

Burnout and Its Relationship to Psychological Distress and Job Satisfaction among Academicians and Non-Academicians in Malaysia

Salina Mohamed¹, Azlinawati Nikmat¹, Nurul Azreen Hashim¹, Norley Shuib¹ & Nor Jannah Nasution Raduan¹

¹ Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Correspondence: Dr Salina Mohamed, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Selayang Campus, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 68100 Batu Caves, Selangor, Malaysia

Received: August 13, 2020

Accepted: September 27, 2020

Online Published: September 29, 2020

doi:10.5430/ijhe.v10n1p85

URL: <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v10n1p85>

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to ascertain the prevalence of burnout and its associated risk factors among the University staff, involving both academicians and non-academicians and relate these to their job satisfaction.

A cross-sectional study was conducted among the university staff involving both academicians and non-academicians. The participants were emailed the questionnaires through their university email and alternative email addresses. They were asked to complete questionnaires on their sociodemographic and work details, Copenhagen Burnout Inventory (CBI), Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21) and Job Satisfaction Scale (JSS).

Among the 411 participants who participated, 53% were academicians (n = 216). Academicians demonstrated greater burnout levels and psychological distress when compared to non-academicians. Correlational analyses indicated a moderate to high correlation between psychological distress and burnout due to work, personal and client where higher burnout was associated with higher psychological distress. Non-academicians demonstrated greater job satisfaction levels when compared to academicians. Correlational analyses indicated a high correlation between job satisfaction and burnout due to work, with higher burnout levels associated with lower job satisfaction among staff.

This study showed that academicians suffer from high levels of burnout in aspects of personal, work and client-related matters, and this has contributed to higher psychological distress among them and significantly affect their job satisfaction.

Keywords: burnout, psychological distress, job satisfaction, university, academicians, non-academicians

1. Introduction

Burnout syndrome can be conceptualized in several ways. It is seen as an inability to cope with the emotional stress at the workplace and more likely to happen with persistent stress rather than with occasional stressful events (Felton, 1998). Burnout occurs when the coping mechanisms used fail to cope with external demands, and this leads to emotional exhaustion, which then leads to a vicious cycle of depersonalization, decreased personal accomplishment and an increasing level of emotional exhaustion (Maslach, Schaufeli & Leiter, 2001). Factors contributing to job burnout are multifactorial. Discrepancies in an employee's personal, physical, psychological and social factors and organizational environment may lead to burnout in employees especially when it is persistent (Cassitto, 2003).

Job burnout due to job-related stress may affect any individual, in any occupation and at any occupational level. Globally, the prevalence of stress among university personnel has risen where work-related expectations, negative coping and attributional behaviors of the personnel were associated with high levels of depression and anxiety and low job satisfaction in university employees (Mark & Smith, 2012). Another study found that both non-academicians and academicians reported an alarming increase in stress levels in a duration of 5 years with the academicians reported higher levels of stress than non-academicians (Gillespie et al., 2001). This finding was confirmed by separate studies that showed 5 years ago, the burnout level among academicians was at 11% and recently one study found that the burnout level has increased to 68% (Henny et al., 2014; Moueleu Ngalagou et al., 2019). Gillespie et al. (2001) found several sources of stress that are associated with the organizational environment. These include insufficient funding and resources, work overload, poor management practice, job insecurity and insufficient recognition and reward. The participants further reported that job-related stress was having a negative impact on their work performance and personal welfare. As a result of this persistent job-related stress, university personnel may be

at risk of depression and anxiety. Psychological distress such as depression and anxiety as a result of burnout syndrome will lead to more deterioration in terms of psychological and physical health as well as work performance (Reevy & Deason, 2014).

