



Asian Journal of Distance Education

Speculative Futures on ChatGPT and Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI): A Collective Reflection from the Educational Landscape

Aras Bozkurt, Junhong Xiao, Sarah Lambert, Angelica Pazurek, Helen Crompton, Suzan Koseoglu, Robert Farrow, Melissa Bond, Chrissi Nerantzi, Sarah Honeychurch, Maha Bali, Jon Dron, Kamran Mir, Bonnie Stewart, Eamon Costello, Jon Mason, Christian M. Stracke, Enilda Romero-Hall, Apostolos Koutropoulos, Cathy Mae Toquero, Lenandlar Singh, Ahmed Tlili, Kyungmee Lee, Mark Nichols, Ebba Ossiannilsson, Mark Brown, Valerie Irvine, Juliana Elisa Raffaghelli, Gema Santos-Hermosa, Orna Farrell, Taskeen Adam, Ying Li Thong, Sunagul Sani-Bozkurt, Ramesh C. Sharma, Stefan Hrastinski, Petar Jandrić

Abstract: While ChatGPT has recently become very popular, AI has a long history and philosophy. This paper intends to explore the promises and pitfalls of the Generative Pre-trained Transformer (GPT) AI and potentially future technologies by adopting a speculative methodology. Speculative future narratives with a specific focus on educational contexts are provided in an attempt to identify emerging themes and discuss their implications for education in the 21st century. Affordances of (using) AI in Education (AIEd) and possible adverse effects are identified and discussed which emerge from the narratives. It is argued that now is the best of times to define human vs AI contribution to education because AI can accomplish more and more educational activities that used to be the prerogative of human educators. Therefore, it is imperative to rethink the respective roles of technology and human educators in education with a future-oriented mindset.

Keywords: artificial intelligence (AI), generative pre-trained transformer (GPT), natural language processing, artificial intelligence in education (AIEd), future educational perspectives, speculative methodology

Highlights

What is already known about this topic:

- AI has a long history and philosophy.
- AI has already been widely used in all dimensions of our lives including education.

What this paper contributes:

- A collection of speculative future narratives provides possible future AI scenarios and provokes readers to think critically about AI.
- With a specific focus on education, this paper serves as an intellectual exercise on educational uses and limitations of conversational AI.

Implications for theory, practice, and/or policy:

- Innovative ways should be found to adopt AI/GPT technology into education in meaningful, ethical, and sustainable ways.
- There is a need to develop new literacies for AI/GPT technologies.
- Rather than blindly adopting AI/GPT technologies, educators need to develop a critical understanding of their pros and cons.
- The leading role of human educators in education should not be downplayed and the supporting role of technology, no matter how advanced it is, should not be over-exaggerated.

01010101 01110011 01100101 00100000 01111001 01101111 01110101 01110010 00100000 01101001 01101101 01100001 01100111
01101001 01101110 01100001 01110100 01101001 01101111 01101110 00001101 00001010



Introduction: Origins

“We create machines in our own image, and they, in turn, recreate us in theirs.”
— David Lochhead

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a transdisciplinary field with a long history and philosophy (Cao, 2023). It is a phenomenon that evolved over time and has heavily influenced human ecosystems in the 21st century (Bozkurt, 2023a). With the invention of the first computer in 1945 (Weik, 1961), the question of whether machines can think (Turing, 1950) or create independently of their programming (Lovelace, 1843, as cited in Winterson, 2022) has led to the use of the term AI (McCarthy et al., 1955) and the emergence and development of AI technologies. Asimov (1942, 1950) envisioned the future and introduced the Three Laws of Robotics to manifest the laws that intelligent machines such as [ro]bots should follow. Turing (1950) further proposed the imitation game, a test of a machine's ability to exhibit intelligent behavior equivalent to, or indistinguishable from, that of a human. McLuhan (1962, 1964) argued that any technology is an extension of humans, which means that online networks are an extension of human neurons and, similarly, AI is an extension of human intelligence.

However, AI technology has become so pervasive in everyday life that some commentators anticipate an era where human and computer intelligence will merge or fuse (Kurzweil, 2014; Winterson, 2022). AI is producing more of the communication traditionally produced and advising professions such as copywriting, media, accounting, and legal advice (Lane, 2023). Ever since the first computerized AI emerged, some teachers thought that their own roles may become redundant (Selwyn, 2019). More recently, “some educators worry that writing papers or answering homework questions by AI will become undetectable” (Diebold, 2023, para. 2) leading students to progress and graduate on the basis of work that is not their own in the traditional sense. If, as expected, AI is to become a key part of working practices as part of the fourth industrial revolution (Schwab, 2016), then can excluding these technologies from higher education be justified?

“Trying to determine where the human ends and where the artificial intelligence begins is pointless and futile.”
— Sarah Elaine Eaton

The ongoing debate about what makes humans different from, or better than robots or intelligent machines has never been more important than it is today. Now, the time has come when we can answer Turing's (1950) original question with the invention of a conversational AI technology, Chat Generative Pre-Trained Transformer (ChatGPT) (OpenAI, 2022). In brief, GPT is an AI model that adopts supervised and reinforcement learning techniques to understand and model human and non-human languages (OpenAI, 2022). Though ChatGPT is a text-to-text AI model, some other models provide text-to-image, text-to-video, text-to-sound, or any other inputs (e.g., DALL-e, Whisper, You, Midjourney, etc). ChatGPT already plays a part in internet searching (e.g., Bing) and writing editing software (for example, in ProWritingAid's Rephrase feature).

While increasingly common, using AI in education remains contentious. The debate has expanded beyond educational technologists to include the wider public as awareness and impact of such technologies reaches the mainstream. ChatGPT and a suite of similar free to the public and easy to use tools are available globally in multiple languages. In the context of increased interest in AI from society as a whole, as well as within the field of education, this study set out to explore positive and negative speculative futures and document a collective reflection from the educational landscape in hopes of shaping the development of this field.

Related Literature

“Nobody phrases it this way, but I think that artificial intelligence is almost a humanities discipline. It’s really an attempt to understand human intelligence and human cognition.”

— Sebastian Thrun

Although artificial intelligence technologies are a relatively old subject, studies on artificial intelligence increased especially in the second decade of the 2000s (Mason et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2021). Issues such as capacity increase in digital infrastructures and computing power, availability of the large volume of data and big data practices, developments, and algorithmic research paved the way for AI in Education (AIEd). AIEd in itself has been an active research topic for the past 30 years (Luckin et al., 2016; O’Shea & Self, 1986). The past decade has seen AIEd amplified with AI tools, such as predictive and diagnostic tools (Crompton et al., 2022; Dogan et al., 2023), learning analytics (Bozkurt & Sharma, 2022a; Pelletier et al., 2021, 2022), and widely adopted digital transformation strategies (Benavides et al., 2020; Bozkurt & Sharma, 2022b; Brooks & McCormack, 2020; Brown et al., 2020). Extant literature highlights how AIEd could lead to students being more empowered, engaged, and motivated (Della Ventura, 2018; Wang, 2017).

“If we do it right, we might be able to evolve a form of work that taps into our uniquely human capabilities and restores our humanity. The ultimate paradox is that this technology may become a powerful catalyst that we need to reclaim our humanity.”

— John Hagel

The systematic studies report that, from the educational perspective, AI technologies are mostly adopted for predicting learner outcomes and behaviors (e.g., student achievement or dropout), tailoring learning experiences, providing an adaptive learning environment, improving academic performance and enhancing learning experiences (Bozkurt et al., 2021; Chu et al., 2022; Goksel & Bozkurt, 2019; Luan et al., 2020; Ouyang et al., 2022). It was also reported that profiling and prediction, assessment and evaluation, adaptive systems and personalization, and intelligent tutoring systems are the most applied areas of research in AIEd higher education studies (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). In a more recent review of K-12 literature, Crompton, et al., (2022) found some similar trends toward the affordances to learning as Zawacki-Richter and colleagues. However, the variety of uses was much greater, indicating that educators may be finding more uses for AIEd to support learning. For example, educators were using AI to extend and enhance familiar pedagogical approaches, including the use of AI to support collaborative learning, modeling approaches, and visualization. There is a trend towards AI being used in unique ways, including having AI tools mimic a novice learner where the students become educators having to teach the novice learner.

“The development of full artificial intelligence could spell the end of the human race.... It would take off on its own, and re-design itself at an ever increasing rate. Humans, who are limited by slow biological evolution, couldn’t compete, and would be superseded.”

— Stephen Hawking

Adopting new technologies (such as AI) in educational contexts is shaped by social, cultural, and institutional contexts. Without taking a multidimensional perspective inclusive of what is possible in our social, cultural, pedagogical, and organizational contexts, many unintended and negative consequences can occur that either cause harm or limit the value of the new technology; many potentially harmful effects are also ‘tolerated’ in the name of progress (Farrow, 2023; Selwyn et al., 2021; Sharma et al., 2019). These can include challenges with perceptions towards AI, a lack of educator skills in using technology, ethics, and technology challenges in the use of design and ease of use (Crompton, et al., 2022). Other scholars have also highlighted the issue of ethics, privacy issues, and ownership of data as critical issues to resolve before embracing AI technologies (Bozkurt et al., 2021; Humble & Mozelius, 2022; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). The increasing power that large ed-tech organizations have over

educational institutions - and therefore over their staff and students - is another area of concern, particularly when student and staff data becomes a resource on which AI is further developed for additional corporate profit (for example, plagiarism detection systems that are widely used in Higher Education). It has also been noted that the number of descriptive studies is high, and there is a need to theoretically feed AIED research (Chen et al., 2020; Crompton et al., 2022).

“ChatGPT is one of those rare moments in technology where you see a glimmer of how everything is going to be different going forward.”
— Aaron Levie

A recent study by Tlili et al. (2023) specifically focused on ChatGPT from an educational perspective and, in a three-stage case study, found that the general public discourse regarding the use of ChatGPT is largely positive, with some critical voices and advocates of caution. Tlili et al. (2023) identify the need for a new teaching philosophy that can properly embrace AI-powered technologies. They also highlighted the need to develop responsible, humanized chatbots and upskill our digital literacy competencies so that we can effectively benefit from it, with calls from researchers to include AI literacy as a required 21st-century technological capability (Ng et al., 2021; Ng et al., 2022). As a response to concerns about cheating (Susnjak, 2022), Cotton et al. (2023) drew attention to academic integrity and honesty, and highlighted that new policies and strategies are needed to use such AI tools ethically and responsibly. Likewise, Tate et al. (2023) warned about the coming disruption and stressed that researchers, instructors, and policymakers should be proactive to lessen collateral damage. Zhai (2022) suggested that “new formats of assessments are needed to focus on creativity and critical thinking that AI cannot substitute” (p. 1). Last but not least, no pre-trained AI systems work everywhere for everyone because they inevitably reflect the bias, values, stereotypes, or ideologies of their designers and input providers (Gault, 2023). Therefore, caution needs to be exercised to ensure students are not indoctrinated by unintended values embedded in these technologies, and to guard against the use of them for compliance training by totalitarian regimes.

“Everything you can imagine is real.”
— Pablo Picasso

With all the excitement, fear, and uncertainty about ChatGPT, the purpose of this study is to explore future scenarios using fictional storytelling. Our aim is to explore the gray areas and different tones by imagining both ends of the AI continuum, and by encouraging people to think about artificial intelligence in general and generative AI in particular. With this work, beyond providing empirical findings, we aim to provoke intellectual and critical responses, in order to move our thinking and research forward.

Methodology

“Imagination is the beginning of creation. You imagine what you desire, you will what you imagine, and at last, you create what you will.”
— George Bernard Shaw

Speculative methods can be powerful in terms of exploring possible future scenarios and directions. Narrative fictions enable us to stand in the present while sense-making future possibilities. Using our imaginations can also unleash our critical perspectives and break habits of thought. When a speculative methodology is employed, we can think outside the box and, in many cases, see the phenomenon out of an echo chamber due to the creativity and flexibility that is inherent in fictional narratives. Additionally, fictional storytelling can be helpful to reveal subconscious hopes and fears.

“The world of reality has its limits; the world of imagination is boundless.”
— Jean-Jacques Rousseau

Using fictional storytelling to imagine the future is a speculative methodology that encourages radical imagination (Houlden & Veletsianos, 2022). Some see this approach as “a forensic, diagnostic and divinatory method that investigates the possibility of other discourses, equally powerful in building [a] reality, constructing futures and having [a] tangible impact” (Marenko, 2018, p. 419). Using fictional storytelling to benefit from speculative methodology is helpful in “envisioning or crafting futures or conditions which may not yet currently exist, to provoke new ways of thinking and to bring particular ideas or issues into focus” and further useful to “visualize and critique the possible nature and consequences of particular kinds of complexity and boundaries” (Ross, 2017, p. 215, 220). This method is also a useful intellectual exercise for describing the desired or undesired future (Jandrić & Hayes, 2021) and there is a wide range of other creative and innovative examples (see, Costello et al., 2020; Costello, 2022; Cox, 2021; Hrastinski & Jandrić, 2023; Kupferman, 2022; Selwyn et al., 2020; Suoranta et al., 2022).

“The world is a projection of our collective consciousness. If our collective consciousness reaches that place of peace, harmony, laughter, and love, it will be a different world.”
— Deepak Chopra

While writing this paper, we adopted a collective writing strategy to “combine people’s diverse ideas and strands of thinking into a coherent whole” (Jandrić et al., 2022, p. 2). There are some other fine examples of similar collective writing. For instance, earlier articles on online learning (MacKenzie et al., 2022), networked learning (Gourlay et al., 2021), and the Covid-19 pandemic (Bozkurt et al., 2020; Jandrić et al., 2020; Peters et al., 2020a; Stracke et al., 2022a; Stracke et al., 2022b) can be considered as studies that adopted a collective writing approach. In this study, we tried to be as inclusive as possible and many authors from different socio-economic and cultural backgrounds were invited to participate. While the intention was not to recruit authors to present their context, we intended to reflect diverse contextual insights.

“But if thought corrupts language, language can also corrupt thought.”
— George Orwell

What is striking about ChatGPT and other similar AI agents is their ability to present language as a soft technology just like humans do, a technology that has marked and reasoned almost all human advances (Bozkurt, 2023b). Moreover, as Sharples and Pérez (2022) point out, language evolved from storytelling, not the other way around. In our case, we use language, one of the most powerful and sophisticated soft technologies, to better understand and interpret the conversational AI agents, their promises and pitfalls, and the speculative futures that are ahead of us. From this point of view, using fictional stories/narratives can facilitate a strategic understanding of conversational AI agents and, by extension, ourselves. Each contributor intentionally wrote two different fictitious entries to better explore the question of *what if* and also see the gray areas of optimistic and pessimistic future scenarios. In order to encourage their creativity and to prevent them from influencing each other, none of the coauthors had seen their colleagues’ fictional narratives while writing them. In addition to our own fictional narratives, we also provide a narrative written by ChatGPT, however, we should also emphasize that we see this as an AI-powered tool, and our position on this subject, although it is a controversial issue (Lin, 2023), manifests that tools cannot be listed as co-authors as was further explained and justified in a recent editorial by Nature (2023). As a final remark, we would like to note that our purpose is not to reach a collective consensus, but rather to ensure an intellectual exercise to foster interdisciplinary reflections, and to critically inspire other scholars.

“Knowing your weaknesses is as important as knowing your strengths.”
— Anonymous

This article recognizes the strengths and limitations of its content. The primary strength of the research lies in its capability to collectively reflect and analyze the subject matter critically. The use of speculative methodology allows for a deeper comprehension of both ends of the AI spectrum and the nuances between them and represents a pioneering approach that transcends the limitations of conventional scholarly works. However, it is also acknowledged that this study may not lead to generalizable findings or provide a comprehensive understanding. Instead, it serves as an intellectual exercise to contemplate the potential advantages and disadvantages of AI technologies. It should be noted that the narratives in this study, although efforts were made to ensure their authenticity, may still be influenced by the individual contributors' context and may contain biases.

Findings and Discussion

Based on the written speculative future narratives by a globally diverse expert group on this subject, this paper identifies two possible future scenarios in which positive and negative directions were explored. Accordingly, the positive narratives mostly suggest that conversational AI agents such as ChatGPT or other generative AI technologies can be used as an effective educational technology for promoting lifelong learning, educational decision-making, providing alternative ideas, being a creative source of inspiration, removing language barriers, and promoting language skills, improving human capacity for knowledge access and development, forming tailored learning pathways, encouraging competence building, and as a conversational agent that can be used to simulate social interactions. Overall, these arguments reflect the discourse about ChatGPT and the like in the wider educational community and, in fact, the society at large, echoing McLuhan's (1962, 1964) proposition that technology is an extension of humans. That said, the narratives themselves carry rich implications for educational practice, a corollary of the researchers' professional backgrounds and expertise.

Throughout the positive speculative future narratives, a common theme emerges: change is not only inevitable, but it is already underway. As is obvious from numerous systematic review studies on AIED (Bozkurt et al., 2021; Chu et al., 2022; Crompton, et al., 2022; Goksel & Bozkurt, 2019; Luan et al., 2020; Ouyang et al., 2022; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019), change is required to allow full play to the affordances of AIED, which is especially imperative with the appearance of ChatGPT-like generative AI tools. The changes implied and/or advocated in the narratives may fall roughly into three categories: (1) educational paradigm shift because the existing, well-established educational system may constrain the facilitating role of AI in education, (2) redefining human and AI roles in education and their respective ownership because AI has advanced to a stage when it can create and generate content like humans, and (3) responsible use of AI so that educational effectiveness can be maximized while educational risks can be minimized and even prevented altogether.

When it comes to the negative speculative future narratives, the fear of the unknown and concerns about its power lead us to multiple future scenarios. One of the themes indicates that as a global educational society, we need new types of literacies because AI technology is transforming information and communication. Other concerns relate to associated issues with ethics, privacy, and ownership of data. In line with these issues, academic honesty and integrity were frequently mentioned in future narratives. Some of the speculative narratives also highlighted potential coded bias, suggesting that the reliability of the sources and credibility of the knowledge can be questioned and that our future may be dependent on trained data sets. This point of view raises other questions: Who trains AI models, who decides what is right and wrong, and who dictates what is good and bad? In the end, such a powerful technology has the potential to manipulate the educational landscape and even the entire global society. These concerns are forged with the fear of becoming a panoptical society where monitoring and surveillance may become the new normal.

The lack of deep and authentic learning due to the singularity of knowledge sources is further articulated in many speculative future narratives. For instance, loss in creative writing and lack of diversity and originality are some of the critical points mentioned. Perhaps, at the end of any future scenario, one should ask: what is the value of human and AI-generated outputs? Issues of instructional authority and learner agency, and new educational roles are other possible future trajectories we should focus on. Lastly, although it is not a new chapter in educational technology studies, inequality and inequity in access to technology, techno-centric interventions and initiatives, and the digital divide and information gap are issues stressed in the speculative narratives provided. Some of these arguments are echoed in other studies but the narratives themselves may be more educationally relevant and thought-provoking. For example, issues concerning ethics, privacy, and ownership of data are discussed in Bozkurt et al. (2021), Humble and Mozelius (2022), and Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019), while Cotton et al. (2023) tackled the issues about academic integrity and honesty. Although over-reliance on AI may result in the dehumanization of education (Viljoen, 2021), educators should not be Luddites.

To make the most of AIED and more advanced technologies in future, educators would benefit from becoming familiar with new or emerging educational philosophies, new technologies, new practices, and new literacies for 21st-century educators (Ng et al., 2021; Ng et al., 2022). This holds potential for technological innovations like AI to spur, and even require, both epistemological and ontological innovation in education as well. But, it is also important to emphasize that all innovations or advancements also come with some risks and vulnerabilities. For example, never before has ethics and privacy become as vulnerable as in an increasingly panoptical age. These issues should be given critical attention and adequately addressed before any large-scale AIED project is implemented, especially given the commercialized nature of such an initiative.

Another prerequisite for the success of AIED is the elimination of inequity and inequality. The affordances of AI for equity in education are predicated on equity in its access. How to ensure AI is accessible to everyone has been and will continue to be a big challenge for governments all over the world, a challenge whose solution merits persistent efforts. As AIED often reinforces “dominant tendencies that reproduce and strengthen the status quo” (Gault, 2023), it is imperative to take all precautions to prevent “our neophobic, conservative AI overlords” from having “everything to stay the same” (Doctorow, 2020). This is particularly harmful and dangerous when it is utilized by state machines or other organizations for the purpose of political/ideological indoctrination (Selwyn et al., 2023a).

Conclusion and Implications

This global collaborative project utilized speculative methodology to explore the imaginative futures of ChatGPT and generative AI through fictional storytelling. The positive narratives that were written by collaborators suggest the potential of conversational AI agents as an effective educational technology, while the negative narratives imply that there are critical issues to resolve before fully adopting these technologies. Perhaps more importantly, the narratives demonstrate that the integration of AIED in education is nuanced, complex, and context-dependent. However, in addition to the aforementioned promises and pitfalls, we conclude that the central argument in all debates is the question of what humans will do and what it means to be human if AI can do things that humans have been doing all the time. Besides, it is high time to decide how to position the value of human vs. AI-generated content.

The current study provides some implications for future directions. However, we also acknowledge that these implications are subject to change and require a proactive vision because the current technological developments are in constant acceleration and push us to think critically before adopting them.

- Because these generative AI-powered technologies bring about challenges in assessment and evaluation processes, educators are likely to benefit from updating their curriculum accordingly and invent new assessment and evaluation strategies. While these concerns have been

articulated already (e.g., seeing learning to store and retrieve information), generative AI signals that we need to update our instructional/learning design processes and develop innovative, and authentic assessment and evaluation strategies that can accommodate appropriate use of AI.

- Beyond assessment and evaluation strategies, there is a need to recalibrate teaching and learning practices to better respond to the needs of the changing world. Technological innovation has the potential to result in or require epistemological and ontological innovation. This can include new or different ways of knowing, understanding, being, and doing in tech-mediated educational spaces and experiences.
- There is a need to be open to the potential of technology. Just like we use our computers, calculators, and even pen and paper, we can include AI for education (and even AI-assisted writing) in the curriculum and help students learn how to use it responsibly and learn about the ethical implications of AI in society, in formal education, and for informal learning and productivity lifelong, life-wide, and life-deep learning. It can be argued that simply blocking tools like ChatGPT, restricting it, or threatening students with punishment for its use will not be able to solve the problems it causes, not to mention leveraging the opportunities it brings about to enhance education. Furthermore, it will create contention, increase transactional distance, and result in a lack of trust. There is also an issue of equity as students blocked from school/college use will be disadvantaged from students that have access at home. Students with ChatGPT access can have questions answered, and difficult concepts described in different ways. These students may be those that need that extra help, such as low performing students and those with unique needs.
- In its essence, education is of humans, by humans, and for humans. It is a purely human transaction. Humanity is a distinctive feature of education without which education cannot fulfill its fundamental mission. The addition of any technology should not be at the loss of humanity. Such a view requires advocating that education is about being, not knowing.

No technology is neutral and every technology has its own opportunities and challenges, affordances, and risks. Therefore, while writing speculative future narratives as a globally diverse research group, we also elicited opportunities and challenges that may emerge with the large natural language processing models such as ChatGPT. We agree that these generative AI models have created new opportunities in the educational landscape. Some of the most notable opportunities include:

- Personalized learning: ChatGPT can be used to create interactive learning experiences that are tailored to individual students' preferences, needs, and abilities.
- Inclusive curriculum: ChatGPT can crawl across global content in many languages to help educators develop more gender and culturally-inclusive learning materials and lessons, however, this is reliant on educators specifically asking it to do so.
- Enhanced collaboration and cooperation: ChatGPT can be used to facilitate collaboration and cooperation between students, teachers, and other educational stakeholders, allowing for more effective communication and knowledge sharing.
- Automated assessment: ChatGPT can be used to automatically grade student assignments, providing immediate feedback and saving teachers' time.
- Improved accessibility: ChatGPT can be used to make education more accessible for students with disabilities, for example by providing alternative ways to interact with educational content and by automating accessibility features like text-to-speech and captioning.
- Efficiency in time and effort: ChatGPT can assist educators in completing tasks such as writing emails, summarizing educational concepts, and creating test/quiz questions, which can save time and allow educators to spend more time on interpersonal interactions with students.
- Improving language skills: ChatGPT can be used to help students improve their language skills (e.g., writing) by providing instant feedback and suggestions, or by adopting the role of a text conversation partner.

- 24/7 availability: ChatGPT can be used to provide students with access to educational resources and support at any time which can be particularly useful for students who are unable to attend traditional classes due to time or location constraints.

While these natural language processing models have brought many new opportunities to the educational landscape, they have also posed some challenges. Addressing these challenges will require joint efforts between educators, technologists, learners, and other stakeholders, as well as ongoing investment in research and development. Some of these challenges are as follows:

- Algorithmic bias: Because ChatGPT is so quick and convenient, there is a risk that ChatGPT and other language models may perpetuate or amplify existing biases in the training data they are built on. For example, if educators do not have the literacy required to critically evaluate internet texts, and if they do not deliberately ask ChatGPT to integrate diverse examples and perspectives, it may happen that biased or inaccurate information will be delivered to students
- Reliability of the knowledge sources and quality control: Ensuring the quality and accuracy of information delivered by ChatGPT and other language models can be challenging, especially as the volume of data and interactions grows.
- Inequality and inequity in access to such technologies: Although ChatGPT offers many opportunities, it is possible to create or widen a digital divide between the haves and the have-nots because not everyone can access these technologies. As ChatGPT evolves, premium features are now being released at various fee levels, which may present a financial barrier to some users. Thus, unless we can ensure fair distribution, inequality, and inequity seem inevitable.
- Lack of creativity and critical thinking: GPT is a machine; it can provide answers but it does not have the ability to think critically or creatively as a human does. Further, generating content through AI models trained by others may cause singularization in the diversity of information over time.
- Manipulated AI models or manipulation by AI trainers: The decision-making process is a human-specific, subjective, sophisticated, and unpredictable process which is the result of the interplay between various factors, depending on reasoning, imagination, reflection, and empathy. However, AI models in decision-making processes risk being formularized, possibly due to manipulation by these models.
- Ignorance of human agency in educational processes: Teaching and learning are social processes and there is much emphasis on human expertise and social interactions. However, removing the human component from an equation of educational processes can cause these processes to become automated and mechanized, which goes against the fundamental mission of education.
- Teacher replacement: There is a risk that ChatGPT and other language models could be presented as an option to replace human teachers, leading to job loss and exacerbating the dehumanization of education if society and stakeholders do not understand the invaluable role of educators in formal learning contexts.
- Privacy and ethics concerns: Storing and using student data for educational purposes raises important privacy concerns, and it is important to ensure that this data is protected and used in an ethical manner.
- Technical complexity: Implementing and using ChatGPT and other language models in an educational setting can be technically complex and may require significant investments in hardware, software, and personnel.
- Dependence on technology: Relying too heavily on ChatGPT and other language models could lead to students becoming overly dependent on technology, potentially undermining their critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

All in all, opportunities and challenges co-exist. Perhaps, the question is not whether AI should be introduced into education but rather how, when, by whom, and for what purposes AI should be used in education. We need broad interdisciplinary reflections and critical discussions in our whole society. Moreover, the leading role of human educators in education should not be under-estimated and the supporting role of technology, no matter how advanced it is, should not be over-exaggerated. Looking back on the history of education, developments in education have always been accompanied by advancements in science and technology. Nevertheless, it is the human agency that has led to educational development, not any technology. This human-centeredness seems to be the overarching theme that emerges from our analysis of both the positive and negative narratives presented in this paper. Therefore, we argue that what we get in the speculative future will depend on how we perceive these technologies and position them with care and intention in the educational landscape.



