Geography Literacy Profile of Pre-Service Teachers: The Case of Turkey

Ebru Gençtürk Güven¹

¹ Fatih Education Faculty, Trabzon University, Trabzon, Turkey

Correspondence: Ebru Gençtürk Güven, Fatih Education Faculty, Trabzon University, Trabzon, Turkey.

Received: June 15, 2021Accepted: October 11, 2021Online Published: November 26, 2021doi:10.5539/ies.v14n12p125URL: https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v14n12p125

Abstract

The study aims to determine the "Geography Literacy" levels of senior year pre-service teachers. To do so, the correlations between geo-literacy on the one hand, and the program the student is enrolled in, the type of settlement the student lived in during secondary education, the type of high school the student graduated from, the student's travel experience so far, and attitudes towards geography on the other, were assessed. The study was carried out with 4th year pre-service teachers (n=427) enrolled in six programs in the faculty of education, during the fall semester of academic year 2018-2019. The "Geography Literacy Test" developed by Gençtürk (2009) was used as the data collection tool. The data gathered were analyzed through descriptive, independent samples t-test, one-way ANOVA, chi-square, and Pearson correlation techniques. The study revealed that the geo-literacy levels of pre-service teachers were inadequate. Moreover, the geography literacy levels were found to exhibit significant variance with reference to gender, attitudes, the type of high school, the program the student is enrolled in, and the type of settlement the student lived in during secondary education. The findings were then used as the basis of specific proposals for increasing geo-literacy levels and paving the way for future studies.

Keywords: geography literacy, pre-service teachers, teacher qualifications

1. Introduction

A number of environmental factors affect our daily life. Yet, one is often unaware of the place geography occupies in our life, and is inclined to think that geographic information can be gained through formal processes. As a science, geography instills more than general knowledge. It also helps the development of scientific thought by helping establish connections between the person and the environment, and contributes to the growth of one's bonds to the homeland (Doğanay, 2002). Moreover, geographic information is a prerequisite for questions based on geography (e.g. what, where, when) in the context of national and international decision-making, achieving sustainable development in theory as well as practice, and raising "geographical awareness" in general. Geography and geographic knowledge are valuable due to their connection with the problems of the world we live in, as such knowledge teaches the students how to read maps and how to interpret local and global knowledge, thus developing their critical thinking skills, helping them understand the world better. Therefore, geography should be the central axis of life, if one is to have geographical thinking skills (Thomas, 2001). Geographic knowledge plays an important part in enabling individuals develop a spatial as well as cultural perception of the world, starting with one's immediate circle, followed by the development of ideas and projects about the environment one lives in. Students who have a strong grasp of geographic knowledge have an advantage in terms of growing into leaders for their countries who will subsequently make the decisions for optimal use of national resources. The location of natural resources, the structure of transportation networks, industrialization, energy generation, and other geographic factors all shape the economy a country or region. Moreover, geographic knowledge's importance in terms of protecting natural environment, which is crucial for human life, cannot be overstated. Geographic knowledge and associated information is used especially for identifying the effects of environmental factors on human behavior, defining the ways through which humans change the environment they live in, and assessing long-term environmental effects of social processes such as population increase and technological development. The use of that information, in turn, requires geography literacy. In parallel to the increasing importance of geographic information, studies on "geo-literacy" concept saw increasing attention, with a focus on the need to raise individuals with geo-literacy skills. The studies also revealed the fact that the students and pre-service teachers in various stages of education lack the knowledge and competences they need with respect to the physical and human environment. Moreover, students were also found lacking in terms of higher-level cognitive skills associated with geography (Bein, 1990, 2009; Bunnin, 2001; Cross, 1987; Chiodo, 1993; Donovan, 1993; Eve et al., 1994; Hardwick et al., 2000; NAEP, 1994; National Geographic Roper Survey, 2002; National Geographic Roper Survey, 2006; Nolan, 2002; Oigara, 2006; Roger, 1997; Saarinen & MacCabe, 1995; Schoenfeldt, 2001; Sievertson, 2005; Thomas, 2001; Torrens, 2001; Tuncel, 2002; Winship, 2004; Wood et al., 1988; Trygestad, 1997).

Acts of terror all around the world, global climate change, not to mention natural and man-made disasters such as air pollution drive interest in and sensitivity about places beyond the borders of one's own country, underlining the need for individuals with geographic literacy (Chapin, 2009). Locations are rather meaningless and irrelevant for people who lack geographic literacy (Gritzner, 2003). In this context, the need for geographic information and awareness for achieving sustainable development is apparent. Such awareness, on the other hand, can be produced through robust geography education at all levels of education, starting with preschool (Doğanay, 2002; Karabağ, 2001; Karabağ & Şahin, 2007; Gritzner, 2003; Şahin, 2003; Marran, 1992).

Today, globalization is mentioned in almost all contexts. Naturally, the education system is also affected by developments on that front. Globalization, in a sense, forced people and countries to get a better geographic understanding of each other. The result was the need to educate individuals so as to ensure that they meet the requirements of "Global Citizenship" and "geography literacy". Against this background, the present study aims to assess the geo-literacy levels of pre-service teachers enrolled in various teacher-training programs, based on the foundations established in the literature on the importance of geographic information and teacher competences. In this context, the following research questions were investigated:

1) Do the pre-service teachers have the skills to locate the countries neighboring Turkey?

2) Do the scores pre-service teachers got in the "Geo-Literacy Test" vary significantly with reference to:

2.1) Gender?

- 2.2) Type of program they are enrolled in?
- 2.3) Type of high school they had graduated from?
- 2.4) Type of settlement they lived during their secondary education?
- 2.5) The number of provinces they had travelled to?
- 2.6) Their attitudes towards geography?