Job-related burnout may not only cause deterioration in psychological and physical health, it can also lead to poor professional functioning and low job satisfaction. Job satisfaction is the positive perception of an individual towards his or her work where factors such as pay, promotion, working conditions, leadership, social relationships and the job itself were found to influence the level of job satisfaction of an individual at the workplace (Okwaraji & Aguwa, 2015). Job satisfaction has been found to be closely related to burnout. Burnout was associated with poor coping strategies and negatively associated with better self-efficacy and job satisfaction and to good physical and stable mental health (Tamini & Kord, 2011)

In Malaysia, academic and non-academic personnel have been under pressure over the years to meet with the increasing demands to be internationally recognized academically and in the field of research, generate income for the university, and they were expected to meet the key performance indicators set by the university in administrative duties as well. (Mukosolu et al., 2015). To achieve these standards, the university academic staff need to work harder to bring sufficient output such as producing high impact publications, application of research grants and supervision of students. Meanwhile, the non-academic staff were forced to work harder to provide high standard administrative service by reducing the amount of manpower and resources (Mukosolu et al., 2015).

Burnout is an increasing problem and negatively impacts on an individual's job satisfaction and physical and mental health. This problem needs to be addressed to maintain an individual's healthy physical and mental health as well as maintaining the integrity of the university as an organization. Therefore, this study aims to ascertain the prevalence of burnout among the university staff and its relationship to job satisfaction and psychological distress.

2. Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted among the Universiti Teknologi MARA (UITM) staff involving both academicians and non-academicians. UITM is one of the largest University in Malaysia, and it has campuses in every state in Malaysia. Universiti Teknologi MARA has 17000 staff which comprised of both academicians and non-academicians. All staff working at the University were asked to participate. To determine the sample size needed for the study, authors used sample size table proposed by (Krejcie & Morgan, 1970), which required a minimum of 376 participants in order to achieve a good statistical power. The participants were emailed the questionnaires through their university email and alternative email addresses. They were asked to complete questionnaires on their sociodemographic and work details, Copenhagen Burnout Inventory (CBI), Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS) and Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21). The staff received a cover letter prior to the answering the questionnaires reassuring them about anonymity, confidentiality, and that published results were solely for scientific purpose. Ethical approval was obtained from the University's Research Ethics Committee (REC/422/17).

2.1 Instruments

1) Copenhagen Burnout Inventory

Copenhagen Burnout Inventory (CBI), is a self-rated inventory measuring the degree to which people see a connection between their fatigue and their client-related work. (Kristensen et al., 2005). It consists of 19 items and comprises three sub-dimensions that are – personal burnout, work-related burnout, and client-related burnout. The CBI has been translated and validated in other languages, including to the local language – Malay language. The Malay version was translated and validated for our population, and it has a good face and construct validity with a high internal consistency (Andrew Chin et al., 2018). The reliability of the scale for this study is 0.94. High scores ($\geq 50\%$) indicated a high level of burnout. Moderate burnout is indicated with the scores of 50-74, high burnout 75-99 and severe burnout is considered when the score is 100 (Creedy et al., 2017).

2) Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS)

The JSS is a self-rated scale to measure job satisfaction (Spector, 1985). The scale consists of 36 items assessing total job satisfaction using nine subscales (each consisting of 4 items). These subscales include pay, promotion, fringe benefits, contingent rewards, supervision, co-workers, operating procedures, nature of work and communication. Respondents rate the positive and negative features of their jobs ranging from 1 (disagree very much) to 6 (agree very much). Higher scores on the JSS indicate higher levels of job satisfaction. The Malay version has been translated and validated (Chin-Siang et al., 2014; Ibrahim et al., 2014). The reliability of the scale for this study is 0.62.

3) The Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale - 21 Items (DASS-21)

DASS-21 is a set of three self-report scales designed to measure the emotional states of depression, anxiety and stress. Each of the three DASS-21 scales contains seven items, divided into subscales with similar content. The Malay language version of DASS-21 had well-documented reliability with Cronbach's alpha values of 0.95 in this study. It has been validated on various Malaysian samples, including clinical and non-clinical populations, and therefore is a valid instrument for use in a Malaysia population (Ramli et al., 2009).

2.2 Statistical Analyses

The statistical analysis was carried out using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences Software Version 24 (IBM Corp. Released, 2015). All descriptive and inferential statistics were generated using the software.

