Asian Journal of Education and Training

Vol. 6, No. 2, 304-313, 2020 ISSN(E) 2519-5387 DOI: 10.20448/journal.522.2020.62.304.313 © 2020 by the authors; licensee Asian Online Journal Publishing Group





Relationship between Smartphone Addiction and Personality Traits

Ihsan Kuyulu¹ Enes Beltekin² (D

¹²Physical Education and Sport School, Bingol University, Turkey. Email: <u>1hsankuyulu444@hotmail.com</u> Tel: 053636° Email: <u>ens_bltkn@hotmail.com</u> Tel: 05444325500



Abstract

The study was realized to examine the personality traits and smartphone addiction levels of high school students. The research group consists of totally 239 students, including 139 males and 100 females studying in Sports High School, Anatolian High School and Vocational High School in Şanlıurfa during the 2019-2020 academic years. "Smartphone Addiction Scale" and "Five Factor Personality Inventory" were used to obtain data for the purpose of the research. The students participating in the study were asked personal information questions regarding their demographic characteristics. The study was carried out in SPSS 22 statistical package program and the degree of significance was taken as 0.05. Independent Sample T (IndependentSample) and Mann Whitney-Utest in binary comparisons, One-Way Variance Analysis (OneWayAnova) in multiple comparisons and Kruskal Wallis Analysis in non-normally distributed data, and correlation (Pearson) test to determine the relationship between variables. According to the responses given by the students who participated in the study, there was a significant difference between the groups according to the type of school, the state of doing sports, the purpose of using the smartphone, the average grade and the daily usage of the smartphone, while the use of the smartphone and the grade only in the personality characteristics levels. There was a significant difference between the groups in mean variables. According to the results of the correlation analysis, a significant relationship was detected between the personality traits of the students and their smartphone usage levels.

Keywords: Smartphone addiction, Personality trait, Sports.

Citation | İhsan Kuyulu; Enes Beltekin (2020). Relationship between Smartphone Addiction and Personality Traits. Asian Journal of Education and Training, 6(2): 304-313.

History: Received: 13 February 2020 Revised: 18 March 2020 Accepted: 27 April 2020 Published: 1 June 2020

Licensed: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons

Attribution 3.0 License (CC) BY

Publisher: Asian Online Journal Publishing Group

Acknowledgement: Both authors contributed to the conception and design of

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of

Transparency: The authors confirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study was reported; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

Ethical: This study follows all ethical practices during writing.

Contonta

Contents	
1. Introduction	305
2. Material-Method	305
3. Findings	
4. Discussion and Result	
References	

Contribution of this paper to the literature

This study has been brought into the literature in terms of whether smartphone addiction and personality characteristics of high school students change according to some variables, and how they reveal the relationship between students' smartphone addiction and personality characteristics.

1. Introduction

We can say that with the globalizing world, technological developments have increased and technology has gained a crucial place in our daily life and even it has become an important part of our life. In parallel with the technological developments, a rapid change is observed in communication technology. One of the important tools of communication technology is telephones. It is possible to carry out studies on phones with mobile devices until the 19th century (Kayabaş, 2013). The phones, which were used only for communication and messaging in the early days, have gained a more sophisticated dimension day by day and started to take an important place in our daily life and are equipped with features that make life easier for the users. With the enhancement of; smartphone, instagram, whatsApp, twitter, facebook and etc. it is observed that social media applications, camera recording, video chat, video recording, navigation, shopping, news tracking etc. make life easier for people. In fact, according to Tekin, Gunes, and Colak (2014) Smartphones are among the most useful devices among communication devices.

Today, smartphones meet many communication needs and help us in obtaining effective information in our lives and learning what is going on in our environment, in our country and in the world (Bayhan & Işıtan, 2010; Noyan, Darçın, Nurmedov, Yılmaz, & Dilbaz, 2015). However, technology can have negative effects as well as positive effects. It can be said that the use of smartphones in the world and in our country has become addictive by exceeding its basic purpose and creates various problems in physical, spiritual and daily life (Noyan et al., 2015).

Smart phones have an important place in people's life with the development of technology. Smartphone users can handle most of their needs thanks to their phones. Smartphones have many features to meet people's needs. For instance; it has many features such as communicating, getting news, shopping, socializing, playing games, expressing their own ideas freely, taking photos, watching movies or series, and even many other things that will contribute to personal development. Although these features of the smartphone are not used carefully, it is inevitable to become addictive.

According to one of the definitions of the Turkish Language Association, the word addiction is defined as the lack of the necessary skills and self-sufficiency to solve the problems encountered alone and to choose a direction (TDK, 2019). Smartphone addiction, on the other hand, is defined as a type of addiction that harms the social relations of users by using excessive and uncontrolled smartphones (Fidan, 2016; Ozen & Topçu, 2017). Smart phones increase the risk of addiction due to the fact that they are advanced in terms of processing power and the ability of people to access the internet anytime, anywhere in everyday life (Fidan, 2016; Kwon, Lee, et al., 2013). The use of smart phones both gives pleasure to the person as a result of use and saves them from pressure or anxiety. Such reinforcement makes it easy to be addicted to the smartphone (Sar & Işıklar, 2012). Excessive use of the smartphone; causes problems such as fatigue, insomnia, sedentary life, decreased immune system, back or eye fatigue, wrist syndrome, neck muscles fatigue, stiffness, social isolation, family relationship problems, neglect of daily work, cyberbullying, sexual assault and school failure (Demir, 2018; Gross, 2004). Many definitions have been made in the literature about personality which is another subject of this research. Some of these definitions are as follows. Personality is the orientation and features that persist in a way that cannot be expressed only with the biological situation or social environment in time (Taymur & Türkçapar, 2012). Personality is the way the individual is accustomed to thinking, feeling, perceiving and reacting to the world (Magnavita, 2016). Personality is the unique form of factors affecting human thinking, feeling, and behavior. Personality, which is constantly exposed to internal and external influences, covers all the hereditary, biological and psychological demands, attitudes and behaviors of the individual (Funder, 2001). Personality is the individual-specific reflection of the factors affecting an individual's perception, way of thinking, attitude and behavior (Tiryaki & Aykaç, 2013). In the works of Eysenck, which struggles to reveal the main dimensions of personality, personality is divided into extraversion and neuroticism. In his later works, Eysenck divided his personality into three main branches, including the concept of Psychoticism, which means being cold, angry and antisocial in its main dimension. For another personality dimensions were developed by different researchers by factor analysis and prevalence was developed as five-factor personality dimensions. These five factors are; Responsibility is Neuroticism, Extraversion, Compatibility and Openness to Development (Miles, Fincham, & Foster, 2005).