2. Method

The study is based on what the literature labels "Descriptive Method" or "Survey Research". Quantitative studies in education sciences often use descriptive survey models (Cohen & Manion, 2007). For, most problems regarding education are descriptive in essence, and it is often noted that progress towards high-level studies is not possible without robust description in place in the first hand. That is why descriptive studies are considered as imperative building blocks for more detailed studies to follow (Balcı, 2001). This kind of studies are designed to express, interpret, analyze, categorize, compare, and define the existing state of affairs of a given group at a given time or place, with respect to the research problem (Cohen & Manion, 2007). Survey models work with a sample of the universe, in order to come up with quantitative descriptions and definitions of the ideas, attitudes, and inclinations of the universe. Furthermore, the results reached with reference to the sample can then be used for generalizations by the researcher, in an effort to come up with judgments regarding the universe (Creswell, 2003; Gray, 2004). However, they are very complicated to implement. Robust survey studies begin with a review of the theoretical framework, and proceed with detailed and extensive work planned in advance, for the whole process to culminate in the analysis and interpretation of data (Hutchinson, 2004).

2.1 Data Collection Tool

The geography literacy test developed by Gençtürk (2009), based on a review of other tests available in the literature, is comprised of 64 questions covering 5 sub-dimensions. The development of the test was based on an analysis of the other scales available to date, and saw the incorporation of some questions from existing sources, with the permission of original authors thereof. The test was also subjected to validity and reliability analyses, leading to an average power rating of .48, alpha reliability factor of .88, average score of 41.7, standard deviation of 10.5, and series width of 52. Moreover, to test the homogeneity of the instrument, data obtained in the pilot stage were fed into SPSS 21 software. Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated to assess the level of correlation between the scores obtained from the whole test as well as its sub-dimensions. The results are presented in the following table.

Table 1. Pearson correlation	on coefficient values	regarding the home	ogeneity of the g	eo-literacy test

Correlation	1	2	3	4	5
1. Location	1.00				
2. Physical Systems	.60**	1.00			
3. Human Systems	.48**	.51**	1.00		
4. Global Connections	.47**	.46**	.47**	1.00	
5. Environment	.39**	.43**	.42**	.48**	1.00

**p=.001 (two-way)

Table 1 presents the level of correlation between the analysis tool as a whole and its sub-dimensions. Medium and high levels of positive and significant correlation are found between the scale as a whole, and its sub-dimensions. Therefore, one can forcefully argue that the scale and its sub-dimensions are capable of assessing the competence covered, and the scale is considered have structural validity in terms of the criteria involved (Gençtürk, 2009).

2.2 Data Analysis

Obtained data were analyzed using SPSS 21. In the data analysis frequency, percent, mean, Pearson correlation, Chi-Squared were used. At the same time this study was used Shapiro-Wilk test as normality test. Obtained p value (p>0.5) showed that the data were normally distributed. So parametric tests (unpaired sample t-test, one way ANOVA) were used in the analysis of the data.

2.3 Sampling Process

The study is carried out with 427 pre-service teachers enrolled in the senior year of various programs in the Faculty of Education of Trabzon University during the academic year 2018-2019. Convenience sampling was used, and the study was carried out with volunteers. Data about the participants are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Sample Group

Personal Details		f	%	Total
Conton	Male	152	35.6	427
Gender	Female	275	64.4	427
	Primary school	96	22.5	
	Preschool	68	15.9	
Program	Mathematics	56	13.1	427
	Turkish	80	18.7	
	Guidance counseling	66	15.5	
	CEIT	61	14.3	
	Ordinary High School	122	28.6	
Type of High School	Anatolian High School	171	40	427
	Vocational High School	53	12.4	
	Other	81	19	
	1-10	264	61.8	
	11-20	112	26.2	
Number of Provinces Visited	21-30	25	5.9	427
	31-+	21	4.9	
	None	5	1.2	

3. Findings

This section presents the data gathered through the sketch map activity to assess the ability to locate the neighbors of Turkey. Then comes an analysis of the average scores achieved through the Geo-Literacy Scale, presented in tables.

3.1 Findings on the Ability to Locate the Neighbors of Turkey

The following table shows the results of the unpaired t-test to see whether the pre-service teachers' ability to locate Turkey's neighbors vary with reference to gender or not.

Groups	Ν	Average	S	sd	t	Р
Male	152	6.76	1.97	425	4.26	.000
Female	275	5.72	2.35	425	4.26	.000

The review of Table 3 reveals that gender leads to a significant difference in the pre-service teachers' scores regarding the locating skills. Accordingly, male (\bar{x} =6.76) pre-service teachers were found to be more successful compared to their female (\bar{x} =5.72) peers in pointing out Turkey's neighbors on a map.

Chi-squared test was applied to see whether a significant relationship exists between the higher education programs the pre-service teachers are enrolled in and their average scores regarding the locating skills. The results and the cross-correlations are presented in the following table.

Table 4. Results of the chi-squared analysis of the scores pre-service teachers received in the sketch map test, with reference to the programs they are enrolled in

		Numb	er of ins	stances	and rate	e of accu	rately loc	cating Tu	rkey's ne	eighbors	
Programs		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
D: 1 1	F	-	-	2	-	6	4	21	7	56	96
Primary school	%	-	-	2.1	-	6.2	4.2	21.9	7.3	58.3	22.5
Preschool	F	12	3	4	6	7	14	9	9	4	68
Preschool	%	17.6	4.4	5.9	8.8	10.3	20.6	13.2	13.2	5.9	15.9
	F	1	1	-	-	1	2	12	1	38	56
Mathematics	%	1.8	1.8	-	-	1.8	3.6	21.4	1.8	67.9	13.1
T 1 1	F	1	1	1	1	6	8	12	7	43	80
Turkish	%	1.2	1.8	1.2	1.2	7.5	10	15	8.8	53.8	18.7
Cui tana anna tina	F	5	1	4	5	7	7	9	10	18	66
Guidance counseling	%	7.6	1.5	6.1	7.6	10.6	10.6	13.6	15.2	27.3	15.5
OLIT	F	3	1	-	2	6	5	10	16	18	61
CEIT	%	4.9	1.5	-	3.3	9.8	8.2	16.4	26.2	29.5	14.3
Crew d Tetal	F	22	7	11	14	33	40	73	50	177	427
Grand Total	%	5.2	1.6	2.6	3.3	7.7	9.4	17.1	11.7	41.5	100
1 40 0 000											

 $X^2 = 1.460$; sd= 40; P=.000.

