

2023

## Flipped Classroom: Students' Cognitive Needs of Relatedness, Competence, and Autonomy in a Fully-Flipped Program

Amrinder Khosa

University of Tasmania, Australia, [amrinder.khosa@utas.edu.au](mailto:amrinder.khosa@utas.edu.au)

Steven Burch

University of Tasmania, Australia, [steven.burch@utas.edu.au](mailto:steven.burch@utas.edu.au)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/jutlp>

---

### Recommended Citation

Khosa, A., & Burch, S. (2023). Flipped Classroom: Students' Cognitive Needs of Relatedness, Competence, and Autonomy in a Fully-Flipped Program. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, 20(5).  
<https://doi.org/10.53761/1.20.5.03>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: [research-pubs@uow.edu.au](mailto:research-pubs@uow.edu.au)

---

# Flipped Classroom: Students' Cognitive Needs of Relatedness, Competence, and Autonomy in a Fully-Flipped Program

## Abstract

This study examines how the flipped classroom approach to teaching and learning supports or inhibits the cognitive needs of relatedness, competence, and autonomy, leading to either increased or decreased levels of motivation. We use semi-structured interviews involving twenty-two students and five facilitators in an Australian university to qualitatively investigate perceptions of motivation through the lens of self-determination theory (SDT). Using thematic analysis, our findings reveal that the flipped classroom approach supports students' cognitive need for relatedness by providing more opportunities for interaction in the classroom. Further, relatedness has been shown to facilitate internalisation and support competence as a result of students supporting each other. However, our findings demonstrate that despite overall satisfaction with the flipped learning environment, the students raised concerns about how the learning is executed. Among recommendations for pedagogical practices, academics and education providers are urged to create an environment that supports a sense of belongingness and self-endorsement of learning activities among students to promote more autonomous forms of motivation.

## Practitioner Notes

1. Relatedness has been shown to facilitate internalisation and support competence as a result of students being able to support each other through connections made in the classroom extending outside the class to support peer to peer learning.
2. Guiding students to see the importance of an activity for their career or goals is likely to facilitate internalisation, whereas completing an activity merely to fulfill a course requirement will not result in self-determination.
3. Educators should be aware that student motivation is influenced by the length of videos, provision of reading or notes to supplement videos and consequences of not completing the pre-class activities.
4. Facilitators' decision to repeat pre-class materials in the class creates a behavioural response from students and this should be avoided to send a consistent message.
5. Learning resources or assessments should be personalised as social context or familiarity with the learning resources is shown to enhance student motivation.

## Keywords

flipped learning environment; motivation; active learning; student cognition.

# Flipped classroom: Students' cognitive needs of relatedness, competence, and autonomy in a fully-flipped program

## Practitioner Notes

1. Relatedness has been shown to facilitate internalisation and support competence as a result of students being able to support each other through connections made in the classroom extending outside the class to support peer to peer learning.
2. Guiding students to see the importance of an activity for their career or goals is likely to facilitate internalisation, whereas completing an activity merely to fulfill a course requirement will not result in self-determination.
3. Educators should be aware that student motivation is influenced by the length of videos, provision of reading or notes to supplement videos and consequences of not completing the pre-class activities.
4. Facilitators' decision to repeat pre-class materials in the class creates a behavioural response from students and this should be avoided to send a consistent message.
5. Learning resources or assessments should be personalised as social context or familiarity with the learning resources is shown to enhance student motivation.

## Introduction

The global higher education landscape continues to face growing pressure from multiple sources. Factors that continue to put pressure on universities are (i) increased government funding constraints (e.g., Martin-Sardesai et al., 2021), (ii) new forms of competition where universities no longer control the information which is available to learners through massive open online courses (MOOCs) (DiRienzo & Lilly, 2014), and (iii) a new generation of students with diverse needs and backgrounds (Holmes et al., 2022; Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], 2017). Challenges in the current teaching and learning environment have become especially acute during the COVID-19 pandemic (Carnegie et al., 2022). As the delivery of higher education is linked with student satisfaction (Tho, 2017), adequate innovation in teaching practices is a key requirement to remaining competitive in the current environment.

Blended and flipped learning modes are viewed as a solution to current challenges higher education faces for two reasons. Firstly, the flipped classroom has been identified as a student-centered approach allowing students to complete online modules in their own time and at their own pace (Mingorance, et al., 2019) and the

### Academic Editors

Section: Curriculum and Assessment Design  
Senior Editor: Rebekkah Middleton  
Associate Editor: Suzanne Fergus

### Publication

Received: 09 JANUARY 2023  
Revision: 17 FEBRUARY 2023  
Accepted: 19 MARCH 2023  
Published:

Copyright: © by the authors, in its year of first publication. This publication is an open access publication under the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY-ND 4.0 license.

attainment of such flexibility is viewed as a means of attracting students (Sora, 2001). Further, this provides opportunities for educators to use the classroom time for collaborative and active learning (Wanner & Palmer, 2015). Secondly, blended and flipped models appear attractive to higher education administrators due to their cost-saving potential as some aspects of teaching and learning can be provided with minimal human contact (Oerther, 2017). Development of online learning resources can be time-consuming (Oerther, 2017), however, once the online modules are developed they can be delivered to a large number of students over different periods without the occurrence of additional delivery costs (O'Flaherty & Phillips, 2015).

As time spent on blended instructions often comes at the cost of research activities, efforts from universities to adopt new teaching modes continue to put pressure on academics in managing their workload (Samkin & Stainbank, 2016). Prior studies have reported increasing tensions on teaching and research-related activities among academics in the UK (Smith & Urquhart, 2018), Australia (Steenkamp & Roberts, 2020), Norway (Kyvik, 2013), and Netherlands (Leišytė, 2016). A recent study reported that academics perceive designing and implementing online learning activities and materials as a significant source of pressure (Steenkamp & Roberts, 2020). Despite academics' preference for traditional teaching methods, the use of blended learning approaches continues to gain popularity (Frick et al., 2020; Lage et al., 2000). Therefore, the current focus of this trend has shifted to understanding how the use of technology-mediated learning is affecting student outcomes (Becker et al., 2017).

Despite the recent rapid uptake in universities of the flipped and blended learning approaches, research on the flipped classroom is still in a nascent stage (Steen-Utheim & Foldness, 2018; Williams et al., 2019) and it is under-evaluated, under-theorised, and under-researched (Abeysekera & Dawson 2015; Li et al., 2021). Academic studies that investigate the large-scale implementation of the flipped classroom across multiple courses as opposed to the individual course as the unit of analysis are non-existent (Akçayir & Akçayir, 2018) and studies in the accounting discipline remain few (e.g., Brown et al., 2016; Frick et al., 2020; Lento, 2016). This dearth of research is surprising, given how technological advances have contributed to the rapid rise of the flipped classroom approach in higher education (Chen et al., 2016).

The flipped classroom is perceived to foster student engagement and active learning through preparatory work (O'Flaherty & Phillips, 2015) and the role of the educator is to mediate students' ownership of their own learning and self-regulative skills in the classroom (Steen-Utheim & Foldness, 2018). Therefore, students require increased motivation in the flipped environment as they need to self-initiate study before attending the class and are expected to be active participants in sharing, understanding, and constructing new knowledge (Damsa et al., 2015). Students' motivation can be an outcome of their learning environment, which can either support or inhibit the satisfaction of their basic cognitive needs, leading to higher or lower levels of motivation (Deci & Ryan 2008). Evidence on student motivation in a flipped learning environment is scarce and generally limited to quantitative analysis of student surveys and standalone interventions i.e., a single course (e.g., Sergis et al., 2018; Yoon et al., 2020; Zamzani & Perera, 2019). Chuang et al. (2018) identified that motivational factors might play a key role in the success of the flipped classroom indicating that learners with a high level of motivation benefit the most from the flipped classroom. However, they did not provide insight on whether the flipped classroom effects the motivation of learners. Therefore, this study aims to understand how the

unique setting of a fully-flipped program supports or inhibits the cognitive needs of relatedness, competence, and autonomy, thus leading to increased or decreased levels of motivation. Specifically, focusing on self-determination theory (SDT), we ask:

**Research Question:** How does the flipped classroom environment support (1) students' need for competence; (2) students' need for autonomy; (3) students' need for relatedness; and how does this support affect students' motivation levels?