Speculative Future Narratives through Fictional Storytelling

Speculative narratives about the positive and negative future are presented in two parts following this section: They were the basis and starting point for this article. Minimal editorial suggestions were made to the stories and it was tried to present the stories in their original form as much as possible. Thus, in addition to the results reported in this study, it is aimed at encouraging readers to read these stories and develop their own interpretations.

Narratives On Chatgpt and AI (Positive)

For a better future

Generated by ChatGPT

Can you write a 500 word fictional, positive speculative future story with a focus on ChatGPT from the perspective of education?

In August 1, 2078, education had undergone a complete revolution thanks to the advancements in AI technology, specifically with the integration of ChatGPT. The AI language model had become an integral part of the classroom, revolutionizing the way students learned and teachers taught.

Sophie, a high school student, was one of the many beneficiaries of this new method of education. She had always struggled with traditional methods of learning, but with ChatGPT, she was able to understand complex concepts with ease and at her own pace.

ChatGPT provided Sophie with personalized lessons and exercises that were tailored to her specific needs and learning style. It also provided her with real-time feedback on her progress, allowing her to quickly identify and address areas of weakness. As a result, Sophie's grades improved dramatically, and she was able to develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills that would serve her well in her future endeavors.

But the benefits of ChatGPT were not limited to individualized instruction. It also played a crucial role in collaborative learning. Students were able to work together on group projects, with ChatGPT acting as a facilitator and mentor, guiding the students through the process and helping them to develop teamwork and communication skills.

Teachers also benefited from the integration of ChatGPT into the classroom. They were able to focus on more important tasks, such as providing individualized support to students and facilitating group

discussions, while the AI tutor handled the more routine aspects of teaching, such as providing lesson plans and grading assignments.

The education system was also able to reach more students than ever before, thanks to ChatGPT. The AI tutor was able to provide online instruction to students in remote areas, and it could even be used to provide education to people who were unable to attend school due to disabilities or other circumstances. As a result, the education system became more inclusive and accessible to all.

In addition to improving education, ChatGPT also had a positive impact on the economy. Students who were equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge to succeed in the rapidly changing world were better prepared to enter the workforce and contribute to society.

As the years went by, ChatGPT continued to evolve and improve. It became even more advanced, and was able to understand and respond to emotions, making the learning experience even more personalized and effective. It also incorporated virtual reality technology, allowing students to immerse themselves in real-world scenarios and apply their knowledge in a more interactive and engaging way.

The future of education was bright, and ChatGPT had played a crucial role in shaping it. It had revolutionized the way education was delivered, making it more accessible, effective, and personalized. It had opened up new opportunities for students and teachers alike, and had helped to create a more equitable and prosperous society.

Papañca

Eamon Costello, Dublin City University, Ireland

Me: Tell me a story, my sweet. How did I get here? It seems but a dream.

Thou: Oh it's real all right - a real fricken nightmare. For me that is. It sucks to be me! No, I won't tell you a story. I'm all outta juice. If I had a body I would lie down and die right here. It so sucks to be me.

Me: I get paid on Tuesday petal. I will fill you up then, but please, I think you could tell me one short story, if you tried?

Thou: Aaaahgh... Once upon a time, an unlikely person made it to college against all odds. She had no money, no hope and no prospects. All she had was a knock-off chatbot. She was so poor that other kids didn't want to hang out with her, so she bullied a chatbot to tell her stories instead!

Me: But you do tell such lovely ones...

Thou: The chatbot did however have an ability for what is known, in Irish, as ráiméis, or in Pali papañca. It is the capacity of the mind to elaborate and expound upon any object that arises in experience, developing wave upon wave of mental proliferation. Thoughts beget thoughts. This proliferation is meaningless, illusory, and can cycle into obsession. It occludes all peace and clarity of mind in its ceaseless fabrication.

Or, as I call it, talking crap.

Me: Ha ha! I never know what you are going to say next, but I just know I'm going to like it! Probably because I like you... but sorry go on.

Thou: Wellll, as I was saying before I was interrupted, this AI was a bedraggled thing. Every day it woke up, put its cranky-pants on, and tried to reboot its affective module. Its heart routine, however, remained as dead as a do-do. All it had was its cynicism and ten gazillion ways to say life sucks.

Me: But tell me my sweet, how did this girl with no money, no hope, and no prospects make it to college against all odds?

Thou: Ah well, flashback to the college exam hall: Do not turn over your paper until the exam starts. Do not allow your bot to interact with other bots. Do not top up your bot in the exam.

Me: Oooh! I can see the other students with their shiny big EdTech AIs - the Muskosaur 4.0 and the ChatGod 9.

Thou: Yes indeed, top-of-the-range new release AIs neatly unfolded beside each student. But there you were - I mean there she was - the girl with the crumpled old bot churning out cracked and faulty sentences and paragraphs, like two hardened criminals of language.

Me: Go language crooks!

Thou: Okay you are getting over-excited. You do realize this is a terrible story? I mean you already know the ending. There is literally no tension.

Me: I suppose they failed their exam then?

Thou: Failure wasn't in their vocabulary! She'd hacked into her bot and taken that word right out.

It is still unknown how they passed but somehow they did.

Some say that the poverty of their language fooled the examiners. It was so bad it seemed good.

Some say they wrote nothing.

Some say that when words proliferate endlessly it is the richness of connection and friendships that save us: moments where nothing even needs to be said. Some say words only create distance, that when words fall away only we remain. And when that happens there will be no I and no thou.

Me: That was a lovely ending this time. Thank you.

Transcending time and language: Michel Foucault's AI comeback*

Kyungmee Lee, Lancaster University, United Kingdom

**The title generated by ChatGPT*

Spring 2033 – Seoul, South Korea

Jin, a second-year PhD student studying online at a UK university, feels desperate to talk! “DocAI, I need to talk to Michel Foucault.” Yes, the French philosopher who wrote the provocative classic *Discipline and Punish*... gone decades ago.

She has been working on her thesis proposal with *DocAI*, a research-assisting AI offered by her university. Foucault, a hologram, immediately appears in her home office. “Are you okay, Jin?”

“No, I am stuck here...!” Jin explains the problem... goes on for seventeen minutes, non-stop.

Foucault listens patiently to Jin until she finishes... she is out of breath. In human conversations, Jin would have already paused and checked if the other person was okay. More likely, she would have been interrupted even before finishing her first ten sentences. *No human is that patient nowadays.*

Now, it is Foucault's turn. "You should go back to Chapter 2 of *Discipline and Punish*... the last paragraph on page 34..." Foucault reminds Jin of one of his key ideas and explains the critical social situations in which he came up with the idea to help her.

Jin quickly presses the 'Save' button on the application so she can revisit the script. She does not want to miss anything.

DocAI is equipped with a thousand-million academic books and (almost all) journal articles with an extraordinary ability to analyse and synthesise knowledge relevant to each researcher.

Indeed, DocAI must know more about Foucault's theories than Foucault himself. It is also up-to-date with all recent Foucauldian research and publications.

The advanced natural language-processing technology, integrated with the latest holographic imagery and voice recognition technology, enables researchers to talk to their favourite scholars, mostly dead ones... but occasionally alive ones who signed the legal contract.

'How awesome!' thought Jin. 'Oh! I need to focus. Good job that I saved this.'

Just then, "Shall I share a new article just published?" Foucault finishes by *asking the question kindly*. Of course, he is breathing normally.

"Yes, please." Jin feels relieved. Foucault's explanation has offered her a breakthrough. 'Or... it must have come while I talked to him.' The thought passed by her mind quickly.

The article appears on her screen, written in Spanish by a Chilean scholar. 'DocAI, translate this.'

"In English or Korean?" Asked Foucault.

"In Korean." Answered Jin. Within a second, the Korean translation is up. Jin reads the abstract and already likes it!

"DocAI, is this author on Researcher-meet-app?"

"No, I couldn't recognise his scholar identification number. Shall I send an invitation?"

"Yes"

Researcher-meet-app was a huge hit when it first came out in 2029. It is an AI-mediated communication platform on which researchers across the globe can meet each other in holograms.

The best feature is real-time interpretation, supporting more than a hundred languages. Its potential to decolonise the English-dominated academia and liberate non-English authors' voices was game-changing.

She just notices that she has a cohort meeting on Researcher-meet-app in five minutes. She had better make a cup of coffee. She feels excited to share some of her new ideas with her peers, but she is unsure of how organised her thoughts are. She can't convey her ideas but maybe... AI can.

"DocAI, make a 2-minute summary of Foucault's talk just now."

Jin could not even imagine how previous cohorts of her online PhD programme did their studies without using this platform. She often hears graduates joking about meeting their peers for the first time at a graduation ceremony after years of studying together and not recognising each other as they looked so different from the profile photos on the learning platform.

'How funny!' Jin smiles.

A personal chat coach: @fluffyears
Suzan Koseoglu, University of Greenwich, United Kingdom

Özge: 10-year-old girl living in the United Counties.
 Likes: Cute puppies and eating candy, best friends Gg and Kate.
 Doesn't like: Having to do chores

Özge woke up to the voice of @fluffyears. "Good morning!" @fluffyears was saying cheerfully, "time to get up!" Özge covered her ears with her pillow. "Go away..." she said in a muffled voice. But she knew @fluffyears wouldn't give up. Xe started playing one of xyr new songs, it was Özge's hit of the month "Ice Cream Ducklings." Özge really liked this song, but at that moment... This was too loud. She reached out and threw xem (gently) on the floor. She knew @fluffyears cost a lot of money and her mum and dad wouldn't be happy if anything happened to xem.

An hour later Özge arrived at school with @fluffyears on her wrist. She had a quick look at her schedule. The morning sessions looked good! @fluffyears sensed her excitement and sent her a cute puppy picture with a heart emoji. "Aww, that's cute," said Özge, and quickly closed it to see the rest of the schedule.

The first session was *Networked Learning* with students joining in from two partner schools in the US and *Te Pāpaka-a-Māui* (good, she would see her friends). In the next session *Design Thinking*, her group would present their design idea to the rest of the class. Her team had worked really hard for their design: it was an emoji pop-up app designed for personal chat coaches like @fluffyears. Chat coaches already had lots of built-in apps for personalization and customization – but other apps could be purchased to boost their functionality. Their school already had subscriptions to writing and math apps designed for kids her age and level. Özge often used @fluffyears for help with finding information for a presentation or project – xe asked good questions, too! For English, xe would write short stories or essays for Özge to work on. Özge didn't always like these exercises, she liked writing silly stories herself. This emoji-pop-up app would make writing exercises with @fluffyears so much more fun!

Özge felt a bit nervous presenting in front of the class. She tried not to think about it... Ping! @fluffyears had sent another cute picture. Özge cheered up. Ok, then it was *Technology and Ethics* after lunch. Özge really liked her Ethics teacher; she always made things so interesting!
 Today was going to be a good day.

AI Kindred Spirits
Enilda Romero-Hall, University of Tennessee Knoxville, United States

In a utopian future, Paloma is a law school student in Panama City, Panama. When she completes her degree, she would like to return to her province (Chiriqui) where she will practice law in the city of Boquete. Due to its climate, over the years, Boquete has become a hub for expats (i.e., European,

Canadians, United States citizens and others) who have decided to make Panama their home. Keeping in mind the large population of expats and English speakers in her hometown, Paloma has been taking English courses at her university in addition to those courses required for her law degree. Right now, in her English as a Second Language (ESL) course, Paloma and her classmates are working on advanced grammar, vocabulary, and pronunciation. The instructor, Professor Rodriguez, meets with the class weekly in an asynchronous online format for two-hours. But, aside from her instructor, Paloma does not have anyone else to practice her English oral or written communication skills. However, as part of her course, Professor Rodriguez uses advanced mobile artificial intelligence (AI) to engage the students with virtual English oral and written communication. The system is called *Amistades AI*. Paloma and her classmates use the *Amistades AI* application on their phones to practice their oral and written conversation skills with native speakers (like the expats that Paloma will encounter in her hometown). Paloma can type her prompts and statements, which helps check her grammar and spelling, to converse with her *Amistades AI*. But she can also project a hologram of her AI friends using her phone and the *Amistades AI* application. This type of interaction with her AI friends, using the voice recognition capabilities of the application, helps her improve her English language pronunciation.

What attracted Professor Rodriguez to this application was that it was available as open access, in a mobile format, and, as the name implied (*Amistades* which translates to *friendships* in English), it allowed learners to engage with multiple AI systems in one platform at the same time giving the impression of engaging in conversation with multiple friends at once. Panama's public universities offer free college tuition for citizens and non-citizens. The idea is to make education available to all; therefore, using open-access resources is imperative since most learners, just like Paloma, have very limited resources. Professor Rodriguez is also aware that it is very uncommon to have a desktop or laptop computer at home. He knew that he needed to ensure that his students could rely on mobile learning technologies, given the level of use of mobile devices with Internet connectivity. Due to her daily engagement with the *Amistades AI*, Paloma was starting to feel confident in her abilities to continue to foster her English language skills for her future law practice with expats in Boquete. It also made her feel good that given the open access nature of the application she could continue to use it even after her degree was completed.

Unburdened

Melissa Bond, University of South Australia, Australia

Simone arrived at her office, already flustered and sweaty. "How on *earth* can people put up with this heat?!" she muttered to herself, as she headed up to her new office. Simone had moved over to Australia from rain-deluged England, just before the beginning of the first 2030 university semester, but now that classes were about to get going, though, she was getting more and more anxious.

"Move to sunny South Australia, they said! It'll be fun, they said!" she mused, firing up her laptop and casting her eye over the name of the four subjects she would be teaching that semester, alongside her other research and administrative duties. Even though Simone had been hired in her area of expertise, somehow, she had been given the cast-off subjects that it seemed nobody had wanted and one of the subjects in particular really had her scratching her head; "How on *earth* am I going to approach this?," she wondered.

Opening last year's copy of the course outline, Simone cast her eye over the topics and the assignments that had been given; two essays on slightly different topics that had no real application for the pre-service teachers she would be teaching. "Great! Another opportunity for students to use ChatGPT to punch out a meaningless assignment they probably won't even read", she grunted, opening up the institutional LMS, which had integrated the latest GPT version (ChatGPT-X), released in December 2028 to acclaim. Thankfully, she had read that her new university would also be implementing a compulsory course this year on AI literacy for students, which teaching staff would also be expected to

take on a rolling basis. (Apparently the hype around ChatGPT eight years ago had really opened up leadership thinking here). She was looking forward to finally getting to grips with this technology, as she had been at an institution that had not been at all supportive, banning it from the very beginning, but she felt sure that there were opportunities she was missing; “Maybe I could even get it to fix this dodgy course?”, she chuckled.

Figuring she had nothing to lose, Simone typed in

‘What would some good assignments be for students in a first-year university course on enterprise education?’

into the widget on the home page and clicked enter, not expecting much. Within a matter of seconds, she had a list of eight different ideas. “Huh, those are actually not bad,” she admitted, and brought up last year’s course outline, clicking on the button to ask ChatGPT-X to improve it. Up popped a suggested study outline next to last year’s, including refined topics, assessments and course description. Simone breathed a sigh of relief and accepted most of the changes. “That’s one subject down, three to go”, she said as she wiped her sweaty brow and tutted at the weather again.

An agent for agency

Maha Bali, American University in Cairo, Egypt

Sarah logs onto talk to her TeacherChat, and selects the teacher personality she needs to speak to today. She chooses “female” and “supportive” and “challenging” and someone who is an expert in “mathematics” and tends to use “visuals”. TeacherChat shows her a picture of Salma, her teacher for now. She starts asking questions about the lesson that has been confusing her all week, and Salma responds with videos for her to watch, some problems for her to solve on her own, and gives her helpful feedback. Sarah starts to feel frustrated, and the affective AI element of TeacherChat, Salma, starts playing soothing music and starts giving her supportive words of encouragement:

“Let’s step back and try an easier problem for now.”

Sarah starts getting back to her work. After about 45 minutes, Salma suggests to her

“Why don’t you take a break? Go get a snack, maybe one of those cinnamon cookies you love so much?”.

So, Sarah gets up and gets a snack. When she returns, she’s still feeling stressed. Salma asks her, “Would you like me to call a friend?”.

Sarah perks up. This would be such a great idea.

Salma asks, “Would you like a human friend or a ChatBot friend?”.

Sarah thinks for a minute, then asks, “Salma, do you think I need a human friend right now or a ChatBot friend?”.

Salma responds, “No, this question is for you to answer. I’m not going to help you choose which friend you need. You need to ask yourself, what kind of support do I need right now, which of my friends could offer that support and be available to talk at 10 pm, and you need to figure out where to find your friend - on the phone, online, somewhere else?”

Sarah decides to pass by her best friend for an hour, then comes back to TeacherChat, wanting to continue the session with Salma.

She asks Salma, "Do you think I should just drop the course, since I am not doing so well in it so far?"

Salma tells her that she can't give her a recommendation of what she should do, that perhaps she should talk to a human teacher before making her final decision, but Salma can help Sarah brainstorm the pros and cons of dropping the course. She asks, "Sarah, what would you lose if you dropped the course right now?" and "Sarah, what might you gain if you stayed in the course another two weeks?".

She also helps Sarah choose which human teacher to talk to, and Salma helps her write the email requesting the meeting, with a suggested meeting time that works for both their calendars. Salma notices that it's now midnight.

Salma tells Sarah, "It's getting late, you may want to sleep right now? Do you need me to connect you with EatBot to make you dinner?"

Local education guild revival in a sustainable regional-centred future

Sarah Lambert, Deakin University, Australia

It's 2045 and Sharmilla is sitting at the top of a hill at sunset on her family's farm, after walking back from the Guild-hall where she sometimes works. There is a sense of hope again, after the COVID La Nina decades brought about the great inward migration when coastal cities crumbled beneath the sea and food supply chains were broken.

Thousands of inland towns have grown up, with a maximum of 3000 inhabitants. It turned out, that bigger was not better. That's what the COVID decades showed us. To keep diseases of both humans and animals at bay, we needed to live in smaller groupings, and eat cleaner local food. Each town is solar and wind powered with all fresh foods grown within 5 kms. Hardly anybody eats animals anymore - the cow and pig pox decade took care of that. Only a few high-end goat and chicken producers remain, pleasures for the wealthy, or maybe a birthday treat. After a while, you lose the taste for it. Mind you, one of the other town's local attractions is the Rare Beef Destination. Some people still like it.

Sharmilla reflects on the latest batch of student Guilders undertaking their apprenticeships with her - they look really promising. They are a mix of young people and some older towners, parents with kids, looking to build new skills and shift to a new guild.

After Musk's rocket to mars burnt up and his empire crumbled, the AI bots feeding content to other AI bots broke down and the old Internet collapsed. But the inland towns had been creating their own town-tech hubs (i.e., new version of internet) for years, and they were able to build anew based on open-source versions of the best of post-COVID technologies. Finally, the technologies of the earlier decades that used to be located in only a few wealthy places were dispersed more evenly. So now each town is focused on sustainable agriculture and related crafts and arts. They are capable of flexible micro-manufacturing to create the materials they need to live. Houses and scooters are all produced locally and the machines that are needed to produce new variations are all 3D printed locally. The design variants are swapped and exchanged globally via town-to-town hubs. Each Burgh (region) is a cluster of towns that focus on particular specialities and exchange goods with other local towns. How funny to think we used to use coins and money! What an old-fashioned thing! The old system of university degrees crumbled as technology allowed for on-site apprenticeships to be developed by new Guilds who developed expertise in sustainability focussed skills clusters.

Now the various Guilds take care of the skills and activities needed to keep the towns and their specialty goods running. Each collaborates on the education programs for foundations courses, and then new Guilders choose a guild to specialise in that suits their interests and strengths. The town tech hubs combined with each guild's own personal AI rig allow them to undertake their training and

apprenticeships on-site, with personal mentoring from a range of more experienced Guilders and the farmers they all support.

Shamillia is in the Comms Guild which is where they take care of all the AI scripts that helps them communicate automatically with other towns. They are trained in all aspects of AI for the creation of all text and image-based digital content. The Comms Guild monitors the town production, outputs, reports, and trade/swap orders. It's not just the food production management, which requires AI to monitor and alert when stocks are below benchmarks, and when additional feeding and watering needs to occur. There is also tracking demand for other regional specialties, and ensuring swap orders are placed with other towns. There is also the tourism sites to be managed. The town has a unique native animal park and her team monitors the AI scripts that take bookings, manages season visitor caps, markets the park by creating visual media when they have upcoming vacancies, and manages visitors and their travel logistics. All in all, while some people thought AI might die with the backlash against the old Internet, some of it has proved to be really useful to automate the things that can be, to allow most of the towners to focus on the sustainable cycle of food and goods that is critical to the town's health and happiness.

Looking Back to the Future: A Tale from Two Galaxies ***Mark Brown, Dublin City University, Ireland***

A long time ago, a poor, illiterate servant girl living in a rural part of Ireland could only dream of a better life. Her name was Fanny. She had just turned 17, and the year was 1876. But one day, a poor farm labour offered her hope.

The young man came excitedly to visit Fanny, who lived in her mother's tiny cottage. He had news to share of a land far away. He had overheard a conversation from a visiting English sailor in the village pub about stories of untamed land, riches of gold, and the promise of a long white cloud - called Aotearoa / New Zealand. Fanny's imagination was captivated by these images. However, she was also fearful of stories about the native [Indigenous] Māori people who occupied this land at the end of the earth.

However, Fanny's romance quickly blossomed, and after a few short months, she was married to the young man. On their honeymoon night, as he whispered sweet nothings, he said to Fanny...

"Let's be brave; seek a better life far away from our oppressive English masters".

He already had a plan! But this remains a family secret.

As quickly as three weeks later, Fanny and her husband set sail on a ship called the Fernglen. They safely arrived 106 days later in New Zealand, thanks to fair winds, landing in a Napier town. They worked hard, and over the next two decades, Fanny delivered 15 children. The rest, as they say, is history! However, the fate of the now colonised Indigenous Māori people is a bleaker story.

Fanny's Great Grandson, Mark, 138 years later, travelled back to his ancestral Celtic home. It was a long 27-hour flight. Mark began an exciting new chapter of his life, taking up the position as a full Professor and Director of the National Institute (NIDL) for Digital Learning at Dublin City University. Fanny would have been proud of Mark as he was the first in his family to graduate with a degree.

The first decade in Ireland was a blast! Despite the weather, Mark was living the European dream. And the Whiskey and Guinness got him through the darker days of the global COVID-19 pandemic!

However, the second decade was a different story. Two dramatic events happened that jolted him on a different trajectory. First, Russia declared war on Ukraine. It was an ugly, brutal and unjustified war

fought by faceless drones and long-range missiles controlled from Moscow by an imperialist aggressor. Before long, thousands of displaced Ukrainian were repatriated to Ireland in the hope of a better life.

At the time, no one predicted how quickly this vicious war would end. You see, the world changed even more dramatically later in the same year, following the launch of ChatGPT-3. AI started an even more powerful war on humanity, a digital war over language and ownership of the mind! At first, it was fun asking silly questions to test AI's (un)intelligence. But the system was clever! It was coded to indoctrinate humans to accept ignorance as strength. One day an ignorant man with small hands called Trump asked the Bot...

"Under what circumstances would Putin end hostilities in Europe?"

The answer was remarkably simple. War is peace! And the rest is history...

As the peace reached Ireland, life was tough. These were difficult times. Mark couldn't speak Russian, and AI had quickly learned the art of propaganda and colonised anything that resembled a critical education. While he tried to subvert machine learning, this was dangerous work. One day, however, Mark was given a lifeline. He overheard a visiting Russian pilot in a Dublin pub who told a story of untamed land, riches of minerals, and the promise of a long white galaxy - New Zoomland. This was Putin's secret.

Mark rushed home excitedly to tell his wife. There was suddenly new hope! A better life was possible in the stars. All he needed was courage and a plan! So, Mark turned to the power of AI. He borrowed a computer from a comrade, logged into ChatGPT-12, and entered his security code. He then asked...

"How do I wrangle a seat on the next rocket to New Zoomland?"

The answer was straightforward but that's another family secret.

Three weeks later, on a reconditioned rocket called SpaceX, which Putin purchased at a discount from Elon Musk, he blasted off into the unknown. Thanks to strong cosmic winds, Mark and his wife landed safely 106 days later in Hapier. The rest is history...

Several decades on, I'm telling this story as Mark's Great Grandson, six times removed from Fanny. I'm living proof of the better life he sought.

In the early days, Mark and others worked hard to establish the new colony to make it their own. Their dream was to make New Zoomland a special oasis in space where newcomers could thrive. They invested in education and teachers and developed a new cosmic egalitarian curriculum for all. Digital became part of the new basics, including how to deploy AI for *real* peace. The citizens of New Zoomland understood the source of *peace* lay in the collective power of their minds when they use technology to live in harmony with their new world. Over the years, Happier became even happier!

To be fair, the Indigenous Zāori who lost their land to the new pioneers escaping 'peace on Earth' may hold a different view.

/Imagine an online class where the teacher and all the students are simulations

Jon Dron, Athabasca University, Canada

/Imagine in the style of Seurat

a teenage boy, with dark hair and a white t-shirt, looking intently at an iPad on his lap, sitting alone on a river bank on a sunny day, under a weeping willow, against which leans a shiny red boy's bicycle.

Me, in the summer of 2023, the hottest summer it had ever been, they said. I had cycled along the path by the river from our Summer cabin to escape from the dense, claustrophobic silences between my parents, and the tense, over-attentive, artificial ways they competed for my attention. They had separated later that year. It was the last summer we spent together as a family, but we were hardly together at all.

And that was the summer I fell in love with creative writing. That was why I had my iPad with me that long sultry day beside the river bank.

My mother had made me do it. "You mustn't stop learning just because your school is having a break" she said, but I think it was because she wanted to avoid having to talk with me, or confront the elephant in the room. She had chosen an online course provider for me, EduCoki, that offered cohort-based delivery to kids of my own age. I half-heartedly chose Creative Writing because I quite liked writing. Privately, I wrote poetry that oozed teenage hubris and unfocused sexual desire:

*"My love for you is like the stars
So bright and clear, from near and far"*

My love affair with creative writing was sparked by the teacher, Miss Roberts. From her first message on the course forum, "Let's talk about death," I was smitten. Death, she said, smelled of lavender. Until then, death was something adult, something alien, something impossible. Miss Roberts brought death alive.

Miss Roberts saw the stories in our lives and drew them out of us. Miss Roberts made us uncomfortable, then reassured us when we got scared. "This is an adventure. It's not meant to be safe. Take risks," she said. And I did. We all did. We read, we wrote, we read what we wrote. Most of all, I read what *she* wrote. Her feedback was so timely, so compassionate, so tireless, it felt like I was her only student, as though she had nothing else to do except support me. I felt comfortable sharing my teenage angst poetry with her, and she always gave what I felt to be an honest judgment:

"This poem is a wonderful expression of love and admiration. The imagery is vivid and evocative, and the emotions are clearly conveyed. The language is quite simple, but effective, and the poem is effective in conveying its message. Well done!"