As researches between the internet and personality traits of the smartphone increase, social networking sites, which are among the fastest growing applications of the internet, have become the focus of research. Social networking sites allow users to interact with other people by providing personalized areas. Users show personality traits by sharing information about theirselves on these platforms (Gentile, Twenge, Freeman, & Campbell, 2012).

This study was performed to determine whether high school students' school addiction and personality traits change according to some variables and whether there is a relationship between students' smartphone addiction and personality traits.

2. Material-Method

The study group of this research, which was carried out to examine the effect of students' personality traits on the levels of smartphone addiction, consists of 239 students, 139 males and 100 females studying in the Sports High School, Anatolian High School and Vocational High School in the city of Şanlıurfa during the 2019-2020 academic year. In our research, "survey method" was used as a data collection tool. The questionnaire consists of 3 sections and 61 questions. In the first part, there are 7 expressions about demographic variables. In the second part, "Smart Phone Addiction Scale" developed by Kwon, Kim, Cho, and Yang (2013) and adapted to Turkish by Noyan et al. (2015) The "Five Factor Personality Inventory" (TheFiveFactorPersonality Inventory), which was adapted in Turkish by Evinç (2004) was applied in the research.

Data collected through the personality trait inventory and smartphone addiction scale were analyzed through the statistical package program SPSS.22 program and the results were interpreted. Descriptive statistics including arithmetic mean, standard deviation, frequency and percentage distributions are presented in order to gain insight into demographic information and other group questions. The correlation (pearson) test was applied to determine the relationship between students' personality traits and smartphone addiction levels. In order to determine the personality traits and smartphone addiction levels of students and the sub-dimensions of these variables with some demographic variables, the normality of the distributions (Kolmogorov-Smirnov) and then Skewnessand Kurtosis tests were examined. In the study, "normal" expression scores are individuals whose Z value varies between -3 and +3, and "extreme values" are those whose Z value is outside the range of -3 and +3. However, according to Shao (2002) the normal distribution of the data to be used in the study depends on the values of skewness and kurtosis between ± 3. According to test results, Independent Sample T (IndependentSample T) was used in binary comparisons and One-Way Variance Analysis (OneWayAnova) tests in multiple comparisons. If there is a difference between the variables, Tukey HSD and Dunnet T3 tests were used according to the homogeneity results from the Post-Hoc tests to determine which group or groups originated from this difference. The results were evaluated at 95% confidence interval, and significance was evaluated at p <0.005 level.

3. Findings

This section will explain the statistical results of this study. Statistical analysis based on the demographic characteristics of the people participating in the research will be included.

Questions	Demographic Variances	N	%
	Sports High School	83	34.7
School	Anatolian High School	88	36.8
	Vocational High School	68	28.5
	Social Networking (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)	100	41.8
Purpose of Using Smortphone	Shopping	83	34.7
Purpose of Using Smartphone	Communication (Whatsapp, Message, Talking)	38	15.9
	Research, Assignment	18	7.5
Gender	Male	139	58.2
Gender	Female	100	41.8
Grade	9	132	55.2
	10	46	19.2
Grade	11	52	21.8
	12	9	3.8
	1 hour and less	76	31.8
Daily Smartphone Using Time	2-3 hours	80	33.5
Daily Smartphone Using Time	4-5 hours	55	23.0
	6 hours and over	28	11.7
D d 2	Yes	184	77.0
Do you do sports?	No	55	23.0
	40-54	26	10.9
Crado Avonamo	55-74	87	36.4
Grade Average	75-90	102	42.7
	91 and over	24	10.0
Total		239	100

When Table 1 is examined, it was found that 34.7% of the students participating in the study attended sports high school, 36.8% in Anatolian high school, and 28.5% in vocational high school. Again, it was determined that 58.2% of the students participating in the study were male and 41.8% were female. It is determined that 77.0% of the students do sports and 23.0% do not.

In Table 2 there was no significant difference between the groups in terms of personality traits and subdimensions of the students participating in the study according to school types, whereas there was a significant difference between the groups in the students' smartphone addiction levels (p <0.05). According to the Post-Hoc test results to determine which groups the difference is, the smartphone addiction levels of students studying in vocational high schools were found to be significantly higher compared to students studying in Anatolian and sports high schools (x = 34.05).

According to the answers given by the students who participated in the study to the question of "Do you do sports" in Table 3 there was no significant difference between the groups in terms of personality traits and subdimensions, whereas there was a significant difference between the groups in the students' smartphone addiction levels (p < 0.05).