2.3 Results

Table 1 describes the sociodemographic profile of UiTM staff responding to this study. There were 411 participants in this study, of which 259 were academicians.

Table 1. Sociodemographic profile of participants

	Job category			
	Academicians (n=259)		Non-academicians (n=152)	
Age (mean, S.D)	39.98 (7.86)		36.76 (7.66)	
	n	%	n	%
Gender				
Male	53	20.5	48	31.6
Female	206	79.5	104	68.4
Race				
Malay	234	90.3	151	99.3
Chinese	3	1.2	0	0
Indian	2	0.8	0	0
Others	20	7.7	1	0.7
Marital status				
Married	212	81.9	122	80.3
Single	38	14.7	28	18.4
Divorced/Widow/ Widower	9	3.4	2	1.3
Religion				
Islam	244	94.2	151	99.3
Buddha	2	0.8	0	0
Christian	12	4.6	1	0.7
Others	1	0.4	0	0
Education				
Secondary school	0	0	10	6.6
Diploma	0	0	38	25.0
Degree	3	1.2	73	48.0
Masters	178	68.7	31	20.4
PhD	78	30.1	0	0
Household income (monthly)				
<RM5000	18	6.9	71	46.7
RM5000-RM10000	124	47.9	64	42.1
RM10001-RM15000	71	27.4	13	8.6
>RM15000	46	17.8	4	2.6

Results of the t-test show a statistically significant mean difference in burnout in all domains (personal, work, client) between the group (Table 2). Academicians demonstrated greater burnout levels when compared to non-academicians in regard to personal matters ($t_{409}= 4.40, p < .001$), work matters ($t_{409}= 3.32, p < .05$) and client matters ($t_{409}= 3.61, p < .001$).

Table 2. Burnout in academicians and non-academicians

	Burnout scale			Non-academicians			95% CI for Mean Difference	t	df
	Academicians			Non-academicians					
	M	SD	n	M	SD	n			
Personal	341.80	131.36	259	284.54	120.35	152	31.66, 82.84	4.40*	409
Work	339.38	154.6	259	287.66	148.72	152	21.09, 82.34	3.32*	409
Client	234.46	129.36	259	187.66	123.21	152	21.59, 72.00	3.61*	409

* $p < .05$

There were statistically significant mean difference in psychological distress in all domains (depression, anxiety, stress) between the group (Table 3) Academicians demonstrated greater psychological distress when compared to non-academicians in depression ($t_{409}= 2.80, p < .05$), anxiety ($t_{409}= 2.10, p < .05$) and stress ($t_{409}= 2.56, p < .05$).

Table 3. Psychological distress in academicians and non-academicians

	Psychological distress			Non-academicians			95% CI for Mean Difference	t	df
	Academicians			Non-academicians					
	M	SD	n	M	SD	n			
Depression	4.97	4.64	259	3.73	3.79	152	0.37, 2.12	2.80*	409
Anxiety	4.97	4.20	259	4.11	3.66	152	0.56, 1.67	2.10*	409
Stress	6.36	4.62	259	5.20	3.92	152	0.27, 2.02	2.56*	409

* $p < 0.05$

Table 4 showed a statistically significant mean difference in job satisfaction between the group. Non-academicians demonstrated greater job satisfaction levels when compared to academicians ($t_{409}= -2.94, p < 0.05$).

Table 4. Job satisfaction in academicians and non-academicians

	Job category			Non-academicians			95% CI for Mean Difference	t	df
	Academicians			Non-academicians					
	M	SD	n	M	SD	n			
Job satisfaction	134.03	29.24	259	142.69	28.24	152	-14.46, -2.86	-2.94*	409

Correlational analyses were used to examine the relationship between psychological distress and burnout among staff (Table 5). Results indicated moderate to high correlation between psychological distress and burnout due to work, personal and client. Higher burnout was associated with higher psychological distress.