The review of Table 4 reveals significant variation in pre-service teachers' ability to accurately locate Turkey's neighbors ($X^2_{(40)}$ = 1.460, p <.05), with reference to the program they are enrolled in. Overall, 41.5% of pre-service teachers are able to accurately locate Turkey's eight neighbors on the map, whereas 20% were able to locate five or less neighbors. The highest level of success in terms of accurately locating Turkey's neighbors on the map was achieved by pre-service teachers enrolled in Mathematics Teacher Training program (67.9%), followed by those enrolled in Primary School Teacher Training (58.3%) and Turkish Teacher Training (53.8%) programs. The lowest rate of success in terms of accurately locating Turkey's neighbors on the map, on the other hand, was registered with the pre-service teachers enrolled in the Preschool Teacher Training program (5.9%). Pre-service teachers enrolled in the Preschool Teacher Training program (5.9%). Pre-service teachers enrolled in the Success achieved by pre-service teachers enrolled in the Success achieved by pre-service teachers enrolled in the Preschool Teacher Training program (5.9%). Pre-service teachers enrolled in the Success achieved by pre-service teachers enrolled in the Success achieved by pre-service teachers is noteworthy in this context.

Chi-squared test was applied to see which neighbors of Turkey saw the highest / lowest rates of success in terms of being located on the map. The results are presented in a crosstab. The data regarding the rates of accurate locating of individual neighbors of Turkey are presented in Table 5.

Program						Neig	hbors					gram's all Score
	-		GEO	ARM	AZE	IRN	IRQ	SYR	GRE	BUL	F	%
lool	G	F	90	72	85	80	85	91	85	83		
Primary school	с –	%	93.8	75	88.5	83.3	88.5	94.8	88.5	86.5		22.5
nary	11/	F	6	24	11	16	11	5	11	13	96	22.5
Prir	W -	%	6.2	25	11.5	16.7	11.5	5.2	11.5	13.5	-	
1	C -	F	52	9	28	32	37	49	33	36	_	
shoc	breschool M	%	76.5	13.2	41.2	47.1	54.4	72.1	48.5	52.9	68	15.0
resc	w	F	16	59	40	36	31	19	35	32	08	15.9
-d W	%	23.5	86.8	58.8	52.9	45.6	27.9	51.5	47.1	-		
.tics —	F	54	46	50	52	53	53	44	45	_		
mat	Mathematics	%	96.4	82.1	89.3	92.9	94.6	94.6	78.6	80.4	- 56	13.1
- W lathe	F	2	10	6	4	3	3	12	11	30 13	15.1	
Я	vv	%	3.6	17.9	10.7	7.1	5.4	5.4	21.4	19.6		
- С -	F	78	46	66	63	72	72	69	69	-		
Turkish	C	%	97.5	57.5	82.5	78.8	90	90	86.2	86.2	80	197
Tur	w -	F	2	34	14	17	8	8	11	11	80	18.7
	vv	%	2.5	42.5	17.5	21.2	10	10	13.8	13.8		
ං සි	с -	F	53	32	39	42	51	55	41	42	-	15.5
lanc selir	<u> </u>	%	80.3	48.5	59.1	63.6	77.3	83.3	62.1	63.6	- 66	
Guidance counseling	w -	F	13	34	27	24	15	11	25	24		15.5
0 0	vv	%	19.7	51.5	40.9	36.4	22.7	16.7	37.9	36.4		
	с -	F	46	32	46	44	47	55	52	49	_	
CEIT	<u> </u>	%	75.4	52.5	75.4	72.1	77	90.2	85.2	80.3	61	14.3
CH	w -	F	15	29	15	17	14	6	9	12		14.5
	vv	%	24.6	47.5	24.6	27.9	23	9.8	14.8	19.7		
	с -	F	373	237	314	313	345	375	324	324	-	
Grand Total	U	%	87.4	55.5	73.5	73.3	80.8	87.8	75.9	75.9	42	100
Grand Total	w -	F	54	190	113	114	82	52	103	103	7	100
	vv	%	12.6	44.5	26.5	26.7	19.2	12.2	24.1	24.1		
			X ² :33.3	X ² :81.7	X ² :65.3	X ² :44.2	X ² :46.5	X ² :24.5	X ² :50.8	X ² :43.5		
Chi-sq	uare (X ²	2)	23	33	26	16	88	06	72	02		
			P=.000									

Table 5. Chi-Square test results on the pre-service teachers' rates of accurately locating turkey's neighbors on a map, with reference to the programs they are enrolled in

*C=Those pointing out the correct location; *W= Those failing to point out the correct location.

The results of the chi-squared analysis presented in the table reveals significant variation (p<.05) in rates of success in locating each and every one of the eight neighbors of Turkey, with reference to the programs the participants are enrolled in. The highest rates of awareness about individual neighbors of Turkey among pre-service teachers are registered with Syria (87.8%), Georgia (87.4%), and Iraq (80.8%). On the other hand, Armenia (55.5%) stands out as the neighbor the location of which is least well-known, while Bulgaria and Greece (75.9%) and Iran and Azerbaijan (Nakhchivan) (73%) saw equal levels of awareness among pre-service teachers in terms of their locations. Table 5 also revealed that pre-service teachers enrolled in all programs covered had difficulty in locating Turkey's neighbors at a rate of approximately 20-25%, peaking at an inaccuracy rate of 44.5% in the case of Armenia, Georgia (12.6%) and Syria (12.2%) stood out as exceptions.

3.2 Findings Regarding the Average Geography Literacy Test Scores

The findings reached are presented with reference to the variables investigated in the study.

The results of the unpaired sample t-test on whether gender caused a significant variation in geo-literacy levels of pre-service teachers are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Results of the unpaired sample t-test regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to gender

Groups	Ν	Average	S	Sd	Т	Р
Male	152	12.69	5.45	125	(52	000
Female	275	9.49	4.49	425	6.52	.000

Table 6 reveals that gender leads to a significant difference in geo-literacy levels of pre-service teachers $[t_{(425)}= 6.52, p < .000]$. The participants' arithmetic average geo-literacy scores reveal higher levels of literacy for males (X=12.69) compared to females (X=9.49).