As has been documented in the literature, the flipped classroom requires substantial student effort to complete pre-class work (highlighting the role of motivation) (Chen et al., 2014). Thus, our focus on the role of students' cognitive needs in supporting motivation offers new and valuable insights into this new approach to teaching and learning. We offer insight into the following areas: (1) large-scale implementation of the flipped classroom across multiple courses (the importance of which is identified by Akçayir & Akçayir [2018]); (2) instrumental learners and how teaching can support more expressive forms of learning (Ottewill [2003] calls for this less instrumental and more expressive approach to learning and teaching), and (3) the related role of personal and contextual factors (an issue identified by Lee & Shute [2010]). This research redresses the "under-theorisation" of the flipped classroom approach highlighted by Abeysekera & Dawson (2015) and Li et al. (2021). We propose that to facilitate pedagogically the transition from a passive to an active learning environment requires focus on the three basic psychological needs of competence, autonomy and relatedness (identified in SDT), individual dispositions (interest), and environmental factors. This assessment of the flipped learning environment is both relevant and timely in potentially enhancing student learning outcomes.

This paper is organised as follows: we begin by examining the relevant literature and then presenting the theoretical framework. Afterwards, we outline the research methods including the context of the research setting followed by our results. We then discuss the research findings and limitations before providing a conclusion.

## Literature

The body of empirical research examining the environments of blended learning or the flipped classroom in accounting education continues to be in its infancy, with only a handful of studies focusing on the assessment of these teaching and learning approaches. Flipped learning is a pedagogical approach in which information delivery moves from the group learning space to the individual space are often facilitated by online digital tools such as pre-recorded lectures and interactive videos (Fisher et al., 2021;). Interestingly, researchers see flipped learning as a form of blended learning as it involves a combination of face-to-face and online components (Garrison & Kanuka, 2004). Thus, the extant literature on blended learning is highly relevant to flipped learning and we refer to literature on blended learning in this paper.

Most of the existing research assessing the effectiveness of the flipped classroom or blended learning models compares a blended format to traditional modes to examine the effectiveness of such a format in improving student engagement and learning achievement (Fortin et al., 2019; McCarthy et al., 2019) and focuses on students' perceptions or satisfaction with the course in general and with the online resources in particular (Brown et al., 2016; Osgerby, 2013). For example, Frick et al. (2020) report that a blended learning model enhanced students'

engagement, as measured by participation and concentration in a large lecture class. D'Aquila et al. (2019) report that instructor-generated videos are associated with improved performance in both traditional and blended learning environments. Lento (2018) found engagement with online homework resources was positively associated with performance in the final exam. However, the focus on the outcome (exam performance), as opposed to the learning process, provides little guidance for educators who wish to understand how the blended learning environment enhances student engagement.

Most of the research on flipped learning in the higher education literature has focused on the learner and content (Hao, 2016; McNally et al., 2017) and on the learner and technology (Wieling & Hofman, 2010; Williams et al., 2012), while important areas such as the learner and learning support (which refers to the support a student receives from other peers and the institution) have not been examined in detail (Wang et al., 2015). Chuang et al. (2018) investigate individual characteristics that might affect learning outcomes in a flipped classroom, reporting that learners with a high level of motivation benefit the most from the flipped classroom. Chuang et al.'s (2018) study extends the literature by suggesting that motivational factors might play a key role in the success of the flipped classroom; however, their findings offer little insight into whether the flipped classroom has any effect on learners' motivation, a gap that the present study explores.

Hao (2016) reports that although students appreciate the student-centered approach of the flipped classroom, they complain about the extra time required to complete the pre-class tasks, which highlights issues around student motivation also reported by other studies (e.g., Akçayir & Akçayir, 2018; Awidi & Paynter 2019). Whilst Sergis et al. (2018) and Zainuddin and Perera (2019) reported that the flipped classroom supports the basic psychological needs of SDT, the interconnectedness between these psychological needs and the resultant impact on motivation is not considered. The present study extends this literature by examining how the fulfilment of three cognitive needs and the interconnectedness between these needs enhances student motivation in the flipped learning environment. Focusing on the relationships between the learner and multiple subsystems, including peers (learning support), facilitator, content, and technology, this study sheds light on the effect these subsystems have on student motivation in the context of the flipped classroom.

Most studies on the flipped classroom focus on only one unit delivered using the flipped classroom approach, and there is a need for research to examine the large-scale implementation of the flipped classroom across multiple units (Akçayir & Akçayir, 2018). In fact, Wanner and Palmer (2015) warned of an increased workload for students if all their classes employed flipped learning. These researchers also reported academics' preference for a traditional teaching mode over flipped or blended learning modes because of the extra time requirements involved in preparing and managing, which undermines their research output. Similar evidence related to the resistance of educators to embrace new educational technologies has emerged (Watty et al., 2016). To address this, this is the first study to focus on a tertiary environment in which the faculty has fully embraced a flipped learning environment and in which all the courses are flipped.

## **Theoretical Framework and Self Determination Theory (SDT)**

The theoretical foundation of the flipped classroom is based on an active learning process. It is believed that cognitive development is an active process as opposed to a passive process. For

example, Vygotsky (1978) argues that cognitive development is fostered by collaboration with others, whereas Piaget (1967) highlights the importance of individual space in learning while acknowledging that interaction with peers can benefit cognitive growth. The flipped classroom is considered an inverted classroom in which the events that traditionally occurred inside the classroom now occur outside the classroom and vice versa (Lage et al., 2000).


Given the theoretical foundations used for justifying the flipped classroom and the present study's focus on the role of social factors in cognitive development, this study employs SDT, which proposes that student motivation is an outcome of the learning environment, whereby the learning environment either promotes or impedes satisfaction based on cognitive needs (Deci & Ryan, 2008). SDT identifies three basic cognitive needs: relatedness, competence, and autonomy. Relatedness relates to the attainment of social skills and behaviours; competence relates to feelings of mastery of knowledge; and autonomy relates to feelings of control and independence (Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015).

Cognitive evaluation theory (CET), which is a subset of SDT, argues that learning activities and structures (i.e., feedback, rewards, interpersonal communication) that conduce to feelings of competence can enhance intrinsic motivation by satisfying the basic psychological need for competence. CET further argues that a feeling of competence will not enhance motivation unless it is accompanied by a sense of autonomy (De Charms, 2013; Ryan & Deci, 2000). Prior research has shown that extrinsic rewards can undermine intrinsic motivation (Deci, 1971; Lepper et al., 1973). However, SDT argues that extrinsic motivation exists in different forms and can vary greatly in the degree to which it is autonomous. To deal with this varying degree of extrinsic motivation and autonomy, SDT proposes fostering internalisation and integration of values (Deci & Ryan, 1985).

The process of internalisation and integration refers to a process by which individuals accept a value or regulation and fully transform this value or regulation into their own (Ryan & Deci, 2000). This has been explained via a continuum to describe how an individual's motivation can range from amotivation or unwillingness to passive compliance or to active commitment (see Figure 1). A little further along the continuum (just after amotivation) is a category referred to as "external regulation", which represents the least autonomous form of extrinsic motivation. Individuals typically experience this behaviour as a controlling activity or task, and it is completed only to satisfy an external demand. Another type of extrinsic motivation is referred to as "introjected regulation", which is still quite controlling because people perform the activity or task to avoid guilt or anxiety. Next on the continuum is a more autonomous form of extrinsic motivation, which is known as "identified regulation". In this form of extrinsic motivation, an individual identifies the importance of a particular behaviour and thus accepts its regulation as their own. Finally, the most autonomous form of extrinsic motivation is referred to as "integrated regulation", in which regulation has been fully assimilated into the self.

In relation to the cognitive need for relatedness, given that extrinsically motivated behaviours are not inherently stimulating, if a student can form a connection with peers or the facilitator, the student will be more likely to exhibit behaviours that are valued by significant others in the flipped learning environment. This suggests that creating a sense of belongingness or relatedness is critical to facilitating internalization.

