported/speech

'I think I'll go and kill my five carabaos, and when I sell that then there will be a lot of money," (Juan also reportedly said.)

(Pâno ri Ikenia,) á mine nangâ ta baggâ ta leaving plural Ikenia conjunction went got the rice

dammâng â yî inâ da lâbbî yu baggâ. 
across-river and the got they just the rice

('When Ikenia and company left,) they went to get rice across the river, and they had just gotten the rice.'

In Itneg there is a Sequence Sentence with a complex medial Link one or both of whose two components (+ si-sequence + ket coordinator) occurs in every such sentence.

18 Certain non-narrative examples of the type without Sequence Marker do not appear to be as definitely sequential. It may be that the Phonological Sequence Sentence (without the Sequence Marker) is not specifically and exclusively sequential.
Siya ta sid namaayan da, sida kano ilobwat, itallay. That the way their then it's said prepared take home

'That's their way, and then they prepared to take him home.'

Isenglag tayo ket yaan sit baginas ta sitay manan nobigat. roast rice we yet the millet-our then go tomorrow

'We'll roast rice, even our millet, then go tomorrow.'

In Batak the Sequence Sentence is a juxtaposed sentence without a Sequence Marker. The first example is procedural; the second is narrative:

Tanggung mapanaway dutengay. shoulder to go home

'You-shoulder it and set off for home.'

Balik na si Pusung duteng na kat balay ya. return now Pusung went-home to house his

'Pusung returned and went home to his house.'

2.3.1.2 Sequence Sentences in Inibaloi

At the end of 2.2.1.2 I discussed somewhat the Coordinate Sentence versus the Sequence Sentence in Inibaloi. Lee Ballard sets up the Sequence Sentence as including, in effect, any sentence encoding any two events that seem to be in real world sequence. It doesn't matter whether the Sequence Marker be asan 'and then', or tan 'and' (the coordinating conjunction), or jey (the medial Marker of the Simultaneous Sentence) or jet (the medial Marker of the Amplification Sentence). Provided that any of the latter conjunctions may be replaced by asan, the sentence is considered to be a Sequence Sentence.

I have already expressed discontent with such an analysis in that it is an attempt to deny the speaker his prerogative of conceptualizing the same situation in different ways. Take, for example the sentence, (1) 'I went downtown and Mary came home'. As it stands here the English sentence simply coordinates the two events. But the speaker could choose to conceptualize the situation differently: (2) 'About the time that I went downtown, Mary came home'; or again (3) 'I went downtown and then Mary came home'; or again (4) 'I went downtown--and Mary came home'. In spite of the fact that in physical order the first event precedes the second, while (1) coordinates in non-committal fashion; (2) emphasizes that the events take place in roughly the same time span; (3) emphasizes sequence; and (4) reports the
second event as an additional piece of information. I suggest here that the Inibaloi particles tan 'and'; jey 'and' (at about that time); asan 'and then'; and jet 'and furthermore' as medial elements between Bases imply in Inibaloi somewhat the distinctions illustrated respectively in the English (1) - (4) above.

The following Inibaloi examples with asan 'and then' involve unequivocal sequence:

Kosto metlaeng tep mepinshowa'ın maesharo asan
all-right just-the-same because do-twice plowed and-then
mepasakad ma.
harrowed

'It's all right though because it is plowed twice and then it is harrowed.'

Inkowan nonta imok ey, Menongpitak nin asan naka
said the mosquito whistle-I first and-then I
kaleta'y kemkemti.
bite firefly

'The mosquito said, "I will whistle first, and then I will sting the firefly."'

Jet inko-nit to'n itotong to'd kowako to, asan toka itotong
and struck he light he-to pipe his and-then he lit
shi disdis ni dagidab.
on cleaning reeds

'And he struck (the match) and lit his pipe, and then he lit the shavings of the reeds.'

Inangdob nen Pitok i apoy, asan toka koveni ni sheki jet
banked fire and-then he put-onto bran and
say ag ma-shep i apoy.
so. not extinguished fire

'He banked the fire, and then he put on it rice bran so the fire wouldn't go out.'

The following three examples with jey are also considered by Ballard to be Sequence Sentences. The first may be emphasizing the 'lifting them up' and 'dropping them violently' as the two activities taking place at that time—especially since the
whole process is repeated many times. In the second example, 'cutting short the dance' and not 'continuing it' are perhaps as much paraphrases as events in sequence. In the third example the two events are clearly in sequence, and yet might not the force of the jey be that 'the people evacuated to the mountains about the time that the Spanish arrived' rather than to emphasize the temporal sequence?

Shaka iba-jat jey inbes-ig sha; shaka iba-jat jey they hang-up and drop-violently they they hang-up and

'inbes-ig sha, drop-violently they

'They would lift (them) up by a rope and drop (them) violently to the ground; they did this repeatedly.'

Inpanejaw sha, nem kini-toan to jey ag to intoloy i tayaw. had-dance they but cut-short he and not he continue dance

'They had him dance the tayaw, but he cut short the dance and did not continue it.'

Inanbakoit ta emin i too nontan, jey onmotok ma ali Kastil. evacuated all people then and arrived here Spanish

'...All the people evacuated (to the mountains) at that time, and then the Spanish arrived.'

Some of Ballard's longer examples, which he regards as Sequence Sentences consisting of a simple linear sequence of Bases and with Sequence Marker "expounded...by different members of the exponent set of conjunctions" show better articulated structures if the differing conjunctions are given weight as indicating differing sentence types within a nest of sentences. In the following example asan may be taken to mark onset of successive Bases within the Sequence Sentence, while jet indicates an Amplification Sentence which expounds the first and second Bases, while the dual occurrence of tan indicates a Coordinate Sentence which expounds the fourth Base. The third Base is expounded by a Paraphrase Sentence. The whole is procedural in import.

No akaotan i kaybebkaan ni tokod; mengisepat ira ni when dug-out place-to-bury of post raise they

'inam-am jet menginom ira ni tapey, asan shaka isepat floor-beam and drink they rice-wine and-then they raise
'When the post-holes are dug, they raise the floor beams, and (jet) they drink rice wine, and then (asan) they raise the ceiling beams, and (jet) when it is noon, they again drink rice wine, and then (asan) they eat, they eat the dog or goat, and then (asan) they continue raising the ceiling beams when they finish eating at noon, and (tan) they raise the rafters into place and (tan) they put on the cross-pieces, and then (asan) they roof (the house).'

In the above, note that the conceptualizing activity of the speaker is at work as follows: (1) the sequence of five major activities is conceived to be (a) raising the floor beams; (b) raising the ceiling beams; (c) the big noon day meal with dog or goat meat; (d) the completion of the ceiling beams, and putting on of rafters and cross-pieces; (e) the roofing itself. These five blocks are articulated by the four occurrences of asan 'and then'. (2) Within both (a) and (b) there is added information adjoined, viz. that they drink rice wine. In each of these embedded Amplification Sentences this additional information is signalled by jet. (3) The whole early afternoon's activity, preparatory to the roofing at the end of the day, is summarized in a Coordinate Sentence whose parts are linked by tan 'and'. Note the accompanying tree diagram (medial Links and Markers are indicated by dotted lines; other elements by solid lines).
When they raise the post-holes are dug, they raise the floor. Rice beams are dug, they raise rice wine. They eat and when it is noon, they continue raising the beams into place. They put the cross-beams on the rafters. They finish eating at noon.
Ballard's examples of Inibaloi Sequence Sentences without any Sequence Marker all look convincing. Note the following:

Sikmaten nen Governor Gaelan itan na solat; binasa to; that letter read he 

in-oli to di sotan na solat. brought-back he here that letter

'Gov. Gaelan received the letter; he read it; he returned it to us.'

A-kalen sha sota kalsa; simbek sha; dineknara sota pilak remove they the gong reach-in they felt—they the money ja nay-iyan. inside

'They removed the gong (that was lid on the rice wine jar); they reached inside; they felt the money inside.'

2.3.2 Paraphrase Sentences

Paraphrase Sentences encode in one sentence lexical material of the sort typically found in successive TEXT and EXPOSITION tagmemes of the EXPLANATORY PARAGRAPH or in successive EXHORTATION and REINFORCEMENT tagmemes of the HORTATORY PARAGRAPH. All that we say under Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 3.1 regarding exposition through parallelism applies here.

In most languages this sentence type does not have a medial Marker; specific exceptions are pointed out as this presentation proceeds.

2.3.2.1 Paraphrase Sentences with repeated lexical items

In Atta Negrito, instances of this sentence type have one or more lexical items repeated in the Bases of the sentences; for this reason Lusted terms it a Recapitulation Sentence. The following examples resemble TEXT-EXPO(SITION) tagmemes of the EXPOSITORY PARAGRAPH.

Náttamák ku—náttamák ku vù dagum mi nga nagatáwà. forgot I forgot I the year our link married—I

'I forgot—I forgot the year in which we were married.'
Since he forgot his only carabao, it died--his only carabao died.

In Aborlan Tagbanwa the repetition of a lexical item in a following Base is not uncommon although it is probably not necessary to the sentence type. In the two examples below such repetition occurs; the first example resembles a TEXT-EXPO sequence of an EXPOSITORY PARAGRAPH while the second possibly resembles more an EXHOR(TATION)-REINF(ORCEMENT) of a HORTATORY PARAGRAPH.

(They) divided up the blouses; divided up the skirts; divided up the head cloths.'

'I will go; I will go to the other side (of the island).'

Often synonyms occur in the consecutive Bases as in the following two Ilianen Manobo examples both of which resemble EXHOR-REINF sequences.

'Where is the one I sent you for; why didn't Ukap come?'

'Work men; cut down the betel palm!'
employs synonyms in the following Bases (his Reiteration subtype). Two examples of each subtype follow:

**Amplification subtype**

\[ \text{dít ita mokinongog nong molaton dít mogbantoy nong molaton} \]

not we listen bad not watch bad

\[ \text{pò màana non mülü sog gulu ra.} \]

because meaning it return head our

'Let us not listen to what is bad, let us not watch what is bad because it will go into our minds.'

\[ \text{Mialap non ok kotubù non mialap non koponapot non saka konà} \]

got he living his got he clothing his but not

\[ \text{ilan moginang.} \]

they work

'He got his living (food), he got his clothing but they didn't work.'

**Reiteration subtype**

\[ \text{Konà ta pinintua konà ta momosun dun.} \]

not we advise not we enlighten it

'We are not the ones to advise, we are not the ones to enlighten.'

\[ \text{...manok koni minsan boluyon nju dít da mülü dít da} \]

chicken this even-if let loose you not go home not

\[ \text{mokulog sog baloy.} \]

stray house

'...this chicken, even though you let it loose, will not return (to his former house) will not stray from this house.'

2.3.2.2 Paraphrase Sentences with optional links

In Bontoc an optional Link expounded by ay may occur between the Bases of a Paraphrase Sentence. Both of the following examples resemble TEXT-EXPO sequences:

19 Hall also posits a further subtype which states a sequence. This may perhaps better be grouped with the Sequence Sentences in which mangka 'and then' can consequently be considered as optional rather than obligatory.
Ngoman nganawngavda ay makikigkiggawan.
loud-voices-they link join-kiggaw-chant
'They make a loud noise joining in the kiggaw chant.'

Mawakas, omapoyda, enda apoyan nan payew engganay dowan
next-day fire-they go-they fire the fields until two
algew.
days
'The next day, they perform the fire ceremony, they take fire
to the fields for two days.'

In Western Bukidnon Manobo, a more explicit medial Link
expounded by ne kuwinta may occur; this particle sequence
functions much like i.e. in English. It occurs in the first
example below but not in the second:

Nezumegatan en is kebukesavuk, ne kuwinta
lowlandered completive the situation link
velaud en guantaan is edhadi.
civil-law completive now the one-ruling
'Our way of life has been influenced by lowlanders; that is,
civil law is what rules now.'

Dutun kenè en ebpekehipanev; layun dà ebevaha
then not completive able-to-walk(she)always only carried
ke hendei zan ebayà.
wherever they go
'At that time she could no longer walk; she was always carried
wherever they went.'

2.3.2.3 Languages with more than one Paraphrase
Sentence

In two languages—Inibaloi and Kalamian
Tagbanwa,—two types of Paraphrase Sentence are posited. In
one type synonyms occur or lexical items are repeated; the
succeeding Base is a paraphrase of the preceding Base. In
the other type one or more lexical items are repeated—so that
there is a parallelism of structure—but other items are di-
verse. As Ballard once communicated to me orally "The Para-
phrase Sentence says the same thing in two different ways; the
Parallel Sentence says two different things in similar ways." On
the other hand, both varieties of sentence have parallels
in TEXT-EXPO sequences in the EXPLANATORY PARAGRAPH in that parallelism of meaning alone or of form with one repeated item is all that is required.

The following are Inibaloi examples. Phonologically the two sentence types differ in that while the Paraphrase Sentence has semi-final intonation varying optionally to final (so that two phonological sentences may encode as one grammatical one), the Parallel Sentence has a special unifying intonation: "Between each of the Bases the intonation rises to a peak: similar to utterance-final intonation but usually higher". I give below three examples of the Paraphrase Sentence followed by two of the Parallel Sentence.

Inibaloi examples.

Phonologically the two sentence types differ in that while the Paraphrase Sentence has semi-final intonation varying optionally to final (so that two phonological sentences may encode as one grammatical one), the Parallel Sentence has a special unifying intonation: "Between each of the Bases the intonation rises to a peak: similar to utterance-final intonation but usually higher".

I give below three examples of the Paraphrase Sentence followed by two of the Parallel Sentence.

Itaeng jo'n siged ta panmaptengan jo iyay; ag jo listen you good because good your this not your

met pankotsyan.
emphatic bad

'Listen well because this is for your good: it is so you don't do wrong.'

...No maykedima, do-doan sha, a-kalen sha sota bedat to when fifth peeled they took-off they the skin his
tep adosos ma.
because loose

'...On the fifth (day), they peel him, they remove the skin from him because it is now loose.'

Inpaodira mowan; insedad sha tep maata.
put-back-they again boiled they because uncooked

'They put it back into (the caldron); they boiled it because it was not done.'

Iman i Idoko, iman i Pangasinan, iman ali too di'd there Ilocano there Pangasinan there people here from

ma Bontoc.
Bontoc

'There are Ilocanos, there are Pangasinans, there are people here from Bontoc.'

Amengingdis sa emin i a-anak; amengingdis i bi-i.
speak English all children speak English women

'All the children speak English; all the women speak English.'
In Kalamian Tagbanwa much the same distinction is found between Paraphrase and Parallel Sentences. Two examples of each follow:

Kemdea nga ilem asan tung puira tung kindengan mu nungayna, stand you only there of outside at stood you before

indi a magpeleg.
negative you move-around

'You just stand there outside where you stood a little while ago, don't make a lot of movement.'

...gay madipara aw pa ka enged anya, mademdem naw ka
for look-for I still also anyway she remember I also

anya.
she

'...for she'll still look for me regardless, she'll also remember me.'

May lutuk ang anen tung teeb may lutuk tung banwa.
there is edible food in ocean there is edible on land

'There is edible food in the ocean and there is edible food on land.'

Yawa lagten mu ra yang tung dulung yuu lagten nu ra ka yang
you lash you now at prow I lash I now also

tung buli.
at stern

'You lash the ones at the prow, I'll lash the ones at the stern.'

In Batak the Paraphrase Sentence is part of a larger pattern in which figure four Juxtaposed Sentence types. The first, consisting of two juxtaposed clauses with verbs prefixed with pag-, is discussed elsewhere (Sec. 2.4.3.5). The second juxtaposed type, which Rosemary Rodda calls Existential Sentence, is a specialized paraphrase structure in which both component clauses contain may 'there are...' as in the two following examples:

May gimbal may lasung may babandil.
those drum those gong those little gongs

'There are those who will beat the drums and those who will play the big gongs and those who will play the little gongs.'
May bay'u'u may bakes. there was turtle there was monkey

'Once there was a turtle and a monkey.'

The fourth juxtaposed type, a Sequence Sentence, has already been presented (2.3.1). The third type, which she calls Addition Sentence, includes both Coordinate and Paraphrase subtypes, i.e. the examples of this sentence type vary from those which employ synonyms or repetition of lexical items to examples with less obviously related Bases.

Makarumut na tu umay ma'iseg na. rotten now rice strong now

'The rice is rotten and it is strong now.'

Nagtangis iay pagtangisan ya. cried truly crying he

'He cried, he was really crying.'

Mangiseg aku kanimu iagway makasugid kanimu. angry I you that-only may you

'I'm angry with you; that's all I have to say to you.'

In Sangir, Kenneth Maryott posits two contrasting types of Paraphrase Sentence. One type the Itemizational Sentence he describes as follows: "In the Itemizational Sentence, a string-initial Summary tagmeme is expanded by means of itemization or detailed breakdown in two or more Itemization tagmemes."

I kami nararua: sēmbēka nakoā tarai, sēmbēka nakoā sasaè. we two-ed one-half went up one-half went sea-ward

'We were divided into two groups: half of us went inland (and) half of us went toward the sea.'

Piā tau i sire tēlu tahawawine: esè darua, bawine sēmbaū. have person they three siblings men two woman one

'There were three persons who were siblings: two were men (and) one was a woman.'

The other paraphrase type, Maryott describes as follows:

"In the Specificational Sentence, a string-initial Generalization tagmeme is expanded by means of a specification or exposition in one or two Specification tagmemes. Connectives as such do not occur in constructions
of this type; however, the suprasegmental sentence-level features of non-final pause and non-initial intonation signal connection at the intersection of constituents."

Nawalî bòu nénalang nálangu tau Islaêng, i sîre natañâ; returned after recreate dance-of people Islam they went-down

nakoâ sarang apeng sèmbéka, went toward beach other side

'Having returned after watching the dancing of the Muslim people, they went down; they went to the beach on the opposite shore.'

Tawe makatalang i sie, ualingu kai masâkî; tiângge gêguwà. not-but ran he because sick abdomen-his big

'He couldn't run, because he was sick; his abdomen was swollen.'

In Balangao, Joanne Shetler distinguishes two varieties of Paraphrase Sentence. In the Recapitulation Sentence the lexical item which expounds Predicate in the first Base is repeated as the exponent of Predicate in the second Base.

Indatna hen nambinabinab-an way bukal; iniddatna hen gave-he the variety attributive seed gave-he the

kalubbas, anta, ahemon.... squash bean cucumber

'He gave a variety of seeds; he gave squash, beans, cucumbers...'

Anat ma-anud hen andi gungun; na-anud yag ëmëy ah di then-it drown the that beads drowned and go-it there

hen baybay. the ocean

'Then the beads drowned; they drowned and went out to sea.'

In what Shetler labels the Paraphrase Sentence an optional Link expounded by way, 'in that', 'namely' occurs. The first Base is more general, the second more specific (sometimes transformable to a Cause Margin). The first Base is sometimes characterized by such verbs as 'finish', 'necessary', and 'possible'.
In Tausug there is a set of verbal auxiliaries that act somewhat like Relators (on the clause/sentence level). These auxiliaries mean such things as 'first', 'often', 'maybe', 'almost', 'should', 'finish', 'on the way', and 'would be good'. Specialized types of Parallel Sentences occur in which the auxiliaries iyampa 'first' and ubus 'finish' are repeated. The meanings, however, are highly specialized in these structures. Thus a sentence built on the repetition of iyampa is a Frustrative Sentence in which the lexical items of the second Base express something contrary to what might be expected to follow from the lexical items of the first Base. Probably the semantic development here is from 'first...first' to 'as soon as...that soon' to 'the more...the more/the less...'.

Iyampa na aku nagsamin mata iyampa na aku di' makakita'.
then I wear-glasses eye then I not able-to-see

'I the more I wear eye-glasses, the less I can see.'

Iyampa ku na liyang iyampa na sila namunggud.
then I restrained then they disobeyed

'The more I restrained them, the more they intentionally disobeyed.'

The specialized Parallel Sentence with ubus 'finish' means 'first this...then that' (repeatedly and alternately).

Ubus niya pitikun ubus niya timbakun.
finish he slingshots finish he shoots

'He repeatedly shoots with a slingshot and with a gun.'

Ubus sumawa ubus di'.
finish shines finish not

'(It) alternately shines and then doesn't shine.'

Seymour and Lois Ashley also set up for Tausug an Equational Sentence which has three subtypes, all of which involve at least one occurrence of amu 'that is', 'namely'. Subtype (a) is a
Comparison Sentence: it may have amu before both Bases or before either Base: an identical lexical item is the head of the phrase which expounds each Base:

Amu na in taud sin ha guwa' amu na in taud sin ha lawm. that is number of outside that is number of inside

'As many as there are on the outside, that's how many there are on the inside.'

In panaw sin kabaw amu na in panaw sin bagay ku. walk of carabao that is walk of friend my

'The way the carabao walks is the way my friend walks.'

Amu na in dagbus hi Jimi in dagbus hi Jani. that is appearance of Jimmy appearance of Johnny

'The appearance of Jimmy is the appearance of Johnny.' (Jimmy and Johnny look alike.)

Subtype (b) is Equational; it has the same distribution of amu but has different lexical items expounding the head of the phrases which expound the two Bases.

Amu na in maragan in taga panji. that is "runny" having flags

'The fast runners are the ones with flags.'

In pihak lawd ini amu na in nangabuhianan ha manga kabuhianan tribe sea this that is making-a-living at plural livelihood makawa' nila dayn ha dagat. will-be-gotten them from sea

'These sea people are the ones making their living on the livelihood they will get from the sea.'

Subtype (c) is a Paraphrase Sentence in which medial amu, 'that is' occurs between Bases expounded by clauses or embedded sentences.

Makaingat na kunu' isab imipat sapi' amu kaingatan know already said also care-for cows that is know

mu in tau ha gimba ini sibi'-sibi' pa in anak niya, you people country this small yet children his

biyabiaks a na magipat sapi'. trained already care-for cows
'(he) knew already (it's) said to care for cows, that is you know these country people (even) when their children are still small, (they) are trained already to care for the cows.'

While all these Tausug subtypes seem to belong together as one sentence type, only subtype (c) is clearly the sentence-level equivalent of a paragraph-level TEXT-EXPO sequence. In subtype (a) there is a repetition of lexical items such as we see in Parallel Sentences in other languages (whether a distinct type or a subtype of Paraphrase Sentence). Subtype (b) is the most aberrant; however, both (a) and (b) are equational in import. Paraphrase or definition (or even comparison) is not distant semantically from equation. Nevertheless, it is evident that not all the examples of Tausug Equational Sentence are parallel to TEXT-EXPO sequences in EXPOSITORY PARAGRAPHS; some appear to be rather elaborations of clause structures (2.7).

Lee Ballard posits for Inibaloi another type of Paraphrase Sentence which he terms the Amplification Sentence. There are three subtypes: (a) in which Base 1 is expounded by an Existential Clause which is amplified in Base 2; (b) in which Base 2 is expounded by an Equational Clause which amplies Base 1; and (c) "in which Base 2 gives an amplification or additional information with no formulaic limitations." The medial Link expounded by jet 'and furthermore' is optional in all subtypes. The first two subtypes have internal sequences that are rather similar to the type of TEXT-EXPO sequence found in EXPLANATORY PARAGRAPHS that expound APERTURES to NARRATIVE DISCOURSES. The first three examples below are subtype (a); the latter two are subtype (b):

Wara'y naktel la baka; insedad mi sotan.
was chilled cow boiled we that
'There was a cow that died from the cold; we cooked that one.'

Waray sakey ja balodaki, ja si Amkidit i ngaran to; nambaley
was one young-man Amkidit name his lived
si shontog.
on mountain
'There was a young man named Amkidit; he lived on the mountain.'

Wara sota amengiyew; sinnutan sha jet binora jet
was the getting-wood cornered they and killed-they and
indara'y toktok to.
took-they head his
'And there was one who went for wood; they cornered him and killed him and took his head.'
They would string up vines; that was what they would hang their blankets on (to air them).

...The Spanish picked him up on their way, and he was the one who showed the way up here.

The third subtype of the Inibaloi Amplification Sentence simply uses a Base 2 to tack on a further item of information. Two examples follow; the effect of the conjunction Jet is much like a dash in English.

'It was no later than dawn when Nawal was drowned, and it was probably by God's grace that those who survived did so, because they weren't there at the time...'
Sha ma ali indipot shi Gusaran ni kalebian, jet kalebian
yey here reported at Gusaran at night and night

ngo i sha ngo ali ikeljaw shi To-mal.
also they also here came-shouted at To-mal.

Punctuating this sentence as follows, one can argue with some
plausibility that it is simply intended to be an Amplification
Sentence: 'They came and reported it here in Gusaran in the
night--and in the night also was when they came and shouted
at To-mal.' Consider, however, such a sentence as the follow-
ing where there are four Bases joined by jet; it strains
credulity to regard this as an Amplification Sentence--especially
when we note that Ballard does not posit more than two Bases in
an Amplification Sentence:

Kasoreng kono ondetep kono ngo jet simbi to'd ma
finally hearsay dived hearsay also and reached he-in the

shanom, ja nanpi-ket shima diyang shi shanom jet inteded
water wedged-himself in cave in water and held-do

(to) kono jet dimekeng shima shanom.
he hearsay and bloated in-the water

'Finally he dived in too and reached him in the water, wedged
in a cave under the water, and he held him under the water,
and he became bloated in the water.'

It may, therefore, be best to assume that both asan 'and then'
and jet 'and furthermore' may occur in Sequence Sentences.

2.3.3 Other derivations from EXPLANATORY and HORTATORY
PARAGRAPHS

Consecutive sentences that fit the lexical require-
ments of a TEXT-RESULT sequence in EXPLANATORY PARAGRAPHS (Vol.
I, Part 2, Sec. 3.3) or of EXHOR-RESULT in a HORTATORY PARAGRAPHS
(Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 4) may be alternatively encoded as one
sentence.

In the Binukid (Manobo) Result Sentence this is accomplished
by phonological juncture without any conjunction:

---

20 On the other hand, it remains plausible that the so-called
Sequence Sentences posited by Ballard with tan 'and' are
really Coordinate Sentences (2.2.1.2) while such sentences
with jet are really Simultaneous Sentences (2.4.3.6).
'She was worried so she kept asking what had happened.'

'The other rope burned so it snapped loose.'

In Inibaloi the Result Sentence can have medial (jet) isonga or (jet) nokol ni 'therefore', 'as a result'; or nul.

Examples of such sentences are similar to TEXT-RESULT sequences:

'We've probably died; that is why they have never come back here.'

'I am only the lowest of people; therefore they bitterly hate me...'

'We had no food at that time; as a result we had much difficulty.'

If the two halves of the preceding sentence occurred as consecutive sentences within a paragraph they would form a TEXT-RESULT sequence as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIGURE</th>
<th>GROUND</th>
<th>TEXT</th>
<th>RESULT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We had no food at that time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We had much difficulty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

That is, Sentence 1 could be transformed to a Cause Margin of Sentence 2 (one of the tests for a RESULT tagmeme). It is, therefore, significant that Ballard specifically mentions this as a 'preferred transform' within the Result Sentence itself, viz. Base 1 can be transformed to a Cause Margin of Base 2.
The Balangao Result 1 Sentence has an obligatory medial Marker expounded by wat 'result in'.

Mangahawà wat lomayawà (a).
marry-I link leave-I echo question

'I'm getting married so I'm leaving, (eh).'

Dadan hudhud wat da-ani ma-amlæ-amlæng.
they story link we laugh

'They're telling stories, resulting in us laughing and laughing.'

The Agta examples all seem to parallel EXHOR-RESULT sequences of the HORTATORY PARAGRAPH (cf. Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 4). Roy Mayfield calls this sentence type the Correlative Sentence.

Anun ta kâm padugimän o, entänan.
why we(exclusive) you(plural) cause-exclusion go-we(inclusive)

'Why would I cause you to be excluded, let's go!'

"E ka sangaw ta bali magpasìah, awem mämät," kun na.
come you later house visit not-you be-shy said he

'"You come to the house to visit, don't be shy," he said.'

In Kalamian Tagbanwa the Outcome Sentence parallels an EXHOR-WARN sequence of the HORTATORY PARAGRAPH (Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 4.1). Notice the following sentence:

Muyu maita ta tau, pukispukisen nira.
little while see by person cut-up they

'It might be that somebody will see it (equipment), and they'll cut it all up into little pieces.'

This can be backtransformed into a paragraph as follows (symbol β = positive/negative reversal):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUND1</th>
<th>FIGURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't let anyone see this equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If someone should see it</td>
<td>they'll cut it all up into little pieces.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notice the following two examples of Outcome Sentence in Kalamian Tagbanwa.
Taa kung indi mu pa asikasuen ta ustu, mapatay, anda ray kiru ta.

Well, if you don't take care of him properly, he'll die, consequently we'll have no more dog.

Muya alapan naw ta elek, masirtaan naw ni Bukaya. awhile overcome I with sleep discovered I by Crocodile

'I might be overcome with sleep, and crocodile would discover me.'

