Defining and Understanding Parentification: Implications for All Counselors

Lisa M. Hooper The University of Alabama

ABSTRACT

This article advances a balanced discussion of the extent to which varied outcomes are evidenced in adulthood after one has been parentified in childhood. Recommendations are provided that may help counselors avoid the potential overpathologizing of clients with a history of parentification. Suggestions for clinical practice are put forth for all counselors.

Parentification ubiquitous is phenomenon that most school. community, and family counselors as well as other human helpers face (Byng-Hall, 2002). That is, most counselors are likely to encounter both children and who have a history parentification—a potential form neglect (Boszormenyi-Nagy & Spark, 1973; Chase, 1999). What parentification, and given its relationship with negative outcomes and behaviors, what can counselors do to avoid overpathologizing the client's signs, symptoms, and behaviors associated with parentification? This paper offers a review of what clinical practitioners and researchers have described in the literature. Subsequent to a brief review of the literature, suggestions regarding practice efforts directed toward clients who have experienced parentification are put forward.

Defining Parentification

Parentification is the distortion or lack of boundaries between and among family subsystems, such that children take on roles and responsibilities usually reserved for adults (Boszormenyi-Nagy & Spark, 1973). That is, either explicitly implicitly, parents create environment that fosters caretaking behaviors in their children that help maintain homeostasis (i.e., balance) for the family in general and the parent in beyond particular. Above and maintaining homeostasis for the family, the responsibilities that are carried out by the parentified child are traditionally behaviors that provide the parent with the specific emotional and instrumental support that the parent likely did not receive while he or she was growing up (Boszormenyi-Nagy & Spark, 1973; Minuchin, Montalvo, Guerney, Rosman, & Schumer, 1967). Thus, the child must be emotionally available for the parent, even though the parent is often emotionally unavailable for the child, which may engender a chronic state of anxiety and distress in some emotionally children (Bowen, parentified Briere, 1992; Cicchetti, 2004). The clinical literature has also reported that the breakdown in the generational hierarchy may rob the child of activities that are developmentally appropriate; the child instead participates in either instrumental or emotional caregiving behaviors directed toward parents. siblings, or both that may go unrewarded and unrecognized (Boszormenyi-Nagy

& Spark,1973; Jurkovic, 1997; Kerig, 2005; Minuchin et al.,1967). Some research and practitioners contend that to fully understand the aftereffects of parentification, the type of parentification (i.e., emotional and instrumental) experienced in the family must be assessed (Jurkovic, 1997).

parentification Emotional the participation in the "socioemotional needs of family members and the family as a whole" (Jurkovic, Morrell, & Thirkield, 1999, p. 94). Behaviors described by Jurkovic and colleagues "serving as include, a confidant, companion, or mate-like figure, mediating family conflict, and providing nurturance and support" parentification Instrumental is the participation in the "physical maintenance and sustenance of the family" (Jurkovic et al., 1999, p. 94). Behaviors described by Jurkovic and colleagues include, grocery shopping, housecleaning, cooking, performance of daily duties that involve caring for parents and siblings" (p. 94).

Of significance to counselors and other mental health practitioners, not all children who are parentified will experience negative aftereffects (Byng-Hall, 2002; DiCaccavo, 2006; Earley & Cushway, 2002; Tompkins, 2007). In fact, approximately only one-fourth of all children who experience neglect will go on to experience negative aftereffects (Alexander, 1992; Cicchetti & Toth, 1995; Golden, 1999; Toth & Cicchetti, 1996; West & Keller, 1991). The next section takes a less myopic view of the potential aftereffects of parentification often reported in the literature. following section includes a brief review of the research base of both negative and positive outcomes associated with parentification.

Understanding Parentification: The Negative and Positive Effects of Parentification

Established Negative Effects. Studies in the last 30 years have established a relationship between parentification and later maladjustment. Researchers have found linkages from early childhood stress/trauma to child and parent factors such as divorce (Wallerstein, 1985), parental alcohol and drug use (Bekir, McLellan, Childress, & Gariti, 1993), disruption in attachment (Zeanah & Zeanah, 1989), family discord, low socioeconomic status (Boszormenyi-Nagy & Spark, 1973; Minuchin et al., 1967), depression, and attachment and relational difficulties (Jones & Wells, 1996).