**Figure 1: Cognitive evaluation theory**



**Associated processes**

Non-relevance	Compliance	Ego involvement	Conscious valuing of activity	Hierarchical synthesis of goal congruence	Interest
Non-intentionality	Reactance	Focus on approval	Self-endorsement		Enjoyment

**Flipped-learning environment**

Marks attached to pre-class and in-class activities	Significant group work Focus on approval from peers and facilitator	Awareness of career goals Self-endorsement of learning activities to achieve individual goals	Alignment of course values with personal values
-----------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------

Adapted from Ryan and Deci (2000)



202

## Research Method

### 203 Research Context

204 The research context of this study is learning in a tertiary business management and accounting  
205 degree. The key learning challenge with students in business and management students is their  
206 well-documented excessively instrumental approach toward learning. For example, a country-  
207 wide survey conducted on behalf of the Open University Business School concluded that  
208 “students today, in business, management, and accountancy, present problems for their teachers  
209 beyond those that have always been present ... there are problems of motivation” (Business  
210 Education Support Team, 2002, p. 2). Research has shown that intrinsic motivation is a key factor  
211 for art and creation (Stanko-Kaczmarek, 2012); however, the strongest motives for participating  
212 in higher education for business students are associated with job prospects and higher pay (Lucas  
213 & Tan, 2013), which represent extrinsic motivation. Several factors have contributed to this  
214 problem, including the increasing emphasis on universities' contribution to economic production,  
215 the emergence of vocational discourse in higher education, and the employment of instrumental  
216 teaching approaches (Ottewill, 2003).

217 The educators are employed in teaching-focused only roles and their performance is attached to  
218 teaching outcomes and innovation in teaching as opposed to research outcomes. This is expected  
219 to overcome the challenges of instrumental teaching that are present in the traditional university  
220 environment, in which performance goals primarily relate to journal publications and research is  
221 valued far more than teaching (Duff & Marriott, 2017; Khosha et al., 2020). All the subjects in the  
222 program under research are delivered in a flipped learning mode. The classrooms are designed  
223 to suit the flipped learning mode, that is, they have student-centered seating arrangements,  
224 multiple screens, easily moveable furniture, and portable whiteboards; all of which are conducive  
225 to collaboration and discussion. A wide range of participative approaches, including gamification,  
226 problem-based learning, business simulations, and industry-engagement activities are employed  
227 to foster deeper learning and teaching. Student assessments comprise at least 50 percent of in-  
228 class and homework activities in all subjects. This large-scale implementation provides a unique  
229 setting for an investigation and by providing empirical evidence on the effect of pedagogic and  
230 associated aspects of teaching and learning on instrumental attitudes, this study fills a largely  
231 unaddressed gap in the literature.

### 232 Research Design

233 Literature The present study examines undergraduate business students in an Australian  
234 university. The study was conducted in 2019 in two stages: Stage 1 administered online surveys;  
235 Stage 2 administered semi-structured interviews. This project was approved by the (organisation  
236 deidentified) Ethics Low Risk Review Committee.

237 Stage 1 online surveys were explorative and aimed at building knowledge regarding the research  
238 context and to gain an understanding of the factors that influence learning experiences in flipped  
239 classrooms. Stage 1 survey results were used to inform the questions developed for the  
240 interviews conducted in Stage 2. To develop better understanding of student motivation and how  
241 it influences student behaviour, Stage 2 involved conducting face-to-face interviews, with  
242 questions drawn from both survey findings and existing literature based on SDT. For example,  
243 the interview questions were informed by prior evidence on motivation (Abeysekera & Dawson,  
244 2015; Ryan & Deci, 2000); personal and situational interest (Rotgans & Schmidt, 2017; Dobrow  
245 et al., 2011; Schraw et al., 2001); and the individual's lifeworld (Husserl, 1970; Pintrich et al.,  
246 1993). We used an interview protocol comprising a mix of semi-structured and open-ended  
247 questions, with two pilot interviews being conducted for appropriateness and clarity of questions.  
248 This led to some refinements in the questions.

249 Participants were recruited through advertisements on campus and by referrals. None of the  
250 interviewees were current students of the researchers. We used a non-probability sampling  
251 approach to recruit participants (Flick, 2018), involving quota sampling to ensure equal  
252 representation of male and female participants (Fox, 2018). Consistent with Francis et al.'s (2010)  
253 principles for data saturation, we specified an initial sample of twenty students, with two extra  
254 interviews as stopping criteria should no new themes emerge from initial analysis. The  
255 researchers were familiar with many aspects of flipped learning and were able to make sense of  
256 their observations 'fairly quickly' (Ahrens & Chapman, 2006). This may also have helped us  
257 recognise the theoretical saturation levels. Data collection stopped after the twenty second  
258 interview, when data saturation became evident. Student interviews were supplemented with five  
259 interviews from facilitators. In each interview, student motivation and relationships in the flipped  
260 learning environment were explored in detail.

261 On average, interviews lasted between 20 and 30 minutes and were conducted by both  
262 researchers. Separate interview protocols were prepared for students and facilitators, which are  
263 reported in Appendix 1 and Appendix 2. The interviews were audio recorded and transcribed  
264 verbatim. All transcripts were imported into NVivo 12 qualitative-data-analysis software and were  
265 subjected to thematic analysis. Themes were generated deductively from SDT (Boyatzis, 1998).  
266 Consistent with Khosa et al.'s (2022) approach, the first author coded all transcripts and the  
267 second author coded approximately half of the transcripts. With respect to the transcripts coded  
268 by both authors, the intercoder agreement was above 90%. Inconsistencies were subsequently  
269 reexamined and agreement was reached regarding how anomalies would be recoded. The  
270 interview data was coded using two cycles. During the first stage, coding was undertaken to  
271 identify major factors supporting and inhibiting motivation; however, it was descriptive in nature  
272 and required little interpretation (Tracy, 2019). Codes identified in the first cycle were streamlined  
273 and grouped into larger interpretive categories during the second stage. For example, codes such  
274 as 'friends', 'connections' and 'teamwork' were grouped as a code-family of 'relatedness'. The  
275 presentation of data in an aggregated manner, via the creation of codes, allowed the identification  
276 of patterns in the data and enabled inferences to be drawn from it (Lucas, 2015), which was  
277 categorised as follows:

- 278 • Relatedness: Closer connections, peer learning, and competence through relatedness

- 279 • Competence: Pre-class resources and videos, and situational interest
- 280 • Autonomy: Ability to control learning and external pressures
- 281 • Other: Students' lifeworld and interest, work-life balance, the perceived cost versus
- 282 perceived benefit, goals/career, variety of challenges, and facilitators' attributes.

283 Four participants (two students and two facilitators) also read and commented on the preliminary  
 284 analysis to ensure an appropriate fit between participants' understanding of their experiences in  
 285 flipped learning and our interpretations of their experiences. Generally, the feedback indicated  
 286 that the analysis was an accurate reflection of participants' experiences; however, the feedback  
 287 was considered to recategorise some of the nodes. This validation was an important way to  
 288 establish the credibility of our analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2013).

289 The findings are presented without identifying participants' names or affiliations, as per our ethical  
 290 responsibilities. Data that could identify participants is not directly quoted.

## 291 Results

### 292 Sample

293 Demographics of the student participants (see Table 1) show that male and female students were  
 294 equally represented, most were pursuing an undergraduate degree in accounting and studying in  
 295 the third year of their degree. For a significant portion of participants (36.4%), English was not  
 296 their first language.

297

298 **Table 1: Demographic information of students (in percentages)**

	Male <i>N</i> = 11	Female <i>N</i> = 11	Total <i>N</i> = 22
Gender	50	50	100
<i>Age</i>			
18–21 years	81.8	100.0	90.9
22–29 years	18.2	0.0	9.1
30 years and older	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Discipline</i>			
Accounting	63.6	72.7	68.2
Business	36.4	27.3	31.8

*Enrolment year of undergraduate*

Year 1	27.3	27.3	27.3
Year 2	27.3	18.2	22.7
Year 3	45.5	54.5	50.0
<i>Enrolment status</i>			
Domestic	72.7	54.5	63.6
International	27.3	45.5	36.4
<i>First language</i>			
English	90.9	36.4	63.6
Non-English*	9.1	63.6	36.4

299 \* Other languages included Mandarin, Bengali, Hindi, Indonesian, and Filipino

### 300 Themes

301 Our interview data reveal that the participants spoke about their learning experiences in ways that  
 302 provided rich insights into the nature and source of their motivation. The results presented in  
 303 Table 2 show that nearly all participants (95%) indicated that their relationships with peers and  
 304 facilitators (i.e., their relatedness), and their perceptions of their learning and competence (i.e.,  
 305 their competence) had a positive or negative influence on their motivation in the flipped learning  
 306 environment. A relatively small but not insignificant number of participants (54%) perceived that  
 307 autonomy had a positive or negative influence on their motivation in the flipped learning  
 308 environment. The right-hand column of Table 2, which considers not only the number of  
 309 participants referring to specific factors influencing their motivation but also to the number of  
 310 references made to each factor, reveals that only 7 percent of the codes referred to autonomy,  
 311 compared with 30 percent for relatedness and 22 percent for competence. The results also show  
 312 that basic psychological needs (i.e., relatedness, competence, and autonomy) identified in the  
 313 SDT account for only 59 percent of all coded segments influencing student motivation. Forty-one  
 314 percent of the coded segments were judged to be outside the three basic psychological needs.  
 315 Among these, the consideration referred to most commonly by students was the connection  
 316 between learning resources and their own world and personal interest in specific subjects or  
 317 topics (i.e., students' lifeworld and interest). Connection or lack of connection between the course  
 318 material and students' lived experiences were found to have a positive or negative influence on  
 319 student motivation.