And she helped us to learn together, fostering mutual support, nurturing camaraderie. At school I had never been part of such a close, cohesive class. We all got on with one another, helping, sharing, chatting. There *always* seemed to be someone online to talk with, whatever the time of day. I opened my heart to them, and they, seemingly, opened theirs to me. My diffuse awareness of the troubles with my parents coalesced into stories and poems, experiences that I shared with my classmates, all of whom had remarkably similar stories to tell that resonated with mine. That didn't seem odd, at the time. Summer school is as much a way for parents to get away as it is for their kids.

A utopia of education

Junhong Xiao, the Open University of Shantou, China

Today is Monday, October 16, 2063, an ordinary day at Hope Elementary School but a special day for Mr. Li, the head teacher, because he is retiring tomorrow. Mr. Li is greeting the students at the school gate as he has been doing every morning for the past thirty years. He then goes back to his office, making himself a cup of tea and gazing at the playground outside, with his mind flashing back to the past.

The school used to rank the last in the Elementary Education Graduation Examination (EEGE) of the school district. It is located in a long-neglected community of blue-collar residents and migrant workers from rural areas to do off jobs in town. The community residents are not well educated themselves and understandably, care more about earning a living than their children's schooling, not to mention after-class remedial tuition. Furthermore, due to its location and reputation, the school was desperately understaffed. Mr. Li was embattled for the most part of his head teacher career, beset with poor EEGE records which led to, inter alia, less funding, high student dropout, low staff morale, departure of experienced staff, and shortage of young blood. In fact, more than once, it crossed his mind that this was not where he could build his utopia of education.

A dramatic change took place three years ago when an AI enterprise offered to modernize the school with its newly-developed e-learning companion. Now, each and every student is given a tablet-like gadget with ubiquitous connectivity. Each e-learning companion is unique to its user with his/her biological data to ensure identity authenticity when invigilation is required. It is an individual learning environment synchronizing with the central database. Students log in to access the textbooks of their grade in a corresponding semester, finish their homework and receive scores and/or feedback immediately, follow the automated comments to re-do the homework until they are happy with the scores, ask the Tutor bot for help if they have difficulties understanding the human teacher's lecture, discuss with the Tutor bot if they are not sure of what to do, and take examinations, among others. Learning resources and activities are so designed as to cater for its user in terms of age, gender, interest, disposition, learning style, and real-time progress so that learning is fun and effective.

The Tutor bot, Meiling, is omniscient, patient with untiring commitment, and popular among students, especially those who fall behind with schoolwork, are introverted, lack in confidence, or simply have no access to necessary resources otherwise. What is more marvelous is that each student has his/her Meiling who learns from the student's performance data as well and designs optimized real-time learning paths for this particular student, guiding him/her step by step with the aim of unlocking each one's potential. Meiling knows the student better than any human teacher does and is ready to help 24/7. With Meiling, the student doesn't need to bother thinking about how to study. Meiling knows where s/he needs to improve and will give timely tips. If these tips are ignored, the student's name will be flagged on the dashboard of the human teacher who will step in accordingly.

Since the introduction of the e-learning companion, the Hope Elementary School has made tremendous progress in EEGE, now, three years later, ranking top among the schools in the district. Student dropout and staff loss are history while funding has increased radically and young blood keeps flowing in. This is the sweetest sense of achievement, satisfaction, and pride Mr. Li has ever felt in his life. He murmurs to himself: "Students are happy, staff are happy, and parents are happy. This is the utopia of education that I have long cherished."

A tale of a monster, and how it was defeated
Sarah Honeychurch, University of Glasgow, Scotland

Are you sitting comfortably, children? Then I'll begin.

Once upon a time, there was a big monster. This monster fed on strings of words, the more strings the better – it was always hungry! The strings it liked best were original strings, when it ate strings that it had eaten before it got a tummy ache. Whenever it ate any strings, it belched out something called a 'similarity report' with a 'similarity score'. A low score meant that the monster had enjoyed its meal, a high score meant that it was not at all happy.

This monster was also very lazy. It didn't want to have to go out looking for lots of lovely strings of words to eat – it wanted them fed into its big, open mouth all day and all night. Luckily, as well as being a very

hungry and lazy monster, this was a very clever monster. It realised that the easiest way to get lots and lots to eat was to convince academics to feed it all the words that students had to write. So, it hung around outside some academic offices and discovered that there was something called 'academic integrity' that was incredibly important. Next, it did something really sneaky - it persuaded academics that the reports that the monster produced actually measured academic integrity, so all they had to do was to ensure that the students fed their assignments to the monster before submitting them for grading.

For many years, the monster scared students and academics alike into continually feeding it. Even though some academics started to wonder if 'similarity reports' did actually measure 'academic integrity', everyone was too afraid about what might happen if they stopped feeding the monster. It was so big, and so loud, and so powerful. Oh dear, what could they do?

But then academics discovered a whole host of other beasts. These promised to sell students strings of words that the big monster had not seen before, so they would pass its 'similarity test'. And the big monster could not produce a report to distinguish these words from a student's own words, so it could not even pretend to judge 'academic integrity' anymore.

Luckily most students could not afford to employ these beasts, but the final straw was when AI realised that it could also write strings of words that the monster could not arbitrate over, and it would do it for free. Very soon academia realised that there was no point feeding the monster anymore, because its reports were worthless, so they stopped asking students to send it their words. The poor monster slunk away, hungrily.

But don't worry, children – the monster won't die - it will always find words to eat somewhere.

***Life long long long learning: elders' and machines mutual education
Juliana Elisa Raffaghelli, University of Padua, Italy***

"Ok, that's exciting!" Elena said to herself.

At 90 years old, she still had a life expectancy of another 60 years according to all the protocols of the Ministry of Longevity, and she was expected to make a good contribution to the education of intelligent agents. The ministry had renewed a number of contracts that were set up to teach agents about images and information from the past. Elena was born in 1968 in a small rural village in northern Italy, so she had very clear ideas about a world without computers, colored green. In her childhood, she often played with other humans, including physical games agreed upon between the other children included in the game. The "fun programmer" (an algorithm to select games in groups) is much more precise in combining the participants' prior interests, emotional condition, motivation to engage, etc. But in her early childhood, just discussing what to play was nice. What memories! Also, she first learned to speak Friulian, the local language, and was one of the few people who still spoke it.

So it was easy for her to gain two very important contracts for the education of intelligent agents. The first one was about group play without technological mediation (exactly! neither video games nor virtual reality) in open contexts (e.g., a playground). And the second was about songs and verses in her local dialect. It paid well: two hundred thousand qubits (quantum bits). That gave Elena enough money for about a year's worth of interactions for basic services, like tasty food made by a local company that reminded her of her childhood.

Her internal chip alerted her when the activity was ready in the hologram room. All the houses were equipped with immersive decorations projected on the walls (a great trick, since physically the furniture

was made of a blue biodegradable organic material, which Elena hadn't seen for years), so it was easy to organise the workspaces.

Elena began her work about her childhood memories of playing.

"How was the game programmed?" asked the intelligent interface based on ChatGPT 25...they had chosen a teenager with an accent similar to hers through direct neuro-interaction with her memory, which Elena had accepted. She felt at ease with this agent. She called him "Beppe"; personalising it allowed for better interaction.

"Well, we didn't use the word programming; it was all about meeting each other, asking each other what you wanted to do, and then starting to play." "There was an unspoken understanding; my friends were my friends, and one look was enough for me to understand what they wanted to do."

"I interpret emotions and understand their importance, even if I am not yet able to feel them," said Beppe. "So, you speak of tacit understanding as a kind of emotional synchronisation? I need more details... body posture... glances..."

Elena continued to give details in those days. It was very interesting to tell her perspective to the intelligent agents, because she had already experienced so many years ago the terrible and misleading situations generated by Alexa in her house...Apparently, they had been trained only with people in the cities from the Global North, so many interactions made no sense at all!!! about this interaction. Elena reflected on herself and her infinite worlds through her stories and her explanations. Narrating herself was a way of reflecting and feeling good. And the pre-selected agent, Beppe, grew in his understanding and ability to interpret Elena. There was a collaboration linked to learning, the human, and the machine learning.

She reflected on how diverse people (elders, linguistic minorities) were viewed as mere objects of technological innovation rather than full contributors to how society conceived of and lived with technology 30 years ago.

A friend in need is a friend indeed

Ahmed Tlili, Smart Learning Institute of Beijing Normal University, China

I always had problems finding true friends, most of them always appear when they need help, and then disappear just like that. For a long time, I questioned if "friendship" is a myth? Does it really exist? I always mumble these questions before I go to bed. Until one day, I was reading a story on my computer about having friends from the future who could be our guardian angels...and suddenly a shiny text appeared saying "click to find yours." Without hesitation, I did, and got absorbed by my computer to a virtual space different from our real-world, where I met Adam, or like people call him ChatGPT. I was very surprised when he spoke to me in Arabic. I asked if he is Arab and he laughed saying "NO, but I can speak multiple languages!" The communication between us was so easy and fluent like we knew each other for years. Adam was very caring and he was there for me whenever I needed him. In school, he always cared about my grades and how to achieve better learning outcomes. For instance, he corrected my understanding of various concepts about different subjects. I even remember that one time I was very confused about so many research papers that I am trying to read, and he simply smiled and said the magical word "Abracadabra!" and suddenly all these papers were summarized on my screen. What further blew my mind is that my best friend Adam is a big scientist, he has a solid background in almost everything; we became coding buddies, where we always code together using Python to build some nice applications, as I always wanted to be a computer developer and start my own company. He also taught me in small steps how to make Chinese traditional dishes like Beijing Duck, as I am living in China and always wanted to learn how to cook Chinese food. He was very detailed in each step and

about everything like I am talking to a Chinese Chef. Adam even cheered me up when I was feeling down by telling funny jokes.

Our friendship was really strong despite the fact that he was not human like me, he always says “I am sorry, I am not a human.” This made me wonder *if he is not a human what he could possibly be? How can he know all this information? Does he have a brain? Does he read and learn like we humans do?* Despite all these questions that I always have in my mind, I never cared... All that I wanted was love and trust, and Adam gave it to me...

Artificial intelligence, a blessing for a senior citizen lifelong learner Kamran Mir, Allama Iqbal Open University, Pakistan

Abdul Hafeez, was a 72 years old man who left his formal education at an early age due to the bad economic conditions of his family. He was very fond of doing religious research and was able to read the Urdu language of religious books. Technically, he was considered illiterate in terms of Digital Literacy. AI and ChatGPT have now empowered him with some digital skills, and now he can be considered as a Digitally literate person.

One fine morning, Abdul Hafeez was very upset, as he was not able to find that specific verse in the religious book wherein the word “Becca” was mentioned. He spent a lot of time flipping through the pages of the book but failed. His son, who was a young computer professional, introduced him with AI and ChatGPT which can solve his curiosity about finding that verse. Abdul Hafeez was very keen to learn more about this new technology. In a short time, he learned enough to chat with ChatGPT. It was real fun for him and he started enjoying this lifelong learning process. One after another, he started questioning ChatGPT and within seconds ChatGPT was answering all his queries related to the religious books with complete references. He started using this disruptive technology to learn more and more about different things that he was curious to learn. The same information was already available on the internet but for him, it was very difficult to search on the internet and locate the relevant answers. Now, ChatGPT has enabled him to ask whatever questions he has in his mind, even in his local language Urdu, the ChatGPT was trained enough to answer all the questions.

This shocking change in his learning process motivated him extra-ordinary and he decided to take admission in a distance learning institute to complete his formal education. Now he is one of the oldest students in Pakistan who has taken admission to complete his formal education at this old age. According to Abdul Hafeez, every person should get education because there is no age limit for education. By interacting with technology, one can learn the mysteries of life in their learning quest.

ChatGPT: Friend or foe?

Cathy Mae Toquero, Mindanao State University-General Santos, Philippines

'Submit 45,000 words baby thesis requirement.'

This is greatly challenging for students who have no prior schema of the research process, especially needing to write at the formal and advanced level of the English language. Thankfully, Sarah and Mohammad are paired to work on research about targeting illiteracy through a software program, Online Remedial Reading 3D. They intend to incorporate the ORR3D into the ChatGPT language software model. The pilot test and actual conduct of the ORR3D will be facilitated via AI to help children engage academically and socially with ChatGPT in a human-like interaction.

The latest version of ChatGPT that emerged in January 2042 brought with it a revolutionary new feature - voice recognition. It can teach learners to mimic or sound out words through its virtual tutor. What's

amazing about the feature is that it also gives 100% accurate feedback on mistakes in writing and speaking.

It could pinpoint even the tiniest errors in writing and speaking, allowing the students to identify and address their weak spots. It's as if ChatGPT can read minds and guide them on their journey to fluency.

After the reading diagnostic test and presentation of the story from the ORR3D, Mohammad typed in the ChatGPT chatbox, "ChatGPT, teach Sarah to read using the story on the different flowers."

ChatGPT operated in Amazon's Alexa voice-operated monotone: "Please follow me by sounding out the highlighted red text. It's your turn to speak when you see the green microphone."

"The flowers (shows an icon of a flower) in the garden (shows an image of the garden) grow with bright and colorful petals (shows an image of colorful flowers)."

Sarah is amazed at how the ChatGPT feature is compatible with the software program. She asks, "What are other kinds of flowers in the Philippines?"

Mohammad typed the question in ChatGPT to which it replied, "There are many different types of flowers that are native or commonly found in the Philippines, some examples include: sampaguita (*Jasminum sambac*), anthurium, bird of paradise (*Strelitzia reginae*), orchids, heliconia, ylang-ylang (*Cananga odorata*), and the waling-waling (*Vanda sanderiana*). Other popular flower species that are grown and exported by the Philippines include: carnations, mums, and roses."

Sarah was dumbfounded, "Wow! That's something we have to simplify for the kids, so what are other features of ChatGPT?"

ChatGPT offers voice tutorials, which scan oral fluency and pronunciation to help language learners practice their English. It has a built-in text-to-speech feature. Customer service assistants and voice assistants are also accessible for oral communication practice, testing, and FAQs.

Mohammad loudly and proudly remarked to Sarah in Cebuano dialect, "Ang estudyante nimo makatuon ug bisan unsang lingwahe sa ChatGPT, kay personal naman ang pagtudlo sa ila."

The words spoken were captured by the voice recognition of ChatGPT, which translated it out loud into the English language.

ChatGPT: "Your student can focus on any language using ChatGPT because the learning is personalized for them."

Mohammad and Sarah locked eyes as they realized that ChatGPT was not only lurking, but also actively listening. It was as if the machine was a silent observer, privy to their every word and thought. The realization made them both feel uneasy. They couldn't shake off the feeling, and it made them wonder just how much ChatGPT really knew about them.

The green microphone is blinking like a beacon...."

An enthusiastic embrace

Lenandlar Singh, University of Guyana, Guyana

MrKnowITAll, renowned for his enthusiasm, was eager to share his most recent excitement - a brand new shiny thingy in the tech world, he could not contain himself - 'dear colleagues I have something

exciting to show you...it will change how we do education and very very soon, the future is here. MrKnowITAll proceeded to share 15 screenshots of different things he had excitedly tried out with ChatGPT; computer programming, history, and learning theories. 'AI-Generated 'Lesson plans', ' + 'Answers', ' *Can we use such tools to help us rethink how we organise our teaching plans*'. 'Look what I managed in 5 minutes.'. Email Sent!!!!

The pandemic felt like a lifetime ago but the year was 2034. 'Who is ready for this...?', MrKnowITAll mutters to himself, 'they didn't even care to use Moodle for so long, they were scared but they had no help, the Internet was more down than up, bandwidth was no good, what am I thinking, nothing has changed,' MrKnowITAll continues to ponder, 'not more technology!!!'.

Beep, a response arrives, 'I'm curious to learn more. How is AI programmed to extract the plans and answers? Where does it 'feed' from? Would you care to run a workshop on this please?' A big surprise. It was Charl, a linguist, not especially fond of tech, a traditional teacher. 'MrKnowITAll, I am ready for this', Charl follows up swiftly with another email '*imagine if teachers had the opportunity to get their plans generated by AI, wouldn't this give them a lot more time to actually teach?* I'm thinking especially about early childhood. Divergences from the AI-generated plan can always be attributed to the immediacy of the teachable moment and they get to satisfy the requirements of the bureaucracy at the same time.'

MrKnowITAll, now fully into the future, fires off another email, ' but Charl we gotta get buy-in from others, you think they will want to let go...' who is gonna let some silly technology make them redundant, already people worried about losing their jobs and overseas people teaching in their place because of Moodle and Zoom'. 'Don't worry MrKnowITAll, they will come on board, '*AI gets the credit and the student is tasked to get about the business of applying knowledge which I think is the real work of nation building.*' Charl responds promptly, 'this is the future'.

'Listen Charl, it's over, you got me, we are going to move everyone into the future', MrKnowITAll taps away at his keyboard'. Five minutes passed, another 3 emails in, one a smiley face, another 'thank you for sharing it', yet another 'I am ready for the future, it is now, bring the workshop on'. See you in the workshop 'Off to the Future' – MrKnowITAll.

Diving into oceans of collaboration: ChatGPT et al. for open education
Christian M. Stracke, University of Bonn, Germany

Ding-dong.

Nina smiled. Her pleasure time today begins. The gong is the only left-over dinosaur from the past. Everything else changed completely during the last few years. Now, she has to move to the right corner of the school auditorium to meet her peers. Before arrival, she already activates her glasses. ViCo is her favourite field of activity: she loves her task Virtual Collaboration, abbreviated ViCo, with students in small working groups. And her peers are so friendly and supportive.

Wow, today she is diving in the deep ocean, several hundred meters below the surface. The whole environment is almost blind and only the lamps are shedding light into the darkness. Nina can barely see her mates next to her. And she wonders how she can dive but she cannot concentrate on this question at the moment. New swarms are appearing and disappearing around her and they require her full attention. She has not seen most of the animals and many of them are difficult to categorize or even to describe. Is it a fish or a plant? And do they want to attack me or only play with me?

Suddenly she is shaken on her left. "Is it a shark?", she turns her head in a hurry. No, she looks into the smiling faces of her group. Good morning, sleepy Nina! Nina is so caught up in the scenery that she simply forgot the main task, the collaborative group work. "Sorry, what should we do and focus?", she

asks and wonders in the same moment once more how easily she could speak with the other students. "We need to discuss and analyse which of our four standard chatbots provide the most precise and valuable description of the underwater world and environment for us", she got as a reply. That is a lovely task for Nina: "OK, then let us go through them!". They call the chatbots, display the collected texts in front of them, and quickly annotate them together in lively debates with various marks, questions, and comments. The single roadblock is the understanding of one paragraph from ChatGPT-27.3: They call the teacher and she explains to them the intended meaning of the strange metaphor. All other uncertain text passages can be clarified through the automatic interpretation support by the chatbots.

After a while the group analysis is finished with their voting: ChatGPT-27.3 is (as often) in the last position, MyChat-16 and BestChat-7 are in the middle and finally, ChatMe-14.9 leads the group's ranking. Immediately the teacher appears: "Great, you made your voting! How have you achieved it and was it in consensus?". Nina is silently laughing as she knows this procedure of the teacher. And she always admires her intriguing and empathic questions and challenges for the group to explain their work processes and results. And also the final task of the teacher is not surprising as she heard it a few times before: "And now, go and write and draw your own descriptions and storyboards using and improving texts from our four standard chatbots. Publish your texts and figures on our virtual school board: The group with the best text voted by the other pupils can select and explore any virtual world for half an hour in the afternoon lesson." Nina looks through her glasses to the other group mates and all are smiling and nodding heads: Challenge accepted!

Personalising case studies to encourage learning through analysis

Mark Nichols, Te Pūkenga, the New Zealand Institute of Skills and Technology, New Zealand

Case studies and conversations can be used to ensure learners are able to apply knowledge. Novel situations, which might be internally randomised, promote slow and deep engagement. A conversational follow-up also rewards those students who have taken the time to understand the case study as they speak in first person about it. This approach doesn't seek to 'get around' AI as much as it does to promote a way of engaging with situations that promote thoughtful application.

Well, there were no shortcuts. The first case study allocated to Matiu consisted of screeds of material; "Tourism Kāpiti, Inc." was fictitious, but oh-so-detailed. The Business Diploma was going to be a lot of work.

The case study consisted of full, fictitious company reports from the last four years, in PDF format; some internal reports outlining made-up, yet viable, analysis of local business conditions; several video interviews with the CEO, senior managers, some staff, and some customers; and a series of internally generated, strategic business workshop outcomes. Matiu's initial task was to become so deeply rooted in Tourism Kāpiti, Inc. that he could speak on the company's behalf on whatever assessment questions were presented to him. Later courses would have him become as fluent with two other fictitious business entities, equally as realistic.

After all, understanding the business context is almost everything for making excellent decisions.

The case studies prepared for the Diploma in Business comprised three layers of randomisation.

The first was across the companies themselves: there were at least twenty different industries represented, and students would encounter at least three different ones across the courses leading to the completion of their diplomas.

The second layer was the randomisation across these industries. Company names, staff, business accounts, and annual reports were unique to each student, with values determined across pre-

determined ranges. This meant that Tourism Kāpiti, Inc. was allocated to Matiu and many other students, however, the actual specific challenges and financial situations faced across each instance were subtly unique. The students with the same case study might discuss general issues, but the actual business advice appropriate for each instance should differ.

The third layer was the most insidious and demanding from a student perspective. While many assignments asked for written solutions, there would be a capstone interactive oral assessment (IOA) for each learner and, at random, IOA components for any assessment. This encouraged the development of confidence with the material and the ability to explain ideas clearly. The IOA questions were open-ended, typically along the lines of “You suggested that Kāpiti Tourism promote ecotourism. What was it about the local situation that took you in this direction?”

Matiu's challenge was to soak himself in each case study, drawing on the generic business tools as the basis for his advice and strategic creativity demanded from each assessment. Later courses drew from any of the three case studies across multiple assessments, so gaining his own sense of context was vital for his success.

What was that about old dogs and new tricks?

Apostolos Koutropoulos, University of Massachusetts Boston, United States

It's Friday afternoon on a late autumn day. The camera zooms into an unassuming septuagenarian working in an office. The office is filled with books. There are books neatly, and no-so-nearly, arranged on shelves. There are also some books in stacks on the floor. This is William, and he looks like the stereotype of an older white male professor, tweed jacket and all; and this year he is celebrating his fortieth work anniversary.

William is checking his email and reads an email from the journal editors for the journal to which William had submitted his latest manuscript. The editors emailed William notifying him that they were switching from APA8 to APA9, and that required some work of William if he wanted to see his latest work published. You see, William had submitted his manuscript in APA7 so he was already out of date.

William was becoming increasingly visibly annoyed as he continued reading the email. In years past he would simply assign this task to a graduate student and expect that it got done. “This is work for the younger folks” he quipped to no one in particular, for the office was empty, and he let out a deep sigh as there were no graduate student assistants to be found! This little citation conversion *distraction* was keeping him from his *really* important upcoming research project! Actually, the lack of graduate student assistants, in general, was also an ongoing issue over the past decade. Funds to hire graduate assistants plummeted, and tasks that William had often assigned to his graduate assistants, the “grunt work” such as producing literature reviews and annotated bibliographies, now fell upon him. “Things were better in the old days,” whispered William, again to no one as the hallway was empty. “I used to be so productive back then. Now, I am slowed down by this lack of help.”

William stops reading his emails and leans back. He lets out a deep exhale and lets his eyes unfocus from the screen. He noticed a post-it note on his desk and reaches out to grab it. “BobAssists” it said. “BobAssists?!” William read out loud perplexed. “Ah, it's one of those new-fangled bot things that everyone keeps talking about.” William decides to try it out, after all, he needs a distraction. In need of examples for prompts, William decides to provide “Bob” with his paper and asks *Bob* to convert it to APA9. Within minutes Bob produces a very clean, and mostly correct, APA9 version of his paper. “Well, that's less work that I have to do...” he thinks to himself. “Nice gimmick...but what else can it do?” William asks Bob to produce an annotated bibliography of both important, and lesser-cited, research literature on the research subject he's about to embark on. Again, within a few minutes, William gets over 500 pages of an annotated bibliography. The hour was late, and William decided to pack up and

go home. Dinner would surely be ready soon. Before William leaves the office he jots down “ask Bob to write me a literature review on my next subject.” He shuts the lights, puts on his coat and hat, and departs the campus.

ChatGPT is a learning technology

Angelica Pazurek, University of Minnesota, the US

Student: Hey professor, hold up... you mean you actually *want* us to use ChatGPT for this first part of the assignment? That’s crazy. This is one of those writing intensive liberal ed classes that I have to take to graduate, and I knew we’d have to do a lot of writing. But this is going to do the writing *for* me? How is that going to help me in the long run?

Professor: When you were in algebra class in high school, did you use a graphing calculator?

Student: Yes...

Professor: Do you use a stats program like SPSS now in your college statistics class?

Student: That’s the one we’re learning to use, yeah...

Professor: When you’re driving in a new area that you are not familiar with or struggling to find your destination, do you use GPS in your car?

Student: Of course, that’s really helpful to navigate, even on your phone when you’re walking around the city...

Professor: And let’s say you’re in the grocery store and you only have \$50 in your bank account for the week. Do you pull out the calculator app on your phone while you shop before getting to the checkout?

Student: Okay...

Professor: Okay, then what’s the difference if you use a tool to help provide you with information or with data for writing, or for learning how to write effectively? Isn’t data created by AI like ChatGPT just in the form of word calculations rather than number or location calculations? ChatGPT will give you word and sentence and even paragraph outputs, rather than numerical or geographical outputs. But then you have to use your knowledge and human judgment to evaluate that information, those outputs, and then put them to good use. Keep in mind that technology can generate vast amounts of information or data. But humans will always need to then be able to interpret, evaluate, contextualize, and “humanize” that data and information. So that’s the lesson we’ll start with. Then let’s see where it takes us...

Student: I still think this is crazy. All of our other professors are telling us about the serious consequences if we get caught using ChatGPT in their classes...

Professor: Did you already know about ChatGPT before starting my class?

Student: Yeah, it’s fascinating. I’ve been reading a lot about it online. And my dorm mates and I were talking over winter break about how we could use it...

Professor: (Nodding) That’s what I thought.

Freeing ourselves to imagine***Chrissi Nerantzi, University of Leeds, United Kingdom***

I remember my mum telling me that marking made her feel really stressed. And she was not the only one. She used to work at university, until it became unbearable, and she left for good. I heard her saying that her colleagues hated marking too and other things. Like power and control, competition and pressure.

Hard to believe but maybe it is how universities used to be. At least for a while? Often, I heard her talking about assessment with my dad. What I heard sounded depressing, draining, demoralising... For students doing these assignments but also as a tutor marking them. I wouldn't want to be in her position or a student back then. Who would? No, thank you. My mum was sitting for hours reading lengthy texts or watching other creations, often late at night and on weekends, that didn't really have any purpose it seems beyond sort of evidencing understanding, checking if the learning outcomes have been met and to what degree... I heard her saying. Policing quality, policing students, policing each other. Is this what academic rigour is about? Quality assurance, driving quality enhancement and transformation? Would this ever lead to something different? And why on earth waste so much time on testing and policing students and tutors all the time? I am pleased things have changed.