When the Table 4 is considered, according to the answers given by the students who participated in the study to the question for which purpose you mostly use your smartphone, a significant difference was found between the groups in personality traits sub-dimensions and in students' smartphone addiction levels (p < 0.05). According to the Post-Hoc test results, it is determined that there was a difference found in the average of the students who use their smartphones in the dimension of personality traits for communication purposes (x = 30.84) and students who use them for research and homework (x = 26.83) are determined. Yet, in smart phone addiction levels, there were differences found in the averages of students who use their phones for social sharing purposes (x = 32.65) and students who use their phones for communication purposes (x = 23.86).

Table-2. Comparison of the personality traits and smartphone addiction levels of the students according to school variance.

Variables	Sub dimensions	School	N	X	S.s	F	P	Tukey HSD
		^a Sports High School	83	26.21	5.314			
	Extroversion	^b Anatolian High School	88	27.82	5.237	2.112	.123	
		^c Vocational High School	68	26.69	5.249			
		^a Sports High School	83	24.68	4.566			
	Emotional	^b Anatolian High School	88	25.37	5.122	1.347	.262	
	Balance	^c Vocational High School	68	25.98	4.857			
		^a Sports High School	83	32.97	6.653			
Personality Traits Level SubDimensions	Openness to Experience	^b Anatolian High School	88	33.92	7.692	.402	.670	
		^c Vocational High School	68	33.30	6.411			
	Peacefulness	^a Sports High School	83	28.21	4.995		.155	
		^b Anatolian High School	88	29.59	5.582	1.877		
		^c Vocational High School	68	29.64	5.341			
		^a Sports High School	83	29.03	5.005			
	Self Discipline	^b Anatolian High School	88	30.45	5.636	1.529	.219	
		^c Vocational High School	68	29.97	5.422			
		^a Sports High School	83	141.13	21.548			
	Traits Level	^b Anatolian High School	88	147.17	23.935	1.577	.209	
General Tota		^c Vocational High School	68	145.60	22.971			
		^a Sports High School	83	29.13	12.126			
Smartphone Ad	ldiction Level	^b Anatolian High School	88	26.88	13.755	6.200	.002**	c> a, b
General Total		^c Vocational High School	68	34.05	12.117			
Total			239					

Note: p<0.01**.

Table-3. Comparison of the personality traits and smartphone addiction levels of the students according to the vraiance of doing sports.

Variables	Sub Dimensions	Do you do sports?	N	X	S.s	t	P
	Extroversion	Yes	184	27.13	5.166	.987	.324
Personality	Extroversion	No 55 26.32 5.699		.301	.324		
	Emotional Balance	Yes	184	25.32	4.829	.095	.924
	Emotional balance	No	55	25.25	5.034	.093	.924
Trait Level	Openness to	Yes	184	33.56	7.012	.595	.553
Sub	Experience	No	55	32.92	6.879	.595	.555
Dimensions	Peacefulness	Yes	184	29.19	5.230	.349	.728
	1 eacerumess	No	55	28.90	5.716	.349	.128
	Self Discipline	Yes	184	30.14	5.239	1.674	.095
	Self Discipline	No	55	28.76	5.728	1.074	.095
Porconality Tr	ait Level General Total	Yes	Yes	145.35	22.396	.902	.368
reisonanty 11	an Level General Total	No	No	142.18	24.608	.902	.308
Smartphone A	ddiction Level General	Yes	184	28.78	12.896	0.000	.044*
Total		No	55	32.80	13.090	-2.020	.044"
Total			239				

Note: p<0.05*.

In Table 5 according to the answers of the students who participated in the study to the grade point question, a significant difference was found between the groups in terms of personality traits, sub-dimensions and smartphone addiction levels (p <0.05). According to the results, it is determined that the difference in personality traits general scores and sub-dimensions scores is generally between students with a grade point average of 75-90, 91 and above, and students with a grade point average of 40-54, while the difference in students' smartphone addiction levels is only 40-54. It was determined that the students with a GPA between 91 and a student with a 91 GPA and above.

According to the answers given by the students who participated in the study in the content of Table 6 to the question of daily smartphone usage time, while there was no significant difference between the groups in terms of personality traits general points and sub-dimensions, there was a significant difference between the groups in terms of students' smartphone addiction levels (p <0.05). According to the Post-Hoc test results to determine between which groups, the difference was derived from, it was found that; between students who use smartphones for I hour and less daily and students who use smartphones for more time.

Table-4. Comparison of the personality traits and smartphone addiction levels of the students according to the variance of purpose of using

smartphone.

Variables	Sub Dimensions	Purpose of Using Smartphone	N	X	S.s	F	P	Dunnet T3
		^a Social Networking (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)	100	27.29	5.039			
	F4i	bShopping	83	26.66	5.319	445	710	
	Extroversion	^c Communication (Whatsapp, Message, Talking)	38	27.13	5.910	.447	.719	
		dResearch, Assignment	18	25.94	5.428			
		^a Social Networking (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)	100	25.32	4.884			
	Emotinal	bShopping	83	25.33	4.545		.786	
	Balance	^c Communication (Whatsapp, Message, Talking)	38	25.71	4.837	.354		
		dResearch, Assignment	18	24.27	6.369			
Personality		^a Social Networking (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)	100	34.00	6.801			
Trait Level	Openness to	bShopping	83	32.77	7.289	1.016	906	
Sub Dimensions	Experience	^c Communication (Whatsapp, Message, Talking)	38	34.15	6.532	1.016	.386	
		dResearch, Assignment 18 31.61 7.309						
	Peacefulness	^a Social Networking (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)	100	29.43	5.884		.031*	
		bShopping	83	28.48	4.937	0.004		c> d
		^c Communication (Whatsapp, Message, Talking)	38	30.84	3.267	3.004		
		dResearch, Assignment 18 26.83 6.428						
	Self Discipline	^a Social Networking (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)	100	29.94	5.243			
		^b Shopping	83	29.72	5.245	.704	550	
		^c Communication (Whatsapp, Message, Talking)	38	30.47	5.341	.704	.550	
		dResearch, Assignment	18	28.27	6.780			
		^a Social Networking (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)	100	145.98	23.203			
Personality Tra	it Level General	^b Shopping	83	142.97	21.774	1.267	.286	
Total		^c Communication (Whatsapp, Message, Talking)	38	148.31	20.766	1.207	.286	
		dResearch, Assignment	18	136.94	29.502			
		^a Social Networking (Facebook, Twitter, etc.)	100	32.65	13.785			
Smartphone A	Addiction Level	bShopping bShopping	83	28.19	10.446	5.192	000**	0 > 0
General Total		^c Communication (Whatsapp, Message, Talking)	38	23.86	13.073	5.192	.002**	a> c
		dResearch, Assignment	18	32.66	14.903			
Total			239					