320

321 Extrinsic factors such as perceived cost versus perceived benefit of engaging with learning, and  
 322 alignment or lack of alignment between course materials and students' goals and career  
 323 aspirations (i.e., goals/career) were also influential in explaining motivation. Our analysis suggests  
 324 that students' motivation was also shaped by work-life balance, new challenges presented (i.e.,  
 325 variety of challenges), and the facilitators' ability to arouse interest and engage students (i.e.,  
 326 facilitators' attributes).

327 **Table 2: Factors influencing student motivation**

328

	<b>Number (%) of participants</b>	<b>Number (%) of coded segments</b>
Relatedness	21 (95)	63 (30)
Competence	20 (91)	47 (22)
Autonomy	12 (54)	15 (7)
<b>Total relatedness, competence, and autonomy</b>	<b>22 (100)</b>	<b>125 (59)</b>
<i>Extrinsic motivational factors</i>		
Perceived cost v. benefit	12	14
Goals/career	9	14
<i>Other factors</i>		
Students' lifeworld and interest	16	31
Work-life balance	11	12
Facilitators' attributes	6	8
Variety or new challenges	6	7
<b>Total other factors</b>	<b>18 (82)</b>	<b>86 (41)</b>
Total	22	211

329

330 **Relatedness**

331 The findings show that relatedness is an important factor in assisting learning in the flipped  
332 classroom because it enables closer social connections which affect motivation. This  
333 “relatedness” results from a culture of active learning that encourages teamwork and frequent  
334 presentations:

335       You can’t really just sit in a corner and just listen to the teacher. You have to talk ... here  
336       it feels like you are more involved in the class and you see that everyone else is actually  
337       looking at the videos ... which gives you the motivation to actually study at home and  
338       try to contribute in the class. (Student A)

339 This also manifested itself in relation to attendance because relatedness decreased isolation and  
340 affected peer-to-peer learning: “It motivates you to come to class when you can discuss with  
341 friends” (Student B). Further, a student who had experience studying in the flipped learning mode  
342 and in the traditional lecture–tutorial format in a different institution made the following comment:  
343 “I’ll stay back and do group work with people ... whereas [in the traditional model] I would say in  
344 my second unit I probably watched only half of the lectures, maybe and came to half of the tutorials  
345 ... because it was so hard to go” (Student C).

346 The presence of relatedness in the learning environment is shown to encourage peer learning  
347 because students feel responsible to prepare for classes to support their peers: “If I let myself  
348 down, that’s one thing ... you couldn’t let the others down by not doing the pre-work for the  
349 subject” (Student C). Our results also reveal that a focus on gaining approval from significant  
350 others, that is, fulfilling their need for relatedness, was seen as a key to motivation by some  
351 students:

352       I like to volunteer to answer questions or present just because ... it looks good ... I find  
353       that people generally tend to like you more. (Student D)

354 A facilitator identified that “it’s important for business students particularly to understand how to  
355 work effectively with other people” (Facilitator A). In line with this view, some students identified  
356 the relationship between the connections made at university and the opportunities that could  
357 come later in life:

358       A lot of people say it’s about who you know not what you know. Developing those  
359       relationships, and the opportunities it will give you later in life, is a massive motivation  
360       as well. (Student E)

361 Student E identified with the personal importance of developing long-lasting relationships with  
362 peers, accepting it as her own, which is a more autonomous form of extrinsic motivation.

363

364 **Competence through Relatedness**

365 The data clearly revealed the connection between relatedness and competence. Students  
366 identified that working with peers in a fully-flipped program gave them increased opportunities to  
367 improve their marks. For example, "I've got friends doing [other] degrees ...in this degree ... my  
368 grades are a lot higher. I think because I've been able to work with peers to get better  
369 assessments" (Student F).

370 Relatedness can be of particular benefit to social learners: "You get to work in a group, to talk and  
371 actually interact, which is more how I learn" (Student E). However, not all students found it  
372 productive to work in groups:

373 I think productiveness is actually lost when put into a group discussion because there's  
374 the social aspect which gets tied in. (Student H)

375 This view was also supported by a facilitator: "the group-work aspect is quite good to a certain  
376 extent ... provided it's in a controlled way or they can just turn the whole working session into  
377 leisure stuff" (Facilitator B).

378 Our analysis suggests that students' motivation is also shaped in part by approval from self or  
379 others when the student performs an activity to enhance or maintain self-esteem, which results in  
380 enhanced competence:

381 I want to be more confident. I'll be able to talk to my peers ... I'll be able to answer the  
382 question the facilitator asks. I think that is another part of the motivation as well. (Student  
383 I)

384 **Competence**

385 Students' perceptions of their competence were sometimes related to learning in general, and  
386 sometimes to specific aspects of learning such as their ability to participate in class, their ability  
387 to achieve requirements set by the facilitator, their subject knowledge, and their ability to acquire  
388 the skills necessary to excel in their career. We find evidence to support that the flipped classroom  
389 arouses situational interest through weekly quizzes and assessments, leading to higher levels of  
390 motivation. For example, one student stated the following: "because we have a weekly quiz ... I  
391 definitely want to get a good mark for that ... you can see where people have struggled in the pre-  
392 class, so, oh, I need to spend a bit more time on this one" (Student E).

393 Many students viewed pre-class materials as an important learning resource. However, some  
394 facilitators considered that students learn principally in the group space (class), which undermines  
395 the value of the pre-class resources. An accounting facilitator commented the following: "I think  
396 it's in the class itself. Pre-class is just something for them to get familiar" (Facilitator C).

397

398 The least autonomous forms of extrinsic motivation manifested themselves in a variety of ways,  
399 most commonly through marks attached to pre-class, in-class, and post-class activities that the  
400 students viewed as external. Several participants acknowledged that completion of an activity  
401 merely to gain marks would not enhance their motivation “that wouldn’t motivate me, that would  
402 just make me do it” (Student A).

403 However, a facilitator’s comment that “you cannot just simply make a student do something  
404 without any payback in terms of accumulation of scores” (Facilitator B) demonstrates the  
405 importance of allocating a reward in the form of marks to encourage completion of in-semester  
406 activities. This was mediated by constant reminders about the benefits of completing certain  
407 activities. For example, “you’re being treated as a person responsible for your own learning and  
408 for your own fate” (Facilitator B). The purpose of facilitators highlighting the importance of  
409 assessment marks is for students to internalise an external reward to enhance their motivation.

#### 410 ***Feedback on Videos***

411 Full-time students studying four subjects may be required to spend significant time in preparation  
412 for class each week. Their experience with preparation time resulted in quite strong and clear  
413 feedback, particularly about the length and supporting materials. For example, one student stated  
414 the following: “when there’s a lot of videos to watch, that’s quite annoying. It’s much easier to  
415 extract knowledge from seeing it written on your computer” (Student F). Another student stated  
416 the following: “I find some might just do a massive ... YouTube that’s 15 minutes long. No offence,  
417 but no one is going to watch that” (Student E), alluding towards fatigue regarding meeting the  
418 expectations of a fully-flipped program. Participants who indicated an unwillingness to interact  
419 with pre-class modules showed awareness that there can be a lack of congruence between the  
420 content of the learning resources and their career goals (i.e., lack of integration). These students  
421 called for more concise content and the provision of relevant examples from practice, “you  
422 definitely should make it a little bit shorter ... provide one or more cases related to it, that actually  
423 happened in real life” (Student A). Students also indicated a preference for supporting notes or  
424 directed reading, particularly for difficult topics, for example: “the lecturer ... could do a whole  
425 video [but then only provides] a little bit of notes” (Student E).