The effects of childhood parentification can be long-lasting, multigenerational, and deleterious, presenting over the course of a lifetime (Chase, 1999; Karpel, 1976; West & Keller, 1991). For young adults, parentification can impede "normal" development related relationship building, personality formation, and other developmentally critical processes (Burt, 1992; Goglia, Jurkovic, Burt, & Burge-Callaway, 1992; Sessions & Jurkovic, 1986; Wolkin, 1984). Valleau, Bergner, and Horton (1995) found that children who are parentified have significantly more "caretaker characteristics" in adulthood than do those children who are not parentified. Similarly, Jones and Wells (1996) found an association between personality characteristics "people pleasing" and adults who had been parentified. Further, their study, comprising 208 undergraduate students

from a large Midwestern university, found that participants who were destructively parentified as children often relate to others in problematic, overfunctioning, caretaking ways.

Domains like separating from the family participating origin, in appropriate behaviors (Olson & Gariti, 1993), engaging in academic pursuits, and developing self-esteem can also be affected (Bekir et al., 1993; Chase, Demming, & Wells, 1998). Other aftereffects may include mental illness in general, and depression, anxiety, substance abuse. and dependence disorders in particular. For example, Chase et al. (1998) found relationships between high levels of parentification and academic achievement and parental use of alcohol. These findings are consistent with multiple studies that have established a relationship between parentification and alcohol use by at least one parent or guardian (Bekir et al.,1993; Goglia et al., 1992). Bekir et al. concluded that adults who abuse alcohol or drugs are often unable to perform their parental duties and that, therefore, the parentified child is often left to care for self, siblings, and parents. Bekir et al. also found that the parentified child is often inclined to repeat the same behaviors as an adult with his or her own children. Borderline personality and dissociative disorders, although rare, can be evidenced in extreme cases of this phenomenon (Cicchetti, 2004; Liotti, 1992; Wells & Jones, 2000; Widom, 1999).

As previously mentioned, neglect such as parentification can be and often is traumatic for a child as well as for the adult he or she becomes (Aldridge, 2006; Alexander, 1992; Chase, 1999; Jurkovic, 1998). Trauma is often

experienced when a situation or environment is perceived as being overwhelming, threatening, and too much for the individual (Briere, 1992; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984), or when a chronically stressful situation becomes unrelenting and the individual is unable to adapt and cope with the experience in a healthy functional way (Brewin, Andrews, & Gotlib, 1993; Werner, 1990).

Parentification can therefore be characterized as a traumatic event and an adverse process, in accord with the definitions and criteria put forward in the family and trauma literature, that have long-lasting effects experienced adulthood (Belsky, 1990; Briere, 1992; Chase, 1999; Cicchetti, 2004). Further, extant literature on parentification has shown that the process is in fact adverse for most children and that it can later be linked to poor adult functioning. The process of childhood parentification can, in the adults those children become, produce a fear of having children and/or lead to the transmission parentification across many generations (Boszormenyi-Nagy & Spark, 1973; Bowen, 1978; Chase et al., 1998).

Potential Positive Effects

Because of the trauma often related to the parentification process (e.g., significant distress, adversity, dissociation, and even suicide [Jurkovic, 1997; Markowitz, 1994), research has tended to focus on psychopathology and other negative outcomes (Barnett & Parker, 1998; Walker & Lee, 1998). There is a dearth of research discussing positive outcomes after childhood parentification. One of the few studies to do so, conducted by Jurkovic and Casey

(2000), reported on the linkage between emotional parentification interpersonal competence among Latino That study's adolescents. findings suggested that higher levels of emotional parentification are predictive of higher levels of interpersonal competence. On other hand. adolescents experience low levels of emotional parentification—in a family system in which they perceive the parentification process (i.e., the assignment of and the responsibility to carry out parent like duties) to be unfair—also experience low levels of competence. Jurkovic and Casey concluded that parentification has the potential to promote competence. Additionally, they suggested potentially critical to positive outcomes after parentification is the degree to which the child perceived the process to be fair. In the context of a family system where children have reported that the parentification process was "fair" also reported that their parent-like behaviors and responsibilities did not go unnoticed thev carried out those responsibilities for brief periods of time.