One-way ANOVA is applied to determine if any variation of the geo-literacy levels of the participants occurred with reference to the programs they are enrolled in. The findings are presented in Tables 7 and 8.

Table 7. Descriptive findings regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the programs they are enrolled in

Programs	Ν	Average	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Primary school	96	11.57	4.32312	2.00	22.00
Preschool	68	8.13	4.08822	.00	24.00
Mathematics	56	10.14	5.84474	2.00	24.00
Turkish	80	13.00	4.63340	2.00	24.00
Guidance counseling	66	10.81	5.27960	1.00	24.00
CEIT	61	8.77	3.96398	.00	19.00
Total	427	10.58	4.94321	.00	24.00

According to the data presented in Table 7, the highest average scores the pre-service teachers got in the geo-literacy test were achieved by those enrolled in Turkish Teacher Training Program (X=13.00). The pre-service teachers enrolled in the Primary School, Mathematics, and Turkish Teacher Training Programs received scores in 2-24 range, while their peers enrolled in the Preschool Teacher Training Program, CEIT Program, and Guidance Counseling Program got scores in 0-24, 0-19, and 1-24 ranges respectively.

The results of the one way analysis of variance applied to see if any statistically significant variation exists with respect to the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the programs they are enrolled in are presented in Table 8.

Table 8. One-way anova findings regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the programs they are enrolled in

Source of variance	KT	Sd	KO	F	Р
Between groups	1184.696	5	236.939	10.012	000
Within groups	9224.761	421	21.912	10.813	.000
Total	10409.457	426			

Table 8 reveals, in the light of the F-test, that the programs the pre-service teachers are enrolled in led to significant variation in their geo-literacy levels [$F_{(5.421)}$ = 10.813, p<.05]. The results of the multiple comparisons Tukey HSD analysis regarding such variation are provided in Table 9.

(I) Program	(J) Program	Mean variation (I-J)	Sig.
D' 1 1	Preschool	3.44056^{*}	.000
Primary school	CEIT	2.80242^{*}	.004
	Primary school	-3.44056*	.000
Preschool	Turkish	-4.86765^{*}	.000
	Guidance counseling	-2.68583*	.012
Mathematics	Turkish	-2.85714*	.007
	Preschool	4.86765^{*}	.000
Turkish	Mathematics	2.85714*	.007
	CEIT	4.22951*	.002
Guidance counseling	Preschool	2.68583^{*}	.012
CEIT	Primary school	-2.80242*	.004
CEIT	Turkish	-4.22951*	.000

Table 9. Results of Tukey HSD (Post-Hoc Test) regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the programs they are enrolled in

The comparison provided in Table 9 reveals that the geo-literacy scores of pre-service Primary School teachers were higher than those of their peers enrolled in Preschool and CEIT teacher training programs, that the scores of pre-service Preschool teachers were lower than those of their peers enrolled in Primary School and Turkish Teacher Training and Guidance Counseling programs, that the scores of the pre-service Mathematics teachers were lower than those of their peers enrolled in the Turkish Teacher Training program, that the scores of the pre-service Turkish teachers were higher than those of their peers enrolled in Preschool, Mathematics and CEIT teacher training programs, that the scores of the pre-service Guidance Counselors were higher than those of their peers enrolled in the Scores of the pre-service CEIT teachers training programs, that the scores of the pre-service CEIT teachers were lower than those of their peers enrolled in the Primary School and Turkish teacher training programs, and that all such differences were significant (p<.05).

The results of the descriptive analysis and one-way ANOVA to show the variance, if any, in mean scores pre-service teachers got in the Geo-Literacy Test with reference to the type of high school they graduated from are presented in Tables 10 and 11.

Table 10. Descriptive statistics findings regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the type of high school they graduated from

Type of High School	Ν	Average	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Ordinary High School	122	10.7541	4.88430	.00	24.00
Anatolian High School	171	10.8596	4.75062	.00	22.00
Vocational High School	53	8.5283	3.99328	2.00	19.00
Other	81	11.1111	5.69430	3.00	24.00
Total	427	10.58	4.94321	.00	24.00

According to Table 10, the mean score pre-service teachers who graduated from an ordinary high school got in the geo-literacy test was X=10.75, in comparison to the mean scores of their peers who had graduated from an Anatolian high school (X=10.85), and their peers who had graduated from vocational high schools (X=8.52).

Table 11. One-way anova findings regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the type of high school they had graduated from

Source of variance	KT	Sd	KO	F	Р
Between groups	262.995	3	87.665	3.655	.013
Within groups	10146.462	423	23.987	3.033	.013
Total	10409.457	426			

The variance analysis applied reveals that the average scores pre-service teachers got in the geo-literacy test varies

with reference to the type of high school they had graduated from $[F_{(3-423)}= 3.655, p<.05]$ (Table 11). In order to determine the cause of variation, multiple comparisons of the scores of students enrolled in different programs were carried out using Tukey HSD.

Table 12. Results of Tukey HSD (Post-Hoc Test) regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the type of high school they had graduated from

(I) Type of High School	(J) Type of High School	Mean variation (I-J)	Sig.
Ordinary High School	Vocational High School	2.22580^{*}	.030
Anatolian High School	Vocational High School	2.33135^{*}	.014
	Ordinary High School	-2.22580*	.030
Vocational High School	Anatolian High School	-2.33135*	.014
	Other	-2.58281*	.016
Other	Vocational High School	2.58281^{*}	.016

The comparisons applied with reference to the type of high school the pre-service teachers had graduated from revealed that the scores the ordinary high school, Anatolian high school, and other high school graduates got in the test were significantly different compared to the scores of their peers who had graduated from vocational high schools. This finding indicates that the vocational high school graduate pre-service teachers had lower geo-literacy levels compared to their peers who had graduated from other types of high schools, and that the type of the high school one had graduated from had an effect on the level of geo-literacy.

The results of the descriptive analysis and one-way ANOVA to show the variance, if any, in mean scores pre-service teachers got in the Geo-Literacy Test with reference to the types of settlements they had lived in during secondary education are presented in Tables 13 and 14.