Even a narrative example such as in the following Outcome Sentence still has a parallel in HORTATORY PARAGRAPHS:

...naplaan da yang tinanguni na, indi na ra kayanan ang stranded now body his negative he now able mangay tung teeb. go to ocean

...'his body got stranded, as a result he was not able to go into the ocean.'

The HORTATORY counterpart of this would be 'If your body should get stranded you won't be able to go into the ocean.' Probably, however, such examples as this last one might better be considered to be parallel to a TEXT-RESULT sequence in an EXPLANATORY PARAGRAPH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIGURE</th>
<th>GROUND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>His body got stranded</td>
<td>He wasn't able to get into the ocean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a hortatory subtype of the Inibaloi Result Sentence that is parallel to an EXHOR-WARN sequence:

Aliwa'n kita ali ma-shepan mowan jet nakol ni ag not we here torch-go-out again and as-a-result not mayshedmang i shalan nem on-akad kita. see trail when go-home we

'We shouldn't let our torches go out; otherwise we won't be able to see the trail when we go home.'
Si-kami onbantay niya kapi, jet mesepol la ag mi itolok
we watching this coffee and necessary not we consent

i eshom na too ja on-ala ni kapi; nakol ni pebesolan
others people get coffee otherwise blame

sha kami.
you they us

'We are the ones watching this coffee, and we must not allow others to get the coffee; otherwise they will blame us.'

Notice how well the first example can be backtransformed to an EXHOR-WARN sequence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUND₁</th>
<th>FIGURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If we let our torches go out</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Table Content" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.4 Derivations from DIALOGUE PARAGRAPHS (Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 5)

In Western Bukidnon Manobo there is a Question-Answer Sentence which is parallel to a SPEECH₁-SPEECH₃ (lexically QUESTION-ANSWER) in a SIMPLE DIALOGUE PARAGRAPH.

A hengkey is mid-ulaula zin; midtulung en exclamation what the did she continued completive medsinegew. wept

'Oh what did she do; she continuously wept.'

A hengkey is intavak te kezekelan; mid-uyun exclamation what the answer the people agreed

sikandan te migkagi heini te vahi. they the said this the woman

'Ah what did the people answer; they agreed to this which the woman said.'
In Agta occurs an Inferential Sentence which seems to involve a SPEECH₁ (REMARK or PROPOSAL) followed by a SPEECH₀ (the dialogue periphery which is lexically a REMARK). The following example parallels a SPEECH₁ (PROP) SPEECH₀ (REM) sequence:

**Ituldu da mɪnɪ̆ ya mæpya tekid petta magnonot kid baka**

*teach* they ought *good* them *so-that* consider they *since*

**awān hud tekid i Afu Hesus awā ittā tekid mangdangcg**

*none* (doubt) them *Lord Jesus* since *there-is* they listen

*ta uhohugan da.*

speaking they

'They ought to teach them good things so they will consider them; after all it is not true that the Lord Jesus is not with them when in fact he is with them listening to what they say.'

The next example parallels SPEECH₁ (REM) SPEECH₀ (REM) in structure (the second Base is a covert remark in the form of a rhetorical question):

**O anun ku paha pakolunan ya binahāyāng baka masāpul**

*why* I *yet* interest-in *wine* after all *need*

**ku yana.**

*I that*

'Oh why do I still fuss over wine, after all, do I need that?'

2.4 Derivation by incorporation of exponents of peripheral tagmemes

Further sentence nuclei are derived by bringing exponents of peripheral tagmemes into the nucleus. Typically, it is elements of the inner periphery, particularly exponents of sentence margins that incorporate into derived nuclei. I present immediately below a few derived nuclei which incorporate other than sentence margin tagmemes. In following subsections of this section I present nuclei derived by incorporating first Time Margins, then various sorts of Teleological Margins, then various sorts of Implicational Margins.

2.4.1 Incorporation of tagmemes other than sentence margins

In Aborlan Tagbanwa, Stewart Hussey does not posit an Echo Question tagmeme in the outer periphery of the sentence. Rather, he posits a special sentence type in which the Echo
Question tagmeme occurs final but which also contains two additional tagmemes expounded by negatives. With the obligatory double negative the question elicits an affirmative answer.\textsuperscript{21} Here it appears that a feature of the outer periphery, the Echo Question has been brought into the nucleus where it stands related to the two negative tagmemes in a pattern of obligatory co-occurrence. Hussey's formula and two of his examples follow:

\begin{verbatim}
+neg +Que +neg +EchQue
da-gà 'not' SimS da-gà ba
e/a-engga 'not' DQS e/a-engga ques. part.
\end{verbatim}

'Da-gà magka-rut ka nat makina, ega ba?'

negative know how you type negative question

'You do know how to type, don't you?'

'Da-gà nakaragì ka it pagsurugiden, ega ba?'

negative mixed you trial negative question

'You did go to the trial, didn't you?'

In Tausug, Seymour and Lois Ashley posit a Comparison Sentence in which apparently the Sentence Topic incorporates into the sentence nucleus. This incorporated Sentence Topic (called Equivalent 1 tagmeme by the Ashleys) is a diminutive (i.e. an entirely reduplicated) stem prefixed with sa- (evidently some sort of nominalizer). This prefixed diminutive stem must be the same lexical item as that found in the predicate of the clause which expounds the Comparative tagmeme. Both may, in turn, be followed by an optional Equivalent 2 tagmeme expounded by a comparative phrase 'than X'.

\begin{verbatim}
Sasawa-sawa sin palitaan masawa pa in bulan.
little-brightness of lamp bright yet moon
'As bright as the lamp is, the moon is brighter.'

Sabichara-bichara ku ha taymanghud ku bichara ku pa ha asawa ku.
little-talk my sibling my talk my yet wife my
'As much as I talk to my sibling, I talk more to my wife.'
\end{verbatim}

\textbf{2.4.2 Incorporation of sentence margin tagmemes}

Norman Abrams states (with immediate reference to Koronadal Bilaan) the following thesis: When a Relator-Axis

\textsuperscript{21}Hussey labels this sentence type Rhetorical Question which is somewhat of a misnomer in that rhetorical questions do not elicit answers but are statements, reproofs, etc. in question form.
Sentence which is an exponent of a margin is brought into the sentence nucleus, then the bond between the Relator and the Axis is weakened and the erstwhile Relator becomes a sentence level Marker, while the Axis becomes a Base of the sentence. Thus, if an 'if' Relator-Axis Sentence such as 'if he comes' is incorporated into a sentence 'If he comes then I won't go', the 'if' and the 'then' become balancing Markers on the sentence level where they constitute a fundamental part of the framework of the sentence. The remaining parts of the sentence 'he comes' and 'I won't go' then become exponents of Base 1 and Base 2. I quote here at length Abram's argument:

"All five subtypes of Relator-Axis Sentence also function within the nuclei of certain sentence types. When, however, they thus function within the nucleus the relator-axis bond is weakened and the Relator falls into one of the fundamental slots of the sentence nucleus. Thus in the following Simple Sentence with a Cause Margin, the particle du 'because' relates immediately to mlungay agu 'I am weak'; the whole Relator-Axis Sentence then relates as a Cause Margin to the rest of the sentence:

Du mlungay agu lâ-la fimô deg.
because weak I not-they hire me

'Because I was weak they didn't hire me.'

Consider, however, the following Result Sentence:

Du mlungay agu, yé duen-la lâ fimô deg.
because weak I that reason-they not hire me

'Because I was weak that's why they didn't hire me.'

In this sentence the particle du 'because' is balanced over against yé duenla 'that's why' as surely as the first clause is balanced against the second. The particle du 'because' is therefore assigned to one of the fundamental slots of the Result Sentence.

"The Relator-Axis Sentences which function as Circumstance and Purpose Margins also function as Stimulus head in a Result Sentence when co-occurring with a Result head. Again, the Relator du mdå di 'due to' is assigned to a sentence level slot in the Result Sentence."

Du mdå di klungay laveh-gu, yé duenla lâ fimô
due to weakness body-my that reason-they not hire

deg.
me

'Due to my weakness, they wouldn't hire me.'
"Temporal Relator-Axis Sentence introduced by kanto 'when, after' may similarly be absorbed into the nucleus of a Sequence Sentence with kanto 'when' assigned to a new slot.

Kanto-gu teen i to ayê, klo-gu mdâ.  
After-I see man that then-I go

'After I saw that man, then I left.'

"Concessive Relator-Axis Sentence introduced by balû 'even, although' is similarly absorbed into the Concessive Sentence."

Balû mlungay agu, gabay galabek agu.  
although tired I anyway work I

'Even though I'm tired, I'll work anyway.'"

I have, however, in the following sections spoken loosely of "the incorporation of exponents of sentence margins into the nucleus" without pausing to point out the restructuring of the erstwhile Relator as Marker. Thus, in most places the presentation proceeds as if a Relator-Axis Sentence is simply brought into the nucleus as a given Base of the derived nucleus. This expedient is adopted mainly from considerations of brevity. The data papers on which this volume is based divide at this point with some reassigning the erstwhile Relator to a new sentence-level slot and others not. The former adopt transformations of A type (which I believe are theoretically the more defensible) and others transformations of B type (which initially appear to be simpler and more straightforward):

A. SM:RA + N → Nd = + Mk₁ + B₁ + Mk₂ + B₂
   xy z x y z

B. SM:RA + N → Nd = B₁:RA + Mk + B₂
   xy z xy z

(where SM = sentence margin; RA = Relator-Axis Sentence; N = nucleus; Nd = derived nucleus; Mk = Marker; and B = Base).

2.4.3 Incorporation of exponents of Time Margins

The variety of Time Margin tagmemes and of exponents of the same (Sec. 1.3.2), affords a number of items available for incorporation into the sentence nucleus. The gerundative exponent of Time Margin (prefixed with pag-/paka-/ka-) is not, however, incorporated into the derived nucleus (except under the special dependent plus dependent constructions described below under 2.4.3.5). Rather, other exponents such as time phrases or words
and Relator-Axis Sentences are the elements incorporated into the derived nucleus. Independent clauses, that appear to be incorporated Time Margins in some languages, may be Relator-Axis Sentences with deleted Relator if a Time Margin is not expounded by such clauses in a given language. Instances also occur of specialized Relators in incorporated Time Margins: these specialized Relators (which now have become construction Markers) determine lexical restrictions elsewhere in the derived nucleus.

2.4.3.1 Incorporation of prior Time Margin

In Kalamian Tagbanwa, Aborlan Tagbanwa, Koronadal Bilaan, and Ata Manobo derived sentences occur in which the exponent of the incorporated Time Margin reflects activity prior to that reported in the remainder of the nucleus. A balancing and cross-referencing particle occurs before the part of the nucleus which reports the subsequent activity. Structures and labels employed to characterize their parts differ from language to language.

Thus, in Kalamian Tagbanwa, a derived sentence of this type is termed the Antecedence Sentence. Its constituent tagmemes are: + Activity 1 + Antecedent Link + Activity 2. The exponents of Activity 1 are: time words, Relator-Axis Sentence (temporal), Independent Clause, and Merged Sentence. The latter two exponents may be considered to be Relator-Axis Sentences which have deleted the Relator. The Antecedence Link is expounded baklu or antis 'before' (which can as well be translated 'and then'). Examples follow:

Pagkamangayag baklu pa tanyang isinaay.
next day before yet he embarked

'It was the next day before he was put aboard.'

Magelat pang lempak yang paray baklu pa mataklab.
wait sprout rice before yet pass

'He will wait for the rice to sprout before he passes.'

In Koronadal Bilaan, two such derived sentence types occur. One is termed the Sequence Sentence with constituent tagmemes + Action 1 + Link + Action 2. While Action 1 may be expounded by Relator-Axis Sentence with kanto 'when' as Relator, very commonly the Relator is deleted. The medial Link is expounded by klo 'and then' (which could as well be translated 'before'). Although Action 1 and Action 2 do not permute, the Link expounded by klo may permute to the fore of the sentence where it is
now glossed 'after'; the particle na 'and' then intervenes between Action 1 and Action 2.

Na mdà yë flafus klo mà lmalò di flabi.
and go mother morning then father follow afternoon

'Now mother went in the morning, then father followed in the afternoon.'

Klo mdà yë flafus na mà lmalò di flabi.

'After mother went in the morning, father followed in the afternoon.'

The above example is typical of Norman Abram's examples of this sentence. In each case a particular event A occurs, then an event B.

A further derived sentence type Abrams calls the Series Sentence. It has no medial Sequence Marker but is rather a juxtaposed sentence. Base 1 is probably an incorporated exponent of Time Margin; it contains some sort of motion verb such as 'enter', 'arrive', 'leave', 'raise' or an equivalent expression. The action of Base 1 or its results persists during the action described in Base 2. Thus, in the first example below someone enters a restaurant, then while there orders food. Similarly in the second example someone arrives somewhere; then being at that place, unties something.

Fusuk di ristaurant, order kanen tlu fligo i knaan.
enter in restaurant order he three plates rice

'He entered the restaurant, he ordered three plates of rice.'

Na kel agu ditù, nteh-gu.
and arrive I there untie-I

'I got there; I untied it.'

2.4.3.2 Incorporation of quasi-conditional Time Margins

In Aborian Tagbanwa a Temporal Condition Sentence is posited. The Relator-Axis Sentence that is incorporated here has a Relator expounded by in 'if/when'. While as exponent of a sentence margin such Relator-Axis Sentences with in typically occur pre-nuclear, they are brought into the derived nucleus in a position postposed to the rest of the nucleus. An initial cross-referencing and balancing Marker
occurs expounded by purabir 'before' and bagu 'then/after'.

While these sentences are temporal rather than conditional, Stewart Hussey terms the Bases Apodosis and Protasis, which occur in this order. The Relator in of the Protasis is optional with purabir as exponent of Marker, but is obligatory with bagu.

In the following example, either exponent of Marker may be used:

Purabir/bagu ka magpasiar in nakaka-en ka.
before then you visit if/when eaten you

'Before you go visiting you must eat' or 'When you have eaten, then you will go visiting'.

In the next example, the in of the Protasis does not occur:

Purabir ka mapanaw mangayu ka da-an.
before you go get-wood you first

'Before you go you first get firewood.'

Further subtypes of this Aborlan Tagbanwa sentence occur in which saball 'then and only then' replaces purabir and bagu as Marker; and in acquires a 'when and only when' or 'if and only if' meaning. Some of the examples of this type appear to be biconditional propositions. Further complications that occur in these subtypes might well make one wonder if they do not constitute a further sentence type. I give below one of the examples more amenable to analysis as a subtype of the Temporal Condition Sentence:

(A, ega) saball makasled ka in magbegay ka it duang
ah no then-only enter you if give you two-ligature

pulung dangaw i tadu.
ten-ligature handspread candle

'Ah, no, you only can enter when you have given twenty pieces of candles a hand's spread in length.'

In Ata Manobo, a Temporal Sentence is posited. Its Base 2 is expounded by a Relator-Axis Sentence with ko 'if/when' as Relator. The sentence-initial Marker is voy 'before/and then'.

22The differences in gloss are probably more apparent than real; either particle may possibly be translated either way.
When a past tense occurs in Base 1, the Relator ko may be omitted in the following Base 2.

Woy a dò oghipanow ko mausilom. before I just walk when night-time

'It will be night-time before I rise.'

Woy moko-uma si Buliung, laten on so manok. before arrived Buliung roosted the chicken

'By the time Buliung arrived the chickens were already roosting.'

In Dibabawon Manobo, what appears to be a corresponding sentence type is labelled Condition Sentence. The second Base is expounded by a Relator-Axis Sentence with ko 'if/when' or no 'when' as Relator. The initial Marker is monang 'only'. The force of the sentence is 'only...if/when' or 'not...unless/until'. Examples follow:

Monang nig-abut kandan no kasaklop on.
marker arrive they when dark time particle

'They only arrived when it was already dark.'

Monang ogkapudut ko oftuntunan to hagdan diya to
marker can-get when lower relator ladder there relator

sodd to lugî.
inside relator hole

'It can only be gotten when a ladder is lowered down into the pit.'

In Itneg, Janice Walton posits a juxtaposed sentence type which she terms Circumstance Result Sentence. She puts it into the same order of sentences as the Sequence and Time (much like Extent Sentence in Bontoc). Base 1 of this juxtaposed sentence may transform to a clause-level time expression. The two Bases of the sentence are not permutable in that chronological sequence is implied. This is possibly a sentence type derived from incorporating exponent of a Time Margin with deletion of the Relator of the Relator-Axis Sentence.23

23 It could alternatively be derived from combining exponents of successive BUILD-UP tagmemes as described in Sec. 2.3.1.
'He smelled to the east, they weren't there.'

'Seepena kandi daya, naid da.
smelled-he to east not they'

'They looked, his life was gone.'

'Sengan da kano, naid kanta biyag nanen.
saw they it's said not the life him'

2.4.3.3 Incorporation of Extent Margins

In a few languages (Aborlan Tagbanwa, Itneg, Bontoc, and Balangao) there are sentence types that are derived by incorporation of a Time Margin of the extent variety.

In Aborlan Tagbanwa an Extent Sentence is posited. The incorporated Relator-Axis Sentence has either the Relator seked 'until' or sapag- 'from the time of' (marking extent forward and backward in time respectively); these Relators do not occur as such in exponents of Time Margin in that the structures in which they occur are now limited to the Extent Sentence. The Base 2 is obligatorily negative.

'Seked delem, da-gay miabut. Seked minyag.
until night negative arrived until daybreak

'Until night, still they didn't arrive. Until daybreak, still (they didn't arrive.)'

'Seked na nakabangun, ega na nakapansw.
until sit-up negative able-walk

'(He tried) until he was able to sit up, still he couldn't walk.'

'Sapaggurang ku sikaiini-en, egay naltegan kung atkayan.
from time old I here negative seen I-ligature like that

'From the time I have been old here, I have not seen anything like that.'

A similar sentence type is termed Time Sentence in Itneg. The tenses of the Bases are non-past and positive (in our present sample). All the examples appear to be from PROCEDURAL DISCOURSES.

'Intono malpas na matatakana sida kat magpak-ol.
until finish he cleaning then-they and harrow

'Until he finishes cleaning the terrace wall, and then they will harrow.'
'Until (you are) finished roasting it, and then you will stew it.'

The Extent Sentences posited in Bontoc and Balangao are very similar to each other. In both languages an Extent Relator-Axis Sentence is posited but limited to occurrence incorporated in the nucleus of the Extent Sentence. Three Bases are posited: "Base 1 until Base 2, then Base 3". In Bontoc there are two subtypes. In the first subtype, Base 1 is positive or negative, past or non-past; Base 2 is expounded by a nominalized verbal construction; and either Base 1 or Base 3 is optional. In the second subtype, Base 1 is negative and non-past; Base 2 is expounded by an Extent Relator-Axis Sentence, and only Base 1 is optional. I follow with one example of each subtype:

Dowami in-igoygoy engganay nalpas, kanan nan
continue-we pull until-attributive finish say the

Iloko en, "...
Ilocano quote

'We kept pulling them until they were finished and then the Ilocano said, "..."'

(Nan deey inteel sinan pisina, mo adida indono)
the this stay in-the office if negative-they work

adida mabayadan met, sik-od indono esa mabayadan.
negative-they paid not-until work then paid

'(These who work in the offices, if they don't work), they don't get paid, not until they work do they get paid.'

2.4.3.4 Further special derivations

In Aborlan Tagbanwa occurs still another sentence which incorporates a Time Margin under very special lexical restrictions: (a) the Relator of the Relator-Axis Sentence is expounded by palibasay 'when', 'whenever', once that..."--which occurs only incorporated in this derived sentence nucleus, not in a Time Margin elsewhere. (b) the lexical items in Base 2 report an activity, attitude, or state that is socially undesirable and which is not that which would be expected from what is reported in Base 1. The whole is not inappropriately called a Disparate Sentence. I follow with the English translation of a few examples: 'Once you grow up you are angry with everyone [instead of showing your maturity
In Bontoc there is incorporation of a Time Margin into the nucleus in what Lawrence Reid terms a Recurrent Action Sentence. Base 1 is expounded by a temporal phrase or clause and Base 2 by clauses or sentences; between the two Bases occurs en. As Reid explains it "The Recurrent Action Sentence presents in Base 1 a setting, circumstance, time etc. when the action occurring in Base 2 recurs" (cf. Bontoc, the end of 2.4.4).

Mawakas en dowa nan iyalida.
next-day link two the bring-they

'Day after day they bring two.'

(Esa kano ngen madno nan donowem ay) ig aw-awni
future report doubt worked the work-your link very little-while

en engka pomatong?
link go-you sit

'(Will your work possibly be finished, if) every little while you keep on going to sit down?'

Still another specialized incorporation of Time Margin into nucleus occurs in what Joanne Shetler terms the Hortatory Sentence. Here the incorporated temporal element is but one lexical item, awni 'later'. Shetler regards this simply as a Formula tagmeme and writes: "Formula 3 is not considered to be a Time Margin because the Link is obligatory in this sentence type and Links between margins and nucleus are not obligatory." The Link is expounded by two particles: wat 'surely' (in which case the Base of the sentence implies assurance); and ya(g) 'and' (in which case the Base implies warning). Examples follow:

Aw-awni wat nawayo no iddat-o.
later link come-I when give-I

'I'll come just as soon as I give this.'

(Te) awni yag ekatda en, dan tokal ah Jwami.
because later link say-they signal sad Jo

'(Because) they might be saying that Jo is sad.'
2.4.3.5 Incorporation of simultaneous Time Margins

In some languages Time Margins which express simultaneous actions or states ("while" margins) have been incorporated into the nucleus. This is especially clear in Tausug, where Seymour and Lois Ashley posit a Simultaneous Time Sentence. The exponent of Time Margin which is absorbed into the nucleus as Base 1 is found only here in the derived nucleus not in the margins as such. This exponent is a Relator-Axis Sentence with baba or sa'bu 'while' as Relators. The former cooccurs with an independent verbal clause "inflected for non-begun state" as exponent of Base 2 and does not permit a medial Marker. The latter particle, cooccurs with an "independent verbal clause inflected for begun state or any non-verbal clause"; it has an optional medial Marker expounded by conjunctions meaning 'next', 'then suddenly' and 'came to be' (which are non-specific to this sentence type in that they also occur in the sentence periphery). The bases may permute:

Baba sila wala' di pagtayp na kaw.
While they not here type you

'While they are not here, you type.'

Unu in kiyakitaan mu sa'bu kaw ha panawan?
what saw you while you walking

'What did you see while you were on your walk?'

Sa'bu kami nagpapanayam duun sakali nagdagan in tau.
while we playing there then ran men

'While we were playing there, then the men ran.'

In Ata Manobo a Simultaneous Sentence is formed by juxtaposing either two Gerundative (with pog- prefixed verb) clauses or two clauses containing dependent or irrealis verbs. In that both these constructions are regular exponents of Time Margin in Ata Manobo, this amounts to creating a new sentence nucleus by juxtaposing two similar exponents of Time Margin--without any independent element in the nucleus. The following examples consist of juxtaposed gerundative clauses:

Pogko-on to bakosan to bogan to mansanas, pogtuù pà to
when-eat the python the fruit of apples when-drop yet the
woig.
water

'As the python was eating the apple fruit, the water (of the fruit) was dripping down.'
As I squeeze the snake, he writhes.

Patricia Hartung comments: "Action 1 and Action 2 may both be marked with irrealis instead of pog-clause provided that the clause contains no focus like a pog-clause contains no focus..." In the following example the verb pitow in both clauses is irrealis and no Topic is indicated in either clause since the verbs do not indicate focus.

As Don Juan saw the speckled thing beside the rock the python also saw him.

In Batak and Aborlan Tagbanwa, similar derived sentence nuclei are formed by juxtaposing pag-clauses. In these two languages, however, the derived sentence nucleus indicates not simultaneity but sequence. Consider the two following Batak examples:

When he arrived he shouldered his trap.

When he reached the place he climbed.

In Aborlan Tagbanwa pag-verbs may expound predicates of independent clauses in NARRATIVE PARAGRAPHS. In such cases, the pag-clause plus pag-clause sentence nucleus can be considered to be directly formed from two successive sentences which expound BUILD-UP tagmemes as in 2.3.1.
Simultaneous Sentences in Agta and Inibaloi contain no sort of Relator in the exponent of either Base but employ a medial Link which indicates simultaneity. It is nevertheless possible to regard these sentence nuclei as derived from the incorporation of a temporal Relator-Axis Sentence with deletion of the Relator.  

In Agta a medial link yäga 'at the same time' is employed as in the following two examples; Roy Mayfield calls this sentence type a Concomitant Sentence:

Awek ange ta dipun te magtakit ak yäga swän ak ta igätäng. 
not-I do market because sick I Link none I spend
'I'm not going to the market because I'm sick and besides I don't have anything to spend.'

Lumagap ak ta adžlam en anakabattutan ak yäga matakit 
submerged I deep (the) and lost-breath I Link hurt
ya bangbangku. 
ears-I
'I swam underwater at the deep place and I lost my breath besides my ears hurting.'

Alternatively, they could be directly reconstructed from SIMULTANEOUS BUILD-UP tagmeme (cf. SIMULTANEOUS STEP tagmeme in Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 2.2)—although such a tagmeme has not been posited on the paragraph level. Nevertheless, consider the following hypothetical (but entirely plausible) paragraph where such a tagmeme is posited:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUND</th>
<th>FIGURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim and Shirley were downtown for part of the afternoon today</td>
<td>BU₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim spent an hour in the Etruscan section of the Museum.</td>
<td>BU₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>While he was there</td>
<td>Sim BU₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley shopped for a new hat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When they both were ready to go home</td>
<td>they found that the car wouldn't start</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4.3.6 The Inibaloi Simultaneous Sentence

The Inibaloi Simultaneous Sentence has been mentioned before both in connection with the Coordinate Sentence and also with the Sequence Sentence. I have suggested that apparent examples of these two sentence types with medial jey might possibly be considered Simultaneous Sentences—as well as those explicitly labelled so by Lee Ballard. I mention here all subtypes of this sentence as described by Ballard even though some might more logically be grouped elsewhere. His first subtype he labels General; it is illustrated in the following two sentences:

Naka panwingia ni abos jey naka man-ekad.  
I look-at-over-shoulder only I walking

'I just looked back at them over my shoulder as I walked on.'

Jet kaongono jey amam-ekad.  
and praying walking

'And he was praying as he walked.'

The second subtype Ballard labels concessive; it encodes a situation "in which the events are parallel in time but lexically the second is a consideration in spite of which the event of Base 1 took place..." It seems, therefore, that the second subtype is derived by incorporation of the exponent of Concessive Margin with substitution of jey for the Relator. The following example is typical: mamabai ka jey wara'y asaawam 'You're out after women and at the same time [= even though] you have a wife.' The third subtype encodes a situation "in which the events are simultaneous only in that Base 2 takes place at the end of the event of Base 1". The following example is typical of this type:

Diket satan na bili, wara'y pilmiro'n asaawa to, sakey ja  
now that woman was first husband her one

anak to, jey metey i asaawa to'n daki.  
child her die husband he man

'Now that woman had a husband and one child when her husband died.'

The fourth subtype is a question which seems to incorporate either a Circumstantial or a Time Margin as in the following:
Apay nga atey jey ayshi to sinekisekit?
why died nothing he was-sick-of

'Why did he die when (considering the fact that) he was not sick at all?'

It is evident here that simultaneity is (a) two coterminous and parallel activities; or (b) one event taking place during the time span of the other; or (c) one event taking place at the end of—but still within—the time span of the other. On the other hand the following translation of an example (sub-type 2) associates two events that are balanced against each other as opposing considerations but which might otherwise indicate sequence: 'He threw the baby birds (into the caldron) and at the same time (in spite of the fact that) he hadn't killed them.' Note, however, that the possible prior event never took place; the second half of the sentence is equivalent to 'while they were still alive'.

It seems to me that most of Ballard's putative examples of Sequence Sentences which contain medial jey could be considered to be Simultaneous Sentences—granting the elastic definition of simultaneity just illustrated. I close this presentation of the Inibaloi Simultaneous Sentence with an example of Sequence Sentence (with medial jey 'and furthermore' rather than asan 'and then') in which the presence of embedded Simultaneous Sentences is probably indicated by the three occurrences of jey.²⁶ I follow with the example translated as by Ballard, then suggest at the end an alternative translation that attempts to give weight to the occurrence of jey versus jey.

Toka pansakomelmeda kono jey okbosen to kono sota she pinning heresay unsheath she hearsay the
etak ka abadeg shi bangew nonta bosol jet innelmelmel bolo big from pack of-the headhunter pounded-with
to kono nonta bosol jet inalpeng, jey dimaw ma ali she hearsay the headhunter unconscious came here
sota sakey kono'n daki ja an angatang so nen si-kato jet the one hearsay man go-to help her
inegshi to kono ma sota sedi nonta bosol jey bedoren to. held he hearsay the legs of-the headhunter tie-up she

²⁶ This is my own reinterpretation of Ballard's example. He considered it to be a simple Sequence Sentence in straightforward linear ordering with each jey and jey marking onset of a further Base. I have had no opportunity of checking this alternative analysis with him.
'She was holding him down and then she unsheathed the head-hunter's big bolo from his pack, and she pounded him on the head with it, and he fell unconscious, and then a man came to help her, and he held the headhunter's legs, and she tied him up.'