#### 426 ***Autonomy***

427 Our analysis of the data shows that students found the ability to access learning resources in their  
428 own time enhanced their ability to learn by providing an opportunity outside the classroom to  
429 engage with materials. Having access to materials allows students to take responsibility for their  
430 learning:

431 I like the access to resources and that you can do a lot of the work at home ... [if] ... you  
432 can’t focus very well in class ... you can still take it upon yourself to learn the material.  
433 (Student F)



434 However, some participants indicated that the ability to access learning resources in their own  
435 timeframe was expected, regardless of the teaching approach. The flipped classroom approach  
436 may not create a higher level of autonomy in students because the use of online lecture recordings  
437 in the traditional lecture and tutorial format is becoming increasingly popular at all Australian  
438 universities (Williams et al., 2012) and at international universities (Wieling & Hofman, 2010):

439       Definitely, it gives the autonomy to access it whenever we want ... but at the same time,  
440       I kind of expect that degree of flexibility. (Student J)

441 One student stated the following on this aspect of flipped learning: "I don't miss out on anything if  
442 I don't do the pre-class. Like, if I'm still learning and doing everything that's needed in class. So,  
443 I didn't see the need to do the pre-class, before I come to class. So, I see it more as a revision"  
444 (Student B). In contrast, from the facilitator's perspective, it is highly important that students  
445 complete the pre-class materials before attending the class because the in-class activities are  
446 built on the pre-class concepts. However, this is not always achieved, and one facilitator reported  
447 feeling the need to repeat the pre-class material during the class for students who had not  
448 engaged with pre-class resources:

449       You can't proceed through because you are just going to lose them if they didn't do their  
450       [pre-class] work. You will have to do it in class ... otherwise, you just end up having them  
451       fail. And I don't know how that is productive at all. (Facilitator B)

452 As expressed by many students, this has certain implications for student motivation. Repetition  
453 of pre-class material during the class time undermines the value of completing these activities  
454 before the class, and the cohort of students who had not completed the material did not see  
455 themselves at a disadvantage. Further, if facilitators repeat the information from the pre-class  
456 materials in the workshop, this may inhibit the autonomy of the group of students who completed  
457 the pre-class activities because it violates these students' identification and the student becomes  
458 more dependent on the facilitator to explain the material:

459       You do the pre-work ready for class. But then maybe it's because all those students  
460       haven't done the pre-class yet, the teacher ends up just going through it. And so, then  
461       it's like, oh, no point doing pre-class. (Student F)

462 This shows the importance of facilitators understanding the basic concepts and approaches to  
463 teaching in a flipped classroom pedagogy to ensure its benefits are achieved.

#### 464 ***Students' Lifeworld and other Factors***

465 A student's lifeworld refers to the lived experiences that they bring to the course. Connecting  
466 course materials with a students' lifeworld assists learning where the student has autonomy to  
467 choose the case study topic:

468       Projects where there's some initiative from students to choose is good ... there was a  
469       whiskey company I bought shares in and my father has just opened a whiskey bar ...

470 case study on that company really made learning a lot more fun and interesting. (Student  
471 F)

472 Students having familiarity with or interest in a topic or example was reported to help their learning:  
473 “just making it more familiar also then helps you learn because it triggers that little thing and you’re  
474 like oh, this relates to this ... that’s what I find good” (Student E). This indicates the importance of  
475 gaining a deeper understanding of a students’ lifeworld when designing course materials.

476 Some facilitators who teach technical subjects expressed the importance of meeting the challenge  
477 of making the content more exciting and relatable for students:

478 Well, they say that making it more interesting and more lively might help ... how do you  
479 make this more exciting ... some of the work that we do as a professional, it’s not always  
480 interesting. (Facilitator B)

481 The use of case studies in teaching was found to assist with increasing interest in topics that were  
482 dry and technical. For example, one student stated that the “unit content was not that interesting,  
483 it was boring stuff but became interesting when we read real case reports” (Student A). Students  
484 also highlighted the importance of providing examples and of keeping content current. For  
485 example, one student stated the following: “If we are still talking about something like 2008, it’s  
486 too far away. If we keep the examples or the material updated, just something happening last  
487 year, I think that’ll be more interesting” (Student I). Current university students live in a world of  
488 media overstimulation (Berk, 2009), with abundant information available that becomes outdated  
489 very rapidly. Academics should consider this when developing and updating learning resources.

## 490 **Discussion**

491 This section discusses how our findings contribute to the broader literature, identifies several  
492 implications and recommendations for academics and practice and acknowledges limitations of  
493 our study.

494 This study provides powerful insights into factors that enhance or impede student motivation in  
495 the flipped learning environment. Our findings suggest that relatedness, competence, and  
496 autonomy (SDT) have an important effect on students’ motivation in the flipped learning  
497 environment. Although it is not possible to enhance a more autonomous form of extrinsic  
498 motivation, unless it entails personal endorsement from the learner, we found that guiding  
499 students to an understanding that a certain activity or behaviour is important or relevant for their  
500 career or goals is likely to facilitate internalisation. Conversely, the completion of an activity to  
501 comply with a course requirement will not result in self-determination. Attainment of social skills  
502 and a sense of relatedness are found to support internalisation and a student’s need for  
503 competence. For some students, engagement with pre-class learning resources was influenced  
504 by ego-enhancement or pride. In a flipped program, it may be beneficial to have dedicated  
505 discipline-specific study areas to achieve the full benefit of relatedness as access to confined

506 group spaces was found to help develop bonds among peers. In answer to McNally et al.'s (2017)  
507 call, the current findings shed light on how best to introduce a flipped classroom.

508 Our findings indicate that the way in which the facilitator manages the connection between the  
509 pre-class and in-class activities has a direct effect on student autonomy. The common conception  
510 of the flipped classroom is that the traditional lecture or tutorial is replaced with a video lecture  
511 (Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015) as part of pre-class work. However, our findings provide strong  
512 evidence that recorded videos are perceived as ineffective and inadequate if they are not  
513 supported by text and reading modules, thus leading to lower levels of motivation in students to  
514 complete pre-class modules. These pressures associated with fatigue from watching a large  
515 number of videos are shown to amplify when the whole program is delivered in the flipped mode.  
516 Bracci et al., (2020) identified that teaching methods which are inconsistent with students' learning  
517 preferences are unlikely to improve academic performance and attitudes towards learning. Thus,  
518 it is important to break the videos down into smaller segments to ensure they are focused and are  
519 not overly long.

520 Further, a students' lifeworld or interest was found to affect student motivation. That is, when the  
521 facilitator presents learning resources or examples a student can relate to, the student  
522 experiences increased interest in the subject, resulting in higher levels of motivation. Thus, in line  
523 with Dobrow et al. (2011) and Schraw et al. (2001), our findings strongly suggest the benefits of  
524 fostering interest through choice. One way of enabling choice would be to allow students to select  
525 cases or companies for their assignments and assessments (rather than the facilitator making  
526 such choices) to align the content with a students' personal interests; however, this should be  
527 achieved in conjunction with ensuring the assessments can be standardised to facilitate marking.  
528 The flipped learning environment allows for more weekly assessments. For some students, not  
529 obtaining a good mark in these assessments led to increased interaction with learning materials  
530 to improve their next mark; thus, these students exhibited information-seeking behaviour.

531 This study makes several contributions to scholarship on the flipped classroom. First, by  
532 explaining how the fulfillment of cognitive needs in a fully-flipped program has the potential to  
533 enhance student motivation (i.e., observable changes in the patterns of class attendance,  
534 engagement, and completion of pre-class activities), our findings provide a way to discuss student  
535 motivation in the flipped environment and the resulting implications for educators and universities.  
536 In contrast to earlier studies, which have primarily focused on whether the blended learning  
537 models offer better student outcomes (e.g., Bergfjord & Heggernes, 2016; Brown et al., 2016;  
538 Lento, 2018; Khan & Watson, 2018; McCarthy et al., 2019; Pattanaphanchai, 2019) and  
539 identifying characteristics of students that benefit the most from the flipped approach (see Chuang  
540 et al., 2018), we focus on how to best support students' cognitive needs to maximise the potential  
541 of the flipped classroom. Second, evidence regarding the length of the videos, the provision of  
542 reading, and the consequences of not completing the pre-class activities inform our understanding  
543 of how facilitators' behaviours have critical consequences on student motivation. For example,  
544 this study offers new insights into how a facilitators' decision to repeat pre-class materials in the  
545 class creates a behavioural response from students. Third, this study also qualifies and extends  
546 SDT by demonstrating that in addition to relatedness, competence, and autonomy, students'

547 motivation is also shaped by a wider range of environmental factors (e.g. work-life balance, variety  
548 of challenges) and individual dispositions (e.g. students' lifeworld and interest).