Table 13. Descriptive statistics findings regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the types of settlements they had lived in during secondary education

Settlement	Ν	Average	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Village	74	11.28	5.50845	2.00	24.00
Township	46	11.82	4.94999	3.00	24.00
District Center	140	11.06	4.71979	1.00	21.00
Province Center	167	9.53	4.70420	.00	23.00
Total	427	10.58	4.94321	.00	24.00

Table 13 shows that the average scores pre-service teachers got in the geo-literacy test were X=11.28 for those who lived in villages during their secondary education, X=11.82 for those who lived in townships, X=11.06 for those who lived in district centers, and X=9.53 for those who lived in province centers, who also had the lowest average score among all four groups.

Table 14. One-Way anova analysis regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the types of settlements they had lived in during secondary education

Source of variance	KT	Sd	KO	F	Р
Between groups	321.889	3	107.296	4 400	004
Within groups	10087.568	423	23.848	4.499	.004
Total	10409.457	426			

The variance analysis reveals that the average scores pre-service teachers got in the geo-literacy test varies with reference to the type of settlements they had lived in during secondary education $[F_{(3-423)} = 4.499, p < .05]$ (Table 14). The results of the Tukey HSD test showing the groups which exhibit the variation are presented in Table 15.

Table 15. Results of Tukey HSD (Post-Hoc Test) regarding the pre-service teachers'	geo-literacy	levels with
reference to the types of settlements they had lived in during secondary education		

(I) Settlement	(J) Settlement	Mean variation (I-J)	Sig.
Township	Province Center	2.28716^{*}	.026
District Center	Province Center	1.52536*	.034
Province Center	Township	-2.28716*	.026
	District Center	-1.52536*	.034

The comparisons applied revealed significant variation in pre-service teachers' geo-literacy scores with reference to the settlements they had lived in during secondary education, between those who lived in townships and district centers on the one hand, and those who lived in province centers on the other, with the latter group scoring significantly lower. Geo-literacy levels of those who lived in townships and districts are apparently higher than those of their peers who lived in province centers. On the other hand, no significant variation was observed between the scores of those who lived in villages and the scores of their peers who lived in other types of settlements.

The results of the descriptive analysis and one-way ANOVA to show the variance, if any, in mean scores pre-service teachers got in the Geo-Literacy Test with reference to the number of provinces they had travelled to are presented in Tables 16 and 17.

Table 16. Descriptive findings regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the number of provinces they had travelled to

Number of provinces they had travelled to	Ν	Average	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
1-10	264	10.32	4.75642	.00	24.00
11-20	112	10.51	5.20392	.00	24.00
21-30	25	11.32	4.59819	5.00	21.00
31 or more	21	13.76	5.02896	5.00	24.00
None	5	9.00	6.78233	2.00	19.00
Total	427	10.58	4.94321	.00	24.00

According to the figures provided in Table 16, the pre-service teachers who had visited 1 to 10 provinces so far got an average score of X=10.32 in the geo-literacy test, whereas the average score of their peers who travelled to 11 to 20 provinces is X=10.51, and that of the pre-service teachers who travelled to 21 to 30 provinces is X=11.32. Comparable figure for those who travelled to 31 or more provinces is X=13.76, and those who had not travelled outside their home province is X=9.00. Based on these figures, one can state that the higher the number of provinces visited, the higher the average score one would get in the geo-literacy test.

Table 17. One-way anova findings regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the number of provinces they had travelled to

Source of variance	KT	Sd	KO	F	Р
Between groups	256.258	4	64.065	2 (12	.032
Within groups	10153.199	422	24.060	2.663	.032
Total	10409.457	426			

The variance analysis revealed that the average scores pre-service teachers got in the geo-literacy test varies with reference to the number of provinces they had travelled to $[F_{(3-423)}=2.663, p<.05]$. The comparisons based on Tukey HSD analyses to reveal the causes of such variation are presented in Table 18.

(I) Number of provinces they had travelled to	(J) Number of provinces they had travelled to	Mean variation (I-J)	Sig.
1-10	31 or more	-3.43615*	.018
11-20	31 or more	-3.24405*	.045
21 от тото	1-10	3.43615*	.018
31 or more	11-20	3.24405*	.045

Table 18. Results of Tukey HSD (Post-Hoc Test) regarding the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels with reference to the number of provinces they had travelled to

The comparisons revealed significant variance between the scores of pre-service teachers who had travelled to 31 or more provinces, and their peers who had visited 1 to 10 provinces or 11 to 20 provinces, with the group who had visited more than 31 provinces receiving higher scores. In the light of this observation, one can state that higher number of provinces visited would be associated with higher levels of geo-literacy.

Table 19. Geo-literacy levels of pre-service teachers

Ν	Series Width	Minimum score	Maximum score	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	Std. Dev.
427	24	.00	24	10.5878	4.94321

The minimum, maximum, and average scores the pre-service teachers got in the geo-literacy test are presented in the table. The average score the pre-service teachers got is 10.5878. In the light of standard deviation, 24-19.1 range refers to a high level of geo-literacy, while 19.09-14.16 range refers to a medium level of geo-literacy, and 14.15 and less refers to a low level of geo-literacy. Based on these ranges, the average score the pre-service teachers got in the geo-literacy test –as shown in Table 19– corresponds to a low level of geo-literacy.

Descriptive data regarding the pre-service teachers' attitudes towards geography are presented in Table 20.

Table 20. The pre-service teachers' attitudes towards geography

Statements		I disagree completely		I disagree		I partly disagree		I am undecided		I partly agree		I agree		I agree absolutely
	F	%	F	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
1. Geography as a field is one of my favorites.	49	11.5	47	11	61	14.3	74	17.3	82	19.2	52	12.2	62	14.5
2. I would love to teach geography classes.	58	13.6	57	13.3	56	13.1	77	18	75	17.6	53	12.4	51	11.9
3. Geographic information plays an important part in daily life.	14	3.3	16	3.7	21	4.9	56	13.1	67	15.7	117	27.4	136	31.9
4. Geography involves interesting subjects.	57	13.3	60	14.1	78	18.3	83	19.4	62	14.5	41	9.6	46	10.8
5. I would like to be a geography teacher.	204	47.7	70	16.4	36	8.4	37	8.7	24	5.6	29	6.8	27	6.3

The data presented in Table 20 above reveals that the majority of pre-service teachers believe that geography plays an important part in daily life. Approximately half of the pre-service teachers expressed interest in geography as a field, and their will to teach geography classes, albeit at various levels of interest.