Note: p<0.05* p<0.01** .

Table-5. Comparison of the personality traits and smartphone addiction levels of the students according to the grade point variance.

Variables	Sub Dimensions	Grade Average	N	X	S.s	F	P	Tukey HSD
		a40-54	26	24.19	5.344			
	Extroversion	b55-74	87	26.44	5.343	4 100	.007**	d> a
	Extroversion	c75-90	102	27.71	4.983	4.132	.007***	c> a
		d91 and over	24	28.45	5.332			
		a40-54	26	24.73	4.468			
	Emotional Balance	b55-74	87	25.06	4.699	.385	5 04	
	Emotional balance	c75 - 90	102	25.67	4.885	.383	.764	
		d91 and over	24	25.25	5.899			
Personality Trait Level Sub Dimensions		a40-54	26	30.00	5.656			
	Openness to	b55-74	87	33.19	6.469	2.813	.040*	c> a
	Experience	c75-90	102	34.28	7.177	2.813		c> a
		d91 and over	24	34.25	8.258			
	Peacefulness	a40-54	26	27.00	4.955		.095	
		b55-74	87	28.81	5.531	2.147		
		c75 - 90	102	29.79	5.144	2.147		
		d91 and over	24	29.75	5.423			
		a40-54	26	27.07	4.914			
	Self Discipline	b55-74	87	29.40	5.394	3.787	.011*	d> a
	Sen Discipline	^c 75-90	102	30.52	5.010	3.181	.011"	c> a
		d91 and over	24	31.33	6.315			
		a40-54	26	133.00	21.052			
Parsonality Tr	ait Level General Total	b55-74	87	142.93	23.111	3.534	.016*	c> a
Tersonanty 11	an Level General Total	c75-90	102	148.00	21.460	J.334	.010	C / a
		d91 and over	24	149.04	26.376			
		a40-54	26	34.53	13.276			
Smartphone A	ddiction Level General	b55-74	87	30.16	12.027	0.400	.017*	a> d
Total		°75-90	102	29.67	13.448	3.482	.017**	a> u
		d91 and over	24	22.95	12.400			
Total			239					

Note: p<0.05* p<0.01**.

Table-6. Comparison of personality characteristics and smartphone addiction levels of students according to the variance of daily

smartphone usage time.

Variables	Sub Dimensions	Daily smartphone Usage Time	N	X	S.s	F	P	Tukey HSD
		^a 1 hour and less	76	27.21	5.938			
	Extroversion	^b 2-3 hours	80	26.66	5.532	144	.934	
	Extroversion	^c 4-5 hours	55	26.92	4.549	.144	.934	
		^d 6 hours and over	28	27.07	4.189			
		^a 1 hour and less	76	24.32	5.274			
	Emotional	^b 2-3 hours	80	25.28	4.763	2.101	.101	
	Balance	^c 4-5 hours	55	26.07	4.459	2.101	.101	
		^d 6 hours and over	28	26.53	4.459			
Persona		^a 1 hour and less	76	32.90	7.642			
Trait Level Sub Dimensions	Openness to Experience	^b 2-3 hours	80	33.68	7.203	.265	.851	
		^c 4-5 hours	55	33.38	6.748	.200	.001	
		^d 6 hours and over	28	34.10	4.693			
	Peacefulness	^a 1 hour and less	76	29.44	5.483			
		^b 2-3 hours	80	28.73	5.593	.249	.862	
		^c 4-5 hours	55	29.29	4.980	.2 10		
		^d 6 hours and over	28	29.07	5.047			
	Self Discipline	^a 1 hour and less	76	29.68	6.435			
		^b 2-3 hours	80	29.90	4.872	.801	.494	
		^c 4-5 hours	55	29.23	5.206	.001	. го г	
		^d 6 hours and over	28	31.14	3.658			
		^a 1 hour and less	76	143.57	26.430			
Personal Trai	t Level General	^b 2-3 hours	80	144.27	22.497	.254	.859	
Total		c4-5 hours	55	144.90	20.984	.234	.899	
		^d 6 hours and over	28	147.92	17.600			
		^a I hour and less	76	20.77	10.923			
Smartphone A	Addiction Level	^b 2-3 hours	80	30.56	11.842	00.000	.000***	b,c,d> a
General Total		c4-5 hours	55	36.50	9.977	28.096	.000***	c,d>b
		d6 hours and over	28	38.14	12.633			
Total			239					

Note: p<0.001***.

Table-7. Correlation analysis that reflects the relationship between the personality traits sub-dimensions of the students and the general personality trait, smartphone addiction levels.