My suggested alternative translation of this sentence is: 'While she was holding him down (jey) she unsheathed the head-hunter's big bolo from his pack; and then (jet) she pounded him on the head with it; and then (jet) he fell unconscious --while at the same time (jey) a man came to help her; then (jet) she held the headhunter's legs while (jey) she tied him up.' It is evident in the incident reported that events so crowd on each other that the reporter has a certain option as to what he chooses to encode as successive or as simultaneous. At the same time it seems obvious that the woman did not let go of the man she was holding down while she unsheathed his bolo; nor did the man who later held him release his hold while she tied him up.

2.4.4 Incorporation of exponents of Teleological Margins

Many of the languages have a Reason Sentence which incorporates a Cause Margin or a Purpose Margin into the derived nucleus. Typically, the exponent of the margin is incorporated as the Base 2 of the nucleus, and a Marker meaning 'reason', 'that's why' or something on this order is preposed to Base 1. The whole nucleus becomes then implicitly equative: 'The reason ...(is)...because/in order to...' or 'That's why...because/in order to...'.

2.4.4.1 Reason Sentence with preposed Marker

In Siocon Subanon a preposed Marker sân 'reason' occurs. The Relator of the incorporated exponent of Cause Margin is pó 'because', and of the exponent of Purpose Margin is bagon 'so that':

Sân bunüon ta amo pó dá amo pokulog dini.
reason kill we you because not you go-away here

'The reason we will kill you is because you did not go away from here.'

Dadi sân tolon ko dianiu long nog bogolal dun bagon
so reason said I to you said council it' so that
niu modongog,
you hear

'So the reason I'm telling you what the council said is so that you will hear (it).'
Not very different is the Reason Sentence in Ata Manobo. Here the preposed Marker is expounded by: ian (demonstrative-equative in force) 'that's'; sikan (similar); and omuna-an 'reason' 'that's why' (more emphatic). The Relators of the incorporated Relator-Axis Sentences are su 'because' (which may be weakened to the general relator to 'that'), and oyow 'in order to'.

Ian pa-an dadaga, kow su pamalii.
really rise-together you because bad-luck

'The reason you should get up together is because it is bad luck (not to).'

Ian na-an igkabul din su ogkagaton to bolil.
really live he because bit by red-ant

'Really what revived him was because he was bitten by a red ant.'

Ian/Omuna-an oghimu a to lamisan oyow du-on ogko-onan ta.
emphasis/reason make I a table so-that there eat-place our

'The reason why I'm making a table is so that there will be a place for us to eat.'

Not essentially different are Reason Sentences in Atta Negrito, Inibaloi, Tausug, and Koronadal Bilaan. Some languages permit the initial Marker and Base 1 to permute with the Base 2 expounded by the Relator-Axis Sentence; this is in fact the more frequent order in Koronadal Bilaan as seen in the following two examples:

Du mdà di kafnge-gu ssè nawa, duen-la là fatlang deg.
because already-I bad life reason-they not visit me

'Since I was sick, they didn't visit me.'

Du fye lè kamgis ge, yè duen-am kmaan.
so that again strong you reason-you eat

'Eat, so that you'll be strong again.'

2.4.4.2 Reason Sentences with deletion of Relator and/or Marker

In some languages the Relator of the incorporated Relator-Axis Sentence can be deleted, as in the following
Tausug example:27

Sabab siya miyatay (sabab) nag-inum siya lachun.
reason he died because drank he poison

'That's why he died, because he drank poison.'

In Inibaloi when a Relator-Axis Sentence is incorporated as the Base 2 of a Reason Sentence, the Relator 'because' is obligatorily deleted while the Relator 'in order to' is optionally deleted. In the following Inibaloi Reason Sentence, the particle 'because' is deleted according to this rule.

Say atajan nonta kawitan, sota kospag to.
reason-to-die the cock the pride his

'The reason why the cock died, it was his pride.'

In Balangao no Marker occurs and Base 1 of the Reason Sentence is expounded by a relator-axis phrase whose axis may be a noun phrase or a nominalized clause, as in the first example below. Alternatively the Base 1 may be expounded by a Conditional Relator-Axis Sentence (the usual exponent of Conditional Margin), as in the second example. In the latter case the Reason Sentence consists of two juxtaposed Relator-Axis Sentences: Conditional RAS + Cause/Purpose RAS.

27In this example note that sabab as exponent of Marker attracts the pronoun (e.g. siya 'he') to an immediately following position, while sabab as exponent of Relator in the incorporated Relator-Axis Sentence does not attract the pronoun, (e.g. siya 'he' occurs following nag-inum 'drank'). Similarly, as mentioned under Sec. 1.3.3.1, bat as exponent of Relator meaning 'because' does not attract the pronoun but as exponent of Relator meaning 'in order to' does attract the pronoun. Actually nothing can be argued as to the relative degree of grammatical cohesion between such particles as sabab and bat and the following clause or embedded sentence on the grounds of attraction or nonattraction of the pronoun. An earlier draft of the Tausug sentence paper attempted to distinguish: (a) Markers, which do not attract pronouns from (b) Relators, which do attract pronouns. The attempt to distinguish Markers and Relators on this basis was finally given up in that it involved the analysis in contradiction. Attraction or non-attraction of pronouns is simply a feature of the surface structure of the morphotactics of the language.

28So many and varied are the Inibaloi elements which mean 'reason' or 'that's why' that Ballard sets up a Reason noun phrase with these words as head and the following clause as modifier: 'The reason that the cock died...' This whole noun phrase then expounds Base 1. A similar analysis is followed in Bontoc.
Hen ummaliyannih to, ta ilanni degyu.
the come-we here so-that see-we you

'Our coming here was so we could see you.'

No dan ngalotoy hen bayah te ina ano enhoyong hen if slimy the wine because go-he reported hug the
bubae hen nanbongobonganaga.
girl the put-in-jar-he

'If his wine is slimy it's because he was "making out" with the girls when he put it in the jars.'

In Bontoc the structures are identical:

Nan omayanmi ad Bontok, taomalakamis asin.
the going-we to Bontoc so-that get-we-of salt

'The reason we are going to Bontoc is to get some salt.'

Mo insakit nan sikim, tay nanagtagka ad gogga.
if sore the leg-your because ran-you past yesterday

'If your leg is sore it's because you ran yesterday.'

In Ata Manobo another sort of Reason Sentence occurs which has been termed Telic to distinguish it from the sentence type already described. This further sentence type completely deletes all Relators and Markers; the result is a juxtaposed sentence. Some examples—such as the first two below—seem to be derived from a Simple Sentence with a Cause Margin by deletion of the Relator meaning 'because'. Others—such as the third example seem to be derived from a Simple Sentence with a Purpose Margin.

Kona a; kaallok a to ulod.
not I afraid I of creature

'I won't (bathe); I'm afraid of creatures!'

Agpas ka; wado ulod.
hurry you no creature

'Hurry up; there aren't any creatures.'

Alikas, samboy a to ilab, ighilas ku to baluy.
Kunsay-I borrow I a knife cut I the mat-material

'Kunsay, I'll borrow a knife; I'll cut the mat-material (with it).'

2.4.4.3 Reason Sentence in Kalamian Tagbanwa

In Kalamian Tagbanwa the Reason Sentence is a somewhat different structure. It contains a Base 1, a medial Link qay 'for' and a Base 2 which is some sort of premise.
exponent gay of Link is non-specific in respect to cause, purpose, or circumstance; in fact various examples of Reason Sentence may be transformed to a Simple Sentence containing one of these margins (Cause Margin, Purpose Margin, Circumstantial Margin). I give below examples of Reason Sentences with the transforms just indicated:

Taa, tanya indi nasindi yang sigarilyu na gay anday reason he negative light cigarette his for no
puspuru na.
matches he

'Then, he was not able to light his cigarette for he didn't have any matches.'

The above may be transformed to a Simple Sentence plus Cause Margin, e.g.

Taa, tanya indi nasindi yang sigarilyu na purki anday reason he negative light cigarette his because no
puspuru na.
matches he

'Then, he was not able to light his cigarette because he had no matches.'

Namampanunut duun gay mamamati.
accompany there for listen

'They all went along in a group there for they were all going to listen.'

Transformed to Simple Sentence plus Purpose Margin, e.g.

Namampanunut duun ugud mamamati.
accompany there so-that listen

'They all went along in a group so they could all listen.'

Taa anda ray máimu u gay duun naw ra tung barutu pagnunut.
reason none now do I for there I now in boat accompanying

'Then, there was nothing I could do about it for there I was already in the boat going along with them.'

Transformed to Simple Sentence plus Circumstantial Margin, e.g.
Then, there was nothing I could do about it since there I was already in the boat accompanying them.'

2.4.4.4 Reason Sentences which incorporate Cause but not Purpose Margin

A few languages--noticeably four of the Manobo complex--have a sentence similar to the run-of-mill Reason Sentences described above but with incorporation only of Causal Relator-Axis Sentences. Thus, in the Ilianen Manobo Reason Sentence there is a Marker expounded by sabap 'therefore', tembu 'that's why', and tuus te 'evidence of'; a Base 1; and a Base 2 expounded by a Causal Relator-Axis Sentence.

'Sabap nevilanggu ka su ke uleula nu no meraat.
Therefore, you were jailed because the custom your which bad

'That's why you were jailed because of your bad actions.'

'Sabap kurang ke sakit su nesuhat te kendulil.
That's why, the sickness because hit by ceremony

'That's why the sickness lessened, it was taken care of by the ceremony.'

In Dibabawon Manobo the structure of the Explanative Sentence is identical with that just illustrated for Ilianen Manobo. The Marker is expounded by gin-anow 'that's why' plus or minus some following particles:

Gin-anow napil? day no og-ugpa-an su madoyov
that's-why, chose they ligature residence because good

na-an no banwa diy? to Nasuli.
particle ligature place there relator Nasuli

'No wonder that's the place they chose to live because it's really a lovely place there at Nasuli.'

The Reason-Action Sentence of Western Bukidnon Manobo is also very similar to the above. Marker is expounded by iyan (somewhat demonstrative and equative), or iyan plus the word for 'reason' (and a few further particles), or umba 'therefore'. The incorporated Causal Relator-Axis Sentence may weaken its su 'because' to the ligature is.
The reason why we were not able to come home is because it rained.

In Dibabawon Manobo, Jannette Forster posits not only the Explanative Sentence described above but also an Equational Sentence. Marker is expounded by iyan and exponents of Cause and Time Margins are found among the varied exponents of Base 2.

The reason that I had you called is because you are the only young man left.
The reason I came is because I pitied you.'

Aborlan Tagbanwa has a Contingent Sentence which is structurally identical with these Manobo Reason Sentences. The preposed Marker is yapa 'then' or purisu (Spanish por eso) 'reason

'Here in our place, the time we go [pig-hunting] is when it rains a lot.'

2.4.4.5 Incorporation of exponents of Circumstantial Margin

Several languages have sentence nuclei that can possibly be explained as derived by incorporation of Circumstantial Margin with deletion of the Relator of the Circumstantial Relator-Axis Sentence. This would seem to be a plausible explanation for the Tausug Juxtaposed Sentence illustrated in following two examples:

The Bontoc Circumstance-Result Sentence is similar but has an optional interposed Link expounded by ya or et.
Wadas Ballong et walo nan pinateyda. there-is Ballong link eight the killed-they

'Balong was there, so they killed eight.'

Binodasanda nan ginab-onana ya ballitok. uncovered-they the covered-he and gold

'They uncovered what he had covered, it was gold.'

A similar Link expounded by ya(g) 'and' or wat 'result in' occurs in the Balangao Result 2 Sentence:

Enhohoopna yang tayeyna way ammay. joined-he link g-string-his attributive good

'He joined them; it became a beautiful g-string.'

Ini ibual dida wat duwa hen inalani. go-we chase them link two the got-we

'We chased them out and two is how many we got.'

In the Implication order of Agta sentences, Roy Mayfield distinguished two types, both of which are possibly derived from incorporation of exponents of Circumstantial Margin with deletion of the Relator from the Relator-Axis Sentence and with the addition of certain lexical restrictions. One of these types, the Dubitative Sentence has an interposed Link expounded by awa or bakawa 'since', 'seeing that'. It's Base 1 has either "(a) interrogative clause; (b) occurrence of the particle hud indicating doubt; (c) occurrence of a negative; or (d) an exclamation of doubt or disbelief." I assume that the Base 2, which is uniformly positive, is the functional slot in which the Circumstantial Relator-Axis Sentence embeds (with deletion of its Relator). Of the two exponents of Link, bakawa necessarily co-occurs with any interrogative clause that expounds Base 1; elsewhere the two exponents of Link are apparently inter-changeable.

Pā-panun na makatugut bakawā natahengkāyun. how he able-to-walk since supported-by-wood

'How can he walk seeing that he is propped up on wood (i.e. crutches).'

29This is not the same as Mayfield's Condition order of sentences where he posits General, Contrary to Fact, and Concessive Sentences.
"It is true that I don't know when in fact I do know?"

The Agta Development Sentence is less restricted lexically. Roy Mayfield terms the first Base the Situation tagmeme and the second the Eventuation. He writes: "The Eventuation tagmeme involves an event or condition which is the accidental, natural, or intended development of the situation involved in the Situation tagmeme." The medial Link is expounded by awa te 'result that', 'so'. Here it is the first Base, the Situation tagmeme, in which the Circumstantial Relator-Axis Sentence with the deleted Relator is assumed to embed.

"The child was constantly sick and eventually died of it."

"Why is that chicken's tail different so that it's all messed up?"

Jannette Forster posits in Dibabawon Manobo an Inference 1 Sentence which looks as if it might be explained as an exponent of Circumstantial Margin juxtaposed to an exponent of Cause Margin. The second Base consists indeed of a Causal Relator-Axis Sentence. The first Base, however, contains neither mano nor kumu (Spanish loan como), the Relators found in Circumstantial Relator-Axis Sentences, but rather the unique particle agaw 'since'. In considering, then, that the first Base of this sentence is derived from the exponent of a Circumstantial Margin, we assume that the incorporated element is a unique exponent of that margin—an exponent now found only in the derived nucleus here described.

"The mouse said, "Since I am talking it must be because I am a person"."

"Drunk you particle maybe since particle keep-on you speak"

"Friend ligature silent you particle ligature person"
'You must be drunk since you keep on talking, friend, you who are a silent person.'

Balangao has a Recurrent Action Sentence consisting of two Bases joined by a Link en 'recur'. Joanne Shetler describes this sentence type: "Base 1 presents a setting, circumstance, time, etc. when the action in Base 2 recurs." Many of the examples seem to include an exponent of Circumstantial Margin with deleted Relator but other examples probably incorporate a Time Margin or even a Sentence Topic (cf. the end of 2.4.3.4).

(Ekat hen tatagu én,) anggay hen oklong én natég-ahan said the people signal just the craving link injure
di ahawa. that spouse
'(People will say) she has a whim; he suffers injury to fulfil it [implying every time the whim comes up].'

Man-ughongâ ah dala én ammag dual di udan. look-down-I outside link intense much that rain
'Every time I look outside, there's so much rain.'

Ah wakas én ummadan. tomorrow link rain
'Every day it rains.'

Ulay ah Ina én nankela. even mother link cry
'Even mother, she cried (as well as others).'

2,4,5 Incorporation of exponents of Implicational Margins.

I have already discussed in 1.3,4.1 the respective merits of analysis of conditional strings as Conditional Margin plus nucleus versus Conditional Sentences (with Protasis and Apodosis tagmemes in the nucleus). I suggested there that general, unspecialized conditions might better be analyzed as the former. This eliminates the General Condition Sentence as posited e.g. in Binukid (Manobo), Kalamian Tagbanwa, Agta, and Atta Negrito. In the first two languages no sort of balancing particle occurs between the putative Protasis and Apodosis nor is there any more lexical restriction between the two than we might expect in any margin-nucleus combination. In the latter two languages a Ligature tagmeme (cf 1,2,5) occurs between the putative Protasis and Apodosis, but this is a non-distinctive
element that occurs between certain preposed margins and the nucleus rather than a specific, counterbalancing particle of the sort that attracts the exponent of a margin into the nucleus.

2.4.5.1 Derived Conditional Sentences

By contrast, the Conditional Sentence posited in Ata Manobo seems genuinely to involve attracting the exponent of the Conditional Margin into the nucleus. An interposed Marker expounded by ian 'that's', songo 'even so', and su 'then' (rather than with its usual meaning of 'because') occurs before the Apodosis—resulting in a clear 'if...then' balancing of particles. Furthermore, this is parallel in Ata Manobo to other sentence types in which Time, Purpose, and Cause Margins are also attracted into the nucleus.

Ko gustu ku ogbayad a to dakol songo ogpabogov a to dakol. if desire I pay I lot even-so cause-give I lot

'If I want to pay a lot, I'll also have a lot given to me.'

Ko ogsalig kow kanak su kanak do ka ogko-on. if leave you to-me then I only the eat

'Since you leave it all to me (to do), then I'll just be the one to eat.'

In Koronadal Bilaan a General Conditional Sentence occurs with an interposed Marker expounded by na. It seems clear that na 'and' is here a Marker and not simply a Ligature between a margin and the nucleus in that it does not have the latter distribution before (e.g.) Time Margin or Circumstance Margin. When na is not present, a Conditional Margin plus nucleus results. Norman and Doris Abrams argue that there is a semantic difference between the Conditional Sentence with its medial na and the Conditional Margin plus nucleus (without na). I quote from the Abrams:

30 In the absence of the Marker it is assumed that a Conditional Margin plus nucleus occurs.

31 This reflects my interpretation of the Abrams' paper. They set up both a Concessive Margin and a Concessive Sentence with an interposed Marker gabay 'anyway' occurring only in the latter. They say that deletion of this Marker reduces a Concessive Sentence to a Concessive Margin plus nucleus. In the case of Conditional Sentences they allow deletion of the medial Marker na but do not consider that this reduces the sequence to a Conditional Margin plus nucleus; they do not in fact set up a Conditional Margin at all. I believe that my reinterpretation of this portion of their paper is more consistent with the other parts of it.
"For example, in Conditional Sentences, ku 'if' must occur with the Protasis which is highlighted if variable na 'then' is deleted from its position before the Apodosis. If na is retained, the highlight is on the Apodosis. Example:

Ku meteng gamo, na ye bta-s-gu i ungad lwe filak
if charge you then that price-my meat two pesos skilu.
milo

'If you charge it, then the price will be two pesos a kilo.'

"The highlight is on the price charged when na occurs. If na is deleted, the highlight will be on the 'charging' of the meat (Protasis)."

In Ilianen Manobo and Western Bukidnon Manobo --in both of which a Conditional Margin is also posited--there occurs what Jean Shand (Ilianen Manobo) terms a Specific Conditional Sentence. In both languages there is a Protasis expounded by a Relator-Axis Sentence with Relator expounded by ke, the ambiguous 'if/when' particle. The Apodosis is expounded in Ilianen Manobo by Relator-Axis Clause (not sentence, see Sec. 1) of temporal or concessive import; the former has the Relator wey 'when'; the latter has the Relator minsan/misa/apey 'even though'. In Ilianen Manobo the implication is negative--although overt negatives are not employed. In Western Bukidnon Manobo apparently this negative implication is absent--but this may be merely a quirk of translation into English. The first two examples below are Ilianen Manobo; the latter two are Western Bukidnon Manobo:

Misan kew ukasi ke egkevulung kew en neraan,
even you killed if satisfied you now already

'(Even if you are killed, if you are already full.) Don't go to be killed without getting full first.'

Way ad ed-ilutu' ke egkevitil a.
when I cook if hungry I

'When I cook, if I am hungry.) I will not cook until I am hungry.'
In Bontoc there is a specialized variety of Conditional Sentence which consists in juxtaposing two Conditional Relator-Axis Sentences--i.e. in juxtaposing two similar exponents of Conditional Margin. Pursuant to its situational use, this sentence type is termed by Lawrence Reid the Hortatory Sentence.

In Siocon Subanon, there is a specialized type of Conditional Sentence which has a further alternative implication. William Hall therefore terms it Alternative Conditional Sentence. A medial Marker expounded by ati 'then' attracts the exponent of margin into the nucleus. Furthermore, the Protasis must be negative.
In Ata Manobo, there is a type of Conditional Sentence which is derived by deleting the Relator of the Relator-Axis Sentence which expounds the Conditional Margin; the resulting Juxtaposed Conditional Sentence may encode a general condition or a contrary to fact condition. Aside from the use of this sentence in riddles, some speakers are apologetic about its use and feel that it is only semi-acceptable. The first two examples below are riddles; the third is not. In the first example this sentence type expounds the two Bases of an Antithetical Sentence:

*Balubatò no songo gatus, ogsonog konò ogpakalobog;*  
*bachelor one hundred (if) immerse not muddy-water*

ogsonob to sagboka, ogpakalobog,  
(if) immerse one muddy-water

'As for one hundred bachelors, (if) they dip in, the water isn't muddied; (but) (if) dips one (bachelor), the water is muddied.' [the answer is 'a spoon']

*Homoy ogtiukon; konò ogka-avò.*  
rice pierces not be-able-to-get-out

'As for the rice, (if) it pierces, it cannot be removed.' [the answer is 'lice']

*Kamatoy a konà a ogssinogow.*  
die I not I cry

'(If) I should die I wouldn't cry.'

In Inibaloi there is a specialized variety of Conditional Sentence that is somewhat temporal in import. Lee Ballard terms it a Contingency Sentence. It contains an interposed Marker asan 'and then', which is the same element found in the Sequence Sentence. If its first Base 1 is expounded by an independent clause, a further initial Marker expounded by ampet 'unless' may occur. If its Base 1 is expounded by a Conditional Relator-Axis Sentence this further Marker does not occur and the Base 1 must permute to follow Base 2.

*Ampet memshit ka, asan moka olopa.*  
unless feast you then you take-along

'First you must celebrate peshit, and then you can take her with you.'

*Asan nak mengasawa no āura'y pilak ko.*  
then I marry when is money my

'Then I will marry when I have some money.'
Asan ka man-esek nem Abril all.
then you plant when April come

'Then you should plant when next April comes.'

2.4.5.2 Conditional Sentences with special lexical constraints

Some specialized varieties of Conditional Sentence that occur in Itneg, Atta Negrito, Balangao, and Bontoc bring exponents of Conditional Margin into the nucleus not by adding a cross-referencing and counterbalancing particle (as illustrated) but by special co-occurrence and lexical restriction between the Protasis and the Apodosis. The distribution of negatives and Interrogatives is important in these types.

In Atta Negrito the Rhetorical Conditional Sentence has a postposed negative Protasis and a preposed interrogative Apodosis; the result is a variety of rhetorical question.

Asinni yù dakalam mi nu ari sikaw nga yáma mi?
who the parent our if not you link father our

'Who is our parent if not you who are our father?'

Anni gabba ubobugak ku nu ari yaw natakì nikan?
what now talk my if not this sickness of-mine

'What would I talk about now if I hadn't had this sickness?'

In Itneg the Exclusive Sentence has postposed negative Protasis and a preposed negative Apodosis.

Naid to ta pagbanagan yo ta mag-asawa, no adi kakaasi.
none the result you in marriage if not pity

'There will be no result to your marriage, if you have no pity.'

Naid ta ama mi, no adi dika.
none the father us if not you

'We have no father, if not you.'

In Bontoc and Balangao there is an Exclusive Conditional Sentence which has a postposed negative Protasis and a preposed negative or interrogative Apodosis. The first two examples are Bontoc; the latter two are Balangao.
Maid kasin gawis mo adi si Diyos (nan gawis).
none again good if not the God the good

'None other is good if not God.'

Sino ngen nan as omey mo adiyak (nan omey)?
who the go if negative-I the go

'Who will go, if not I?'

Maid kasen emey no baen hea.
one again go if negative you

'There's no one else to go but you.'

Ay waday manggagga-ay no baen ah Lynn.
question is visit if negative Lynn

'If anyone's gone off visiting, it's Lynn.'

In Bontoc a further type of specialized Conditional Sentence occurs which Lawrence Reid terms the Chance Conditional Sentence. The Apodosis is obligatorily non-past; the Relator in the Relator-Axis Sentence of the Protasis is reinforced with certain following particles.

Mo enetkamit adi mangan sid-i, as omalikamis na
if lest-we negative eat there future come-we-to here

ay mangan.
link eat

'If by chance we do not eat there, we will come here to eat.'

Mangankayo, mo enet adi komaan nan bositayo.
eat-you if lest negative leave the visitors-yours

'You eat, in case your visitors don't leave.'

2.4.5.3 Specialized Contrary to Fact Conditional Sentences

I turn now to specialized conditional sentences of contrary to fact import. In Ilianen Manobo there is a Negative Conditional Sentence that has a negative in both Bases; it is clearly a contrary to fact condition in past time.

Wara' ded mevinsuli ke valey ke wara' ka nekeruma.
not yet burned the house if not you accompany

'The house would not have burned if you had not come along.'
Wara' a nekephimbenar ke wara' a nekekita.
not I believed if not I saw

'I would not have believed it if I had not seen it.'

In Dibabawon Manobo the **Inference 2** sentence reinforces the
ko 'if' of the Protasis with a preposed **lamanon** 'implication/
inference' and interposes **su** 'then' (basically meaning 'because')
before the **Apodosis**.

**Lamanon man** ko og-abut on kunto-on su
imply particle if arrive time particle today because
datong on man (dî wadà).
reach time particle particle but none

'It would have been if he were coming today that he would have
arrived, (but he didn't).'

Ho-o Jose, **lamanon ko pinalanggà a pad ni Amà su konà**
yes Jose imply if cherish I yet father because not
**ki man** ogbugawan,(ogpasoodon ki dà man to
we particle drive let-enter we particle particle relator
baoy din).
house his

'Yes, Jose, it is evident that if I were still the dearly
beloved of Father we would not be driven out, (he would have let
us enter his house).'

In Atta Negrito, the **Contrary to Fact Conditional Sentence**
has an obligatory past tense in the Protasis plus a further
feature: a negative and/or the word **nakuan** 'would' in the
Apodosis.

**Nu awánà paga tâ atâwa á maninganà nakuan.**
if none-I yet the wife then look-I would

'If I didn't already have a wife, I would look at you.'

**Ari nakuan natakatakî si Apay nu ariák ku nelappák**
not would got-sick marker Apay if didn't I slapped
talingá na.
ear her

'Apay wouldn't have gotten sick if I hadn't slapped her ear.'
In Bontoc a Subjunctive Sentence has a medial Marker expounded by three particles; a Protasis expounded by either a Conditional Relator-Axis Sentence with a reinforced Relator or by a lexically restricted clause or phrase (containing an auxiliary or particle meaning 'should'). The result is a contrary to fact condition in present or future time. In the first two examples below the Protasis is expounded by a Conditional Relator-Axis Sentence whose Relator mo 'if' is reinforced with the particle sa 'that'. In the last example the Protasis is expounded by a phrase containing koma 'should'.

Sa mo naanoska esak egwal.
that if kind-you link-I give

'I would not give it to you if they did something to me.'

In Itneg the formulaic sapay 'hope' or sapay odoy 'hope really' is preposed to an exponent of Conditional Margin to make a Supposition Sentence meaning 'It's as if...

Sapay no IMainit sita nga babai.
hope if from-Mainit this girl

'It's as if this girl came from Mainit (but she isn't).'
in favor of considering such implicational strings to be nuclear patterns rather than sequences of Conditional Margin plus nucleus. I quote at length from him:

"A Condition Contrary to Fact Sentence contrasts with a sentence nucleus accompanied by a Conditional Margin in that the time aspect of the Protasis of the Condition Contrary to Fact Sentence is restricted to past and in that the positive-negative values of the Protasis and Apodosis of the Condition Contrary to Fact Sentence are to be understood as the opposite (or beta value) of the overt wording. The time aspect of a Conditional Margin is unrestricted, and the Conditional Margin and nucleus do not necessarily have a beta value. The following examples illustrate the beta value in a Condition Contrary to Fact Sentence as opposed to the indeterminate alpha value (no positive-negative reversal) versus beta value in a Conditional Margin with a nucleus.

Condition Contrary to Fact Sentence:

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{Base (Protasis)} & \text{Base (Apodosis)} \\
(\text{Ke mibpesipelahan a kenyu}) & (\text{me kene keg geina conjunction not you past saved}) \\
\text{if insulted me you} & \text{you were not saved'}
\end{array}
\]

'If you had insulted me, you wouldn't have been saved.'

"Base (Protasis) of the above sentence, mibpesipelahan a kenyu 'you insulted me', entails the opposite information: 'you did not insult me'. Base (Apodosis), kene kew geina egkeluwas 'you were not saved', entails the information: 'you were saved'.