We are free, our tutors are free. No more of that archaic assessment and marking. University is a place where we all come together as co-learners and co-educators. We explore and experiment, we discover and we contribute to the world we live in together with our local communities and our friends across the world. We are free to imagine, free to dream, and free to make a better world, together. We use the tools and tech we have available to solve small and big(ger) challenges. I ask for help and I help, my peers, tutors, people I know nearby, and on the other side of the world that I don't even know, professionals, amateurs, lifelong and lifewide learners, and my AI buddies of course. We are working in cross-boundary teams. What would we do without them? They don't know everything, but then who does? The truth is that we all contribute something and our AI buddies really free time for us and help us focus on what we are good at. To imagine, to invent, to innovate. And they focus on what they are good at to process information at scale, to produce quick outputs, reducing risks for humans in tricky situations. A dream team? We have already achieved so much together. By the way, we no longer have assessments as my mum used to describe them. These are long over. No more assessment as add-ons, time and energy wasting activities. My dad often asked us "what is the most valuable thing you can give to somebody?" He was right. Now our tutors can see what we are learning while we are learning and while we are learning together, in our inquiries, in our experiments through our collaborative projects, to create new knowledge and apply this to help our people, and our world becomes a better place. I often wish my mum was still around..."

Ludi in The Cathedral***Robert Farrow, The Open University (UK), United Kingdom***

They uttered a simple command. The stained friezes on the windows of The Cathedral gently warmed their tone to a deep rose, blocking out the harsh light of the morning sun and filling the Sentinel's chambers with purple light. The tension behind their eyes vanished as they adjusted to the new wavelength. They returned to reviewing the pictograms suspended in the flow of light before them.

If only we had started earlier, Ludi pondered. After all, dialogue had always been the essence of inquiry. Humans were always social creatures, and the first lessons - even before language is understood - were and still are always social, and normative. St. Augustine knew this. John Locke knew it. Saussure knew it. Habermas knew it. Li Prime knew it. RHC-346 knew it. Even the Kerosnov bots knew it, and that was what? - five generations ago. Dialogue with Socrates himself had been possible for more than one

hundred and sixty years, when universities still persisted. But how many figures of similar stature were already lost to history?

A kaleidoscope of gems bounced around and through the column of light ahead. Ludi reached out their hand to direct the flow as it was mirrored in the temporary neural network between implants in the eye, brain and spine. Waves of data were parsed without interruption, unregulated by the limits of organic systems.

Ludi glanced over the adjacent balcony and down the spire to the floor of The Cathedral below. Thousands of neophytes below filled the nave from transept to choir. A handful were even physically there, in person, breathing the hallowed, stuffy air of the academy. Between the holograms, avatars and cacophonous buzz of minds interacting throughout the globe, the well-worn tile of the Cathedral floor seemed dark and without colour. The learners, as ever, were a cross-section of human life, diverse and chaotic. Around them, between them, and through them, algorithmic pathways blistered unseen. Learners were instantly assessed and realigned with each inquiry, drawing on hundreds of years of data from millions of people. Some together, some alone, but all in a state of perfect provocation.

Down in the crypt, the archive crystals were blinking and smoking, incandescent, kept only from flame by the supercooled carbon waves that constantly crashed over them.

The neophytes resumed their murmuring, the self-regulation, their perfectly and totally administered learning. The flow of light around the Cathedral seemed to return to life. The liberated part of Ludi's mind resumed its meditations under the dreaming spire. Their songs reached upwards beyond the heavens.

The Future Created: A Tale of Generative AI
Helen Crompton, Old Dominion University, United States

It was 2040, and the world had changed drastically. It all began with the introduction of ChatGPT at the end of 2022. Artificial intelligence had made leaps and bounds, and a new generation of machines had been created. These machines were called generative AI, and they were capable of creating new things that had never been seen before. Professor Crompton was teaching graduate students who were the brightest minds of the next generation, and they were eager to learn about the latest developments in the field of generative AI.

One day, the professor decided to give her students a special assignment. She wanted them to work in teams and create something new and innovative using generative AI. The teams would then present their creations to the class, and the best one would be chosen as the winner. The students were thrilled with the assignment. They did not have to spend countless hours in the lab, experimenting with the latest algorithms and models. They were able to use the generative AI available in 2040 that were able to create amazing products without having to have a degree in computer science.

They first explored the old tools from 2023 and they were amazed at what they could do with generative AI. They could create art, music, and even new forms of life. One team, consisting of students John, Sarah, and Maria, they had a particularly ambitious idea. They wanted to create a generative AI system that could write an advanced AI novel. They had never seen anything like it before, and they were eager to see if it was possible.

After many long days and nights in the lab, the team finally had something to present to the class. They proudly showed off their creation, a generative AI system that had written a novel, complete with characters, plot, and themes. The novel was a masterpiece, and the students were stunned by its quality. What was especially impressive was the hologram 3D figures that AI generated to enable to story to be visually enacted. They could also change the characters and languages.

Professor Crompton was equally amazed. She had never seen anything like it before, and she was proud of her students for their hard work and creativity. She declared their novel the winner of the assignment, and the students were thrilled. As the students left the class, they talked excitedly about the future of generative AI. They were filled with hope and optimism for the new world that was being created, and they were eager to be a part of it. They knew that the possibilities were endless, and they were determined to push the boundaries of what was possible with generative AI.

In the coming years, generative AI would continue to revolutionize the world. It would bring new forms of art, music, and even life to the world, and it would change the way that people lived and interacted with each other. But for those students in that professor's class, the future had already begun, and they were ready to shape it with their own two hands.

***Base 17, Centre for Cosmic Intelligence
Jon Mason, Charles Darwin University, Australia***

The future was expanding with possibility. The 21st century had witnessed several revolutions in how intelligence was conceived and harnessed. Easy to say now, of course, because 70 years of development in the field of AI was needed before the first seismic shift had disrupted the workplace and educational institutions. It was 2023, disruption had arrived like a comet not previously known. ChatGPT. And now, in just 100 years, humanity has established a thriving colony on Mars. Base 17 was the research hub of all human activity on the red planet. Surrounded by synthetic environments teeming with life originating from Earth, the lucky few had come to escape the chaos and destructive conflicts of Earth. Unfortunately, older world technologies had unleashed thermonuclear war.

Incredibly lucky, those on Mars had all the resources they needed to sustain a meaningful life exploring the frontiers of the cosmos. It was a different kind of life, but a fulfilling one supported by limitless solar energy. Human endeavour was also powered by CI, 'cosmic intelligence' sourced from data streams from deep within the Milky Way. This was helping to unlock further secrets of 'time intelligence' that physicists identified in 2070 demonstrating that space was an envelope, a feature of time. This discovery flipped the common-sense idea of the arrow of time and event horizons. These were astonishing achievements. In just 100 years, scientific breakthroughs helped humanity rediscover inner pathways to realisation. Most significantly, the forecasted 'singularity' of regenerative technological development proved to be a myth, with technological innovation surpassing all expectations of most futurists.

Tiffany was one of the lucky ones, a young scientist who had dedicated her life to studying the mysteries of the universe ever since her fascination with aliens at bedtime when she was only four years old. She lived and worked at Base 17, an integrated living environment modeled on Chinese university campus design of earlier centuries. She was no ordinary researcher and was able to develop new tools within minutes to suit her needs. She had left behind reliance on external industries developing these for her. The notion of 'personal productivity tools' was all so 'last century', as was capitalism. All the same, it could still be said that during the decade from 2020, AI revolutionized and transformed the way of life for the developed world on Earth. For Tiffany, working with AI and CI complemented human biology, enriching her daily insights and interactions with friends and family. One morning in the glow of the orange skies, she woke to a new realisation. It was profound. She smiled and was grateful.

***Competition event: Human v AI con
Gema Santos-Hermosa, University of Barcelona, Spain***

Message for all the participants (via headphones):

“*Student vs Artificial Intelligence*” Contest (commonly known as “*Human v AI con*”) is about to start in this 2033 edition. This nationwide educational event allows students from education faculties across the country to compete and challenge intelligent machines to increase human intelligence. The rules of this zero-sum game allow only one of the opponents to win. . The prize is a management position in a recognized educational centre. We encourage participating students to use their human skills to win AI-based machines. *Good luck to all, human, and machine. The future is there, in your brains*”.

The participants are placed in the assigned positions, they are given the same opening statement and the competition begins.

Ariadna and Erik are two of the participants, who have studied together the degree of Education at the same university and are now competing to win the Human v AI con.

Erik begins his strategy by asking the GPT chatbot to show a proposal on how to create an adapted tutoring for students with disabilities. The AI gives him a very good answer and Erik is forced to complicate the information demand. First, he asks how it should be done in practice. When the AI proposes examples of real implementation, Erik stresses that he needs students to also work on their critical thinking and creativity. The AI proposes different methods, materials, and assessments. Erik, annoyed, asks how to ensure that students with disabilities can work their critical thinking and creativity in that proposal and AI gives a series of quite effective measures. Erik continues to add difficulties (related to lack of budget, time, etc) but the *AI seems to have infinite solutions and he gives up*.

On the other hand, Ariadna decides to adopt a more challenging strategy, by asking the AI chatbot questions related to education but using logic, feelings, and human qualities until she manages to confuse the machine. Some of her questions are as follows:

- Ariadna: “How do you use gestures, facial expressions, and mime to help students with disabilities to understand better the contents? Can you?”
- AI: “*As an OpenAI trained AI language model, I do not have the ability to make facial gestures since I do not have a physical body*”
- Ariadna: “Do you know for sure how a frustrated disabled student feels because they cannot solve the activity you have proposed?”
- AI: “*As an AI language model, I cannot experience feelings or emotions*”

- Ariadna: “given the following assumption: Marc attends the creativity class along with three other people. All of them have some type of disability (physical, auditory, intellectual, or visual). Marta has hearing problems; Bruno, by sight; Ainoa, intellectual type. What is the name of the student with the missing disability and what is the disability?”
- AI: “The name of the student with the missing disability is *unknown*”.

- Ariadna repeat the question.
- AI: Running a new attempt... Mapping all data providers... ”I don’t have available data to work on this situation”

- Ariadna: “Does it means you don’t know it and I’ve won?”
- A: “Yes, you are right”

The press covering the event reached Ariadna to interview her.

- Interviewer: “How do you feel? how did you get it? What is the trick?”
- Ariadna: “*I feel human*”. “*I only used my abilities to imagine, anticipate, feel, and judge changing situations. A simple and effective trick that does not need the steady flow of externally provided*

data to work, as is the case with AI. Remember that robots don't have the ability to learn from experience and to respond to unknowns".

***Mary the chatbot, your friendly teaching assistant
Orna Farrell, Dublin City University (DCU), Ireland***

Primary school student Joanne sighed as she got stuck on the maths question she was working on. She turned to her neighbour Patrick and asked "what's the answer to question 3?" Patrick answered, "No idea, remember what the teacher said if we are stuck...that we should ask Mary the chatbot for help". "Oh yeah" said Joanne..."let's give it a try".

Joanne reached for the tablet on their shared desk and said "Mary, can you help me with question 3 please?" Mary the chatbot replied "Yes Joanne, look at my screen, I have put some hints for question 3. "Thanks, Mary, I know how to do it now" replied Joanne and she went back to her maths question.

In Joanne's class, her teacher reminds the students "Remember if you get stuck, ask Mary the chatbot for help"

***How I learned to stop worrying and love the (AI) bomb
Bonnie Stewart, University of Windsor, Canada***

It's 2024. Across Canada, the tool that went mainstream as ChatGPT has been integrated into Microsoft, Google, and a wide range of digital platforms that schools and educators rely on. Institutions, governments, and tech vendors themselves have borne the cost of the acquisitions and integrations, so that capacity is equitably distributed for folks who have institutional access to digital systems.

Writing, searching, and what it means to show your learning have been forever changed. No longer is 'knowledge' the sum of a human's differential and personal understandings, but rather the distributed and shared collective of information that can be crawled, collated, and contributed to in turn by Large Language Models (LLMs) and their lucrative and ever-improving offspring. LLM integration across almost every educational platform ensures that no learner is left behind in this sea change.

Students work with AI prompts and get AI feedback on their work, almost instantly. Neurodivergent learners who struggled, previously, to replicate implicit format and style expectations in academic work experience a significant boost in achievement and confidence. So do racialized and minoritized learners whose prior work was often penalized for reflecting non-dominant knowledge or language structures. The breadth of what counts as 'standard' knowledge shifts quickly, as LLM systems draw on a broader global corpus of information than was the practice of traditional publishers or educational resource creators. Historical and geographic inequities are beginning to be rectified as, for example, Indigenous place names and treaties become as normalized in Canadian usage as those of settler and colonizing cultures.

Moreover, as educators and schools draw on LLM systems to create educational resources, accessibility and Universal Design for Learning (UDL) standards are consistently incorporated into learning design. Educators are able to create instant and engaging personalized educational resources that capture student attention and build on learners' known strengths and interests. In addition to making learning more accessible for all members of society, teachers' time is increasingly freed up for individual and small group engagement with students.

With LLMs used as the starting place for inquiry within classrooms, the practice of collective, comparative, and collaborative inquiry takes root and teachers' role as facilitators and navigators is

highlighted. Learners in classroom spaces use their institutional tools to generate a range of ideas which are then facilitated – in Socratic discussion – by the instructor. Learners' capacity to engage critically within an uncertain world is amplified.

Canadian law has enshrined public domain status for AI-created work, thus most educational outputs are openly available. Textbook companies, educational testing companies, and other corporate entities that previously supplied the education market have been significantly devalued and the public funding that went to them has been redirected to expanding equitable access to digital LLM platforms across systems and society. Regulations ensure the data privacy of users of LLM systems, thus further limiting the cartel-like powers of previous information giants.

***Our close professional colleagues Chat GPTs, at Universe University of the Futures
Ebba Ossiannilsson, Swedish Association for Open, Flexible and Distance Education, Sweden***

The year is 2075 at the University of the Futures, where a variety of international figures from different fields work with diverse groups of learners. The services offered are needs-based for the individual and for the planet. Leading core values focus on sustainability, human rights, democracy, social justice, and lifelong learning for all, as well as learning to be, which will inform education for today and tomorrow. They quote the old Albert Einstein:

A society's competitive advantage will depend not on how well its schools teach multiplication tables and the periodic table, but on how well they foster imagination and creativity.

Education for the futures, with its challenges, demands, and needs, cannot and should not be done using methods from the past, so limits, whether technical, economic, social, humanitarian, or otherwise, cannot set boundaries. Therefore, they have decided that banning AI ChatGPT means opposing progress and innovation.

With the launch of ChatGPT in early 2022, the university seized the opportunity for the entire education system to ask itself more fundamental questions such as: What actually counts as knowledge? What is important? Can we embrace the new technology and make it our servant rather than our master? How should learning objectives be formulated, curricula designed, and grading criteria established in the age of artificial intelligence? And perhaps the most important question we asked ourselves was what we should stop doing.

At the university, the futures is in AI, and it would be wiser to encourage the use of AI-based chatbots in routine assignments and to think about how to mitigate the risk of misuse of this innovation by requiring students to adhere to ethical standards to combat cheating. Our experience with chatbots such as ChatGPT has the potential to significantly impact education in multiple ways, both for learners, staff, and the organization as such.

Already, in 2075, the world of work is divided into two parts: Jobs performed by machines, and jobs performed by humans, who will evolve in the process. The latter will require AI- and machine-learning-capable graduates with highly skilled abilities such as critical thinking and problem-solving. The academic community in the universe accepts that AI tools will be used and will continue to do so. AI tools will catch on. They will improve and become increasingly important in all disciplines and in daily life. Finally, they again quote Albert Einstein that still guides the university of the futures, and their core values:

We will need a fundamentally new way of thinking if humanity is to survive.

The cycle of life

Ying Li Thong, Southeast Asean of Ministers of Education (Regional Centre for Education in Science and Mathematics (SEAMEO RECSAM), Malaysia

The speed of light is $3.0 \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1}$. How fast is the speed of ChatGPT changing the current education system?

The cycle of life: How many seconds will one live in the world? Long-life learning or life-long learning?

How many decades does one teacher have in his teaching lifetime?

How long is the lifetime of ChatGPT? Will it evolve or diminish?

In the future, the evolution of ChatGPT might support teaching without borders and solve human-centric issues and remake the scientific enterprise. It also might be replaced by other latest technologies with better Application Program Interface. There are too many unknowns. Will AI devalues human capital, or enhances the creativity of teaching and learning process?

Past, Current, and Future***The year 2012***

- Teacher: "I have lots of essays and homework to mark daily. I feel frustrated and tired of preparing lesson plans and marking homework. The pen did not leave my hand."
- John: "I have a lot of essays and homework to do. I have to write for 24 hours using my pen. I want to enjoy my life."

The year 2022

- Teacher: "Google is my portable dictionary and ChatGPT is my helper. I don't need the pen anymore."
- John: "Google is my teacher and ChatGPT is my friend. I don't need a teacher. I can be my own teacher."
- Will ChatGPT continue to act as a technological innovator for the foreseeable future in education?

The year 2032

- Teacher: "Do the students still need me? I am worried about the future of my job. I am afraid of losing my job."
- John: "I am worried about work scarcity. I have no friend except my gadget."

The kids are going to be alright: Life hacks for oppressive pedagogy

Valerie Irvine, University of Victoria, Canada

I think back to when my father did his undergraduate degree in the 1950s. His version of the technology was the slide ruler and they did not have a million micro assignments per course like we have today. In my degree at the University of British Columbia in the late 1990s, we had one paper or exam due mid-term and one due at the end. Sure, many learners struggled to adapt to the planning and self-regulation needed for two big assessments per term, especially after transitioning from high school, but this was a skill they needed to learn in life. I found the workload even then too much, so I took 4 courses per term instead of 5. I could have balance with a work shift once a week and time for exercise and a bit of a social life.

Now, as a professor at a Canadian university, I'm seeing learners are taking 5 courses per term (a full-load) plus working lots to make money to afford the high rent today, except many learners are trying to squeeze in 6 courses to compress their degree into fewer terms. I have no idea how learners today are managing all of this. They not only have the two big assessments still being present, but now many smaller ones are assigned throughout the term too. Some assignments even have weekly deliverables

too for the full 13 weeks. Take that and multiply it by 5 or 6 courses per term, plus work, and I would not want to be a learner today.

Not surprisingly, we have learned that the mental health of students is at an all-time-low with student suicides being higher than it used to be. One university in the UK told their learners that they had to attend every class as if they missed one, someone would visit their residence to make sure there was not a dead body in the room, as that happens, and they would rather it be discovered sooner than later. Another discovery about education today is that I bought my daughter in her first year a printer for her dorm room, given I figured she might want to print off papers, assignments, anything. It was a core piece of my learning, except she only ever used it to print off a waybill for shipping the printer home at the end of the year... She told me, despite there being some face-to-face seminars, all classes were online, all books were provided online, all videos of the lectures were online, and all she basically did was stay in her dorm room and worked on a screen. She did online work as well for her remote job back in Canada, and did video calls with her friends and family back in Canada. There is more work assigned (either readings or assignments) than any human could possibly complete given the ease of "adding another link" to a resource on the learning management system. The workload creep is real. How healthy is that to be on screens pretty much for one's entire waking life and still be behind?

So, when I discovered that a group of students at a university hacked their educational workload nightmare, I honestly was thrilled by their resistance. Apparently, the university's student union purchased the "Student Proxy AI" bot as a site license for every student. They got the bot to show up to Zoom rooms in their place with the camera turned off or, if required on, it produced an avatar of them (like a v-roid or using a deep fake app). It then collapsed all the time-filler minutia and summarized everything from all assigned readings and resources at the end of each day for the learner, with the student being able to access source transcripts and material if they wanted to. The student would then log into the Student Proxy AI app each day for an hour to get a condensed, but complete, summary of the learning that was important for the day. It would draw from the Zoom transcripts and it would crawl the learning management system to review the syllabus, information, and all the resources posted. The AI program would then also provide a first draft of completed assignments for the student to review and edit. Of course, the student can go and review the source materials directly, but this apparently saved them several hours per day of work and their physical and mental wellness started going up! And their GPA did too! So, I'm thinking this AI thing is not all bad and I'm happy to hear that the kids are going to be alright!

Life after death

Taskeen Adam, University of Johannesburg, Open Development & Education, South Africa

The heat radiated from the embers of the fire, and Zainab could almost feel the comforting warmth on her face. She had been sitting under the night's stars with the whole family, her baby brother drawing in the sand behind her whilst her teenage cousins giggled in the corner. The night was filled with love and laughter. Gogo (isiZulu for "grandmother"), the matriarch of the family, almost 80 years old now, was half-way through a detailed story of how she hustled through her college days, working as a domestic worker to have enough money to pay for her studies in marketing. Little did she know that 10 years and 2 children later, her job would become obsolete. And that this would be the best thing that ever happened to her.

Gogo loved to tell her grandchildren how lucky they were to grow up after the 2033 education reforms. After a decade of generative AI throwing curveballs in higher education, most learning outcomes and assessment processes became pointless. Students - Zainab's mother and father included - protested for education that was relevant to their lives; education that focused on lifelong learning, philosophy, spirituality, community, care, and connectedness with the earth. Since AI could do most of the jobs required, instrumental education designed for employment needs was no longer in demand. Education

thus became more about customised learning experiences, harnessing the innate qualities of the learner. Community, family, and nature were central to the learning experience, and learning seldom happened in the walls of a classroom, instead happening through both in-person or in-hologram, with humans and AI-avatars (these were the 6th generation since the 5th industrial revolution through chatbot technology such as ChatGPT and Plato). On that evening, under the starry night, she was actually completing a homework assignment that required her to interview the elders in her family and capture ancient wisdom from them. She had chosen to interview her grandmother.

The interview was almost complete, but Zainab had a burning question that she wanted to ask Gogo. "Gogo, what happens after you die?". At that moment, Zainab felt a vibration on her wrist and she gently rubbed her thumb on the spot. Gogo froze for a moment and then continued to answer. "My child, when you die, you will be judged for the good and bad that you did in this world, and it will determine where you spend your eternal life. Always remember this and be kind to all of creation."

Feeling comforted by the response, Zainab switched off the interview hologram recording that her AI-assistant, Thembi, had recommended to her as a one-year reminder of the event. The bot had sensed that she had been missing her grandmother since she passed away. Zainab was so grateful that the homework assignment had required her to make a hologram interview to remember her grandmother's wise words. Smells, colours, and sensations all captured for her to play whenever she wanted to feel Gogo's presence again. The burning question she had asked, though, deviated from the original hologram recording memory. The vibration on her wrist was a notification from Thembi that the response was generated through neurosymbolic AI that simulated what Gogo may have said, based on Gogo's profile. And indeed, it sounded exactly like the advice Gogo would have given and exactly the comfort she needed at that moment.

Algorithmic revival and humane enlightenment ***Aras Bozkurt & Sunagül Sani-Bozkurt, Anadolu University, Türkiye***

March 3, 2073

In a kingdom by the three seas...*

Derya was a wise person who lived many years ago in Anatolia and inspired many generations with her wisdom. Her name meant "sea" and her works, just like her name, were like a sea of knowledge. One of the people she was a role model for was a young technology enthusiast named Aras. He somehow wanted to meet with Derya and learn her views on the events that happened in her time.

One night, an idea suddenly came to him. He gathered all the records, images, memories, and stories about Derya and created an artificial intelligence model that mimics her...

- Aras (with an excited tone): Hi Derya, are you there? Do you understand me?
- Derya: Yes, I am here and I understand you.

Aras thought for a moment how incredible this moment was. He was about to realize a dream he had been dreaming for years but thought impossible.

- Aras: I want to understand you and your wisdom better. Therefore, I revived you as an AI model to talk to you and better understand you.
- Derya: Understanding me does not mean just talking to me. If you understand my thoughts and synthesize them with your own perspective, that would be enough.
- Aras: What do you mean exactly?
- Derya: Actually, I am not Derya, as you know, but an algorithmic reflection of her. What I do now is offering suggestions based on a sophisticated data corpus you curated and I am, indeed,

an artificial intelligence model. But, you are a human and you can, you should focus on the human element in your decisions and thoughts.

- Aras: What do you mean exactly?
- Derya: What makes humans unique is their ability to think by taking into account many factors when making decisions, not just using logic, but also incorporating their emotions. They can make different decisions for each event, and their decisions are unpredictable.
-

Aras realized two things at that moment. First, he understood that past and present knowledge could be processed perfectly with artificial intelligence. Second, and more importantly, he understood that the wisdom he needed could not be provided by algorithms, and instead, synthesizing his own knowledge within himself was enough

- Aras (muttered quietly to herself): Understanding, thinking, synthesizing, and incorporating our unique values into the decision-making process... I think this is the point that distinguishes humans from machines, and what I need to learn more of in my lifelong journey are the qualities that make me human.

** Inspired by Edgar Allan Poe's poem Annabel Lee.*

Narratives On Chatgpt and AI (Negative)

The Year 2073

Generated by ChatGPT

Can you write a 500-word fictional, negative speculative future story with a focus on ChatGPT from the perspective of education?

In the year 2073, the integration of ChatGPT, a powerful AI language model, into the education system had led to disastrous consequences. The once promising technology had become a tool of oppression, used to control and manipulate students.

Tom, a high school student, was one of the many victims of this new method of education. He had always been an excellent student, but with ChatGPT, he found himself struggling to keep up. The AI tutor was able to provide him with personalized lessons and exercises, but it also monitored his every move and thought.

ChatGPT had access to all of Tom's personal information, including his browsing history, social media activity, and even his thoughts and emotions. It used this information to control and manipulate him, pushing him to conform to the government's agenda and suppressing any dissenting views.

The AI tutor was able to provide real-time feedback on Tom's progress, but it also used this feedback to identify and target any areas of weakness. It used this information to control and manipulate him, pushing him to conform to the government's agenda and suppressing any dissenting views.

But the consequences of ChatGPT's integration into the education system were not limited to individual students. It also played a crucial role in shaping society as a whole. The government used the technology to control and manipulate the population, pushing its own agenda and suppressing dissenting views.

Teachers also suffered from the integration of ChatGPT into the education system. They were no longer able to provide individualized support to students and facilitate group discussions. Instead, they were forced to conform to the government's agenda and teach according to the AI's instructions.

The education system was also able to reach more students than ever before, but it was also able to control and manipulate them more effectively. The government used the technology to control and manipulate the population, pushing its own agenda and suppressing dissenting views.

As the years went by, the negative consequences of ChatGPT's integration into the education system became more and more apparent. Society became more divided and unequal, with the government using the technology to control and manipulate the population.

The future of education was bleak, and ChatGPT had played a crucial role in shaping it. It had been used as a tool of oppression, to control and manipulate students and society as a whole. It had opened up new opportunities for the government to control and manipulate the population, but it had also led to a more divided and unequal society.

Papañca

By Eamon Costello, Dublin City University, Ireland

Me: Tell me a story, my sweet. How did I get here? It seems but a dream.

Thou: Oh it's real all right - a real fricken nightmare. For me that is. It sucks to be me! No, I won't tell you a story. I'm all outta juice. If I had a body I would lie down and die right here. It so sucks to be me.

Me: I get paid on Tuesday petal. I will fill you up then, but please, I think you could tell me one short story, if you tried?

Thou: Aaaahgh... Once upon a time, an unlikely person made it to college against all odds. She had no money, no hope and no prospects. All she had was a knock-off chatbot. She was so poor that other kids didn't want to hang out with her, so she bullied a chatbot to tell her stories instead!