Variables	Parameter	Extroversion	Emotional Balance	Openness to Experience	Peacefulness	Self Discipline	Personal Trait General	Smartphone Addiction General
	r	1						
Extroversion	р							
	n	239						
	r	.515**	1					
Emotional	р	.000						
Balance	n	239	239					
	r	.647**	.580**	1				
Openness to	р	.000	.000					
Ecperience	n	239	239	239				
	r	.608**	.558**	.562**	1			
Peacefulness	р	.000	.000	.000				
	n	239	239	239	239			
Self	r	.625**	.617**	.609**	.610**	1		
Discipline	р	.000	.000	.000	.000			
Discipline	n	239	239	239	239	239		
Personal	r	.825**	.782**	.851**	.806**	.837**	1	
Trait	р	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		
General	n	239	239	239	239	239	239	
Smartphone	r	.057	.264**	.074	.151*	.066	.142*	1
Addiction	р	.378	.000	.258	.020	.313	.028	
General	n	239	239	239	239	239	239	239

Note: p<0.001*** p<0.05*

When Table 7 is reviewed, when we look at the correlation analysis results to determine the relationship between students 'personality traits and smartphone addiction levels, one of the sub-dimensions of students' personality traits; Emotional balance, soft-headedness and general personality traits and a positive correlation were found between smartphone addiction levels (p < 0.05, p < 0.001).

4. Discussion and Result

The study was carried out to examine the smartphone addiction levels and personality traits of students studying in high school. The research group consisted of 239 students, 139 men and 100 women studying in Sports High School, Anatolian High School and Vocational High School in Şanlıurfa during my 2019-2020 academic years.

While there was no significant difference between the groups in the personality traits and sub-dimensions of the students participating in the study, according to the school types, there was a significant difference between the groups in the smartphone addiction levels of the students. As a result of the statistical analysis, it has been

understood that vocational high school students' smartphone addiction levels are higher than those of sports high school and Anatolian high school students. When the body of the literature is examined; Cap (2017) compared the schools in which students were present in their research conducted on 1243 high school students studying in Pendik district of Istanbul according to their average scores from their personality inventory and statistically significant results were obtained in all sub-dimensions except mildness. In the study conducted by Aras (2019) it was observed that students studying in sports high school had a higher softness score than imam hatip high school and vocational high school students. In addition, when the mean scores are examined, the high school type with the highest extroversion score is the sports high school; It is understood that students studying in science high school are emotionally balanced, softer, more open to experience and more self-disciplined.

When the literature about the smartphone addiction of our study is examined; the study conducted by Cakir and Oğuz (2017) was conducted to examine the relationship between smartphone addiction levels and loneliness levels of high school students. It is concluded that students who attend public school have a higher average in terms of cyber-oriented relationships in which students' smartphone addiction level differs according to school type. In the research carried out by Göktaş (2019) a statistically significant difference was found between the school where the adolescents included in the study continue and their smartphone addiction. While these studies support our study; contrarily to this situation, there are studies in which there is no statistically significant difference between smartphone addiction and school type variable (Kiziltoprak, 2018; Meral, 2017). In the body of the literature, we see that students' use of social media, as well as their phone addiction, varies according to the type of school. There is a significant difference between students' attitudes towards school type and social media against Vocational and Technical Anatolian High School; In other words, it is seen that Anatolian High School and Anatolian Imam Hatip High School students' attitudes towards social media are more positive. In a study conducted by Atalay (2014) social media attitudes of high school students differed according to the type of school; it is concluded that the attitudes of students attending vocational high schools towards social media are higher than that of Anatolian high school and general high school students. Similarly, Argin (2013) states that there is a significant difference between secondary school and high school students' attitudes towards school type and social media in favor of students attending secondary and commercial vocational high schools. In another study, it was revealed that the usage period of social networks of high school students varies in favor of students who see students in vocational and general high schools according to the type of school variable (Ok, 2013).

We can interpret that high school students' reason for their high smartphone addiction is due to the lack of socialization opportunities or because they need more smart phones for their professional classes.

According to the answers given by the students who participated in the study to the question of Do you play sports, there was no significant difference between the groups in terms of personality traits and sub-dimensions, whereas there was a significant difference between the groups in the smartphone addiction levels of the students. When the studies on sports conditions in the literature are examined; in the study where Saygılı, Atay, Eraslan, and Hekim (2015) studied the relationship between the personality traits and academic achievements of a total of 214 secondary school students studying and not doing sports, they obtained some statistical results between their genders according to their personality traits. According to this situation, they stated that they did not find a statistically significant difference between the gender variable according to their extraversion, emotional balance and self-discipline sub-dimensions. In many studies, it was found that the extraversion feature of sports participants was better (Courneya & Hellsten, 1998; Egloff & Gruhn, 1996; Piedmont, Hill, & Blanco, 1999). In addition; Courneya and Hellsten (1998) found that the emotional imbalances of the people who exercise are also high and state that the personality traits of individuals dealing with different sports branches are also different (Saygılı et al., 2015).

When the literature is analyzed in the literature, there is no study related to smart phone and sports. It is thought that this study will serve as an example for future studies. The smartphone addiction of students doing sports was lower than those who did not do sports. The reason for this may be that the students move away from the phone when they do sports and that they derive some of the needs they do with the phone (socialization, stress relief, desire to get away from the negative conditions, etc.) with sports.