"Conditional Margin with a nucleus:

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{CondM} & \text{Nucleus} \\
(\text{Ke ebpesipelahan a kanyu}) & (\text{ken te kew egkeluwas}). \\
\text{if insult me you not you saved}
\end{array}
\]

'The alpha versus beta value of both margin and nucleus in the above example is indeterminate. No further information is necessarily entailed. Presumably the wording can imply its opposite ('If you don't insult me, you will be saved.'), but this beta value need not be understood to the exclusion of the alpha value expressed in the original wording."
It seems to me that Elkins has made about as strong a case as can be made for considering contrary to fact conditions of such structure to be nuclear patterns. Not only in Western Bukidnon Manobo, but also in Kalamian Tagbanwa, Aborlan Tagbanwa, Atta Negrito, and Agta Contrary to Fact Conditional Sentences have been posited—presumably for reasons similar to those argued by Elkins. It remains, however, that such structures are less solidly established as sentence patterns than are more specialized structures in which the overt structure of the Apodosis—as well as that of the Protasis—is modified. At this point, it seems to me that questions of system symmetry might well be considered in deciding the analysis in a given language. Procedurally this means: (1) Reject as nuclear patterns all putative General Conditional Sentences of unspecialized structure; (2) Accept as nuclear patterns all such specialized structures (as illustrated). (3) Accept the contrary to fact condition with specialized structure in only the Protasis as a nuclear pattern only when other specialized Conditional Sentence patterns exist to parallel it. I apply this now to Western Bukidnon Manobo and Agta as exemplifying two different situations.

In Western Bukidnon Manobo no General Conditional Sentence of unspecialized pattern is posited: rather such strings are considered to consist of Conditional Margin plus sentence nucleus. A Specific Conditional Sentence is, however, posited and has already been described and exemplified. The system of Western Bukidnon Manobo sentences seems to be a two-tiered system, i.e. sentences come in pairs. It therefore seems plausible to accept the Contrary to Fact Conditional Sentence into the system to fill what would otherwise be a lacuna in the system. Two examples of this sentence type follow:

Su emun wazà heazà medlepew is etew ne wazà
for if not that appeared person conjunction not
meamin heazà is talad.
be-all-gone that shares

'For if that person hadn't appeared, then the shares wouldn't have been all taken.'

Angin ke wazà niyu zuma he egkekilala zey
would-that if not you companion ligature recognize we
edhimetayan dey en sikiyu.
kill we completive you

'If you had no companions which we recognize, we would kill you.'
In Agta a General Conditional Sentence is posited. For reasons already given I reject this as a sentence type and reinterpret it as a sequence of Conditional Margin plus nucleus. For similar reasons I reject the Concessive Sentence in favor of a sequence of Concessive Margin plus nucleus. The Agta sentence system is a three-tiered system, i.e., sentences come in groups of three. If we accept the Contrary to Fact Sentence after having rejected the General Conditional and Concessive Sentences, then the Contrary to Fact Sentence stands isolated in a column with two lacuna. The system observed elsewhere in Agta suggests that we abolish the whole Conditional column with its three sentences. We therefore decide on systemic grounds not to accept a Contrary to Fact Sentence in Agta. How, then, do we analyze contrary to fact implicational strings in Agta? Such strings differ from general conditions (consisting of a Conditional Margin plus nucleus) in several particulars: (a) the Contrary to Fact Conditional Margin occurs only post-nuclear never pre-nuclear (as does the General Conditional Margin); (b) This Margin must be expounded by a structure characterized by negation and past tense. This means that we need to set up two Conditional Margins, the General and the Contrary to Fact which differ in placement in the linear string and in internal composition. Examples follow of Agta sentences which contain this suggested Contrary to Fact Margin:

Awena minā napadday na lutak in am awā pinadday na Namarātu.
not-it would be-made earth (the) if not made God

'The earth would not have been made if God had not made it.'

Mali-māng minā ya weh en am awā nagudān.
clear would creek (the) if not rained

'The creek would be clear if it had not rained.'

2.4.5.5 Incorporation of exponents of Concessive Margin

As with the putative General Conditional Sentence—which we have suggested might better be considered to be a Conditional Margin plus sentence nucleus—so putative Concessive Sentences of a general, unspecialized nature without a distinctive Link or special lexical cross-reference, are here also considered to be Concessive Margin plus nucleus. Thus, the following Agta string has nothing distinctive about it that would indicate its being considered to be a sentence nucleus; the medial 3 is simply a nondistinctive ligature occurring after prenuclear margins.
"Miski awin kid á dumagdag kid sangaw," kun ku even none they conjunction follow they later said I hapa.
also

"Even though they aren't here they will follow later," I said.'

Måski am anu ya uhohugan na kasatólay mu awemuy even if what speak fellow-man you not-you (plural) tabtabbagān. answer

'Whatever your fellow man says to you (in abuse) don't reply to it.'

In several languages Concessive Sentences are posited. The exponent of the Concessive Margin is drawn into the nucleus by virtue of the presence of a counterbalancing interposed particle meaning something on the order of 'but' or 'still'. The following Koronadal Bilaan examples are typical:

Balù mlungay agu tlabu agu galabek. although tired I still I work 'Although I'm tired, still I'll work.'

Balù ta beg labi, gabay fye fa. although already quite old anyway good still 'Although it's quite old, anyway it's still good.'

Not dissimilar is the Concessive Sentence in Kalamian Tagbanwa where the Spanish loan piru expounds the interposed Link.

Basing maning tiang pagkabetang, piru maayan ka yang nirang although like that state yet good their mga pagbanwa. plural villaging 'Even though their state is like that (poor), yet their barrio activities are also good.'

Masking gesye ilem yang luak na piru indi padangep tung even-if small only plants but negative seek-aid from mga aruman na. plural companion his

'Even if he doesn't have many plants, yet he doesn't seek aid from his companions.'
In Atta Negrito there is a *Concessive Sentence*: (1) with interposed non-distinctive ligature a but with *la 'still', 'only' in the *Apodosis*; (2) without ligature but with *paga 'yet' in the *Apodosis*; (3) with interposed *ta normally meaning 'because' here used to mean 'still', nevertheless'.

\[ \text{Mássiki} \text{ a} \hat{\text{w}}\hat{\text{a}}\hat{\text{t}} \text{ ta } \text{baggá}, \hat{\text{a}} \text{ a} \hat{\text{aj}}\hat{\text{a}}\hat{\text{al}} \hat{\text{b}} \hat{\text{a}}\hat{\text{a}}, \text{ la } \text{there-is} \text{ still} \]

'Even though there is no rice, there is still (food).'

\[ \text{Mássiki} \text{ anni } \text{kinamengal } \text{mu, } \text{egga } \text{paga } \hat{\text{y}}\hat{\text{u}} \text{ menganl} \text{ even-though what invincibility your there-is yet the invincible} \]

\[ \text{ngam } \text{sikaw,} \text{ than you} \]

'Whatever your powers of invincibility, there is someone more invincible than you.'

\[ \text{Mássiki} \text{ mánaw } \text{ka} \text{ ta } \text{ajjawin } \text{si} \text{ abbò.} \text{ even-though leave you because/still there-is grandmother} \]

'Even though you leave, Grandmother will be here.'

In Kalamian Tagbanwa and Aborlan Tagbanwa lexically specialized varieties of *Concessive Sentence* occur. In Kalamian Tagbanwa, Edward Ruch posits an *Incongruence Sentence* which "indicates that an action or situation is considered incompatible or disparate as measured against a certain norm of expectation." There is a medial *piru 'yet' particle as in the *Concessive Sentence* but *agad 'regardless', 'even though' occurs as *Relator* of the incorporated *Relator-Axis Sentence* rather than *maski/kabay 'although' which occur in the *Concessive Sentence*. With permutation of the *Apodosis* to sentence initial there is obligatory deletion of the interposed *Link*--as is true for *Conditional* or *Concessive Sentences* in general.

\[ \text{Agad } \text{kung belag } \text{tung } \text{yeen, napatay } \text{ya } \text{ra } \text{rin, } \text{piru} \text{ regardless if not for me died you now almost yet} \]

\[ \text{indi } \hat{\text{aw}} \hat{\text{ra}} \text{ agademdem } \text{mu.} \text{ negative I now remember you} \]

'Heedless of the fact that if it had not been for me you would have died, yet you still do not remember me (favorably).'

\[ \text{Binuat } \text{ta } \text{pa } \text{anya } \text{ta } \text{malain } \text{agad } \text{pirmi } \text{rang } \text{pagpbulung} \text{ did you yet he evil regardless always being-treated} \]

\[ \text{tung } \text{nuyu, by you} \]
'He did evil to you regardless of the fact that he is always being treated by you.'

Indi pa maaske ti tatay ang magpabtang ta bitala,
negative yet know-how father place word

agad mepet da.
even-though old-man already

'Father doesn't know how to give advice yet even though he's an old man already.'

Ee, ang durug bukli tia ti Duming, agad maslit
exclamation very liar that Duming even-though mature
da.
already

'Oh pshaw, that Duming is a big liar, even though he's already mature (and should know better).'

In Aborlan Tagbanwa a Contrary to Circumstances Sentence is posited. A Relator-Axis Sentence with a unique Relator sabagay 'although' is incorporated into the nucleus; there is an implied Antithesis; then a Link expounded by taka 'but/because' followed by the Circumstance tagmeme.

Sabagay mat magbuat kami dedelem taka it egay panga-en.
although say work we tomorrow but negative food

'Although (we) said we would work tomorrow, (we didn't) because there was no food.'

Sabagay mag-iereg aku baitu patudu taka it may asawa ku.
although want I this stay behind but is mate my

'Although (I) wanted to remain here, (I didn't) because I have a wife (at home).'

Sabagay ireg ku pablag taka it dakulang bandi.
although want I divorce but large-ligature fine

'Although (I) want to get a divorce (I won't) because of the large fine (involved).'

Concessive Sentences in Balangao, Bontoc, and Itneg juxtapose an exponent of Concessive Margin with an exponent of Conditional Margin. The following two examples are Bontoc:
Olay mo adi gawis nan kanentako, mo kettakot adi
even if negative good the food-our if then-we negative
matey.
die

'Even though our food is no good, as long as we don't die.'

Olay mabalodak mo ketak matago.
even bound-I if then-I live

'Even though I'm imprisoned as long as I live.'

In Kalamian Tagbanwa there occurs a Refutative Sentence that expounds COUNTER-REMARK (REM) in DIALOGUE PARAGRAPH. This sentence type is apparently derived by bringing into the nucleus an exponent of Concessive Margin with deletion of the Relator 'although'. A weak ligature-like Link anii occurs between the two Bases. In the first example below apparently the second Base is the incorporated exponent of Conditional Margin; in the second example the first Base is the incorporated exponent of the margin.

REMARK: Atiang agaeled daw tung lakun.
that afraid I of waves

'When I am afraid of the waves.'

REMARK: Meled dang duun naw ka?
afraid you-link there I also

'You'll be afraid and there I am too?'

REMARK: Yawa ra kang lagi siguru yang indi
you now also right-away probably negative
naliliag ang magaral ta danay.
want learn steadily.

'You are the one probably who did not want to learn steadily.'

REMARK: Indi a ra paaralen, magaral la pa?
negative you now let-study study you yet

'You're not allowed to study, are you still going to study?'

2.4.6 Incorporation of exponents of more than one sort of margin

In Tausug, Seymour and Lois Ashley posit a Reproach Sentence which seems to incorporate into its nucleus specialized
exponents of Cause and Concessive Margins. Thus, although no Cause Margin as such has the Relator sakawkat 'just because', and although no Concessive Margin has the Relator malayngkan 'even though', both these occur as Relators in the Thesis tagmeme of the Reproach Sentence. This sentence has special lexical characteristics (cf. the Kalamian Tagbanwa Incongruence Sentence 2.4.5) even when the medial counterbalancing particle (sa' 'but', hati 'explanation') is missing. The Antithesis signals an unworthy and unacceptable response in that (a) there is insufficient grounds for such a response (sakawkat), or (b) such a response is contrary to what the actions of others would lead us to expect (malayngkan).

32 It may be, however, that malayngkan should be recognized as a further Relator functioning in Relator-Axis Sentences that expound Concessive Margins. The following two Tausug sentences are given by the Ashleys in a footnote as a troublesome residue; they consider them to be aberrant readings of the Disparate Sentence. I wonder if they are not rather Antithetical Sentences with a Concessive Margin in the embedded sentence which expounds Antithesis:

Way dapitan ku Saripul sa' malayngkan hisiyu-siyu in none favored me Saripul but although whoever

managgna' kaniya in dus a.
will-begin his fault

'I'm not taking sides (with anyone) Saripul, but to the contrary whoever will begin (the quarreling) he will be at fault.

Bang taymanghud mu maisug kaw, sa' malayngkan bang tau
if sibling your fierce you but although if person

dugaing di' kaw umatu.
different not you resist

'If it's your sibling you're fierce towards (him), but to the contrary, if it's a stranger you won't resist.'
Sakawkat  nila na kaw nabutu sa' nabuga' na kaw kanila.
just-because they you voted but afraid you them

'Because you were voted (into office) by them, you are afraid of them.'

Sakawkat  na kaw maingat magparagan hati
just-because you know how-to-drive (explanation)

magpakusug na kaw.
cause-to-be-strong you

'Because you know how to drive now, you drive fast.'

Sawkat  na siya taga ama' kapitan manuntuk na.
just-because he has father capitan socks

'Just because his father is a captain, (he) socks (others) now.'

Malayngkan bang bukun aku matay na kaw, hati di' kaw manumtum.
although if not I die you but not you remember

'Although if it hadn't been for me you would have died, still you don't remember (me).'

Malayngkan aku in magtatabang kaymu mangi' kaw kasuddahan kaku'.
although I help you bad you natured me

'Although I help you, you are bad natured towards me.'

Balangao has a Subjunctive Sentence which incorporates into the nucleus an exponent of Conditional, Chance, or Purpose Margins. The incorporated exponent of a margin is preceded by the Formula 33 sapay koma 'good if'.

Sapay  koma non anda iggay nansaket.
moreover should perchance they negative sick

'Oh that they had not gotten sick!'

Sapay  koma ta umudan ah awni.
moreover should so-that rain later

'Oh that it would rain after while!'

33Cf. the sentences described under 2.6 to which the above Balangagao sentence type has a certain resemblance.
2.5 **Merged Sentences**

In the above sections, reference has been made on several occasions to **juxtaposed sentences**, i.e. sentences in which no medial **link** or **marker** occurs but rather two or more **bases** are combined into one phonological sentence characterized as well by certain lexical and grammatical constraints. I here describe sentence types which are a further development of such juxtaposed sentences. In these **merged sentences**: (1) The bases, which exceed two only in a few sentence types in a few languages, are manifested exclusively by clauses, not by embedded sentences. (2) The structure of one clause is defective, i.e. either we may consider that two tagmemes of the adjoining clauses share the same exponent, or that a tagmeme has been deleted in one clause. The shared exponent frequently occurs at the seam of the two adjoining clauses. (3) There are somewhat stringent lexical constraints on the structure of the clauses which expound the bases.

2.5.1 **Inibaloi Direction Sentence**

I begin the presentation of **merged sentences** by presenting an Inibaloi sentence type that is really not a merged sentence—in that it lacks feature (2) above. Nevertheless, in that this Inibaloi direction sentence is characterized by features (1) and (3) of the **merged sentences**, it makes a fruitful comparison with them and introduction to their structure. It illustrates a surface structure which corresponds to a hypothetical stage in the transformation of **juxtaposed sentences** to **merged sentences** in other languages.

Lee Ballard describes the Inibaloi direction sentence as follows: 

"1. The verb expounding the predicate within base 1 is a verb of motion. 2. The subject in base 2 is expounded by a pronoun (often the zero third person singular pronoun), which occurs pre-predicate and has its antecedent in base 1." In that the subject of base 1 is often expounded by a pronoun as well, many examples of this sentence type have a repeat sequence of the same pronoun in sentence medial, as in the following two sentences:

... Onbowas *ira* *ira* *man-obda*.

'Vey early they they work '

Dimaw *ira* *ag* *ira* *nanbolon*.

'Vent they not they take lunch'
If there is change of focus from the clause expounding Base 1 to the clause expounding Base 2 the pronoun may change, as in the following (where ka 'you' expounds Subject-as-topic and mo 'you' expounds Subject-non-topic—in that there is shift to goal focus):

...daw ka mo bayshi
    go you you pay

'...you go and pay it...'.

The subject of the first clause may, of course, be expounded by a noun instead of a pronoun:

...dimaw ali sota guerilla at sha odopen.
    went here the guerillas (frustration) they fetch

'...the guerillas came in a (vain) attempt to take him along.'

On the other hand, the subject of the first clause need not occur clause final but may occur relatively early in its clause:

Dimaw ira shi baley nonta daki sha kono tinongtong.
    went they to house the boy they (hearsay) brought-to-trial

'They went to the house of the boy and they tried him before the elders for what he had done.'

The Inibaloi Direction Sentence resembles the Merged Sentences described below in that (a) it has Bases whose exponents are restricted to clauses and (b) Base 1 is lexically restricted in that it is expounded by clauses whose Predicates are expounded by verbs of motion. Nevertheless, it is not a Merged Sentence in that it requires a specification of the subject in the second Base. In any of the above examples, e.g. in onbowas ira ira man-obda 'They go early in the morning to work', the deletion of the pronoun in the second Base would give us a Merged Sentence. But this step is not taken in Inibaloi as it is taken in the other languages represented in this project. Possibly the preservation of the full undeleted structure of the two clauses reflects the Inibaloi penchant for parallelism and chiasmus as seen in the Parallel Sentence (2.3.2.3).

2.5.2 Merged Sentences in various languages

The Atta Negrito Merged Sentence is in many respects parallel to the Inibaloi Direction Sentence. Notice, however, in the following example that, unlike Inibaloi, the pronoun ira 'they' is not repeated; rather it simultaneously expounds the Subjects of both clauses:
They are going to harvest.'

Also, unlike the Inibaloi Direction Sentence, the focus must be the same in both clauses. Furthermore, the Predicate of the first clause is not restricted to motion verbs as in Inibaloi. Rather, it is simply required that the two predicates include closely associated or simultaneous actions. Note the following examples:

Makkiido ka parubán ta bale ta.
ask you try for house our

'Try asking for a house for us.'

Naggiá ira ngin mannunnunnuk.
stayed they then swimming

'Then they stayed swimming.'

Nappunawin si abbing manginunuk kâ iná na.
tired-then marker child swimming marker mother his

'The child then got tired carrying his mother in the water.'

Nakkarerá si abbing makakatakatol.
ran marker child calling

'The child ran calling.'

Uliak ku pintán.
again I paint

'I will paint it over again.'

Atta Negrito is also one of the few languages where more than two Bases can occur in a Merged Sentence. Consider the following example:

Naggiá na gape makakarakarerá makakatakatol ta kannak.
stayed-I also running calling on bank

'I stayed on the bank running and calling.'

The Agta Merged Sentence likewise has a shared exponent of the Subjects of its two clauses in many examples of this sentences type:
Oh, God himself is responsible for me and gives me my food.'

Under special conditions, however, some indication of Subject is found in each clause. Thus, Roy Mayfield states: "If a Subject which is expounded by a coordinate phrase consisting of a pronoun plus a phrase is shared...the overt pronoun occurs with the exponent of Action 1 [= Base 1] and the overt phrase occurs with the exponent of Action 2 [= Base 2]." This is illustrated in the following sentence:

'Itta kamin ten ay magtunud na kofun ken. there-is we(exclusive)there single-file friend I-the

'My friend and I were there going along single file.'

Here kamin 'we(exclusive)' occurs in Base 1 and na kofun ken 'my friend' occurs in Base 2; together they equal 'my friend and I' which is applicable to both clauses. Again Mayfield says: "When the Predicate of the exponent of Action 1 is the verb e 'go' and the Subject is a phrase, the antecedent pronoun of the Subject occurs with the exponent of Action 1 and the noun phrase Subject occurs following the Predicate in the second Action." This is seen in the following example where na 'he' occurs in Base 1 and na abbing kiden 'the children' occurs in Base 2.

'En na lini-bagan na abbing kiden ya danum en. go he muddled child (plural) water (the)

'The children went and muddied the water.'

Lexically the Agta Merged Sentence is not restricted to motion verbs in its first Base. Some examples appear to be derived from Sequence Sentences via juxtaposition (deletion of Sequence Link) and merger:

'Enda sina-bil ig aboy ne-uhet ta huplano en. go-they carried daughter exited airplane the

'They went and picked up my daughter and her siblings and brought them out of the airplane.'

In other examples, however, the actions are clearly simultaneous. This is exemplified in the following example which, like the preceding, has three bases; the verbs mean 'side-by-side', 'talk', and 'walk':
A sangaw naghebing kami magbida makatugtugut
and then side-by-side we (exclusive) talk walk (continuously)

na kofun tām en.
the friend we the

'And then we and our friend continued walking side by side talking together.'

In a few examples the final Base explains how the action of the previous Base is accomplished:

Tijamay nāk na de na ugsīn en inayāyaw o.
poisoned he-I now maybe lowlander the tricked emphatic

'Maybe the lowlander poisoned me by trickery.'

In Itneg an optional Link expounded by nga (with considerable allomorphic variation) occurs between the two Bases of a Merged Sentence. While motion verbs are very common in the first Base, other verbs occur there as well. Thus not only do such following combinations of verbs occur: 'go, begin harvest'; 'go, gather'; 'go, wander'; 'go, find'; 'come, eat'; 'come, get'; but also combinations of verbs such as 'go-far-away, get-out of the way' and 'be diligent, bore'. A common shared exponent of the Subjects of both clauses occurs.

Inda lomogi maglaani.
went-they begin harvest

'They went to begin the harvesting.'

Napan da kano magpaspasyalen.
went they (reported) wandering around

'They went to wander around.'

The Dibabawon Manobo Merged Sentence, like the Inibaloi Direction Sentence, has only verbs of motion in its first Base. It is a bona fide Merged Sentence in that there is a shared exponent of the Subjects of both clauses:

Namang-ibanon sikan mongo bata namang-atod to usa left relator plural child delivered relator deer

no tiniyuk.
ligature strung

'The children left to distribute the portions of venison.'
'Then they left riding in the new boat.'

The **Merged Sentence** in Aborlan Tagbanwa apparently has two subtypes: (a) with **Base 1** expounded by Existential Clause and **Base 2** expounded by Verbal Clause and with a shared exponent of the Subject of the former and the Object of the latter; (b) with both Bases expounded by Verbal Clauses and with a shared exponent of the Subjects of both Clauses. The actions in the second subtype are either closely associated or simultaneous; there is no restriction to motion verbs in the first Base. In the two examples below, the first is a **Coordinate Sentence** both of whose Bases are expounded by a Merged Sentence of the first subtype. The second example is of the second subtype.

Taka waini lana ku ibtang mu asat bulsa mu baw waini b au
but here oil my put you there pocket your and here stone
ku telnen mu.
my swallow you

'But here is my (magic) coconut oil you put (it) there in your pocket and here is my (magic) stone you swallow (it).'

Magmamà si dun pilipi nga itu magsigup.
chew betel Philip ligature this smoke

'Mr. Philip chews betel nut (and) smokes tobacco.'

In Ilianen Manobo, Jean Shand posits a Merged Goal Sentence in which (a) a single pronoun or noun is the shared exponent of the Subjects of both clauses—which may nevertheless focus on different Topics; and (b) the second Base is some sort of logical Goal or Referent of the first Base. In the clauses expounding the two Bases verb pairs occur such as: 'learn, read'; 'able, build'; 'do-alone, make'; 'go, make'; 'take-turns, gather'; meander, go'; 'take-hold, prepare'; hold, put-away'. Two examples follow in which the common Subject is also Topic of both clauses. In the third example, while **rin** 'she' is

---

34 This is my interpretation of Stewart Hussey's writing. I have not had opportunity to check these matters with him since the conclusion of the workshop program.
Subject of both clauses, se kebila' 'betel-basket' is Topic of the first clause while si Beletamey is Topic of the second.

Eguru si Aya' ebasa.
learn relator Aunt read
'Aunt is learning to read.'

Mid-uney mibaal.
did-along made
'He independently made it.'

Kira rin se kebila' embe ediri si Beletamey.
took she the betel-basket (reason) prepare Beletamey
'She took the betel-basket to prepare a chew for Beletamey.'

In Siocon Subanon the two verbs of the Bases of the Merged Sentence occur juxtaposed with the same noun/pronoun as shared exponent of the Topic of both—whether or not Actor and focus are the same in both Bases. In all but one of William Hall's examples the verb of Base 1 is a motion verb.

Mogutom mogutom ilan angoyon poluasoy.
hungry hungry they go let out
'(When) they are a little hungry (they) go (and) let (it) out.'

Dadi inangoy ukadoy.
so went for unwrap
'So (she) went for (it) (and) unwrapped (it).'

Si Momonlibud Pulù migabak mogbantoy no sop nok
person Momonlibud Pulu left behind watch again dried

Momonlibud Pulù was left behind to watch again their dried fish.'

In the Tagabili Merged Sentence it is the Base 2 which must contain a motion verb; the sense of Base 2 is circumstantial and temporal. Evidently the Tagabili Merged Sentence is the product of a course of development in which (a) certain margins (noticeably the Time Margin) were brought into the nucleus with deletion of the Relator of the incorporated Relator-Axis Sentence; and (b) the resulting Juxtaposed Sentence became a Merged Sentence on deletion of the Subject from the second Base.
Slobe kōl ke deng.
go-by-I arrive later
'I'll go by when I come back later.'

Nwiten kōl koni.
bring-he arrive just-now
'He brought it when he arrived just now.'

Tnabahu lemwot ke deng.
call-I leave later
'I'll call him when I leave later.'

The Koronadal Bilaan Merged Sentence is a very specialized structure in which the sentence medial noun phrase is simultaneous exponent of Goal-as-Topic of Base 1 and of Instrument-as-Topic of Base 2.

Nwe-an bansung tiles kalmati.
get-he knife slice tomato
'He got the knife to slice the tomato.'

Nulut-an agas dak sde.
pick-up-he spear spear pig
'He picked up the spear used to spear the pig.'

In the Tausug Merged Sentence while a tagmeme of Base 1 shares an exponent with a tagmeme of Base 2, the two tagmemes may be (1) Actor, Actor; (2) Goal, Goal; (3) Actor, Goal; (4) Goal, Actor; or (5) Goal, Instrument (cf. Koronadal Bilaan). The noun phrase that is the shared exponent of the two tagmemes may occur at the seam of the two Bases; permute either to the fore of Base 1 or to the end of Base 2; or may be deleted in sufficient context. The five examples below illustrate respectively the five types of shared expenence listed above:

(1) In tau yaun nakakaun nakaruwa na.
persons that ate two-times already
'That fellow has eaten twice already.'

(2) Biyugit siya binin ha lupa' Bisaya'.
thrown-away he left-behind homeland Visayans
'He was deserted, left behind in the homeland of the Visayans.'
(3) Nagdungan in tawmpa' bini.  
  to-occur-same-time  shoes  bought  

'The shoes were bought at the same time.'

(4) Hilaruk mu pa taas makapitu in sing-sing.  
  throw  you  upwards  will-be-seven  ring  

'The ring is the thing you throw upwards, (the ring) will be seven times', i.e. 'You throw the ring upwards seven times.'

(5) Magkawa' siya dahun niyug hinangun bay-bay.  
  will-get  he  leaves  coconut  will-make small-house  

'He will get coconut leaves with which to make a small house.'

A further Tausug example is of interest in that it has three Bases, deletes the shared exponent, and seems to be a development from a (juxtaposed) Sequence Sentence:

Iyapas niyu iyabutan nalagut niya.  
chased by-him overtaken slashed by-him  

'(The person) was chased by him, overtaken, slashed.'

2.5.3 Subtypes and types of Merged Sentences

In Kalamian Tagbanwa, Edward Ruch sets up four subtypes of the Merged Sentence (all of which have a weak Link expounded by ara between the Bases). Subtype A is apparently a development from a Juxtaposed Sentence which has incorporated the Purpose Margin into the nucleus by deleting the Relator of the Relator-Axis Sentence:

Magekel la tung mpa ana mung magpagayung.  
take  you  (plural)  child  your  cause-row  

'Take along your children so you can have them row.'

Subtype B is apparently a development from a Paraphrase (or Recapitulation) Juxtaposed Sentence; the same verb occurs in both Bases.

Ipadapat ming ipadapat yang bitala u.  
apply  you  apply  word  my  

'Insist strongly on my word.'

In subtype C one or the other Base qualifies the other as to manner. When the Base 1 is expounded by a non-verbal clause, it functions as a manner qualifier to Base 2. Otherwise, the
Verbal Clause that expounds Base 2 functions as the manner qualifier of Base 1. The Base serving as manner qualifier may be transformed to a clause-level Manner tagmeme. In the following example it is the second Base which serves as manner qualifier to the first.

Duun da tanya patayay ang ginulpi yang Moros. there now he killed link sudden the Muslim

'There the Muslim was killed once and for all.'

In subtype D, the first Base must be expounded by a clause with a stative verb. The first Base is negative or conveys something of doubt or caution.

Agaeyak kaw ang magpapuistu duun. ashamed I link settle-down there

'I am uneasy about settling down there.'