Table 5. Spearman correlation between psychological distress and burn out

Variables		Burn out (client)	Burn out (work)	Burn out (personal)
Psychological distress	Depression	.570**	.668**	.638**
	Anxiety	.446**	.535**	.555**
	Stress	.533**	.656**	.625**

** $p < 0.01$

Correlational analyses were used to examine the relationship between job satisfaction and burnout among staff (Table 6). Results indicated a high correlation between job satisfaction and burnout due to work, with higher burnout levels associated with lower job satisfaction.

Table 6. Pearson correlation between job satisfaction and burnout among staff

	1	2	3	4	5
1. Job satisfaction	-				
2. Burnout (personal)	-.454**	-			
3. Burnout (work)	-.621**	.784**	-		
4. Burnout (client)	-.584**	.580**	.701**	-	-
5. Job category	.135**	-.199**	-.177**	-.178**	

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

3. Discussion

This study aimed to investigate burnout, psychological distress and job satisfaction among academic and non-academic staff in a public university in Malaysia. The prevalence of stress was 5%, depression 10.9% and anxiety 22.8% in this study which was much lower compared to a previous local study which reported stress 22%, depression 35.4% and anxiety 52.9% using the same screening tool DASS-21 (Noor & Ismail, 2016). The main difference was the previous study was conducted among academicians only in a Malaysian research university (MRU) which generally has higher key performance indicators (KPI). In contrast, our study setting was the largest non-research university in Malaysia. A different study done among 250 academicians in a Turkish university found that the prevalence of anxiety was 49.2% (Akçay et al., 2018). There were other studies done, but those studies focused on job stress or occupational stress using different tools. In a study conducted in 9 state universities in South India found that 74% of university teachers experienced a moderate to a high level of occupational stress using the Occupational Stress Rating Scale (Reddy & Poornima, 2012). Another study by (Shen et al., 2014) which investigated occupational stress using the Effort Reward Imbalance Model found that the rate was 22.3% and prevalence of depressive symptoms was 58.9% using Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD).

Highest level of burnout was found in the personal domain, 57.2% followed by the work and client domains. The personal domain in the Copenhagen Burnout Inventory (CBI) refers to the degree of physical and psychological fatigue and exhaustion experienced by a person. This domain can compare individual regardless of their occupational status (Kristensen et al., 2005). (Kozak et al., 2013) found that five psychosocial factors strongly associated with personal burnout were emotional demands, work-privacy conflict, role conflict, job insecurity and feedback at work. Majority of the previous studies done investigating burnout among academicians used the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) (Al-Mobeerik, & Al-Mobeerik, 2011; Chen et al., 2014; Otero-López, Mariño, & Bolaño, 2008; Padilla & Thompson, 2016). In a local study involving academicians from private universities in Malaysia revealed that 6% of the participants have burnout characteristics using the MBI (Chen et al., 2014).

This study found that burnout was higher in academicians compared to non-academicians. The finding is contradictory to another study done in among practicing dentists from both academic and non-academic hospitals in Riyadh and Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia (Al-Mobeerik, & Al-Mobeerik, 2011). Most of the previous studies done did not include non-academic staff in the university (Barkhuizen et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2014). A systematic review by Watts & Robertson (2011) revealed that staff exposure to a high number of students, especially tuition of postgraduates, strongly predicts the experience of burnout. Other predictors are younger age, male higher in depersonalization score, and female scored higher on the emotional exhaustion dimension. A study by Yao et al. (2015) found that the most significant predictors of burnout prevention were the love of the teaching profession and work acknowledgement from a direct supervisor. Non-academicians mainly comprised of administrative staff who has different job scope. They do not have teaching and research responsibilities. A study among university administrative staff found a low level of burnout linked with high professional self-esteem and lower emotional exhaustion and depersonalization (Tricas Moreno et al., 2010).

Academicians were found to have higher psychological distress in this study. Our finding was similar to a national survey conducted in Australian universities by Winefield et al. (2003) involving 8700 participants. However, the study used the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) to measure psychological distress. Another study found that the level of psychological distress was 40% among university employees, but there was no difference in the prevalence of psychological distress between job categories (Biron et al., 2008).