According to the answers given by the students participating in the study to the question of what purpose are you using your smartphone for the most purpose, there was a significant difference between the personality traits sub-dimensions and mildness levels and students' smartphone addiction levels. According to the Post-Hoc test results to determine which groups the difference is between, the average of the students who use their smartphones in terms of communication for their communication and homework are determined from the sub-dimensions of the personality traits, while the smart phone addiction levels of the students There was a significant difference between the students who use social sharing and those who use communication. It is seen that studies on personality and social media are looked at rather than personality traits and smartphone addiction studies in the literature. In general, inward and extroverted personality traits of personality traits were examined. As an example of these studies; According to Burn-Thoughtful (2016) it was found that there is a very weak positive positive relationship between "sharing need" and introverted-extroverted personality traits of private high school students. In other words, as the extraversion personality trait increases, the level of sharing need sub-dimension also increases. It is seen that this result is consistent with the results of the studies carried out at home and abroad. In addition to these studies; we see that the purpose of social media use varies according to personality characteristics (Ates, 2014; Chen & Marcus, 2012; Gosling, Augustine, Vazire, Holtzman, & Gaddis, 2011; Harbaugh, 2010; McKenna & Bargh, 2000; Sumner, Byers, & Shearing, 2011; Valkenburg, Schouten, & Peter, 2005). These studies support the research.

When the literature about the purpose of using smart phone is examined; According to Koçyiğit (2019) as a result of the analysis conducted in order to examine the purpose and gender relationship of adolescents in groups diagnosed with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and not diagnosed with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder; It is observed that female students in the ADHD group use their smart phones mostly for entertainment purposes (10.4%), while female students in the group without ADHD use them for social media purposes (17.1%). In the findings, it was found that male students mostly used their phones for entertainment purposes in both groups. Ada, Çiçek, and Kaynaky (2013) emphasizes that factors such as the desire to

communicate with other people, sharing information and maintaining social relations are among the reasons why girls turn to social media. Chóliz (2012) states that using the smartphone for entertainment purposes can be an indicator of prestige among adolescents. In the light of this information, it is thought that female students' motivation to use smartphones varies between groups. When the findings were analyzed according to the purpose of internet use in Göktaş (2019) a statistically significant difference was found between the smartphone addiction of high school students and the purpose of internet use. It has been observed that students using the internet for social media are more smartphone addicted. When the literature is analyzed, results are observed in parallel with our study. In a study by Unal (2015) students' smartphone addiction levels; 85,27% of internet surfers, 83,67% of social network followers and 83,91% of photographers. While there is a statistically significant difference between the purpose of using the smartphone, which is closely related to the purpose of internet use, and smartphone addiction, it is observed that this difference is higher among the users who use the phone with the mind for social media use (Meral, 2017). Uğur and Koc (2015) stated in their research that smart phones are used more and more in schools and few students use their smart phones for educational purposes. According to Judd (2014) it is observed that the versatile media tools connected via smartphones and Facebook, Instagram, Whatsapp usage are very time consuming platforms, so each of them negatively affects school success independently. These studies support our study.

According to the answers given by the students who participated in the study, the mean scores of their personality traits, sub-dimensions and smartphone addiction levels were determined. According to the Post-Hoc test results to determine between which groups the difference is, the difference in personality trait general scores and sub-dimensions scores is generally between students with a grade point average of 75-90, students with a grade point average of between 40 and 54. While determining the differences in students' smartphone addiction levels, it was determined that the difference was only between the students with a grade point average of 40-54 and those with a grade point average of 91 and above. When studies on personality traits are examined in the literature; In Cap (2017) there is a significant difference between students' success levels and adjective-based personality traits in favor of students with very good success levels; In other words, it is seen that the personality traits of very successful students are more positive than the personality structures of students who are successful and not successful. Peker, Eroğlu, and Ada (2012) also stated that empathic tendencies predict gender and perceived academic success according to the findings of the study they conducted. According to the findings obtained from Binali (2015) research, a statistically significant positive relationship was found between the grade point average of the participants and the total of personality questionnaire, Extroversion, Lying sub-factor. According to Lounsbury and Landers (2006) responsible individuals do not use the internet much because they are engaged in academic activities such as taking notes and storing notes, they use it for more academic purposes. The opposite is true for incompatible and introverted people. In a study, it was found that people with good extraversion and openness feature use social networks more (Correa, Hinsley, & De Zuniga, 2010).

When studies on smartphone addiction are examined in the body of the literature; while the findings obtained from Göktaş (2019) show that smartphone addiction has the biggest direct effect on school success (CGPA); it is seen that the biggest effect on school success in total factor of being not online. Besides this study; there are studies supporting the negative relationship between smartphone addiction and school success (Dirik, 2016; Kiziltoprak, 2018; Meral, 2017; Süler, 2016; Uğur & Koc, 2015; Yıldırım, 2018). These studies are in the quality of supporting our work.

According to the responses given by the students who participated in the study to the question of daily smartphone usage time, there was no significant difference between the groups in terms of personality traits and sub-dimensions, whereas there was a significant difference between the groups in the smartphone addiction levels of the students. According to the results of the Hoc test, it was determined that the difference was between students who use smartphones for 1 hour and less and students who use smartphones for more. When the body of the literature is examined; In the study realized by Kiziltoprak (2018) it has been observed that as long as high school students' smartphone usage time increases, there is a slight increase in "Positive Expectations", "Overuse" and "Social Network Addiction" values of smartphone addictions and smartphone addiction. In the study of Cakir and Oğuz (2017) there was a significant relationship found between smartphone use time and addiction. According to the results obtained from other studies; (Genç-Demirağ, 2017; Meral, 2017; Yıldırım, 2018) the results of the individuals that increase their time on mobile phones increase statistically significantly. These studies are in the quality of supporting our study.

In the last finding of our research; when the correlation analysis results considered which was done to determine the relationship between students 'personality traits and smartphone addiction levels, there was a positive significant relation found between emotional balance, peacefulness and general personality traits and smart phone addiction levels among students' sub-dimensions.