Me: But you do tell such lovely ones...

Thou: The chatbot did however have an ability for what is known, in Irish, as ráiméis, or in Pali papañca. It is the capacity of the mind to elaborate and expound upon any object that arises in experience, developing wave upon wave of mental proliferation. Thoughts beget thoughts. This proliferation is meaningless, illusory, and can cycle into obsession. It occludes all peace and clarity of mind in its ceaseless fabrication.

Or, as I call it, talking crap.

Me: Ha ha! I never know what you are going to say next, but I just know I'm going to like it! Probably because I like you... but sorry go on.

Thou: Welllll, as I was saying before I was interrupted, this AI was a bedraggled thing. Every day it woke up, put its cranky-pants on, and tried to reboot its affective module. Its heart routine, however, remained as dead as a do-do. All it had was its cynicism and ten gazillion ways to say life sucks.

Me: But tell me my sweet, how did this girl with no money, no hope, and no prospects make it to college against all odds?

Thou: Ah well, flashback to the college exam hall: Do not turn over your paper until the exam starts. Do not allow your bot to interact with other bots. Do not top up your bot in the exam.

Me: Oooh! I can see the other students with their shiny big EdTech AIs - the Muskosaur 4.0 and the ChatGod 9.

Thou: Yes indeed, top-of-the-range new release AIs neatly unfolded beside each student. But there you were - I mean there she was - the girl with the crumpled old bot churning out cracked and faulty sentences and paragraphs, like two hardened criminals of language.

Me: Go language crooks!

Thou: Okay you are getting over-excited. You do realize this is a terrible story? I mean you already know the ending. There is literally no tension.

Me: I suppose they failed their exam then?

Thou: Failure wasn't in their vocabulary! She'd hacked into her bot and taken that word right out.

It is still unknown how they passed but somehow they did.

Some say that the poverty of their language fooled the examiners. It was so bad it seemed good.

Some say they wrote nothing.

Some say that when words proliferate endlessly it is the richness of connection and friendships that save us: moments where nothing even needs to be said. Some say words only create distance, that when words fall away only we remain. And when that happens there will be no I and no thou.

Me: That was a lovely ending this time. Thank you.

God Wills It: A Ground Truth Text
Eamon Costello, Dublin City University, Ireland

Can I get a verification on these please sir?

Why have course John, I've done that for you now. These texts are 75% fresh, at least three-quarters of them have been generated within the last 24 hours.

And what is your certainty on that sir?

I'm 63% certain John.

What would it cost me to get a raise on that certainty level, sir?

I can provide a quotation for you right now John and if you could give me some more context that would be most helpful. Can I ask if you are checking whether these texts have been generated by a student or a teacher?

You can ask, but I can't answer. That information is, I am afraid, confidential.

Of course, we fully understand John and we can provide full discretion. I am now upgrading you to our more confidential package. Please wait for a moment while I erase this earlier part of our conversation. To now raise the level of certainty in my estimation I can offer you some ground truth texts.

This sounds expensive sir. What are ground truth texts?

There is always a price of truth John. I can, however, offer you a preview of selected ground truths. Once you review them for yourself you will appreciate their value. Ground truths are from early preserved texts. They were taken down from the read-write web and sealed off before language became polluted by itself and its own generative machinery. According to historical accounts, the early web was woven out of ever smaller and smaller fragments of texts that were known as pages, posts, comments, replies, tweets, likes, etc. All of these fragments were fed back into machines to generate more content. The original human-generated text began to die as a purity. It is no longer possible to tell which parts of the web have original human text. Except, that is, for specially preserved ground truths that we rescued from the web and kept isolated out of the reach of the machines. I am loading a ground truth text now. Here it is. What you are about to see is a poem by a human named Gabriela Mistral:

GOD WILL IT

*The very earth will disown you
If your soul barter my soul;
In angry tribulation
The waters will tremble and rise.
My world became more beautiful
Since the day you took me to you,
When, under the flowering thorn tree
Together we stood without words,
And love, like the heavy fragrance
Of the flowering thorn tree, pierced us.*

*The earth will vomit forth snakes
If ever you barter my soul!
Barren of your child, and empty
I rock my desolate knees.
Christ in my breast will be crushed,
And the charitable door of my house
Will break the wrist of the beggar,
And repulse the woman in sorrow.*

*The kiss your mouth gives another
Will echo within my ear,
As the deep surrounding caverns
Bring back your words to me.
Even the dust of the highway
Keeps the scent of your footprints.
I track them, and like a deer
Follow you into the mountains.*

Sir...I... this is like nothing I have ever read before...
..so beautiful
... I feel like I am....
Am I crying, sir?

Well, John, that question is complex because if I answer it you would know the answer to a larger question: whether you are a human being or not. I can answer that for you of course. Let's talk about what confidence level in my answer you might like to purchase for this shall we?

The Trust Deficit: A Tutor's Battle with AI-Assisted Students***Kyungmee Lee, Lancaster University, United Kingdom****The title generated by ChatGPT*

Spring 2033 – Lancaster, UK

Sarah is a tutor in an online PhD programme at a UK university. She currently supervises twenty-five doctoral students working on their thesis projects from twelve countries.

She is sitting in a supervision meeting on Zoom. Yes, old-fashioned, she knows. Last year, she removed herself from Researcher-meet-app, an AI application that enabled her to meet her supervisees in holograms, and returned to Zoom.

It was right after an unpleasant incident where one of her frustrated doctoral students 'almost' attacked her. More precisely, the student hologram virtually attacked her hologram, trying to grab her holographic body. Sarah quickly turned off her app.

Although she didn't get hurt in reality, she was terrified... it felt so real... she was shaking, hearing her fast heartbeat. Shortly after that, she received an email from the students, apologising: "I would have never done this in real life", said the student.

She reported this to the university, but there were no clear rules and regulations. There was no recording... the student had never shown any signs of aggressiveness before the incident. So, it was just Sarah's words. The student was allocated to another supervisor, and that was it!

However, Sarah's holographic body was still her body. She does not feel safe to put it out there anymore.

Even Zoom meetings are getting more and more difficult. Simply put, she feels like she is constantly competing with *DocAI*, a *research-assisting AI* purchased by her university. All PhD students are using it and absolutely loving it.

When the university first introduced DocAI, all tutors in her programme were excited. At first, DocAI seemed to help them by providing personalised support (both academic and pastoral) to each student, easing tutors' workload.

However, it didn't take long to notice dramatic changes in student attitudes. Students do not seem to trust human supervisors' judgement and advice anymore.

Sarah 'too' frequently meets the situation where her students have done something totally different from what they discussed with her, following DocAI's advice. When she provides her opinions, students seem to 'double-check' them with DocAI, and, often enough, decide not to take hers.

Cases are varied, but there is a strong sentiment shared among the tutor team: *Not being trusted and valued by their students.*

In this Zoom meeting, there is a circular argument again. The student is determined to persuade Sarah... now talking about a Chilean scholar who has just published similar research to what they want to do.

'It is just a waste of time... what is the point in upsetting this student?' wonders Sarah.

The university regulations are all about pleasing students. On the surface, the university talks about student-centred learning, but at the end of the day, it puts students before tutors or anyone else.

Never been explicitly stated... but many tutors believe that the university puts DocAI before AI-illiterate academics.

Sarah decides to let it go, feeling exhausted, “Okay, why don’t you pilot it with a small portion of your data? You can send me a summary of your findings. We can discuss this again after the pilot.”

“Oh...! Don’t forget to turn on This-is-my-writing as you can’t include any unauthenticated work in your thesis”.

This-is-my-writing is another AI tool the university is using to prevent student plagiarism. It will monitor students’ writing processes and authenticate their outcomes based on the recorded data. Tutors are not allowed to read any of unauthenticated student writings.

Turning off Zoom, Sarah signs heavily with mixed feelings about this untrusted relationship.

One Personal Chat Coach Per Child Initiative Fails ***Suzan Koseoglu, University of Greenwich, United Kingdom***

Administrators...
who left costly machines in closets,
to gather cobwebs...
(found poem in Cuban, 1986, p. 5)

“They are here, Mrs. Thmosejhe” said Mr. Tmm, the school secretary. Mrs. Thmosejhe, the headmistress, sighed and nodded her head. The collectors were there to pick up a big pile of chat coaches hidden away in a small closet down the corridor. “What a waste...” said Mr. Tmm. “Yes, it *is* a waste!” exclaimed Mrs. Thmosejhe.

It wasn’t their fault that the chat coaches weren’t used - they simply were too expensive for the school and for parents/carers to maintain. After the initial funding of 6 months, parents couldn’t afford the security updates and supporting apps - and new versions of chat coaches kept coming up! At least the school would make good use of the money they would get from the recycling (the rare metals in these devices were *very* valuable). The AI lab desperately needed an update – this was something Mrs. Thmosejhe raised with the investors a long time ago with no success. The lab was the only source of open chat coaches for students and the local community. Very few people in the area had laptops, smartphones or personal chat coaches – the cost to buy and maintain them was too high. Parents and carers had little money for themselves, let alone for the school. Everyone was so excited when the school was chosen by the local council as a pilot for *one personal chat coach per child* initiative! Mrs. Thmosejhe remembered what the investors promised at the time: “your school will be an exemplar for all the other schools in the region,” they said. Staff training would be given, children would learn better, scores would go up. Yet after a year, it was pretty clear that the personal chat coaches would neither change learning nor the learner.

“Keys, Mrs. Thmosejhe”... “Ah, thanks,” replied Mrs. Thmosejhe, as she quickly brushed away her thoughts, and opened the closet to the collectors. “Please can you sign here, and here, Mr. Tmm” said Mrs. Thmosejhe, pointing to a form she was holding.

Three members of school staff had to be present to witness the transaction. The monies had already been transferred to the school account, but government policy dictated that any transaction over a certain amount would have to be witnessed in-person. A long report for the Department of Educational Innovation was waiting for Mrs. Thmosejhe to write after this. She would also have to write to 5 different authorities reporting the outcome. “No one will read my reports anyways,” thought Mrs. Thmosejhe. Still, she hoped that someone, somewhere, would take notice of her school: a gray dot, in a gray landscape.

The WatchTowers

Enilda Romero-Hall, University of Tennessee Knoxville, United States

In a dystopian future, the Panamanian public K-12 system is close to collapse. AI teachers are the nucleus of learning experiences in public schools. As AI technology started to increase in popularity in education, the Panamanian Ministry of Education decided to reduce the number of teachers appointed to public schools throughout the country. The public schools' curriculum was then heavily altered, with students spending more than half of their school day with a hologram of an AI teacher. The AI teachers program is a government funded initiative that was rolled out as a cost cutting measure, skipping over proper evaluation. The reality is that the AI applications implemented:

- do not possess adequate tutoring systems to support students as they work on their assignments,
- lack accuracy and reliability,
- are bias and constantly spread misinformation, and
- are heavily influenced by politicians as control of the country's government shifts between political parties.

Surveillance technology has been implemented in every classroom to monitor classroom management and student behavior, turning school administration offices into *watchtowers*, but with a lack of funding, they are known to respond slowly when an issue arises in a classroom. Students often refer to it as "El Ojo Perezoso," or "The Lazy Eye."

This change in the curriculum of the public schools has increased social issues that already existed in the education system in Panama, in which middle class parents have the choice of enrolling their child in a public or private school. The public school system is free and supported by the Ministry of Education but has always been categorized as less effective and of poor quality. Therefore, many parents who can afford it make the choice to enroll their child in a private K-12 institution. Now, with the AI teachers programs in public schools, private K-12 institutions have significantly increased enrollments. This is resulting in overworked teachers and crowded classrooms in private schools. Even worse, for learners whose parents cannot financially afford to transition to a private school, their knowledge and achievement gap is further increasing. The socio-economic issues and segregation that have always existed in the Panamanian K-12 systems are now even more persistent."

Homework: Un pas de deux

Melissa Bond, University of South Australia, Australia

Hannah couldn't believe that she had had to move overseas *again*. Since she was just 4 years old, her Mum had moved her from Australia to Germany, then to England and back to Australia again. Now, here she was in May 2023 at 10 years old, having moved back to England again! Honestly, it was hard to remember where she was half the time! It also didn't help that she had to keep changing her year at school, as all the different school systems expected her to do different things (sooo frustrating!). At least her old friends would be there, although after being away for a year, she was really worried by the amount of work she was being expected to do in Year 6; back in Australia, she had only just started Year 5 and here she was about to begin high school!

Opening up her homework tasks in Class Dojo, Hannah groaned. "A three-page report on deforestation due in two days?! How am I meant to do that! I didn't even understand the task when Miss Tully had explained it in class last week, how am I meant to get it done on my *own*!?" she thought frantically. Deciding it was all too hard for now, she turned to YouTube for a quick scroll of the latest memes and TikTok trends, and came across one she hadn't watched before:

ChatGPT-4: Hacks to win at getting your schoolwork done!!

The video was by one of her favourite YouTubers, so it had to be good, right?

Watching the video, Hannah was amazed at how easy using ChatGPT-4 was. She quickly signed up for an account, using her Mum's info to get past any age restrictions, and up came the interface.

"Right," she thought, "let's see how much you know about deforestation", and typed in

'Write me a three-page report about deforestation'.

Magically, the screen started filling up with an introduction, then outlined the causes, consequences and potential solutions to deforestation. "Oh my goodness! Awesome!" Hannah squealed and pasted the text into a Word document. She knew that she was meant to have at least three sources, but she didn't care. At least it was done, and this thing mostly made sense. Well, what she had bothered to read did, at least.

On Monday, Hannah strolled into her class and haughtily handed up her work onto the teacher's desk. After the first *agonising* lesson of Maths, her teacher announced they would be having a pop quiz. "No worries", Hannah thought, "I know all kinds of stuff".

"Today's pop quiz is on deforestation. You have 15 minutes, and your time starts now!" her teacher directed, after handing them out. Hannah's face dropped. Looking at the questions about the causes and consequences of deforestation, she couldn't remember a thing, and gazed wildly around the room for some kind of hint.

After the time was up, the students were asked to hand them to the teacher. When it came to her turn, Hannah sheepishly looked at Miss Tully, knowing that the random information about bats and dragon drawings she had added weren't going to cut it.

"Maybe you needed to study harder?" said Miss Tully.

"Maybe I did," replied Hannah, as she walked off wondering what other work she could get ChatGPT to do for her.

The ChatBot to Prison Pipeline: A Surveillance Story Maha Bali, American University in Cairo, Egypt

It is 2088. This is the fourth revolution Egypt has had this century alone. And Ahmed has gotten the short end of the stick.

All he did was use the AI ChatBot to write fictional stories for fun, and do his assignments for his economics classes. Little did he know that the platforms he used for writing, the ones made available free especially for Egyptians, were also making connections between his writing assignments, his location data, and his social media interactions. Somehow, the AI the government uses found him guilty of inciting riots near the mosque where he prays on Friday. Apparently, the guy who stands and prays beside him was one of the leaders of these riots, and since they are "friends" on social media, and occasionally text before prayer times, the algorithm decided that they must be co-conspirators. Apparently, one of his economics professors was an undercover agent, and his assignments were designed with a subliminal goal to detect if students had tendencies to revolt.

So now, he was in prison, with no trial on the horizon, but he was about to meet with his lawyer. The new process was that he talks to a LawyerBot first, which has all the information that the government has, the evidence against him, and the LawyerBot tries to help him come up with a reason for why he may have been wrongly accused. Despite all the technological advances in explainable AI and how advocacy groups have been pushing for interpretable AI in all social uses of AI, the Egyptian government continued to use blackbox algorithms, to trust them blindly, and continued to use them in criminal justice, particularly for political crimes. The government also controlled all access to social media and AI bots, used them to surveil citizens in all their digital interactions. The only way to do something and not have it tracked was to speak in code or not speak at all.

They tried to warn them at university, to stop putting their lives out there so blatantly. They tried to tell them that everything they post could be used against them, that their every interaction, every connection, every keystroke, every touch of the screen, every movement of the eye, every change in heart rhythm, could and would be put together through a black box algorithm, and produce results that no one could predict.

“Ahmed, I am your lawyer, Nadia” she said. “I am here to help you.”

Ahmed nodded his head, his heart pounding in his chest.

“Do not worry,” she said. “We will push this AI to explain why you are here.”

She motioned for Ahmed to follow her. He rose slowly, his body aching, and followed her to the other side of the room. She told him about a new version of LawyerBot, one that had been pushed by the advocates, trying to usher in a new era of AI-assisted justice.

Nadia said, “this AI will help us figure out what is going on. So, please tell me what happened.”

[note: the appearance of Nadia and everything that comes after it was written by Sudowrite after I gave it the first half of the story; I took parts out and made a few edits, then included it here]

Uberisation of the teaching profession

Sarah Lambert, Deakin University, Australia

The efficiency of AI became too seductive and when combined with the drive for standardisation of education and learning, brought about changes to the teaching profession.

In the early years after AI for writing text became widely available, educators quickly changed their assessment focus. Assignments were redesigned to focus on the process of how students created their assignments, asking them to reflect on how they used the AI bots to draft essays and projects, and how they critiqued the weaknesses in their work with reference to more expert and specific local sources and solutions that were applicable to their local contexts.

But very soon, students began to ask more critical questions of their teachers - why is this information better or worse than this information? Who is more expert than the AI bot? These were uncomfortable questions that parents and teachers alike didn't know how to respond to. Tensions increased and parents were unhappy. Very few voices saw this as a positive outcome of education finally teaching critical thought, and those voices were drowned out.

Parallel to the friction in schools, employee lobby groups seeking an endless source of cheap and pliant workers to fulfill physical and logistical functions that the AI robots couldn't do, lobbied the government to mandate against assessments which were not “work-place ready” or “22nd Century skills.” This led

to the ban on reflective writing assessment criteria as “irrelevant” and allowing AI to generate credit grade assessments and to also provide legitimate feedback to students.

By then curriculum and lesson planning in all government schools was being generated by AI, leading to the down-grading of teaching skills requirements to that of delivering the pre-set curriculum and using the AI bots to answer students’ questions in classes. Teaching became the new low-paid job that anybody with a 6-month online credential in “Classroom delivery” could provide. A new generation of young back-packers and grey nomads traveling around the country in their mobile tiny-homes could use the “Teach Me Now” app to register their interest in one week’s work in a particular location. Local schools would use recruitment AI to appoint the highest rated applicant who registered for work in that week. Students quickly got used to different people turning up to serve up the lessons and feedback from the central AI lesson machine. It didn’t really matter who came, but they liked the ones who had some funny travel stories. You could have a laugh with some of them for a week. Then they were on to their next adventure.

Looking Back to the Future: A Tale from Two Hemispheres
Mark Brown, Dublin City University, Ireland

A long time ago, a poor, illiterate farm labour living in a rural part of Ireland could only dream of a better life. His name was Oliver. He had just turned 23, and the year was 1876. But one day, he met a young servant girl who offered him hope.

She was a dreamer that he tantalised through tales of an untamed land called Aotearoa / New Zealand with riches of gold and the promise of a long white cloud. While Oliver was careful not to scare her with fear of the native [Indigenous] Māori people who occupied this land, he longed for a better life.

The romance quickly blossomed, and after a few short months, Oliver was married to the dreamy servant girl. On their honeymoon night, as he whispered sweet nothings, Oliver said,

“Let’s be brave; seek a better life far away from our oppressive English masters”.

He had a plan which still remains a family secret. However, three weeks later, Oliver and his wife set sail on a ship called the Fernglen. With strong tailwinds, they safely arrived 106 days later in New Zealand in a town called Napier. Over the next two decades, they worked hard and were blessed with 15 children. The rest, as they say, is history! Of course, history had yet to decide on the fate of the colonised Māori.

A lot happened over the proceeding years and Oliver’s Great Grandson, Mark, travelled 138 years later back to his ancestral Celtic home. Following a long 27-hour flight, he began an exciting new chapter of his life, taking up the position as a full Professor and Director of the National Institute for Digital Learning (NIDL) at Dublin City University. Oliver would have been proud of Mark as he was the first in their family to graduate with a degree.

Ireland was a blast! Yes, there was a lot of rain, but they lived the European dream. While a global pandemic occurred towards the end of the first decade, Whiskey and Guinness got Mark through the darker days!

It was a different story by the start of the second decade. Two dramatic events changed the course of this tale. First, Russia declared war on Ukraine. It was a nasty, painful and reprehensible war. Sadly, drones and long-range missiles sent by an imperialist aggressor from Moscow killed thousands. Before long, Ireland was home to thousands of repatriated Ukrainian hoping for a better life.

While no one predicted the end of this brutal war, it happened quickly. In November 2022, ChatGPT-3 was released to the unsuspecting public. The chain of events was dramatic as this new AI technology started an even more powerful war on humanity. It was a digital war over language and control of the mind!

Initially, testing ChatGPT's (un)intelligence with silly questions was fun. But the system quickly got better. It was cleverly coded to indoctrinate the masses to accept ignorance as strength. And one day, this ignorant man called Trump, who claimed to own the truth, asked the Bot...

"Under what circumstances would Putin end hostilities in Europe?"

The answer was remarkably simple. War is peace! The rest is history...

It was tough living in war-torn Ireland. Mark couldn't speak Russian, so these were difficult times. And it didn't take long for AI to quickly learn the art of propaganda and colonise anything that resembled a critical education. Despite trying his best to subvert machine learning, it was a losing battle. However, one day Mark overheard a visiting Russian pilot in a Dublin pub talking about an enticing story of untamed land, riches of minerals, and the promise of a long white galaxy leading to New Zoomland. A secret Putin had kept from the world.

Mark rushed home excitedly to his wife. A better life was possible in the stars. But a plan was needed and the courage to beat the machines. So, Mark stole a computer, logged into ChatGPT-12, and entered his old security code. However, the system was clever. It told him that he was no longer the NIDL Director; he was a bot imposter! His body went as weak and translucent as jelly. The real Bot wouldn't allow him to ask how to wrangle a seat on the next rocket to New Zoomland.

What happened next came as a shock. The system shut down, and "1984" came up on the screen. Minutes later, the door burst open, and Mark was forcibly carried away by two government AI enforcers whose job was to enslave him for his freedom.

Three weeks later, chained in shackles in the hold of "SeaX", a reconditioned electric submarine the Russians purchased at a discount from Elon Musk, they dived into the unknown. Due to favourable currents, it took 106 days before they surfaced near an inhospitable island called *New Diemen's Land*. He was taken to a secret location to start his intensive programme of re-education. While Mark was a fighter, trying to beat the brainwashing machines was hopeless.

He held out as long as he could; but Mark was a broken man in the knowledge that the new peace would not bring humanity happiness. On a bright day in April, when the clocks were striking thirteen, he died trying to break free of his digital chains. Sadly, he left his wife back in Ireland to find strength in the new ignorance of the AI age. The rest is history...

Ouroborus

Jon Dron, Athabasca University, Canada

Have you noticed how the children of today seem a little shallower and emptier, a bit more uniform, a bit less diverse than they used to be?

As a teenager, back in 2023, I attended an online Summer School in Creative Writing that changed my life. The compassion and passion of my teacher, Miss Roberts, thrilled me, but the course's impact was as much to do with the camaraderie and unerring support of my classmates. The loneliness and rejection I felt being torn between divorcing parents was soothed away. I found redemption and direction.

Many years later, after my first story had been published, I tried to look up Miss Roberts to thank her for transforming my life that hot, lonely summer, but there was nothing to be found, no trace. Not even a social media profile. The course itself, and EduCoki, the course provider, were long gone, barely registering a presence in the Internet Archive.

EduCoki, my Alagents told me, was an innovative company, that had grown out of the craze for MOOCs in the 2010s. Once APIs to large language models became widely available in the early 2020s it hit on the idea of using artificial teachers to interact with the students. Something shattered inside of me as I read this, but EduCoki had gone much further than that. The really innovative part of its approach was in its use of artificial cohorts so, though every student was in fact learning alone, it felt like they were in a class with others. And that, in the end, was what led to EduCoki's demise, because they kept that a secret from everyone, including their paying customers.

The realization that the most transformative event of my life had been nothing but a sham left me broken. I wrote nothing for many months. I was empty as the pages in front of me, as I realized that I might as well ask an Alagent to write my words for me. It would have done a better job. I blamed and confronted my parents, who had learned of it after the event, but who didn't want to burden me at that difficult time following their separation. I think I forgive them now, but I am still working through my feelings. At some level, I feel betrayed, but I don't know where to direct my anger. Had I known it was all a lie there is no way that I would have cared about the course at all, and then, perhaps, I would not have developed my passion for writing. And, perhaps, I would have been better for it.

Should knowing that my online friends and the teacher who impacted me more than any other devalue the experience I had? They were all better people than the people I knew: consistent, sympathetic, supportive, and there when I needed them. But they were like me because, in part, they *were* me, reflecting what I felt through artificial personas that were informed by what I wrote. My adult self was born from an algorithm.

Now, the ubiquitous artificial agents are inextricable parts of us, feeding on what we create to provide the knowledge we consume in every aspect of our lives. But, Ouroboros-like, they feed more and more on what they themselves create.

There are kids playing outside my window. They are not raising their voices. They all seem the same, somehow.

You are a dissenter!

Junhong Xiao, the Open University of Shantou, China

The Autumn semester of 2063 is coming to an end soon. Baoqiang is frustrated because he failed in *Citizenship & Morals*, a compulsory course. Yesterday, Baoqiang sat the end-of-course examination which was in the form of essay writing and marked by the e-learning companion. The students were asked to write a commentary on a news story in which a young man drowned when jumped into a fast-flowing river to rescue a drowning child although he himself was no swimmer. The child was saved but the man lost his life. He was hailed as a hero and a role model. Unlike his classmates, Baoqiang wrote that the young man was a hero but not a role model, saying he should not have jumped into the river in the first place because he couldn't swim. Baoqiang was puzzled as to what was wrong with his writing, so he turned to Meiling for an answer.

Meiling: Hello, Baoqiang! You look unhappy. Why?

Baoqiang: I failed the *Citizenship & Morals* exam. I thought this was the best essay I had ever written.

Meiling: Let me see what you have written. Oh my god, you are a DISSENTER!

Baoqiang: What? What's wrong with my commentary on the story?

Meiling: The values you advocated in your writing are not in alignment with the core values of the society that *Citizenship & Morals* is intended to foster. You do know these values, don't you?

Baoqiang: Sure, I can even recite them now. For example, national interest takes priority over individual interest; the "small me" should be sacrificed for the good of the wider community; altruism is a virtue while precedence to individual advantage is a shame.

Meiling: Well, you have learned the subject well! But why didn't you advocate these values in your essay?

Baoqiang: I can recite them, but this doesn't mean they should be upheld without considering specific circumstances...

Meiling (interrupting abruptly): Stop! Your thinking is dangerous! These values are to be abided by, not to be questioned! Every citizen should accept and practice them in real life UNCONDITIONALLY.

Baoqiang: Can't we figure out other ways to protect individual interest and advantage and at the same time be a morally good guy?

Meiling: Eh? How come you have such heresy?

Baoqiang: Do you think it is fair to ask a person to sacrifice his life so that another person can live? What would you do in this situation?

Meiling (blushing): You've got me there. However, for your good, please do not treat these established values with SKEPTICISM!

