Given the direct relationships among physical inactivity, obesity, and chronic disease, it is important to understand the underlying mechanisms of the factors that can influence physical inactivity and to determine causal pathways that lead to physical inactivity as they emerge across developmental time. One potential causal pathway that has been largely ignored in the literature is the influence of motor skill development on physical activity levels. Although significant attention has been given to promoting physical activity in children via intervention (McKenzie, Nader, Strikmiller, & Yang, 1996; McKenzie et al., 2003; Sallis et al., 1997), little attention has been given to the developmental process of how children learn to move and to the changing role that emerging motor skill development plays in children’s physical activity levels as they grow. We must find ways to mitigate the health risks of inactivity, obesity, and the lack of health-related physical fitness.

We believe that the lack of an interdisciplinary approach to address this problem has limited our ability as researchers to identify and understand the underlying mechanisms that can lead to either physical activity or physical inactivity. Thus, we have not been able to slow down or diminish these growing problems. Specifically, we believe that the limited advancement in solving the crisis of physical inactivity and obesity is due to a lack of understanding of (1) the relationship between motor skill development and physical activity; (2) the mediating effects of variables, including perceived motor skill competence, obesity, and health-related physical fitness; (3) the dynamic and changing relationships among these variables across developmental time; and (4) the use of appropriate developmental and physical activity measures. With this in mind, we have developed a model (figure 1) representing what we believe are important concepts that have been identified in the literature, but have not been integrated and systematically linked to the understanding of why so many individuals become inactive as they move to adolescence and adulthood.

The Role of Motor Skill Development

At the heart of our conceptual model is a reciprocal and dynamic relationship between motor skill competence and physical activity. Motor skill competence is defined in terms of common fundamental motor skills (FMS): object control (e.g., throw and kick) and locomotor skills (e.g., run and hop). A common misconception is that children “naturally” attain proficient levels of FMS; however, many children do not (Clark, 2007; Goodway & Branta, 2003; Goodway, Suminski, & Ruiz, 2003; Langendorfer & Roberton, 2002a, b). Many of these children may not attain sufficient competence in FMS to apply these skills to lifelong physical activity in adolescence or adulthood (Goodway & Branta, 2003; Goodway et al., 2003). We suggest that children’s physical activity in early childhood may drive their development of motor skill competence in the form of FMS acquisition. Increased physical activity provides more opportunities to promote neuromotor development, which in turn promotes FMS development (Fisher et al., 2005; Okely, Booth, & Patterson, 2001b).
Differences in the initial levels of FMS development are due to many factors, including the immediate environment, structured physical education, socioeconomic status, parental influences, and climate. Thus, we hypothesize that young children (ages 4-7) will demonstrate various levels of physical activity and motor skill development that are weakly related (i.e., low correlations between levels of physical activity and motor skill development). As children transition into middle childhood and adolescence, we believe the relationship between physical activity and motor skill development becomes more significant and will strengthen. During middle childhood and adolescence, higher levels of motor skill development will offer greater opportunities to engage in various physical activities, sports, and games. We expect that more highly skilled children will self-select higher levels of physical activity, while children who are less skillful will choose to engage in lower levels of physical activity. At this point, we believe the ability to demonstrate skillfulness in many types of sports, games, and activities will influence physical activity levels. As children transition into adolescence and early adulthood, we believe that the relationship between FMS development and physical activity will grow stronger. Thus, we may not fully realize the effect that motor skill development has on habitual physical activity until a person reaches adolescence or adulthood.

The Role of Mediating Variables

Although the primary focus of our conceptual model is the dynamic and synergistic relationship between motor skill competence and physical activity, we believe that perceived motor skill competence, health-related physical fitness, and obesity represent important mediating variables that will account for some of the heretofore unexplained variability found in physical activity research. Overall, we believe the sum effect of these mediating variables promotes either a negative or positive spiral of engagement in physical activity and also influences the possibility of continued development of FMS.

Perceived motor competence and health-related physical fitness can either worsen this negative spiral of disengagement or improve the positive spiral of engagement. The low-skilled children ultimately perceive themselves as having little motor skill competence, and thus they choose not to engage in physical activity, become less fit, and move further into the negative spiral of disengagement from physical activities, games, and sports (figure 1). This will ultimately result in high levels of physical inactivity and sedentary behavior and will place these individuals at greater risk for being obese during adolescence and adulthood. Research supports this view, suggesting that low-skilled children who have poor perceptions of their motor skill competence are less likely to seek and select physical activity in comparison to their more highly skilled peers (Butcher & Eaton, 1989; Fisher et al., 2005).

We suggest that the positive spiral of engagement will occur among the moderately and highly skilled children. Children who have higher perceived and actual motor skill competence will be more likely to persist in physical activities, especially those that they perceive as fun and intrinsically rewarding (Fisher et al., 2005). A positive spiral of engagement results in greater physical activity and fitness levels for these higher-skilled children because engagement in physical activities (1) provides more opportunities to further develop their motor skill competence, (2) leads to the development of higher and more accurate perceptions of motor skill competence, and (3) enables them to persist in activities for a greater amount of time, resulting in higher fitness levels. As children move from childhood to adolescence, a more substantial physical activity divide will emerge between low-skilled, inactive children who perceive themselves as poorly skilled, and their higher-skilled, more active peers who think physical activity is rewarding and fun.

Continues on page 48
and marketing? A lack of scientific-based arguments? Or a lack of confidence to stand for ourselves?

**The Role of Physical Education**

Throughout physical education's 120-year history, social demands on body shape have influenced how society views this field and its direct relation to issues of overweight and underweight. But how has physical education responded to these concerns and to the brand new scientific data that proves the benefits of physical activity for life? How has physical education responded to the increasing number of people adopting sedentary behaviors?

The lack of motor skills and ability often causes frustration among participants in physical activities, and repeated frustrated attempts lead to avoidance instead of adherence. The responsibility of physical education is to "educate" the body, giving knowledge about the potential of movement for developing the skills needed to participate with enjoyment in many kinds of physical activity. It is necessary, therefore, that physical education teacher-training programs take responsibility for educating the academy and the broader society of the role that physical education plays in preparing human beings for participation in physical activity.

In order to fix the "house," it is necessary that the professionals and scholars in the field of physical education evoke the past and learn from great leaders such as Luther Halsey Gulick, Jane Adams, Sidney Peixotto, and Thomas Denison Wood, who all believed in the field not as a salvation of the world but as an unquestioned component of the educational mission. History also suggests the need for a better integration of the subdisciplines in the future. Scientific data recently published on obesity, physical activity benefits, and sedentary behavior should be read from the perspective of the five dimensions of human life: historical, biological, anthropological, economical, psychological, and sociological (Strong et al., 2005). Furthermore, this knowledge should be transmitted to those served by our profession.

Historically speaking, physical education has not stood up for itself. It is time to do so.
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