In the body of the literature; in his master's thesis titled "Relationship between Smartphone Addiction and Personality Traits in University Students", according to Karahancı (2018) students' emotional balance level increases the total level of smartphone addiction. Students' extraversion, self-control, openness to development, and peacefulness levels do not affect the total level of smartphone addiction (Karahancı, 2018). With these conducted studies, we can say that personality traits affect smartphone addiction and this finding should be taken into consideration when working on people with smartphone addiction.

References

- Ada, S., Çiçek, B., & Kaynaky, Y. G. (2013). A research on motivating factors that affect the use of online social networking site. Paper presented at the Academic Informatics Conference, Antalya.
- Aras, G. (2019). The relationship between attitudes towards physical education and sports lesson and personality traits of students studying in different high school types. Sakarya: Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Graduate Education Institute.
- Argin, S. F. (2013). Investigation of middle school and high school students' attitudes towards social media (Çekmeköy example). Published Master Thesis, Yeditepe University, Institute of Social Sciences, Istanbul.
- Atalay, R. (2014). The relationship between high school students' attitudes towards social media and their perceived social support levels (example of Bahçelievler district). Unpublished Master Thesis,, Marmara University, Institute of Educational Sciences, Istanbul.

- Ateş, U. (2014). Inference of personality using social media profiles. A Thesis Presented to the Faculty of Middle East Technical University, Informatics Institute, Ankara.
- Bayhan, P., & Işıtan, S. (2010). Relationships in adolescence: An overview of peer and romantic relationships. Journal of Social Policy Studies, 5(20), 33-44.
- Binali, R. (2015). Examining the relationship between high school students' personality traits and internet addiction and academic success. Master Thesis, Üsküdar University Institute of Social Sciences Clinical Psychology Department, Istanbul.
- Burn-Thoughtful, M. (2016). Examining the relationship between social media attitudes of private high school students and introversion-extraversion personality traits. Master Thesis, Haliç University Institute of Social Sciences Department of Psychology, Istanbul.
- Cakır, O., & Oğuz, E. (2017). The relationship between high school students' loneliness and smartphone addiction. Mersin University Faculty of Education Journal, 13(1), 418-429.
- Cap, E. (2017). The relationship between high school students' attitudes towards social media and their personality traits. Master Thesis, Marmara University, Institute of Educational Sciences, Istanbul.
- Chen, B., & Marcus, J. (2012). Students' self-presentation on Facebook: An examination of personality and self-construal factors. Computers in $Human\ Behavior,\ 28 (6),\ 2091-2099. Available\ at:\ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.06.013.$
- Chóliz, M. (2012). Mobile-phone addiction in adolescence: The test of mobile phone dependence (TMD). Progress in Health Sciences, 2(1), 33-44.
- Correa, T., Hinsley, A. W., & De Zuniga, H. G. (2010). Who interacts on the Web?: The intersection of users' personality and social media use. Computers in Human Behavior, 26(2), 247-253. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.09.003.
- Courneya, K. S., & Hellsten, L.-A. M. (1998). Personality correlates of exercise behavior, motives, barriers and preferences: An application of the five-factor model. Personality and Individual Differences, 24(5), 625-633. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0191-8869(97)00231-6.
- Demir, S. (2018). Investigation of the relationship between smart phone addiction and emotional intelligence among high school students. Master Thesis, Çukurova University Institute of Social Sciences, Department of Educational Sciences, Adana.
- Dirik, K. (2016). Examining the relationships between smartphone addiction and self-confidence in adolescents in terms of various variables. Unpublished Master's Thesis, Istanbul Gelisim University, Istanbul.
- Egloff, B., & Gruhn, A. J. (1996). Personality and endurance sports. Personality and Individual Differences, 21(2), 223-229. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(96)00048-7.
- Evinç, G. S. (2004). Maternal personality characteristics, affective state, and psychopathology in relation to children's attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder and comormid symptoms. Unpublished Master Thesis, Orta Doğu Teknik Üniversitesi, Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Ankara.
- Fidan, H. (2016). Development and validity of mobile addiction scale: Components model approach. Addicta: The Turkish Journal on Addictions, 3(3), 433-469.
- Funder, D. C. (2001). Personality. Annual Reviev of Psychology, 52(1), 197-221. Available at: 10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.197.
- Genç-Demirağ, D. (2017). Evaluation of the relationship between smartphone addiction and depression and anxiety levels in Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University faculty of health sciences nursing department and medical faculty students. Unpublished Master Thesis, Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Department of Family Medicine, Ankara.
- Gentile, B., Twenge, J. M., Freeman, E. C., & Campbell, W. K. (2012). The effect of social networking websites on positive self-views: An Humanexperimental1929-1933.Available investigation. Computers Behavior, 28(5), inhttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.05.012.
- Göktaş, H. (2019). The relationship between high school students' smartphone addiction, fear of mobile phone withdrawal (nomophobia) and academic success. Master Thesis, Süleyman Demirel University Institute of Educational Sciences Department of Computer Éducation and Instructional Technologies, Isparta.
- Gosling, S. D., Augustine, A. A., Vazire, S., Holtzman, N., & Gaddis, S. (2011). Manifestations of personality in online social networks: Selfreported Facebook-related behaviors and observable profile information. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 14(9), 483-488.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2010.0087.
- Gross, E. F. (2004). Adolescent Internet use: What we expect, what teens report. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 25(6), 633-649.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2004.09.005.
- Harbaugh, E. R. (2010). The effect of personality styles (level of introversion-extroversion) on social media use. The Elon Journal of
- Undergraduate Research in Communications, 1(2), 70-86.