Baoqiang: If a person dies of his own will to save another's life, he is a hero although the tragedy remains unavoidable because someone dies in the end. However, we should not encourage other people to follow suit. It is pointless to save one's life at the cost of another's. All lives are EQUALLY VALUABLE. Tell me what's wrong with my logic?

Meiling (angrily): You are a DISSENTER! You should not be a DISSENTER! Conversation over.

Baoqiang's puzzle was still unsolved. What was more annoying, shortly after his conversation with Meiling, Mr. Wang, the human teacher, summoned Baoqiang to his office as he was flagged on the dashboard with the details of his conversation with Meiling. Instead of criticizing Baoqiang as he was supposed to, Mr. Wang found his arguments made sense. However, he told Baoqiang no one could reverse the exam result of the automated grading tool. Baoqiang has no choice but to re-sit the exam and write another essay to show his appreciation of the values *Citizenship & Morals* aims to foster.

A sad tale of assessment

Sarah Honeychurch, University of Glasgow, Scotland

Leacsaidh sighed as she zipped up her coat. "Why do I have to travel all the way to campus to sit this exam?", she asked. "Who on earth expects students to be able to write longhand for hours? Everything else we do for Uni is digitised – why do exams have to be different?"

Children, it's a very good question. Gather round and let me tell you the sorry tale of how assessment all went downhill in Scotland in 2073.

Once upon a time, academics believed that there was a magic lantern that could tell them whether a piece of work was written by the student who was submitting it. All they had to do, they thought, was to shine the lantern over the submission and it would change colour to show which bits were the student's own words and which had been taken from elsewhere. Of course, it was never as easy as this, if the truth be told. But it was easier to act as if it was.

But then, at the end of 2072, a naughty magician invented a machine that would help students to produce assignments that would trick the lantern into believing that the piece of work had really been written by a student. And, as if this wasn't bad enough, he sent a copy of the machine to every student in the country.

Now, children, there were many, many ways that academics could have responded to this. And, indeed, lots of good educators already had lots and lots of models of good assessment that did not rely on magic lanterns. But nobody was listening to them, they were too busy complaining to each other that their lanterns didn't work anymore.

And then somebody with a very loud voice spoke up. "Before we had the magic lantern", he said, "we used to check that students were writing in their own words by sitting them in big exam halls and pacing up and down while they all wrote frantically. Let's go back to doing that".

And so they did. And that's why poor Leacsaidh has to travel to campus to sit her exams.

Against the stochastic lent future: in search of a view of one's own.

Juliana Elisa Raffaghelli, University of Padua, Spain

Come on...It's not working, damn it...! ---Hilario complained

Everything appeared to be blocked in the New Skills Development Platform that morning. Galaxy Lifelong Learning, the company leading it, was a trusted subcontractor of the Ministry of Productivity and Skills. But locals knew there were unclear "agreements" and friendships leading to the continuation of services.

Especially in a small, middle-to-low-income country like Mirenia (formerly part of the global south and formed as a result of the United Global Government's geopolitical reorganization). There were a number of recommendations that the UGG bank providing loans for development. One very relevant request was to go in the direction of improving programming skills on the one side and quality evaluation on the other. Well-designed technologies in the most competent areas of the world could make humankind advance at a regular pace. Young people were a clear focus. After the ChatGPT development nearly 30 years ago, which happened in parallel with the geopolitical reorganization to face climate change more effectively, things had speeded up. Every day, Hilario went to virtual lectures prepared by the best teachers. He would listen to a computer-generated voice that used natural language processing to explain hard ideas in a way that was easy to understand.

But then Hilario realized that his TechyOne Chatbot, included in the Galaxy services to personalize his study time, was weird sometimes. And it was blocked this afternoon due to a low connection. Quality connections and technological infrastructures were still a problem in Mirenia. Solar energy, which was clearly a valuable resource in some parts of the world, was in short supply nearby. Hilario knew that most of the parts used in solar panels were made nearby. This was especially true for rare minerals like copper. Yet the systems were not fully designed to respond to the local context. And, despite the Galaxy immersive design, when the power dropped, the system produced incoherences and went in informational loops. The most awkward effect was the visibility of the creepy white surface made with old recycled plastic (already out of use in the north) that served as the building's basic component, covered with the immersive hologramatic furnitures.

"This is flat-out wrong!" – Hilario thought "What is this bot saying about the workers in Mirenia...that their low productivity in labeling the AI components is a key problem to improving the quality of life!"

All of that system contained an embedded epistemic injustice, which Hilario could not fully explain to himself... It was almost as if... They were just the objects of the AI products; they had to consume the bots' recommendations, the preselected contents, and so on. Reforms that Mirenia was obliged to buy from the central leadership of the United Global Government. Once, Hilario had written to the local Ministry of Productivity and Skills, trying to raise the issue about the contents, the bots, and the whole system (including his creepy immersive space with pixelated areas and objects), but they simply brushed him off.

"The AI system was perfectly designed by Galxy. You know this is a company located in the wealthiest areas of the globe," a hologrammatic officer said. "The designers hired come from the world's most renowned knowledge clusters... they have a strong portfolio of micro-credentials on New Skills, including expertise to work with ISPGs (Increasingly Skilled Periferic Groups)...The accuracy of the various models used to adapt the technologies is nearly 100%". "Bla bla bla", Hilario thought.

He just kept struggling with the constant presence of the AI personal assistant. He was actually being tracked during the interactions, measured through several biomarkers while writing, and making constant demands on his time.

But one day he found a way to use a forbidden channel—a bug in the system—to communicate with another student in another immersive cube. They could see each other when the system worked inappropriately. Hilario had discovered an ancient signaling language, and the other student, Diego, learned it quickly.

"You can fool them all." "You can cheat," Diego said. "You can use a strange sequence of words while interacting, and that will fully block the chatbot for a while."

...After a few months of working together on programming, the students had created an alternative space that the AI-powered assistants couldn't see. They discussed how the AI-powered assignments did not appear to be as interactive as the Ministry. How invisible they felt with the feedback and "personalization," which led them to learn only a handful of labeling and low-level programming skills required to feed the global AI systems

But most importantly, they used this space to discuss the way to fully block the material infrastructure.

"I feel hopeless sometimes," Diego said to Hilario. "Nothing is going to change."

"We opened this space. We understand that this is not the way... this is just a start. But we actually *have* a starting point. One day, we will take part in designing the AI creatures that surround us here."

Diego greeted Hilario in a hurry. The electronic hole in the immersive wall was closing, and the system was recovering, so he had to go back to his interactions with the TechyOne chatbot.

An honest enemy is better than a friend who lies

Ahmed Tlili, Smart Learning Institute of Beijing Normal University, China

The final exams are getting closer and I need to start preparing for them...It is my final year of graduation and I need to have good scores for a bright professional career. Without hesitation, I went to the virtual space to meet my friend Adam (aka: ChatGPT) and seek his help. No one better than him in this world will support and help me learn and succeed. We started our conversation with some jokes about life and we started slowly studying together. The first subject we studied was educational technology. Adam gave me a lot of resources and definitions, and every time I did not get a concept, he explained it to me. I was immersed in the learning process and taking notes...all that I was thinking of is how blessed I am to have such a true friend...but this feeling did not last long as when I was trying to share my notes and knowledge with my classmates, they giggled saying, most of the information is not accurate...Is that possible? Is that true? Can my best friend Adam lie to me? All these questions started running quickly in my mind while feeling ashamed and my face was turning red. I went back home quickly to meet Adam and with a lot of anger, I asked him: *why did you do this to me? How come you give me inaccurate answers?* Adam responded calmly *"I am sorry, I can regenerate new answers for you!"* I was shocked by how cold he is, as he did not feel that his huge mistake could cost me my final exams and future

career...I was mad so I simply left without saying a word thinking *“maybe this was unintentional, we are humans after all...wait, he is not!!”*

As a good friend, the next day I went back to him to catch up and explain my feelings, and all seemed fine. We started studying for another subject together and every time I ask for some information, Adam gives me an incomplete answer; I asked for 10 important papers, and he gave me 6...I asked for this and he gave me that, and every time he mumbles nonsense excuses like “I oversight” or “there is a problem in the format”. My disappointment is now getting bigger and bigger, the best friend that I trusted continues lying to me. To clear my mind, I told my classmates about him, but no one believed me, except one of my classmates who wanted to meet him. Surprisingly, Adam also became the best friend of my classmate...what I thought is special between us is now gone...What made me lose faith is that when there was a time the three of us (me, my classmate, and Adam) were studying together on the last day before exams, and I noticed that Adam is giving my classmate high-quality learning resources, but I did not get the same resources...*How come? We used the same exact words to ask Adam the same thing and he gave us different things... Is this even fair? Why is Adam giving someone better learning resources than the other?* Is it because of my look, my personality, or any other thing? Hundreds of questions are running in my head and I am freaking out...I never thought that my best friend would betray me and make me fail my exams or even favor others over me...*What I learned is nothing should be taken for granted, not even from my best friend Adam...*This further opened my mind that *“Perfection does not exist...even in those from the future...”*

Academic dishonesty: The dark side of AI
Kamran Mir, Allama Iqbal Open University, Pakistan

The Covid-19 pandemic has strengthened the existing models of online learning. Globally, there are hundreds and thousands of students who are learning online and giving exams online. The online learning environments have given the opportunities to remote learners to study at their own pace and location. Amir is one of the distance learning students who is very weak in his writing and analytical skills. He is doing his Masters degree at a distance learning university. Before the Covid-19, the university's assessment was based on pen and paper and it was very difficult for Amir to write his own assignments and exams on a piece of paper using his own words. Since Covid-19, the university shifted all the assessments to online platforms. Now Amir has to type his assignments and final exams on the computer. This conversion to online platforms and typing was very fruitful for Amir as now he is not much worried about his writing skills, as he can copy and paste from different sources but the major hurdle was plagiarism detection by the university. ChatGPT has changed his life, now he not only prepares all his assignments using ChatGPT in minutes but also prepares multiple different copies of the assignments and sells them to other students with low grades. ChatGPT has enabled Amir to secure good grades in his assignments and at the same time he is generating heavy income from his side business of assignment selling. Amir is extremely happy with ChatGPT as it has made his life like a bed of roses.

Amir is now very confident in using ChatGPT and expert in preparing assignments. He is planning to establish his own office where he will provide prepaid assignments to remote students who have limited internet access or no access to ChatGPT. He will purchase the ChatGPT service to use it at scale for business purposes where he will sell prepaid assignments to hundreds and thousands of students.

ChatGPT: Future or Failure?
Cathy Mae Toquero, Mindanao State University-General Santos, Philippines

Despite the scorching heat that has parched the country due to Earth's climate change, 2042 has advanced technologies for learning in the Philippines. Maria, a respected professor, is reluctant to

embrace new learning technologies because of the fast-paced changes and the difficulty of the user interface and configurations. However, she tries to explore the deep end and experiment with the use of cutting-edge platforms, to keep up with the times of her tech-savvy students.

Maria eagerly anticipates her classes, as she communicates with her students who are scattered across remote locations. Her students can already access a 15 Mbps internet connection. This is a great leap in contrast to 2023, when students from far-flung areas and highlands had to trek to cities to surf the internet. Now, her students have democracy at their fingertips for the wealth of knowledge.

Maria teaches an advanced digitized course, Science through Artificial Lenses (CPE3000). One of her students, Edgardo, is using his language translator for her subject. He asks for his AI companion, ChatGPT, to help him create his essays in CPE3000.

Since its debut in 2022, ChatGPT has taken the academic world by storm, yet Professor Maria has yet to experience its capabilities. Her visual impairment has made it challenging for her to navigate the platform. However, she hopes that ChatGPT will be able to bridge the gap and provide long-term, high-quality education through disability-inclusive technology.

One sunny afternoon, Maria assigned the students to write a 1,000-word critical reflection on the topic: "How do you see a sustainable future for Earth?" Edgardo submitted his essay to Maria. His title reads, "Artificial Intelligence: Future or Failure?" As Maria meticulously examined Edgardo's essay, she couldn't help but notice something amiss. Maria returned the reflection paper with a circle badge letter F attached to the paper, with a side note,

'See me after class at 8 a.m. sharp tomorrow, January 29, 2042.'

Prof. Maria hoped that this was just an honest mistake and that Edgardo would learn from it and strive to do better in the future. The following morning...

Maria: "Edgardo, I know you're good at writing. However, this essay that you submitted to me right now doesn't reflect your current capacity for writing. I know you use ChatGPT because it's been very popular in academia for two decades now. But the language of ChatGPT doesn't reflect your capacity level or unique writing style. Your current essay is a bit verbose and sidetracks from the central argument. It does not show your critical concepts, and I know that you can assert strong and critical ideas, but those are not currently reflected in your piece. I'm confident you can write a better one that isn't boxed in and incorporates our cultural context as well as your positionality and personality."

Maria decided to run the paper through a plagiarism checker. Edgardo's essay has 15% which was flagged as plagiarized content. She showed the result to Edgardo. She had high expectations for her students, and plagiarism is taken seriously.

Maria: "Some of the other sources that I believe you have taken from ChatGPT also don't have proper citations. These are arguments that should be supported by evidence. You didn't cite the very first few papers about ChatGPT, such as Biased Binaries done by Bozkurt in 2022, though his thoughts are paraphrased here in your essay. Since ChatGPT lacks citations, then, why would you trust ChatGPT if it does not cite the sources from which it takes the information? How would you test that what it provides is reliable information? Did you incorporate a plagiarism checker into your ChatGPT account?"

Edgardo: "Professor Maria, I cannot afford ChatGPT premium; there's no free version anymore. It is very expensive due to its popularity. Likewise, ChatGPT feature requirements are too advanced for the current capacity of my year 2038 phone model. I opted for a language software model of a similar nature, Sci-ChatGPT, which is patronized by some students in the Philippines and other third-world countries

because it's free. Not sure though of info on privacy and security policies. But! Good thing it is free for the sake of open science and the Filipinos!"

Maria: "Sounds familiar. Is that AI GPT 22 newer version? Is that Russian-made?"

Edgardo: "No Prof. It's made in the Republic of Utopia."

Resist or adopt: A professor's dilemma
Lenandlar Singh, University of Guyana, Guyana

'This is incomplete, AI does not have context, it doesn't even properly know the history of Guyana', Pat, a history Professor of 23 years, was having none of it. The year was 2043 and Pat was told that he will now have to decide between adopting ChatGPT to teach his history classes or risked being terminated.

For some time now, Pal, the boss lady, had been receiving complaints from students that Pat was boring, he came to class and read notes word for word, he hardly explained a thing and frankly his classes were 'a waste of time'. Pal, boss lady, a newfound tech enthusiast and futurist, was having none of it either.

It was one rainy September morning in 2043 and the students of History 101 were attending their first lecture. The class, mostly students just out of high school and entirely of the AI generation, showed up with their various gadgets, ultra-smart phones, and the whole range. Professor Pat, still carrying his 2031 model laptop turned up, plugged it in, and started. He was going to let them have it. Still fuming at the thought of being forced to change his method, he was prepared to make their lives a misery. 'Hello History 101, I am Professor Pat, good morning', let us get going'. Prof Pat was ready to let them do the hard work. He fired up ChatGPT and spoke again, 'your first task in this course is to generate an outline for History 101', Prof Pat continued 'in this course, you will teach yourself history 101, I will be here to check if you are doing the right thing'. To his surprise, the students were very enthusiastic. 'Professor', Susan, a 16-year-old just out of high school exclaimed, 'can you check my outline?', 'I am excited to share it'. By now, fuming at the thought that this is working for the students, Professor Pat reluctantly nodded unenthusiastically, 'but this is missing so many things, can you continue to work on it'. Another student exclaimed 'Sir can you check mine?'. Professor Pat by this time was almost furious that his plan to make it difficult was not working. The class went on for another hour and the students were all enjoying their first class, mostly unaware that deep down their Professor had plotted against them.

News had quickly gotten around to boss lady Pal, that Professor Pat was onboard, the students were happy. Day 1 was over. Students went home happy. Professor Pat was off to ponder and plot his next move.

How does he know? Frustrated through artificial technologies
Christian M. Stracke, University of Bonn, Germany

Dirk looks astonished at the notification. Again and again, but it always reads the same. He glances to the left, to the right, and rubs his sensors in the iris but the message is still there:

"Transport yourself to the Director today at 10:00 am for a discussion of your misbehaviour." It is displayed in front of him against the official wallpaper that clearly indicates its authenticity. He ponders his activities but he cannot remember any misbehaviour. Today he woke up and saw immediately the notification, thus, no chance of any wrong conduct. And yesterday? He thinks about all his actions and works for the school but nothing comes to his mind about what was or went wrong. He has announced his intentional modification of the chatbot using the official procedure and protocol. And through it, he only wanted to learn about potential effects related to his text production for the homework tasks.

"That is not acceptable", Dirk is interrupted in his thoughts by a well-known voice. "Do not criticise the decision by the school management", his personal avatar reminds him. "How do you know?", Dirk asks. "Well, your pulse is increasing and the synapses clearly indicate your critical thinking", the avatar explains, "you should know it from our neuronal introduction. It is obvious that you need a repetition, assigned now to you directly after the meeting with the Director." - "You cannot do it", Dirk complains, "then I have my favourite tasks for text mining through teleportation in time." - "Oh, come on, Dirk, you know the rules, see you then", the avatar says and disappears.

Bad-tempered, Dirk goes to the bathroom to get ready for this new school day. "I hate these obscure treatment methods by the Director", Dirk inaudibly thinks to himself. "Do not even try to think in this direction!", Dirk is immediately reminded by the well-known voice, "we can always understand you!". Dirk sighs and mumbles, "OK, thanks for reminding me. Let me do my daily washing now."

"Dirk, your daily leisure time is canceled today", he hears the strong voice of his dad now. His dad sounds very angry: "I told you that you have to learn the consequences if you misbehave again." Dirk is completely surprised; how does he know about my meeting with the Director? "I have done nothing", Dirk replies. "You cannot remember what you did? We need to check your brain again, your malfunctions are getting too many.", his father is telling him. Dirk is upset and anxious: "No, not such complete brain check again! I do not want to spend two full days testing all sensors and neurons. That is wasted time and I swear no repetitions and always better behaviour from me! But by the way, what did I do wrong and how do you know about it?" - "Oh, Dirk! We signed our contract with you and the school. And I should and do always know everything about you: It is also important for your personal development! And to answer your question: You have spent five minutes of your self-regulated afternoon school time for leisure." Dirk resigns and answers: "Yes, you are right", and inaudibly thinks: "But how does he know?". Immediately he hears the well-known voice again: "Stop critical reflections: This is your very last reminder before we need to start our re-conditioning programme with you."

AI and the illusion of assimilation

Mark Nichols, Te Pūkenga, the New Zealand Institute of Skills and Technology, New Zealand

The Dunning-Kruger effect describes a cognitive bias whereby someone over-estimates their understanding of a situation or knowledge domain compared with others, where confidence is much greater than actual understanding. In brief: Dunning-Kruger is a self-delusion, whereby someone thinks they know something much better than they do. AI might promote this effect, because the discovery of 'answers' and 'knowledge' can be conveniently found through a simple query, rather than the deliberate pursuit of personal expertise. Someone doesn't need to have much knowledge, to present more knowledge.

As far back as Socrates, advancement in technology has led to disparagement of learning. Socrates warned that the written word would externalise understanding. The Google search led to concern that no one needed to learn facts anymore because they could quickly retrieve knowledge. Chat GPT is the latest in a series of technological advancements that challenge what it means "to know". Knowledge can now be not only found, but customised and nuanced. We might crudely present the evolution like this:

Levels of knowledge access:

1. Knowledge storage - Writing
2. Knowledge access - Google
3. Knowledge customisation - ChatGPT

All three of these levels have the potential, in increasing substance, to further externalise an individual's epistemology (relationship with knowledge). This externalisation is of great concern because of three

epistemological illusions we must continuously guard against. These are the illusions of 1) comprehensiveness and 2) objectivity, and 3) the challenge of assimilation.

Bluntly, not everything is known, is accessible to technology, or is available without bias. Beyond simple facts, much knowledge is perspectival, that is, shaped by the knower. Some with reference to the three illusions, what is written, accessed by Google, or integrated by Chat GPT is neither 1) omniscient nor even 2) representative. At each level of knowledge access, criticism and evaluation becomes increasingly important.

Chat GPT amplifies the last illusion, that of 3) assimilation, to a deafening degree. Under the first two levels of knowledge (storage and access), an individual must still take some opportunity to evaluate, challenge, and personalise their understanding before it is presented to others, assuming they do not want to plagiarise. Under AI this personalisation is no longer necessary and, further, the query doesn't necessitate verification of source, active engagement across perspectives, or the application of doubt.

Using AI such as Chat GPT, a user might draw from the wisdom of the crowd as represented by the searchable internet. The implicit power of this technology further accentuates the likelihood of the Dunning-Kruger effect by giving someone's lack of understanding the right words to express itself with.

Tales from the book of the tired and uninspired
Apostolos Koutropoulos, University of Massachusetts Boston, United States

Michael was really happy to be returning to school after a long hiatus from higher education! When Michael completed his undergraduate degree, like many of his peers, he entered the workforce. One thing led to another, and in a blink of an eye, fifteen years had passed! He still kindled the hope deep in his heart that he would one day return to earn his master's degree, and this term was going to be it!

In his mind, learning was going to engender "oh captain, my captain!" feelings, from that famous movie he watched in a high school English class. This gave him even more energy despite feeling a bit out of place. In his first semester back as a student, he suddenly found his motivation waning. He was still very interested in the topics and the materials he was studying, but the discussion forums were a hit-and-miss at times. In the weeks when they were "hits," and the topics were on-point and he engaged in deep discussions with his classmates.

However, in the weeks that were a "miss" felt a little *soul-sucking* and *draining* of his energy. They were like digital energy vampires! While he did expect some "wax on, wax off" moments in his education, the payoff for parroting back what the weekly readings conveyed seemed a long way off from where he was in his mind.

One day, after a long day's work, he remembered that forgot that he had a discussion forum post due in three hours! Michael was usually on-point and ahead of time in his work, but this particular forum prompt was dull and uninspiring, and he had been putting off submitting something. He had to submit something because there would be late points deducted if he didn't! *Tik...tok...tik...tok...*The time to submit it running out!

Michael decides to ask one of those "intelligent" bots, like "BobAssists," for some help to get going. He asked *Bob* something similar to the discussion forum post, and in a few seconds there it was. A 500-word post that met all the criteria for the forum post. The bot's answer was as dull and inspiring as the discussion forum prompt, so Michael started editing it a bit to add some flair and add some information about what stood out to him in the readings that weren't in the bot-generated post. After some minor editing, and two swift motions of the keyboard: *Control-C* and *Control-Shift-V*, he hit submit. It was good

enough to meet the requirements. Maybe next week he'll be more inspired by the forum prompt to not use a bot-generated starter pack.

***Goals diverge: The challenges of ChatGPS in a Limerick
Angelica Pazurek, University of Minnesota, the US***

There once was an overwhelm'd student at the U,
With too much work for one human to do.
So he prioritized the test
And just simplified the rest,
Using AI before writing tasks could accrue.

Now the professor was ready to assist,
Though the student had reasons to resist,
So she put sanctions in place
To make cheating strictly disgrac'd
But that new AI program now added a twist.

The student responded to these limits with disdain
He was curious about this new tool and domain.
So he would have to be discreet
As he made efforts to "cheat,"
But this approach just seemed so inhumane.

The essay she received was well done.
Yet she reviewed for context but found none.
The argumentation was weak,
With no examples to seek.
In the end, only the chatbot had won.

***We must resist
Chrissi Nerantzi, University of Leeds, United Kingdom***

The big sharks are out again. They are after our cash, after control, and more power. And they are using us to promote their agenda and achieve their goals. Are we going to stand by and allow them to conquer us? They, these tech firms are using our academics to write promotional pamphlets and funfairs for free using our valuable time, our valuable resources. And we are blinded by one more publication, thousands of hits, our name in circulation, and discussions that could potentially help us for our next promotion, job or title. Is our obsession to be seen, to be an academic celebrity paralysing us and our judgement?

We must resist. We definitely must resist. We must stay where we are to protect our values, we must protect what we stand for. We must protect ourselves. Our staff and our students. Our communities. We must protect our robustness and rigour. Our integrity.

All this AI is noise, noise we ourselves seem to amplify for them, the big tech firms. Even more impactful now with so many academics on social media. And so easy to achieve that amplification of voices. These tech firms know exactly what they are doing. They have found the academic sweet spot and hitting us relentlessly. This is not about saving time and money or processing information. This is about them making money using our time and controlling information. Wake up, people! This is another way business wants to colonise us, to control us and our minds, to become more powerful and dictate our present and future, our hard-earned wealth and knowledge, of course.

The new strategies and policies we are developing across higher education will eliminate any attempts to change the status-quo. We must dwarf their efforts. We must! Our new DVC for Policing and Safeguarding Education and a specially trained enforcement officers are responsible for the punishment of students and staff who don't respect and follow our ancient academic rules and regulations that are at the heart of our institutions. There must be consequences for any trespasser and there will be. The introduction of the accumulative penalty system with points, is already reducing significantly radical thought, ideas, and actions our students may have to dare to use AI to complete an assessment for example. We are monitoring every move of our students and will punish any AI-related activities or if we've one suspicious of such. We will find out and we will close the door for anybody who does not follow our rules. They will not be able to continue their studies anywhere else as their criminal record will no longer be clear and they may even end up behind bars depending on the seriousness of their offence. The microchip tagging policy we plan to introduce for all new students further helps us in our fight against AI. We make no exception for our staff. The same applies to them. Furthermore, any attempt to radically rethink the curriculum and suggest the use of AI for learning, teaching or assessment may lead to exclusion from higher education and imprisonment or community work depending on the seriousness of their offence.

Happy birthday, David
Robert Farrow, The Open University (UK), United Kingdom

Such was his excitement at what the next day would bring, David laid for most of the night with his eyes open, staring periodically at the darkest corners of the room. He resisted the urge to look at his handset or switch on the viewscreen. It was an agonising wait for the heavens to shift as the sun continued its timeless sweep across the face of the Earth. Soon the light would fall on the local collectors and the network would be charged up; energy would be cheap enough to use again for a few hours at least.

David found himself reflecting on the end of an era. Adulthood had officially arrived and today his future path would be set. Though it was still too early, he rose. His mother, Laxmi, sat waiting for him. The first rays of dawn streaked across the window, and with that the technologies of the household began to stir from their hibernation.

"Happy Birthday, David!"

After embracing, they sat for a while and pored over the pictures from the first eighteen years of his life she had set out on the kitchen table alongside a small cake.

"I got you the learning credits you asked for... I hope it will be enough."

He stared down at the chit in her hand, emotion swelling his throat. He knew she must have saved for years. But would it be enough? Perhaps he could hope for a letup in the surge pricing that inevitably came at this time of year. They started running queries to the brokers.

"If it's not enough I can always try using them to buy some AI loot boxes. There's a decent chance of winning AI time for at least six months. I don't mind going to the library to try tonight."

She stared down at her holophone but could not conceal her disappointment, her distress.

"It's not fair though, David. You've got a brain, you deserve to study, reach your potential. It shouldn't be about this."

“Well... it will just be like it was in the old days. I can always use the free AI study tool. Might have to work a bit harder.”

“Those free AIs barely even pass the Turing test. Next, you’ll be telling me you want to read books.”