### 549 **Practical Implications**

550 Drawing on the findings of this study, there are a number of recommendations for pedagogical  
551 practice. Firstly, to facilitate internalisation, there needs to be an emphasis on highlighting the  
552 importance of certain behaviour or activity to students' career or goals. Findings related to student  
553 engagement being mediated by ego-enhancement suggests that academics adopting the flipped  
554 learning approach should embrace group tasks and activities to develop relatedness in their  
555 students. Secondly, our findings show that despite being generally satisfied with the pre-class  
556 activities, student motivation is influenced by the length of videos, provision of reading or notes to  
557 supplement videos and consequences of not completing the pre-class activities. Finally, where  
558 possible, educators should allow students to select cases or companies for their assignments and  
559 assessments as students' social context or familiarity with the learning resources is shown to  
560 enhance student motivation.

561 To offer pedagogy for transitioning from passive learning to active learning, we argue it is  
562 necessary to support students' cognitive needs as identified in SDT, as well as the other  
563 environmental factors and individual dispositions found in this study.

### 564 **Limitations**

565 We acknowledge a number of limitations of this study, some of which are common to many studies  
566 employing qualitative research methods: (1) results may be influenced by response bias because  
567 students who participated in the research may be motivated to participate because of either an  
568 extremely (dis)satisfying learning experience; (2) participants comprised only a small portion of  
569 students in an Australian university. However, case-study research can be transferred to similar  
570 contexts (Braun & Clarke, 2013), and has been shown to yield a rich and thick description of the  
571 phenomenon under study rather than provide shallow but broad data (Parker & Northcott, 2016).  
572 We also acknowledge that the validity of our findings relies on the accuracy of our own inferences  
573 from the participants' responses. Mitigating researcher bias was helped through employing the  
574 following methods: (1) consistent application of the coding framework developed based on SDT;  
575 (2) closeness of the code to the raw information; (3) using both authors to code the information;  
576 (4) having the participants validate the development of themes and codes.

577

578

## Conclusion

579 The present research has considered the effectiveness of the flipped classroom approach by  
 580 considering student learning outcomes in relation to motivation, which is considered an outcome  
 581 of the learning environment. The contribution of our study is that it provides empirical evidence  
 582 for factors that influence student motivation in the flipped learning environment, and demonstrates  
 583 how more autonomous forms of extrinsic motivation can be used to enhance intrinsic motivation.  
 584 While earlier research has provided evidence on students' perceptions of the flipped classroom,  
 585 no previous study has examined the relative significance of basic psychological needs (SDT) and  
 586 other factors in relation to the flipped learning environment. The findings are of particular interest  
 587 to academics; however, unlike earlier studies focusing on only one unit, the results can be  
 588 generalised across all business subjects because they are based on the implementation of the  
 589 flipped classroom approach for the entire range of subjects in a business degree. We argue that  
 590 academics and education providers must carefully consider multiple influences on student  
 591 motivation and be aware of the importance of creating an environment that facilitates student  
 592 internalisation of active learning methods.

593 Our findings show that the flipped learning environment can affect student motivation on multiple  
 594 levels. Personal endorsement and a feeling of choice are critical for the enhancement of more  
 595 autonomous forms of motivation, whereas externally regulated activities may result in mere  
 596 compliance. Given that extrinsically motivated behaviours are not inherently interesting, it is  
 597 important to provide a sense of relatedness. Our findings related to the length of videos or the  
 598 provision of reading materials are important because universities are currently placing great  
 599 emphasis on converting existing lectures into pre-class modules, and if these factors are not  
 600 considered carefully, then students may not interact effectively with these materials.

601 This study has demonstrated that carefully considering the design of pre-class materials and  
 602 workshop activities can lead to increased motivation of students in relation to relatedness,  
 603 competence, autonomy, environmental factors, and individual dispositions. However, if the flipped  
 604 classroom approach is implemented without the necessary care, a great deal of time and effort  
 605 spent in preparing course programs and lessons could result in a learning environment that is  
 606 less conducive to student learning and increase pressures on educators who are required to  
 607 deliver lessons in the flipped format.

608

## Conflict of Interest

609 The author(s) disclose that they have no actual or perceived conflicts of interest. The authors  
 610 disclose that they have not received any funding for this manuscript beyond resourcing for  
 611 academic time at their respective university.

612

## References

613 Abeysekera, L. & Dawson, P. (2015). Motivation and cognitive load in the flipped classroom:  
 614 Definition, rationale and a call for research. *Higher Education Research & Development*,  
 615 34(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2014.934336>

- 616 Ahrens, T., & Chapman, C. S. (2006). Doing qualitative field research in management  
617 accounting: Positioning data to contribute to theory. *Accounting, Organizations and*  
618 *Society*, 31(8), 819-841. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2006.03.007>
- 619 Akçayır, G., & Akçayır, M. (2018). The flipped classroom: A review of its advantages and  
620 challenges. *Computers & Education*, 126, 334–345.  
621 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.07.021>
- 622 Awidi, I. T., & Paynter, M. (2019). The impact of a flipped classroom approach on student  
623 learning experience. *Computers & Education*, 128, 269–283.  
624 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.013>
- 625 Becker, S. A., Cummins, M., Davis, A., Freeman, A., Hall, C. G., & Ananthanarayanan V.  
626 (2017). *NMC horizon report: 2017 higher education edition*. The New Media Consortium.
- 627 Bergfjord, O. J., & Heggernes, T. (2016). Evaluation of a “flipped classroom” approach in  
628 management education. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, 13(5).  
629 <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.13.5.6>
- 630 Berk, R. A. (2009). Teaching strategies for the net generation. *Transformative dialogues:*  
631 *Teaching and Learning Journal*, 3(2), 1–24.
- 632 Boyatzis, R. E. (1998). *Transforming qualitative information: Thematic analysis and code*  
633 *development*. Sage Publications.
- 634 Bracci, E., Tallaki, M., & Castellini, M. (2020). Learning preferences in accounting education: A  
635 focus on the role of visualization. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 28(2), 391-412.  
636 <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-02-2018-0286>
- 637 Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2013). *Successful Qqualitative research: A practical guide for*  
638 *beginners*. Sage.
- 639 Brown, C. A., Danvers, K., & Doran, D. T. (2016). Student perceptions on using guided reading  
640 questions to motivate student reading in the flipped classroom. *Accounting Education*,  
641 25(3), 256–271. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2016.1165124>
- 642 Business Education Support Team. (2002). *Managing better, UK business schools today and*  
643 *tomorrow—issues and challenges*. Open University Business School.
- 644 Carnegie, G. D., Martin-Sardesai, A., Marini, L., & Guthrie AM, J. (2022), “Taming the black  
645 elephant”: Assessing and managing the impacts of COVID-19 on public universities in  
646 Australia. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 30(6), 1783-1808.  
647 <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-03-2021-1243>