Probably no more and certainly no less distinct from each other than the subtypes posited by Ruch are the four types of Merged Sentences posited by Lawrence Reid and Joanne Shetler in Bontoc and Balangao. I describe here only the Merged Sentences found in Bontoc; those found in Balangao are similar with some variation of detail.

Reid points out several common characteristics of the Merged Sentences of Bontoc: (1) the obligatory Link expounded by ay (this same Link occurs as an optional element in Paraphrase and Sequence Sentences); and (2) peculiar grammatical and semantic dependence between the two or more Bases, which Reid terms Action tagmemes. Thus, in the Purpose Sentence, Logical Goal Sentence and Speech Sentence (names of specific Merged Sentences) an Actor tagmeme occurs only in the exponent of Action 1 and is deleted in the exponents of subsequent Action tagmemes. In the Logical Goal Sentence and the Sensate Sentence (another Merged Sentence) "the Topics of non-subject focus clauses (thus expressing situational participants other than Actor) manifesting Action 1 are deleted and semantically interpreted through information provided by the exponent of Action 2." (3) Clauses which expound Action 2 "may not have a negative auxiliary in the Predicate verb phrase." (4) There are tense sequence restrictions: If the tense of the verb in Action 1 is non-past, the tense of the verb in Action 2 must also be non-past. No restriction is imposed on the tense of the second verb if the first verb is past tense.

To characterize briefly the differences among the four types of Bontoc Merged Sentences: (1) The Purpose Sentence may be transformed to a Simple Sentence with a Purpose Margin. (2)
The Logical Goal Sentence includes such relations as inception, termination, and intensification. Under conditions not as yet fully understood, the Logical Goal Sentence may be transformed to a one clause Simple Sentence in which the clause expounding Action 2 is nominalized and becomes the Topic of the clause expounding Action 1 (by stripping all verbal affixation from the verb in the clause of Action 2). (3) The Sensate Sentence may also be transformed to a one-clause Simple Sentence by nominalization of the clause which expounds Action 2. (4) The Speech Sentence, for which no transformations are stated, occurs only as an exponent of the Direct Quote Formula (cf. the end of 2.6.1).

These are, however, only some of the features mentioned by Reid as diagnostic of differences among the four Merged Sentences. For each sentence type, a distinctive bidimensional array is given in which the number of Bases is specified (only two with Logical Goal and Sensate Sentences; more than two with Purpose and Speech Sentences), as well as the lexical sets of verbs involved in the constituent clauses, and the structure of the clauses (in respect to focus, and presence or absence of Actor and Topic). While these details are essential to a generative grammar of Bontoc their reproduction here would be inappropriate in this summary report.

Two examples follow of each of the four Merged Sentence types of Bontoc.

**Purpose Sentence**

*Inmey nan inin-a ad Mainit ay manaoy.*

wenty the women to Mainit link buy-food

'The women went to Mainit to buy food.'

*Kamanganyo ay mangney.*

hurry-you link take

'Hurry to buy it.'

**Logical Goal Sentence**

*Ilogida ay in-ag-agom.*

begin-they link feast

'They begin to feast.'
Laydek ay omev.
like-I link go
'I want to go.'

Sensate Sentence
Dinngelna ay komak-ayaskas nan tongnga.
heard-he link rustling-of the corn
'He heard the rustling of the corn.'

Inilak ay emsena nan anakna.
saw-I link bathe-he the child-his
'I saw that he was bathing his child.'

Speech Sentence
Kananmi ay mangvani ay intot-oya...
say-we link saying link discussing
'We said, discussing...'

Kanana ay inkooop, "...
say-he link hoot
'He (an owl) said, hooting, "...'

2.6 Quotation Sentences

Quotation Sentences resemble clause structures in that the Quotation tagmeme can be considered to be the object of the preceding verb (which, in Direct Quotation is a verb of speech). Nevertheless, there are good reasons for handling such structures on the sentence level rather than the clause level: (1) The sheer length and variety of grammatical structures that manifest the putative Object are unparalleled in clause structure elsewhere. (2) The balance of the clause characteristically constitutes a rather restricted and specialized structure (the Quotation Formula) that gives the appearance of special variants of clauses conditioned by occurrence in higher-level (i.e., sentence) slots. In brief a Quotation Sentence displays at least two parts, the Quotation and the Quotation Formula, both of which, while somewhat anomalous on the clause level, are easily accommodated on a higher hierarchical level.

Richard Elkins, for Western Bukidnon Manobo, after pointing out the similarity of Quotation Sentences to clause structures, proceeds to remark: 'Although these features suggest that the string may be analyzed as a kind of clause level string, the
resulting analysis involves the setting up of a highly specialized clause type with characteristics not found elsewhere in clauses."

He then proceeds to argue, in effect, my points (1) and (2) above. He specifically argues that certain permutations are possible within putative "Quotation Clauses" that are not possible in other clauses; that the Second Direct Quotation Formula (as in: 'Mungau said, she said...' and 'word of the king, he said...') has no parallel in clause structures; that optional Markers te, is, and ne (like English 'that') which occur before the Quotation are obligatory introducers of such tagmemes as Object on the clause level; and that the Quotation as so-called Direct Object can not be focused, i.e. prefixed with a Topic marking particle and collocated with a verb in objective voice. This latter matter is quite serious, for as Elkins points out:

"In all other verbal clauses when one of the major dramatis personae tagmemes, i.e. Subject, Object, Instrument, or Direction, occurs out of focus, the clause may always be transformed so that that tagmeme is in focus. This transform possibility is, for certain verb stem classes, the only means of determining the grammatical identity of the Object, Instrument, and Direction in clauses where they occur."

While, therefore, we can assert that in Western Bukidnon Manobo the Quotation is simply a clause-level Direct Object we can not perform the grammatical transform that will conclusively demonstrate it to be such.

Elkins concludes that "it seems preferable to regard the direct quotation strings as portmanteau manifestations of both clause and sentence level structures." From this point of view a Quotation Sentence is both a specialized clause structure and a sentence structure. It therefore follows that a higher level (such as sentence) does not merely combine lower-level units (such as clauses) to form higher-level units but also on occasion restructures and reinterprets the lower-level units.

Kenneth Maryott for Sangir also describes the Quotation Sentences as portmanteau strings which reinterpret clause-level structures. As a whole, Maryott labors to establish this thesis rather than to highlight differences between Quotation Sentences and clause structures. Maryott does mention, however, a permutation or two (one of the Quotation Formulas moving to a position inside the Quotation) that have no parallel on the clause level.
2.6.1 Direct Quotation Sentences

Among the Quotational Sentences found in many languages, the Direct Quotation Sentence occupies a position of privilege in that it is the Quotation Sentence par excellence. For this reason, in Diagram 18 at the end of this volume, the Direct Quotational Sentence is considered to be focal in the right hand side of the neighborhood scheme.

In many Philippine languages from the north to the south of the archipelago, the Direct Quotation Sentence has the following general structure: $+$ DQF $+$ DQ $+$ QR (where DQF = Direct Quotation Formula, DQ = Direct Quotation, and QR = Quotation Reinforcer). The Direct Quotation Formula is expounded by verbs of speech of which there may be several subsets with differing voices (focus); or by a noun phrase such as 'His word (was)', or in some cases by special Merged Sentences (cf. 2.5.3) involving verbs of speech. The Direct Quotation is expounded by anything from a single morpheme to a discourse. The Quotation Reinforcer is usually an unaffixed verb stem meaning 'to say'. When very general in distribution (especially when an unaffixed stem) this is best analyzed as a Disclaimer of Responsibility tagmeme of the outer periphery (see 1.2.7). When associated exclusively with the Quotation Formula (as possibly in Inibaloi) it need not be a sentence-level tagmeme at all but can be relegated to the structure of the constituent clause that expounds Quotation Formula. In many languages, however, it acts like a postposed Quotation Formula. In Batak (nagawat 'say'), it obligatorily permutes to within the exponent of the Direct Quotation where it can occur more than once. In other languages (e.g. Bilaan, Atta Negrito, Agta, Botolan Sambal, and Kalamian Tagbanwa) it optionally permutes to within the Direct Quotation. It may in some languages occur preceding the Direct Quotation when there is no Direct Quotation Formula. In Itneg it permutes to the fore of the Quotation but not to within it.

Some variations of the above general structure occur: (1) In Maranao and Ata Manobo, a Quotation Reinforcer is not posited (in the letter kun 'they say' is general and probably belongs to the outer periphery). (2) In several languages (e.g. Agta, Ata Manobo, Maranao, and Kalamian Tagbanwa) there is a Sign of Direct Quote, i.e. a particle much like the English 'that'. This particle is obligatory in Agta and Maranao. (3) In three contiguous languages of northern Luzon (Bontoc, Balangao, and Kalinga) there is not only this Sign of Direct Quote after the preposed Quotation Formula but also such a Sign (obligatory in Bontoc) before the Quotation Reinforcer. (4) In Atta Negrito, the Direct Quotation Formula typically occurs postposed to the Direct Quotation.
Analyzed examples follow of Direct Quotation Sentences in several languages:

**Agta:**

A sangaw te Ipag uhohugan na ta "magtoli kitinan," kun na. 'And then my sibling-in-law, he said, "let's go back," he said.'

"Atsi awe mi," kun da, "te awan ya lalaki mi kiden," kun da. 'Nuts, we don't want to," they said, "because our men aren't here," they said.'

**Balangao:**

ekat-o en ay "yaha hen inyalem heto" way da ekat. 'I said, "Is that what you brought here?" I said.'

**Atta Negrito:**

"Kahl: Nilonán na lâ kanan na," kun ni ayong. 'Hooray! He's just going to forego his food," said the monkey."

"Nonopam mà sangaw, kopun, nu egga yù parigátam mu," kun na kanu nayu utta. "Think of me by and by, friend, if you get into trouble," said the deer.'

Some languages towards the south of the Philippine archipelago (Mindanao, Sulu Islands, and one Tagbanwa dialect from Palawan) have a somewhat more complicated structure in the Direct Quotation Sentence, in that two preposed Direct Quotation Formulas are posited and in some cases a Quotation Reinforcer or third Direct Quotation Formula as well.
Thus in Binukid (Manobo) there is a Direct Quotation Formula 1 that is expounded by a clause containing a verb of speech such as 'say', 'call', 'order', 'tell', 'answer', a Direct Quotation Formula 2 that is expounded by a briefer clause consisting of kagi 'saying' plus a pronoun. The Quotation Reinforcer (kun 'it is said') can also occur within the Direct Quotation itself. An optional Sign of Direct Quote appears under certain conditions. The occurrence of the verb 'ask' in Direct Quotation Formula 1 determines, however, a subtype of the Direct Quotation Sentence. In this subtype the exponent of the Sign of Direct Quote is distinct and the Quotation Reinforcer does not appear. I adapt here Ursula Post's bidimensional arrays of these two subtypes of the Binukid (Manobo) Direct Quotation Sentence (changing certain of her abbreviations and labels to conform to the general scheme adopted here); and follow each with some of her examples.

Rules:

1. If +QR, then +DQF₂
2. If +QR, then a DQF₂ precedes DQ.
3. When -DQF₁ and a DQF₂ precedes DQ, then DQF₂ + sg.
4. DQF₂ occurs _p(DQ, DQ, or D (_Q).
5. QR occurs D(_Q).

Examples:

- Nangumaw en sidan hu kagi dan " ____ "
  - They called, saying, "..."

- Tagpamatbat en sidan hu kagi dan " ____ 
  - They told, saying, "..."

- "A" kagi din "agpasen ku" kagi din "ta maluya si Amay".
  - interjection said she hurry I said she because weak Father
  - "I'm hurrying", she said, "because Father is weak".

- Kagi din "Hadi" kun "agkakayug".
  - said he not he-said move
  - 'He said, "Don't move", he said.'

35 It appears that the subtypes are sufficiently distinct that possibly both Direct and Indirect Questions could be posited as further types alongside Direct and Indirect Quotations in Binukid (Manobo). But see Sec. 2.6.4.
The Merged Speech Sentence (cf. 2.5.3) in Bontoc and Balangao is probably a development from Direct Quotation Sentences with two preposed Formulas. In this development the two Formulas have become interdependent by sharing the same actor. Consequently, within the framework of the Direct Quotation Sentence in Bontoc and Balangao, there is but one preposed Direct Quote Formula. This slot may be filled not only by clauses (specialized lexically and grammatically) but also by the merged Speech Sentence, i.e. by a unit derived from the two fused Formulas. Thus, in Bontoc occur merged Speech Sentences such as 'Answered the man deceived...', i.e. 'The man answered deceiving...', and 'Said-we discussed...', i.e. 'We said discussing...'. In Balangao the corresponding Merged Sentence is not limited to embedding within the Formula slot of the Direct Quotation Sentence but embeds also within Indirect Quotation and Indirect Question Sentences.

2.6.2 Indirect Quotation Sentences

In contrast to the Direct Quotation Sentence, which professes to give verbatim the words of the person quoted, the Indirect Quotation Sentence adapts the viewpoint of the person...
quoted to that of the person reporting the quotation. Thus the 
Direct Quotation Sentence 'John said "I will go there tomorrow"'
may be reported two days later as the Indirect Quotation Sentence
'He said that he would come here yesterday'. Furthermore, in
many languages, the Indirect Quotation employs Quotation Formulas
that are not confined to verbs of speech but which may include
such verbs as 'know', 'believe', 'think', 'feel', 'observe', or
even 'want'. Indeed, in Agta the Indirect Quotation includes
only the latter sort of verbs in its Quotation Formula so that
the Indirect Quotation is not a transform of the Direct Quotation.
Thus Roy Mayfield writes:

"(1) The stems which occur in IQF are pahig 'think
(mistakenly)', mapya 'good', kuhug 'true', pirmi
(intensity), anggem (acknowledgement), ikayat 'like',
and amu 'know'.

"(2) Indirect quotation sentences in Agta are not
equivalent to what is traditionally understood to
be indirect quotation. More properly they involve an
interpretation in terms of thought, desire, feeling,
etc., of what might be speech."

Some of Mayfield's examples follow:

Pahig             ku ta       ittā         ya ilakum    ta baggāt.
IQF:thought I sign IQ:there-is sell-you rice
'I thought that you had rice to sell.'

Ā  mapya    sina ta  gantuban     ya uhohug mina.
and IQF:good that sign IQ:cut-short word you-that
'And it's better that you quit talking so much.'

Pirmi               ta        makasitab    āk na  hapa.
IQF:(intense) sign IQ:urinate I now too
'I also have to urinate real desperately.'

Anggem         ta       kunna mantu  lā    ten na  lamag.
IQF:(acknowledgement) sign IQ:like indeed just that crocodile
'So that's what a crocodile is like.'

Kuhug    hā  mantu  lā  ta  manguoyung  ya  māmānuk in.
IQF:true again indeed just sign IQ:bother bird (this)
'There's no doubt indeed that this bird is making a fool out of
me.'
In Ata Manobo, Patricia Hartung reports that while such verbs and nouns as 'think', 'thought', and 'ponder' may occur in both Direct and Indirect Quotation Formulas (more commonly, however, in the latter), the words kagi 'word-of', say' and tabak 'answer' have been observed only in Direct Quotation Formulas. Furthermore, verbs and nouns such as 'desire' and 'fear' occur only in Indirect Quotation Formulas. These restrictions are reminiscent of Agta where Indirect Quotation Formulas do not include verbs of speech. In Ata Manobo, the only verb of speech that occurs frequently in the Indirect Quotation Formula is inso 'ask'. Maybe an Indirect Question Sentence should be posited in whose Quotation Formula the verb 'ask' (and 'choose'? ) figures and whose Quotation tagmemes may express alternatives.

2.6.3 Disambiguation of Direct and Indirect Quotation Sentences

When, as in most languages within the scope of this study, a Direct Quotation Sentence with its verb of speech may be transformed into an Indirect Quotation Sentence, a problem sometimes arises in disambiguating the two structures. Or, from the standpoint of the speaker instead of the hearer, how can an unambiguous Direct Quotation (or unambiguous Indirect Quotation) be made? Thus, if a particle such as 'that' occurs in both Direct and Indirect Quotations and if the same verbs figure in the Formulas of quotation in both structures how does one distinguish 'I am a fool' from 'He says that I am a fool'? In the former the person whose speech is reported asserts that he himself is a fool; in the second he asserts that the person now reporting his remark is a fool.

In contrasting the Direct and Indirect Quotation Sentences in Western Bukidnon Manobo, Richard Elkins explains:

"The indirect quotation nucleus contrasts with that of the direct quotation sentence in that (1) the quote formula tagmemes and the quote marker tagmemes of the indirect quote sentence are obligatory whereas those of the direct quote sentence are optional; and (2) there is no additional indirect quotation formula tagmemes which corresponds to direct quote formula 2 of the direct quotation sentence; (3) the exponent of indirect quote may be no more extensive than a sentence while the exponent of direct quote may be a paragraph or discourse. Also the indirect quotation is characterized by a shift in pronouns which is determined by the pronominal identity of the person quoting, the person spoken to by the one quoting, and that of the person spoken of in the quotation."
In disambiguating the ambiguous pair of sentences suggested two paragraphs above we are confronted with a more difficult task than merely contrasting two syntagmèmes as structural entities. It is a truism in linguistics that quite different constructions may, on occasion, have homophonous exponents. We note, for instance, that Elkin's first contrastive criteria—the obligatory nature of the Indirect Quotation Marker and Sign of Quotation in the Indirect Quotation Sentence versus the optional nature of the corresponding tagmèmes in the Direct Quotation—is of no help in disambiguating examples of the two sentence types when examples of the latter include the optional tagmèmes. Nor is criterion (3) of much value when the Quotation tagmémé is expounded by a rather short string in both types. Nor is (4) of value in a situation where the identity and mutual relations of reporter and person reported speaking are not clear—this is, in fact, the precise question at stake in disambiguating the two structures. When, however, according to criterion (2) the further Quotation Formula occurs in a Direct Quotation Sentence there is unambiguous signalling of the latter sentence type, as in the Western Bukidnon equivalent of 'The word of the king, he said...'. An unambiguous Direct Quotation can therefore be made by the simple expedient of including the optional but frequent Direct Quotation Formula 2. A further way to encode an unambiguous Direct Quotation is based on a contrastive feature of the two sentence types which is not mentioned above in the paragraph quoted from Elkins: either of the Direct Quotation Formulas may permute to the inside of the Quotation or to follow the Quotation; there is loss of the Sign of Direct Quote when no Direct Quotation Formula precedes the Quotation. The Indirect Quotation Formula does not thus permute. Therefore, to form a Direct Quotation Sentence that is not ambiguous with the Indirect Quotation one need only permute one of the Direct Quote Formulas. An additional feature that serves to disambiguate the two Quotation Sentences is that the sets of particles that expound the Sign of Quote tagmèmes in the two sentence types partially differ in membership.

In Ilianén Mabobo, Jean Shand reports similar contrastive features between the two types of Quotation Sentences. A distinctive feature of the Indirect Quotation Sentence as opposed to the Direct Quotation Sentence is the occurrence of a further tagmémé (what I've called the Quotation Reinforcer: kun 'it is said') only in the former.

In Tausug both the Direct Quotation and the Indirect Quotation are split into two contrasting types: a Heard type and a Hearsay type. A Quotation Formula 3 is posited with kunu 'they say'/'it is said' as its exponent. When this Quotation Formula 3
occurs embedded within the Quotation tagmeme it acts simply as a Quotation Reinforcer and may be the sole Quotation Formula in the sentence. In these circumstances the sentence is an Indirect Heard Quotation Sentence. The speaker is not trying to disclaim responsibility for reporting the utterance but kunu' simply constitutes a formula of quotation. When, however kunu' 'they say'/'it is said' precedes Quotation Formula 2 (as in the Direct Hearsay Quotation Sentence) or embeds within either DQF₁ or DQF₂ (in the Indirect Hearsay Quotation Sentence), then it has the meaning of disclaiming responsibility for reporting: 'They are said to have said "We are opposed to the idea"' or 'They are said to have said that they oppose the idea'. The three differing distributions of kunu' that have been indicated above plus its total absence in the Direct Heard Quotation Sentence are one criterion for separation into four sentence types. Another criterion is the obligatory versus optional status of certain tagmemes. Thus, while both DQF₁ and DQF₂ occur in all four types (the latter is more stylized and restricted, includes one special verb laung 'say', and may permute to positions within or following the Quotation in all types except in the Indirect Hearsay Quotation), their status is as follows (1) In the Direct Heard Quotation, either, both, or neither may occur36 (2) In the Direct Hearsay Quotation not only are both DQF₁ and DQF₂ obligatory but DQF₁ (kunu') must occur as well. (3) In the Indirect Heard Quotation one of the three quotation formulas is obligatory. (4) In the Indirect Hearsay Quotation either DQF₁ or DQF₂ is obligatory as well as DQF₃ (kunu'). A further difference is that the two Direct Quotation types have no sign of quote tagmeme while the Indirect Quotation types have such an optional sign expounded by two particles.

To compose an unambiguous Direct Quotation or an unambiguous Indirect Quotation, the Ashleys offer two rules: (1) "An unambiguous Direct Quotation has the DQF₂ repeated at least once." While this repetition of DQF₂ may not occur in either of the Indirect Quotation types, it is possible in the Direct Quotation. The absence of the Sign of Quote does not conclusively point to a Direct Quotation Sentence, in that this tagmeme, while not occurring in the Direct Quotation is only optional in the Indirect Quotation. (2) "An unambiguous Indirect Quotation has a Sign of Quote or a DQF₃ which imbeds within the Base which is thereby shown to be an exponent of Indirect Quotation tagmeme".

36 I assume here that although Seymour and Lois Ashley indicate that one of the two formulas, DQF₁ or DQF₂, must occur, nevertheless in Tausug as in the other languages a Direct Quotation may appear without a Quotation Formula provided that the context is clear.
2.6.4 **Indirect Question**

Of the further types of Quotational or Quasi-quotational Sentences posited in various languages, one frequent type is the **Indirect Question**. While in some of the languages the Indirect Question is considered to be simply a subtype of the **Indirect Quotation**, there are other languages where it has been considered to be a separate type, viz. Agta, Atta Negrito, Balangao, Bontoc, Inibaloi, Kalinga, and Siocon Subanon—with similar structures in Aborlan Tagbanwa and Kalamian Tagbanwa.

The matter of whether a specific **Indirect Question** type is posited or not is apparently more a matter of differing analyses than of differing structures. Most, if not all the languages have some such structure as the following: Quotation Formula expounded by clauses containing the verb 'ask' (usually plus some other verb), as well) followed by a particle 'if'/'whether' (often the same as the Relator found in the Conditional Margin), followed by a Quotation often expounded by Interrogative Clauses. Languages differ chiefly in: (1) what verbs are included in the set which expounds Predicate in the Quotation Formula of such structures; (2) whether the Quotation expresses alternatives or not.

Thus, in Agta the following sentences are distinguished:

Pāhig ku ta hād eyān na. i.e. 'I wondered where he was thought I sign where go he going.' But there also occur such sentences as: Ibāh ku tentu ask I him am hād agyān na dalān. i.e. 'I'll ask him where the trail sign where place trail is'. Roy Mayfield analyzes the first as an **Indirect Quotation**, the second as an **Indirect Question**. While both sentences express uncertainty, the former has the verb 'think' and the Sign ta 'that' of the Indirect Quotation, the latter has the verb 'ask' and the Sign am 'if' of what Mayfield analyzes as the **Indirect Question**. Although 'think' does not occur in the Quotation Formula of the **Indirect Question**, the following Agta verbs do: 'see', 'try', 'consider', 'hear/listen', '(don't) know'. Some examples clearly imply a second alternative: 'Ask them later if they want to cut grass tomorrow.' Presumably the Agta Alternation Sentence can expound the Quotation tagmeme of the **Indirect Question**.

In Binukid (Manobo) a posited interrogative subtype of the **Indirect Quotation** is even more structurally distinct from the corresponding non-interrogative subtype than are the Agta structures compared above. Thus, while the non-interrogative **Indirect Quotation** has two preposed Quotation Formulas, the interrogative
subtype has but one such tagmeme expounded by insa 'ask' and by other verbs meaning such things as 'plan' and '(don't) know'. The Sign of the latter is also distinct in that it is expounded by ku 'if'. The Quotation in the interrogative subtype is in every case an Interrogative Clause with such words as 'what', 'where', 'when', 'how'. Alternatives are not expressed in the Quotation Sentence but rather in a separate Alternation Sentence that also has an (obligatory) quotation-like formula: 'We'll try looking whether...'. He divined whether...'. 'I don't know whether...'. and 'We were worried whether...'. It seems that in the following sentence the verb 'ask' could be substituted for the words 'don't know' in the Binukid (Manobo) sentence: 'I don't know whether the captured Japanese were taken to Malaybalay or to Cagayan.' It is possible, therefore, that the interrogative subtype of Indirect Quotation can not only be detached from the type to which it is presently assigned but combined as a sentence type with the Alternation Sentence. The situation is complicated, however, by the fact that the Direct Quotation also has an interrogative subtype with the Sign ku 'if' rather than hu or ha 'that' (cf. 2.6.1). The Sign is, however, optional in both subtypes of the Direct Quotation while both subtypes also have two preposed Quotation Formulas. Possibly, then, the interrogative subtype belongs to the Direct Quotation to which it is assigned.

In Western Bukidnon Manobo, Richard Elkins does not set up explicitly an interrogative subtype of the Indirect Quotation Sentence—although he keeps kagi 'say' and insa 'ask' apart somewhat in his formulaic representation. It is true that the Quotation Formula involving insa 'ask' co-occurs with the Sign ke 'if'. It might, therefore, seem initially plausible to posit an Indirect Question Sentence. On the other hand, when the kernel of the string expounding Quotation is a command, kagi co-occurs with Sign te 'that'. Otherwise, i.e. when the string expounding Quotation is a statement rather than a question or a command, the Sign he 'that' occurs. If we are to posit an Indirect Question Sentence, why not also an Indirect Command Sentence? It appears, therefore, that Elkins has been judicious in considering these three to be, in effect, subtypes which are conditioned by the co-occurrence of particular verbs with particular exponents of Sign.

The Alternation Sentence as posited by Elkins also involves an (obligatory) preposed Formula and the Sign ke. The preposed Formula involves such verbs as 'see', 'look out to see', '(not/might) know' as in 'I'll see whether (ke) he returned home or whether (way) continued he'. It is obvious here that the structures described by Elkins are very similar to those described by Ursula Post for Binukid (Manobo). It is also evident that the overall analyses are not dissimilar.
In Kalamian Tagbanwa, Edward Ruch sets up a sentence type in which the Alternation Sentence and Indirect Question are combined—an analytical possibility suggested above. A wide class of verbs figure in the obligatory Quotation Formula, e.g. 'to see', 'don't know', plus many other verbs that appear at least from the standpoint of English translation to figure in elliptical expressions: 'A warning shot was fired at him [to see] whether he would surrender or not'; 'It's your desire [to see] whether or not you can rush me'; '...so that I may drink it [to see] what (kung 'if' + unu 'what') is the reason he is causing me to return there'. Ruch further points out that a yes/no question can be expanded to an alternative question, i.e. 'He asked will he come can be expanded to 'he asked will he come or not'. But a content request embedded question cannot be thus expanded, i.e. 'He asked what was going on' has no alternative such as 'He asked was going on or not'.

In similar fashion, Gordon Svelmoe (Mansaka) combines the Alternative Sentence and Indirect Question into what he calls a Query Sentence. The (obligatory) preposed Query Formula is expounded by various verbs and expressions including 'ask', 'your thoughts' and some elliptical strings: 'The shaman was chanting [to see] whether there was a spirit in this place and whether you are angry' and 'He interviewed the doctor [to see] whether he had any sickness or not'.

Before leaving this discussion of Indirect Question sentence it is worth noting that in Aborlan Tagbanwa, Stewart Hussey posits not only an Indirect Alternative Question (as does Ruch in Kalamian Tagbanwa) but also a Direct Alternative Question. The latter is illustrated in the two following examples; in contrast with the Indirect Alternative Question it does not employ the Signs in...in 'if...if' (i.e. 'whether...or'); and its introductory Formula is an interrogative clause:

Ta unay rundingan tami kaitu tumulus pa unu manggid ega na.
what decision we this look what further negative

'What is our decision to be on this: shall we continue (looking) or not (go on looking),'

Unay rundingan kaitu muli tami kaiti unu manggid mapanaw naga.
what decision this return we now what further hunt also

'What is our decision about this: shall we return home or go on hunting for pigs?'
Rosemary Rodda sets up for Batak an Alternation Sentence in which there is an optional preposed Formula. Some examples are similar to Hussey's Direct Alternative Question: 'Which do you want to do: whether (in) ride or (in) walk?' Others are more similar to Indirect Questions: 'The Barrio Captain asked if (in) we would go ahead with the fiesta or (in) not'. Note, however, that the structures are not distinct as in Aborlan Tagbanwa but seem properly to belong to the same sentence type. An example without the preposed Formula occurs which does not have the in...in 'if...if' particles; apparently the occurrence of these particles depends on the occurrence of the preposed Formula: 'Near the sea [or] far away?', i.e. 'Is it near the sea or far away?'