Table 21. Strength of pre-service teachers' attitudes towards geography

Ν	Series Width	Minimum score	Maximum score	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	Std. Dev.
427	30	5	35	19.87	7.57248

Table 21 presents the minimum, maximum, and average scores the pre-service teachers got with respect to scale items aiming to assess their attitudes towards geography. According to these figures, the average level of attitudes voiced by pre-service teachers towards geography is scored 19.87. This figure corresponds to a level between the options "I partly agree" (5x3=15) and "I am undecided" (5x4=20). The analysis of the correlation between the scores regarding the pre-service teachers' attitudes towards geography on the one hand, and the scores they received in the geo-literacy test on the other, is summarized in Table 22.

Table 22. The relationship between the pre-service teachers' attitudes towards geography and their geo-literacy levels

Variables		Attitude	Literacy
Attitude	r	1	.380**
	р		.000
	Ν	427	427
Literacy	r	.380**	1
	р	.000	
	Ν	427	427

**. The correlation is significant (p<.01).

Despite the lack of universal agreement regarding the ranges to be used in the interpretation of correlation factors, previous studies often considered 0.00 - 0.30 range to be low, 0.30 - 0.70 range to be medium, and 0.70 - 1.00 to be high in terms of correlation levels (Büyüköztürk, 2002, p. 32). According to Table 22, the correlation factor is r = 0.380, whereas the significance level is p=0.00. Based on these figures the correlation between the attitudes towards geography and the levels of geo-literacy can be considered a positive and significant one, at medium level of strength. In the light of this result, one can forcefully argue that stronger attitudes towards geography lead to increased geo-literacy levels at a medium level. A glance at the determination factor ($r^2 = 0.14$) reveals that 14% of the geo-literacy score is associated with positive attitudes towards geography.

4. Conclusions and Discussion

Findings reached with respect to the fundamental problem investigated in this study reveal that the pre-service teachers' geo-literacy levels are inadequate. In particular, the findings regarding locating skills show that the pre-service teachers' ability to locate Turkey's neighbors on a sketch map can be considered inadequate. Moreover, pre-service Primary School, Mathematics and Turkish teachers had stronger locating skills compared to other programs, while the pre-service teachers in the Preschool Teacher Training program stood out with the lowest scores in this respect. A wide range of factors may have contributed to this result, which can be labeled geographic ignorance, and which runs parallel to the findings of other studies. The literature points at a large number of factors affecting geo-literacy, and reveals that the concept is much more complicated than what initial impressions would suggest. Thus, trying to explain geographic information and geo-literacy levels with a single reason would not be a supportable position. Against this background, activities focusing on practice through the education process can arguably help with increasing geo-literacy levels in general and the development of map and location skills in particular. Such practices, in turn, require adequate numbers and hours of geography classes at the primary and secondary school levels. In the same vein, existing studies note that, in the US, Canada, and Australia, teachers' geography education levels remain inadequate due to the replacement of geography classes with social studies ones, and that the teachers of the future lack geo-literacy skills (Chiodo, 1993; Eve et al., 1994). In a similar debate, the coverage of geography topics in social studies classes rather than dedicated geography classes in primary education settings is noted as the reason of ignorance about geography. The inclusion of geography as an independent element of curricula is proposed as a solution to this problem (Bennet, 1997, 13). One can also argue that the limited coverage provided to geography topics in social studies courses in Turkey also played a part in this result.

Similar conclusions were reached in other studies concerning geo-literacy. From time to time, the National Geography Agency of the US procured geo-literacy studies from Roper research corporation. One such study involving a sample of 510 individuals revealed that approximately half (46%) of young adults in 18-24 age group did not have adequate geographic information. One of the most striking results was that in March 2003, which marked the invasion of Iraq by the US, 63% of the participants in the study failed to locate Iraq on a map. It was also found that 75% of the participants were ignorant about the location of Indonesia, which was recently hit by a

severe tsunami (National Geographic Roper Survey, 2002; National Geographic Roper Survey, 2006). Another study on the topic was carried out by Cross (1987), who found, with a sample of 879 college students who took courses on the geography of the regions of the world and on cultural geography, that the students' basic geographic skills were simply inadequate. Cross reached this result based on data derived from a survey asking the participants to point the location, state the latitudes and longitudes, and name major rivers of 11 countries (including China, Cuba, the UK, Soviet Union, Iran, and India) which were involved in current issues of the day. Other studies also reached similar conclusions (Bein, 1990, 2009; Bunnin, 2001; Cross, 1987; Chiodo, 1993; Donovan, 1993; Eve et al., 1994; Gencturk, 2009; Hardwick et al., 2000; NAEP, 1994; Nolan, 2002; Oigara, 2006; Roger, 1997; National Geographic Roper Survey, 2002; National Geographic Roper Survey, 2005; Thomas, 2001; Torrens, 2001; Tuncel, 2002; Winship, 2004; Wood et al., 1988).

Yet another conclusion reached in the present study is that the geo-literacy levels of male pre-service teachers were higher compared to their female peers. Hence the finding that gender is an important variable affecting geo-literacy levels, which is consistent with those of other studies in the literature. Almost all studies on geo-literacy noted significant differences associated with gender, with males performing better in terms of geographic information and spatial skills compared to females (Bein, 1990; Cross, 1987; Chiodo, 1993; Eve et al., 1994; Genetürk, 2009; Hardwick et al., 2000; NAEP, 1994; National Geographic Roper Survey, 2002; National Geographic Roper Survey, 2006; Nolan, 2002; Oigara, 2006; Thomas, 2001; Torrens, 2001; Wood et al., 1988; Winship, 2004). This finding may be a result of the prevailing culture of the society, as well as the perceived higher levels of interest men have in the external world and the environment. Other studies on gender, carried out by psychologists and geographers, also noted certain gender-based differences in terms of geographic and spatial knowledge (Kitchin, 1996). Even though a number of theories exist to explain such differences, the one with the widest level of acceptance among geographers is the differences theory. According to this theory, socio-cultural structures, institutional and social expectations, preschool education, and established perspectives all play some part in bringing about such differences (Kitchin 1996).