- 648 Chen, S. C., Yang, S. J., & Hsiao, C. C. (2016). Exploring student perceptions, learning  
 649 outcome and gender differences in a flipped mathematics course. *British Journal of*  
 650 *Educational Technology*, 47(6), 1096-1112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12278>
- 651 Chen, Y., Wang, Y., Kinshuk, & Chen, N. S. (2014). Is FLIP enough? Or should we use the  
 652 FLIPPED model instead?. *Computers & Education*, 79, 16–27.  
 653 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.07.004>
- 654 Chuang, H. H., Weng, C. Y., & Chen, C. H. (2018). Which students benefit most from a flipped  
 655 classroom approach to language learning?. *British Journal of Educational Technology*,  
 656 49(1), 56–68. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12530>
- 657 Damşa, C., de Lange, T., Elken, M., Esterhazy, R., Fosslund, T., Frølich, N., Hovdhaugen, E.,  
 658 Maassen, P., Nerland, M.B., Nordkvelle, Y.T., Stensaker, B., Tømte, C., Vabø, A.,  
 659 Wiers-Jenssen, J., & Aamodt, P.O. (2015). *Quality in Norwegian higher education: A*  
 660 *review of research on aspects affecting student learning*. Nordic Institute for Studies in  
 661 Innovation, Research and Education (NIFU).
- 662 D'Aquila, J. M., Wang, D., & Mattia, A. (2019). Are instructor generated YouTube videos  
 663 effective in accounting classes? A study of student performance, engagement,  
 664 motivation, and perception". *Journal of Accounting Education*, 47, 63-74.  
 665 [doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccedu.2019.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccedu.2019.02.002).
- 666 De Charms, R. (2013). *Personal Causation: The internal affective determinants of behavior*.  
 667 Routledge.
- 668 Deci, E. L. (1971). Effects of externally mediated rewards on intrinsic motivation. *Journal of*  
 669 *Personality and Social Psychology*, 18(1), 105–115.
- 670 Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*.  
 671 Springer Science & Business Media.
- 672 Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2008). Self-determination theory: A macrotheory of human  
 673 motivation, development, and health. *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne*,  
 674 49(3), 182–185. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012801>
- 675 DiRienzo, C., & Lilly, G. (2014). Online versus face-to-face: Does delivery method matter for  
 676 undergraduate business school learning?. *Business Education & Accreditation*, 6(1), 1-  
 677 11.
- 678 Dobrow, S. R., Smith, W. K., & Posner, M. A. (2011). Managing the grading paradox:  
 679 Leveraging the power of choice in the classroom. *Academy of Management Learning &*  
 680 *Education*, 10(2), 261–276. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.10.2.zqr261>

- 681 Duff, A., & Marriott, N. (2017). The teaching-research gestalt in accounting: A cluster analytic  
682 approach. *The British Accounting Review*, 49(4), 413-428.  
683 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2017.05.001>
- 684 Flick, U. (2018). *An introduction to qualitative research* (6th ed.). Sage.
- 685 Fisher, R., Perényi, Á., & Birdthistle, N. (2021). The positive relationship between flipped and  
686 blended learning and student engagement, performance and satisfaction. *Active*  
687 *Learning in Higher Education*, 22(2), 97-113. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787418801702>
- 688 Fortin, A., Viger, C., Deslandes, M., Callimaci, A., & Desforges, P. (2019). Accounting students'  
689 choice of blended learning format and its impact on performance and satisfaction.  
690 *Accounting Education*, 28(4), 353-383. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2019.1586553>.
- 691 Fox, K. A. (2018). The manufacture of the academic accountant. *Critical Perspectives on*  
692 *Accounting*, 57,1–20. doi:10.1016/j.cpa.2018.01.005
- 693 Francis, J. J., Johnston, M., Robertson, C., Glidewell, L., Entwistle, V., Eccles, M. P., &  
694 Grimshaw, J. M. (2010). What is an adequate sample size? Operationalising data  
695 saturation for theory-based interview studies. *Psychology and Health*, 25(10), 1229–  
696 1245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870440903194015>
- 697 Frick, H., Birt, J., & Waters, J. (2020). Enhancing student engagement in large management  
698 accounting lectures. *Accounting & Finance*, 60(1), 271-198.  
699 <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12318>.
- 700 Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential  
701 in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 7(2), 95-105.  
702 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001>
- 703 Hao, Y. (2016). Middle school students' flipped learning readiness in foreign language  
704 classrooms: Exploring its relationship with personal characteristics and individual  
705 circumstances. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 59, 295–303.  
706 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.01.031>
- 707 Holmes, A. F., Foshee, R., & de Jesus Elizondo Montemayor, T. (2022). Strengthening the  
708 accounting pipeline through diversity: Preference for Big 4 employment and intentions to  
709 change, *Accounting Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2021.1998785>
- 710 Husserl, E. (1970). *The crisis of European sciences and transcendental phenomenology: An*  
711 *introduction to phenomenological philosophy*. Northwestern University Press.
- 712 Khan, R. N., & Watson, R. (2018). The flipped classroom with tutor support: An experience in a  
713 level one statistics unit. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*,  
714 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.15.3.3>



- 715 Khosa, A., Burch, S., & Ozdil, E. (2022). Casual accounting academics' sense of dignity and  
 716 inclusion in the workplace. *Issues in Accounting Education*.  
 717 <https://doi.org/10.2308/ISSUES-2021-112>
- 718 Khosa, A., Burch, S., Ozdil, E., & Wilkin, C. (2020). Current issues in PhD supervision of  
 719 accounting and finance students: Evidence from Australia and New Zealand. *The British*  
 720 *Accounting Review*, 52(5), 100874. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2019.100874>
- 721 Kyvik, S. (2013). Academic workload and working time: Retrospective perceptions versus time-  
 722 series data. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 67(1), 2-14. DOI: 10.1111/hequ.12001
- 723 Lage, M. J., Platt, G. J., & Treglia, M. (2000). Inverting the classroom: A gateway to creating an  
 724 inclusive learning environment. *The Journal of Economic Education*, 31(1), 30–43.  
 725 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220480009596759>
- 726 Lee, J., & Shute, V. J. (2010). Personal and social-contextual factors in K–12 academic  
 727 performance: An integrative perspective on student learning. *Educational Psychologist*,  
 728 45(3), 185–202. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2010.493471>
- 729 Leišytė, L. (2016). New public management and research productivity—a precarious state of  
 730 affairs of academic work in the Netherlands. *Studies in Higher Education*, 41(5), 828-  
 731 846. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2016.1147721>
- 732 Lento, C. (2016). Promoting active learning in introductory financial accounting through the  
 733 flipped classroom design. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 8(1), 72-87.  
 734 <https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-01-2015-0005>
- 735 Lento, C. (2018). Student usage of assessment-based and self-study online learning resources  
 736 in introductory accounting. *Issues in Accounting Education*, 33(4), 13–31.  
 737 <https://doi.org/10.2308/iace-52252>
- 738 Lepper, M. R., Greene, D., & Nisbett, R. E. (1973). Undermining children's intrinsic interest with  
 739 extrinsic reward: A test of the "overjustification" hypothesis". *Journal of Personality and*  
 740 *Social Psychology*, 28(1), 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0035519>
- 741 Li, R., Lund, A., & Nordsteien, A. (2021). The link between flipped and active learning: A  
 742 scoping review. *Teaching in Higher Education*.  
 743 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2021.1943655>
- 744 Lucas, K. (2015). Workplace dignity: Communicating inherent, earned, and remediated dignity.  
 745 *Journal of Management Studies*, 52(5), 621–646. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12133>
- 746 Lucas, U., & Tan, P. L. (2013). Developing a capacity to engage in critical reflection:  
 747 Students' ways of knowing' within an undergraduate business and accounting  
 748 programme. *Studies in Higher Education*, 38(1), 104-123.  
 749 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2011.569706>