 Judd, T. (2014). Making sense of multitasking: The role of Facebook. Computers & Education, 70(1), 194-202. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2013.08.013.
- Karahancı, P. (2018). The relationship between smartphone addiction and personality traits in university students. Master Thesis, Istanbul Gelisim University, Institute of Social Sciences, Department of Psychology, Department of Clinical Psychology, Istanbul.
- Kayabaş, B. K. (2013). Mobile life. New communication technologies (pp. 176-201). Eskişehir: Anadolu University Open Education Faculty Publications.
- Kiziltoprak, A. (2018). Relationship between high school students' smartphone addiction and communicator forms. Master Thesis, Necmettin Erbakan University, Department of Computer Education and Instructional Technologies, Konya.
- Koçyiğit, S. (2019). Comparison of adolescents with and without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in terms of smartphone addiction levels, temperament characteristics and parental attitudes. Master Thesis, Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Institute of Social Sciences, Department of Clinical Psychology, Ankara.
- Kwon, M., Kim, D., Cho, H., & Yang, S. (2013). The smart phone addiction scale: Development and validation of a short version for adolescents. PLoS One, 8(12), 1-2.

 Kwon, M., Lee, J. Y., Won, W. Y., Park, J. W., Min, J. A., Hahn, C., & Kim, D. J. (2013). Development and validation of a smartphone
- addiction scale (SAS). PloS one, 8(2), 56936. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056936.
- Lounsbury, J. W., & Landers, R. N. (2006). An investigation of Big Five and narrow personality traits in relation to Internet usage. Computers in Human Behavior, 22(2), 283-293. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2004.06.001.
- Magnavita, J. J. (2016). Personality theories. Acar Mathaacılık prom and broadcast. Istanbul: Singing. ve Tic. LLC.
- McKenna, K. Y., & Bargh, J. A. (2000). Plan 9 from cyberspace: The implications of the Internet for personality and social psychology. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 4(1), 57-75. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0401_6.
- Meral, D. (2017). Investigation of smartphone addiction in secondary education students in terms of loneliness, life satisfaction and some personal characteristics. Master Thesis, Erzincan University, Institute of Social Sciences, Department of Educational Sciences, Erzincan.
- Miles, H., Fincham, F. D., & Foster, J. (2005). Psychology. UK: Blackwell.
- Noyan, C. O., Darçın, A., Nurmedov, S., Yılmaz, O., & Dilbaz, N. (2015). Turkish validity and reliability study of the short form of the smartphone addiction scale in university students. Anadolu Psychiatry Journal, 16(1), 73-81.
- Ok, F. (2013). Social media usage habits and motivations of secondary education students. Unpublished Master Thesis, Firat University, Institute of Social Sciences, Elazığ.
- Ozen, S., & Topçu, M. (2017). The relationship between smartphone addiction and depression, obsession-compulsion, impulsivity, alexithymia in medical students. Addiction Journal, 18(1), 16-24.
- Peker, A., Eroğlu, Y., & Ada, S. (2012). Investigation of predictors of cyberbullying and victimization in adolescents. Abant Izzet Baysal University Faculty of Education Journal, 12(2), 185-206.
- Piedmont, R. L., Hill, D. C., & Blanco, S. (1999). Predicting athletic performance using the five-factormodel of personality. Personality and Individual Differences, 27(4), 769-777. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0191-8869(98)00280-3.
- Sar, A. H., & Işıklar, A. (2012). Adaptation of problematic mobile phone use scale to Turkish. International Journal of Human Sciences, 9(2),
- Saygılı, G., Atay, E., Eraslan, M., & Hekim, M. (2015). Examining the relationship between the personality traits and academic achievements of students who regularly and not do sports. Kastamonu Education Journal, 23(1), 161-170.
- Shao, A. T. (2002). Marketing research: An aid to decision making. Cincinnati, Ohio: South-Western/Thomson Learning.

- Süler, M. (2016). Investigation of the effect of smartphone addiction on subjective happiness level in terms of various variables. Published Master Thesis, Sakarya University, Sakarya.
- Sumner, C., Byers, A., & Shearing, M. (2011). Determining personality traits & privacy concerns from Facebook activity. *Black Hat Briefings*, 11(7), 197-221.
- Taymur, İ., & Türkçapar, M. H. (2012). Personality: Definition, classification and evaluation. Current Approaches in Psychiatry, 4(2), 154-177.

 TDK. (2019). What is addiction. Retrieved from www.tdk.gov.tr/index.php?option=com/bts&arama=word&guid=TDK.GTS.5c7ec5595a5cd8.84499078.
- Tekin, C., Gunes, G., & Colak, C. (2014). Adaptation of problematic mobile phone use scale to Turkish: A validity and reliability study. Medicine Science International Medical Journal, 3(3), 1361-1381. Available at: https://doi.org/10.5455/medscience.2014.03.8138.
- Tiryaki, F., & Aykaç, M. (2013). Participants' views on the use of creative drama method in examining different personality types. *Journal of History School*, 6(16), 605-626.
- Uğur, N. G., & Koc, T. (2015). Mobile phones as distracting tools in the classroom: College students perspective. Alphanumeric Journal, 3(2), 57-64. Available at: https://doi.org/10.17093/aj.2015.3.2.5000145549.
- Unal, M. H. (2015). Determination of smartphone addiction levels of Ankara Yıldırım Yıldırım Beyazıt University medical faculty students. Medical Specialty Thesis, Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Ankara.
- Valkenburg, P. M., Schouten, A. P., & Peter, J. (2005). Adolescents' identity experiments on the Internet. New Media & Society, 7(3), 383-402. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444805052282.
- Yıldırım, S. (2018). Investigation of the relationship between adolescents' subjective well-being and parental attitudes and smartphone addiction in terms of various variables. Master Thesis, Sakarya University, Sakarya.

Asian Online Journal Publishing Group is not responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability, etc. caused in relation to/arising out of the use of the content. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author of the article.