2.6.5 Equational Quotation Sentences

In four languages of northern Luzon (Atta Negrito, Itneg, Balangao, and Bontoc), an Equational Quotation Sentence is posited. In every case the construction is essentially bipartite with a noun phrase which contains a nominalized Quotation Formula as the first half. That is, a Quotation Formula such as 'he said' is transformed to 'That-which he said [was]...'. The structure of the second part varies.

In Atta Negrito (Ruth Lusted), either a Direct or an Indirect Quotation may be made an Equational Quotation. The Direct Quotation typically has a postposed Quotation Formula such as kun na 'he said'. The Indirect Quotation has a preposed Quotation Formula and a Sign of Indirect Quote (ta, nga). The Equational Quotation has an obligatory Sign (ta) of quote whether the quotation be intended as indirect or direct. It is direct, however, only when the postposed Direct Quotation Formula occurs. Note the following examples:

Indirect subtype:

A ya kinagi ra ta tumottul kami nakuwan.

'And that's what they said, that we should follow them.'

Ya kagiak ku nikayu, Kaludia ka Lus, ta mabi kayu la mattoli.

'That's what I say to you, Claudia and Ruth, that you just return soon.'

Direct subtype:

A ya kagian ni akâ nikân, ta "Avâk ka

'Avâk ka
'And that's what brother says to me, that "I am to suppose that you don't really think of me?" he said.'

That furthermore is what Liloy said before, that "If brother-in-law comes here, please don't give him his bolo, because I have a use for it here," said Liloy.'

In Itneg, there are also indirect and direct subtypes of the Equational Quotation Sentence. One consistent difference between the Direct and Indirect Sentences is preserved in the sub-types of the Equational Quotation Sentence, viz, the absence of any Sign of quote tagmeme in the former and the obligatory presence of such a tagmeme in the latter. Thus the first two examples below are the direct subtype, while the third, which contains the Sign nga is the indirect subtype.

'Sit kon na, "Manan nobigat."' the said he go tomorrow

'This is what he said, "Go tomorrow."

'Sit kon na, "Inakon inten sit liblo."' the said he, go-I get the book

'This is what he said, "I will go and get the book."

'Sita kon ka nga manggagamot. the said they (sign) a poisoner that one

'This is what they said, that a poisoner was that one.'

In Balangao the nominalized Quotation Formula--which expounds Base 1 tagmeme--is followed by one of four embedded sentence structures that expound Base 2: Direct Quote, Indirect Quote,
Indirect Question, and Merged Sentence 3 (a highly specialized type of Indirect Quote which is similar to the Bontoc Sensate Sentence mentioned under Sec. 2.5.3). It is immediately evident that the Equational Sentence as thus constituted contrasts with any of the other Quotation Sentence types by virtue of being a more inclusive structure which can embed most of the other Quotation Sentences. Certain deletions are possible in the Equational Quotation Sentence. For example when the verb *ekat* 'say' occurs in Base 1 the preposed Formulas and Signs of the sentence embedded in Base 2 may be deleted but with the following restrictions: "The signals [Signs] may occur without the formulae, however, the formulae may not occur without the signals." Thus, we have the Balangao equivalents of 'What he said [was] that they are carrying two people'; and 'What he said [was] they're carrying two people'; and 'What he said [was] he said that they're carrying two people'.

In Bontoc the Equational Quotation Sentence is more restricted in that it may embed only a Direct Quotation Sentence in its Base 2. The embedded Direct Quotation Sentence either has both the preposed Formula and the Sign of Quote or neither. Both these options are exemplified in the two following sentences:

Nan kanana, kanana en "Teeltakos wakas,
the say-he say-he (sign) holiday-we-at tomorrow

'What he said was, he said that "Let's have a holiday tomorrow."

Nan kanana ad ganad, "Maid somsomgep.
the say-he at before none enter

'What he said before was, "Nobody can enter."

In the languages of Mindanao, Equational Quotation Sentences have not usually been posited (although such is suggested by Nancy Howison for Tagabili). Rather, in some languages (e.g. Dibabawon Manobo, Ilianen Manobo, 37 and Bilaan) an Equational Sentence type is posited in which the Equational Quotation figures as a subtype. Thus, in Dibabawon, sentences that begin 'All that we had to do was...' 'The reason I came was...' and 'His instructions to me were...' 38 are all considered to be sentences of the same type. When quotation is involved it is of the indirect rather than of the direct variety. In Ilianen Manobo a very similar type called the Equivalent Sentence is likewise posited. Some of the examples of this sentence type

37 In Binukid (Manobo) a similar Reason Sentence is posited with such examples as 'The reason I returned here was...' and 'The reason we made our home here was...'. But no Equational Quotation subtypes of this sentence are posited. (See sec. 2.7).

38 In Dibabawon Manobo a preposed particle *iyan* 'that' is considered to function as an equator rather than head of a nominalized phrase. In Atta Negrito above the particle *ya* is similarly analyzed.
appear to be transformations of Indirect Quotation Sentences which do not involve verbs of speech in their preposed Formulas; other such sentences are more general. Note the following translations of two such Ilianen Manobo sentences; the first is apparently a transformation of an Indirect Quotation Sentence; the second is not: 'That which you remember is that when the sun is low you will go'. '...That which Ukap did was to cry there at the bottom of the water.' The Equational Sentence of Koronadal Bilaan may include examples which embed whole Direct Quotation Sentences (as in Bontoc); but this sentence is not restricted to such examples. Thus, there are such Koronadal Bilaan sentences as 'What they fear (is) they fear Satan' and also such sentences as 'What I thought was I said "God, you are the one who knows."'

Why are not such structures simply Equational Clauses in that the latter structure is also posited in Philippine languages? The answer is that the structures are different on the two levels. Norman Abrams describes the transformation of an Equational Sentence to an Equational Clause as follows:

"Ye kanliko-la liko ale ku Satanas.
that feared-they afraid they Satan

'What they're afraid is, they're afraid of Satan.'

"In the preceding example, the deictic phrase 'What they're afraid of' reinforces the object (non-topic) of the Sentence Base (Satan). The cross-referenced object differs from a Sentence Topic in that this object occurs with preposed obligatory demonstrative while a Sentence Topic occurs with postposed optional demonstrative. A transformation of Equational Sentence to Equational Clause results in radical deletion within in the Sentence Base; only the relevant noun phrase remains. In Equational Sentence (1) above liko ale ku Satanas is the Sentence Base which reduces to the noun phrase Satanas in the transformation to Equational Clause (# is for long pause):

Ye kanlikola # Satanas.

'What they fear is Satan.'"

In Western Bukidnon Manobo, Richard Elkins does not set up an Equational Quotation Sentence in that he considers an initial iyan 'that' to be neither a sentence-level equator (as analyzed in Dibabawon Manobo) nor the head of a noun phrase filling an Equational Base 1 (as in Ilianen Manobo) but simply an emphatic element that may occur in the Quotation Formula of the Direct Quotation Sentence, which may begin with 'he said...', '(his)
word' (lalag) or 'emphatic (his) word' (iyan lalag). In that all three languages are Manobo and in that the structures are presumably not greatly different these alternative analyses need to be carefully evaluated. It is evident, however, that in both Dibabawon and Ilianen Manobo an inclusive Equational Sentence is posited in which Indirect Quotation figures, while in Western Bukidnon Manobo, Elkins evidently does not set up such a pattern on the sentence level at all but relegates iyan to the status of an element on the phrase level. Whether there is justification for considering that iyan (and similar elements) act in at least some languages as sentence level equative particles or are always better analyzed as demonstratives, emphatics or the like (in phrases which may, of course have important sentence-level functions) is a question that requires further investigation.

2.6.6 Further Quotational Sentence types, and sentences of similar structure

There are various specialized patterns of Non-Speech Indirect Quotations that are posited as sentence types in various languages. In addition, further non-quotational sentence types occur that are formally similar to quotations. There is something basically similar about all these types in that they employ some sort of preposed formula tagmeme—a correlation first noted and exploited by Ursula Post in Binukid (Manobo).

In Bontoc, Balangao, Atta Negrito, and Western Bukidnon Manobo there is posited a Non-speech Quotation Sentence with a verb 'think' in its preposed Formula. In the first two languages the construction is all but identical. The verb 'say' is used but means 'mistakenly think' in this context. Lawrence Reid makes a good case for the contrastive status of this sentence type in Bontoc. Two Bontoc examples follow:

Kanak mo adikayo omali.
said/thought-I link negative-you come

'I thought you weren't coming.'

Kananda en aw-ay maid ipogaw sina.
said/though-they link maybe none people here

'They thought there were probably no people here.'

A similar sentence type is posited by Ruth Lusted for Atta Negrito but she adds on the end an optional element which consists of nga 'but' plus an Antithesis. Again, the verb 'say' is used in the specialized meaning 'mistakenly think':
He thought that all the people would return but this didn't happen of course.'

'You thought that her husband was a snake.'

The obvious similarity of this sentence type to the Antithetical Sentence raises a problem. Could this be handled simply as a subtype of the Antithetical? In the Antithetical Sentence, however, while deletion of the first member (Thesis) is not unusual the deletion of the second member is irregular; usually at least the 'but' is found: 'I want to go but...' (reason better left unsaid!).

Richard Elkins for Western Bukidnon Manobo, posits two additional sentence types, i.e. a Mental Indirect Quote and the Mistaken Impression Quote. The former involves not just the verb 'think' but similar verbs such as 'have an inkling', and 'dream'. Nothing is implied as to the validity of the thought. To appreciate the structural features that distinguish this type from the Indirect Quotation one must go more deeply into the quasi-clausal characteristics of the two structures than our present space permits. Two of Elkins' examples follow:

'I think I'll go home now.'

'Now I think I'd like to eat.'

Elkins' other type, the Mistaken Impression Quotation is quite similar to those described above for Bontoc and Balangao--except that a special form kunaan 'mistaken impression' is used instead of the verb 'say' in specialized meaning. Examples follow:

'I thought we were going to fall.'
Kunaan nu vuwa ke menge tarû. mistaken impression you perhaps sign plural lie

'Perhaps you thought that they were lies.'

In both Western Bukidnon Manobo and Binukid (Manobo) an Inference Sentence is posited. In Binukid (Manobo) the preposed Formula is expounded only by timaan 'it is evident' / '(we) can see'. In Western Bukidnon Manobo two classes of items occur in the clauses that expound the preposed Formula: (1) verbs such as 'see', 'know', 'guess', and 'have an opinion'; and (2) descriptives such as 'true', 'clear', and 'false'. Thus in Binukid (Manobo) occur the examples:

Timaan ta hu madaet sa kanak ha buhat. (we)-can-see that bad the my ligature deed

'We can see that my deeds were bad!

Timaan ta ha agkauhul sidan. (we)-can-see that hungry they

'We can see that they are hungry.'

And in Western Bukidnon Manobo:

Netuenan ku he waze nu lutû. know I sign there-is-none your lunch

'I know that you have no lunch.'

Tutuu iyan he merasey is kandan. true emphasis sign difficult theirs

'It is really true that their situation is difficult.'

Jean Shand posits for Ilianen Manobo an Indirect Reference Sentence that contains within its preposed Formula rather diverse lexical material similar not only to that found in the Inference Sentence but also to that found in the Mistaken Impression or Thought Quotations. Thus, Shand lists elements in the preposed Formula with such meanings as 'perhaps' (= 'It's possible that...'), 'probably' (= 'It's probable that...'), 'see that you don't...', 'consider that...', 'mistakenly thought that...' (kunaan, as in Western Bukidnon Manobo), and even an interrogative 'why that...'. The Sign associated with the Formula is ke.

...kalu ke kena' ebehey. probably that not give

'...probably they won't give.'
Consider, Kerangag, where our path home might be because we are lost here.

You mistakenly thought, Anu', that you could be selfish with us.

Western Bukidnon Manobo has an Inference Sentence which, as we have seen, includes such descriptives as 'true', 'false' and 'clear' in its preposed Formula. By contrast, Binukid (Manobo) has only timaan 'it is evident' followed by the Sign ha/hu 'that' in its Inference Sentence. For Binukid (Manobo) Ursula Post also posits, however, a Benefit Sentence with such descriptives as maayad 'good', lamig 'better' and laingen 'best' in its preposed Formula followed by the Sign ta 'that'. Thus:

Maayad ta amin day duma.

It's good that there-is our companion.

Laingen ta ta pagtukidan ta sa agtrabahuwen ta.

It's best that we each have our own work.'

Post also posits a further Comparative Sentence with a more complicated structure: (a) suga 'better' appears in the preposed Formula followed by the Sign ha 'that'. (b) Then follows a Sentence Base which expresses preference. (c) Although the sentence may terminate here, a further Sign or Link dî, 'than' may follow along with a Sentence Base which expresses what is less preferable. Notice the following rather long and full example:

Suga pa ha duun ad hu laing magtimâ ta bisan better particle that there I the other live because even hu hadî a pagpandayan ta kenâ ku mga laas dî though not I care-for because not my plural parent than ku duun a magtimâ hu mga laas ku ha hadî en if there I live the plural parent my ligature not particle
daan pagpandayaan kandan.  
also care-for they

'It's better that I live with someone else although he won't take care of me in that he isn't my parent than to live with my parents who won't take care of me.'

In both Bontoc and Balangao occurs a further type of Quotation Sentence that Lawrence Reid and Joanne Shetler refer to as the Referential Quote Sentence. This Quotation Sentence employs in its preposed Formula the verb 'say' (Bontoc kan; Balangao ekat) with the specialized meaning 'refer to as' or 'call'. It is often used to introduce a new term or to explain a term. It is a specialized grammatical pattern much more restricted than the Indirect Quotation Sentence. In Balangao there is a variant of this sentence with Sign way instead of ẹn in which ekat 'say' is translated as 'that's how they'. In Balangao this sentence type occurs only embedded as modifier of head in a noun phrase. This is also its most frequent distribution in Bontoc. In the following examples the parts of the matrix sentence which do not belong to the embedded Referential Quote are enclosed in parenthesis.

Bontoc examples:

(Kag man nan) kananyos di en bomoda.  
like the say-you-at there (sign) bomoda

'It's like what you refer to there as bomoda.'

(Mo inbogaw nan) makwani en pomataw sinan ili...  
when proclaim the called (sign) sacrificer of-the-village

'When those who are referred to as the village sacrificers proclaim...'

Balangao examples:

(Yadin) ekatda ẹn man-umis.  
that-the call-they (sign) sweet

'(That's what) they call sweet (wine).'  

(Yadi hen) ekatda way manhamai.  
that the how-they (sign) plant

'That's how they plant.'

Balangao and Tausug contain two further sentence types that are presented here in that they involve the use of a preposed Formula tagmeme. In this respect they resemble the Quotation Sentences. Furthermore, they are restricted to DIALOGUE PARAGRAPHS.
The Balangao Rhetorical Question Sentence expounds REMARK (possibly in some cases COUNTER-REMARK) within the DIALOGUE PARAGRAPH (cf. Vol. I, Part 2, Sec. 5). It has an initial Rhetorical Formula expounded by amoh, which interacts with the following sentence Base (expounded by clause or embedded sentence) to give an ironical turn to the rhetorical question—so that an overt negative implies a strong positive and an overt positive implies a strong negative.

Amoh adig mèpat (yag nokagè hen iyuloh nò?)
rhetorical negative wet link what the blanket exclamation

'It won't get wet! (and what will you use for a blanket then!)
[Implication: 'Of course, it will get wet!']

Amoh pat masapol ha.
rhetorical exactly necessary that

'Is that, of all things necessary?  [Implication: 'Of course it's not!']

The Tausug Refutation Sentence expounds COUNTER-REMARK in a DIALOGUE PARAGRAPH. The sentence is exclamatory and asseverative by virtue of an initial Formula expounded by sin 'whereas', 'really'. The Base is expounded by a clause or imbedded sentence.

Sin bukun aku in nagtakaw sin lahing.
whereas not I stole coconuts

'Whereas it was not I who stole the coconuts!'

Sin nagputing kaw kaku'.
whereas lie you me

'You did so lie to me!'

2.7 Sentence types that are elaborations of Equational Clauses

Equational Quotation Sentences are mentioned in 2.6.5 along with indication that in several languages a more inclusive Equational Sentence is posited of which the Equational Quotation Sentence is a subtype. It is argued in this same section that such Equational Sentences outrun in scope and complexity the clause structures that they resemble.

The Dibabawon Manobo Equational Sentence is carefully described by Jannette Forster. It turns on a sentence-initial equative-demonstrative particle iyan 'that/it is...'. The exponents of the two following Bases are carefully matched according to cooccurrence restrictions: Thus, (1) if Base 1 is a transformation of a Direct Quote Formula, then Base 2 is a transformation of a Direct Quotation, as in 'What you said was
"Don't go". Similarly, (2) if Base 1 is a transformation of an Indirect Quotation Formula, then Base 2 is a transformation of an Indirect Quotation, as in: 'What you said was that I shouldn't go'. (3) There are similar specifications for the Verbal Clause which expounds Base 1 when Base 2 incorporates the exponent of a Cause Margin as in 'The reason I came to fetch you is because we will perform a ceremony'. (4) When Base 1 is expounded by a Verbal Clause with such verbs as 'make/do', or 'proceed-to-do', then Base 2 is a Verbal Clause with the preposed Relator to 'that'. (5) When Base 1 is expounded by a gerundial phrase (verb prefixed with pag-), Base 2 may be expounded by an incorporated exponent of Time Margin. Finally (6) Base 1 and Base 2 may be expounded by the exponents of Comment and Topic of the Equational Clause (called Identificational Clause by Forster)—but the introduction of the sentence-level equative particle iyan and the greater potential complexity of the resulting construction indicates that there has been movement to a higher hierarchical level, viz. the sentence. Examples below follow of subtype (4), (5), and (6). (Subtype 3 has been illustrated in Sec. 2.4.4.4. Subtypes 1 and 2 are of the sort illustrated in Sec. 2.6.6).

"Di kunto-on iyan ogpadyunon tanow to ogdaahon noy but now it-is proceed we relator bring we

ikow diya to duktul."
you there relator doctor

'But now what we will proceed to do is that we will take you to a doctor.'

Dini kanami, iyan paghipanow ta ko ogdakoo to udan.
here us it-is going we when big relator rain

'Here in our place, the time we go (pig-hunting) is when it rains a lot.'

Iyan ulu-ulu dan no mangayow si
it-is head their ligature raider personal relator Pinamailan

'The one who was their leading raider was Pinamailan.'

In Ilianen Manobo, Jean Shand sets up an order of Equivalence Sentences. The first such sentence, which she terms the Equivalence Sentence is parallel to the Dibabawon Manobo sentence just described but more restricted in subtypes. Shand regards the initial particle iyan not as a sentence-level equative particle but as head of a noun phrase and nominalizer of the nominalized clause that expounds Base 1. An obligatory medial Marker expounded by se is regarded as the sentence-level equative particle.
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Iyan nu ra' eddentenuran se egkeveladna' ka andew ne
that you only remember marker low the sun and

ed-ipanew ke en.
go you now

'That which you remember is that when the sun is low you will go.'

Iyan nu en ebpulungan te merukilem meandew se ebpmukpuk
that you now do at night day marker clap-hands

ka.
you

'That which you did night and day was to clap your hands.'

Shand's second type in this order is one that she calls the Merged Sentence. Like the sentence type just described it has a medial Marker expounded by se; unlike that sentence type it has no initial iyan. The first Base has a verb "from a restricted list of action verbs", such as 'stand up', 'proceed', 'go down', 'go', 'disturb', etc. While the presence of se makes for a certain resemblance of this type to the Equivalent Sentence, nevertheless, it is clearly a Merged Sentence in that there is a shared Topic tagmeme (of the two component clauses) which is either the Actor of both clauses or the Goal of the first and the Actor of the second. These two subtypes are illustrated respectively in examples one and two:

Ne kena' ad ini edlaus se pengireha' (su wara')
then not I here proceed marker camp-out because not

duma ku.)
companion my

'I will not proceed to camp out (because I have no companion).'</n

Egkerembiyur ki en se ebbeeneng-eneng.
disturbed we now marker resting

'We are disturbed now from resting.'

The third type, in this order, which contains the same medial Marker se, is called by Shand the Quasi-clausal Sentence. Her argument that this sentence type resembles a clause but is nevertheless a sentence structure is worth quoting:
"The Quasi-clausal Sentence resembles a clause in that the sequence marker + Base (equivalent) is similar to a topic noun phrase on the clause level. The Quasi-clausal Sentence contrasts with a clause in that (1) a topic noun phrase is introduced by the relator ke rather than the marker se and (2) a noun phrase introduced by ke does not permit the degree of recursion in the head slot which is permitted following the marker se."

Angin be perem te hinawa ku se egkesuat a ne eduma...

I have the feelings that I want to go...

...netuenan ke en se iyan ebuyen dut datu' ke kati

know you now marker that beg there datu the wildcock

ku ini.
I here

'...you know now that that which the datu will beg for is my wild cock.'

In Koronadal Bilaan Norman Abrams posits an Equational Sentence with an initial demonstrative-equative particle ye which is much like the iyan which is found in some Manobo dialects.

Yë kanliko-la likò ale ku Satanas.

that feared they afraid they Satan

'What they're afraid is, they're afraid of Satan.'

Yë talù-la talù ale Malikano.

that talk their talk they American

'What they speak is, they speak American.'

In Aborlan Tagbanwa, Stewart Hussey posits an Equational Sentence in which no sort of equative particle occurs. The first Base contains either a negative expression 'nothing else' or one of the following verbs: 'make/do', 'happen', 'discover'. When the negative expression egay beken 'nothing else' occurs, an optional element in da-ga 'if not/except' may occur in Base 2.

Enggay beken nga binuat nira in da-ga ipiag-asawa na.

negative other ligature did they if negative gave in marriage

'They didn't do anything else: they gave their children in marriage.'
Enggay beken inlep nira na.
negative other approach they

'(They) didn't do anything else: they came near (to the tree).'

Tiana-an it surutan da-gay panga-en ya.
happened sultan negative food his

'What happened to the sultan: he had no food.'

Bati-an ku biakal aku na ni Biug it besak.
discover I speared me Biug spear

'What I discovered: Biug speared me with a spear.'
3 Systems of sentence types

Just as the sentence margin tagmemes of a language constitute a system, so the sentence types themselves—as classified by their contrastive nuclei—constitute a system. The positing of a sentence system within a given language depends, however, on several factors: (1) the exhaustive cataloguing of all sentence types in the language; (2) the proper attention to criteria of contrast so that neither are contrastive types combined nor are subtypes treated as full types; (3) the recognition of significant parameters of contrastive features among sentence types. Factor number (2) cannot be treated as a wholly separate consideration from number (3)—in that the structure of an emerging system is a relevant factor in positing contrasts among sentence types. Nevertheless, without some consistent criteria of contrast, a taxonomy of the sort involved in a sentence system is impossible.

Aside from Bontoc and Balangao, the systems posited for Philippine languages are either two-tiered or three-tiered systems. Both sorts of systems involve a parameter having to do with the relative degree of cohesion, internal restriction, freedom of permutation, and lexical dependence. In two-tiered systems two values of this parameter are distinguished, viz. Loose and Tight. In three-tiered systems a third value of this parameter is posited, viz. Balanced. Besides this parameter, which has to do with the degree of union between the parts of the sentence there is a further parameter which treats of the kind of union. Are the parts of the sentence in some quasi-appositional relation; are they chained to each other; do they constitute an opposition; do they constitute an implicit or explicit implication, or do they involve speech about speech? When sentence types can be grouped according to some such categories as these we note progress along another parameter whose values are more akin to those found in the statement calculus of symbolic logic.


40 I am indebted to Kenneth Maryott for this pair of terms which summarize well the relevant parameters of most sentence systems posited in the project.
3.1 Western Bukidnon Manobo

For Western Bukidnon Manobo, Richard Elkins constructs a two by eight system which divides into two subsystems of two by four structure. The second subsystem is distinguished from the first in that its eight sentence types are all characterized by the presence of a formula-like initial element in the nucleus. Furthermore, portmanteau exponents of clause and sentence-level tagmemes occur only in the sentence types of the second subsystem. The vertical parameter of both subsystems has the two values Loose and Tight. Within the first subsystem the horizontal parameter has the values Quasi-clausal, Concatenated, Parallel, and Condition. Quasi-clausal includes Simple (2.1) and Merged Sentence (2.5). Concatenated includes Coordinate (2.2.1) and Sequence (2.3.1). Parallel includes Paraphrase (2.3.2) and Antithetical (2.2.2). Condition includes Contrary to Fact (2.4.5) and Specific Conditional (2.4.5). Within the second subsystem the horizontal parameter has the values Entailment, Implication, Quotation, and Quasi-quotations. Entailment includes Reason-Action (2.4.4) and Question-Answer (2.3.4) Sentences. Implication includes Alternation (2.2.3) and Inference Sentences (2.6.6). Quotation includes Direct (2.6.1) and Indirect Quotes (2.6.2). Quasi-quotations includes Mental Indirect Quote (2.6.6) and the Mistaken Impression Indirect Quote (2.6.6). The accompanying diagrams (8A-8B) are adapted from Elkin's unpublished paper.
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**DIAGRAM 8 A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>QUASI-CLAUSAL</th>
<th>CONCATENATED</th>
<th>PARALLEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition Counter to Fact</td>
<td>Typical Condition Counter to Fact</td>
<td>Paraphrase</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Coordinate</td>
<td>Typical Simple Coordinate</td>
<td>'and'</td>
<td>'that is'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merged</td>
<td>Typical Merged</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENTAILMENT</td>
<td>IMPLICATION</td>
<td>QUOTATION</td>
<td>QUASI-QUOTATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason-Action</strong></td>
<td>Alternation</td>
<td>Direct Quote</td>
<td>Mental Indirect Quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'reason/therefore'</td>
<td>'I'll see whether'</td>
<td>'X said he said that &quot;...&quot;'</td>
<td>'I think that...'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...su 'because...'</td>
<td>+ Alternative...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>'or whether'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ Alternative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOOSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question-Answer</strong></td>
<td>Inference</td>
<td>Indirect Quote</td>
<td>Mistaken Impression Quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Ah, what...?'</td>
<td>we see that...</td>
<td>'He said that...'</td>
<td>'I mistakenly thought that...'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Answer</td>
<td>+ Base</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIGHT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WESTERN BUKIDNON MANOBO**
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3.2 Ata Manobo

The Ata Manobo system described by Patricia Hartung is a somewhat simpler two by six system. While Elkins has a pair of Quasi-Clausal Sentences, viz. the Simple and the Merged Sentence, Hartung considers the former to be extra-systemic (as in the majority of systems constructed) and does not posit the latter. Richard Elkins also has two Quasi-Quotational Sentences that are not posited by Hartung. Presumably, structures similar to what Elkins terms the Mental Indirect Quote and the Mistaken Impression Quote are considered by Hartung to be simply subtypes of the Indirect Quote (Sec. 2.6.2). The Ata Manobo equivalent of Elkins’ Inference Sentence (in his Implication column) is presumably also subsumed by Hartung under Indirect Quote.41 Elkins also posits a Question-Answer Sentence which apparently has no readily identifiable equivalent in Ata Manobo.

The Ata Manobo sentence system distinguishes its Loose versus Tight sentences on the vertical parameter in a more consistent way than does Western Bukidnon Manobo. Five of the Loose sentences employ no medial conjunction or particle of any kind while the Alternation Sentence (Sec. 2.2.3) has an optional medial conjunction. By contrast all the Tight sentences have obligatory medial Link or Marker. This systemic opposition between juxtaposed versus conjunctive sentences is basic to the whole system in Ata Manobo. Thus, while in Western Bukidnon Manobo both Direct and Indirect Quotes have a medial 'that' sign of quote, only the latter has such an element in Ata Manobo. Again, while both Conditional and Concessive Margin are brought into the nucleus as a Contingent Sentence (2.4.5) in Ata Manobo by the usual device of introduction of a cross-referencing and counterbalancing particle, the Conditional Margin is also brought into the nucleus by the deletion of the Relator ‘if’ in what Hartung terms a Conditional Sentence (2.4.5). The Contingent Sentence with its counterbalancing particle is a Tight sentence and is either a general condition or concessive import. The Conditional Sentence, which is a juxtaposed structure, is a Loose sentence and may be either a general condition or a contrary to fact condition. Similarly, while Cause or Purpose Margins may be incorporated into the nucleus by adding a counterbalancing particle—and thus deriving an Explanatory Sentence (2.4.4); these same margins may be incorporated by the deletion of the Relator—and thus deriving a Telic Sentence (2.4.4). The former is a Tight sentence; the latter is a Loose sentence. Two varieties of Time Sentences likewise occur: a Temporal Sentence (2.4.3) in which particles indicate temporal sequence; and a

41 This need not be inconsistency of analytical criteria from language to language. The structures which Elkins posits as constituting separate sentence types may as a matter of fact be more distinct in Western Bukidnon Manobo than in Ata Manobo.
Simultaneous Sentence (2.4.3) in which gerundatives (clause with pog- prefixed verbs) or clauses with irrealis (dependent) verbs occur. Again, the contrast is between Tight (or conjunctive) sentences and Loose (or juxtaposed) sentences.