Of significance, a family system absent of parentification may prevent some children of the skills and abilities they could across domains use and throughout their lives—although more research is needed to clarify and support this assertion. Towards this end, in Thirkield's (2002) study examining the relationship between instrumental childhood parentification in interpersonal competence in adulthood, a significant positive linear relationship was obtained. Thirkield also found a relationship between positive positive outcomes (operationalized as interpersonal competence), and instrumental parentification. Findings from these studies (Jurkovic & Casey,2000; Thirkield,2002) provide preliminary support showing that (a) benefits may be engendered by the parentification process, and (b) benefits may last over time.

In a more recent study conducted by Walsh, Zvulun, Bar-On, & Tsur (2006) they examined the extent to which the parentification process mav associated with positive factors among adolescent immigrants. In their study they found parentification was related to positive outcomes such as high levels of individuation and differentiation from the family system. They also found when adolescent immigrants and nonimmigrants perceived their roles and responsibilities fair as appropriate the outcome was positive: sense of mastery and competence. Thus they concluded the provision of parentlike roles and responsibilities among the study sample engendered individual autonomy, self-mastery, and family cohesion. McMahon and Luthar (2007) also found a relationship between adjustment psychosocial parentification. Of significance, and in support of divergent findings related to parentification and adult childhood and outcomes, McMahon Luthar contend this process and its associated outcomes are multidetermined multifactorial, even in the context of long-standing levels severe, parentification. For example, among their study sample of children living in poverty, the researchers failed to find a significant, stable relationship between parentification and poor outcomes.

Discussion

Given the overwhelming findings regarding negative outcomes, counselors

may be inclined to delimit their therapeutic encounters to investigations that look for the negative outcomes often seen among this population (DiCaccavo, 2006; Earley & Cushway, 2002; Kerig, 2005). This potential overpathologizing among counselors (Barnett & Parker, 1998; Hooper, 2007) could result in missed opportunities to uncover exceptions, that is, when positive skills and coping strategies are experienced. Consistent with a wellness, strengthbased counseling framework, counselors should assess for clients' strengths—if any—derived from the parentification process and infuse them into the counseling and treatment planning process. Therefore, the advantage of the application of the counseling wellness framework—as compared to a deficit or medical model framework—is that it allows for the explication of differential outcomes—both negative and positive parentification associated with (DiCaccavo, 2006; Hooper, 2007; Jurkovic, 1997; Mayseless, et al., 2004).

In the case of potential neglect, such as parentification, many factors. previously described, may contribute to the same event or process leading to divergent outcomes. For example, parentification can be perceived as traumatic, as stressful but not traumatic, or as a regular, even an anticipated cultural event in the course of daily living (Walsh, et al., 2006). To this end, a large body of trauma literature has suggested that the *number* of stressors has more to do with the outcome or aftereffects than does a particular stressor itself (Waller, 2001). Thus, in the case of parentification, the number of stressors may influence the outcome exhibited in both childhood and adulthood.

Also, as asserted in the parentification literature (Chase, 1999; Jurkovic, 1997, 1998; Minuchin et al., 1967), how long the stressor was related to providing caregiving to the parent and sibling is also a contributing factor for those children who carry out the parentified role in their family of origin. Those who perform this role for short periods of time may perceive the role as less overwhelming, stressful, or traumatic than will others (Byng-Hall, 2002; Saakvitne & Tennen, 1998; Tedeschi & Calhoun. 1995). Finally, from developmental perspective, older children are likely to feel more equipped to take on the caregiving role than younger children, thereby influencing growth or distress outcomes associated to the parentification process.

All counselors should consider the following points when working with clients who have a history of parentification.