- 750 Martin-Sardesai, A., AM, J. G., & Parker, L. (2021). The neoliberal reality of higher education in  
751 Australia: How accountingisation is corporatising knowledge. *Meditari Accountancy*  
752 *Research*, 29(6), 1261-1282. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-10-2019-0598>
- 753 McCarthy, M., Kusaila, M., & Grasso, L. (2019). Intermediate accounting and auditing: Does  
754 course delivery mode impact student performance?. *Journal of Accounting Education*,  
755 46, 26–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccedu.2018.12.001>
- 756 McNally, B., Chipperfield, J., Dorsett, P., Del Fabbro, L., Frommolt, V., Goetz, S., Lewohl, J.,  
757 Molineux, M., Pearson, A., Reddan, G., Roiko, A., & Rung, A. (2017). Flipped classroom  
758 experiences: Student preferences and flip strategy in a higher education context. *Higher*  
759 *Education*, 73(2), 281–298. DOI 10.1007/s10734-016-0014-z
- 760 Mingorance Estrada, Á. C., Granda Vera, J., Rojas Ruiz, G., & Alemany Arrebola, I. (2019).  
761 Flipped classroom to improve university student centered learning and academic  
762 performance. *Social Sciences*, 8(11), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci8110315>
- 763 Oerther, D. B. (2017, June). *Reducing costs while maintaining learning outcomes using*  
764 *blended, flipped, and mastery pedagogy to teach introduction to environmental*  
765 *engineering* [Conference presentation]. 2017 ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition,  
766 Columbus, OH, United States.
- 767 O'Flaherty, J., & Phillips, C. (2015). The use of flipped classrooms in higher education: A  
768 scoping review. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 25, 85-95.  
769 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2015.02.002>
- 770 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2017). *Education at a*  
771 *Glance 2017: OECD Indicators*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/eag-2017-en>.
- 772 Osgerby, J. (2013). Students' perceptions of the introduction of a blended learning environment:  
773 An exploratory case study. *Accounting Education*, 22(1), 85–99.  
774 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2012.729341>
- 775 Ottewill, R. M. (2003). What's wrong with instrumental learning? The case of business and  
776 management. *Education and Training*, 45(4), 189–196.  
777 <https://doi.org/10.1108/00400910310478111>
- 778 Parker, L. D., & Northcott, D. (2016). Qualitative generalising in accounting research: Concepts  
779 and strategies. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 29(6), 1100-1131.  
780 <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-04-2015-2026>
- 781 Pattanaphanchai, J. (2019). An investigation of students' learning achievement and perception  
782 using flipped classroom in an introductory programming course: A case study of  
783 Thailand higher education. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, 16(5), 1-  
784 17. <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.16.5.4>

- 785 Piaget, J. (1967). *Six psychological studies*. Random House.
- 786 Pintrich, P. R., Marx, R. W., & Boyle, R. A. (1993). Beyond cold conceptual change: The role of  
787 motivational beliefs and classroom contextual factors in the process of conceptual  
788 change. *Review of Educational Research*, 63(2), 167–199.  
789 <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543063002167>
- 790 Rotgans, J. I., & Schmidt, H. G. (2017). The relation between individual interest and knowledge  
791 acquisition. *British Educational Research Journal*, 43(2), 350–371.  
792 <https://doi/10.1002/berj.3268>
- 793 Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new  
794 directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 54–67. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1999.1020)  
795 [10.1006/ceps.1999.1020](https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1999.1020)
- 796 Samkin, G., & Stainbank, L. (2016). Teaching and learning: Current and future challenges  
797 facing accounting academics, academics, and the development of an agenda for future  
798 research. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 24(3), 294-317.  
799 <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-05-2016-0062>
- 800 Schraw, G., Flowerday, T., & Lehman. S. (2001). Increasing situational interest in the  
801 classroom. *Educational Psychology Review*, 13(3), 211–224.  
802 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.08.011>
- 803 Sergis, S., Sampson, D. G., & Pelliccione, L. (2018). Investigating the impact of Flipped  
804 Classroom on students' learning experiences: A Self-Determination Theory  
805 approach. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 78, 368-378.
- 806 Smith, S. J., & Urquhart, V. (2018). Accounting and finance in UK universities: Academic labour,  
807 shortages and strategies. *The British Accounting Review*, 50(6), 588-601.  
808 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2018.03.002>
- 809 Sora, J. W. (2001). Let's pretend we're a corporation: an introduction to the academic/corporate  
810 convergence. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in*  
811 *Society*, 1(1), 39-45. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM00000000005458>
- 812 Stanko-Kaczmarek, M. (2012). The effect of intrinsic motivation on the affect and evaluation of  
813 the creative process among fine arts students. *Creativity Research Journal*, 24(4), 304–  
814 310. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2012.730003>
- 815 Steen-Utheim, A. T., & Foldnes, N. (2018). A qualitative investigation of student engagement in  
816 a flipped classroom. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 23(3), 307-324.  
817 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2017.1379481>

- 818 Steenkamp, N., & Roberts, R. (2020). Does workload and institutional pressure on accounting  
819 educators affect academia at Australian universities?. *Accounting & Finance*, 60(1), 471-  
820 506. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12340>
- 821 Tho, N. D. (2017). Using signals to evaluate the teaching quality of MBA faculty members:  
822 fsQCA and SEM findings. *Education and Training*, 59(3), 292-304.  
823 <https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-03-2016-0060>
- 824 Tracy, S. J. (2019). *Qualitative research methods: Collecting evidence, crafting analysis,*  
825 *communicating impact* (2nd ed.). John Wiley and Sons.
- 826 Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The development of higher MENTAL processes.*  
827 Harvard University Press.
- 828 Wang, Y., Han, X., & Yang, J. (2015). Revisiting the blended learning literature: Using a  
829 complex adaptive systems framework. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*,  
830 18(2), 380–393.
- 831 Wanner, T., & Palmer, E. (2015). Personalising learning: Exploring student and teacher  
832 perceptions about flexible learning and assessment in a flipped university course.  
833 *Computers & Education*, 88(9), 354–369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.07.008>
- 834 Watty, K., McKay, J., & Ngo, L. (2016). Innovators or inhibitors? Accounting faculty resistance to  
835 new educational technologies in higher education. *Journal of Accounting Education*,  
836 36(3), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccedu.2016.03.003>
- 837 Wieling, M. B., & Hofman, W. H. A. (2010). The impact of online video lecture recordings and  
838 automated feedback on student performance. *Computers & Education*, 54(4), 992–998.  
839 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.10.002>
- 840 Williams, A., Birch, E., & Hancock, P. (2012). The impact of online lecture recordings on student  
841 performance. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 28(2), 199–213.  
842 <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.869>
- 843 Williams, B., Horner, C., & Allen, S. (2019). Flipped v's traditional teaching perspectives in a first  
844 year accounting unit: an action research study. *Accounting Education*, 28(4), 333-352.  
845 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2019.1609536>
- 846 Yoon, S., Kim, S., & Kang, M. (2020). Predictive power of grit, professor support for autonomy  
847 and learning engagement on perceived achievement within the context of a flipped  
848 classroom. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 21(3), 233-247.  
849 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787418762463>
- 850 Zainuddin, Z., & Perera, C. J. (2019). Exploring students' competence, autonomy and  
851 relatedness in the flipped classroom pedagogical model. *Journal of Further and Higher*  
852 *Education*, 43(1), 115-126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2017.1356916>

853 Zheng, L., Bhagat, K. K., Zhen, Y., & Zhang, X. (2020). The effectiveness of the flipped  
854 classroom on students' learning achievement and learning motivation. *Journal of*  
855 *Educational Technology & Society*, 23(1), 1-15.

856  
857 **Appendix 1.**

858 **Interview questions for students**

859

- 860 1. How has your experience been learning in the flipped classroom environment?
- 861 2. Does the flipped classroom approach suit your learning style?
- 862 3. How have you liked the freedom to engage with pre-class material at your own pace?
- 863 4. What motivates you to engage with pre-class materials? What dissuades you from  
864 completing pre-class materials?
- 865 5. How do you feel this classroom approach has contributed to your learning?
- 866 6. What motivates you to participate in class discussions?
- 867 7. Do you find that when learning material has a connection to your interests it increases  
868 your ability to understand or motivation to learn?
- 869 8. Do you feel that flipped mode assists you to make connections with other students?
- 870 9. What skills do you feel this classroom style has assisted you with? Are there any skills  
871 you lack?
- 872 10. Can you provide me details of any low or high points during your program?

873 **Appendix 2.**

874 **Interview questions for facilitators**

875

- 876 1. How has your experience been teaching in the flipped classroom environment?
- 877 2. Does the flipped classroom approach suit your teaching style? Does it suit students'  
878 learning style?
- 879 3. In your opinion, how do students like the freedom to engage with the pre-class material  
880 at their own pace?
- 881 4. What are your views on student motivation in this program? Do you think flipped  
882 environment has the potential to influence their motivation level?
- 883 5. In your opinion, what motivates students to engage with pre-class materials? What  
884 dissuades them from completing pre-class materials?
- 885 6. How do you feel this style of teaching contributes to students' learning?
- 886 7. In your opinion, what motivates students to participate in class discussions? Do you find  
887 students are more likely to attend class when there is a mark attached?
- 888 8. What are your considerations while developing learning materials and activities? Do you  
889 find that when learning material has a connection to students' interest it increases their  
890 motivation to learn?
- 891 9. Do you feel that flipped mode assists students to make connections with other students?
- 892 10. What skills do you feel this classroom style has assisted students with? Are there any  
893 skills students lack?

894