The Ata Manobo sentence system consists therefore of six columns each of which contains a pair of sentences. The first two columns, Concatenation and Opposition, and the last column, Quotation contain sentence types that are basic to the system. The center columns, Time, Reason, and Condition are derived by incorporation of exponents of margins into the nuclei. In the Tight sentences this is accomplished by the introduction of Links and Markers; in the Loose sentences this is accomplished by the deletion of the Relators from Relator-Axis Sentences.

Diagram 9 presents the Ata Manobo sentence system.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONCATENATION</th>
<th>OPPOSITION</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>REASON</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>QUOTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paraphrase</td>
<td>Alternation</td>
<td>Simultaneous</td>
<td>Telic</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>Direct Quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \emptyset )</td>
<td>( \emptyset )</td>
<td>( \text{pog-Cl} \ \emptyset ) ( \text{pog-Cl} )</td>
<td>( \emptyset ) (Cause &amp; Purpose)</td>
<td>( \emptyset ) (includes Gen. &amp; Contrary to Fact)</td>
<td>QF( _1 ) ( \emptyset ) Quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ko} \ 'or' ) ( \text{woy} \ 'or' )</td>
<td>( \text{ko} \ 'or' ) ( \text{woy} \ 'or' )</td>
<td>( \text{IrrCl} \ \emptyset ) ( \text{IrrCl} )</td>
<td>( \emptyset ) (Includes Gen. &amp; Contrary to Fact)</td>
<td>( \emptyset ) (Includes Gen. &amp; Contrary to Fact)</td>
<td>QF( _2 ) ( { \text{to} } ) ( { \text{not} } ) ( { \text{ko} } ) Quote</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordinate</th>
<th>Antithetical</th>
<th>Temporal</th>
<th>Explanatory</th>
<th>Contingent</th>
<th>Indirect Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{woy} \ 'and' ) ( \text{no} \ 'and/and then' )</td>
<td>( \text{di} \ 'but' ) ( \text{dokkad di} \ 'instead' ) ( \text{pidu} \ 'but' )</td>
<td>( \text{woy} \ldots \text{ko} ) ( \text{before} \ldots \text{when} )</td>
<td>( \text{Explanatory} ) (Cause &amp; Purpose)</td>
<td>( \text{Contingent} ) (Includes Gen. Cond. &amp; Concessive)</td>
<td>( \text{Indirect Quote} ) QF( _2 ) ( { \text{to} } ) ( { \text{not} } ) ( { \text{ko} } ) Quote</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A = Loose (often juxtaposed)
- B = Tight (linked/linked-and-balanced)

ATA MANOBO SENTENCE SYSTEM
DIAGRAM 9
3.3 Agta

By contrast, the sentence types of Agta constitute a three-tiered system. Roy Mayfield posits a three by six system. I have suggested that his three Conditional Sentences could be subtracted from the system but since they subtract as a complete column this leaves an intact three by five system. The sentence types seem to come in threes. Thus, not only do Direct (2.6.1) and Indirect Quote Sentences (2.6.2) occur but also an Indirect Question Sentence (2.6.4). The juxtaposed sentences (which he calls Apposition) are likewise three if the Merged Sentence (2.5) which like the juxtaposed has no medial conjunction, be counted with them. Likewise, the Implication Sentences share certain common features: (1) similarity of medial markers: awa te 'so'; awa/bakawa 'since, seeing that'; baka 'since'; (2) resemblance to sentences containing a Circumstantial Margin—
even though I have considered the Inferential Sentence (2.3.4) to be derived from sequence of sentences in the DIALOGUE PARAGRAPHS. Similarly, the Opposition Sentences (Antithetical, (2.2.2), Inversion (2.2.2.1) and Alternative (2.2.3)) have common features of contrast and balance. This leaves Coordinate (2.2.1), Sequence (2.3.1), and Concomitant Sentences (2.4.3) which respectively encode (a) that which is chronologically noncommittal, (b) a chronological sequence; and (c) chronological simultaneity.

In every column the sentence types assigned to the center tier, the Tight types, show more specialization and restriction of structure than those assigned to the other two tiers, while the Balanced sentences are characterized by a feature of alternation or weighing that is not found in the Loose sentences. Thus, while in the Antithetical and Inversion Sentences antonyms and negative-positive oppositions are found and while much the same items are found in the Alternative Sentence, the added feature of alternation (weighing or choice) distinguishes the latter. This same feature is found in the Indirect Question where two alternatives are implied as well as the quotation feature that characterizes the whole column. In the Implication column, the Loose sentence incorporates a Circumstantial Margin into first Base; Tight sentence incorporates a Circumstantial Margin as second Base with an additional requirement that the first Base be interrogative, negative, or express doubt. The Balanced sentence is similar to the two above. A case could be made out that its second Base is an incorporated Circumstantial Margin with an overt or covert negative. But the second Base is considerably modified from the form of a routine Circumstantial Margin; in fact its commonest form is that of a rhetorical question. In effect, the second Base is a counterbalancing consideration, as in '0, why do I still fuss over wine, after all do I need that?' In Mayfield's Addition column, where a Loose sentence (Coordinate) occurs and a Tight sentence (Sequence),
3.4 Itneg

Itneg is likewise a three by five sentence system. Three of the columns of sentence types posited by Janice Walton are similar to those posited for Agta by Roy Mayfield. Thus, Itneg has also a Quotation column of sentence types—although where Agta has an Indirect Question Sentence Itneg has an Equational Quotation Sentence (2.6.5) in the Balanced tier: 'What he said was "I won't come"'. The parts of the sentence are blocked out and set against each other in a way not characteristic of the other two Quotation Sentences. Itneg has an Opposition column that has sentence types in one-to-one correspondence with those found in Agta. Both languages have an Implication column. In Agta, this column is based largely on the incorporation of Circumstantial Margins into sentence nuclei. In Itneg, however, the three sentence types in this column incorporate Conditional and Concessive Margins. Of the three Implication Sentences, the Supposition Sentence (2.6.6), in which a short formula-like word sapay 'it's as if' precedes a Base which is understood as the opposite of its stated affirmative or negative value, is clearly the sentence that belongs in the Tight category: 'It's as if this girl is from Mainit [but she isn't]. An Exclusive Sentence (2.4.5.2) ('we have no father if not you') with negative Base plus negative Base occurs; and a Result Sentence (2.4.5) which consists of two juxtaposed exponents of margins: 'Even if we have no lunch, if we go now', i.e. 'Even if we have no lunch, [it's still all right] if we go now.' In the latter sentence type the parts of the sentence are blocked out and set against each other much as if the speaker were saying: 'On the one hand we have no lunch; on the other hand we can go anyway.' This sentence type is therefore assigned to the Balanced category.

In respect to the first two columns Agta and Itneg are more divergent. Both languages have Coordinate (2.2.1), Merged (2.5), Paraphrase (2.3.2) and Sequence Sentences (2.3.1) but of these only the Merged Sentences of the two languages occur in corresponding cells in the two systems. The Coordinate Sentence is classified as a Loose sentence in both systems but while the Agta Coordinate Sentence occurs in the same column (Addition) with the Sequence and Concomitant Sentences, the Itneg Coordinate Sentence occurs in the same column (Concatenation) with the Merged and Paraphrase. In Agta, as we have seen, the Coordinate Sentence seems to fit plausibly in the cell and column where it

43 i.e. according to my rearrangement of Mayfield's column 1. According to his original placing of the Merged Sentence it did not occupy a cell corresponding to that occupied by the Merged Sentence of Itneg.
the Concomitant Sentence is again a tertium quid. Alternatives need not be mutually exclusive (cf. 2.3.3—especially re. the Inibaloi Alternation Sentence) and here in the Agta Concomitant Sentence both alternatives may be considered to occur. In the Apposition column (juxtaposed sentences) Mayfield has the Merged Sentence (2.5) in the Balanced tier and the Paraphrase Sentence (2.3.2) in the Tight tier. It would seem that the Merged Sentence is the most restricted and circumscribed sentence type of its column; it probably should be the Tight Sentence. The Paraphrase Sentence or Correlation Sentence (2.3.4) might be a better candidate for filling the cell in the Balanced tier of the Apposition column. The Correlation Sentence is perhaps the better choice. It is not unlike the Inferential Sentence (Balanced sentence of the Implication column)—in that I consider both to be derived from DIALOGUE PARAGRAPHS by incorporating a sentence which expounds REMARK tagmeme of the dialogue periphery into the nucleus of a contiguous sentence. In giving Mayfield's diagram of sentence structure (Diagram 10) I make these changes in his first column.

42 Consider, e.g. the Agta Concomitant Sentence: '(I swam underwater at the deep place and) I lost my breath at-the-same-time my ears hurt.' We can imagine an Alternative Sentence: 'Whenever I swim underwater at the deep place I lose my breath or my ears hurt.' The Concomitant Sentence just given tells us that on a particular occasion the man both lost his breath and his ears hurt.
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is found; this leaves Paraphrase, Merged, and Correlative to go in the remaining column, viz. the first. In Itneg there are really two varieties of Sequence Sentence, i.e. the Sequence Sentence and the Time Sentence. There is furthermore, in Itneg a Circumstance-Result Sentence (2.4.4) (not three such sentences as in Agta) which must fit in somewhere. These are the pieces. Janice Walton assembles Sequence, Time, and Circumstance-Result into one column which she labels Contingent. She, then assembles Coordinate, Merged, and Paraphrase Sentences into another column which she labels Concatenation. Both columns seem plausible, granted the sentence types that are posited. The Contingent column reports events the second of which is post hoc and (sometimes) propter hoc. The rationale of the Concatenation column is that all three sentence types involve juxtaposition either with optional weak Links (the Coordinate Sentence), with no Link (the Paraphrase Sentence), or with merger of juxtaposed clauses (Merged Sentence). Of the three, the Paraphrase Sentence seems the one most likely to be a Balanced sentence.

This leaves us with the Agta Paraphrase Sentence as a Loose sentence but with the Itneg Paraphrase Sentence as a Balanced sentence. It likewise leaves us with the Agta Sequence Sentence as a Tight sentence and the Itneg Sequence Sentence as a Loose sentence. The reason for these apparent inconsistencies is that Loose, Tight, and Balanced are comparative terms applicable primarily within a given column for a given language and only secondarily across columns and across languages. In the Agta Apposition column the Correlative Sentence displays the most structural balance while in the Itneg Concatenation column (where such a sentence as the Correlative does not occur) the Paraphrase Sentence displays the most balance. Again, in the Agta Addition column we find the Coordinate Sentence which is certainly a looser structure than the Sequence Sentence of that language. On the other hand in the Itneg Contingent column—where no Coordinate Sentence occurs but where two contrasting sorts of sequence sentence, the Sequence and Time Sentence, occur—the Sequence Sentence is a looser structure than the more restricted Time Sentence.

In brief, the placement of a sentence type within a system of sentence types is dependent on distinctive features that depend partially on comparison with other sentence types. Thus, although a Coordinate Sentence is a looser structure than either a Sequence or Antithetical Sentence, all three will fall into the same tier whenever they are the loosest structures in their respective columns. The reason for this seems to be that the progression across the horizontal parameter of sentence systems of the sort being described also involves some sort of increasingly closer union of bases.
Diagram 11 of the Itneg sentence system is adapted from Janice Walton; I have permuted the order of the last two columns of her chart. For the sake of comparison with Agta, I have not permuted any further columns of the chart. It might be well, however, to permute the Contingent and Opposition columns of the chart. The progression, Concatenation, Opposition, Contingency, Implication, Quotation, seems to show better movement along a parameter than the order of columns now on the chart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordinate</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Antithetical</th>
<th>Exclusive</th>
<th>Direct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOOSE</td>
<td>(+ si + ket) &quot;and then&quot;</td>
<td>...ngem... &quot;but&quot;</td>
<td>- CondM&gt;</td>
<td>Vb of Speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(aket ken) &quot;and then&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>CondM&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BU1 BU_n ST1 ST_n &gt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nuc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merged</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Inversion</td>
<td>Supposition</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGHT</td>
<td>(si ket) &quot;and then&quot;</td>
<td>beken nem &quot;but&quot;</td>
<td>sapay &quot;it's as if&quot; Cond M&gt;Nuc</td>
<td>Vbs of Speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST1 ST_n &gt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&gt;Nuc</td>
<td>nga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraphrase</td>
<td>Circum-result</td>
<td>Alternation</td>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Equational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALANCED</td>
<td>[Cir M&gt;Nuc]</td>
<td>[wenno... &quot;or&quot;</td>
<td>Conc M&gt;Nuc Cond M&gt;Nuc</td>
<td>noun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[Text Expos]</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&gt;Nuc</td>
<td>phrase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;that&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITNEG SENTENCE SYSTEM
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3.5 Koronadal Bilaan

Koronadal Bilaan illustrates a three by five system with one lacuna. The lacuna occurs in the last column, Quotation, where Direct (2.6.1) and Indirect Quote (2.6.2) occur but no third sentence of this type. Norman Abrams includes the Simple Sentence (2.1) in the system of Koronadal Bilaan sentence types and thus obtains a Single-Topic column (cf. Quasi-clausal in Western Bukidnon Manobo) which includes Simple, Merged (2.5) and Equational Sentences (2.7). As in Itneg, so in Koronadal Bilaan two sorts of Sequence Sentences (2.3.1) are combined with a Result Sentence (2.3.3) in a Contingency column. This includes (1) Series Sentence in which event one has a prior beginning but whose activity or result extends over the time during which event two takes place, as in 'we left there that Saturday, we rode the jeep to Dadiangas'; (2) a Sequence Sentence in which one event simply precedes the other as in 'Now Mother went in the morning, then Father followed in the afternoon'; and (3) Result Sentence in which one event is logically or teleologically prior, as in 'The reason they didn't give salt was because I asked in a bad manner'. Coordinate Sentence (2.2.1) --which has no place in either of the previous columns finds a place along with Antithetical (2.2.2) and Alternation Sentences (2.2.3) in a Concatenation column. In this column Coordinate is clearly the Loose sentence; Antithetical, the Tight; and Alternative, the Balanced. An Implication column is posited in which two of the sentences are clearly derived by incorporation of Conditional and Concessive Margins. The Balanced sentence type in this column resembles a bidirectional implication: 'However much he loves me, that's how much I'll love him.

Diagram 12 reproduces without any alteration Abram's scheme of Bilaan sentences. Were I to suggest any change I might, as with Itneg, suggest that the columns Contingency and Concatena-
tion be permuted.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Single Topic</th>
<th>Contingency</th>
<th>Concatenation</th>
<th>Implication</th>
<th>Quotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Series</td>
<td>Coordinate</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>Direct Quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Predication</td>
<td>Sequential; Simultaneous</td>
<td>'na...' 'and'</td>
<td>ku...'na... 'if...'then'</td>
<td>man, &quot;...&quot; '(he) said'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merged</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>Antithetical</td>
<td>Concessive</td>
<td>Indirect Quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Topic</td>
<td>na...klo...'now...then'</td>
<td>...gabay...'but'</td>
<td>balù...gabay 'Although... anyway'</td>
<td>gadê ku '(he) knows that'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equational</td>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Alternation</td>
<td>Correlative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-referenced Object</td>
<td>du...duenan 'because... that is why'</td>
<td>...ku demen... 'or'</td>
<td>nè...yê... 'as...so also'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Koronadal Bilaan**
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3.6 Bontoc

For Bontoc a system in three parameters in posited. One parameter is the degree of union between the Bases, i.e. the Loose-Tight contrast already illustrated. Another parameter is the kind of union, i.e. a parameter with such values as Concatenation, Implication, Quotation, and (in a subsystem) Opposition and Quasi-clausal (merged). A third parameter is posited with three values: Dominant, Distinctive and Diffuse in the main system and with two value Dominant and Diffuse in the subsystem. Dominant sentence types most well exemplify whatever category of parameter two is relevant to them. Distinctive sentence types exemplify well their category of parameter two but not as well as the Dominant sentence types. Diffuse sentences exemplify less well their category of parameter two and often prove for this reason the most difficult to classify. This system in effect, takes three systems of two by three size and sets them one on top of the other—and finds certain similarities in the process. Similarly, the subsystem is two by two by two by virtue of superimposing two systems of two by two size.

The best justification of such a system in three parameters is that it enables us to posit a parameter with such values as Concatenation, Implication, Opposition, Quotation, and Quasi-clausal, i.e. a parameter with values of the sort already found in other languages. Otherwise, we have a two by thirteen system with the Loose-Tight parameter and a further parameter with thirteen overly particularized values of a sort not encountered elsewhere. If, in order to avoid this we posit subsystems, then we find that if we give labels to our subsystems we have values of the sort usually posited in the horizontal parameter of other less complicated systems. On noticing that the subsystems are either two by three or two by two, one is likely to attempt to superimpose systems of similar shape. Precisely in this way Lawrence Reid and I discovered features of common patterns which led to the positing of a third parameter.

Diagram 13 reproduces Reid's scheme of Bontoc sentences. There is a three by three by two subsystem and a two by two subsystem. In the first subsystem the most diagnostic cells are 1, 7, and 13. Cell 1, the Coordinate Sentence (2.2.1) is at the intersection of Loose, Concatenation, and Dominant. Cell 3, the Sequence Sentence (2.3.1) is at the intersection of Loose, Concatenation, and Distinctive; this sentence type compromises the feature of concatenation by mixing with it chronological sequence. Cell 5, the Paraphrase Sentence (2.3.2) is at the intersection of Loose, Concatenation and Diffuse; this sentence type is even less characteristically a Concatenation sentence. In turn, Cells 2, 4, and 6 are at the intersection of
Tight and Concatenation with the values Dominant, Distinctive, and Diffuse of the third parameter. In spite of diversities these six sentence types seem to belong together and none of them would seem to fit well elsewhere. And, of these sentence types, the Concatenation Sentence in Cell 1 most well exemplifies the Concatenation value of the second parameter.

Similarly at Cell 7, the intersection of Loose, Implication and Dominant, the Chance Condition Sentence (2.4.5) is most characteristic of the Implication Sentences. No Implication sentence type as such is purely implicational in that such a string would be interpreted as Conditional Margin plus nucleus. The Chance Condition Sentence is a general condition with the uncertainty indicated in the 'if' somewhat emphasized and heightened by a following particle, as in 'If by chance we do not eat there, we will come here'. In Cell 9, at the intersection of Loose, Implicative, and Distinctive, occurs the Reason Sentence (2.4.4). Here implication is compromised by mixture with explanation; as in 'If your leg is sore it's because you ran yesterday'. In Cell 11, at the intersection of Loose, Implication, and Diffuse, occurs the Hortatory Sentence. Here implication is further compromised by mixture with exhortation, as in 'If you want to join the Datal group, you should join in doing what is done in the men's group house.' Cells 8, 10, and 12 are at the intersection of Tight and Implication with the values Dominant, Distinctive, and Diffuse of the third parameter. But Cell 7 probably remains the most characteristic of these six sentence types.

Cell 13, the Direct Quote Sentence (2.6.1) which lies at the intersection of Loose, Quotation, and Dominant, is without doubt the Quotation Sentence par excellence. Cell 15, the Indirect Quote Sentence (2.6.2), which lies at the intersection of Loose, Quotation, and Distinctive compromises quotation by mixing it with other concerns--so that it is possible e.g. in an Indirect Quote to pass an evaluation on the veracity, good intentions, or ability of the person whose speech is reported. Finally Cell 17, the Referential Quote (2.6.6) is really a citation formula: 'It's like what you refer to there as bomoda.' Cells 14, 16, and 18 are Tight sentences.

---

44The body of Reid's paper has the Reason Sentence in Cell 7 rather than the Chance Condition Sentence. His diagram of the sentence system and the key to it indicate, however, that Cell 7 should be occupied by the Chance Condition Sentence--which seems the more plausible.

45 Cf. The English 'He said he would come' [but who knows if he will?].
I will not go into the structure of the second subsystem any further than to note that Cell 19, the Antithetical Sentence (2.2.2) at the intersection of Loose, Opposition, and Dominant is clearly the Opposition Sentence par excellence.

Diagram 13 of the Bontoc sentence system and the accompanying key, are both from Reid.

Key to numbers in cells of Diagram 13:
1. Coordinate
2. Circumstance-Result
3. Sequence
4. Extent
5. Paraphrase
6. Recurrent-Action
7. Chance Condition
8. Exclusive Condition
9. Reason
10. Concessive
11. Hortatory
12. Subjunctive
13. Direct
14. Equational
15. Indirect
16. Indirect Question
17. Referential Quote
18. Thought Quote
19. Antithetical
20. Diverse
21. Alternation
22. Rhetorical Question
23. Purposive
24. Logical Goal
25. Sensate
26. Speech
3.7 Balangao

The Balangao sentence system is similar to the Bontoc but in some ways more symmetrical and complete. It is a large system of two by three by four dimensions with only four Quasi-clausal (Merged) Sentences constituting a separate subsystem. The values of the second parameter in this enlarged system are: Concatenation, Opposition, Implication, and Quotational. The Coordinate Sentence (2.2.1), Antithetical Sentence (2.2.2), Exclusive Condition Sentence (2.4.5) ('There's no one else to go if not you'), and Direct Quote Sentence (2.6.1) are most characteristic of the four values of parameter two; they lie at the intersection of values of this parameter with Loose (of parameter 1) and Dominant (of parameter 3).

Diagram 14, the Balangao sentence system, is adapted from Joanne Shetler. This diagram and diagram 13 form an interesting comparison in that both reflect attempts to give two dimensional representation to systems in three parameters. Of the two diagrams, while Reid's Bontoc Sentence System is the more graphic, its complexity leads him to give the names of sentence types in an accompanying key, rather than on the diagram itself. Shetler's Balangao Sentence System by collapsing the first and second parameters into an outline at the left hand margin avoids some complexity and leaves room for adding the names of the sentence types to the diagram proper.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONCATENATION</th>
<th>DOMINANT</th>
<th>DISTINCTIVE</th>
<th>DIFFUSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOOSE</td>
<td>Coordinate</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>Paraphrase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGHT</td>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>Extent</td>
<td>Recapitulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPPOSITION</td>
<td>Antithetical</td>
<td>Alternation</td>
<td>Subjunctive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOOSE</td>
<td>Inversion</td>
<td>Diverse</td>
<td>Rhetorical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGHT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPLICATION</td>
<td>Exclusive</td>
<td>Result 1</td>
<td>Hortatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOOSE</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGHT</td>
<td>Concessive</td>
<td>Result 2</td>
<td>Reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUOTATIONAL</td>
<td>Direct Quote</td>
<td>Indirect Quote</td>
<td>Referential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOOSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGHT</td>
<td>Equational</td>
<td>Indirect Quote</td>
<td>Thought</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quote</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quote</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUASI-CLAUSAL</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Merged 1</td>
<td>Merged 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merged 2</td>
<td>Merged 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BALANGAO SENTENCE SYSTEM
DIAGRAM 14
3.8 Tausug: obtaining a system

The system at present posited for the sentence types of Tausug has been developed through two earlier stages. A study of the development of this system is instructive.

Seymour and Lois Ashley's first approximation to a system for Tausug sentence types is shown in Diagram 15. Notice that the system as first suggested is clearly off balance in two regards: (a) Cells 7, 8, and 14 have two types each; (b) Cells 10 and 18 are empty.

For these reasons an attempt was made to revise the system. It was noticed that there were probably not just two but four types of Quotation Sentences (2.6), which clearly seemed to constitute a two by two system, although otherwise Tausug sentences seemed to group into threes. Therefore, as a first step in revising the system the Quotation Sentences were excised on the supposition that they constitute a subsystem. Next an attempt was made to get rid of the two sentences each in Cells 7, 8, and 14 of the system as first posited. This was done for Cells 7 and 8 by positing not one but two Opposition columns in the revised diagram (Diagram 16). This still left, however, a lacuna in Cell 10 of the revised diagram. The two sentence types in Cell 14 of the former diagram were redistributed into Cells 16 and 17 of the new diagram while former Cell 15 became 18; this gave a new Contingency column. This, in turn, however, left the Juxtaposed Sentence isolated. In that this sentence type is really a time (simultaneous) sentence, it could now be moved into the lacuna at number 10 of the former diagram. A new Temporal order of sentences was thus posited as Cells 13, 14, and 15 of the new diagram. This was one clear gain in the new arrangement. The three sentence types seemed to belong together in that both the Juxtaposed and the Simultaneous Sentence are characterized by simultaneity, while the Repetitive Sentence encodes a kind of rapid alternation between two repeated activities—which is almost a simultaneity of sorts: 'First I sneeze and then my nose runs' or 'I alternately sneeze and run at the nose'.

The revised system had still several difficulties. (1) There was still one empty cell—which was, however, not a fatal flaw in that some systems have lacunae. (2) More serious was the possibility that Cause and Purpose (in the same Cell in the original scheme) were suspect of being the same sentence type. (3) Equally serious was the possibility that Reproach and Disparate Sentences (likewise in the same cell in the original scheme) were also the same sentence type. Combination of these pairs into single types would create anew two lacunae and again throw the shole system off balance—since a three by six system with three lacunae, i.e. with 50% of its columns defective, is not convincing.
Diagram 17 shows the system finally posited for Tausug. The three lacunae are eliminated by reducing from a three by six to a three by five system. The two Opposition columns posited tentatively in the second approximation are recombined—except that the Refutation Sentence is taken out of this part of the chart entirely. Combined Reproach and Disparate (as Reproach Sentence) now fills Cell 11 of this new system. Refutation is reassigned to the column in which the new combined Cause-Purpose Sentence (now called Reason Sentence) and Comparative Sentence are found. The whole column is now not implausibly called Reasoning.

In this system as finally settled on, the Centering column (Simple, Merged, Equational) persists from the first approximation as does likewise the second column, the Concatenated. The Temporal column, which emerged in the second approximation also survives in the present scheme. The Opposition and Reasoning columns accommodate their sentence types by virtue of the combining of suspect sentence types.

In some respects the most unusual thing in the present scheme is the Concatenated column which includes Coordinate, Sequence, and Alternation Sentence. The latter more typically patterns with the Antithetical Sentence—although it is not unusual to have Coordinate, Antithetical, and Alternation in the same column. The Opposition column, however, is plausibly filled with the three types assigned to it. While one might expect that the Sequence Sentence would pattern with the Temporal Sentences, the latter plausibly constitute a column of their own where some element of simultaneity seems to characterize the order. It would appear, then, that Coordinate, Sequence, and Alternative Sentences belong together as the next order of complexity following the Centering sentences and before the latter three columns which reflect more specialized ways of joining bases.

The tiers as now constituted are, furthermore, internally self-consistent. In the top tier, the Loose sentence types, either Links (4, 10), Markers (13) or absence of any such element (1, 7) is found. In the center tier, aside from the Merged Sentence (where a syntactically ambivalent noun phrase cements the construction), Links occur (5) as well as Relators of Relator-Axis Sentences that have been drawn into the nucleus (8, 11, 14). In the bottom tier no such Relators occur; aside from the Alternation Sentence where a Link expounded by atawa 'or' is found, the sentence types have a repeated particle (3), repeated auxiliary verb (9, 12) or repeated stem (15).