Thus, with the effect of various socio-cultural structures and environmental factors, gender imposes different personal characteristics and social roles on girls and boys (Hardwick et al., 2000; Rose, 1993, quoted by Kitchin, 1996). Gender is shaped by the social environment, alongside its innate aspects. The literature points at the different cognitive styles expressed by boys and girls, not to mention the differences in their biological features, along with the different socialization processes they go through, as the root cause. A number of theories try to explain why gender leads to differences. One such theory–the deficiency theory–claims that human psychology and differences in hormones are what leads to the differences in question. According to this theory, all spatial skills and competences can be explained with reference to the genetic structure and the different amounts of estrogen and androgen present in human body (McGee, 1979; quoted by Kitchin, 1996). Another theory argues that socio-cultural factors such as education in preschool years, the expectations of the family and the school, and established conventions are the primary determinants. The most popular theory regarding the effect of the control of the brain on gender-related issues with respect to spatial skills is the lateralization theory. This theory, in turn, argues that spatial skills are associated more with the use of the right hemisphere of the brain, and that men have better spatial skills as they use the right hemisphere more compared to women (Bowers & LaBarba, 1988, quoted by Kitchin, 1996).

Yet another finding reached in the present study is that the type of high school the pre-service teachers had graduated from affected their average scores. The geo-literacy scores of pre-service teachers who graduated from vocational high schools were found to be significantly lower than those of their peers who graduated from other types of high schools. The increased weight of vocational courses in the curriculum of vocational high schools, while general culture courses such as history and geography are provided only limited hours may help explain this result. That is why students who graduated from other types of high schools. On this point Winship (2004) noted no significant difference between those who took just one geography course and those who took none, but proceeded to add that a significant difference existed between those who took two geography courses and those who took none.

With reference to the effect the individuals' geographic habitat has on learning, the present study investigated whether the type of the settlement the students lived in during their preceding years had an effect. The average geo-literacy scores of those who lived in smaller settlements (townships and district centers) prior to their university years were found to be higher than their peers who grew up in province centers. Indeed Cin and Yazıcı (2002) and Cin (1999, 2008) showed the major part played by the interaction children had with the geographic environment they live in, as the source of knowledge they came to have about the geographic environment. In the

same vein, NAEP (1994) observed that the type of settlement the 4th and 8th grade students live in had different effects on their geographic knowledge. It is noted in this context that the geographic knowledge levels expressed by those who live in a city were lower than those expressed by village- (with a population in 2,500-25,000 range) or suburb-dwellers. Oigara (2006), in turn, found that those who live in outskirts of the city had higher geography scores in all three ranges (low, medium, high). The scores of those who live in big cities such as New York, on the other hand, were found to be lower.

Studies on geo-literacy mention travel experience as another important variable. The present study also found that the domestic travel experiences of pre-service teachers had an effect on their geo-literacy levels. Cross (1987) and Eve et al. (1994) did not note a significant relationship between travel experience and geo-literacy, whereas Bein (1990) and Winship (2004) reached to the conclusion that travel was important in terms of gaining the ability to interpret geo-literacy. On the other hand, Nolan (2002) stated, in contrast to other studies, that travel experience, taken into account with the characteristics of formal education, contributed significantly to the geo-literacy skill. Oigara (2006) observed that those who had so far travelled to a higher number of states or countries received higher average scores.

It is well known that the attitudes the students have with respect to a specific course affect their performance in that course. The present study also found that the pre-service teachers who had positive attitudes towards geography had higher geo-literacy levels. This finding is consistent with those of other studies in the literature. Yau (1977) underlined the importance of attitudes in building up geographical awareness. Sack and Peterson (1998) note a strong association between attitudes towards geography and geographic knowledge. That is why teachers play an important part in raising students with geo-literacy, and should try and organize activities to raise interest about geography among their students. Bein (1990) also reached similar conclusions, and noted that students with positive attitudes towards geography had better geography skills. Oigara (2006), in turn, stated that positive attitudes towards geography played an important part in terms of determining geographic knowledge levels, before proceeding to point at the strong association between attitudes and geo-literacy, and adding that people who are interested in geography had higher geo-literacy levels.

References

- Anderson, C. A., Gentile, D. A., & Buckley, K. E. (2007). Violent video game effects on children and adolescents: Theory, research, and public policy. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195309836.001.0001
- Balcı, A. (2001). Sosyal Bilimlerde Araştırma Yöntem, Teknik ve İlkeler. Ankara. Pegem A Yayıncılık
- Bein, F. L. (1990). Baseline geography competency test: Administered in Indiana Universities. Journal of Geography, 89(6), 260-266. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221349008979213
- Bein, F. L., Hayes, J. J., & Jones, T. G. (2009). Fifteen year follow-up geography skills test administered in Indiana, 1987 and 2002. *Journal of Geography, 108*(1), 30-36. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221340902886798
- Bennett, M. W. (1997). Development of Geographic Literacy in Students with Learning Disabilities.
- Bernstein, T. M. (1965). The careful writer: A modern guide to English usage (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Atheneum.
- Bjork, R. A. (1989). Retrieval inhibition as an adaptive mechanism in human memory. In H. L. Roediger III, & F. I. M. Craik (Eds.), *Varieties of memory&consciousness* (pp. 309-330). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bunin, C. (2001). A study of the relationship between current event knowledge and the ability to construct a mental map of the world (Master's thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University). Retrieved from http://scholar.lib.vt.edu/theses/available/etd-01242001-123819/
- Chapin, J. R. (2009). Elementary Social Studies. A Practical Guide (7th ed.). United States. Allyn and Bacon.
- Chiodo, J. J. (1993). Mental maps: Preservice teachers' awareness of the world. *Journal of Geography*, 92(3), 110-117. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221349308979633
- Cin, M. (1999). The Influence of Direct Experience of the Physical Environment on Concept Learning in Physical Geography (E.D Thesis, School of Education, University of Durham).
- Cin, M. (2008). Sınıf öğretmeni adaylarının yaşadıkları yere göre coğrafya dersine karşı tutumlarındaki Farklılıklar. *Kastamonu Eğitim Dergisi, 16*(1), 185-190.
- Cin, M., & Yazıcı, H. (2002). The influence of direct experience experience on children's ideas about the formation of natural scenery. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 11(1),