Job satisfaction was found to be lower among the academicians in this study. A study found that the academic staff of a local university have moderate job satisfaction (Noordin & Jusoff, 2009). In a different study which compared academic staff in public and private universities found that academicians in private universities were more satisfied

with their pay, supervision, and promotional opportunities. On the other hand, academicians in the public sector were more satisfied with co-workers behaviour and job security (Khalid et al., 2011). A study done by Huda et al. (2004) found a high prevalence of job dissatisfaction (42.6%) among medical lecturers. The associated factors were decision authority and psychological job demands. However, another study in Turkey found that the job satisfaction level of the academicians was moderately high (Toker, 2011). In non-academic staff, interpersonal skills and job competency have a different impact on different dimensions of job satisfaction (Jung & Shin, 2015). Being young and unmarried were found to be associated with higher job satisfaction among non-academic staff (Yapa et al., 2013).

Further analysis suggests that there was moderate to a high correlation between burnout and psychological distress. A similar finding was reported in a study done in South India involving nine state universities. There was a positive relationship between occupational stress and burnout among university teachers (Reddy & Poornima, 2012). The authors explained that organizational inefficiency, high staff turnover, absenteeism due to illness, reduced quality and quantity of practice, increased healthcare costs, and reduced job satisfaction were effects of occupational stress. A study by Zhong et al. (2009) showed that burnout was a mediator among job stress, the occurrence and exacerbation of depressive symptoms and poor physical health. There was a negative correlation between burnout and job satisfaction. This is similar to the finding from a study done by Yoleri & Bostanci (2012) where job satisfaction was found to be inversely correlated with emotional exhaustion, one of the components in the Maslach Burnout Inventory. Other studies conducted among academics also found job satisfaction to be a strong predictor of burnout (Bilge, 2006; Coetzee et al., 2019).

4. Conclusion

This study showed that academicians as compared to non-academicians suffer from high levels of burnout in aspects of personal, work and client-related matters and this has contributed to higher psychological distress among them and greatly affects their job satisfaction.

Funding

This study received a grant from the University under DUCS (Dana UiTM Cawangan Selangor) 600-UiTMSEL (PI. 5/4) (051/2018).

References

- Akçay, N. İ., Awode, A., Sohail, M., Baybar, Y., Alweithi, K., Alilou, M. M., & Güran, M. (2018). Sleeping Disorders and Anxiety in Academicians: A Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Turkish Sleep Medicine*, 5(3), 86-90. <https://doi.org/10.4274/jtism.43153>
- Andrew Chin, R. W., Chua, Y. Y., Chu, M. N., Mahadi, N. F., Wong, M. S., Yusoff, M. S. B., & Lee, Y. Y. (2018). Investigating validity evidence of the Malay translation of the Copenhagen Burnout Inventory. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2017.06.003>
- Barkhuizen, N., & Rothmann, S. (2008). Occupational stress of academic staff in South African higher education institutions. *South African Journal of Psychology*, 38(2), 321-336. <https://doi.org/10.1177/008124630803800205>
- Barkhuizen, N., Rothmann, S., & Van De Vijver, F. J. R. (2014). Burnout and work engagement of academics in higher education institutions. *Effects of dispositional optimism. Stress and Health*, 30(4), 322-332. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.2520>
- Bilge, F. (2006). Examining the burnout of academics in relation to job satisfaction and other factors. *Social Behavior and Personality*. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2006.34.9.1151>
- Biron, C., Brun, J. P., & Ivers, H. (2008). Extent and sources of occupational stress in university staff. *Work*, 30(4), 511-522.
- Cassitto, M. G. (2003). *Raising awareness of Psychological Harassment at Work*. Organização Mundial De Saúde.
- Chen, W.-S., Haniff, J., Siau, C.-S., Seet, W., Loh, S.-F., Jamil, M. H. A., ... Baharum, N. (2014). Burnout in academics: An empirical study in private universities in Malaysia. *The International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Invention*, 1(2), 62-72. <https://doi.org/10.11114/ijsss.v2i2.309>
- Chin-Siang, A., Talib, M. A., Juhari, R., & Madon, Z. (2014). Psychometric properties of the Malay version of the Job Satisfaction Survey among Malaysian military personnel. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*.
- Coetzee, N., Maree, D. J. F., & Smit, B. N. (2019). the Relationship Between Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, Burnout, Job Satisfaction, Social Support and Age Among Academics At a Tertiary Institution. *International Journal of*

- Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health*, 32(1), 75-85. <https://doi.org/10.13075/ijomeh.1896.01274>
- Creedy, D. K., Sidebotham, M., Gamble, J., Pallant, J., & Fenwick, J. (2017). Prevalence of burnout, depression, anxiety and stress in Australian midwives: A cross-sectional survey. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-1212-5>
- Felton, J. S. (1998). Burnout as a clinical entity - its importance in health care workers. *Occupational Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/48.4.237>
- Gillespie, N. A., Walsh, M., Stough, C., Winefield, A. H., & Dua, J. (2001). Occupational stress in universities: Staff perceptions of the causes, consequences and moderators of stress. *Work and Stress*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02678370117944>
- Henny, J., A. R. Anita, K. S. Hayati, & L. Rampal. 2014. Prevalence of Burnout and Its Associated Factors among Faculty Academicians. *Malaysian Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences*.
- Huda, B. Z., Rusli, B. N., Naing, L., Tengku, M. A., Winn, T., & Rampal, K. G. (2004). A study of job strain and dissatisfaction among lecturers in the School of Medical Sciences Universiti Sains Malaysia. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/101053950401600106>
- IBM Corp. Released. (2015). *IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows*, Version 24. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp - Google Scholar.
- Ibrahim, R. Z. A. R., Ohtsuka, K., Dagang, M. M., & Bakar, A. A. (2014). Job satisfaction among Malaysian employees: An application of Spector's job satisfaction survey in the South East Asian context. *Jurnal Pengurusan*. <https://doi.org/10.17576/pengurusan-2014-41-06>
- Jung, J., & Shin, J. C. (2015). Administrative staff members' job competency and their job satisfaction in a Korean research university. *Studies in Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2013.865161>
- Khalid, S., Zohaib Irshad, M., & Mahmood, B. (2011). Job Satisfaction among Academic Staff: A Comparative Analysis between Public and Private Sector Universities of Punjab, Pakistan. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 7(1), 126-136. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v7n1p126>
- Kozak, A., Kersten, M., Schillmöller, Z., & Nienhaus, A. (2013). Psychosocial work-related predictors and consequences of personal burnout among staff working with people with intellectual disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 34(1), 102-115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2012.07.021>
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining Sample Size for Research Activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001316447003000308>
- Kristensen, T. S., Borritz, M., Villadsen, E., & Christensen, K. B. (2005). The Copenhagen Burnout Inventory: A new tool for the assessment of burnout. *Work and Stress*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02678370500297720>
- Mark, G., & Smith, A. P. (2012). Effects of occupational stress, job characteristics, coping, and attributional style on the mental health and job satisfaction of university employees. *Anxiety, Stress and Coping*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10615806.2010.548088>
- Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job Burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.397>
- Moueleu Ngalagou, P. T., P. B. Assomo-Ndemba, L. J. Owona Manga, H. Owoundi Ebolo, C. N. Ayina Ayina, M. Y. Lobe Tanga, W. R. Guessogo, J. Mekoulou Ndongo, A. Temfemo, & S. H. Mandengue. (2019). Burnout Syndrome and Associated Factors among University Teaching Staff in Cameroon: Effect of the Practice of Sport and Physical Activities and Leisures. *Encephale*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.encep.2018.07.003>
- Mukosolu, O., Ibrahim, F., Rampal, L., & Ibrahim, N. (2015). Prevalence of job stress and its associated factors among Universiti Putra Malaysia staff. *Malaysian Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences*.
- Noor, A., & Ismail, N. H. (2016). Occupational stress and its associated factors among academicians in a research university, Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 16(1), 81-91.
- Noordin, F., & Jusoff, K. (2009). Levels of Job Satisfaction amongst Malaysian Academic Staff. *Asian Social Science*, 5(5), 122-128. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v5n5p122>
- Okwaraji, F. E., & Aguwa, E. N. (2015). Burnout, psychological distress and job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Enugu, south-east Nigeria. *African Journal of Psychiatry (South Africa)*. <https://doi.org/10.4172/Psychiatry.1000198>