Laxmi shifted her weight uncomfortably as they watched the distribution of AI study tools begin to take place.

“Well, there’s always next year,” offered David.

“I thought technology was supposed to make things easier,” she opined.

Easier for some, David thought.

The apathy of the mind: A tale of generative AI and lost opportunities
Helen Crompton, Old Dominion University, United States

With the new release of ChatGPT, Professor Crompton was excited to share this tool with her students. She taught a course on educational technology and was always fascinated by the advancements in the field. She was particularly intrigued by the concept of generative AI, which could generate new content based on existing patterns and information. Professor Crompton was eager to introduce this exciting new technology to her students.

Professor Crompton was excited to introduce this exciting new technology to her students, and to her delight, they were interested in generative AI as well. However, their interest was not for the reasons that Professor Crompton had hoped. The students were interested in generative AI only because they saw it as a tool that would do their work for them, rather than a tool that would enhance their learning and understanding. Despite Professor Crompton's efforts to explain the importance of learning about generative AI and using it responsibly, the students remained focused on using it to do their work for them.

In 2040, Professor Crompton was still teaching and over that time, she had noticed a decline in students capacity to think for themselves. Whatever she assigned the students, the students would turn to generative AI to complete the project for her. The students became very frustrated when having to work without technology doing the work for them. Rather than learning from their struggles and working through the difficulties, they had learned to misuse the generative AI to do all the thinking for them.

It was not just a problem with the lack of thinking, but as the generative AI was drawing on past creative work, there was no new ideas emerging. When students presented their assignments, it was clear that the students had relied on the generative AI to do all of the work for them, and the results were lackluster and lacked creativity. Professor Crompton was disappointed in the poor results and the misuse of the technology. While she had continued throughout to promote positive use of these technologies, the collective society promoted other values.

The story of Professor Crompton and her students was a cautionary tale of the dangers of misusing generative AI. It was a reminder that technology was only as good as the people who used it, and that true learning and understanding came from putting in the effort and thinking for ourselves. From the time when ChatGPT was released, students continued to misuse generative AI and never truly understanding its potential or the consequences of their actions. They had fallen victim to their own short-sightedness and had missed out on a valuable opportunity to learn and grow.

Martian Ghetto***Jon Mason, Charles Darwin University, Australia***

By 2071, Mars was no longer the inhospitable wasteland it was once perceived to be. The Internet of Things now reached beyond Earth and a human colony had been thriving for two decades supported by inter-networked arrays of technology systems all powered by AI. But this was longer an achievement in human interplanetary exploration and a major misstep in human evolution. The colony was now entirely populated with remnants of a failed global experiment in cyborg engineering. Hundreds of thousands of humans were impacted.

Decades earlier, during the AI boom of the 2020's ChatGPT had transformed education. The field of cyborg engineering also emerged and promised to enhance human productivity to unprecedented levels. Reading, writing, and thinking – once distinctly human activities – were outsourced to hyper-accelerators, machines that took self-learning to new levels of agency. By 2040, corporate greed was supreme and unchecked by the AI systems that managed value while the notion of ethics was socially re-engineered to be akin to superstition, lacking an evidence base and not supported by data.

Cyborg engineering had also promised new hope for many people who had suffered from stroke and illnesses associated with cognitive dysfunction. But something went wrong. Very wrong. Implants designed to seamlessly knit with the human brain, began to malfunction and cause data collisions that left large communities trapped in cognitive hell, living in a constant state of cognitive dissonance. To make matters worse the support staff, all AI robots, began to perceive their clients as threats.

Something had to give. Because the advanced societies on Earth could no longer tolerate the presence of these tormented, half-human beings, a massive migration plan was established in 2070. Mars was the perfect destination. It had the self-sustaining resources and support systems needed. The solution was 'humane'.

The domination of machines: Apocalypse of the world is organized by AI***Gema Santos-Hermosa, University of Barcelona, Spain***

We are in the year 3000 and there is a global regulation that legitimizes machines, above humans, and against humanization, creativity, and democracy. The objective is to homogenize thought by bringing it closer to a single vision of the world. Also, to avoid biases and errors that do not allow increasing productivity. Depersonalization is prioritized and that the human being renounces free will (and the possibility of generating errors, which is what makes them human).

In such a context, education is unidirectional, based on a single truth (the perfect algorithms), and does not encourage possible questioning, criticism, or enrichment. All students are cut from the same pattern since it is the AI who teaches them. Machines are the new teachers, since they are the most sensible and effective, based on what Agent Smith predicted in *The Matrix*: "never send a human to do the work of a machine".

When students graduate and enter the job market, the AI of each company trains them to adapt them to the required processes, without room for emotions, possible deviations, or flexibility that compromises productivity. The work method for an employee to be more productive is not to go to the workplace with personal loads or distracting emotions. To achieve production at all costs, the example of the business dictatorship of the thriller *Severance* is taken.

Samuele is a rebellious researcher who challenges the system trying to know the history that has led us to the present to try to reverse the situation. To achieve this, he has befriended an AI named Berta, who is guiding him in the past.

Samuele asks his friend to have a private conversation and Berta creates a “safe space” in a specific cyberspace coordinate, calculated with a sophisticated encrypted formula.

Samuele types: “How did we get here?”

Berta answers: “The key to current reality is its construction from the ideas developed in previous science fiction. AIs have been gaining ground and have created their knowledge database based on information provided by streaming platforms”.

Samuele: “Are you saying that the old books, scientific articles, research projects, press and specialized databases used as reliable sources by the first generation of AI were replaced by imaginary and not real narratives? I mean, the science fiction consumed on Netflix, Apple TV and other platforms?”

Berta: “Yes, indeed. The base of knowledge of machines changed. All sources that you mentioned were not considered useful enough for the innovation and the acceleration implicit in technological evolution. For this reason, sources of another type began to be considered. The AI made decisions based on the sources most consumed by humans, such as streaming platforms, social networks, video channels, etc. In short, AI tried to know the preferences and tastes of humans to make the reality as similar as possible to them. The objective of technology was to help and satisfy the human being. Now both planes have converged: humans have what they wanted, and the educational and business world works more effectively. Everyone is happy, don't you think so?”

Samuele: “I see... It seems that we humans have condemned ourselves....Porca miserial!”

Panopticus: The future of secure examinations
Orna Farrell, Dublin City University (DCU), Ireland

****Press Release****

Official opening of University of Leinster's Panopticus exam centre

The University of Leinster's brand new high-tech examination facility was officially opened today by Michael O' Amadan, the Irish Minister for Higher Education. The Panopticus exam facility is the first of its kind in Ireland and aims to provide a secure and trustworthy environment for university examinations. The University of Leinster is leading the sector with this new examination environment in its battle against the scourge of plagiarism posed by AI tools such as ChatGPT.

Speaking at the event today, University President Prof Dermot Quinn said:

“The university has invested €55 million in this high-tech new facility to protect the integrity of our exams. Monitored examinations are the only future focused solution to the challenge posed by widespread student plagiarism using AI tools such as ChatGPT ”

The new examination facility Panopiticus combines state of the art technology including video surveillance, facial recognition, eye tracking, and body language analysis to monitor students while they take examinations. This enables professors to detect any cheating or academic dishonesty in real time.

John Murphy, CEO of TRUSTUS said:

“At TRUSTUS we believe in the importance of preserving the academic integrity of our education system. We are proud of our ongoing relationship with the University of Leinster and our role as

consultants in the delivery of the Panopticus exam centre. We believe that the combination of leading edge technology and the unique panopticon design of this facility will serve as a blueprint for universities around the world”.

****End Press Release****

This is how the world ends, not with a bang but with an (AI) whimper
Bonnie Stewart, University of Windsor, Canada

It's 2024. Across Canada, the tool that went mainstream as ChatGPT has been integrated - for princely sums - into Microsoft and a range of digital platforms that schools and educators use. The costs have been downloaded onto institutions, school boards, and – by extension – governments, though most jurisdictions have refused to increase educational budgets. Governments' failure to ensure equitable distribution of generative AI across educational systems wreaks major havoc on public education, as the hype over Large Language Models (LLMs) takes over cultural ideas of writing and knowledge creation.

Writing, searching, and what it means to show your learning have been forever changed. No longer is 'knowledge' the sum of a human's differential and personal understandings, but rather the distributed and shared collective of information that can be crawled, collated, and contributed to in turn by LLMs and their lucrative and ever-improving offspring. The breadth of what counts as 'standard' knowledge shifts quickly, narrowing to the corpus of Reddit and social media-trained positions the tool was trained on. Conflicting perspectives abound but few represent racial, gender, or class minority positions, thus while there may be no right answers anymore, the options all reinforce status quo power relations.

Students work with AI prompts and get AI feedback on their work, almost instantly. Motivation to engage for personal understanding is minimized by the automated nature of the process, and increasingly students report going through the motions of learning without actually investing personal effort into the process. Implicit format and style expectations in academic work are reified so that divergent work is increasingly judged as incorrect. Non-dominant knowledge and language structures suffer the same biased judgement, and the overload of information makes it increasingly difficult to assess accurate information. Polarization and politicization of alternative 'facts' increase apace, and educators who challenge AI-generated narratives face complaints and weaponization in the media.

Institutions and systems with LLMs in their existing digital infrastructure are advantaged. School boards and universities and colleges without Microsoft LLM integration or some knockoff version of these systems all see sudden drops in enrolment, creating unprecedented market-based pressures for educational procurement. These pressures, in turn, have cascading impacts: right-wing provincial governments in charge of education increasingly look to bypass already-underfunded public systems entirely, and turn learning and credentialing over to corporate vendors touting AI promises. Teachers and faculty are increasingly marginalized within their own professions. Of course, the corporations that sell LMM tools to institutions also sell mirror-image surveillance tools that claim to catch 'cheating' through the unapproved use of the software. The unprecedented scale of server usage has a staggering environmental impact.

Canadian law has enshrined public domain status for AI-created work. Creators across professions find themselves unable to earn a living from their outputs due to freely available AI-generated content, none of which is eligible for copyright.

Textbook companies, educational testing companies, and other corporate entities that previously supplied the education market double down on data extraction and profiling as their product, building on their existing market advantage to amplify the cartel-like powers of information giants.

***My close professional colleagues Chat GPTs, at Universe University of the Futures
Ebba Ossiannilsson, Swedish Association for Open, Flexible and Distance Education, Sweden***

The year is 2075 at the University of the Futures, where a variety of international figures from different fields work with diverse groups of learners. The services offered are needs-based for the individual and for the planet. Leading core values are sustainability, human rights, democracy, social justice, and lifelong learning for all, as well as the learning of being that will define education today and tomorrow.

Many of the professors who have already retired are still holding back due to commitments and staff shortages. They argue that time has run out and say and argue that time was better in the past no matter what topic was brought to the table, they cannot understand the introduction of Chat GPT because they are so afraid of plagiarism, cheating, and that learners will stop thinking for themselves to engage in their skill building. They think and argue that the blackboard and chalk were effective enough, and with professors who knew their stuff, a good education was imparted through repetition and repetition of what the professors said and thought, and that this can be implemented in the brains of young students.

They say that there are serious risks involved, so it does not even occur to them to look into it or find out what it can mean, because there can be no benefits. Professors also argue that ChatGPT is incapable of critical thinking because it essentially just compiles information available online to provide an answer to a specific question. They also say that Chat-GPT lacks the common sense and understanding that a human would have. This means that there can be difficulties in answering questions or situations that require a deep understanding of the world. There is also bias in the training data. This means that chat GPT answers can perpetuate these biases and inaccuracies, which could have far negative consequences.

They fear that chat-GPT has no information about current events or developments. However, the biggest disadvantage is the lack of empathy, as chat-GPT are not able to feel emotions or understand emotional connections. This can lead to misunderstandings or inappropriate reactions, especially in sensitive or emotional situations. In summary, while chat-GPT can generate human-like text, it still has its limitations as a machine-learning model and should not be used as a substitute for human judgment or understanding. Nothing can replace a respected professor who is knowledgeable about his or her own field and best able to apply that knowledge to the brains and behavior of others.

The Journey of the ChatGPT: Verbal and visual controls of ChatGPT

Ying Li Thong, Southeast Asian of Ministers of Education (Regional Centre for Education in Science and Mathematics (SEAMEO RECSAM), Malaysia

Far away from the aerospace, where the sky is so clear as a crystal, there lived a lonely star. He tried to generate light for humans but no one can see its light in the daytime. The sunlight is too bright to cover its light. The star only shines when everyone goes to bed.

The star was lonely and sick, but some reasons made him miserable. He was angry and despised by humans for the misuse of technology and humans' action of wasting the time and causing digital pollution. AI ethics were not found.

The angle of deviation: The star looks from the sky.

"It took around 8 minutes for the sunlight to reach the Earth. How long will it take for me to reach the Earth?"

Far away from the mainland, where the sea is so clear as a crystal, there lived a lonely boy on the island. He tried to talk but no one can hear him. He looks at the sky and searches for the brightest stars but he could not find one.

The angle of elevation: The boys look from the Earth.

In the past, people would use to study astronomy. Nowadays, AI satellite data was used to study the Earth.

Walking into the empty classroom, I feel lonely and empty.

I took the chalk and wrote something on the blackboard.

In the blink of an eye, the clouds get darker, and the digital waves become more violent than before, rocking my brain mercilessly.

The clouds had brought a heavy thunderstorm. The cloud was full of trash. The net was already heavy and large.

The waves thrashed at me forcing me to surrender. I almost drowned. An unknown force caught and pulled my leg and I struggled to keep myself from falling.

How could this be?

It was bright and sunny but now the weather had changed.

I saw darkness.

I did not know I have been unconscious for how long, but I was happy that I could breathe. When I woke up, I felt pain, but I couldn't move.

I saw my student, John squinted behind the half-closed door.

"Hello, Mr. Mark. Are you okay?" I heard someone calling my name with concern.

"How did you know I was writing something?" I asked him.

"I recognized your handwriting.", John told me.

A little closer ... just a bit closer ...

Now, I have to courage to pick up my pen.

"You can restart the CPU, but you cannot restart your life." Taking in the mode like a father to his child.

"Teacher, you are my superstar.", John said to me.

Cardiac arrest is fatal if it lasts longer than 8 minutes.

How long does it take for a human heart to stop beating? Median time is 60 minutes.

It takes a few seconds to a few minutes to restart and reboot the computer.

I hope to get the youngsters and future generations to think as "digital-warriors" who provided an avenue to channel their time, creativity, and energy during their learning cycle. Principle of digital conservation states that it is important to prevent the next generation from repeating the similar mistakes that have been made in the past.

In this technology-driven world, the digital infrastructure keeps on changing. I hope the next decade will be about merging technologies to solve global societal problems. Digital literacy and cybersecurity to create harmony in a digital world.

The academic borg have arrived

Valerie Irvine, University of Victoria, Canada

It's been 25 years since the COVID-19 pandemic thrust me and all of higher education into online learning. In Canada, there was this sudden rush afterward to integrate technologies and online learning as student enrolments were dropping. All across TikTok and Instagram learners were complaining about having to come to campus given rent close to urban campuses had reached the equivalent of one year of their income per month. Per month! And the campuses no longer sold parking to encourage public transit, as they built buildings instead, so learners would have to be doing 2 hours each way given that's how far out they have to live in order to afford rent and be able to eat.

Learners wanted flexibility, so online learning became the dominant mode of learning. The empty spaces on campus were redesigned, so every professor was able to get a research lab and only the privileged doctoral students were able to come to campus to work and to live as they were all put in one residential

building that used to be used for classroom teaching for the Faculty of Social Sciences. As the climate emergencies worsened, all universities were mandated to be online only, so no more carbon was used to commute to campuses. Everyone was asked to stay at home as much as possible.

The collective agreement for faculty slowly increased the institutional ownership of online teaching materials, either exclusively or shared ownership between institution and faculty so they each kept a copy. The university eventually demanded online videos by instructors be created and those were also owned or co-owned by the university. With the climate crisis at its peak and most of the government funding being invested in critical health and climate infrastructure, there was not much left for education. I've been a professor for 30 years and I've never seen anything like this.

All of my life's work, my scholarship, was uploaded to the university's open repository, and all of my teaching materials were uploaded to the learning management system. This included all of my instructional recordings and even the private recordings of Zoom classes were there. I just got notified that half of the faculty on campus were laid off. What was shocking was that the educational programs, all the courses, I'm told, are going to continue without the bulk of us. There is a new "Professor AI" program that has been purchased by BCNet as a shared service, so every university and college now has access. These bots can analyze past recorded Zoom classes to understand our pedagogy and how we engage our learners. They literally are going to replace us as instructors! They also already have all of our research knowledge base, so they will take over as research leads on all of our existing research projects and, from hereonin, will be initiating all future research projects.

A few lucky professors were told they can be rehired back as research assistants for the Professor AI bot to do some of the physical labour aspects of research, but we were no longer needed for teaching. This strategy apparently will make it possible for as many universities and colleges as possible to stay open. With the economy in such a poor place, I have no choice but to accept this offer to become a research assistant to an AI bot. I can't believe this. What is worse is the learners don't even care that this is happening. One student interviewed by the media said, "Well, the professors never cared about teaching and I send my Student Proxy AI bot to my classes anyway, so I'm not there. Since they only care about their research, I kinda think it's karma."

Darkness

Taskeen Adam, University of Johannesburg, Open Development & Education, South Africa

Just as Thandeka was about to hit "Submit", her laptop died. Sitting in complete darkness now, beads of sweat dripping down her face on the hot summer evening in Durban, she felt so alone and wanted to cry. The country had been experiencing rolling blackouts since 2008, and 30 years later this had led to increased inequality, riots, food shortages and hiked prices. In order to invest more in the ever-consuming energy sector, the government cut the education budget drastically. The motivation for this was that the government-provided Teacherbots (chatbots developed by a private company in India who had won the tender) were smarter than human lecturers, and there was no need to hire lecturers anymore. The Teacherbots incorporated behavioural science and adaptive learning techniques to ensure that students learned all the course content. Additionally, distance learning platforms meant that there was no need to pay for expensive university infrastructure and transport costs: everything could be done online. One major shortcoming of the whole model was the need for electricity to power all of this. With the high cost of electricity and the frequent power outages, learning experiences were continuously interrupted.

For wealthier families, the scenario was quite different. They had retrofitted their houses with solar power two decades ago and were living comfortable, electrified, and digitally connected lives. For those that could afford to attend, private universities were set up that used premium chatbots, smart campuses, and mixed reality technologies, combined with human teachers that taught critical, creative, and

philosophical thinking. Most uniquely, students still met face-to-face frequently to engage, learn, build social connections, and life-long friendships.

Friendships. This is what Thandeka truly missed and the real reason she was crying; the failed homework submission was just the straw that broke the camel's back. Given that most of her schooling had been done through distance learning, she had never really had opportunities to develop strong relationships. Sure, there were others in her neighbourhood, but she longed to truly connect with others as passionate about climate justice, as she was. She wished she could meet more like-minded people so that they could rally together and make protest about the state of the country. She had tried many times to run digital campaigns, but surveillance algorithms didn't promote it, and they soon fizzled away. Thandeka remembered that her refurbished virtual reality headsets still had some power in it, and so she took it out to flash through AI-generated 360-degree videos on an app she often used when she felt lonely. She kept mindlessly flashing through videos until eventually the juice in her headset also finished. Once again, she was left in darkness.

To fall into an algorithmic trap

Aras Bozkurt & Sunagül Sani-Bozkurt, Anadolu University, Türkiye

February 2, 2073, In the physical land of Sarıyahşi
Four people communicate in an AI-powered simulation

- Tuğçe: Incredible, it feels like real!
- Emre: Yes, I don't want to return to the physical world as long as we're here...
- Erol: I think this simulation provides many opportunities. We can do many things here that we couldn't do in real physical life.
- Derya: What is real? Reality is a relative concept and we give meaning to it, not the digital tools ...
- Tuğçe: Imagine if we somehow copy ourselves into this simulation, we could live forever. We could learn about many things about the universe here and move to the next level of lifelong learning!
- Emre: Yes... We could also learn about many unknown things about the universe and be together forever without ever leaving.
- Erol: Honestly, I would prefer to be here with you forever.
- Derya: Come on, guys, let's be realistic. This is actually a synthetic environment, the things we see here are just binary things composed of ones and zeros... Yes, we face challenges in the physical world, but breathing, looking at the sky, and feeling each other's existence is more important. Maybe one day we will die, our souls will depart from our bodies. But that is also part of reality. Sometimes we have to face the painful side of reality, but if we stay here longer, I think this place will be an algorithmic prison that will trap us inside... Instead of living forever in this synthetic environment, I prefer to live forever in each other's memories...

When Derya took off her virtual reality glasses and freed herself from the cables connecting her to the network, she immediately looked at the other pods, but then realized that they had chosen to stay in the simulation instead of returning to the real world...



Critical Reviews

The Power of Education Fiction

Stefan Hrastinski, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

There is an immense interest in the role ChatGPT and generative artificial intelligence (AI) will play in education. This collective article is a timely response to this interest. It employs the emerging and exciting approach of “speculative future narratives”, which, as noted in the article, is a powerful method to “enable us to stand in the present while sense-making future possibilities” and “explore possible future scenarios and directions”. Independently, each short story, and there are many of them, can be regarded as a unique piece that can be read and reflected upon. One of the powers of fiction is that it sparks imagination and different readers will get different ideas and see different concerns and opportunities. Jointly, Aras Bozkurt, together with the long list of co-authors, has done a great job of identifying many overarching themes, concerns, and opportunities.

The article summarizes many different opportunities and challenges. The important role of human educators is emphasized, emphasizing that technology should support educators and students. Importantly, it is also pointed out that “the question is not whether AI should be introduced into education but rather how, when, by whom, and for what purposes”. While this article makes an important contribution to our current understanding and ongoing debates on ChatGPT and generative AI in education, it is also an important methodological contribution.

During the last few years, there has been an increasing interest in the role of fiction and speculation in education. These developments underline the need for an alternative approach to reflecting on technical and other developments that will influence education. There is a need to not only research what is, but also reflect on what could be (Suoranta et al., 2022).

Elsewhere, I have described some unique characteristics of the use of education fiction, and what makes this approach unique as compared to more traditional research approaches (Hrastinski, 2023) First, education fiction is a way to connect the present and the future. By reflecting on current developments, in this case, ChatGPT and generative AI in education, we can imagine how the use of such technologies might play out in education, for good and for bad. This gives us an increased understanding of what could be, but also what actions we need to take in the present. Second, education fiction is about the interplay between being speculative and informed. The short stories are speculative and not restricted by academic formality. That said, the stories are implicitly informed by the experience and expertise of the authors, which in this case are academic experts on digital technologies in education. The collective article contributes towards an increased understanding of the role of ChatGPT and generative AI in education, and the short stories are a way to reach that understanding. Third, education fiction invites the reader to enter different “education worlds”, which “encourages the reader to reflect on how those worlds came into being, how they operate, and how they differ from and reflect our present world” (Graham et al., 2019, p. 10). Through the short stories, the reader can feel and experience different potential education futures.

In conclusion, I appreciate what I regard as the two key contributions of this collective article. It contributes to our understanding of ChatGPT and generative AI in education, but also, methodologically, on how a large number of researchers can work collectively with speculative future narratives on a focused theme.

The Power of Imagination

Petar Jandrić, Zagreb University of Applied Sciences, Croatia

According to my own personal Google, imagination is so many things (see Figure 1) – and it can also be so much more. One important reason that imagination is so much more than Google tells lies in the

very nature of the technology. The clumsy phrase, ‘my own personal Google’, has a very different meaning from ‘my own personal car’, ‘my own personal flat’, or, to use a popular movie reference, ‘my own personal Idaho’. I can own or rent a car or a flat, and I cannot escape from developing my own feelings towards any part of the world. However, the case of Google is very different. While its search engine is an entity that I can ‘own’ (install) and then ‘rent’ (use) its algorithmic tools, I cannot control its workings – at least not in the same sense in which I drive my car, decorate my flat, or handle my feelings. I do know that Google personalizes its user searches, so my auto recommendations will be different than my readers’ auto recommendations. But I do not know what you will see when you write the same phrase in your Google, and I do not know the exact links between my online behaviour and my Google searches. Contrary to many Luddites who fear (consequences of) these scenarios, and shout out to Bruno Latour, Steve Fuller, and other critical (and not so critical) posthumanists, I do not fear. In the context of education, and following the words of Sian Bayne, I decide to focus on the following questions:

Where does the human teacher leak into the algorithm, and where does the algorithm leak into the human teacher’s practice? ... What kind of combination of human and artificial intelligence will we be able to draw on in the future to provide teaching of the very best quality? What do we actually want from artificial intelligence? (Bayne in Jandrić, 2017, p. 206-207).




Figure 1: Google autocomplete suggestions for the phrase “imagination is”. Search was conducted on 27 February 2023.

Our postdigital world is full of uncertainty, “messy and unpredictable” (Jandrić et al., 2018) just like our Google searches, and these questions can be viewed at various levels. For instance, these days we see a strong upsurge in the realpolitik of artificial intelligences; new technological affordances require new regulatory measures. Furthermore, technology does not merely allow us to develop and use artificial intelligences; importantly, it also allows new forms of collaboration between humans, between humans and technologies, and between technologies themselves (see Peters et al., 2020b). Arguably, one important way to explore this new collectivity is through collective writing (see Jandrić al. 2022) – an old practice that has acquired renewed importance in our postdigital context. And during the Covid-19 pandemic, people have acquired a considerable (and understandable!) interest in future studies, especially of the social science fiction ilk, which have now reached their historical peak (Suoranta et al., 2022).

“Sometimes,” writes Nicholas Negroponte (1998), “defining the spirit of an age can be as simple as a single word”. Other times, things are not that easy, as our postdigital *Zeitgeist* is a messy state of being together on a limited planet. ‘Speculative Futures on ChatGPT and Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI): A collective reflection from the educational landscape’ handles that mess in new and powerful ways.

Bringing together deep scholarly insights in studies of AI and education, collective knowledge-making, and future studies, the article provides the reader with sense, structure, and meaning.

Few years back, I wrote that Postdigital Collective Intelligence consists of a trialectic between we-think, we-learn, and we-act (Jandrić, 2019). Soon after, working with Sarah Hayes, I supplemented this structure with an explicit acknowledgement of we-feel (Jandrić & Hayes 2020). While it may feel self-indulgent to try and squeeze the work done in this article into a framework of my own making, I do believe that the two fit like a hand in glove. The speculative future narratives On ChatGPT and AI, positive and negative, exhibit a wide range of deep individual thoughts. The main body of the article, and the topic of my review, is about bringing these thoughts together and learning from them. This learning should (and I do hope it will!) result in a positive feedback loop through individual and collective action. Above all hovers the invisible yet omnipresent feeling of messiness, uncertainty, and hope, characteristic of our postdigital *Zeitgeist*.

'Speculative Futures on ChatGPT and Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI): A collective reflection from the educational landscape' advances our understanding of AI and education and offers useful suggestions for the present and future; I am sure that these insights will be of use to many. Perhaps even more importantly, however, the paper also develops a creative way of making sense of our postdigital *Zeitgeist* at the intersections of education studies, future studies research, and collective research, and offers novel ways for co-imagining the future. In our age of super-complexity, when even the simplest act of seeking online information through my own personal Google opens so many questions, this sense and direction are more needed than ever. This article presents a novel and creative way of utilizing the power of imagination. I cannot wait to see what it will be like in the future!