5-14. https://doi.org/10.1080/10382040208667459

- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research Methods in Education* (6th ed.). Routledge, London, Taylor & Francis Group. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203029053
- Creswell, J. W. (2003). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications. London, Thousand Oaks, New Delhi.
- Cross, J. A. (1987). Factors associated with students' place location knowledge. *Journal of Geography, March-April*, 59-63. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221348708979457
- Doğanay, H. (2002). Coğrafya Öğretim Yöntemleri. 5. Baskı. İstanbul. Aktif Yayınevi.
- Donovan, I. (1993). Geographic literacy and ignorance: A survey of Dublin adults and school children. *Geographical Viewpoint*, 21, 73-92. https://doi.org/10.1038/021073a0
- Eve, R. A., Price, B., & Counts, M. (1994). Geographic iliteracy among college students. *Youth Society*, 25(3), 408-427. https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118X94025003006
- Gençtürk, E. (2009). İlköğretim sosyal bilgiler öğretmen adaylarının coğrafya okuryazarlık düzeylerinin belirlenmesi (Unpublished PhD thesis). Gazi University Institute of Educational Science, Ankara
- Gray, D. E. (2004). Doing Research in the Real World. SAGE Publications. London.
- Gritzner, C. F. (2002). What is where, why there, and why care? *Journal of Geography*, 101(1), 38-40. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221340208978465
- Hardwick, S. W., Bean, L. L., Alexander, K. A., & Shelley, F. M. (2000). Gender vs. sex differences:factors affecting performance in geographic education. *Journal of Geography*, 99(6), 238-244. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221340008978974
- Hutchinson, S. R (2004). Survey Research. In K. D Marrais, & S. D. Lapan. (Eds.), *Foundation for Research Methods of Inquiry in Education and the Social Sciences*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers. London.
- Karabağ, S. (2001). Coğrafya öğretiminde anahtar sorular ve kavramlar. G.Ü. Gazi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 18(2), 25-41.
- Karabağ, S., & Şahin, S. (2007). Coğrafya Dersi Öğretim Programı (pp. 55-74, 2005). In S. Karabağ, & S. Şahin (Eds.), *Kuram ve Uygulamada Coğrafya Eğitimi*. Ankara. Gazi Kitabevi.
- Karasar, N. (1998). Bilimsel Araştırma Yöntemi. Nobel Yayın Dağıtım. Ankara.
- Kitchin, R. M. (1996). Are there differences in geographic knowledge and understanding. *The Geographical Journal*, *162*(3), 273-286. https://doi.org/10.2307/3059650
- Marran, J. F. (1992). The world according to a grade 12 teacher reflections on what students of geography should know and be able to do. *Journal of Geography*, *91*, 139-142. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221349208979501
- National Education Process (NAEP, 1994). *Geography Report Card.* National Assessment of Educational Progress, Princeton, Washington DC.
- National Geographic Roper. (2002). *Global Geographic Literacy Survey*. Prepared by RoperASW for: National Geographic Education Foundation. Washington D.C.
- National Geographic Roper Public Affairs (2006). 2006 Geographic Literacy Prepared by RoperASW for: National Geographic Education Foundation. Washington D.C.
- Nolan, R. E. (2002). Geo-literacy: How well adults understand the world in which they live. *Adult Basic Education*, 12(3), 134-144.
- Oigara, J. (2006). A multi-method study of background experiences influencing levels of geographic Literacy (Ph.D. thesis, Binghamton University, USA).
- Rogers, L. (1997). An examination of elementary teachers' knowledge of geography and geographic education (Phd.Dissertation, Binghamton University, New York).
- Saarinen, T. F., & MacCabe, C. L. (1994). World patterns of geographic literacy based on sketch map quality. *Professional Geographers*, 47(2), 196-204. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0033-0124.1995.00196.x
- Sack, D., & Petersen, J. F. (1998). Children's attitudes toward geography: A Texas case study. *Journal of Geography*, 97(3), 123-131. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221349808978836

- Şahin, S. (2003). Coğrafya öğretiminde beş temel konu. 15-18 Ekim 2003 XII. Eğitim Bilimleri Kongresi, Antalya.
- Schoenfeldt, M. (2001). Geographic literacy and young learners. *The Educational Forum*, 66, 26-31. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131720108984796
- Sievertson, M. D. (2005). Is geography knowledge improving? A study of current geographic knowledge among united states college geography students (Phd. dissertation, Delta State University, Cleveland, Mississippi).
- Thomas, P. G. (2001). An analysis of the geographic knowledge of preservice teachers at selected midwestern Universities (Ph.D Thesis, Kansas State University, USA).
- Thomas, P. G. (2001). An analysis of the geographic knowledge of preservice teachers at selected Midwestern Universities (Ph.D thesis, Kansas State University, USA).
- Torrens, P. M. (2001). Where in the world? Exploring the factors driving place location knowledge among Secondary level students in Dublin, Ireland. *Journal of Geography*, 100(2), 49-60. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221340108978417
- Trygestad, J. (1997). Students' conceptual thinking in geography (Ph.D thesis, University of Minnesota, USA).
- Tuncel, H. (2002). Türk öğrencilerin zihin haritalarında islam ülkeleri. *Fırat Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, *12*(2), 83-103.
- Winship, J. M. (2004). *Geographic literacy and world knowledge among undergraduate college students* (Master of Science in Geography, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, USA).
- Wood, W. R., Eicher, C., Webster, L., & Gullickson, A. (1988). *Geographical knowledge of university elementary education majors*. Report The University of South Dakota.
- Yau, B. L. L. (1977). Social attitudes and the teaching of geography. Educational Journal, No. IV.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).