- Otero-López, J. M., Mariño, M. J. S., & Bolaño, C. C. (2008). An integrating approach to the study of burnout in University Professors. *Psicothema*, 20(4), 766-772.
- Padilla, M. A., & Thompson, J. N. (2016). Burning Out Faculty at Doctoral Research Universities. *Stress and Health*, 32(5), 551-558. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.2661>
- Province, E., Al-mobeeriek, H. F., & D, M. A. P. (2011). *Burnout Among Dental Academics and Non-Academics in*, 20(04), 199-205.
- Ramli, M., Salmiah, M., & Nurul Ain, M. (2009). validation and psychometric properties of Bahasa Malaysia version of the depression anxiety and stress scales (DASS) among diabetic patients. *MJP Online Early*. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0022>
- Reddy, G. L., & Poornima, R. (2012). Occupational Stress and Professional Burnout of University Teachers in South India. *International Journal of Educational Planning & Administration*, 2(2), 109-124. Retrieved from <http://www.ripublication.com/ijepa.htm>
- Reevy, G. M., & Deason, G. (2014). Predictors of depression, stress, and anxiety among non-tenure track faculty. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00701>
- Spector, P. E. (1985). Measurement of human service staff satisfaction: Development of the Job Satisfaction Survey. *American Journal of Community Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00929796>
- Tamini, B. K., & Kord, B. (2011). Burnout Components as Predictors of Job & Life Satisfaction of University Employees. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*.
- Toker, B. (2011). Job satisfaction of academic staff: An empirical study on Turkey. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 19(2), 156-169. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09684881111125050>
- Translation, validation and psychometric properties of Bahasa Malaysia version of the Depression Anxiety and Stress Scales (DASS). (2007). *ASEAN Journal of Psychiatry*.
- Tricas Moreno, J. M., Salavera Bordas, C., Lucha Lopez, M. O., Vidal Peracho, C., Lucha Lopez, A. C., Estebanez de Miguel, E., & Bernues Vazquez, L. (2010). Descriptive study of stress and satisfaction at work in the Saragossa university services and administration staff. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 4, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-4458-4-7>
- Watts, J., & Robertson, N. (2011). Burnout in university teaching staff: A systematic literature review. *Educational Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2011.552235>
- Winefield, A. H., Gillespie, N., Stough, C., Dua, J., Hapuarachchi, J., & Boyd, C. (2003). Occupational Stress in Australian University Staff: Results From a National Survey. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 10(1), 51-63. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.10.1.51>
- Yao, S. M., Yu, H. M., Ai, Y. M., Song, P. P., Meng, S. Y., & Li, W. (2015). Job-Related Burnout and the Relationship to Quality of Life among Chinese Medical College Staff. *Archives of Environmental and Occupational Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19338244.2013.859120>
- Yapa, P. M. S. P., Rathnayake, R. M., Senanayake, G., & Premakumara, P. (2013). Effect of Demographic Factors on Job Satisfaction of Non-Academic Staff in Universities. Reshaping Management and Economic Thinking through Integrating Eco-Friendly and Ethical Practices Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Management and Economics, (February), 303-310.
- YOLERİ, S. (2012). Determining The Factors That Affect Burnout And Job Satisfaction Among Academicians: A Sample Application On The Hitit University. *Journal of Turkish Studies*, 7/4, 589-600. <https://doi.org/10.7827/turkishstudies.3973>
- Zhong, J., You, J., Gan, Y., Zhang, Y., Lu, C., & Wang, H. (2009). Job stress, burnout, depression symptoms, and physical health among Chinese university teachers. *Psychological Reports*, 105(3), 1248-1254. <https://doi.org/10.2466/PR.105.F.1248-1254>

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).