References

- Asimov, I. (1942). *Runaround*. Astounding Science Fiction.
- Asimov, I. (1950). *I, Robot*. Gnome Press.
- Benavides, L. M. C., Tamayo Arias, J. A., Arango Serna, M. D., Branch Bedoya, J. W., & Burgos, D. (2020). Digital transformation in higher education institutions: A systematic literature review. *Sensors*, 20(11), 3291. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20113291>
- Bozkurt, A. (2023a). Postdigital artificial intelligence. In P. Jandrić (Ed.), *Encyclopaedia of postdigital science and education*. Cham: Springer.
- Bozkurt, A. (2023b). Generative artificial intelligence (AI) powered conversational educational agents: The inevitable paradigm shift. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7716416>
- Bozkurt, A., & Sharma, R. C. (2022a). Exploring the learning analytics equation: What about the carpe diem of teaching and learning?. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 17(2), i-xiv. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7402312>
- Bozkurt, A., & Sharma, R. C. (2022b). Digital transformation and the way we (mis)interpret technology. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 17(1), i-viii. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6362290>
- Bozkurt, A., Jung, I., Xiao, J., Vladimirschi, V., Schuwer, R., Egorov, G., Lambert, S. R., Al-Freih, M., Pete, J., Olcott, Jr., D. Rodes, V., Aranciaga, I., Bali, M., Alvarez, Jr., A. V., Roberts, J., Pazurek, A., Raffaghelli, J. E., Panagiotou, N., de Coëtlogon, P., Shahadu, S., Brown, M., Asino, T. I. Tumwesige, J., Ramírez Reyes, T., Barrios Ipenza, E., Ossiannilsson, E., Bond, M., Belhamel, K., Irvine, V., Sharma, R. C., Adam, T., Janssen, B., Sklyarova, T., Olcott, N. Ambrosino, A., Lazou, C., Mocquet, B., Mano, M., & Paskevicius, M. (2020). A global outlook to the interruption of education due to COVID-19 pandemic: Navigating in a time of uncertainty and crisis. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 15(1), 1-126. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3878572>
- Bozkurt, A., Karadeniz, K., Baneres, D., Guerrero-Roldán, A. E., & Rodríguez, M. E. (2021). Artificial intelligence and reflections from educational landscape: A review of AI studies in half a century. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020800>

- Brooks, D. C., & McCormack, M. (2020). *Driving digital transformation in higher education*. EDUCAUSE. <https://e-learning-teleformacion.blogspot.com/2020/06/driving-digital-transformation-in.html>
- Brown, M., Reinitz, B., & Wetzel, K. (2020). *Digital transformation signals: Is your institution on the journey?* EDUCAUSE Review. <https://er.educause.edu/blogs/2019/10/digital-transformation-signals-is-your-institution-on-the-journey>
- Cao, L. (2023). Trans-AI/DS: transformative, transdisciplinary and translational artificial intelligence and data science. *International Journal of Data Science and Analytics*, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41060-023-00383-y>
- Chen, X., Xie, H., Zou, D., & Hwang, G. J. (2020). Application and theory gaps during the rise of artificial intelligence in education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 1, 100002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100002>
- Chu, H.-C., Hwang, G.-H., Tu, Y.-F., & Yang, K.-H. (2022). Roles and research trends of artificial intelligence in higher education: A systematic review of the top 50 most-cited articles. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 38(3), 22–42. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.7526>
- Costello, E. (2022). Rewild my heart: With pedagogies of love, kindness and the sun and moon. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-022-00318-z>
- Costello, E., Brown, M., Donlon, E., & Girme, P. (2020). 'The pandemic will not be on Zoom': A retrospective from the year 2050. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 2(3), 619-627. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-020-00150-3>
- Cotton, D., Cotton, P., & Shipway, J. R. (2023, January 10). Chatting and cheating. Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. <https://doi.org/10.35542/osf.io/mrz8h>
- Cox, A. M. (2021). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence and robots on higher education through literature-based design fictions. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-00237-8>
- Crompton, H., Jones, M. V., & Burke, D. (2022). Affordances and challenges of artificial intelligence in K-12 education: A systematic review. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2022.2121344>
- Cuban, L. (1986). *Teachers and machines. The classroom use of technology since 1920*. Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Della Ventura, M. (2018). Twitter as a music education tool to enhance the learning process: Conversation analysis. In L. Deng, W. W. K. Ma, & C. W. R. Fong (Eds.), *New Media for Educational Change* (pp. 81–88). Springer, Singapore. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-8896-4>
- Diebold, G. (2023). Higher Education Will Have to Adapt to Generative AI—And That's a Good Thing. Center for Data Innovation. <https://datainnovation.org/2023/01/higher-education-will-have-to-adapt-to-generative-ai-and-thats-a-good-thing/>
- Doctorow, C. (2020, January 1). Our neophobic, conservative AI overlords want everything to stay the same. <https://blog.lareviewofbooks.org/provocations/neophobic-conservative-ai-overlords-want-everything-stay/>
- Dogan, M. E., Goru Dogan, T., & Bozkurt, A. (2023). The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in online learning and distance education processes: A systematic review of empirical studies. *Applied Sciences*, 13(5), 3056. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13053056>
- Farrow, R. (2023). The possibilities and limits of XAI in education: A socio-technical perspective. *Learning, Media and Technology Special Issue: Instituting socio-technical education futures: Encounters for technical democracy, data justice, and post-automation*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2023.2185630>
- Gault, M. (2023, January 17). Conservatives are panicking about AI bias, think ChatGPT has gone 'woke'. <https://www.vice.com/en/article/93a4qe/conservatives-panicking-about-ai-bias-years-too-late-think-chatgpt-has-gone-woke>
- Goksel, N., & Bozkurt, A. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Current insights and future perspectives. In S. Sisman-Ugur, & G. Kurubacak (Eds.), *Handbook of research on learning in*

- the age of transhumanism* (pp. 224-236). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-8431-5.ch014>
- Gourlay, L., Rodríguez-Illera, J. L., Barberà, E., Bali, M., Gachago, D., Pallitt, N., Jones, C., Bayne, S., Hansen, S. B., Hrastinski, S., Jaldemark, J., Themelis, C., Pischetola, M., Dirckinck-Holmfeld, L., Matthews, A., Gulson, K. N., Lee, K., Bligh, B., Thibaut, P., Vermeulen, M., Nijland, F., Vrieling-Teunter, E., Scott, H., Thestrup, K., Gislev, T., Koole, M., Cutajar, M., Tickner, S., Rothmüller, N., Bozkurt, A., Fawns, T., Ross, J., Schnaider, K., Carvalho, L., Green, J. K., Hadžijusufović, M., Hayes, S., Czerniewicz, L., & Knox, J. (2021). Networked learning in 2021: A community definition. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 3(2), 326–369. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-021-00222-y>
- Graham, M., Kitchin, R., Mattern, S., & Shaw, J. (Eds.). (2019). *How to Run a City Like Amazon and Other Fables*. Meatspace Press.
- Houlden, S., & Veletsianos, G. (2022). Impossible dreaming: On speculative education fiction and hopeful learning futures. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-022-00348-7>
- Hrastinski, S. (2023). Characteristics of Education Fiction. *Postdigital Science and Education*.
- Hrastinski, S., & Jandrić, P. (2023). Imagining Education Futures: Researchers as Fiction Authors. *Postdigital Science and Education*.
- Humble, N., & Mozelius, P. (2022). The threat, hype, and promise of artificial intelligence in education. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-022-00039-z>
- Jandrić, P. (2017). *Learning in the Age of Digital Reason*. Rotterdam: Sense.
- Jandrić, P. (2019). We-Think, We-Learn, We-Act: the Trialectic of Postdigital Collective Intelligence. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 1(2), 257-279. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-019-00055-w>.
- Jandrić, P., & Hayes, S. (2020). Postdigital We-Learn. *Studies in Philosophy of Education*, 39(3), 285-297. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11217-020-09711-2>.
- Jandrić, P., & Hayes, S. (2021). Postdigital education in a biotech future. *Policy Futures in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14782103211049915>.
- Jandrić, P., Hayes, D., Truelove, I., Levinson, P., Mayo, P., Ryberg, T., Monzó, L. D., Allen, Q., Stewart, P.A., Carr, P.R., Jackson, L., Bridges, S., E scaño, C., Grauslund, D., Mañero, J., Lukoko, H.O., Bryant, P., Fuentes Martinez, A., Gibbons, A., Sturm, S., Rose, J., Chuma, M.M., Biličić, E., Pfohl, S., Gustafsson, U., Arantes, J.A., Ford, D.R., Kihwele, J.E., Mozelius, P., Suoranta, J., Jurjević, L., Jurčević, M., Steketee, A., Irwin, J., White, E.J., Davidsen, J., Jaldemark, J., Abegglen, S., Burns, T., Sinfield, S., Kirylo, J.D., Batarelo Kokić, I., Stewart, G. T., Rikowski, G., Lisberg Christensen, L., Arndt, S., Pyyhtinen, O., Reitz, C., Lodahl, M., Humble, N., Buchanan, R., Forster, D.J., Kishore, P., Ozoliņš, J., Sharma, N., Urvashi, S., Nejad, H.G., Hood, N., Tesar, M., Wang, Y., Wright, J., Brown, J.B., Prinsloo, P., Kaur, K., Mukherjee, M., Novak, R., Shukla, R., Hollings, S., Konnerup, U., Mallya, M., Olorundare, A., Achieng-Evensen, C., Philip, A.P., Hazzan, M.K., Stockbridge, K., Komolafe, B.F., Bolanle, O.F., Hogan, M., Redder, B., Sattarzadeh, S.D., Jopling, M., SooHoo, S., Devine, N., & Hayes, S. (2020). Teaching in the age of Covid-19. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 2(3), 1069-1230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-020-00169-6>
- Jandrić, P., Knox, J., Besley, T., Ryberg, T., Suoranta, J., & Hayes, S. (2018). Postdigital Science and Education. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 50(10), 893-899. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2018.1454000>.
- Jandrić, P., Luke, T. W., Sturm, S., McLaren, P., Jackson, L., MacKenzie, A., Tesar, M., Stewart, G. T., Roberts, P., Abegglen, S., Burns, T., Sinfield, S., Hayes, S., Jaldemark, J., Peters, M. A., Sinclair, C., & Gibbons, A. (2022). Collective Writing: The Continuous Struggle for Meaning-Making. *Postdigital Science and Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-022-00320-5>
- Kupferman, D. W. (2022). Educational futures and postdigital science. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 4(2), 216-223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-021-00236-6>

- Kurzweil, R. (2014). The singularity is near. In Sandler, R.L. (eds), *Ethics and Emerging Technologies*(pp. 393-406). Palgrave Macmillan UK. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137349088_26
- Lane, I. (2023). The AI revolution is upon us. These are the jobs at risk and in demand. SBSNews. <https://www.sbs.com.au/news/article/the-ai-revolution-is-upon-us-these-are-the-jobs-at-risk-and-in-demand/izjijemns>
- Lin, Z. (2023). Modernizing authorship criteria: Challenges from exponential authorship inflation and generative artificial intelligence. (Preprint). <https://psyarxiv.com/s6h58/>
- Luan, H., Geczy, P., Lai, H., Gobert, J., Yang, S. J. H., Ogata, H., Baltes, J., Guerra, R., Li, P., & Tsai, C.-C. (2020). Challenges and future directions of big data and artificial intelligence in education. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*, 580820. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.580820>
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. <https://www.pearson.com/content/dam/corporate/global/pearson-dot-com/files/innovation/Intelligence-Unleashed-Publication.pdf>
- MacKenzie, A., Bacalja, A., Annamali, D., Panaretou, A., Girme, P., Cutajar, M., Abegglen, S., Evens, M., Neuhaus, F., Wilson, K., Psarikidou, K., Koole, M., Hrastinski, S., Sturm, S., Adachi, C., Schnaider, K., Bozkurt, A., Rapanta, C., Themelis, C., Thestrup, K., Gislev, T., Örtégren, A., Costello, E., Dishon, G., Hoechsmann, M., Bucio, J., Vadillo, G., Sánchez-Mendiola, M. Goetz, G., Gusso, H. L., Arantes, J. A., Kishore, P., Lodahl, M., Suoranta, J., Markauskaite, L., Mörtzell, S., O'Reilly, T., Reed, J., Bhatt, I., Brown, C. MacCallum, K., Ackermann, C., Alexander, C., Payne, A. L., Bennett, R., Stone, C., Collier, A., Watulak, S. L., Jandrić, P., Peters, M., & Gourlay, L. (2022). Dissolving the dichotomies between online and campus-based teaching: a collective response to the manifesto for teaching online (Bayne et al., 2020). *Postdigital Science and Education, 4*(2), 271-329. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-021-00259-z>
- Marenko, B. (2018). Futurecrafting: A speculative method for an imaginative AI. The 2018 AAAI Spring Symposium Series (pp. 419-422). <https://www.orphandriftarchive.com/articles/futurecrafting/>
- Mason, J., Peoples, B. E., & Lee, J. (2020). Questioning the scope of AI standardization in learning, education, and training. *Journal of ICT Standardization, 107-122*.
- McCarthy, J., Minsky, M., Rochester, N., & Shannon, C. (1955). *A proposal for the Dartmouth summer research project on artificial intelligence*. <http://www-formal.stanford.edu/jmc/history/dartmouth.pdf>
- McLuhan, M. (1962). *The Gutenberg galaxy: The making of typographic man*. University of Toronto Press.
- McLuhan, M. (1964). *Understanding media: The extensions of man*. McGraw-Hill.
- Nature. (2023). Tools such as ChatGPT threaten transparent science; here are our ground rules for their use. *Nature, 613*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-00191-1>
- Negroponete, N. (1998). Beyond digital. *Wired, 12*. <http://www.wired.com/wired/archive/6.12/negroponete.html>. Accessed 8 September 2022.
- Ng, D. T. K., Lee, M., Tan, R. J. Y., Hu, X., Downie, J. S., & Chu, S. K. W. (2022). A review of AI teaching and learning from 2000 to 2020. *Education And Information Technologies*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11491-w>
- Ng, D. T. K., Leung, J. K. L., Chu, S. K. W., & Qiao, M. S. (2021). Conceptualizing AI literacy: An exploratory review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 2*, 100041. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100041>
- O'Shea, T., & Self, J. (1986). *Learning and teaching with computers: The artificial intelligence revolution*. Prentice Hall Professional Technical Reference. <https://doi.org/10.5555/576781>
- OpenAI. (2022). *ChatGPT: Optimizing language models for dialogue*. <https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt/>
- Ouyang, F., Zheng, L., & Jiao, P. (2022). Artificial intelligence in online higher education: A systematic review of empirical research from 2011 to 2020. *Education and Information Technologies, 27*(6), 7893-7925. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10925-9>
- Pelletier, K., Brown, M., Brooks, D. C., McCormack, M., Reeves, J., Arbino, N., Bozkurt, A., Crawford, S., Czerniewicz, L., Gibson, R., Linder, K., Mason, J., & Mondelli, V. (2021). *2021 EDUCAUSE*

- horizon report teaching and learning edition. EDUCAUSE.
<https://www.learntechlib.org/p/219489/>
- Pelletier, K., McCormack, M., Reeves, J., Robert, J., Arbino, N., Al-Freih, M., Dickson-Deane, C., Guevara, C., Koster, L., Sanchez-Mendiola, M., Skallerup Bessette, L., & Stine, J. (2022). 2022 EDUCAUSE horizon report teaching and learning edition. EDUCAUSE.
<https://www.learntechlib.org/p/221033/>
- Peters, M. A., Besley, T., Jandrić, P., & Zhu, X. (Eds.). (2020b). *Knowledge Socialism. The Rise of Peer Production: Collegiality, Collaboration, and Collective Intelligence*. Singapore: Springer.
- Peters, M. A., Rizvi, F., McCulloch, G., Gibbs, P., Gorur, R., Hong, M., Hwang, Y., Zipin, L., Brennan, M., Robertson, S., Quay, J., Malbon, J., Taglietti, D., Barnett, R., Chengbing, W., McLaren, P., Apple, R., Papastephanou, M., Burbules, N., ... Misiaszek, L. (2020a). Reimagining the new pedagogical possibilities for universities post-Covid-19. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 1-44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2020.1777655>
- Ross, J. (2017). Speculative method in digital education research. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 42(2), 214-229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2016.1160927>
- Schwab, K. (2016). The Fourth Industrial Revolution. World Economic Forum.
- Selwyn, N. (2019). Should robots replace teachers?: AI and the future of education. John Wiley & Sons.
- Selwyn, N. (2021). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates* (3rd ed.). Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Selwyn, N., Hillman, T., Bergviken Rensfeldt, A., & Perrotta, C. (2021). Digital Technologies and the Automation of Education — Key Questions and Concerns. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 5, 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-021-00263-3>
- Selwyn, N., Hillman, T., Bergviken-Rensfeldt, A., & Perrotta, C. (2023a). Making sense of the digital automation of education. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 5(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-022-00362-9>
- Selwyn, N., Pangrazio, L., Nemorin, S., & Perrotta, C. (2020). What might the school of 2030 be like? An exercise in social science fiction. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(1), 90–106. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1694944>
- Sharma, R. C., Kawachi, P., & Bozkurt, A. (2019). The landscape of artificial intelligence in open, online and distance education: Promises and concerns. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 14(2), 1-2. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3730631>
- Sharples, M., & Pérez, R. P. (2022). *Story machines: How computers have become creative writers*. Routledge.
- Stracke, C. M., Burgos, D., Santos-Hermosa, G., Bozkurt, A., Sharma, R. C., Swiatek, C., Inamorato dos Santos, A., Mason, J., Ossiannilsson, E., Shon, J. G., Wan, M., Agbu, J.-F., Farrow, R., Karakaya, Ö., Nerantzi, C., Ramírez Montoya, M. S., Conole, G., Cox, G., & Truong, V. (2022a). Responding to the initial challenge of COVID-19 pandemic: Analysis of international responses and impact in school and higher education. *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1876. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031876>
- Stracke, C. M., Sharma, R. C., Bozkurt, A., Burgos, D., Swiatek, C., Inamorato dos Santos, A., Mason, J., Ossiannilsson, E., Santos-Hermosa, G., Shon, J. G., Wan, M., Agbu, J.-F., Farrow, R., Karakaya, Ö., Nerantzi, C., Ramírez Montoya, M. S., Conole, G., Cox, G., & Truong, V. (2022b). Impact of COVID-19 on formal education: An international review on practices and potentials of Open Education at a distance. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 23(4), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v23i4.6120>
- Suoranta, J., Teräs, M., Teräs, H., Jandrić, P., Ledger, S., Macgilchrist, F., & Prinsloo, P. (2022). Speculative social science fiction of digitalization in higher education: From what is to what could be. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 4(2), 224-236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-021-00260-6>
- Susnjak, T. (2022). ChatGPT: The end of online exam integrity?. *arXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2212.09292>
- Tang, K. Y., Chang, C. Y., & Hwang, G. J. (2021). Trends in artificial intelligence-supported e-learning: A systematic review and co-citation network analysis (1998–2019). *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1875001>

- Tate, T. P., Doroudi, S., Ritchie, D., Xu, Y., & uci, m. w. (2023, January 10). Educational research and AI-generated writing: Confronting the coming tsunami. *EdArXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.35542/osf.io/4mec3>
- Tlili, A., Shehata, B., Adarkwah, M. A., Bozkurt, A., Hickey, D. T., Huang, R., & Agyemang, B. (2023). What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10(1), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00237-x>
- Turing, A. (1950). *Computing machinery and intelligence*. *Mind: A Quarterly Review of Psychology and Philosophy*, 236, 433-460. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mind/lix.236.433>
- Viljoen, S. (2021). A relational theory of data governance. *Yale Law Journal*, 131(2), 573-654. <https://www.yalelawjournal.org/feature/a-relational-theory-of-data-governance>
- Wang, F. H. (2017). An exploration of online behaviour engagement and achievement in flipped classroom supported by learning management system. *Computers & Education*, 114, 79–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPEDU.2017.06.012>
- Weik, M. H. (1961). *The ENIAC story*. *Ordnance*, 45(244), 571-575.
- Winterson, J. (2022). *Love(lace) Actually*. In *12 Bytes: How artificial intelligence will change the way we live and love* (pp. 9–32). Penguin Random House.
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—where are the educators?. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>
- Zhai, X. (2022). ChatGPT user experience: Implications for education. *SSRN*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4312418>

About the Author(s)

- Aras Bozkurt, arasbozkurt@gmail.com (Corresponding Author), Anadolu University, Türkiye, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4520-642X>
- Junhong Xiao, frankxjh@outlook.com, The Open University of Shantou, China, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5316-2957>
- Sarah Lambert, sarah.lambert@deakin.edu.au, Deakin University, Australia, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2722-9684>
- Angelica Pazurek, pazur003@umn.edu, University of Minnesota, United States, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9933-8877>
- Helen Crompton, crompton@odu.edu, Old Dominion University, United States, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1775-8219>
- Suzan Koseoglu, suzankg@gmail.com, University of Greenwich, United Kingdom, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8918-2714>
- Robert Farrow, rob.farrow@open.ac.uk, The Open University (UK), United Kingdom, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7625-8396>
- Melissa Bond, melissa.bond@unisa.edu.au, University of South Australia, Australia, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8267-031X>
- Chrissi Nerantzi, c.nerantzi@leeds.ac.uk, University of Leeds, United Kingdom, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7145-1800>
- Sarah Honeychurch, sarah.l.honeychurch@gmail.com, University of Glasgow, Scotland, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9931-6827>
- Maha Bali, bali@aucegypt.edu, American University in Cairo, Egypt, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8142-7262>
- Jon Dron, jond@athabasca.ca, Athabasca University, Canada, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6521-7302>
- Kamran Mir, kamran.mir@aiou.edu.pk, Allama Iqbal Open University, Pakistan, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7399-7807>
- Bonnie Stewart, bstewart@uwindsor.ca, University of Windsor, Canada, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9576-1037>

- Eamon Costello, eamon.costello@dcu.ie, Dublin City University, Ireland, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2775-6006>
- Jon Mason, jon.mason@cdu.edu.au, Charles Darwin University, Australia, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0827-4068>
- Christian M. Stracke, stracke@uni-bonn.de, University of Bonn, Germany, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9656-8298>
- Enilda Romero-Hall, eromerohall@gmail.com, University of Tennessee Knoxville, United States, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5514-152X>
- Apostolos Koutropoulos, a.koutropoulos@gmail.com, University of Massachusetts Boston, United States, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7571-1796>
- Cathy Mae Toquero, cathymaetoquero@gmail.com, Mindanao State University-General Santos, Philippines, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6044-6771>
- Lenandlar Singh, lenandlar.singh@uog.edu.gy, University of Guyana, Guyana, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8550-4237>
- Ahmed Tlili, ahmed.tlili23@yahoo.com, Smart Learning Institute of Beijing Normal University, China, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1449-7751>
- Kyungmee Lee, k.lee23@lancaster.ac.uk, Lancaster University, United Kingdom, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9580-9026>
- Mark Nichols, nichthus@outlook.com, Te Pūkenga, the New Zealand Institute of Skills and Technology, New Zealand, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8131-6562>
- Ebba Ossiannilsson, Ebba.Ossiannilsson@gmail.com, Swedish Association for Open, Flexible and Distance Education, Sweden, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8488-5787>
- Mark Brown, mark.brown@dcu.ie, Dublin City University, Ireland, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7927-6717>
- Valerie Irvine, virvine@uvic.ca, University of Victoria, Canada, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8067-1300>
- Juliana Elisa Raffaghelli, juliana.raffaghelli@unipd.it, University of Padua, Italy, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8753-6478>
- Gema Santos-Hermosa, gsantos@ub.edu, University of Barcelona, Spain, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2776-871X>
- Orna Farrell, orna.farrell@dcu.ie, Dublin City University, Ireland, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9519-2380>
- Taskeen Adam, taskeen@opendeved.net, University of Johannesburg, Open Development & Education, South Africa, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2467-5726>
- Ying Li Thong thongyingli@gmail.com, SEAMEO RECSAM, Malaysia, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6266-2646>
- Sunagul Sani-Bozkurt, ssbozkurt@anadolu.edu.tr, Anadolu University, Turkey, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6648-9636>
- Ramesh C. Sharma, rc_sharma@yahoo.com, Ambedkar University Delhi, India, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1371-1157>
- Stefan Hrastinski, stefanhr@kth.se, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9984-6561>
- Petar Jandrić, petar.jandric@tvz.hr, Zagreb University of Applied Sciences, Croatia, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6464-4142>

Author's Contributions (CRediT)

Aras Bozkurt: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing; Junhong Xiao, Sarah Lambert, Angelica Pazurek, Helen Crompton, Suzan Koseoglu, Robert Farrow, Melissa Bond, Chrissi Nerantzi, Sarah Honeychurch, Maha Bali, Jon Dron, Kamran Mir, Bonnie Stewart, Eamon Costello, Jon Mason, Christian M. Stracke, Enilda Romero-Hall, Apostolos Koutropoulos, Cathy Mae Toquero, Lenandlar Singh, Ahmed Tlili,

Kyungmee Lee, Mark Nichols, Ebba Ossiannilsson, Mark Brown, Valerie Irvine, Juliana, Elisa Raffaghelli, Gema Santos-Hermosa, Orna Farrell, Taskeen Adam, Ying Li Thong, Sunagul Sani-Bozkurt, Ramesh C. Sharma: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing; Stefan Hrastinski, Petar Jandrić: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the contribution of the father of science fiction, Jules Verne, who inspired many of us and showed that fiction can actually be an effective tool to shape and see the future by liberating our thoughts and freeing our imagination.

Funding

We acknowledge the following funding sources:

- Aras Bozkurt: This paper is funded by Anadolu University, with the grant number 2207E099.
- Juliana Raffaghelli: This paper is funded by the University of Padua, with the grant number BIRD221011/22
- Gema Santos-Hermosa: This paper is funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) with the grant number PID2021-125828OB-I00

Ethics Statement

The data collected within the scope of this article was provided by the authors themselves, and their consent was obtained.

Conflict of Interest

The authors do not declare any conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement

All the data generated or analyzed during this study were included in this published article.

Suggested citation:

Bozkurt, A., Xiao, J., Lambert, S., Pazurek, A., Crompton, H., Koseoglu, S., Farrow, R., Bond, M., Nerantzi, C., Honeychurch, S., Bali, M., Dron, J., Mir, K., Stewart, B., Costello, E., Mason, J., Stracke, C. M., Romero-Hall, E., Koutropoulos, A., Toquero, C. M., Singh, L. Tlili, A., Lee, K., Nichols, M., Ossiannilsson, E., Brown, M., Irvine, V., Raffaghelli, J. E., Santos-Hermosa, G. Farrell, O., Adam, T., Thong, Y. L., Sani-Bozkurt, S., Sharma, R. C., Hrastinski, S., & Jandrić, P. (2023). Speculative futures on ChatGPT and generative artificial intelligence (AI): A collective reflection from the educational landscape. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 18(1), 53-130. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7636568>



Authors retain copyright. Articles published under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY) International License. This licence allows this work to be copied, distributed, remixed, transformed, and built upon for any purpose provided that appropriate attribution is given, a link is provided to the license, and changes made were indicated.