46 In this diagram functional morphemes relevant to the various sentence types are indicated. Sign # after a functional morpheme indicates that that morpheme attracts the enclitic pronoun.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENTERING</th>
<th>CONCATENATED</th>
<th>OPPOSITION</th>
<th>TEMPORAL</th>
<th>CONTINGENT</th>
<th>QUOTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Juxtaposed</td>
<td>Antithetical</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merged</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Refutation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td></td>
<td>Causal</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merged</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Reproach</td>
<td></td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Equational</td>
<td></td>
<td>Comparative</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merged</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Alternation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORIGINAL TAUSUG SENTENCE SYSTEM

DIAGRAM 15
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENTERING</th>
<th>CONCATENATED</th>
<th>OPPOSITION₁</th>
<th>OPPOSITION₂</th>
<th>TEMPORAL</th>
<th>CONTINGENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Coordinate</td>
<td>Antithetical</td>
<td>Juxtaposed</td>
<td>Causal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merged</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>Reproach</td>
<td>Refutation</td>
<td>Simultaneous</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equational</td>
<td>Alternation</td>
<td>Disparate</td>
<td>Frustrative</td>
<td>Repetitive</td>
<td>Comparative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First Restatement of Tausug Sentence System
(minus the Quotation Sentences)

Diagram 16
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENTERING</th>
<th>CONCATENATED</th>
<th>TEMPORAL</th>
<th>OPPOSITION</th>
<th>REASONING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Coordinate</td>
<td>Juxtaposed</td>
<td>Antithetical</td>
<td>Refutation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOOSE</td>
<td>.iban. 'and'</td>
<td>.φ.</td>
<td>.&lt;sa'&gt;</td>
<td>sin. 'whereas'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merged</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>Simultaneous</td>
<td>Reproach</td>
<td>Reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGHT</td>
<td>.NP.. 'and then'</td>
<td>.ampa #</td>
<td>sakawkat #</td>
<td>sabab #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>hangkan #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;manjari&gt; 'came to be'</td>
<td>.sa'bu #</td>
<td>malayngkan .&lt;sa'&gt;</td>
<td>bat.. 'the'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>supaya #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equational</td>
<td>Alternation</td>
<td>Repetitive</td>
<td>Frustrative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALANCED</td>
<td>amu..amu.. 'that is.. that is..'</td>
<td>atawa..</td>
<td>iyampa #</td>
<td>Comparative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>diminished stem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECOND RESTATEMENT OF TAUSUG SENTENCE SYSTEM (minus the Quotation Sentences)

DIAGRAM 17
The sentence systems which are presented in the previous section are specific to given languages. As we have seen, certain sentence types, e.g. the Coordinate, Antithetical, and Alternation, keep varied company in grouping with other sentence types to form columns and rows of specific systems. Furthermore, we have also seen that certain putative logical categories, e.g. simultaneity, are really logico-linguistic categories defined and shaped by the sentence types that encode them. Thus a Simultaneous Sentence in Inibaloi (2.4.3.6) includes actions or states that the speaker wants to conceptualize as simultaneous whether they be (a) parallel and coterminous actions; (b) one action or state continuing during the occurrence of another somewhere in that time span—-if even right at its end; or (c) two actions actually in sequence but which the speaker wants to stress as occurring approximately within the same time horizon. An Agta Concomitant Sentence (= Simultaneous) defines simultaneity more narrowly and possibly confines it to sense (a) above. Thus, particular sentence systems in actual languages comprise specific emic sentence types not in easy one-to-one correspondence with logical categories. We have further seen that formal categories such as juxtaposition (i.e. null conjunction), merged sentences (null conjunction with syntactically ambivalent pronoun or noun phrase), formula sentence (use of a lexically and grammatically restricted base), equation (use of a sentence-level equator) do not correlate neatly with any particular semantic or logical categories yet tend to be employed with some categories more than others. We likewise find that derivational classification of sentence types—e.g. whether they are derived from sequences of sentences within paragraphs or by incorporation of sentence margins—likewise does not correlate neatly with semantic categories. Finally, we have found certain persistent trouble spots where emic sentence types (wholly aside from inconsistencies of analysis) group into emic sentence types in differing ways from language to language. Thus, there is a three-way tangle between the Antithetical Sentence ('I went but she stayed home'), the Concessive Sentence ('Although I went nevertheless she stayed home'), and the Mistaken Impression Sentence ('I thought she went [but she didn't]'). Whether we have one, two, or three emic sentence types depends on what structural distinctions (differing conjunctions; possible permutations, deletions, and transforms; or lexical restrictions) a given language imposes. Thus, to summarize, particular languages: (a) group the same or similar sentence types into differing configurations (systems); (b) define logical categories somewhat for their own purposes;
(c) match formal categories (use of conjunction, juxtaposition, merging, use of formula, equation) with varying logical and semantic categories; (d) match in similarly inconsistent fashion derivational categories with logical and semantic categories; and (e) variously group etic sentence types into emic sentence types.

Granted the above features of particularity in sentence systems, the fact still remains that considerable may be said about universal non-language-specific features of the sentence. In fact a universal scheme of sentence types can be posited provided that (1) we abandon a system with parameters for a neighborhood in which similar sentence types are contiguous or relatively near and dissimilar sentence types are non-contiguous or relatively distant; (2) we include in the system etic instead of emic sentence types; and (3) we let neither entirely logical and semantic considerations nor entirely formal and derivational ones dictate the shape of the scheme. Such a scheme is not in fact hard to devise if one begins by attempting to draw a graph on which sentence types that have caused analytic difficulty because of their similarity are represented as contiguous. One may then broaden this consideration to include showing some connection between sentence types that encode similar lexical stuff (e.g. common use of such parallel features as positive-negative, and antonyms) or which frequently line up within the same columns in representations of particular sentence systems.

Such a scheme is given in Diagram 18 "The Sentence Level as a Neighborhood". This scheme should have not only taxonomic but heuristic value as well. Its cataloging and arranging of possible structures on the sentence level can serve to guide beginning analysis in languages whose sentence systems have not been explored. Furthermore, as a chart of etic sentence types which are suspect of being the same emic type it can alert the analyst to possible areas of difficulty. Finally, it may have some value in guiding the grouping of emic types into systems in given languages.
THE SENTENCE LEVEL AS A NEIGHBORHOOD

DIAGRAM 18
Key to abbreviations of Diagram 18.

PARA  Paraphrase
RECAP  Recapitulation
AMPL  Amplification
EXT  Extent
SEQ  Sequence
SIMUL  Simultaneous
CIR-RESULT  Circumstance-Result
WARN  Warning
REM  Remark
Q-A  Question-Answer
CONC  Concessive
COND  Conditional
COOR  Coordinate
ANTI  Antithetical
IND QUES  Indirect Question
IND Q  Indirect Quote
MIS IMPR Q  Mistaken Impression Quote
ALT  Alternation
ALT IND QUES  Alternative Indirect Question
ALT DIR QUES  Alternative Direct Question
DIR Q  Direct Quote
EQU  Equational
EQU DIR Q  Equational Direct Quote
EQU IND Q  Equational Indirect Quote
NON-SP IND Q  Non-speech Indirect Quote
In this diagram, each etic type is represented by a labeled circle. Dotted extensions of circles indicate presence of further specialized types. Etic sentence types that are similar enough to be subtypes of the same emic sentence type or that are of similar derivation are grouped together within a continuous closed curve (marking nine subneighborhoods). A solid line connects etic sentence types that are in a transformational or paraphrastic relation. Such sentence types may encode the same lexical stuff and are sometimes suspect of being the same emic type. Dotted lines connect sentence types with looser structural resemblances or with possible adjacent placement in sentence systems.

In this study I have considered Simple, Coordinate, Antithetical, Alternative, Direct Quotation, and Non-speech Indirect Quotation Sentences to be basic types of sentence structure. This is highlighted on the above chart in that the circles representing these types have double lines.

4.1 Conjoining

Sentences that are represented on the left hand side of the neighborhood chart do not have nuclear Formula tagmemes but are conjoined sentences.

As has been observed Coordination is a very loose non-committal sort of conjoining. Coordination may join lexical material that, were the speaker more specific, could be expressed as an Antithetical Sentence, as some variety of time sentence (subneighborhood three) or as an Amplification Sentence (or even as some other sentence type in subneighborhood one). For this reason solid lines join the Coordinate Sentence to Antithetical Sentence, to subneighborhood three, and to subneighborhood one. Furthermore, the Coordinate Sentence, as well as some of the sentences in subneighborhoods three and one may reduce to a variety of Merged Sentence—granted the proper lexical and grammatical constraints peculiar to a given Merged Sentence type in a given language. For this reason, a solid line joins the Coordinate to subneighborhood two as well. Because of the central position of the Coordinate Sentence it lines up systematically in given systems with any of the elements to which it is connected by solid or dotted lines. This is indicative of the nonspecific nature of the Coordinate Sentence as a logico-linguistic category. Thus, on occasion, a Coordinate Sentence may line up in the same column with the Antithetical and/or Alternation Sentences. It may also, however, line up with sentences from subneighborhoods one or four or with the Simple Sentence when the latter is not extrasystemic.
There are apparently not many specialized varieties of Coordinate Sentence. One such variety, however, is the Coordinate Extension Sentence (so termed by Gordon Svelmoe for Mansaka) where such constructions as the following are found: 'Take along the lunch, even the rice-wine take that also'. Often such constructions are lexically chiasmic (i.e. AB-BA in lexical structure: (A) 'Take along' (B) 'lunch'; (B) 'even the rice-wine' (A) 'take that also.') Such chiasmus may also characterize parallel sentences in subneighborhood one.

The etic sentence types indicated in subneighborhood one, Paraphrase, Parallel, Recapitulation, and Amplification are derived from conjoining of exponents of TEXT and EXPOSITION in EXPLANATORY PARAGRAPHS or EXHORTATION and REINFORCEMENT in HORTATORY PARAGRAPHS. They are illustrated by the following English examples: I was very angry, I was furious at the perfidy of the man. Man proposes; God disposes. I went home, I went home to see what was really going on. I acquired a cat -- that was the beginning of our troubles. While all these etic types reject a medial marker in English they occur with such markers in some languages (cf. Inibaloi).

The merged sentences of subneighborhood two can reflect a variety of relationships. What they have in common is considerable lexical restriction of the adjoined Bases and a syntactically ambivalent noun which is often found at the seam of the two Bases. Note the English I saw them cross the river where them is object of the clause which expounds Base 1 and subject of the clause which expounds Base 2. The first verb has something to do with observation or detection, and non-progressive versus progressive meanings are distinguished in the second Base (cf. I saw them crossing the river). A different set of constraints hold in the (Causative) English merged sentence: I finally got him nominated or I won't have you do that.

Subneighborhood three includes a variety of sentence types all of which are temporal. Most of them are derived from structures consisting of a Time Margin (or in one case Circumstantial Margin) plus a sentence nucleus. The exponent of Time Margin is brought into the nucleus either by addition of a marker which cross-references to and counterbalances the Relator of the incorporated Relator-Axis Sentence or by deletion of the Relator and creation of a juxtaposed time sentence with a 'when...then' structure or something on that order. Time sentences that indicate a sequence of events or steps are generated, however, directly from the nuclei of NARRATIVE or PROCEDURAL PARAGRAPHS by conjoining exponents of two or more successive BUILD-UP or STEP tagmemes.

A variety of etic temporal sentence types are indicated in subneighborhood three. In an etic Sequence Sentence the events

are discrete and successive: 'First I tried to find a job in London, then I looked for work in Southampton'. In an etic Extent Sentence, one event extends right up to the inception of the next: 'Keep working until 12:15, then take a one half hour lunch period.' In an etic Simultaneous Sentence two events are coextensive: 'As he walked he prayed'. In an etic Time Horizon Sentence, one event (continuous) provides the Time Horizon for the other (punctiliar); 'While they were there, she became pregnant'. This very easily grades into an etic Circumstance-Result Sentence: 'In that they were married, she became pregnant'. While the latter three English examples are margin-nuclear structures, they parallel and illustrate sentences of other languages where such margins merge with nuclei to form new nuclear patterns (cf. 2.4.3; 2.4.4.5).

These etic sentence types may group in various ways in the emic sentence structure of a language. Thus an emic Sequence Sentence may include Extent Sentence and even a Time Horizon Sentence in which an event takes place at the end of the continuous state or activity: 'When they had been there a long time, she became bored and restless'. On the other hand an emic Simultaneous Sentence may include the Time Horizon Sentence, or even a Circumstance-Result Sentence—or for that matter two discrete successive events (etic Sequence Sentence) which the speaker wants to emphasize as having taken place at approximately the same time. For a study of some groupings and contrasts in this semantic area, see Inibaloi Sequence (2.3.1.2) and Simultaneous (2.4.3.6) Sentences.

Subneighborhood four includes four more etic sentence types that are derived from paragraph structures. Result Sentences are derived from a TEXT-RESULT sequence in EXPLANATORY PARAGRAPHS or from an EXHORTATION-RESULT sequence in a HORTATORY PARAGRAPH. Thus, such a sequence as 'They are most unfriendly. They never receive strangers without expression of hostility and suspicion' (which is paragraph-level TEXT-RESULT sequence) can be made into one sentence: 'They are most unfriendly, so they never receive strangers without expression of hostility and suspicion'. In the same way 'Do your work faithfully day by day. Then you won't have cause to fear final examination' (an EXHORTATION-RESULT sequence) can be converted to one sentence by insertions of 'so' (provided that the resultant construction in a given language is not simply a nucleus plus a Purpose Margin). Etic Warning Sentences are not dissimilar: 'We shouldn't let our torches go out; otherwise we won't be able to see the trail when we go home' (from Inibaloi). This resembles, as I have said, a paragraph-level EXHORTATION-WARNING sequence: 'We shouldn't let our torches go out. If we let our torches go out, we won't be able to see the trail when we go home.' Obviously, these two etic sentence types (Result and Warning) may constitute one emic sentence structure in a given language—or the logico-linguistic relationships that they encode may be handled not as sentence types at all but as a nucleus plus Purpose Margin, Result Margin, or even as Cause Margin.
Question-Answer and Remark Sentences are derived from DIALOGUE PARAGRAPHS. The former conjoins exponents of SPEECH₁ (QUESTION) and SPEECH₂ (ANSWER). While this is not a normal sentence pattern in English, it is in Western Bukidnon Manobo: 'Oh, what did she do, she continuously wept.'

In Agta, Inferential Sentence is much like what we here term the etic Remark Sentence. It may be considered to be derived by conjoining exponents of nuclear PROPOSAL or REMARK with the peripheral REMARK also found in DIALOGUE PARAGRAPHS, as in: 'They ought to teach them good things so that they will consider them; after all it is not true that the Lord Jesus is not with them.' The part of the sentence that corresponds to the paragraph level peripheral REMARK may be a rhetorical question, i.e. a remark in question form but not one that expects an answer: 'A person is only guessing when it will rain; after all is there any sign of it?' (from Agta). Remark Sentences, on the other hand, also resemble in some ways Circumstance-Result Sentences. Thus, the above Agta sentence (which actually contains a medial Marker baka 'since/after all') might be conceived of as derived from a nucleus plus Circumstantial Margin instead of from elements of the DIALOGUE PARAGRAPH. I have assigned it to the latter in that the frequent use of rhetorical question in the Agta sentence types seems indicative of a dialogue base. Nevertheless, in the neighborhood diagram a solid line connects Circumstance-Result Sentence of subneighborhood three to the Remark Sentence of subneighborhood four.

The Antithetical Sentence, as we have seen, can have specialized types. Thus, etic sentence types may especially mark the negative Thesis and the positive Antithesis with a separate conjunction, or may (somewhat more rarely) especially mark the positive Thesis and the negative Antithesis. Or there may be special etic types dependent on occurrences of antonyms, contrasting adjectives or more diffuse culturally appropriate and discourse-conditioned contrasts.

Subneighborhood five consists of etic sentence types derived by incorporating Implicational, i.e. Concessive and Conditional Margins into the nucleus. Sentences with Concessive Margins or Concessive Sentences are lexically akin to Antithetical Sentences to which they may transform. Thus 'Although she went downtown nevertheless I stayed home' may be paraphrased as: 'She went downtown but I stayed home'. There is also paraphrase relation with some varieties of Circumstance-Result Sentences of subneighborhood four—since a Concessive Sentence encodes a circumstance in spite of which something was accomplished. Conditional Sentences and Concessive Sentences are similar in many ways. Often, in fact only the addition of such a word as 'even' before 'if' distinguishes the Relators of the incorporated
Concessive Relator-Axis Sentences from that of the incorporated Conditional Relator-Axis Sentence. The counterbalancing medial Markers are, however, distinctive: 'If he comes, then I won't go' versus 'Even if he comes still I won't go'. Both the Concessive and Conditional Sentences have several specialized types in some languages (noticeably Bontoc and Balangao). This follows from the fact that straightforward, semantically unspecialized concession or condition is expressed not by sentence types at all but by margins plus nuclei.

4.2 Sentences with Formulas and sentence-level equations

If quotation as such is a basic mode of sentence formation, the Direct Quote Sentence is kernel to several other types of Quotation Sentence. It is not, however, kernel to the quasi-quotational types found in subneighborhood six nor to the even more distantly related formula sentences of subneighborhood seven. The relation of the Direct Quote Sentence to the Indirect Question Sentence will be mentioned under the discussion of subneighborhood nine.

Only rarely does more than one emic type of Direct Quote Sentence need to be posited. In Tausug, two types are posited: Heard Direct Quote and Hearsay Direct Quote. In Dibabawon, four types of Direct Quote Sentence are posited.

In most languages, (a noticeable exception is Agta) a Direct Quote may be paraphrased as an Indirect Quote (of subneighborhood six) and an Equational Quote (of subneighborhood eight). Thus: 'He said "I'll come immediately"' may be transformed to 'He said that he would come immediately' and 'What he said was "I'll come immediately"' or 'What he said was that he would come immediately'. While I do not know of a language in the Philippines or elsewhere where Direct and Indirect Quote both occur but constitute only one emic sentence type, evidence from the study of Philippine languages indicates that Direct Quote and (Direct) Equational Quote must be handled as a pair of etic sentence types suspect of constituting but one sentence while Indirect Quote and (Indirect) Equational Quote must be similarly handled. In the neighborhood chart a solid line connects, therefore, the Direct Quote Sentence and the Equational Quote. A solid line also connects the entire subneighborhood six with the entire subneighborhood eight—since Quasi-quotational sentences as a whole may be paraphrased as balanced or equational sentences.

Subneighborhood six comprises not only the Indirect Quote, which is a paraphrase of the Direct Quote but other etic types. Several of these types are grouped together under the rubric
Non-speech Indirect Quote Sentences—which constitute a primitive on the sentence level. Among these etic types we find the Thought Sentence and the Sensate Sentence. The Thought Sentence contains such verbs as 'think', 'remember', 'forget', 'surmise', 'conclude' (or even 'know') in its formula: 'I concluded that the whole undertaking was useless'. In the Sensate Sentence, a verb such as 'feel', 'hear', 'see', 'observe' (or even in some languages 'smell') occurs in the Formula: 'I heard that they were coming'. In some languages, certain of the above occur along with some formal indication that what is said, thought, or observed is false. Consequently an etic Mistaken Impression Sentence type is posited; 'I thought [falsely] that it couldn't happen here'. [implication 'but it did anyway']. In English this is usually accomplished simply by intonation (with a peak on the verb in the quotation formula, with run-on intonation and without final pause at the end): I thought he wouldn't come... In Philippine languages a specific particle usually is employed to give this implication. Obviously such sentences are not dissimilar to Antithetical Sentences. In fact if the Antithesis with 'but' is regularly expressed they are probably a subtype of the Antithetical Sentence. For this reason a solid line joins the Mistaken Impression Sentence with the Antithetical Sentence.

Subneighborhood six also includes the Indirect Question. In some languages an Indirect Question is no different in form from the Indirect Quote. In other languages the two are quite distinct, as in classical Latin where Indirect Quotes (Statements) have an indicative verb in their quotation tagmeme while Indirect Questions have a subjunctive verb in the corresponding position.

Subneighborhood seven comprises other sentence types that are not quotational but which also have initial Formula tagmemes. To date, such sentence types are so language specific that I do not set up specific etic sentence types in subneighborhood seven. In Western Bukidnon Manobo there is a subtype of the Inference (or Thought Quotation as it is termed here) that might be called an Evaluation Sentence: 'It's true/false/clear that...'. Possibly a general etic subtype could be posited here with expansion to include 'possible', 'probable', etc. This is not unlike the Benefit Sentence posited by Ursula Post for Binukid (Manobo): 'It's good that I have a companion' and 'It's best that we each have our own work'. This in turn grades off into a Comparison Sentence (also Binukid (Manobo)): 'It's better that I live with someone else...than to live with my parents'. In Balangao and Bontoc special sentence types are posited (Referential Quotes) that might be called Citation Sentences: '...what you refer to here as bomoda'. A formula-like word amoh is prefixed to Balangao Rhetorical Question Sentences; it implies an opposite truth value: 'amoh it won't get wet? [implication: Of course it will!]'; and
'amoh that's exactly necessary? [implication: Of course it isn't!]. In Tausug a formula-like word sin 'whereas', 'really' is prefixed to Refutation Sentences: 'sin it wasn't I who stole the coconuts!' 'sin you did so lie to me!' The above seem to pass inspection as emic sentence types in that the addition of such a one-word Formula lexically and grammatically restricts the following Base exponent. Nevertheless, all such etic types with one-word initial Formulas are initially suspect of being simply Simple Sentences with a particular exponent of a sentence-initial conjunction slot (this may, as a matter of fact, be their derivation). For this reason the Simple Sentence is reintroduced on the right hand margin of the sentence neighborhood diagram and a solid line connects it with subneighborhood seven.

Subneighborhood eight includes not only Equational Quotation Sentences but also the Reason Sentence—which is an equational sentence which incorporates teleological margins (Cause and Purpose)—and any other sort of Equational Sentence (e.g. one which Incorporates a Time Margin). Thus: 'The reason that he went downtown was to escape from the house.' 'The reason he went downtown was because he was bored sitting around.' 'Corn-harvesting is when we have the most fun.' The last example, in which exponents of Time Margin are incorporated into an Equational Sentence is witnessed to by Dibabawon Manobo. A dotted line connects Equational Sentence with subneighborhood three in that temporal relations based on incorporated Time Margins characterize both regions of the chart. All equational types are, of course, suspect with the Equational Quotation Sentences in that sometimes one sentence type will accommodate them all. On the other hand more than one type may need to be posited.

While the Equational Direct Quotation Sentence is suspect with the Direct Quote Sentence, sentences of subneighborhood six are suspect of being one sentence type with corresponding sentences of subneighborhood eight. 'He told him that Jim had been hurt' and 'What he told him was that Jim had been hurt'. 'He thought that the whole thing looked bad' and 'What he thought was that the whole thing looked bad'. 'He discovered that everyone was discouraged' and 'What he discovered was that everyone was discouraged'.

Finally, the Equational Sentences bear a certain resemblance to Equational Clauses (cf. 2.7). For this reason a solid line connects subneighborhood eight and the Simple Sentence.

4.3 Alternation Sentences

In some languages, the Alternation Sentence involves a preposed Formula; in others it does not. As we have seen, the
Alternation Sentence sometimes lines up in sentence systems within the same column as the Antithetical Sentence (since both involve positive-negative contrast, antonyms, and other more ad hoc sorts of contrasts), or with both the Antithetical and Coordinate Sentences. In Tausug, the Alternation Sentence lines up systematically with the Coordinate and Sequence Sentences.

The Alternation Sentence shares with the sentence types of subneighborhood one a common tendency towards formal and/or semantic parallelism. In some languages (cf. Inibaloi) 'or' is used in a weakened sense in which it no longer intervenes between alternatives but introduces a synonym or rephrasing of Base 1 much in the manner of a Paraphrase Sentence: 'If we are able to raise our standard of living or improve our general condition...'. A solid line joins therefore subneighborhood one to the Alternation Sentence.

The Alternation Sentence and the two Alternative Question Sentences of subneighborhood nine are suspect of comprising but one emic type as is seen by comparing 'Are you coming or not' with 'I'm asking you, are you coming or not' or 'I'm asking you whether you are coming or not'. In some languages, e.g. Western Bukidnon Manobo, alternatives are not expressed without some Formula as 'I'll see...' or 'I don't know...'. In other languages such a Formula may be posited as an optional element in the Alternation Sentence. In either case, but one emic sentence type need be posited. In other languages the Alternation Sentence and the Alternative Question Sentences of subneighborhood nine are suspect of comprising but one emic type as is seen by comparing 'Are you coming or not' with 'I'm asking you, are you coming or not' or 'I'm asking you whether you are coming or not'. In some languages, e.g. Western Bukidnon Manobo, alternatives are not expressed without some Formula as 'I'll see...' or 'I don't know...'. In other languages such a Formula may be posited as an optional element in the Alternation Sentence. In either case, but one emic sentence type need be posited. In other languages the Alternation Sentence and the Alternative Question Sentences are clearly separate structures--although the former may embed within the latter. For the languages included in this study, the two Alternative Question Sentences, Direct and Indirect, constitute separate sentence types only in Aborlan Tagbanwa. In some of the other languages each of them belongs to a distinct sentence type. Thus, the Alternative Direct Question may combine with the Direct Quote Sentence, while the Alternative Indirect Question may combine with (a) Indirect Question; or (b) Indirect Question plus Indirect Quote; or (c) Alternation Sentence.

In comparing the etic Alternative Indirect Question with the etic Indirect Question, the following are relevant: (a) If the direct question that is transformed to indirect be of the yes-no variety, then alternatives are implied whether or not they are stated: 'He asked me whether you were coming [or not]'. (b) But if the direct question that is so transformed be an information (or content) question, then no alternatives are implied: 'He asked me when you were coming'. 'I can't say what he is doing'.

4.4 Summary observations

Looking again at the neighborhood scheme as a whole, several observations can be made as to recognizable groupings larger than a subneighborhood.
(1) The neighborhood is one continuous structure which might better be represented upon a cylinder. Repetition of Simple Sentence on both edges of the graph symbolizes this continuity.

(2) The sentence neighborhood consists of two interlocking halves in one of which the Coordinate and Antithetical Sentences are focal, in the other of which the Direct Quotation and Non-Speech Indirect Quotation are focal.

(3) The prominence of Coordinate and Antithetical in the left half of the chart leads one to posit that conjoining is basic in that area. Juxtaposition is conjoining with a null Link or Marker. The most typical structure in the left hand side of the chart is the Coordinate Sentence.

(4) In subneighborhoods one, four, and part of three the basic derivative process is conjoining (or juxtaposition) into one sentence of exponents of consecutive tagmemes (i.e. component sentences) within the several paragraph types.

(5) In neighborhood five, most of neighborhood three, and in part of neighborhood eight, the basic derivative process is that consisting in bringing an exponent of a sentence margin into the nucleus.

(6) Quotation is basic to the right hand side of the chart whose most typical structure is the Direct Quote Sentence. Subneighborhood six is an area where less typical quotation structures are found. Subneighborhood nine is an area where quotation and alternation intersect. Subneighborhood eight is an area where quotation and equational structures intersect. Subneighborhood seven is an area characterized by a formal feature typical of quotation, the presence of a Formula tagmeme, but not properly quotative.

(7) Ties between the two halves of the chart are: (a) The Alternation Sentence (of the right side) has certain systemic resemblances to the Coordinate and the Antithetical, and an even closer tie to sentence types in subneighborhood one. (b) The Antithetical Sentence (of the left side) has a particular tie with the Mistaken Impression Sentence of subneighborhood six. (c) There is a resemblance between the Equational Sentence of subneighborhood eight and certain sentences of subneighborhood three.

(8) The Simple Sentence occupies a position of peculiar interest. Sentence types of subneighborhoods seven and eight
have nuclei which resemble single clauses and hence Simple Sentence. They may in fact be set up as etic sentence types in some languages only to be collapsed later into Simple Sentences. Thus the Simple Sentence has some close ties with the right hand side of the chart. On the other hand, some Simple Sentences can be regarded as the end product of a continuum in which juxtaposed sentences (whether Coordinate or from neighborhoods one or three) develop into Merged Sentences, then one Base of the latter is deleted until only the verb remains and the latter is reduced to an auxiliary verb. As a matter of fact, wherever there is considerable deletion in either Base of a Merged Sentence the latter becomes suspect of being a simple (one-clause) sentence containing a predicate expounded by main verb plus auxiliary.48

It is evident that the chart is neither a classification wholly in terms of logico-linguistic categories nor in terms of derivation and form. Thus, while the formal feature of juxtaposition crops up almost anywhere on the left hand side of the chart so that we cannot set up a specific juxtaposed sentence neighborhood, nevertheless subneighborhood one is a logico-linguistic area where juxtaposed sentences most frequently occur. Again: while the category of quotation dominates the right hand side of the chart, a formal feature of quotation, viz. the presence of a Formula tagmeme, is found in subneighborhood seven but the logico-linguistic category of quotation is not found there.

A satisfactory approach to sentence structures cannot, therefore, be wholly in terms of either logical (or logico-linguistic categories) or in terms of derivation and form. The analysis, like actual language, must reflect a tension between form and function. Nevertheless, the neighborhood scheme gives a cataloguing and arrangement of the lexical categories which are encoded in the grammar of the sentence as well as of some formal and derivational features of the sentence level. This scheme can and will be further elaborated and modified as further sentence systems are described throughout the world.

Note on Orthographies

The orthographies used to transcribe data in this report are those currently in use in preparation of reading materials in the various languages. In general the symbols have much their traditional value. The following exceptions may be noted: [ŋ] is written with the sequence ng as in the National Language equivalent; [ə] (schwa) is written in various languages as e (Bontoc, Kalamian Tagbanwa), o (Dibabawon), ê (Balangao), and u (Mansaka).

Glottal stop has various orthographic forms; q (including word initial in Kalinga); - (usually only written when following a consonant and preceding a vowel as in Agta, Itneg, and Bontoc); ^ (Atta Negrito, Siocon Subanon, Western Bukidnon Manobo, and Tagabili; ^ (denotes glottal + length/stress in Atta); ' (adapted for Batak throughout this paper); - and ' (the former occurs after a consonant, the latter after a vowel as in Ilianen Manobo and Tausug); ' and - (the former occurs word final and the latter occurs syllable initial in Kalagan); ` (occurs syllable final in Balangao); ` and - (the former occurs syllable final and the latter occurs word medial as second member of a consonant cluster in Binukid (Manobo)). Generally, glottal stop is not written word initially or intervocalically.

In several languages, stem reduplication is separated by a hyphen (Dibabawon, Binukid (Manobo), and Kalamian Tagbanwa).

For a typical orthography, see Volume I, page 196, footnote 1.