- 1. First, consider that not all clients who are parentified experience negative sequlae that are often reported in the clinical and research literature (Barnett & Parker, 1998; Byng-Hall, 2002; Jurkovic, 1997; Jurkovic & Casey, 2000; McMahon & Luthar, 2007; Thirkield, 2002; Tompkins, 2007).
- 2. Consider how long the parentification process has been going on. The resultant aftereffects may be different for clients for whom the process is brief and temporary as compared to long and chronic (DiCaccavo, 2006; Tompkins, 2007). Shorter brief episodes of parentification may foster competency and self-efficacy in the client rather than

pathological, poor outcomes (McMahon & Luthar, 2007).

- 3. Consider the age of the client. The aftereffects are likely to be different for a younger child who is parentified as compared to an older adolescent (Kaplow & Widon, 2007; Walsh et al., 2006).
- 4.Determine if the parentification process is delimited to instrumental, emotional, or both. The research suggests emotional parentification may be more deleterious than instrumental parentification (Hooper, 2007; McMahon & Luthar, 2007; Tompkins, 2007).
- 5.Consider the cultural and familial context in which the client is embedded. For example, how do the family and people who adopt the client's culture perceive the parentification process (Jurkovic, et al., 2001; Walsh, et al., 2006)? Is the parentification process culturally expected and valued?
- 6.Consider using a questionnaire to capture the level, type, and perceived fairness of parentification (e.g., Jurkovic & Thirkield, 1998, for child and adult instruments).
- 7.Examine to what extent the client feels the parentification process is "fair." Again, research suggests if the process is perceived to be "fair" then it is often associated with fewer negative outcomes (Jurkovic, et al., 1999).
- 8. There may be strengths engendered by the parentification process (Hooper, 2007; Tompkins, 2007). Thus it may be helpful to explore both positive and negative aspects of the parentification process.

9.Involve the family if possible. Education may be all the family needs to help the client and family restore or reestablish the appropriate boundaries where the child (if working with a child or adolescent) has a safe, appropriate context to grow, learn, differentiate, and thrive (Walsh et al., 2006).

10.Consider a referral. Depending on the context in which a counselor works, and the extent and level of adversity associated with the parentification process, specific trauma-based counseling (Calhoun & Tedeschi, 1999) may be indicated.

Summary

Counselors and researchers have long demonstrated a clear awareness of the deleterious effects of parentification in general (Chase, 1999; Jurkovic, 1997; Mayseless, Bartholomew, Henderson, & Trinke, 2004). On the other hand, and at the same time, Barnett and Parker (1998) concurred with Boszormenyi-Nagy and Spark (1973) that it may in fact be maladaptive to avoid or miss out on any parental roles in the family of origin—in that many lessons for adulthood and parenthood are derived family-related from roles responsibilities (i.e., parentification) during childhood. Recently, Barnett and Parker (1998) have questioned whether parentification leads to early competence or childhood deprivation. Similarly, one of the "founding fathers" (Boszormenyi-Nagy) of the construct of parentification reminded counselors. researchers, and the like that "the term describes a ubiquitous and important aspect of most human relationships. It is suggested that parentification should not be unconditionally ascribed to the realm of 'pathology' or relational dysfunction.

It [parentification] is a component of the regressive core of even balanced, sufficiently reciprocal relationships" (Boszormenyi-Nagy & Spark, 1973, p. 151)◆

AUTHOR NOTE

Correspondence regarding the manuscript should be directed to: Lisa M. Hooper, Ph.D., Department of Educational Studies in Psychology, Research Methodology, and Counseling, The University of Alabama, Box 870231, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35487-0231. Email: lhooper@bamaed.ua.edu

REFERENCES

Aldridge, J. (2006). The experiences of children living with and caring for parents with mental illness. *Child Abuse Review*, 15, 79-88.

Alexander, P. C. (1992). Application of attachment theory to the study of sexual abuse. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*, 60, 185-195.

Barnett, B., & Parker, G. (1998). The parentified child: Early competence or childhood deprivation. *Child Psychology & Psychiatry Review*, *3*(4), 146-154.

Bekir, P., McLellan, T., Childress, A. R., & Gariti, P. (1993). Role reversals in families of substance abusers: A transgenerational phenomenon. *The International Journal of the Addictions*, 28(6), 613-630.

Belsky, J. (1990). Parental and nonparental child care and children's socioemotional development: A decade in review. *Journal of Marriage*, *52*, 885-903.

Boszormenyi-Nagy, I., & Spark, G. (1973). *Invisible loyalties: Reciprocity in intergenerational family therapy*. Hagerstown, MD: Harper & Row.

Bowen, M. (1978). Family therapy in clinical practice. New York: Jason Aronson.

Brewin, C., Andrews, B., & Gotlib, I. (1993). Psychopathology and early experience: A reappraisal of retrospective reports. *Psychological Bulletin*, 13(1), 82-98.

Briere, J. (1992). *Child abuse trauma: Theory and treating of the lasting effects.* Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Burt, A. (1992). Generation boundary distortion: Implications for object relations development. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA.

Byng-Hall, J. (2002). Relieving parentified children's burden in families with insecure attachment patterns. *Family Process*, *41*, 375-388.

Calhoun, L. G. & Tedeschi, R. G. (1999). Facilitating posttraumatic growth: A clinician's guide. Mahwah, NJ: Lawerence Erlbaum.

Carver, C. (1998). Resilience and thriving: Issues, models, and linkages. *Journal of Social Issues*, *54*(2), 245-267.

Chase, N. (1999). An overview of theory, research, and societal issues. In N. Chase (Ed.), *Burdened children* (pp. 3-33). New York: The Guilford Press.

Chase, N., Demming, M., & Wells, M. (1998). Parentification, parental alcoholism, and academic status among young adults. *The American Journal of Family Therapy*, 25, 105-114.

Cicchetti, D. (2004). An odyssey of discovery: Lessons learned through three decades of research on child maltreatment. *American Psychologist*, 59, 4-14.

Cicchetti, D., & Toth, S. (1995). A developmental psychopathology perspective on child abuse and neglect. Journal of American Academy of Child Adolescent Psychiatry, 34, 541-565.

DiCaccavo, A. (2006). Working with parentification: Implications for clients and counselling psychologists. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*, 79, 469-478.

Earley, L., & Cushway, D. J. (2002). The parentified child. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 7, 163-178.

Goglia, L., Jurkovic, G., Burt, A., & Burge-Callaway, C. (1992). Generational boundary distortions by adult children of alcoholics: Child-asparent and child-as-mate. The *American*

Journal of Family Therapy, 20, 291-299. Golden, O. (1999). The federal response to child abuse and neglect. American Psychologist, 55, 1050-1053.

Hooper, L. M. (2007). Expanding the discussion regarding parentification and its varied outcomes: Implications for mental health research and practice. *Journal of Mental Health Counseling*, 29(2), 322-337.

Jones, R., & Wells, M. (1996). An empirical study of parentification and personality. *The American Journal of Family Therapy*, 24, 145-152.

Jurkovic, G. J. (1997). *The plight of the parentified child*. New York: Brunner Mazel Inc.

Jurkovic, G. J. (1998). Destructive parentification in families: Causes and consequences. In L. L'Abate (Ed.), *Family psychopathology* (pp. 237-255). New York: The Guilford Press.

Jurkovic, G. J., & Casey, S. (2000, March). Parentification in immigrant Latino adolescents. Presentation in G. P. Kuperminc (Chair), *Proyecto Juventud: A Multidisciplinary Study of Immigrant Latino Adolescents*, symposium conducted at the meeting of the Society for Applied Anthropology, San Francisco, CA.

Jurkovic, G. J., & Thirkield, A. (1998). Parentification questionnaire. (Available from G. J. Jurkovic, Department of Psychology, Georgia State University, University Plaza, Atlanta, GA 30303)

Jurkovic, G. J., Morrell, R., & Thirkield, (1999). Assessing childhood parentification: Guidelines for researchers and clinicians. In N. Chase (Ed.), *Burdened children* (pp. 92-113). New York: The Guilford Press.

Kaplow, J. B. & Widom, C. S. (2007). Age of onset of child maltreatment predicts long-term mental health outcomes. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *116*(1), 176-187.

Karpel, M. (1976). Intrapsychic and interpersonal processes in the parentification of children. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *38*, 365. (UMI No. 77-15090)

Kerig, P. (2005). Revisiting the construct of boundary dissolution: A multidimensional perspective. *Journal of Emotional Abuse*, 5. 5-42.

Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. New York: Springer Publishing. Liotti, G. (1992). Diorganized/disoriented attachment in the etiology of the dissociative disorders. *Dissociation, 4*, 196-204.

Markowitz, F. (1994). Family dynamics and teenage immigrant: Creating the self through the parents' image. *Adolescence*, 29, 151-161.

Mayseless, O., Bartholomew, K., Henderson, A., & Trinke, S. (2004). I was more her mom than she was mine: Role reversal in a community sample. *Family Relations*, *53*, 78-86.

McMahon, T. J., & Luthar, S. S. (2007). Defining characteristics and potential consequences of caretaking burden among children living in poverty. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 77(2), 267-281.

Minuchin, S., Montalvo, B., Guerney, B., Rosman, B., & Schumer, F. (1967). *Families of the slums*. New York: Basic Books.

Olson, M., & Gariti, P. (1993). Symbolic loss in horizontal relating: Defining the role of parentification in addictive/destructive relationships. *Contemporary Family Therapy*, 15(3), 197-208.

Saakvitne, K. W., & Tennen, H. (1998). Exploring thriving in the context of clinical trauma theory: Constructivist self-development theory. *Journal of Social Issues*, *54*, 279-300.

Sessions, M. W., & Jurkovic, G. J. (1986). The parentification questionnaire. (Available from Gregory J. Jurkovic, Department of Psychology, Georgia State University, University Plaza, Atlanta, GA 30303)

Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G. (1995). *Trauma and transformation*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Thirkield, A. (2002). The role of fairness in emotional and social outcomes of childhood filial responsibility.
Unpublished doctoral dissertation,
Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA.
(UMI No. 3036391)

Tompkins, T. L. (2007). Parentification and maternal HIV infection: Beneficial role or pathological burden. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, *16*, 113-123.

Toth, S. L., & Cicchetti, D. (1996). Patterns of relatedness, depressive symptomatology, and perceived competence in maltreated children. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 64(1), 32-41.

Valleau, P. M., Bergner, R. M., & Horton, C. B. (1995). Parentification and caretaker syndrome: An empirical investigation. *Family Therapy*, 22, 157-164.

Walker, J. P., & Lee, R. E. (1998). Uncovering strengths of children of alcoholic parents. *Journal of Contemporary Family Therapy*, 20(4) 521-533.

Waller, M. A. (2001). Resilience in ecosystemic context: Evolution of the concept. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 71(3), 290-297.

Wallerstein, S. S. (1985, March-April). The overburdened child: Some long-term consequences of divorce. *Social Work*, 116-123.

Walsh, S., Shulman, S., Bar-on, Z., & Tsur, A. (2006). The role of parentification and family climate in adaptation among immigrants in Israel. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 16, 321-350.

Werner, E. E. (1990). Protective factors and individual resilience. In S. Meisels & J. Shonkoff (Eds.), *Handbook of early childhood intervention*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Wells, M., & Jones, R. (2000). Childhood parentification and shame-proneness: A preliminary study. *American Journal of FamilyTherapy*, 28(1),19-28.

West, M. L., & Keller, A. E. (1991). Parentification of the child: A case study of Bowlby's compulsive care-giving attachment pattern. *American Journal of Psychotherapy*, 45, 425-431.

Widom, C. S. (1999). Posttraumatic stress disorder in abused and neglected children grown up. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *156*(8), 1223-1229.

Wolkin, J. (1984). Childhood parentification: An exploration of long-term effects. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA. (UMI No. 912254)

Zeanah, C. H., & Zeanah, P. D. (1989). Intergenerational transmission of maltreatment: Insights from attachment theory and research. *Psychiatry*, 52, 177-196.